# Nasal septal anatomical variations among Saudi population and their possible coincidence with sinusitis: a computed tomography scan study

Gisma Ahmed Madani<sup>1</sup>, Wael Amin Nasr El-Din<sup>2,3</sup>, Asmaa S. Essawy<sup>4</sup>, Khamrunissa Hussain<sup>5</sup>, Islam Omar Abdel Fattah<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, The National University, Khartoum, Sudan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Manama, Bahrain, <sup>3</sup>Department of Human Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Human Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Menoufia, Egypt, <sup>5</sup>Department of Family and Community Medicine, Ibn Sina National College for Medical Studies, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

**Abstract:** The nasal septum is a crucial supporting factor for the nasal cavity and may develop several anatomical variants including septal deviation, spur and pneumatization. These variants could be associated with a higher incidence of sinusitis due to structural and functional alterations. So, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of nasal septal deviation (NSD), nasal septal spur (NSS) and nasal septal pneumatization (NSP) among the Saudi adult population and their links with the incidence of sinusitis by using computed tomography (CT). A retrospective study was achieved over a twenty-two months period on 681 adult Saudi subjects (420 males and 261 females) aged 20 years or older, referred for coronal CT evaluation of the paranasal sinuses. NSD and NSS were significantly more prevalent in males than females (80.0% vs. 67.4% respectively for NSD, and 34.5% vs. 24.9% respectively for NSS), while there was no statistical difference in frequency of NSP regarding gender (P=0.670). The incidence of sinusitis was significantly higher in presence of sinusitis (P=0.131). In conclusion, NSD and NSS are more prevalent in males than females among the Saudi population with no statistical difference between both genders regarding the presence of septal pneumatization. Furthermore, sinusitis is more prevalent with the occurrence of NSD and NSS, and not related to the incidence of NSP.

Key words: Nasal septal variation, Saudi population, Sinusitis, Computed tomography

Received June 1, 2022; 1st Revised July 27, 2022; 2nd Revised August 5, 2022; Accepted August 6, 2022

Corresponding author:

Islam Omar Abdel Fattah () Department of Human Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia 41511, Egypt E-mail: islam\_omar2007@yahoo.com

## Copyright © 2022. Anatomy & Cell Biology

## Introduction

Computed tomography (CT) of the nose and paranasal sinuses is currently considered the imaging modality of choice for radiological diagnosis of nasal and paranasal disorders [1]. Unlike plain radiography, CT provides an excellent idea about the soft tissue and bony anatomical details. Understanding the details of sinonasal anatomy helps to reach the proper diagnosis and hence the guidance for safe surgery [2].

The nasal septum is a crucial supportive component of

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the nasal cavity which is composed of bony and cartilaginous parts and divides the nasal cavity into two sides [3]. The bony component is made up of the vomer and perpendicular plate of ethmoid bones, contributing more than 70% of the whole nasal septum [4]. Although a perfectly straight nasal septum is extremely rare, some degree of deviation can be clinically accepted [5]. In contrast, a higher percentage of nasal septal deviation (NSD) is a potent risk factor for nasal cavity obstruction and sinusitis [6]. Moreover, significant NSD can produce compensatory hypertrophy of inferior turbinate and concha bullosa of the middle turbinate at the contralateral side, aggravating the obstruction, or additionally causing hypoplasia of the ipsilateral turbinates. According to the side, NSD can be classified as right-sided, left-sided or Sshaped variants [7].

Nasal septal spur (NSS) is a popular anatomical variation that is frequently associated with NSD. If prominent, NSS may interfere with the surgical access to the nasal cavity and also could narrow the middle meatus or ethmoid infundibulum [8]. In addition, the pressure between mucosal surfaces in the region of NSS can be a source of excruciating pain [7]. Another anatomical variation of the nasal septum is its pneumatization which could block the osteomeatal complex and thus potentially predispose to various sinonasal mucosal diseases [9]. Commonly the nasal septal pneumatization is produced as an extension of the air cells from the sphenoid sinus or crista galli to the nasal septum. Nevertheless, it usually does not cause any clinical relevance but may be contributed to some sort of narrowing of the sphenoethmoidal recess [8]. Thus, most anatomical nasal septal variations could finally lead to chronic or recurrent rhino-sinusitis due to obstruction of osteomeatal complex and affection of the mucocilliary clearance [10].

Hence, this study aimed to use coronal CT scan imaging of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses to investigate the prevalence of NSD, NSS and nasal septal pneumatization (NSP) among adult Saudi population, and their possible association with the incidence of sinusitis.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Study design

A retrospective blinded study was conducted on 681 adult subjects who underwent CT imaging of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses to evaluate the nasal septum and the possible associated sinusitis indicated by mucosal thickening. According to gender, 420 (61.7%) were males and 261 (38.3%) were females. All study subjects were Saudi with the exclusion of individuals younger than 20 years old, or with a history of congenital anomalies, facial trauma, nasal surgery or any other pathological issue. The current study was carried out at the Medical Imaging Department, Saudi German Hospitals Group, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, in the period between May 2020 and March 2022. This study was conducted under the institutional research committee's ethical standards in conformity with standard recommendations indicated in the Declaration of Helsinki laid down in 1975 and its later amendments. Informed consents were waived because the nature of the retrospective study

#### Radiological procedures

Subjects underwent coronal CT imaging with a Phillips 64-slice CT machine [kilo voltage peak (kVp)=100, Milli-Ampere seconds (Mas)=200, rotation time=0.4 second, field of view (FOV)=240 mm, slice thickness=1 mm, reconstruction interval=0.3 mm, pitch=0.399; Philips, Australia]. The anatomical variations of the nasal septum, including NSD, NSS and NSP, were carefully examined and recorded on CT scan along with the evaluation of sinus mucosa. Measurement of the septal angle was achieved by measuring the angle between two lines. The first line was corresponding to the midline running from the maxillary crest (point A) to the junction point of the perpendicular and the cribriform plate of ethmoid bone (point B), while the second line was connecting the junction point of the perpendicular and the cribriform plate, and the most prominent point of the deviated septum (point C) (Fig. 1A, B). For S-shaped septal deviation the greater value of both septal curves was only taken into account (Fig. 1C) [11]. According to the angle of NSD, its severity was classified into [12]:

Normal nasal septum: when its angle is less than 5° Mild NSD: when the angle is ranging between 5° and 10° Moderate NSD: when the angle is ranging between 10° and 15°

Severe NSD: when the angle is greater than 15°

#### Statistical analysis

The data analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 27.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Qualitative variable frequencies were presented as numbers and percentages, and correlated by applying the Chi-Square test. Unpaired Student's *t*-test was performed to

Condon			NSD			Tatal
Gender —	Right	Left	S-shaped	Total cases of NSD	Absent	- Iotai
Male	151 (36.0)	154 (36.7)	31 (7.4)	336 (80.0)	84 (20.0)	420 (100.0)
Female	74 (28.4)	81 (31.0)	21 (8.1)	176 (67.4)	85 (32.6)	261 (100.0)
Total	225 (33.0)	235 (34.5)	52 (7.6)	512 (75.2)	169 (24.8)	681 (100.0)

**Table 1.** Relation between the incidence of different types of NSD and gender

Values are presented as number (%). NSD, nasal septal deviation. Presence or absence of NSD according to the gender chi-square *P*-value<0.001, unilateral vs. bilateral NSD according to the gender chi-square *P*-value=0.720, right vs. bilateral NSD according to the gender chi-square *P*-value=0.305, and left vs. bilateral NSD according to the gender chi-square *P*-value=0.420.



**Fig. 1.** Coronal CT images demonstrating measurement procedures of nasal septal angle showing point A is the maxillary crest, point B is the junction point of the perpendicular and the cribriform plate of ethmoid bone, and point C is the most prominent point of the deviated septum. (A) Normal nasal septum. (B) Right-sided NSD. (C) S-shaped NSD. CT, computed tomography; NSD, nasal septal deviation.

compare the means of NSD angle values according to other variables. A statistically significant difference between data was considered when the two-tailed P-value $\leq 0.05$ .

#### Results

The current study included subjects aged between 20 and 71 years old with a mean of 44.7±14.3 years old. NSD was present in 512 cases (75.2%), while normal septum was present only in 169 subjects (24.8%). NSD was more frequent in males than females (80.0% vs. 67.4% respectively), with a significant difference in-between (P<0.001). Regarding the side of NSD, there was no statistical difference between the frequencies of right and left sides according to gender (Table 1). In addition, the degrees of NSD in both males and females showed no statistical difference regarding right-sided and S-shaped NSD (9.6° vs. 10.4° and 9.1° and 8.7° respectively) (P=0.163 and P=0.751 respectively), while was with significantly higher septal deviation degrees in males than females regarding left-sided NSD (14.1° vs. 10.6° respectively) (P<0.001) (Fig. 2). According to the correlation between NSD and sinusitis, sinusitis was detected to be more frequent in subjects with NSD (57.0% of septal deviation subjects vs. 29.0% of subjects with no septal deviation) with a statistically significant difference in-between (P<0.001) (Table 2)



**Fig. 2.** The degree of NSD in male and female subjects. Values are presented as means with the minimal and maximal values. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test. NSD, nasal septal deviation.

(Fig. 3A–D). Furthermore, mild, moderate and severe NSDs were associated with nearly similar incidence percentages of sinusitis (58.7%, 56.2%, and 57.0% respectively) (Fig. 4A).

The frequency of NSS was significantly higher in males than females (34.5% vs. 24.9% respectively) (P=0.008), with no statistical difference between frequencies of both rightand left-sided NSS incidence according to gender (P=0.861) (Table 3). NSS cases were present only in 5.3% of subjects

Table 2.	Relation	between	the inc	idence	of NSD	and	sinusitis	(mucosal	thickening)
								<b>`</b>	

	NSD						
Sinusitis	Dussant	Sinusitis cases relative to total	Abcomt	Sinusitis cases relative to total	Total	Davalua	
	Present	NSD cases (512 cases)	Absent	absent NSD cases (169 cases)		P-value	
Present	292 (85.6)	57.0%	49 (14.4)	29.0%	341 (100.0)	< 0.001	
Absent	220 (64.7)	-	120 (35.3)	-	340 (100.0)		
Total	512 (75.2)		169 (24.8)		681 (100.0)		

Values are presented as number (%). NSD, nasal septal deviation. A P-value is determined by chi-square test according to the presence or absence of NSD.



Fig. 3. Coronal CT images. (A) Leftsided NSD (arrow) with bilateral normal mucosa of both maxillary (asterisks) and ethmoid (arrowheads) sinuses. (B) Left-sided NSD (arrow) with mild sinusitis of both maxillary sinuses (asterisks). (C) S-shaped NSD (arrows) with bilateral normal mucosa of both maxillary (asterisks) and ethmoid (arrowheads) sinuses. (D) S-shaped NSD (arrows) with bilateral maxillary (asterisks) and ethmoid (arrowheads) sinusitis. (E) Left-sided NSD with ipsilateral NSS (arrow), and normal mucosa of both maxillary (asterisks) and ethmoid (arrowheads) sinuses. (F) Centralized nasal septum with left NSS (arrow) and mucosal thickening of both maxillary sinuses (asterisks). (G) Pneumatization (arrow) of a centralized nasal septum with normal mucosa of both maxillary (asterisks) and ethmoid (arrowheads) sinuses. (H) Pneumatization (arrow) of a centralized nasal septum with left maxillary sinusitis (asterisk). CT, computed tomography; NSD, nasal septal deviation; NSS, nasal septal spur.



Fig. 4. Percentages of incidence of sinusitis according to the severity of NSD. (B) Percentages of incidence of NSS according to the severity of NSD. (C) Percentages of incidence of NSP according to the severity of NSD. NSD, nasal septal deviation; NSS, nasal septal spur.

Table 3. Relation between the side of NSS and g	gender
---	--------

Condor		NSS		Total	
Gender	Right Left		Absent	IOtal	
Male	67 (16.0)	78 (18.6)	275 (65.5)	420 (100.0)	
Female	31 (11.9)	34 (13.0)	196 (75.1)	261 (100.0)	
Total	98 (14.4)	112 (16.5)	471 (69.2)	681 (100.0)	

Values are presented as number (%). NSS, nasal septal spur. Presence or absence of NSS according to the gender chi-square *P*-value=0.008, and right vs. left NSS according to the gender chi-square *P*-value=0.861.

with no NSD. All cases of NSS that were associated with NSD followed the same side of the deviation. So, the presence of NSS was significantly associated with the incidence of NSD (P<0.001) (Table 4). In addition, mild and moderate NSDs were associated with relatively high prevalence percentages of NSS (43.7 and 47.6% respectively) compared to subjects with normal nasal septa and those with severe NSDs (9.0 and 15.0% respectively) (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the angles of the nasal septum in subjects with left spur were significantly higher than those with right spur (P=0.015) (Table 5). On the other hand, the presence of NSS was significantly linked with the incidence of sinusitis (P<0.001), affecting 77.1% of subjects having NSS versus 38.0% of those with no spur. Also, the side of sinusitis significantly followed the side of NSS (P<0.001) (Table 6, Fig. 3E, F).

There was no statistical difference between the frequency of NSP regarding gender (P=0.670), nevertheless, the presence of NSP was linked with lower nasal septal angles (Fig. 4C). However, there was no difference between incidences of presence or absence of NSP and sinusitis (P=0.131). Even so, sinusitis was less frequent in nasal septa with no pneumatization (48.5% of subjects) than that with pneumatization (55.3% of cases) (Fig. 3G, H). Moreover, the frequency of NSP was significantly lower in cases of NSD (15.6% of cases) compared to subjects without NSD (47.9% of subjects) (P<0.001). On the other hand, the incidence of NSP was significantly higher in s-shaped than unilateral NSD (36.5% of s-shaped NSD cases vs. 13.3% of unilateral NSD cases) (P<0.001) (Table 7). Moreover, the presence of NSS was associated with a significant increase in the incidence of NSP (P<0.001) (Table 8).

#### Discussion

In the current study, coronal CT scans of 681 adult Saudi subjects (420 males and 261 females) were used to evaluate their nasal septa and paranasal sinuses. Our results revealed that the most common nasal septal variation was NSD (in 75.2% of cases), followed by NSS (in 30.8% of cases) and then NSP (in 23.6% of cases). Both NSD and NSS were significantly correlated to the incidence of sinusitis (P<0.001 for both), while sinusitis was not significantly linked with NSP (P=0.131).

Regarding the present study, the NSD incidence was significantly higher in males than in females (80.0% vs. 67.4%) which is in contrast to the results of Smith et al. [13] who revealed that NSD was more prevalent in females. Moreover, Bora et al. [14] and Shrestha et al. [15] found that there is no statistical difference between frequencies of septal deviation in both genders (Table 9).

The previously reported prevalence of NSD varies widely due to the differences in the applied criteria to consider that the nasal septum is deviated, the used populations and study samples. In the present study, the prevalence rate of NSD

#### Table 4. Relation between the incidence of NSD and incidence of NSS

NSS		N	Total	Develope		
	Right	Left	S-shaped	Absent	Totai	P-value
Right	82 (83.7)	0 (0.0)	12 (12.3)	4 (4.1)	98 (100.0)	P<0.001
Left	0 (0.0)	94 (83.9)	13 (11.6)	5 (4.5)	112 (100.0)	
Absent	143 (30.4)	141 (29.9)	27 (5.7)	160 (34.0)	471 (100.0)	
Total	225 (33.0)	235 (34.5)	52 (7.6)	169 (24.8)	681 (100.0)	

Values are presented as number (%). NSD, nasal septal deviation; NSS, nasal septal spur. A *P*-value is determined by chi-square test according to the presence or absence of NSS.

Table 5. Nasal septal angles in subjects with NSS

Condor	NSS				
Gender	Right	Left			
Male	9.3±3.1	10.6±3.7			
Female	8.4±5.1	9.8±4.7			
Total	9.0±3.9	$10.4{\pm}4.0$			
P-value	P=0	.015			

Values are presented as means±SD. NSS, nasal septal spur. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test.

**Table 6.** Relation between the incidence of side of NSS and sinusitis (mucosal thickening)

Cincrettie		NSS		Davalua
Sinusitis	Present Absent		Total	<i>P</i> -value
Present	162 (47.5)	179 (52.5)	341 (100.0)	< 0.001
Absent	48 (14.1)	292 (85.9)	340 (100.0)	
Total	210 (30.8)	471 (69.2)	681 (100.0)	

Values are presented as number (%). NSS, nasal septal spur. A *P*-value is determined by chi-square test according to the presence or absence of sinusitis.

was 75.2% in the Saudi population in which we considered that septal deviation was defined when the septal angle is 5° or more. Our result was higher than the results of Badia et al. [16] and Smith et al. [13] who considered NSD is defined when the septal angle is more than 4°. So, Badia et al. [16] found that NSD frequencies are 13%–20% in Caucasians and 7%–8% in Chinese, while, Smith et al. [13] found that NSD prevalence is 19.4% of the American population (Table 9). Furthermore, a lower prevalence was reported by Sazgar et al. [17] (62.9% in Iranians), Devareddy and Devakar [18] (62.0% in Indians), Turna et al. [19] (59.1% in Turkish), Qureshi and Usmani [20] (56.0% in Pakistanis), Adeel et al. [21] (26.0% in Pakistanis), Onwuchekwa and Alazigha [22] (20.2% in Nigerians), and Espinosa et al. [23] (20.0% in Filipinos) (Table 9).

In contrast, others reported a higher prevalence of NSD than in the current study. For example, NSD frequency in the Spanish population was 80.0% as revealed by Pérez-Piñas et al. [24] when diagnosed NSD with any detected angle of

#### Table 7. Relation between the incidence of NSD and NSP

		NSD						
NSP	Right	Left	S-shaped	Absent	Total			
Present	32 (19.9)	29 (18.0)	19 (11.8)	81 (50.3)	161 (100.0)			
Absent	193 (37.1)	206 (39.6)	33 (6.4)	88 (16.9)	520 (100.0)			
Total	225 (33.0)	235 (34.5)	52 (7.6)	169 (24.8)	681 (100.0)			

Values are presented as number (%). NSD, nasal septal deviation; NSP, nasal septal pneumatization. Presence or absence of NSD according to the presence or absence of NSP chi-square *P*-value<0.001, unilateral vs. bilateral NSD according to the presence or absence of NSP chi-square *P*-value<0.001, and right vs. left NSD according to the presence or absence or absence of NSP chi-square *P*-value=0.552.

Table 8. Relation between the incidence of NSS and NSP

NCD		Total		
INSP	Right	Left	Absent	Total
Present	37 (23.0)	31 (19.3)	93 (57.8)	161 (100.0)
Absent	61 (11.7)	81 (15.6)	378 (72.7)	520 (100.0)
Total	98 (13.7)	112 (15.7)	471 (70.6)	681 (100.0)

Values are presented as number (%). NSS, nasal septal spur; NSP, nasal septal pneumatization. Presence or absence of NSS according to the presence or absence of NSP chi-square *P*-value<0.001, and right vs. left NSS according to the presence or absence NSP chi-square *P*-value=0.120.

deviation. In addition, the prevalence of septal deviation was higher than our results according to Clark et al. [25] (76.0% in Americans), Janovic et al. [11] (92.7% in Serbians), Bora et al. [14] (79.7% in Turkish) and Chandel et al. [2] (78.9% in Indians) (Table 9).

In this study, the most frequent type of NSD was left-sided then right-sided and followed by the S-shaped one (45.9, 44.0, and 10.2% respectively). These results were matched with the results of both Bagri et al. [26], Madani et al. [27], and Poorey and Gupta [28] who found that left-sided NSD is more prevalent than right. Whereas, Stallman et al. [29], Shrestha et al. [15] and Turna et al. [19] revealed that the right NSD is more frequent than the left one. In addition, Shrestha et al. [15] and Earwaker [30] showed that the rate of unilateral NSD is significantly higher than the S-shaped one (Table 9).

In the present results, the presence or absence of sinusitis

#### Table 9. Comparison between the results of present study and previous ones

Author	Country	Method of assessment	Number	Studied	Frequency	Link with sinusitis
	,		of cases	variation		
Present study	KSA	CT scan	681	NSD	Male: 74.7%	Significant correlation (P<0.001)
					Female: 67.4%	
					Right: 33.0%	
					Left: 34.5%	
					S-shaped: 7.6%	
				NSS	Male: 34.5%	Significant correlation (P<0.001)
					Female: 24.9%	
					Right: 14.4%	
					Left: 16.5%	
				NSP	Male: 23.1%	No significant correlation (P=0.131)
					Female: 24.5%	0
Chandel et al. [2]	India	Single slice spiral CT scan	180	NSD	Male: 78.6%	-
		····8······			Female: 79 3%	
				NSS	Male: 13 3%	
				1400	Female: 15.0%	
Biswas et al. [0]	India	CT ccan	50	NSD	78 0%	
Diswas et al. [9]	IIIuia	CT scall	50	NSD	12.0%	-
Japovic et al. [11]	Sarbia	CT ccan	386	NSD	92.7%	-
Smith et al. [13]	United States	Conabaam CT scan	983	NSD	92.770 Male: 18.0%	Only 19.7% of cases had maxillary sinusitis
Simulet al. [13]		Colle Dealli C1 Scall	885	NSD	Famela 10.00/	Only 19.7 % of cases had maximally sinusitis
Dama et al [14]	of America	Multi data dan OT aran	1567	NCD	Female: 19.9%	
Bora et al. [14]	Тигкеу	Multi-detector C1 scan	1,567	NSD	Male: 44.0%	-
				NOD	Female: 56.0%	
				NSP	Male: 53.7%	-
					F: 46.3%	
Shrestha et al. [15]	Nepal	CT scan	76	NSD	Male: 39.5%	-
					Female: 25.0%	
					Right: 34.2%	
					Left: 26.3%	
				NSP	Male: 3.9%	-
					Female: 2.6%	
Turna et al. [19]	Turkey	Multi-detector CT	6,224	NSD	Right: 26.5%	-
					Left: 25.0%	
					S-shaped: 7.5%	
				NSS	19.9%	_
				NSP	34.8%	_
Oureshi and Usmani [20]	Pakistan	CT scan	50	NSD	56.0%	No significant correlation with sinusitis
Onwuchekwa and	Nigeria	CT scan	365	NSD	20.9%	-
Alazigha [22]	0			NSP	20.2%	-
Pérez-Piñas et al. [24]	Spain	CT scan	110	NSD	80%	-
1 erez 1 mus et ul. [2 1]	opuili	01 scull	110	NSS	18%	-
Earwaker [30]	Australia	CT scan	800	NSD	Unilateral: 79.0%	-
[0 0]					S-shaped: 21.0%	
Alsubael and Hegazy [35]	KSA	CT scan	100	NSD	Male: 76.0%	-
risubaci and riegazy [55]	Ron	O'i Sean	100	NOD	Econolo: 80.0%	
				NISS	Male: 6.0%	
				1033	Francis 0.0%	-
				NCD	Male: 20.0%	
				1131	Famela 20.0%	-
A1 O. J. L [20]	T	CT	110	NCD	remale: 20.0%	
AI-Qudan [39]	Jordan	C1 scan	110	NSD	Right: 23.6%	-
					Left: 19.1%	
					S-shaped: 0%	
				NSP	27.0%	-

KSA, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; CT, computed tomography; NSD, nasal septal deviation; NSS, nasal septal spur; NSP, nasal septal pneumatization.

was significantly linked to the presence or absence of NSD (<0.001). This was in accordance with studies conducted by Elahi et al. [31] and Hatipoglu et al. [32] who reported that an increased incidence of sinusitis was noted with the existence of NSD. Conversely, Mohibbi et al. [33], Bagari et al. [26], and Smith et al. [13] reported that there is no significant association between NSD and sinusitis. In addition, our study revealed that there was an increased incidence of sinusitis associated with mild and moderate NSDs which may be due to their high association with the presence of NSS providing an additional risk factor for sinusitis.

NSS is frequently concomitant with the NSD that is, if prominent, can make any surgical access difficult and also narrows the middle meatus or ethmoid infundibulum [8]. In the present study NSS was found in 30.8% of subjects which closely matches the prevalence of 32.0% and 29.0% reported by Alshaikh and Aldhurais [34] in Saudi population, and Bagari et al. [26] in Indians respectively. Whereas, these results are higher than those found by other authors such as Alsubael and Hegazy [35], Perez-Pinas et al. [24], Turna et al. [19] and Chandel et al. [2] where the prevalence was 7.0% in Saudi population, 18.0% in Spanish, 19.9% in Turkish and 11.7% in Indian populations respectively (Table 9). Furthermore, our results showed a significantly higher prevalence of NSS in males compared to females (P=0.008) and left spurs were more frequent than the right ones. These results are in accordance with the results of Bagari et al. [26] in Indians. In the harmony with the results of the present study, there is a significant relationship between the presence of NSS and sinusitis, as reported by Dua et al. [36] in Indians.

NSP is an important anatomical variation occurring mainly at the bony part of the nasal septum due to air extension from the sphenoid sinus or crista galli. Usually, it has no health effect but it may cause narrowing in the sphenoethmoidal recess [8]. Nevertheless, it is not widely described in the literature because of its low prevalence rates and its weak clinical relevance. In the same context, we found that there is no significant link between the presence or absence of NSP and the presence or absence of sinusitis (P=0.131), indicating that the presence of NSP mostly does not affect the paranasal sinus integrity. These results are in the harmony with the results of Azila et al. [37] and Zinreich et al. [38].

The prevalence of NSP in the present study was 23.6% which closely matches the prevalence reported by Al-Qudah [39] as 27.0% in Jordanians. On the other hand, higher frequencies were reported by Turna et al. [19] as 34.8% in Turk-

ish, while lower prevalence rates were observed by Biswas et al. [9] as 12.0% in Indians, Alshaikh and Aldhurais [34] as 15.0% in Saudi, Onwuchekwa and Alazigha [22] as 8.2% in Nigerians, Shrestha et al. [15] as 6.5% in Nepali, and Bora et al. [14] as 1.3% in Turkish. Moreover, no case with NSP was revealed by Adeel et al. [21] in Pakistanis (Table 9). Chandel et al. [2] and Shrestha et al. [15] noted that NSP is more in the males compared to females in Indians and Nepali respectively which is concomitant with our results in the Saudi population.

As noted, the wide variability of percentages of the incidence of nasal septal variations and their link with the incidence of sinusitis among various studies could be due to different types of used CT for assessment, the different number of involved subjects or different included populations according to their age, gender and ethnicity.

As our study was a retrospective one, many limitations were related, including the absence of data concerning the occupation, lifestyle, height, weight and body mass index of the subjects that may be correlated to the findings concerning the conditions of nasal septum and sinuses.

In conclusion, the findings of the current study revealed that NSD and NSS were frequently prevalent among the Saudi population and commonly associated with sinusitis. Nevertheless, NSP was less prevalent and not related to the incidence of sinusitis. Knowledge of the details of these anatomical variations and their relation with the incidence of sinusitis may provide useful radiological data for otolaryngologists that help them in the management of nasal diseases.

#### ORCID

Gisma Ahmed Madani: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9176-3633 Wael Amin Nasr El-Din: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3673-990X Asmaa S. Essawy: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9914-3372 Khamrunissa Hussain: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2213-7035 Islam Omar Abdel Fattah: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9398-9847

## **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: GAM, WAN, ASE, KH, IOA. Data acquisition: GAM, ASE, KH. Data analysis or interpretation:

IOA, WAN, GAM. Drafting of the manuscript: WAN, ASE, KH. Critical revision of the manuscript: IOA, WAN, GAM. Approval of the final version of the manuscript: all authors.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## References

- 1. Daghighi M, Daryani A, Nejad KC. Evaluation of anatomic variations of paranasal sinuses. Int J Otorhinolaryngol 2006;7:1-5.
- 2. Chandel NS, Gupta R, Vyas MM. Computerized tomographic evaluation of anatomical variations of paranasal sinus and nose. Natl J Med Dent Res 2015;4:48-52.
- 3. Sriprakash V. Prevalence and clinical features of nasal septum deviation: a study in an urban centre. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2017;3:842-4.
- 4. Wang IJ, Lin SL, Tsou KI, Hsu MC, Chiu WT, Tsai SH, Lee LM, Lin TJ. Congenital midline nasal mass: cases series and review of the literature. Turk J Pediatr 2010;52:520-4.
- 5. Gray LP. Deviated nasal septum. Incidence and etiology. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl 1978;87(3 Pt 3 Suppl 50):3-20.
- 6. Hsia JC, Camacho M, Capasso R. Snoring exclusively during nasal breathing: a newly described respiratory pattern during sleep. Sleep Breath 2014;18:159-64.
- 7. Cellina M, Gibelli D, Cappella A, Martinenghi C, Belloni E, Oliva G. Nasal cavities and the nasal septum: anatomical variants and assessment of features with computed tomography. Neuroradiol J 2020;33:340-7.
- 8. Beale TJ, Madani G, Morley SJ. Imaging of the paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity: normal anatomy and clinically relevant anatomical variants. Semin Ultrasound CT MR 2009;30:2-16.
- 9. Biswas J, Patil CY, Deshmukh PT, Kharat R, Nahata V. Tomographic evaluation of structural variations of nasal cavity in various nasal pathologies. Int J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;2:129-34.
- Mostafa SY, Abd-Elgaber FM, Mohamed BA, Hammad AS. Impact of septoplasty alone or with endoscopic sinus surgery for treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis with deviated septum. Egypt J Otolaryngol 2021;37:2.
- Janovic N, Janovic A, Milicic B, Djuric M. Relationship between nasal septum morphology and nasal obstruction symptom severity: computed tomography study. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol 2020 Oct 10 [Epub]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2020.09.004.
- Periyasamy V, Bhat S, Sree Ram MN. Classification of naso septal deviation angle and its clinical implications: a CT scan imaging study of Palakkad population, India. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2019;71(Suppl 3):2004-10.
- 13. Smith KD, Edwards PC, Saini TS, Norton NS. The prevalence

of concha bullosa and nasal septal deviation and their relationship to maxillary sinusitis by volumetric tomography. Int J Dent 2010;2010:404982.

- 14. Bora A, Koç M, Durmuş K, Altuntas EE. Evaluating the frequency of anatomical variations of the sinonasal region in pediatric and adult age groups according to gender: computed tomography findings of 1532 cases. Egypt J Otolaryngol 2021;37:58.
- 15. Shrestha KK, Acharya K, Joshi R, Maharjan S, Adhikari D. Anatomical variations of the paranasal sinuses and the nasal cavity. Nepal Med Coll J 2019;21:7-11.
- 16. Badia L, Lund VJ, Wei W, Ho WK. Ethnic variation in sinonasal anatomy on CT-scanning. Rhinology 2005;43:210-4.
- 17. Sazgar AA, Massah J, Sadeghi M, Bagheri A, Rasool E. The incidence of concha bullosa and the correlation with nasal septal deviation. B-ENT 2008;4:87-91.
- Devareddy MM, Devakar S. Evaluation of anatomical variations in nose and paranasal sinuses by using multidetector computed tomography. Int J Contemp Med Surg Radiol 2019;4:C146-51.
- 19. Turna Ö, Aybar MD, Karagöz Y, Tuzcu G. Anatomic variations of the paranasal sinus region: evaluation with multidetector CT. Istanbul Med J 2014;15:104-9.
- Qureshi MF, Usmani A. A CT-Scan review of anatomical variants of sinonasal region and its correlation with symptoms of sinusitis (nasal obstruction, facial pain and rhinorrhea). Pak J Med Sci 2021;37:195-200.
- 21. Adeel M, Rajput MS, Akhter S, Ikram M, Arain A, Khattak YJ. Anatomical variations of nose and para-nasal sinuses; CT scan review. J Pak Med Assoc 2013;63:317-9.
- 22. Onwuchekwa RC, Alazigha N. Computed tomography anatomy of the paranasal sinuses and anatomical variants of clinical relevants in Nigerian adults. Egypt J Ear Nose Throat Allied Sci 2017;18:31-8.
- 23. Espinosa W, Genito R, Ramos RZ. Anatomic variations of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinus and their correlation with chronic rhinosinusitis using Harvard staging system. J Otolaryngol ENT Res 2018;10:190-3.
- 24. Pérez-Piñas, Sabaté J, Carmona A, Catalina-Herrera CJ, Jiménez-Castellanos J. Anatomical variations in the human paranasal sinus region studied by CT. J Anat 2000;197(Pt 2):221-7.
- 25. Clark DW, Del Signore AG, Raithatha R, Senior BA. Nasal airway obstruction: prevalence and anatomic contributors. Ear Nose Throat J 2018;97:173-6.
- 26. Bagri N, Kavirajan K, Chandra R, Agarwal Y, Gupta N, Mandal S. Nasal septal angle deviation: effect on lateral wall in nasal obstruction. Int J Res Med Sci 2019;7:90-5.
- 27. Madani SA, Hashemi SA, Modanluo M. The incidence of nasal septal deviation and its relation with chronic rhinosinusitis in patients undergoing functional endoscopic sinus surgery. J Pak Med Assoc 2015;65:612-4.
- 28. Poorey VK, Gupta N. Endoscopic and computed tomographic evaluation of influence of nasal septal deviation on lateral wall of nose and its relation to sinus diseases. Indian J Otolaryngol

Head Neck Surg 2014;66:330-5.

- 29. Stallman JS, Lobo JN, Som PM. The incidence of concha bullosa and its relationship to nasal septal deviation and paranasal sinus disease. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2004;25:1613-8.
- Earwaker J. Anatomic variants in sinonasal CT. Radiographics 1993;13:381-415.
- Elahi MM, Frenkiel S, Fageeh N. Paraseptal structural changes and chronic sinus disease in relation to the deviated septum. J Otolaryngol 1997;26:236-40.
- Hatipoglu HG, Cetin MA, Yuksel E. Nasal septal deviation and concha bullosa coexistence: CT evaluation. B-ENT 2008;4:227-32.
- 33. Mohebbi A, Ahmadi A, Etemadi M, Safdarian M, Ghourchian S. An epidemiologic study of factors associated with nasal septum deviation by computed tomography scan: a cross sectional study. BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord 2012;12:15.
- 34. Alshaikh N, Aldhurais A. Anatomic variations of the nose and

paranasal sinuses in Saudi population: computed tomography scan analysis. Egypt J Otolaryngol 2018;34:234-41.

- 35. Alsubael MO, Hegazy AA. Anatomical variations of the human nasal osteomeatal complex, studied by CT. Zagazig Univ Med J 2009;16:72-83.
- 36. Dua K, Chopra H, Khurana A, Munjal M. CT scan variations in chronic sinusitis. Indian J Radiol Imaging 2005;15:315-20.
- 37. Azila A, Irfan M, Rohaizan Y, Shamim AK. The prevalence of anatomical variations in osteomeatal unit in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Med J Malaysia 2011;66:191-4.
- Zinreich S, Albayram S, Benson M, Oliverio P. The ostiomeatal complex and functional endoscopic surgery. In: Som PM, Curtin HD, editors. Head and Neck Imaging. 4th ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 2003. p.149-73.
- 39. Al- Qudah MA. Anatomical variations in sino-nasal region: a computer tomography (CT) study. J Med J 2010;44:290-7.