© 2022 The Authors. ACR Open Rheumatology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American College of Rheumatology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

CONCISE COMMUNICATION

DOI 10.1002/acr2.11465

Generating consistent longitudinal real-world data to support research: lessons from physical therapists

Researchers using real-world data (RWD) hope to generate answers to clinical effectiveness research (CER) and patientcentered outcomes research (PCOR) questions. However, reliability and validity of these results are dependent on data completeness and consistency. Because RWD are not generated with research as the primary goal, they suffer from incomplete and inconsistent documentation of routine clinical interventions. The two most common sources of RWD are clinician-documented and health system use data stored in electronic health records (EHRs) and administrative data, respectively. Both sources of RWD are readily available within health systems or aggregated in regional databases, such as PCORNet or administrative claims data. EHR data quality, in particular, suffers from inconsistent data structure and documentation as well as fragmentation across time and settings. For example, prescription refills or physical therapy (PT) interventions are not systematically documented in the primary care physician's EHR. In rheumatology practices, performance on rheumatoid arthritis guality measures using the American College of Rheumatology's Rheumatology Informatics System for Effectiveness registry varies according to the specific EHR employed (1). In an era of chronic disease, the richness of existing data and the value to research driven by these data will be enhanced when systematic and comprehensive clinical documentation of interventions is included in the EHR across settings.

The EHR is the primary data source for real-world CER and PCOR applications but can be a source of bias when clinical documentation is inconsistent, incomplete, and potentially biased (2). Missing EHR data result from clinician inconsistencies in what and when to document or when patients receive care across multiple health systems or from community-based providers. Human decisions determine content and definition of the data elements (or not) in the EHR, hence contributing to incomplete intervention and outcome data (3). Thus, research using today's EHR, and its clinical data, risks validity because of two major factors: 1) inconsistent clinical intervention and outcome documentation in the course of care and 2) lack of integration of clinical documentation across time and place.

Following total knee (TKR) and hip replacement surgeries, PT providers are commonly not affiliated with the health system where the surgery was performed. Thus, their documentation does not reside in the patient's surgical EHR. Further, although PT office EHRs capture visit time and length, few PT EHRs capture the full content of the PT interventions (ie, specific PT components); their intensity, frequency, and progression; or the "dose" of PT. Thus, CER using real-world evidence is stymied by the lack of complete, consistent PT data to explore best practices in PT care. This is particularly problematic because TKR is one of the most common and costly procedures in the United States today, and wide variation in PT practice after TKR is well documented (4,5). More recently, the COVID-19 epidemic introduced new peri-TKR practice patterns that EHR notes are not prepared to evaluate. The incomplete data in today's RWD cannot generate best practice for content and dosage of PT interventions and changes in care patterns post TKR (6,7).

Can the quality of RWD be improved to serve research and, ultimately, best practice? As proof of concept that clinicians can generate consistent and standardized clinical data to enhance data guality in the course of routine patient care, we collaborated with PT clinicians and experts to generate a web-based comprehensive system to quantify the total dose of PT interventions with type of modality, quantity, intensity, and progressions over time (8). The system was designed to be implemented in outpatient PT clinics and capable of residing alongside or within a clinic's EHR. Our goal was to collect routine clinical data in a format useable by the general population of outpatient physical therapists treating patients post TKR and in a structure that would allow easy quantification and analysis across patients, therapists, and sites. Uniform and efficient documentation of real-world PT practice following TKR is essential for the necessary comparative effectiveness research demanded by the unexplained practice variation that currently exists.

Using the list of interventions identified from retrospective chart reviews, we asked a small sample of clinicians to identify all interventions they used while treating patients post TKR and to add any unlisted interventions to the original list. This revised list was then sent to national experts in TKR rehabilitation for review and revision. Their revised list was sent finally to international experts for additional review and revision. This iterative process lasted approximately 1 year and resulted in a comprehensive menu of interventions. A web-based Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act-compliant data capture system was constructed to allow physical therapists to select their interventions from an all-inclusive menu to minimize the use of open-text contributions.

Submitted for publication March 30, 2022; accepted in revised form April 29, 2022.

Author disclosures are available at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/ downloadSupplement?doi=10.1002%2Facr2.11465&file=acr211465-sup-0001-Disclosureform.pdf.

StudyArmFlexibilityArnArtz, 2017 (9)Group-based exerciseUsual careBade, 2017 (10)HI program rehab1Bruun-Olsen, 2013 (11)Walking skill program1Bruun-Olsen, 2017 (13)Usual physio1Bransen, 2017 (13)O group exercise1Heikkilä, 2010 (15)O group exercise1Kauppila, 2010 (15)Control1Li, 2015 (17)Group-based O-PT1Liao, 2015 (17)Education for daily PA1Liao, 2015 (18)Functional rehab1Liao, 2015 (19)Elastic resistance exercise1Liao, 2015 (19)Elastic resistance exercise	Ankle 1 1	Bike Calf (ROM) stretch 1 1 1 1	Hamstring stretch	Heel extensors slides stretch	tlexor stretch e:		Knee Sta	
	~ ~	~ ~ ~				extension 1		TKE
	~ ~							
		~	~ ~	~ ~		~ ~		
		-	~				~	
		~	-			←	~	
		~	~~		←			
		-	←			←	~	
	~ ~		~ ~			~ ~		
Madsen, 2013 (20) Group-based rehab 1 Individual-based rehab		~						
Minns Lowe, 2012 (21) Home-visit physio Usual physio		~	←				~	
Monticone, 2013 (22) Experimental 1 Control 1								
Moutzouri, 2018 (23) FSET 1 Functional exercise training 1	~					~ ~		
Piva, 2017 (24) CBI 1 Standard care 1			~ ~			~ ~		
Schache, 2019 (25) Standard rehab and HAT 1 Standard rehab and general 1 function exercise		~ ~		~ ~			~ ~	
Vuorenmaa, 2014 (26) Intervention 1 Control		-	~		←		~	

The PT capture system consisted of 143 interventions divided into eight treatment categories: strengthening, flexibility, aerobic exercises, balance, task-specific activities, manual therapy, modalities, and patient education. Therapists documented their interventions using dropdown menus. Once a specific intervention was identified, additional dropdown menus appeared to describe dosage and intensity. More than 100 community-based physical therapists were trained to use this PT web-based capture system and its taxonomy when documenting PT care.

Over a period of 2 years, 83 physical therapists and PT assistants from eight practices located in three US states entered data for 161 patients post TKR with 2615 patient visits. No technical problems with the data capture system were reported, and physical therapists noted that data entry was quick and easy, typically taking less than 2 minutes. In 84% of the visits, all interventions were captured by the new taxonomy. The remaining 16% of visits captured interventions through text descriptions; 14% of these notes reported interventions not in the menus. These results demonstrate that routine PT interventions can be captured thoroughly in an efficient, systematic, and consistent manner across real-world therapists and sites.

In addition to demonstrating the clinical feasibility of this data capture system, the RWD captured by our system during routine post-TKR care confirm the wide variation in treatment content, dosage, and duration. We are analyzing these data to identify associations between practice factors and 6-month functional outcomes. Future implementation of this PT intervention capture system has the potential to accelerate CER on PT interventions to determine best practice PT post TKR.

Recently, this PT intervention taxonomy was applied to an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality–funded systematic review of pre- and post–total knee and hip rehabilitation practices (7). Application of this detailed taxonomy demonstrates that, as in clinical practice, there is the significant heterogeneity of rehabilitation interventions reported in the literature (Table 1). Specifically, application of the taxonomy to 83 studies demonstrated a lack of uniformity across interventions, with no consistent application of specific types of exercise, while, more importantly, providing little or no information about the dosage and intensity of the interventions. Without identifying and quantifying the components of the intervention, assessment of the comparative effectiveness of PT treatments is precluded.

This model highlights many important lessons for future use of RWD to support CER and PCOR. First, clinicians can collaborate to define a consistent, comprehensive library of interventions that capture uniform documentation of detailed components of clinical interventions across settings and over time. Second, clinicians in busy practices can complete these structured forms efficiently to improve the quality of future RWD. Last, researchers can use these data to answer important questions, to define best practices, and to monitor practice over time. In the future, EHRs can use application programming interfaces to merge data captured across EHRs to provide a single complete record of care. We believe that specialties throughout health care can use this model of collaboration to develop clinically relevant, efficient, and complete documentation systems that yield valid and consistent RWD for use in CER, PCOR, and quality improvement initiatives.

Carol A. Oatis, PT, PhD *Arcadia University Glenside, PA* Kristin J. Konnyu, PhD *Brown University Providence, RI* Patricia D. Franklin, MD, MBA, MPH *Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine Chicago, IL*

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. Drs. Oatis and Franklin had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study conception and design. Oatis, Konnyu, Franklin. Acquisition of data. Oatis, Franklin.

Analysis and interpretation of data. Oatis, Konnyu, Franklin.

- Hamman N, Izadi Z, Li J, Evans M, Kay J, Shiboski S, et al. The relationship between electronic health record system and performance on quality measures in the American College of Rheumatology's Rheumatology Informatics System for Effectiveness (RISE) registry: observational study. JMIR Med Inform 2021;9:e31186.
- Ghassemi M, Naumann T, Schulam P, Beam AL, Chen IY, Ranganath R. Practical guidance on artificial intelligence for healthcare data. Lancet Digit Health 2019;1:e157–9.
- Parikh RB, Teeple S, Navathe AS. Addressing bias in artificial intelligence in health care. JAMA 2019;322:2377–8.
- Jacobs H, Seeber GH, Allers K, Hoffmann H. Utilisation of outpatient physiotherapy in patients following total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2021;22:711.
- Naylor JM, Hart A, Harris IA, Lewin AM. Variation in rehabilitation setting after uncomplicated total knee or hip arthroplasty: a call for evidence-based guidelines. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2019;20:214.
- Oatis CA, Johnson JK, DeWan T, Donahue K, Li W, Franklin PD. Characteristics of usual physical therapy post-total knee replacement and their associations with functional outcomes. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2019;71:1171–7.
- Konnyu KJ, Thoma LM, Bhuma MR, Cao W, Adam GP, Mehta S, et al. Prehabilitation and rehabilitation for major joint replacement. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2021.
- Franklin P, Oatis CA, Zheng H, Westby MD, Peter W, Laraque-Two Elk J, et al. Web-based system to capture consistent and complete real-world data of physical therapy interventions following total knee replacement: methods to define and test structured data to accelerate comparative effectiveness research [preprint]. JMIR Preprints 2022.
- Artz N, Dixon S, Wylde V, Marques E, Beswick AD, Lenguerrand E, et al. Comparison of group-based outpatient physiotherapy with usual care after total knee replacement: a feasibility study for a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2017;31:487–99.

774

- Bade MJ, Struessel T, Dayton M, Foran J, Kim RH, Miner T, et al. Early high-intensity versus low-intensity rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017;69:1360–8.
- Bruun-Olsen V, Heiberg KE, Wahl AK, Mengshoel AM. The immediate and long-term effects of a walking-skill program compared to usual physiotherapy care in patients who have undergone total knee arthroplasty (TKA): a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil 2013;35: 2008–15.
- Cai L, Gao H, Xu H, Wang Y, Lyu P, Liu Y. Does a program based on cognitive behavioral therapy affect kinesiophobia in patients following total knee arthroplasty? A randomized, controlled trial with a 6-month follow-up. J Arthroplasty 2018;33:704–10.
- Fransen M, Nairn L, Bridgett L, Crosbie J, March L, Parker D, et al. Post-acute rehabilitation after total knee replacement: a multicenter randomized clinical trial comparing long-term outcomes. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017;69:192–200.
- Heikkilä A, Sevander-Kreus N, Häkkinen A, Vuorenmaa M, Salo P, Konsta P, et al. Effect of total knee replacement surgery and postoperative 12 month home exercise program on gait parameters. Gait Posture 2017;53:92–7.
- 15. Kauppila AM, Kyllönen E, Ohtonen P, Hämäläinen M, Mikkonen P, Laine V, et al. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation after primary total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled study of its effects on functional capacity and quality of life. Clin Rehabil 2010;24:398–411.
- Lenguerrand E, Artz N, Marques E, Sanderson E, Lewis K, Murray J, et al. Effect of group-based outpatient physical therapy on function after total knee replacement: results from a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2020;72:768–77.
- 17. Li Z, Jiang L, Lin J. The effect of education for daily physical activity level recovery of osteoarthritis patients after total knee arthroplasty. A prospective randomized controlled clinical trial using accelerometry. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2015;23:A373.
- 18. Liao CD, Lin LF, Huang YC, Huang SW, Chou LC, Liou TH. Functional outcomes of outpatient balance training following total knee

replacement in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2015;29:855–67.

- Liao CD, Tsauo JY, Chiu YS, Ku JW, Huang SW, Liou TH. Effects of elastic resistance exercise after total knee replacement on muscle mass and physical function in elderly women with osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020;99:381–9.
- Madsen M, Larsen K, Madsen IK, Søe H, Hansen TB. Late group-based rehabilitation has no advantages compared with supervised homeexercises after total knee arthroplasty. Dan Med J 2013;60:A4607.
- Minns Lowe CJ, Barker KL, Holder R, Sackley CM. Comparison of postdischarge physiotherapy versus usual care following primary total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis: an exploratory pilot randomized clinical trial. Clin Rehabil 2012;26:629–41.
- 22. Monticone M, Ferrante S, Rocca B, Salvaderi S, Fiorentini R, Restelli M, et al. Home-based functional exercises aimed at managing kinesio-phobia contribute to improving disability and quality of life of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013;94:231–9.
- Moutzouri M, Gleeson N, Coutts F, Tsepis E, Gliatis J. Early selfmanaged focal sensorimotor rehabilitative training enhances functional mobility and sensorimotor function in patients following total knee replacement: a controlled clinical trial. Clin Rehabil 2018;32:888–98.
- 24. Piva SR, Almeida GJ, Gil AB, DiGioia AM, Helsel DL, Sowa GA. Effect of comprehensive behavioral and exercise intervention on physical function and activity participation after total knee replacement: a pilot randomized study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017;69:1855–62.
- Schache MB, McClelland JA, Webster KE. Incorporating hip abductor strengthening exercises into a rehabilitation program did not improve outcomes in people following total knee arthroplasty: a randomised trial. J Physiother 2019;65:136–43.
- Vuorenmaa M, Ylinen J, Piitulainen K, Salo P, Kautiainen H, Pesola M, et al. Efficacy of a 12-month, monitored home exercise programme compared with normal care commencing 2 months after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. J Rehabil Med 2014;46: 166–72.