Korean J Parasitol Vol. 51, No. 3: 327-334, June 2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2013.51.3.327

Screening and Molecular Cloning of a Protective Antigen from the Midgut of *Haemaphysalis longicornis*

Yonghong Hu¹, Jincheng Zhang², Shujie Yang¹, Hui Wang¹, Hua Zeng¹, Tiantian Zhang¹ and Jingze Liu^{1,*}

¹Key Laboratory of Animal Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Hebei Province, College of Life Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China; ²Shijiazhuang Post and Telecommunication Technical College, Shijiazhuang 050021, China

Abstract: Vaccination is considered a promising alternative for controlling tick infestations. *Haemaphysalis longicornis* midgut proteins separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane were screened for protective value against bites. The western blot demonstrated the immunogenicity of 92 kDa protein (P92). The analysis of the P92 amino acid sequence by LC-MS/MS indicated that it was a *H. longicornis* paramyosin (HI-Pmy). The full lenghth cDNA of HI-Pmy was obtained by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) which consisted of 2,783 bp with a 161 bp 3[°] untranslated region. Sequence alignment of tick paramyosin (Pmy) showed that HI-Pmy shared a high level of conservation among ticks. Comparison with the protective epitope sequence of other invertebrate Pmy, it was calculated that the protective epitope of HI-Pmy was a peptide (LEEAEGSSETVVEMNKKRDTE) named LEE, which was close to the N-terminal of HI-Pmy protein. The secondary structure analysis suggested that LEE had non-helical segments within an α -helical structure. These results provide the basis for developing a vaccine against biting *H. longicornis* ticks.

Key words: Haemaphysalis longicomis, protective antigen, P92, HI-Pmy, epitope

INTRODUCTION

Ticks are obligate hematophagous ectoparasites and transmit many pathogens, affecting human and animal health [1]. Tick control is dependent on the application of acaricides. Because ticks have developed resistance to acaricides where they have been used extensively, and application contributes to environmental contamination and exposure of non-target organisms [2,3], alternative tick control measures are needed. In the early 1990s, it was shown that the Bm86 gut antigen of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus could induce immunological protection to hosts, protecting them against tick infestations [4]. Subsequently, 2 vaccines (Gavac and TickGARD) based on the recombinant R. microplus Bm86 gut antigen were verified to be effective in field trials and registered in Latin American countries and Australia [5,6]. Vaccination against R. microplus infestations is considered as an efficient alternative for tick control, while concurrently reducing the use of acaricides [7,8].

© 2013, Korean Society for Parasitology and Tropical Medicine This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. *Haemaphysalis longicornis* is widely distributed in China, New Zealand, Korea, Japan, and Australia [9], and is a vector of zoonotic pathogens, including *Theileria sergenti, Babesia ovata,* and *Rickettsia japonica* [10,11], that impact negatively on human and animal health. More recently in 2009, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) was reported in Hubei and Henan provinces of China. Later a novel bunyavirus was isolated from patients, and *H. longicornis* may be a candidate vector [12]. While several vaccine candidates, such as extracellular matrix protein p29 [7], serine protease inhibitor serpin [13], troponin I-like protein P27/30 [14], and heat shock protein HLHsp70 [15], have been evaluated for control of *H. longicornis*, immunized rabbits showed partial or no protection against biting ticks. Thus, the search for new antigen candidates for the development of an effective vaccine is necessary.

Midgut antigens on the luminal surface are directly exposed to the blood meal and host immune effectors, and are promising targets for the development of effective vaccines [16]. In this study, we screened the 92 kDa protein (P92) by polyclonal antibody from *H. longicornis* midguts and analyzed the amino acid sequences of P92 using the LC-MS/MS. The results showed that the protective antigen may be a paramyosin. By a rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), we cloned the P92 gene and predicted its protective epitope.

Received 23 January 2013, revised 24 April 2013, accepted 25 April 2013.
*Corresponding author (jzliu21@heinfo.net)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tick and tissue collection

H. longicornis were carefully removed from infested sheep in the Xiaowutai National Natural Reserve Area of Hebei Province, China, using forceps, placed in glass tubes, and transported to our laboratory at Hebei Normal University where they were fed on the ears of rabbits. The rabbits were maintained in cages designed for collecting detached ticks at 25-27°C and 50% relative humidity (RH). After detachment, ticks were collected and maintained in cotton-plugged glass tubes filled with 1 folded filter paper in an incubator at $25 \pm 1°C$ and 75% RH.

Midguts of unfed females were dissected in cold 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.2) solution under a microscope using forceps. Dissected midguts were washed 3 times with PBS and placed in 2 ml eppendorf tubes containing 0.5 ml 0.1 M PBS, and stored at -80°C for later analysis.

Generation of rabbit anti-tick midgut serum

Polyclonal antibodies against midguts were generated in adult male New Zealand white rabbits purchased from the Hebei Laboratory Animal Center (Shijiazhuang, China). The rabbits were initially injected with 360 µg midgut extract emulsified in an equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant. Two additional injections were given every 2 weeks with 360 µg antigen emulsified with an equal volume of Freund's incomplete adjuvant. One week after the third injection, blood was collected from the carotid artery of rabbits and serum was assayed to determine antibody titers through indirect ELISA.

SDS-PAGE and western blot

A total of 80 midguts from unfed female ticks were ground in cold 0.1 M PBS solution using a homogenizer, placed in 2 ml eppendorf tubes, and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. A total of 30 µg protein per lane were separated by 14% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PV-DF) membrane. Subsequently, the membrane was cut into 3 strips and the protein marker strip was dyed by amido black. The other 2 strips of midgut proteins were blocked for 2 hr in PBS-Tween-20 (PBST) containing 5% fat-free milk, then incubated in rabbit negative serum and rabbit anti-tick midgut serum (1:8) overnight at room temperature, respectively. The strips were then washed 3 times with PBST and incubated with diluted peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000) for 1.5 hr. Positive signals of coloration were detected using 3,3-diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide.

LC-MS/MS analysis

To analyze the P92 amino acid sequence, a 15 µg midgut protein from unfed female ticks was electrophoresed on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and then stained with Commassie blue. Based on the molecular weight marker, the 92 kDa protein band was cut and placed into 2 ml eppendorf tubes. This was followed by reduction with DL-dithiothreitol (DTT), alkylation with iodoacetamide, and digestion with trypsin. Subsequently, samples were separated on a C18 reverse phase column (100 µm ID×15 cm length, 5 µm particle size, 300 Å pore size) (BioBasic, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, Illinois, USA) at a flow rate of 400 nl/min. Peptides were eluted using a linear acetonitrile gradient (0-80%) solvent B (solvent A: 100% H₂O + 0.1% formic acid; solvent B: 100% acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid) for 60 min using a nano LC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Eluted peptides were directly electrospraved (from an uncoated 15 µm-inner diameter-spraving needle) into an LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a nano-ESI emitter with a 2.0 kV electrospray voltage, and mass spectrometer capillary transfer temperature was set at 200°C. LC-MS/MS data were acquired in a data-dependent acquisition controlled by Xcalibur 2.0 software. Full MS scans in the m/z range of 400-2,000 were followed by 10 data dependent MS/MS scans acquired in the linear ion trap by collision induced dissociation (CID) with 35% normalized collision energy. Proteins were identified using SEQUEST in Bioworks 3.3.1 software package against tick protein databases (July 22, 2012) in NCBI.

RNA preparation

Total RNA was extracted from the midguts using an RNA purification kit (Axygen, Union City, California, USA) according to the manufacture's instructions. After determining the concentrations of RNA samples by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm, the samples were stored at -80°C for later analysis. Quality of the total RNA was analyzed by agarose (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) gel electrophoresis.

Amplification and sequencing of cDNA fragments

To sequence the *H. longicornis* paramyosin (Hl-Pmy) cDNA fragments, 2 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using a cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoScript RT-PCR system, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. The forward primer Para-1 and reverse primer Anti-1 (Sangon, Shanghai, China) were designed based on the paramyosin sequence of *R. microplus* (GenBank accession no. AF47 9582) from the Shanghai Sangon Company (Table 1). Amplification of HI-Pmy cDNA fragments was performed by PCR at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 50 sec, 55°C for 50 sec, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension for 10 min at 72°C.

PCR products were purified using the agarose gel extraction kit (Axygen), ligated into the pMD19-T cloning vector (Takara, Ohtsu, Japan), and transformed into DH5 α competent cells. Positive clones were selected for PCR under the above cited conditions and 3 independent positive clones verified by PCR were sequenced by Takara Company to confirm that no mutation or error had occurred.

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends

Total RNA of the midguts was used as the template to synthesize the first strand cDNA using an oligo dT-adaptor primer adaptor (Table 1). Reverse-transcribed products were used as a template to amplify the 3' end of the HI-Pmy cDNA using FPpara2 and AP (Sangon, Shanghai, China) primers (Table 1). PCR cycling conditions were 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 2 min, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. The obtained PCR products were subcloned into the pMD19-T vector (Takara) for sequencing.

HBS1 and HBX1 were used as primers to amplify the 5' end of Hl-Pmy cDNA (Table 1). The reaction parameters were as follows: 3 min denaturation at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 62°C for 1 min, 72°C for 3 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The obtained PCR products were subcloned into the pMD19-T vector (Takara) for sequencing.

Sequencing analysis and protective epitope prediction

Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the DNAMAN software. Protein sequences of paramyosin in ticks and the

ſab	le '	1. Pi	rimer	pairs	used	for	HI-F	pmy	cDNA	amp	lificatic	n b	уF	ЪС	R
-----	------	--------------	-------	-------	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	-----------	-----	----	----	---

Category	Primer name	Sequence ^a
HI-Pmy fragment	Para-1 Anti-1	AGGTGCTCATTATGGACTTG TTGGCTTCCTCCTGCTT
3' RACE	Adaptor AP FP-para2	GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACT(15) GTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC ACGGGATGGAGAGTCAA
5' RACE	HBS1 HBX1	ATGTCTAGCAGGAGGAGCAAGTAT ACTTGAGGTCGATGTTGAGCTTCT

^aThe orientation of the primer is from 5' end to 3' end.

protective epitope (YX1, SP2) of paramyosin found in other invertebrates [17,18] were aligned using the Clustal X, and the output of the graphic file was obtained using the DNAMAN software. Protein secondary structure prediction was done at the website (http://npsa-pbil.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat. pl?page=/NP- SA/npsa_server.html) using the DSC algorithm [19].

RESULTS

Protective antigen detection in the tick midgut by western blot

Reactivities of both rabbit negative serum and rabbit anti-*H. longicornis* midgut serum with midgut proteins were used to screen the protective antigen of the midgut by western blot. Only 92 kDa and 66 kDa protein bands were recognized by rabbit anti-*H. longicornis* midgut serum, while the rabbit negative serum did not react with the female tick midgut proteins (Fig. 1). These data suggest that the 92 kDa and 66 kDa proteins had immunogenic properties that might be useful in vaccine development.

LC-MS/MS analysis

The 92 kDa protein (P92) was excised from Commassie-







Fig. 2. SDS-PAGE analysis of midgut antigens of *H. longicornis*. M, molecular weight marker; Lane 1, midgut antigens of *H. longicornis*. The 92kDa protein (arrow) was cut for LC-MS/MS analysis.

stained SDS-PAGE gel (Fig. 2) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The results showed that the amino acid sequence of 12 peptides derived from P92 matched the paramyosin (Pmy) amino acid sequence of *R. microplus* (Table 2). These results showed that the P92 may be the *H. longicornis* paramyosin (Hl-Pmy).

Sequencing and characterization of a cDNA encoding HI-Pmy

The HI-Pmy cDNA sequence was obtained by 3' and 5' RACE using the primers shown in Table 1 and GenBank accession no. is JQ517315. The full-length of the HI-Pmy cDNA consisted of 2,783 bp with a 161 bp 3'-untranslated region, and included a polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) and a poly (A) tail (Fig. 3). The open-reading frames (ORF) were 2,622 bp, which encoded for 873 amino acid residues.

Sequences alignment and protective epitope prediction

Only 2 tick Pmy sequences were obtained from sequence data in NCBI, including *R. microplus* (GenBank no. AAO20875) and *Ixodes scapularis* (GenBank no. XP_002407289). The comparison results showed that the amino acid sequence of Hl-Pmy (GenBank no. AFR32950) shared 97% identity and 99% similarity with *R. microplus* Pmy, and 94% identity and 97%

Table 2. Peptides of P92 identified by LC-MS/MS from *H. longicornis* midguts

Peptide Sequence	MH+
STKDILVEEQER	1446.7434
AKELLLQTEEDHK	1553.8169
VSLDNVNHLK	1138.6214
LEEVEANALAGGKR	1456.7754
RLENERDELAAAYK	1677.8554
RCQGLQAELDEQR	1602.7653
QLQQCADQLAISQR	1658.8279
NKLESELSALQADYDELHK	2203.0877
ISEYEEQLEALLTR	1693.8643
FQAEVYELLAQVENTNK	1996.0022
VNELTTINVNIAAAK	1570.8799
SSGGAGDISIEYGTDLGALTR	2039.9880

similarity with I. scapularis Pmy (Fig. 4).

Amino acid sequences of Hl-Pmy were compared with Pmy epitope YX1 (EEAEGTTDAQIDANRKRESE) of *Trichinella spiralis* and SP2 (LDELSGTSS- QTHDAIRRKDME) of *Taenia solium* using the Clustal X (Fig. 4). The results indicated that the protective epitope of Hl-Pmy was close to the N-terminal of Hl-Pmy protein, as the LEE peptide (LEEAEGSSETVVEMNKKR-DTE) (boxed in Figs. 3, 5). The Hl-Pmy secondary structure was predicted by DSC algorithm (Fig. 5) and the LEE peptide was found to have a non-helical segment within an α -helical structure.

DISCUSSION

Tick midgut proteins are considered to be concealed antigens, that have immunomodulatory effects [8], and have been more recently exploited as targets for vaccine development [20,21]. By western blot analysis, 5 midgut membrane antigens from Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum with molecular weight 95, 85, 66, 49, and 42 kDa have been identified [22]. In addition, several candidate proteins, such as Kunitz-type proteinase inhibitor [20], thrombin inhibitor hemalin [23], and a homolog of the Ser/Thr kinase Akt (HlAkt) [24], have been reported as protective antigens from H. longicornis. Their molecular weights were 12, 20, and 60 kDa, respectively. In this study, 2 proteins (92 and 66 kDa) from H. longicornis midguts that demonstrated immunogenicity were identified (Fig. 1). The results of LC-MS/MS showed that the 12 peptide amino acid sequences of antigen P92 matched with the Pmy of R. microplus (Table 2), suggesting that P92 is the Pmy observed in H. longicornis.

1	$\frac{\texttt{ATGTCTAGCAGGAGCAGCAGCAGTACATGTACAAGAGGCCCCGGAGGCGCTGGAGGAGTATCTCC}{\texttt{M} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{Y} & \texttt{M} & \texttt{Y} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{D} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{S}$	1441 CAGCGCCTCACGGCCGAGCTCGCCCAGGTGCGCCACGAGTACGAGCGCCGCCGCCGCGCGAGCCGAGCCGAGCCGAGCCGAGCGCCGAGCGCCGAGCGCCGAGCGCCGAGCGCCGAGGCGCCGC
61 21	ATCGAATATGGCACCGACCTCGGCGCGCGCCTCACCAGGCTTGAGGACAAGCTCCGCCTGCTT I E Y G T D L G A L T R L E D K L R L L	1501 AAGGACGAGGAGATCGAGGCACTCCGCAAGCAGTACCAGCTGGAGGAGGAGGAGCAGCCAAG 501 K D E E I E A L R K Q Y Q L E V E Q L N
121 41	$ \begin{array}{cccc} CARGAGGACCTCGAGTCTGAACGGGAGCTCGAGCGCGAGAAGTCGGACCGAGCGAG$	1561 ATGCGCCTGGCCGAAGCCGAGGCCAAGCTCAAGACGGAGATTGCGCGTCTCAAGAAGAA 521 M R L A E A E A K L K T E I A R L K K K
181 61	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{CTGACGGTGCAGCTGATGCAGCTGAGCGATCGGCTGGAGGGCCCCAGGGGCCCCCCGGAG}\\ \texttt{L} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{Q} \ \texttt{L} \ \texttt{M} \ \texttt{Q} \ \texttt{L} \ \texttt{S} \ \texttt{D} \ \texttt{R} \ \underline{\texttt{L} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{G} \ \texttt{S} \ \texttt{S} \ \underline{\texttt{E}} \end{array}$	1621 TACCAGGCCCCAGATCACGGAGCTGGAGATGTCCCTGGACGCCGCCAACAAGCAGAACCTC 541 Y Q A Q I T E L E M S L D A A N K Q N L
241 81	ACCGTGGTCGAGATGAACAAGAAGAGCGCGACACGGAGCTGTCCAAGCTGCGCAAGCTGCTC TVVEMNKKRDTELSKLRKLL	1681 GACCTCCAGAAGATCATCAAGAAGCAGGCCGTCCAGATCACCGAGTTGCAGGCCCACTAG 561 D L Q K I I K K Q A V Q I T E L Q A H Y
301 101	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1741 GACGARATTCACCGCCAGCTGCAGCAGTGCGCCGACCAGCTGGCCATTTCCCAGCGCCGG 581 D E I H R Q L Q Q C A D Q L A I S Q R R
361 121	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \texttt{GCCATCGCCGAGTTCCAGGAGCAGCCGGACGTCATGACCAAGGCTAAGAGCAAGGCTGAG} \\ \texttt{A} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{F} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{D} & \texttt{V} & \texttt{M} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{E} \end{array} $	1901 TECCAGGGACTCCAGGCCGAGCTGGACGAACAGCGCGTGGCTCTCGAATCCGCTCTGGGGGGGG
421 141	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \texttt{AAGGAAAGGCAGAAGTTCCAGGCCGAGGTCTACGAACTCCTCGCTCAAGTCGAGAACACGG \\ \texttt{K} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{F} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{V} & \texttt{Y} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{V} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{N} & \texttt{T} \end{array} $	1861 TCCAAGCGCGCCCCGAGCAGCACCCCTGGAGGAGCGCGCGC
481 161	$\begin{array}{cccc} \texttt{AACAAGGAGAAGATCACGATCCAGAAGACTGTGGAGAAGTTGGAGCACCACCGTGTACGAG}\\ \texttt{N} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{V} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{H} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{V} & \texttt{Y} & \texttt{E} \end{array}$	1921 ACCATCAACGTCAACATTGCTGCTGCCAAGARAAGCTGGAAAGCGAGCTGTCTGCCCTI 641 T I N V N I A A A K N K L E S E L S A L
541 181	$ \begin{array}{c} CTGARCATCCGCATCGAGGAACTGAACCGCACCGTCACCGAGGTGACGGCCCAGAGGAACTGAACCGCCCGTCACCGAGGTGACGGCCCAGAGGAACTGAACCGCACCGTCACCGAGGTGACGGCCCAGAGGAACTGAACCGCACCGACCG$	1981 CAGGCCGACTACGAGCGGGCGCACGAGGGGGGGGGGGGG
601 201	$ \begin{smallmatrix} CGCCTGAGGGCGGAGAACGCCGAGAACGCCGAGGAGCGCACGAACGCAGGGGGCGCGAGACGCCGAGAACGCCGAGTACCCCCAAGGGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCACAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCACAGGCGCGCACGAACCACGAACCCAAGGGCGCCGAACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCCAAGGGCGCGCACGAACCACGAACCCACAAGGCGCGCACGAACCACAAGGCGCGCACGAACCACAAGACGCCGAACCACAAGGACGCCGAACGACG$	2041 ACCATTGTGGAGCTCAAGAGCACCAAGGACATCCTGGTGGAGGAACAGGAGCGTTACAT 681 T I V E L K S T K D I L V E E Q E R Y I
661 221	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2101 AAGGTTGAGTCCATCAGAAATCCCTCGAGATCGAAGTCCGCAACCTTCAGGTCCGCCT 701 K V E S I K K S L E I E V R N L Q V R L
721 241	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{CTCGAGGACGAGGAACGGAGGACGTCCCTCCAGCCTGGAGGACGACGCTGAAGTC}\\ \texttt{L} & \texttt{D} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{H} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{L} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{V} \end{array}$	2161 GAGGAAGTGGAAGGGAAGGGCGAGGCAAGGGGGTGATGGCAAGGGGGGGG
781 261	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2221 AGGATCCGCGATGTGGAGATTGAGATGGAGGAGGAGAGAAGAAGCGGCACGCCGAGACGCA 741 R I R D V E I E M E E E K K R H A E T Q
841 281	$ \begin{smallmatrix} AGGCAGCTGOTCAAGGCCAACGCCGATGCCGCTGCCTGCCAAGACCCAAGTATGAGACCGAG \\ \mathsf{R & Q & L & V & K & A & N & A & D & A & A & Y & K & T & K & Y & E & T & E \end{smallmatrix} $	2281 AAGATGCTGCGCAAGAAGAACACCGCGCGCAAGGAGCTGCTGCTGCAGACCGAAGAGAGA 761 K M L R K K D H R A K E L L L Q T E E D
901 301		2341 CACAAGACCATCACCATGCTCAACGACGACGACGAGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGTCAAGGACAAGAAG
961 321	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2401 TACAAGCGACAGCTCAATGAACAGGAGGGTCTGAGCCAGCAGAACCTGACCCGTGTGCGC 801 Y K R Q L N E Q E G L S Q Q N L T R V R
1021 341	TCGCGGCTGCAGAGGCGAGGTCGAGGCGCACCGCGAGAGGCCGCACGCGCACGCA	2461 CECTTCCAACECGAACTEGEAGEGEGECCGAGGACCGACEGECGACECEGEGEAGECAACCTC 821 R F Q R E L E A A E D R A D S A E S N L
1081 361	GCGCAGAACCTGGAGAAGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGGGGGAGAGCTCAACATCGACCTCAAGTCC A Q N L E K R V A Q L E K L N I D L K S	2521 TCCCTGATTCGCGCCAAGCACCGCTCCTGGGTGACCACCAGCCAG
1141 381	. A BOSTOGA S GA BOST CATCCASC TO CTO CTO CAGA GA CAGA CAGA CAGA CAGA CAGA CAGA	2581 AGGCAGGTCTTCGTCACCGAAGAGACCAGCCAGAACTTCTAGGCTTAACGACGATCCCGA 861 R Q V F V T E E T S Q N F \star
1201 401	GCCGAGATCCAGAAGCTGCAGCACGAGTACGAGAAGATGCGCGAGCAGAGGGACGCCCTG $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{I} \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{L} \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L}$	2641 GCGACAGCTAGCACCCTGGCCGCCACCACAGCATGCAACGTCGACGACGGCGGCGAAGCC
1261 421	CAGCGCGAGAACAAGAAGCTCGTCGACGACCTTGCCGAGGCCCAAGAACCAGCTTGCCGAT Q R E N K K L V D D L A E A K N Q L A D	2701 TTTACCCGTCGTTCCAGAAAGAGCATAACCGCCATACAATAAACAGAACCCAGGGTTG
1321 441	GCCATCCGCCGGCTGCACGAGATGGAGATGGAGACGAGCGGCGGAC A I R R L H E Y G M E I K R L E N E R D	2761 ТАСССТАТАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛА
1381 461	GAGCTGGCCGCCGCCTACAAGGAGGCCGAGACCCTGCGCAAGCAGGAGGAAGCCAAGTGC E L A A A Y K E A E T L R K Q E E A K C	

Fig. 3. Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid sequences of HI-Pmy. The start codon and polyadenylation signal are underlined respectively; the stop codon is marked with an asterisk. Light black shaded area indicates the peptides identified by LC-MS/MS. The predicted protective epitopes are boxed.

Pmy is a myofibrillar protein with a coiled-coil structure found exclusively in invertebrates [25]. Vertebrates don't have homologous Pmy and antibodies produced by immunization with Pmy can't induce autoimmune responses in vertebrates. Thus, Pmy is a good candidate antigen for developing a vaccine, and has been identified and characterized in a variety of parasites, including Schistosoma japonicum [26], T. solium [27], and Taenia saginata [28]. However, few studies of Pmy have been reported in ticks. Only R. microplus Pmy sequence has been previously reported, which encoded a cDNA fragment with an open reading frame of 873 amino acids [29]. Furthermore, the amino acid sequence of H. longicornis Hl-Pmy demonstrated a high degree of identity to R. microplus Pmy. In addtion, the predicted molecular weight for Hl-Pmy was 92 kDa (Fig. 1), which was similar to the values observed for most Pmy proteins [30]. Sequence and structural analyses showed

that Hl-Pmy is a Pmy protein, and the predicted secondary structure is a coiled-coil shape consistent with the characteristics of Pmy proteins [18].

Multiple alignment showed a high degree of conservation among tick Pmy proteins, including *H. longicornis*, *R. microplus*, and *I. scapularis* (Fig. 4), with similarities greater than 97%. This indicates that Pmy is a conservative protein with little variation among different tick species. Furthermore, the deduced sequence of *R. microplus* Pmy also demonstrated a high similarity with the full length Pmy sequences from other invertebrates, such as *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Brugia malayi*, *Sarcoptes scabiei*, and *Drosophila melanogaster* [29]. This suggests that Pmy is widely distributed and highly conservative among invertebrates. In addition to being a structural protein, Pmy is also an immunomodulatory protein that plays an important role in host-parasite interactions during helminth infections [31]. The

Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	MSSRSSKYNYKSSGGAGDISIEYGTDLGALTRLEDKLRLLQEDLESERELRQRVEREKSDLTVQLNQLSDRLEDAEGSSETVVEMNKKRDT MSARSSKYNYKSSGGAGDISIEYGTDLGALTRLEDKLRLLQEDLESERELRQRIEREKSDLTVQLMQLSDRLEDAEGSSETVVEMNKKRDT MSSRSSKYNYKSSGGAGDISIEYGTDLGALTRLEDKLRLLQEDLESERELRQRIEREKSDLTVQLMQLSDRLEDAEGSSETVVEMNKKRDT BAEGTTDAQIDANKKRSS LDDLSGTSSQTHDAIRRKDM e g e g e	92 92 92 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	LSKLRKLLEDVHLESEETAHHLRKKHQEAIAEFQEQLDVMTKAKSKAEKERQKFQAEVYELLAQVENTNKEKITIQKTVEKLEHTVYELNIR LSKLRKLLEDVHLESEETAHHLRKKHQEAIAEFQEQLDGMTKLKSKAEKERQKFQAEVYELLSQVENSNKEKLTIQKTVEKLEHTVYELNIR LSKLRKLLEDVHLESEETAHHLRKKHQEAVAEMQEQMDLMTKAKSKAEKERQKFQAEVYELLAQVENTNKEKITIQKTVEKLEHTVYELNIR	184 184 184 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	IEELNRTVTEVTAQRTRLSAENAEYLKEVHELKVSLDNVNHLKTQLATQLEDTRRRLEDEERRRSSLESSLHTLEVE IESLKVQLDEESEAR IEELNRTVIEVTAQRTRLSAENAEYLKEVHELKVSLDNVNHLKGQLATQLEDTRRRLEDEERKRASLESSLHTLEVEIESLKVQLDEESEAR IEELNRTVTEVTAQRTRLSAENAEYLKEVHELKVSLDNVNHLKSQLATQLEDTRRRLEDEERKRASLESSNHTLEVEIESLKVQLEEESEAR	276 276 276 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	LEVERQLVKANADAAAYKTKYETEVQAHADEVEELRRKMAQKISEYEEQLEALLTRCSNLEKQKSRLQSEVEVLIMDLEKATAHAQNLEKRV LE	368 352 368 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	AQLEKLNIDLKSKVEELTILLEQSQRELRQKQAEIQKLQHEYEKMREQRDALQRENKKLVDDLAEAKNQLADAIRRLHEYGMEIKRLENERD AQLEKLNIDLKSKVEELTIMLEQSQRELRQKQADLQKLQHEYEKLREQRDALQRENKKLVDDLADAKSQLQDCVPRLHEYEIEIKRLENERD AQLEKLNIDLKSKVEELTILLEQSQRELRQKVAEIQKLQHEYEKMREQRDALQRENKKLVDDLSEAKSQLADAIRRLHEYELEIKRLENERD	460 444 460 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	ELAAAYKEAETLRKQEEAKCQRLTAELAQVRHEYERRLQAKDEE IEALRKQYQLEVEQLNMRLAEAEAKLKTE IARLKKKYQAQITELEMSL ELAAAYKEAETLRKQEEAKCQRLTAELAQVRHEYERRLQAKDEE IEALRKQYQLEVEQLQMRLAEAEAKLKTE IARLKKKYQAQITELEMSL ELAAAYKEAETLRKQEEAKCQRLTAELAQVRHEYERRLQIKEEE IEALRKQYQLEVEQLNMRLAEAEAKLKTE IARIKKKYQAQITELEMSL	552 536 552 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	DAANKQNLDLQKIIKKQAVQITELQAHYDEIHRQLQQCADQLAISQRRCQGLQAELDEQRVALESALRSKRAAECSLEESQVRVNELTTINV DAANKQNLDLQKIIKKQAIQIQELQSHYDEIHRQLQQTADQLAVSQRRCQGLQAELDEQRVALESALRSKRAAECSLEESQVRVNELTTINV DAANKQNMDLQKIIKKQAIQITELQAHYDEVHRQLQQCADQLAISQRRCQGLQAELDEQRVALESALRSKRAAECSLEESQARVNELTTINV	644 628 644 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	NI Å Å Å KNKLESELS Å LOAD Y DELHKELRVVDERCORTIVELKSTKDILVEE OER YIKVESIKKSLE IE VRNLOVRLEEVE AN AL Å GGKRVI Å NI Å Å Å KNKLESELS Å LOAD Y DELHKELRVVDERCORTIVELKSTKDIL IEE OER YIKVESIKKSLE IE VRNLOVRLEEVE AN Å LÅ GGKRVI Å NI Å Å Å KNKLESELS Å LOAD Y DELHKELRVVDERCORTIVELKSTKDILVEE OER YIKVESIKKSLE VEVRNLOVRLEEVE AN Å LÅ GGKRVI Å	736 720 736 20 21
Hl-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	KLEAR IRDVE IEMEEEKKRHAE TQKMLRKKDHRAKELLLQTEEDHKTITMLNDAVEKLNEKVKVYKRQLNEQEGLSQQNLTRVRRFQRELEA KLESR IRDVE IEVEEEKKRHAE TQKILRKKDHRAKELLLQTEEDHKTITMLNDAVEKLNEKVKVYKRQLNEQEGHSQQNLTRVRRFQRELEA KLEAR IRDVE IELEEEKKRHAE TQKILRKKDHRAKELLLQTEEDHKTITMLNDAVEKLNEKVKVYKRQLNEQEGLSQQNLTRVRRFQRELEA	828 812 828 20 21
H1-Pmy I. scapularis R. microplus YX1 SP2 Consensus	AEDRADSAESNLSLIRAKHRSWVTTSQVPGGTRQVFVTEETSQNF AEDRADSAESNLSMIRAKHRSWVTTSQVPGGTRQVFVTEESSQNY AEDRADSAESNLSLIRAKHRSWVTTSQVPGGTRQVFVTEESSQNF	873 857 873 20 21

Fig. 4. Multiple alignment of paramyosin sequences in ticks and protective epitope of paramyosin in other invertebrates. Genbank accession numbers: *Ixodes scapularis*, XP_002407289; *Rhipicephalus microplus*, AAO20875; *Haemaphysalis longicornis* (HI-Pmy), AFR32950. YX1, protective epitope (EEAEGTTDAQIDANRKRESE) of *Trichinella spiralis*; SP2, protective epitope (LDELSGTSSQTHDAIRRKDME) of *Taenia solium*. Dark black shade shows identity and light black shade shows residues conserved in 4/5 sequences.

potential of Pmy proteins as a vaccine candidate against schistosomiasis has been demonstrated [26]. Among ticks, only the recombinant Pmy protein of *R. microplus* has been shown to bind both IgG and collagen [29]. This reflects the potential importance of Pmy proteins in functions related to host immune system evasion. Thus, it is necessary to analyze the function of tick Pmy proteins in immunization studies.

To improve the immune efficiency of Pmy, a monoclonal



Fig. 5. The predicted secondary structure for HI-Pmy by using DSC algorithm. h, alpha helix; c, random coil; e, extended strand. The predicted protective epitope of HI-Pmy are boxed.

antibody 7E2 was used to screen a random phage-displayed peptide library, and it was confirmed that amino acid regions 88-107 of T. spiralis Pmy was the epitope region named YX1 [17]. Studies showed that mice immunized with KLH-conjugated YX1 protected against T. spiralis larval challenge. Gazarian et al. [18] used synthetic peptides to induce rabbit antibody responses for phage-display mapping of epitopes and found that the non-helical segment SP2 of *T. solium* Pmy was a much better antigen than the α -helical segment SP1. By analysis of multiple alignment results (Fig. 4), we speculated that the peptide LEE was the protective epitope for Hl-Pmy. Secondary structure prediction showed a short non-helical segment in the α -helical structure of LEE (Fig. 5). These characteristics were consistent with that of SP2. Our results provide the basis for future studies on immunization with Hl-Pmy or LEE to prevent attachment of H. longicornis tick to target vertebrate hosts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. C2011205104), Project of Education Department of Hebei Province (Grant No. 2011160), and the Foundation of Hebei Normal University (No. L2012Z06 and No. L2008B10).

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahmed J, Alp H, Aksin M, Seitzer U. Current status of ticks in Asia. Parasitol Res 2007; 101: S159-162.
- Riding GA, Jarmey J, Mckenna RV, Pearson R, Cobon GS, Willadsen P. A protective "concealed" antigen from *Boophilus micro*-

plus. Purification, localization, and possible function. J Immunol 1994; 153: 5158-5166.

- Davey RB, George JE, Miller RJ. Comparison of the reproductive biology between acaricide-resistant and acaricide-susceptible *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae). Vet Parasitol 2006; 139: 211-220.
- Willadsen P, Riding GA, Mckenna RV, Kemp DH, Tellam RL, Nielsen JN, Lahnstein J, Cobon GS, Gough JM. Immunologic control of a parasitic arthropod. Identification of a protective antigen from *Boophilus microplus*. J Immunol 1989; 143: 1346-1351.
- De La Fuente J, Rodriguez M, Montero C, Redondo M, Garcia-Garcia JC, Méndez L, Serrano E, Valdés M, Enríquez A, Canales M, Ramos E, Boué O, Machado H, Lleonart R. Vaccination against ticks (*Boophilus* spp.): the experience with the Bm86-based vaccine Gavac. Genet Anal 1999; 15: 143-148.
- Jonsson NN, Matschoss AL, Pepper P, Green PE, Albrecht MS, Hungerford J, Ansell J. Evaluation of tickGARD^{PLUS}, a novel vaccine against *Boophilus microplus*, in lactating Holstein Friesian cows. Vet Parasitol 2000; 88: 275-285.
- Mulenga A, Sugimoto C, Sako Y, Ohashi K, Musoke A, Shubash M, Onuma M. Molecular characterization of a *Haemaphysalis longicornis* tick salivary gland-associated 29-kilodalton protein and its effect as a vaccine against tick infestation in rabbits. Infect Immun 1999; 67: 1652-1658.
- Nuttall PA, Trimnell AR, Kazimirova M, Labuda M. Exposed and concealed antigens as vaccine targets for controlling ticks and tick-borne diseases. Parasite Immunol 2006; 28: 155-163.
- 9. Zheng H, Yu Z, Zhou L, Yang X, Liu J. Seasonal abundance and activity of the hard tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis* (Acari: Ixodidae) in North China. Exp Appl Acarol 2012; 56: 133-141.
- Bai Q, Liu G, Han G. Developing-morphological observations on *Babesia ovata* in engorged females *Haemaphysalis longicornis* and its eggs. Scientia Agricultura Sinica 1996; 29: 89-92.
- Jongejan F, Uilenberg G. The global importance of ticks. Parasitology 2004; 129: S3-S14.

- 12. Yu XJ, Liang MF, Zhang SY, Liu Y, Li JD, Sun YL, Zhang L, Zhang QF, Popov VL, Li C, Qu J, Li Q, Zhang YP, Hai R, Wu W, Wang Q, Zhan FX, Wang XJ, Kan B, Wang SW, Wan KL, Jing HQ, Lu JX, Yin WW, Zhou H, Guan XH, Liu JF, Bi ZQ, Liu GH, Ren J, Wang H, Zhao Z, Song JD, He JR, Wan T, Zhang JS, Fu XP, Sun LN, Dong XP, Feng ZJ, Yang WZ, Hong T, Zhang Y, Walker DH, Wang Y, Li DX. Fever with Thrombocytopenia Associated with a Novel Bunyavirus in China. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 1523-1532.
- Imamura S, da Silva Vaz Junior I, Sugino M, Ohashi K, Onuma M. A serine protease inhibitor (serpin) from *Haemaphysalis longicornis* as an anti-tick vaccine. Vaccine 2005; 23: 1301-1311.
- You MJ. Immunization of mice with recombinant P27/30 protein confers protection against hard tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis* (Acari: Ixodidae) infestation. J Vet Sci 2005; 6: 47-51.
- Tian Z, Liu G, Zhang L, Yin H, Wang H, Xie J, Zhang P, Luo J. Identification of the heat shock protein 70 (HLHsp70) in *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. Vet Parasitol 2011; 181: 282-290.
- Rachinsky A, Guerrero FD, Scoles GA. Proteomic profiling of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* midgut responses to infection with *Babesia bovis*. Vet Parasitol 2008; 152: 294-313.
- 17. Wei J, Gu Y, Yang J, Yang Y, Wang S, Cui S, Zhu X. Identification and characterization of protective epitope of *Trichinella spiralis* paramyosin. Vaccine 2011; 29: 3162-3168.
- 18. Gazarian KG, Solis CF, Gazarian TG, Rowley M, Laclette JP. Synthetic peptide-targeted selection of phage display mimotopes highlights immunogenic features of α-helical vs non-helical epitopes of *Taenia solium* paramyosin: implications for parasite- and host-protective roles of the protein. Peptides 2012; 34: 232-241.
- 19. King RD, Sternberg MJ. Identification and application of the concepts important for accurate and reliable protein secondary structure prediction. Protein Sci 1996; 5: 2298-2310.
- 20. Miyoshi T, Tsuji N, Islam MK, Alim MA, Hatta T, Yamaji K, Anisuzzaman, Fujisaki K. A Kunitz-type proteinase inhibitor from the midgut of the ixodid tick, *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, and its endogenous target serine proteinase. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2010; 170: 112-115.
- Soares TS, Watanabe RM, Tanaka-Azevedo AM, Torquato RJ, Lu S, Figueiredo AC, Pereira PJ, Tanaka AS. Expression and functional characterization of boophilin, a thrombin inhibitor from *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* midgut. Vet Parasitol 2012; 187:

521-528.

- 22. Madani R, Golchinfar F, Dadmehr A, Abdigoudarzi M. Preparation of antigens from midgut of *Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum* and determining their immunoprotective effects. Arch Razi Institute 2009; 63: 47-52.
- 23. Liao M, Zhou J, Gong H, Boldbaatar D, Shirafuji R, Battur B, Nishikawa Y, Fujisaki K. Hemalin, a thrombin inhibitor isolated from a midgut cDNA library from the hard tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. J Insect Physiol 2009; 55: 164-173.
- 24. Umemiya-Shirafuji R, Tanaka T, Boldbaatar D, Tanaka T, Fujisaki K. Akt is an essential player in regulating cell/organ growth at the adult stage in the hard tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2012; 42: 164-173.
- 25. Zhang DM, Pan WQ, Qian L, Duke M, Shen LH, McManus DP. Investigation of recombinant *Schistosoma japonicum* paramyosin fragments for immunogenicity and vaccine efficacy in mice. Parasite Immunol 2006; 28: 77-84.
- Zhou S, Liu S, Song G, Xu Y, Sun W. Protective immunity induced by the full length cDNA encoding paramyosin of Chinese *Schistosoma japonicum*. Vaccine 2000; 18: 3196-3204.
- Vázquez-Talavera J, Solís CF, Terrazas LI, Laclette JP. Characterization and protective potential of the immune response to *Taenia solium* paramyosin in a murine model of cysticercosis. Infect Immun 2001; 69: 5412-5416.
- 28. Ferrer E, Moyano E, Benitez L, González LM, Bryce D, Foster-Cuevas M, Dávila I, Cortéz MM, Harrison LJ, Parkhouse RM, Gárate T. Cloning and characterization of *Taenia saginata* paramyosin cDNA. Parasitol Res 2003; 91: 60-67.
- Ferreira CA, Barbosa MC, Silveira TC, Valenzuela JG, Vaz Ida S Jr, Masuda A. cDNA cloning, expression and characterization of a *Boophilus microplus* paramyosin. Parasitology 2002; 125: 265-274.
- 30. Maroto M, Arredondo JJ, San Román M, Marco R, Cervera M. Analysis of the paramyosin/miniparamyosin gene. Miniparamyosin is an independently transcribed, distinct paramyosin isoform, widely distributed in invertebrates. J Biol Chem 1995; 270: 4375-4382.
- Deng J, Gold D, LoVerde PT, Fishelson Z. Inhibition of the complement membrane attack complex by *Schistosoma mansoni* paramyosin. Infect Immun 2003; 71: 6402-6410.