

# Diagnostic Performance of Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Imaging in Evaluating Liver Fibrosis in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B Infection: A Cross-Sectional Study

Hoang Huu Bui<sup>1,2</sup> Van Huy Vo<sup>1</sup> Chuong Dinh Nguyen<sup>1</sup> Sang The Phan<sup>1</sup> Phong Tien Quach<sup>1</sup> Dung Bich Nguyen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Indian J Radiol Imaging 2022;32:287-293.

Address for correspondence Hoang Huu Bui, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology, University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, 215 Hong Bang Street, District 5, Vietnam (e-mail: hoang.bh@umc.edu.vn).

Chuong Dinh Nguyen, MD, Department of Gastroenterology, University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, 215 Hong Bang Street, District 5, Vietnam (e-mail: chuong.nd1@umc.edu.vn).

## Abstract

**Background** Acoustic radiation force impulse point shear wave elastography (ARFIpSWE), measuring shear-wave velocity (SWV), has been utilized to examine the liver stiffness caused by different etiologies. However, information on its reliability in staging liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients is scarce.

**Purpose** The aim of the study is to examine the diagnostic performance of ARFI-pSWE and determine the optimal SWV cut-off values to predict significant fibrosis ( $F \ge 2$ ) and cirrhosis (F4) in CHB patients.

**Material and Methods** All 114 adult CHB patients visiting the University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam between February 2019 and March 2021 underwent liver stiffness measurement using ARFI-pSWE and FibroScan. SWV results were tested against FibroScan for sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV). The area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve was used to identify the optimal SWV cut-off values.

## **Keywords**

- chronic hepatitis B
- ► liver fibrosis
- ARFI
- point shear wave elastography
- ► FibroScan

<0.001). The optimal SWV cut-off value for detecting significant fibrosis was 1.37 m/s with an AUROC of 0.975, sensitivity of 83.3%, specificity of 100%, PPV of 100%, and NPV of 81%. The optimal cut-off value for predicting cirrhosis was 1.70 m/s with an AUROC of 0.986, sensitivity of 97%, specificity of 93%, PPV of 95%, and NPV of 96%.

**Results** There was a strong agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FibroScan (r = 0.92, p

**Conclusion** ARFI-pSWE could be an effective technique for evaluating liver fibrosis in CHB patients. SWV cut-off values of 1.37 and 1.70 m/s could be used to diagnose significant fibrosis and cirrhosis, respectively.

published online August 30, 2022 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0042-1755251. ISSN 0971-3026. © 2022. Indian Radiological Association. All rights reserved. This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

## Introduction

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) infection affects approximately 240 million people worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Human immune response to the virus may result in liver fibrosis and cirrhosis.<sup>1,2</sup> Hence, it has been suggested that liver fibrosis assessment should be performed routinely in patients with CHB infection.<sup>3</sup> Several invasive and non-invasive assessment methods have been used to detect liver fibrosis. Liver biopsy, which is an invasive technique, is considered the gold standard to evaluate liver fibrosis and cirrhosis.<sup>3</sup> However, repeated biopsies, which are required for monitoring the disease course, are impractical because of their invasiveness and potential complications.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, non-invasive assessment methods, such as imaging techniques, have been extensively utilized in chronic viral hepatitis infection.<sup>2</sup> Of these imaging techniques, transient elastography (FibroScan; Echosens, France), which is a rapid and reproducible ultrasound-based technique, has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration as a reference method for liver stiffness assessment in chronic liver diseases.<sup>4</sup> In the updated guidelines of the European Association for the Study of Liver in 2021, FibroScan (FS) remains the most validated non-invasive method in evaluating liver fibrosis.<sup>3</sup>

It is noted that FS is not the only ultrasound-based technique used for liver stiffness measurement but also has several disadvantages. First, it needs specific equipment that performs only elastography without visually determining the site of measurement. Second, the right lobe is the only part of the liver that could be measured. Finally, obesity and ascites could be factors affecting the result of the examination. Meanwhile, point shear wave elastography (pSWE) using acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) could overcome the above disadvantages of TE. It is another effective, noninvasive method that measures the velocity of the shearwave propagation in liver tissue to detect liver fibrosis.<sup>2,5,6</sup> ARFI technique has been integrated into a conventional ultrasound that allows assessing liver morphology at the same time. In addition, ARFI allows the examiner to choose and adjust the depth of the region of interest, and the examination could also be performed in patients with obesity or ascites.<sup>7,8</sup> Several studies conducted on mixed populations of patients with different viral hepatitis, in which individuals with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) infection were predominant, have demonstrated a strong agreement between ARFI-pSWE and liver biopsy in liver fibrosis evaluation.<sup>9–12</sup> In our country, studies evaluating the role of ARFI-pSWE in detecting liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with CHB infection are scarce.<sup>13</sup> The presenting study aimed to examine the agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FS and establish the optimal cut-off values of shear-wave velocity (SWV) in predicting significant fibrosis ( $F \ge 2$ ) and cirrhosis (F4) in CHB patients.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Study Design

A cross-sectional study was conducted between February 2019 and March 2021 at the Liver Clinic, University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. All patients with CHB infection who visited the Liver Clinic during this period were invited to participate in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (Reference No. 71/2019/HD-DHYD) and was performed in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The inclusion criteria included patients aged 18 years or older, diagnosed with CHB infection (hepatitis B surface antigen is positive for >6 months), disregarding undergoing antiviral therapy. The exclusion criteria included patients with ascites, hepatocellular carcinoma, pregnancy, hepatitis B flare, CHC infection, heavy alcohol use (consumption of >3 drinks/d for men and >2drinks/d for women for >5 years),<sup>14</sup> moderate to severe steatohepatitis (Controlled Attenuation Parameter measured by FibroScan S $\geq$ S2),<sup>15</sup> or refusal to participate in the study.

#### Sample Size

According to the study of Kircheis et al, Pse (sensitivity of ARFI in detecting  $F \ge 2$ ) and Psp (specificity of ARFI in diagnosing F4) were 0.91 and 0.97, respectively.<sup>16</sup> Based on the result of Göbel et al, Pdis.F  $\ge 2$  (the percentage of  $F \ge 2$  in CHB patients) and Pdis.F4 (the rate of F4 in CHB patients) were 36 and 18%, respectively.<sup>17</sup> Sample size was calculated using these results of previous workers. Thus, for a two-tailed test, 95% CI and  $\alpha$  error of 5%, sample size calculated for 91% sensitivity and 36% prevalence of  $F \ge 2$  was 88 subjects and for 97% specificity and 18% prevalence of F4 was 14 subjects. We studied 123 subjects.

## Laboratory and Imaging Tests

Participants were asked to undertake blood tests and elastography measurements on the day they agreed to participate in the study. Blood tests included complete blood count, serum creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT). Liver fibrosis assessment involved the use of FS and ARFI-pSWE performed by two qualified physicians. Each designated physician utilized one of the two techniques and was unaware of the remaining physician's examination results. The FS and ARFI protocols were in line with the guidelines of the World Federation for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology.<sup>18</sup>

#### FibroScan

FS was performed using FibroScan Compact 530 (Echosens, Paris, France) with the M-probe (standard probe—transducer frequency 3.5 MHz). An FS session was regarded as successful and liver stiffness measurements were considered reliable when the obtained shots satisfied the following criteria: (1) at least 10 shots obtained in the FS session were valid; (2) the ratio of the number of valid shots to the total number of shots obtained in the session was greater than 60%; and (3) the interquartile range divided by the median FS value was less than 30%.<sup>19</sup> For each session, the median value of the valid

measurements was used as the representative FS result for categorizing liver fibrosis stages and determining the agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FS. Fibrosis stages were categorized based on the METAVIR classification.<sup>20</sup> The FS cut-off values used to categorize fibrosis stages included <7 kPa (F0–1, no-mild liver fibrosis), 7 to <9.5 kPa (F2, moderate liver fibrosis), 9.5 to <11 kPa (F3, severe liver fibrosis),  $\geq 11$  kPa (F4, liver cirrhosis).<sup>1,21,22</sup> Based on FS measurements, patients were classified into three groups including group 1 (patients with F0 or F1), group 2 (patients with F2 or F3), and group 3 (patients with F4).

## Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse

ARFI imaging was performed using ACUSON Juniper Ultrasound System (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with the Virtual Touch Tissue Quantification mode and an abdominal curved transducer. A measurement depth of 2 cm below the liver capsule was standardized for measuring SWV. For each ARFI session, measurement values obtained from each patient were regarded as reliable when there were at least 10 valid measurements and the ratio of the interquartile range value to the median (IQR/M) <30%.<sup>23</sup> The median value of the valid measurements was considered the representative SWV result that was used to identify the agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FS.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using R software (version 3.5.2). Continuous variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, and categorical variables were represented as absolute count and proportion. For examining the association between SWV values and liver fibrosis stages, one way-ANOVA and post-hoc analysis, using the Tukey method were used to determine whether there were significant differences regarding the SWV mean values between three fibrosis stage groups. Assessing the agreement between FS and ARFI-pSWE in diagnosing liver fibrosis was based on inter-rater reliability (Pearson's correlation coefficient and Kappa value). Evaluating the diagnostic performance of ARFI-pSWE and identifying the SWV cut-off values for predicting significant fibrosis ( $F \ge 2$ ) and cirrhosis (F4) were relied on generating the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves as well as calculating the area under the ROC (AUROC), sensitivity (Sens), specificity (Spec), positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV). The optimal cut-off values were computed using the method that maximized the sum of sensitivity and specificity. The significance level was set at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## Results

A total of 123 participants were enrolled in this study, among whom nine patients were excluded due to failure to meet the inclusion criteria (**-Fig. 1**]). Hence, 114 participants with a mean age of  $52 \pm 10$  years were included in the analysis (**-Table 1**). Among these 114 patients, 69 (61%) were males, 97 (85%) received antiviral therapy, 17 (15%) were treatment-naïve CHB individuals, 28 (25%) tested positive for hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), and 44 (39%) had a platelet count lower than



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of study participants.

 Table 1
 Baseline characteristics of 114 study participants

Characteristics	Statistics <sup>a</sup>
Age (years)	$52\pm10$
Male	69 (61)
Receiving antiviral treatment	97 (85)
Treatment-naïve patients with chronic HBV infection	17 (15)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$21.6\pm2.0$
HBeAg positive	28 (25)
AST (U/L)	$\textbf{35.7} \pm \textbf{13.1}$
ALT (U/L)	$29.7 \pm 14.5$
GGT (U/L)	$43.3\pm38.6$
Platelet (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	$169.3\pm68.0$
White blood cell count ( $\times 10^9$ /L)	$6.1\pm2.0$
Hemoglobin (g/L)	$140.4\pm22.2$
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	$0.9\pm0.1$
Fibrosis stages	
FO	17 (15)
F1	25 (22)
F2	28 (25)
F3	13 (11)
F4	31 (27)

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Mean}\pm\mathrm{SD}$  for continuous variable and count (%) for categorical variables.

 $150.0\times109/L$ . The mean AST, ALT, and GGT values were  $35.7\pm13.1$  U/L,  $29.7\pm14.5$  U/L, and  $43.3\pm38.6$  U/L, respectively. The mean platelet count was  $169.3\pm68.0$  ( $\times10^9/L$ ).

## Association between SWV Measurements and Fibrosis Stages

The proportion of patients in fibrosis stage group 1 was 37% (42/114), and those of patients in groups 2 and 3 were 36%

Table 2 Distributions of mean shear-wave velocity (SWV) values by liver fibrosis stages

	Fibrosis stages			
	Group 1 (F0 + F1) (n = 42)	Group 2 (F2 + F3) (n = 41)	Group 3 (F4) (n=31)	
SWV mean $\pm$ SD (m/s)	$1.22\pm0.17$	$1.54\pm0.13$	$2.30\pm0.56$	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup>One-way ANOVA.



**Fig. 2** Distributions of shear-wave velocity (SWV) values by liver fibrosis stages.

(41/114) and 27% (31/114), respectively (**-Table 1**). The mean SWV values were  $1.22 \pm 0.17$  m/s for group 1,  $1.54 \pm 0.13$  m/s for group 2, and  $2.30 \pm 0.56$  m/s for group 3 (**-Table 2**; **-Fig. 2**). There was a significant difference regarding the mean SWV values between these three groups (p < 0.001) (**-Table 2**; **-Table 3**). Since significant fibrosis ( $\geq$ F2) was comprised of groups 2 and 3, the percentage of participants with significant fibrosis was 63%.

# Agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FibroScan in Detecting Liver Stiffness

There was a significant correlation between SWV values and FS results (**\sim Fig. 3**). With a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.88–0.94, p < 0.001), ARFI-pSWE were in strong agreement with FS in evaluating liver fibrosis.

# Cut-off Values of Shear-Wave Velocity for Evaluating Significant Fibrosis and Cirrhosis

The highest AUROC of ARFI-pSWE for diagnosing significant fibrosis was 0.975 (**-Table 4**). From the AUROC, the optimal cut-off value of SWV for predicting significant fibrosis in patients with CHB infection was 1.37 m/s with a Sens of 83.3%, Spec of 100%, PPV of 100%, NPV of 81%, and Kappa-value of 0.863. Similarly, for predicting cirrhosis stage, the optimal SWV cut-off value of 1.70 m/s yielded the highest AUROC of 0.986 with a Sens of 97%, Spec of 93%, PPV of 95%, NPV of 96%, and Kappa value of 0.849.



**Fig. 3** Correlation between shear-wave velocity (SWV) values and FibroScan values (r = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.88–0.94, p < 0.001).

**Table 3** Post-hoc analysis of the mean shear-wave velocity

 (SWV) values of different fibrosis stages

Between groups	Mean difference	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup>
Group 2 to Group 1	0.32	0.16-0.49	<0.001
Group 3 to Group 1	1.08	0.91–1.26	<0.001
Group 3 to Group 2	0.76	0.58-0.94	<0.001

<sup>a</sup>Tukey post-hoc analysis.

## Discussion

In our study, significant fibrosis was grouped into group 2 that was comprised of F2 and F3, and group 3 that was comprised of F4, because moderate fibrosis and cirrhosis have been considered as the two most important landmarks in managing CHB patients.<sup>24,25</sup> The percentage of patients with  $F \ge 2$  in our study was 63%, higher than the rates of significant fibrosis reported in three large systematic review and meta-analysis studies ranging from 49.4 to 61.1%.<sup>26–28</sup> Unlike our study, these reviews included studies conducted on heterogeneous groups of CHB patients in different continents, including Asia, Europe, America, and Africa.<sup>26–28</sup> The dissimilarities in the demographic characteristics of study cohorts may result in the differences in the proportion of

**Table 4** Performance of shear-wave velocity (SWV) quantification in evaluating significant fibrosis and cirrhosis tested against

 FibroScan

	Area under the receiver operating characteristics (AUROC)	Cut-off value (m/s)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive predictive value (%)	Negative predictive value (%)	Карра
Significant fibrosis ( $F \ge 2$ )							
SWV (m/s)	0.975	1.37	83.3	100	100	81	0.863
Cirrhosis (F4)							
SWV (m/s)	0.986	1.70	97	93	95	96	0.849

Table 5 Performance of shear-wave velocity (SWV) quantification in assessing significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in literature

Authors	Study popula- tion	Standard of liver fibrosis assessment	F ≥2	F4
Liem et al (2012) <sup>13</sup>	CHB, CHC patients, healthy controls	FibroScan	Cut-off: 1.25 m/s Sens: 83.7% Spec: 87.3%	
Kircheis et al (2012) <sup>16</sup>	Chronic hepati- tis patients, healthy controls	FibroScan	Cut-off: 1.29 m/s Sens: 91.4% Spec: 92.6%	Cut-off: 1.6 m/s Sens: 92.3% Spec: 96.5%
Ye et al (2012) <sup>31</sup>	CHB patients	Liver biopsy		Cut-off: 1.88 m/s Sens: 95.7% Spec: 91.8%
Friedrich- Rust et al (2013) <sup>30</sup>	Chronic hepati- tis patients	Liver biopsy	Cut-off: 1.39 m/s Sens: 50% Spec: 90%	

patients with significant fibrosis between our study and the three reviews.

Regarding the association between SWV results and fibrosis stages, we found that the mean SWV values increased significantly in response to the increase in the severity of liver fibrosis. Among the three liver fibrosis groups, patients without or with mild fibrosis had the lowest mean SWV value  $(1.22 \pm 0.17 \text{ m/s})$ . The highest mean value was  $2.30 \pm 0.56$  m/s and was observed in cirrhosis patients. The association between SWV values and liver fibrosis stages has been reported in several studies, even though they examined liver fibrosis caused by etiologies rather than CHB.<sup>7,11,16,29</sup> Our study also revealed that SWV values strongly agreed with FS measurements (r = 0.92). Despite exclusively focusing on CHB patients, our finding is consistent with studies that enrolled patients with chronic liver disease caused by different etiologies.<sup>13,16</sup> The agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FS from our study indicates that ARFI-pSWE may be an alternative to FS in evaluating liver fibrosis in CHB patients.

The optimal SWV cut-off value for predicting significant fibrosis ( $F \ge 2$ ) in our study (1.37 m/s) is higher than those reported by Kircheis et al (1.29 m/s) and Friedrich-Rust (1.39 m/s).<sup>16,30</sup> Meanwhile, our optimal SWV cut-off value for detecting cirrhosis (1.70 m/s) is higher than that reported by Kircheis et al (1.6 m/s) but smaller than the cut-off recommended by Ye et al (1.88 m/s) (**-Table 5**).<sup>16,31</sup> The exclusive inclusion of CHB patients in our study might make our cut-off values inconsistent with those reported by stud-

ies that included patients with chronic liver disease caused by different etiologies.<sup>16</sup> Indeed, it has been documented that, in the same fibrosis stage, CHB patients had a mean SWV value significantly lower than that of CHC patients.<sup>32</sup> Besides, the dissimilarity in SWV cut-off values may be attributable to the references in the reference methods used to distinguish liver fibrosis stages. Our study used FibroScan, while Friedrich-Rust et al utilized liver biopsy to diagnose liver fibrosis and Ye et al relied on a combination of upper endoscopy and liver biopsy to diagnose cirrhosis.<sup>30,31</sup> Despite the inconsistency in the cut-off values, our finding of the high accuracy of ARFI-pSWE in evaluating liver fibrosis is in accordance with other studies.<sup>5,13,16</sup> Our Kappa values of 0.863 for diagnosing significant fibrosis and 0.849 for detecting cirrhosis confirmed a high degree of agreement between ARFI-pSWE and FS. The high diagnostic accuracy of ARFI-pSWE indicates that this technique may be a reliable and effective diagnostic method that could be used as a substitute for FS to differentiate liver fibrosis stages.

Our study has some limitations. Since our study only included CHB patients, the study findings may not be generalizable to patients with chronic liver diseases caused by other etiologies. Hence, future research is needed to replicate this study in populations with chronic liver diseases caused by other etiologies. Nevertheless, it has been documented that there are differences in SWV values between CHB patients and CHC patients that have the same fibrosis stage.<sup>32</sup> Due to excluding patients with CHC infection, the association between SWV values and fibrosis stages demonstrated in our study is reliable and specific to CHB. In addition, since most of our participants (85%) received antiviral treatment, our findings also shed light on the use of ARFI-pSWE in evaluating fibrosis stages in CHB patients receiving antiviral therapies.

In conclusion, ARFI-pSWE strongly agreed with FibroScan in detecting liver fibrosis in CHB patients. ARFI-pSWE can be a reliable alternative to assess liver fibrosis in CHB patients, regardless of whether patients have received antiviral treatment. The SWV cut-off values of 1.37 and 1.70 m/s are suggested to diagnose significant fibrosis and liver cirrhosis, respectively. Future studies are needed to evaluate the role of ARFI-pSWE in monitoring fibrosis improvement in response to antiviral treatment among patients with CHB.

### Authors' Contributions

The trial was designed by H.H.B., together with V.H.V., S.T. P., P.T.Q. B.D.N. collected the data. C.D.N. analyzed the data. H.H.B., V.H.V., and C.D.N. wrote the manuscript. H.H.B., C. D.N., S.T.P., P.T.Q., and B.D.N. revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Presentation at a Meeting

This study was presented as an e-poster in Singapore Hepatology Conference 2021, October 11 to 15<sup>th</sup>.

## **Research Ethics and Patient Consent**

Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (Reference No. 71/2019/HĐ-DHYD) and was performed in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding None.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

### Acknowledgment

The authors especially thank Siemens Healthineers for supporting them with the ACUSON Juniper machine and Arati Gurung for assistance in data analysis and manuscript revision.

#### References

- 1 Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. Hepatol Int 2016;10(01):1–98
- 2 Agbim U, Asrani SK. Non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis and prognosis: an update on serum and elastography markers. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;13(04):361–374
- 3 Berzigotti A, Tsochatzis E, Boursier J, et al; European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu Clinical Practice Guideline Panel Chair EASL Governing Board representative Panel members. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease

severity and prognosis—2021 update. J Hepatol 2021;75(03): 659–689

- 4 Tapper EB, Castera L, Afdhal NH. FibroScan (vibration-controlled transient elastography): where does it stand in the United States practice. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13(01):27–36
- 5 Hu X, Qiu L, Liu D, Qian L. Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI) Elastography for non-invasive evaluation of hepatic fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B and C patients: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Med Ultrason 2017;19(01):23–31
- 6 Alem SA, Abdellatif Z, Mabrouk M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of acoustic radiation force impulse elastography (ARFI) in comparison to other non-invasive modalities in staging of liver fibrosis in chronic HCV patients: single-center experience. Abdom Radiol (NY) 2019;44(08):2751–2758
- 7 Bâldea V, Sporea I, Tudor A, Popescu A, Bende F, Èirli R. Virtual touch quantification using acoustic radiation force impulse imaging technology versus transient elastography for the noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B or C using liver biopsy as the gold standard. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2020;29(02):181–190
- 8 Robinson A, Wong RJ. Applications and limitations of noninvasive methods for evaluating hepatic fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken) 2020;15(04): 157–161
- 9 Kohla MAS, Abbasy M, Abozeid M, et al. Assessment of liver fibrosis with acoustic radiation force impulse imaging versus liver histology in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a pilot study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;29(08):951–955
- 10 Jain V, Dixit R, Chowdhury V, Puri AS, Gondal R. Can acoustic radiation force impulse elastography be a substitute for liver biopsy in predicting liver fibrosis? Clin Radiol 2016;71(09): 869–875
- 11 Sporea I, Sirli R, Bota S, et al. Is ARFI elastography reliable for predicting fibrosis severity in chronic HCV hepatitis? World J Radiol 2011;3(07):188–193
- 12 Haque M, Robinson C, Owen D, Yoshida EM, Harris A. Comparison of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI) to liver biopsy histologic scores in the evaluation of chronic liver disease: a pilot study. Ann Hepatol 2010;9(03):289–293
- 13 Liem LT, Linh HB, Thong NL, et al. Noninvasive liver fibrosis evaluation by Acuson S2000 and Fibroscan on 554 cases: comparison ARFI to Transient Elastography. Poster presented at: Asian Ocenian Congress of Radiology; 2012 August 30 - September 2; Sydney, Australia
- 14 Singal AK, Bataller R, Ahn J, Kamath PS, Shah VH. ACG clinical guideline: alcoholic liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2018;113 (02):175–194
- 15 Sansom SE, Martin J, Adeyemi O, et al. Steatosis rates by liver biopsy and transient elastography with controlled attenuation parameter in clinical experience of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and human immunodeficiency virus/HCV coinfection in a large US hepatitis clinic. Open Forum Infect Dis 2019;6(04):ofz099
- 16 Kircheis G, Sagir A, Vogt C, Vom Dahl S, Kubitz R, Häussinger D. Evaluation of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging for determination of liver stiffness using transient elastography as a reference. World J Gastroenterol 2012;18(10):1077–1084
- 17 Göbel T, Erhardt A, Herwig M, et al. High prevalence of significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B patients with normal ALT in central Europe. J Med Virol 2011;83(06):968–973
- 18 Ferraioli G, Filice C, Castera L, et al. WFUMB guidelines and recommendations for clinical use of ultrasound elastography: part 3: liver. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015;41(05):1161–1179
- 19 European Association for Study of Liver Asociacion Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Higado. EASL-ALEH clinical practice guidelines: non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. J Hepatol 2015;63(01):237–264
- 20 Li C, Li R, Zhang W. Progress in non-invasive detection of liver fibrosis. Cancer Biol Med 2018;15(02):124–136

- 21 The Ministry of Health of Vietnam. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of hepatitis B. 2019
- 22 Graf C, Mondorf A, Knop V, et al. Evaluation of point shear wave elastography using acoustic radiation force impulse imaging for longitudinal fibrosis assessment in patients with HBeAg-negative HBV infection. J Clin Med 2019;8(12):2101
- 23 Frulio N, Trillaud H, Perez P, et al. Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) and transient elastography (TE) for evaluation of liver fibrosis in HIV-HCV co-infected patients. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14:405
- 24 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2017;67(02):370–398
- 25 Terrault NA, Lok ASF, McMahon BJ, et al. Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance. Hepatology 2018;67(04):1560–1599
- 26 Lian MJ, Zhang JQ, Chen SD, Zhang DD, Yang YY, Hong GL. Diagnostic accuracy of γ-glutamyl transpeptidase-to-platelet ratio for predicting hepatitis B-related fibrosis: a meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;31(05):599–606
- 27 Xiao G, Yang J, Yan L. Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index and fibrosis-4

index for detecting liver fibrosis in adult patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Hepatology 2015;61(01):292–302

- 28 Xu XY, Wang WS, Zhang QM, et al. Performance of common imaging techniques vs serum biomarkers in assessing fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B: A systematic review and metaanalysis. World J Clin Cases 2019;7(15):2022–2037
- 29 Tachi Y, Hirai T, Kojima Y, et al. Liver stiffness measurement using acoustic radiation force impulse elastography in hepatitis C virusinfected patients with a sustained virological response. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;44(04):346–355
- 30 Friedrich-Rust M, Buggisch P, de Knegt RJ, et al. Acoustic radiation force impulse imaging for non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat 2013;20(04):240–247
- 31 Ye XP, Ran HT, Cheng J, et al. Liver and spleen stiffness measured by acoustic radiation force impulse elastography for noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis and esophageal varices in patients with chronic hepatitis B. J Ultrasound Med 2012;31(08): 1245–1253
- 32 Nishimura T, Iijima H, Nishikawa H, et al. Liver fibrosis markers as assessed by ultrasound elastography and serum samples: A large comparative study in hepatitis virus B and C liver diseases. Hepatol Res 2019;49(07):721–730