

## EDITORIAL COMMENT

# Remote Ischemic Conditioning for Anthracycline Cardiotoxicity

## The Need to Protect the Most Vulnerable\*

Borja Ibáñez, MD, PhD,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Maria Gomes-Silva, MD<sup>d</sup>



The wide implementation of new and conventional anticancer therapies, increasingly as combination therapy, is significantly increasing the population of cancer survivors. However, this population is especially vulnerable to cardiovascular disease. In fact, noncancer deaths become increasingly important in oncology patients, particularly after surviving the first years post-diagnosis, with the main cause of death cardiovascular in specific cancer- and age- subgroups.<sup>1</sup> Cancer therapies may also be an important contributor to long-term cardiovascular complications.

Among the many anticancer therapies, anthracyclines remain one of the most commonly used<sup>2</sup> and form an essential component of curative regimens for many cancers, including breast cancer, sarcoma, acute leukemias, and lymphomas.<sup>3</sup> Appropriate dosing may determine the curative potential of these regimens.<sup>4</sup> One of the well-known side effects of anthracyclines is heart failure (secondary to direct cardiotoxicity of these agents). There is a clear association between the cumulative dose of anthracyclines and future development of cardiac dysfunction and heart failure. Although the mechanisms of anthracycline-cardiotoxicity are not completely understood,

mitochondrial damage,<sup>5</sup> damage to the cardiac micro-circulation,<sup>6</sup> and DNA damage<sup>7</sup> have been implicated.

The issue of anthracycline cardiotoxicity is of particular concern in pediatric and elderly patients, given the impact of age extremes on the risk of cardiac dysfunction after anthracycline exposure. For instance, the growing population of long-term survivors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the most common pediatric cancer, still experience late mortality and morbidity. Significant cardiovascular conditions, including heart failure, are increased in this setting, compromising duration and quality of life after cancer cure.<sup>8</sup> In adults, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma accounts for the majority of non-Hodgkin lymphomas and increases in incidence with age. The disease is curable in most patients if conventional, anthracycline-containing regimens (R-CHOP [rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin hydrochloride] or similar) can be administered,<sup>9</sup> but may be especially difficult to treat in the elderly, where concerns for cardiovascular toxicity negatively impact the prescription of effective regimens.<sup>10</sup> Given the current prolonged life expectancy of these malignancies,<sup>11</sup> and the increasing therapeutic options at relapse, preservation of an adequate cardiac function is crucial to allow cancer therapies to be delivered safely.

The search for an intervention that can protect the heart against anthracycline-cardiotoxicity has been the matter of intense experimental and clinical research.<sup>12</sup> Several interventions have been tested with disparate results. Most of the trials performed so far have the common limitation of small sample size. Beta-blockers, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors, and statins are among the most frequently tested therapies.<sup>13</sup> However, results from these trials have been inconsistent. Importantly, with few exceptions,<sup>14</sup> patients enrolled in these trials are mostly “all-comers” and not enriched for characteristics associated with high-risk of cardiotoxicity.

\*Editorials published in *JACC: CardioOncology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: CardioOncology* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the <sup>a</sup>Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III (CNIC), Madrid, Spain; <sup>b</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain; <sup>c</sup>Cardiology Department, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital, Madrid, Spain; and <sup>d</sup>Hematology, Instituto Português de Oncologia de Lisboa (IPO Lisboa), Lisboa, Portugal.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [Author Center](#).

Remote ischemic (pre)conditioning (RIC) is an intervention consisting of brief episodes of ischemia followed by reperfusion (induced by a blood pressure cuff) in an organ (usually arm or leg) with the aim of conferring protection to remote organs such as the heart, brain, kidney, etc. Via this intervention, distant organs may receive signals to “prepare” them for a subsequent insult (eg, infarction). In some models, the final damage has been limited by RIC.<sup>15</sup> This intervention has been tested extensively in fields such as myocardial infarction and stroke, but the need to be applied before the index injury has limited its effective clinical application in many scenarios. Anthracycline cardiotoxicity may be an ideal scenario for RIC to exert beneficial effects because the cardiac insult (anthracycline administration) is scheduled, and RIC can be delivered before each chemotherapy cycle. The most robust demonstration of the cardioprotective potential of RIC against anthracycline cardiotoxicity has come from a highly translatable pig model, where RIC was demonstrated to induce remarkable protection and prevention of decline in cardiac systolic function.<sup>16</sup>

In this issue of *JACC: CardioOncology*, the first 2 (pilot) randomized clinical trials testing RIC as a preventive intervention for anthracycline cardiotoxicity are reported. Mallouppas et al<sup>17</sup> present the results of the ERIC-Onc (Effect of Remote Ischaemic Conditioning in Oncology Patients) trial, in which 55 adult patients (mean age 49 years) with any cancer scheduled to receive anthracyclines were randomized to RIC (4 cycles of 5-minute arm ischemia followed by 5-minute reperfusion) or sham before each chemotherapy cycle. Mean cumulative anthracycline dose was relatively high (317 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). The primary endpoint, circulating levels of cardiac troponin (cTn), was not different between groups. The trial was underpowered for the primary outcome because the trial was stopped early due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is noteworthy that at 3 months, there were virtually no changes in either left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) between groups (62% to 58% in RIC vs 60% to 59% in sham) nor in LV global longitudinal strain (–19% to –18% vs –19% to –18%).

In a separate trial also published in this issue *JACC: CardioOncology*, Cheung et al<sup>18</sup> tested the effects of RIC in a pediatric population of 68 patients (mean age 11 years) with different cancers. They were randomized to RIC (3 cycles of 5-minute arm ischemia followed by 5-minute reperfusion) or sham before each chemotherapy cycle.<sup>18</sup> The mean cumulative dose of anthracycline was low (155 to 157 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). The

primary endpoint, also circulating levels of cTn, was not different between groups. LVEF assessed by echocardiography was not different between groups (65% at baseline and 62% at follow-up in the RIC group, and 67% at baseline and 66% at follow-up in the sham group). LV strain was not substantially changed in either group (–16% to –15% in RIC, and –16% to –16% in sham).

We thus face the first 2 clinical trials, one in adults and another in pediatrics, testing the cardioprotective effects of RIC against anthracycline cardiotoxicity, and both appear neutral. Before reaching conclusions, there are important aspects that need to be taken into consideration. First, both trials have small sample sizes, and therefore, they should be considered as pilot studies. Secondly, the prevalence of anthracycline cardiotoxicity was extremely low in both trials. It is noteworthy that there was no sign of LV function deterioration in either. The lack of decline in LVEF or impairment in strain suggests that in this trial population, anthracyclines did not seem to induce significant cardiotoxicity, thus limiting the ability to demonstrate the cardioprotective potential of any intervention. These results raise the question of whether future trials should move away from an “all-comers” design and enroll only those patients who are at increased risk for developing anthracycline cardiotoxicity, who are in greater need of cardioprotective interventions. Besides lifetime cumulative dose, there are other known risk factors for anthracycline cardiotoxicity, including hypertension, coronary artery disease, obesity, diabetes, age >65 years, abnormal baseline LV systolic function, and others.<sup>19</sup> The 2 trials discussed here enrolled populations that did not seem to be at particularly high risk for cardiotoxicity. In the trial by Mallouppas et al,<sup>17</sup> although the cumulative dose was relatively high, patients did not have other high-risk features (eg, only 9% had hypertension, 4% diabetes, and mean age was <50 years). In the trial by Cheung et al,<sup>18</sup> the cumulative dose was relatively low, and no other risk factors were present. Another very relevant aspect is the primary endpoint chosen in both studies: cTn levels. Although there were elevations in troponins in both groups, these were modest, and the mechanistic and prognostic relevance of this rise is unclear. Endpoints based on cardiac function and the dichotomization of patients into the absence or presence of cardiotoxicity based on recent clinical practice guidelines criteria<sup>19</sup> may be better suited for testing cardioprotective interventions in this field, even if this is at the cost of requiring larger sample

sizes. For example, a LVEF reduction by  $\geq 10$  percentage points to an LVEF  $< 50\%$  would arguably be a more clinically relevant outcome to be included in future trials.

One aspect that needs to be clarified is the safety of RIC in the context of cancer treatment. Although experimental data suggest that RIC does not confer protection to cancer cells (probably the opposite), this outcome is of such a clinical relevance that it deserves special attention. In the trial by Mallouppas et al,<sup>17</sup> there were more cancer deaths in the group of patients undergoing RIC. However, it is important to note that at baseline, 54% of RIC patients had metastatic disease compared with 37% in the sham group. Furthermore, relapsed cancer patients were also more prevalent in the RIC group at the time of study enrollment (25% vs 19%), producing a significant imbalance in disease severity at randomization. This imbalance likely accounted for more cancer deaths in the RIC group up to 12 months postchemotherapy.

The most obvious question is whether there is a basis for further investigation of RIC as an intervention to prevent anthracycline cardiotoxicity. The significant cardioprotective effects in large animal models of anthracycline cardiotoxicity,<sup>16</sup> and the protection observed in other clinical scenarios<sup>20,21</sup> represent a strong premise to continue testing this non-invasive, cost effective, and easily implementable therapy. In this regard, the largest trial in the field of cardio-oncology, RESILIENCE (REmote iSchemic conditioning in Lymphoma PatIents REceiving ANthraCyclinEs; NCT05223413), is in progress across 5 European countries. This trial, funded by the European Commission, will enroll a

total of 608 patients with lymphoma, and the inclusion criteria enrich the population for those at higher risk of cardiotoxicity. Patients are randomized to weekly RIC (4  $\times$  5-minute cycles) or sham during the period on chemotherapy (approximately 4 months) and undergo comprehensive magnetic resonance imaging at baseline, half-way through chemotherapy, and 9 weeks after anticancer treatment completion. Until results of the RESILIENCE trial are reported, RIC should remain a highly promising intervention for preventing anthracycline cardiotoxicity.

## FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Drs Ibáñez and Gomes-Silva are funded by the European Commission (H2020-HEALTH “RESILIENCE”, grant number 945118). Dr Ibáñez is recipient of an ERC-CoG (grant number 819775) and has received funds from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (PID2019-110369RB-I00), and from the Comunidad de Madrid (S2022/BMD-7403, RENIM-CM). The CNIC is supported by the ISCIII, the Ministry of Science and Innovation, and the Pro CNIC Foundation and is a Severo Ochoa Center of Excellence (CEX2020-001041-S). Dr. Gomes-Silva has received grants from Astrazeneca; has received advisory board payments from Janssen, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Bristol Myers Squibb, Roche, Takeda, Novartis, and ADC Therapeutics; and has received travel support from Roche, Janssen, Abbvie, Gilead, Takeda (all unrelated to the content of this paper).

**ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Dr Borja Ibáñez, Translational Laboratory for Cardiovascular Imaging and Therapy, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III (CNIC) and IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital, c/ Melchor Fernández Almagro, 3, 28029 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: [bibanez@cnic.es](mailto:bibanez@cnic.es).

## REFERENCES

- Zaorsky NG, Churilla TM, Egleston BL, et al. Causes of death among cancer patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2017;28:400-407.
- Bhatia S. Genetics of anthracycline cardiomyopathy in cancer survivors: JACC: CardioOncology state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc*. 2020;2:539-552.
- Brice P, de Kerviler E, Friedberg JW. Classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Lancet*. 2021;398:1518-1527.
- Bataillard EJ, Cheah CY, Maurer MJ, Khurana A, Eyre TA, El-Galaly TC. Impact of R-CHOP dose intensity on survival outcomes in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a systematic review. *Blood Adv*. 2021;5:2426-2437.
- Galan-Arriola C, Lobo M, Vilchez-Tschischke JP, et al. Serial magnetic resonance imaging to identify early stages of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:779-791.
- Galan-Arriola C, Vilchez-Tschischke JP, Lobo M, et al. Coronary microcirculation damage in anthracycline cardiotoxicity. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2022;118(2):531-541. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvab053>
- Zhang S, Liu X, Bawa-Khalfe T, et al. Identification of the molecular basis of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Nat Med*. 2012;18:1639-1642.
- Suh E, Stratton KL, Leisenring WM, et al. Late mortality and chronic health conditions in long-term survivors of early-adolescent and young adult cancers: a retrospective cohort analysis from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21:421-435.
- Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, et al. CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:235-242.
- Williams JN, Rai A, Lipscomb J, Koff JL, Nastoupil LJ, Flowers CR. Disease characteristics, patterns of care, and survival in very elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Cancer*. 2015;121:1800-1808.
- Sarkozy C, Maurer MJ, Link BK, et al. Cause of death in follicular lymphoma in the first decade of the rituximab era: a pooled analysis of French and US cohorts. *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37:144-152.
- Ibanez B, Moreno-Arciniegas A. The quest for an early marker of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Science*. 2022;7:11-13.
- Omland T, Heck SL, Gulati G. The role of cardioprotection in cancer therapy cardiotoxicity: JACC: CardioOncology state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc*. 2022;4:19-37.
- Thavendiranathan P, Houbois C, Marwick TH, et al. Statins to prevent early cardiac dysfunction in cancer patients at increased cardiotoxicity risk receiving anthracyclines. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. Published online April 29, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjcvp/pvad031>

- 15.** Heusch G, Botker HE, Przyklenk K, Redington A, Yellon D. Remote ischemic conditioning. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:177–195.
- 16.** Galan-Arriola C, Villena-Gutierrez R, Higuero-Verdejo MI, et al. Remote ischaemic preconditioning ameliorates anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity and preserves mitochondrial integrity. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2021;117(4):1132–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvaa181>
- 17.** Mallouppas M, Chung R, Ghosh AK, Macklin A, Yellon DM, Walker JM. Anthracyclines and biomarkers of myocardial injury: the effect of remote ischemic conditioning (RIC). *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc*. 2023;5(3):343–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccao.2023.03.008>
- 18.** Cheung Y-F, Li VW, So EK, et al. Remote ischemic conditioning in pediatric cancer patients receiving anthracycline chemotherapy: a sham-controlled single-blind randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc*. 2023;5(3):332–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccao.2022.11.020>
- 19.** Lyon AR, Lopez-Fernandez T, Couch LS, et al. 2022 ESC guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO) and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43:4229–4361.
- 20.** Chen HS, Cui Y, Li XQ, et al. Effect of remote ischemic conditioning vs usual care on neurologic function in patients with acute moderate ischemic stroke: the RICAMIS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2022;328:627–636.
- 21.** Thielmann M, Kottenberg E, Kleinbongard P, et al. Cardioprotective and prognostic effects of remote ischaemic preconditioning in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a single-centre randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;382:597–604.

---

**KEY WORDS** anthracyclines, cardiooncology, cardioprotection, cardiotoxicity, conditioning