

Transgender surgery – Knowledge gap among physicians impacting patient care

Vishnu R. Mani*, Sebastian C. Valdivieso, Adel Hanandeh, Aleksandr Kalabin, Alexius Ramcharan, Brian Donaldson

Department of Surgery, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons at Harlem Hospital Center, New York, NY, United States

Abstract

Transgender surgeries are increasingly performed across the globe and in the United States. Although comprehensive centers exist, which are well equipped to cater and tailor to the needs of this population subset, quite often their resultant complications are handled at a different institution owing to the acuity of the condition. However, interestingly the psychosocial needs, medical pathophysiology, available surgical procedures, and their resultant complications are still not a part of the regular medical curriculum. This translates into inadequate care when physicians from vast majority of institutions that do not routinely perform transgender surgery encounter these patients with complications from gender-affirming surgeries. We present a case of a patient who underwent complex multiple gender-affirming surgeries, presenting to our emergency department with an acute abdomen; this resulted in a diagnostic and management dilemma and review of brief pertinent literature. We recommend that transgender medicine and its basics needs should be exposed to currently practicing physicians by continuing medical education, trainees and medical students alike via incorporation into their curriculum, to decrease health disparities among the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community.

Keywords: Fasciocutaneous flap; Gender-affirming surgery; Neoscrotum; Neourethra; Phalloplasty; Remnant vagina; Scrotocutaneous fistula; Transgender surgery; Urethral stricture; Urethro-vaginal fistula

1. Introduction

There are about 9000 transgender surgeries being performed annually across the United States (US). The latest statistics indicate that 0.6% of the US population identifies as transgender.^[1] About 10.9% of medical encounters of transsexualism result in gender-affirming surgeries.^[2] There is a steady rise in the number of sex change surgeries being performed annually, with a total 8304 in 2017 to a total of 9576 in 2018, with 2885 of male to female surgeries and 6691 of female to male surgeries.^[3] The reported complications based on the limited available literature are variable, with certain procedures like double flap phalloplasty in transgender men as high as 53%.^[4]

To date, there is scarce literature available on the technical details, postoperative care, and complications of comprehensive sex change surgery, as a direct result of limited dedicated sex change surgery centers around the world and in the US. There is continuous innovation and advancement in this field as well.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CU9.000000000000002

There are only 2 centers with current accreditation for transgender surgical fellowship in the US.^[5]

We report a complex and unusual presentation of a patient that previously underwent sex change surgery, where we dealt with serious diagnostic, management, and ethical dilemma.

2. Case report

A 28-year-old transgender male presented to the emergency department with complaints of abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and intermittent diarrhea for 5 days; past medical and surgical history was not disclosed. Upon limited examination on patient's discretion, diffuse tenderness and voluntary guarding were noted in the abdomen. Pertinent labs include leukocytosis (27,510/mm³), neutrophilia, elevated creatinine indicative of Stage III acute kidney injury. Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen reported small bowel distension with multiple air fluid levels, possible colitis, pneumatosis, and fluid collection in the pelvis and in the vaginal remnant communicating with the pelvis (Fig. 1). Based on the above presentation, our interpretation reflected acute abdomen requiring exploratory laparoscopy. The patient declined any surgical intervention; hence he was transferred to the Surgical Intensive Care Unit and was aggressively resuscitated. On detailed review with interventional radiologist, we suspected a possible fistula from neourethra to remnant vagina and this further communicated to the pelvic collection. Subsequently, the patient underwent drainage of 500 ml dark colored fluid that grew Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis from the pelvis, a 14F catheter was left in place, the bladder was drained, and a 10F catheter was inserted via the neoscrotum. At this point, a scroto-cutaneous fistula with dark

^{*} Corresponding Author: Vishnu R. Mani, Department of Surgery, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons at Harlem Hospital Center Street, New York, NY 10037 (USA). E-mail address: Mani.montfort@gmail.com (VR. Mani).

Current Urology, (2021) 15, 68-70

Received October 3, 2019; Accepted October 3, 2019.

Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.



Figure 1. Abdominal CT at admission: pelvic abscess (sagittal view). CT = computed tomography.



Figure 2. Abdominal CT: multiple intra-abdominal fluid collections (coronal view). CT=computed tomography.

foul-smelling discharge was noted as well. We were also able to retrieve patient's past surgical history from his primary institution that comprised bilateral mastectomy, hysterectomy, oophorectomy, partial vaginectomy, Ting's phalloplasty with rectus fascia, creation of neourethra and bilateral inner thigh fasciocutaneous flaps for neoscrotum, left gracilis muscle patching anastomosis, and simultaneous glansplasty with split thickness skin grafting from the left thigh. Considering the complex nature of the procedures that he underwent and its resultant complications, the unique multimodal requirements of this patient population, we contacted his primary institution and provider for possible transfer, they, however, advised continued care by us. The patient was managed conservatively and downgraded to the surgical floors.

Consecutively, he developed fever spikes and his overall condition worsened after he manipulated the drains; repeat imaging showed multiple intra-abdominal fluid collections with air pockets (Fig. 2). We followed this with CT-guided drainage of 500 ml of feculent material. The patient was transferred to the surgical intensive care unit and managed there. At this point, the patient continued to refuse exploration laparoscopy. We contacted the primary institution and provider for the second time, and the patient was transferred for further comprehensive management.

3. Discussion

The first ever gender-affirming surgery dates back to 1946 by Sir Harold Gillies, since then there has been minimal progress in this field until recently, especially in the last decade.^[6] The commonly performed procedure for the transition from female-to-male sex includes but is not limited to chest wall reconstruction, hysterectomy, oophorectomy, vaginectomy, metoidioplasty, and phalloplasty among others.^[7] The ultimate goal of any of these procedures is to allow for the creation of an aesthetic genital organ, as well as to allow for their excretory and related functioning. Although complications are related to procedure and specific, the urological complications clearly outweigh the rest.^[8] Rate of stricture and fistula formation are reported to be around 40% by 1 article,^[7] whereas some related recent article state urethral complications scaling between 25% and 75% following masculinizing gender-affirming surgery.^[9,10]

There are few centers in the US and across the globe that perform gender-affirming surgeries. Although these surgeries may be performed by a plastic surgeon or a urologist, the complications, especially urological are usually encountered by the nearest urologist rather than the primary surgeon;^[9] this then translates into care by a surgeon in another facility. Quite often, this can be a complex encounter, as these patients have a complex surgical history and might or might not have psychosocial issues that then significantly impacts care as well, especially in an outside institution. Of note, these patients are unique in that they are at an increased risk for suicide, mental health issues, HIV predisposition, and surgical complications specific and related to the procedures performed. Hence, we feel that these patients are always best managed in their primary institution, which are better equipped to cater and tailor to their needs in the most effective manner.

Our case demonstrates the dilemma encumbered with the management of this patient. This primarily stems from the fact that there is immense lack of knowledge and awareness among practitioners of all medical specialties in the field of transgender surgery. We believe that this is a direct result of this important, pertinent, upcoming field not being included in the routine medical curriculum. We recommend that transgender medicine and its basics needs should be exposed to currently practicing physicians by continuing medical education, trainees and medical students alike via incorporation into their curriculum, to decrease health disparities among the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community.

Acknowledgments

None.

Statement of ethics

The authors vouch for the completeness and veracity of the data and data analysis.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with regard to the content of this report.

Funding source

None.

Author contributions

Vishnu R. Mani and Sebastian C. Valdivieso: Conceived the idea, manuscript preparation, project oversight.

Adel Hanandeh, Aleksandr Kalabin, Alexius Ramcharan, Brian Donaldson: Direct patient care and critical review.

References

- Lane M, Ives GC, Sluiter EC, et al. Trends in gender-affirming surgery in insured patients in the United States. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2018;6(4):e1738.
- [2] Canner JK, Harfouch OM, Kodadek LC, et al. Temporal trends in gender-affirming surgery among transgender patients in the United States. JAMA Surg 2018;153(7):609–616.
- [3] American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Plastic surgery statistics report. American Society of Plastic Surgeons; 2018. Available from: https:// www.plasticsurgery.org/news/plastic-surgery-statistics. Accessed August 31, 2019.
- [4] van der Sluis WB, Smit JM, Pigot GLS, et al. Double flap phalloplasty in transgender men: surgical technique and outcome of pedicled anterolateral thigh flap phalloplasty combined with radial forearm free flap urethral reconstruction. *Microsurgery* 2017;37(8):917–923.
- [5] American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Plastic surgery fellowship and training directory. Available from: https://www1.plasticsurgery.org/ globaltraining/. Accessed August 31, 2019.
- [6] Nair R, Sriprasad S. Sir Harold Gillies: Pioneer of phalloplasty and the birth of uroplastic surgery. J Urol 2010;183(suppl 4):e437.
- [7] Berli JU, Knudson G, Fraser L, et al. What surgeons need to know about gender confirmation surgery when providing care for transgender individuals: a review. JAMA Surg 2017;152(4):394–400.
- [8] Combaz N, Kuhn A. Long-term urogynecological complications after sex reassignment surgery in transsexual patients: a retrospective study of 44 patients and diagnostic algorithm proposal. Am J Urol Res 2017; 2:38–43.
- [9] Dy GW, Granieri MA, Fu BC, et al. Presenting complications to a reconstructive urologist after masculinizing genital reconstructive surgery. Urology 2019;132:202–206.
- [10] Bettocchi C, Ralph DJ, Pryor JP. Pedicled pubic phalloplasty in females with gender dysphoria. BJU Int 2005;95(1):120–124.

How to cite this article: Mani VR, Valdivieso SC, Hanandeh A, Kalabin A, Ramcharan A, Donaldson B. Transgender surgery – Knowledge gap among physicians impacting patient care. *Curr Urol.* 2021;15(1):68–70. doi: 10.1097/CU9.00000000000002