RESEARCH ARTICLE



Orientocardiochiles, a new genus of Cardiochilinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae), with descriptions of two new species from Malaysia and Vietnam

Ilgoo Kang¹, Khuat Dang Long², Michael J. Sharkey³, James B. Whitfield⁴, Nathan P. Lord¹

I Department of Entomology, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, 404 Life Sciences Building, Baton Rouge, LA, 70803, USA 2 Institute of Ecology & Biological Resources, Vietnam Academy of Science & Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, Cau Giay, Ha Noi, Vietnam 3 Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky, Kentucky, USA 4 Department of Entomology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA

Corresponding author: Ilgoo Kang (ikang1@lsu.edu)

Academic editor: Kees van Achterberg | Received 15 July 2020 | Accepted 30 August 2020 | Published 24 September 2020

http://zoobank.org/8996028A-43C1-4B47-A4A5-CBAD2A39EBE3

Citation: Kang I, Long KD, Sharkey MJ, Whitfield JB, Lord NP (2020) *Orientocardiochiles*, a new genus of Cardiochilinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae), with descriptions of two new species from Malaysia and Vietnam. ZooKeys 971: 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.971.56571

Abstract

For the first time in 21 years, a new genus of cardiochiline braconid wasp, *Orientocardiochiles* Kang & Long, **gen. nov.** (type species *Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi* Kang, **sp. nov.**), is discovered and described. This genus represents the ninth genus in the Oriental region. Two new species (*O. joeburrowi* Kang, **sp. nov.** and *O. nigrofasciatus* Long, **sp. nov.**) are described and illustrated, and a key to species of the genus, with detailed images, is added. Diagnostic characters of the new genus are analyzed and compared with several other cardiochiline genera to allow the genus to key out properly using an existing generic treatment. The scientific names validated by this paper and morphological data obtained from this project will be utilized and tested in the upcoming genus-level revision of the subfamily based on combined morphological and molecular data.

Keywords

Malaysia, morphology, parasitoid wasp, taxonomy, type species, Vietnam

Introduction

Cardiochilinae Ashmead, 1900 is a relatively small subfamily of Braconidae Nees, 1811, comprising 221 described species in 16 genera (Yu et al. 2016) and with five of these species recently described (Edmardash et al. 2018; Long et. al. 2019; Dabek et al. 2020). The distribution of the members of the subfamily is cosmopolitan, with the highest diversity in tropical and arid regions (Dangerfield et al. 1999). Members of eight genera are known from the Oriental region, including the introduced genus, *Toxoneuron* (Say, 1836) (Dangerfield et al. 1999). Four cardiochiline braconid genera are known from Malaysia: *Bohayella* Belokobylskij, 1987; *Cardiochiles* Nees, 1819; *Hartemita* Cameron, 1910; and *Psilommiscus* Enderlein, 1912 (Dangerfield et al. 1999). In Vietnam, four genera, *Bohayella, Cardiochiles, Hartemita*, and *Austrocardiochiles* Dangerfield, Austin & Whitfield, 1999, are reported (Dangerfield et al. 1999; Long and Belokobylskij 2003; Long and van Achterberg 2011a, b, 2014; Long et al. 2019). *Orientocardiochiles* Kang & Long, gen. nov. represents the 17th genus in the world, the ninth genus in the Oriental region, and the fifth genus in both Malaysia and Vietnam.

Females of the subfamily are known as solitary koinobiont endoparasitoids of lepidopteran larvae, laying only one egg in each host and allowing the host to continue its development while parasitized. Larvae of Pyralidae and Noctuidae, among other families, are typically hosts and include important crop pests such as the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (Fabricius, 1777), and cotton bollworm, *Heliocoverpa armigera* (Hübner, 1808) (Huddleston and Walker 1988). Of the 13 cardiochiline species of Vietnam's fauna, one species, *Cardiochiles philippensis* Ashmead, 1905, is reported as an endoparasitoid reared from larvae of the rice leaf-folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenée, 1854) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (Long and Belokobylskij 2003).

Materials and methods

The type specimens for the present work were provided by the Braconidae Collection of the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources (IEBR: Ha Noi, Vietnam), the Hymenoptera Institute (HIC: 116 Franklin Ave., Redlands, California, USA), and Museums Victoria (MVMA: Melbourne, Victoria, Australia). Other materials were borrowed from HIC and Illinois Natural History Survey (INHS: Champaign, Illinois, USA). All HIC material will be deposited in the Canadian National Collection of Insects (CNC: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada), including the holotype of *Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi* Kang sp. nov. and the holotype of *Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus* Long, sp. nov. is housed in IEBR.

The morphological terminology mostly follows Dangerfield et al. (1999) and van Achterberg (1993). Morphological terminology can also be checked at the Hymenoptera Ontology website (http://portal.hymao.org/projects/32/public/ontology/). Terms for sculpture are based on Harris (1979), and wing vein terminology mostly follows the modified Comstock-Needham system (van Achterberg 1993). Definitions of the morphological measurements used in this study are mostly based on van Achterberg (1988). For the specimen of *O. joeburrowi* sp. nov., morphological analysis was conducted using a Leica MZ75 stereomicroscope. Color habitus images were captured using a Visionary Digital BK Plus imaging system (Dun, Inc.), equipped with a Canon EOS 5DS R DSLR camera. Images were stacked in Zerene Stacker v. 1.04 (Zerene Systems LLC.). All images were made by IK and edited in Adobe Photoshop CS 6 (Adobe Systems, Inc). Body parts of the specimen were also measured using Adobe Photoshop CS 6 (Adobe Systems, Inc).

For the specimen of *O. nigrofasciatus* sp. nov., morphological analysis was conducted using an Olympus SZ61 binocular microscope; measurement were carried out using an Olympus SZ40 binocular microscope; the photographs were produced by KDL with a Sony 5000 digital camera attached to a Nikon SMZ 800N binocular microscope at IEBR and processed with Adobe Photoshop CS5 to adjust the size and background.

Abbreviations used in this paper are as follows: POL: distance between posterior ocelli; OOL: distance between posterior ocelli and eye; OD: diameter of posterior ocellus; T1: first metasomal tergum; T2: second metasomal tergum; T3: third metasomal tergum; MT: Malaise trap; "Card. + number": code number indexing for specimens of the Cardiochilinae in the collection at IEBR; NP: National Park, S: South.

The key to species of *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. and descriptions of the two species are based on females. Distribution maps were produced using QGIS 3.10.0 (QGIS Development Team 2019). Google satellite maps were downloaded via the QuickMapServices plugin.

Results

Taxonomy

Orientocardiochiles Kang & Long, gen. nov. http://zoobank.org/B3CD416F-0231-46D0-92F9-9EDD6A6B7204

Type species. Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi Kang, sp. nov.

Diagnosis (based on all the members of the genus). Body large and stout, finely sculptured, whitish to yellow pale in color with black spots and stripes. Head in dorsal view transverse. Antenna 41- or 43-segmented. Eyes sparsely pilose. Clypeus with distinct suture and two clypeal tubercles present apically. Malar suture present. Mandible bidentate and angularly bent ventrally. Mouthparts (the length of galea and glossa) short. Maxillary palpus 5- or 6-segmented. Labial palpi 4-segmented. Notauli deep, crenulate, meeting posteriorly in deep smooth area. Scutellar sulcus curved, with 5+ crenulae. Scutellum more or less elevated medially, without carina laterally and apically. Propodeal areola completely developed and kite-shaped or elongated pentagonal. Epicnemial carina absent. Mesopleuron mostly smooth; precoxal sulcus well-defined and crenulate, not reaching posterior margin. Metapleuron rugulose. Mesosternal sulcus finely crenulate. Hind tibia without apical projection; inner tibial spur distinctly longer than outside spur, subequal to half of hind basitarsus. Tarsal claws pectinate. Forewing with elongated pterostigma; vein r reaching at apical fourth of pterostigma; SR1 sharply angled at basal fourth; basal fourth of vein SR1 almost perpendicular to apical vein 3-SR. Vein 1a present as a spectral short trace; 1st discal cell in forewing rather short compared to first submarginal cell. Second submarginal cell elongated. First subdiscal (brachial) cell broad. M+CU in hind wing distinctly shorter than 1-M. Hind wing with 6 hamuli. T1 widened apically, with lateral suture clearly defined throughout. T2 mostly rugose except for plateau-like projection (Figs 2D, 5G); plateau-like projection of T2 present at anteromedial base. T3 entirely smooth. Hypopygium sharply pointed at apex, median longitudinal area evenly sclerotized or largely desclerotized medially throughout; median enfold of hypopygium present or absent. Ovipositor sheath longer than metasoma, pointed at apex, and with short setae throughout.

Distribution. Oriental (Malaysia, Vietnam).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. The name for the genus refers to *Cardiochiles* from the Oriental region. From "orientum" (Latin for the eastern region) and the generic name "*Cardiochiles* Nees, 1819." Gender: masculine.

Notes. Orientocardiochiles gen. nov. will run to couplet 9 in the key to world genera by Dangerfield et al. (1999), but it can be distinguished from *Austerocardiochiles* in the couplet 9b of the key as follows:

Key to species of the genus Orientocardiochiles Kang & Long, gen. nov.



Figure 1. *Austerocardiochiles pollinator*, paratype. **A** Lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** ventral metasoma; arrow: median enfold on hypopygium **D** dorsal propodeum and metasomal terga 1 to 3; arrow: lens-shaped area **E** dorsal mesonotum **F** anterior head **G** claws.

Species descriptions

Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi Kang, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/C653D411-AED6-45FA-8BE7-254DBDA02BD9 Fig. 2A–G

Material examined. *Holotype* MALAYSIA • \bigcirc ; female, Perlis, Wang Kelian; 6°40'40.94"N, 100°11'23.94"E; xi.2008; Sharkey & Norliyana.

Description. Body large and stout, 9.1mm. Antenna 6.4 mm. Length of forewing 9.6 mm. Ovipositor sheath 4.4 mm. *Head.* Antenna 41-segmented; length of scape 1.3 × longer than its width (30:23); third segment (basal flagellomere) 2.2 × longer than second segment (pedicel) (29:13); apical segment 1.9 × longer than subapical segment (15:8). Clypeal suture distinct (Fig. 2F); with two well-developed tubercles; width of clypeus 1.9 × its height (72:44); face width 0.9 × length of face and clypeus combined (11:12); distance between tentorial pits 1.9 × distance between a pit and eye margin (60:32). Mandible bidentate; basal width of mandible 0.7 × longer than the distance from mandible to eye margin (22:31). Maxillary palpus 5-segmented. Labial palpus 4-segmented. Galea short with dense setae (Fig. 2F). Glossa short (Fig. 2F). Head transverse, median length 0.35 × longer than the maximum width of head in dorsal view (75:217). Eye length 2.0 × length of temple as viewed dorsally (72:36). Ocellar triangle marginated with shallow suture; POL:OD:OOL= 10:18:42.



Figure 2. *Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi* sp. nov., holotype. **A** Lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** ventral metasoma; arrow: hypopygium **D** dorsal propodeum and metasomal terga 1–3; arrow: plateau-like projection **E** dorsal mesonotum **F** anterior head **G** claws.

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma $1.4 \times$ its height (37:26). Notauli present (Figs 2B, E). Mesoscutum with shallow submarginal furrows (Fig. 2E). Scutellar sulcus curved with 5 crenulae, 0.33 × longer than median length of scutellum (19:57) (Fig. 2E). Postscutellar depression absent. Propodeum rugulose; propodeal areola kite-shaped, length of median areola 1.8 × longer than its maximum width (60:34); median transverse carina on the propodeum reaching lateral margin (Fig. 2D). Pronotum mostly smooth and carinate posteriorly. Mesopleuron mostly smooth; precoxal sulcus well-defined and crenulate, not reaching posterior margin. Metapleuron rugulose. Mesosternal sulcus with few barely perceptible crenulae. *Legs.* Fore tibial spur $0.57 \times$ basitarsus (44:77). Length of hind femur, tibia and basitarsus 3.8× (210:55), 7.1× (320:45) and 6.0 × (18:3) longer than maximum width of each. Basal spur of mid tibia 0.58× longer than length of mid-basitarsus (67:115). Basal spur of hind tibia 1.8 × longer than length of apical spur (88:49), and $0.49 \times \text{longer than length of hind basitarsus (88:178)}$. Hind basitarsus $0.56 \times \text{longer}$ than length of hind tibia (18:32), and $0.96 \times \text{longer}$ than length of remaining hind tarsi 2-5 (178:185). Hind tarsal claws pectinate with 10 teeth (Fig. 2G). Wings. Length of forewing 3.2 × longer than its maximum width (96:32). Length of pterostigma 4.4 × longer than its width (191:44). Forewing r:3-SR:2-SR= 33:165:99; 1-M 2.4 × longer than m-cu (88:36); 2-SR+M 1.63 × longer than m-cu (59:36); 1-CU1 0.23 × longer than 2-CU1 (22:96) and 0.37 × longer than cu-a (22:59). Length of hind wing 5.2 × longer than its maximum width (78:15); second submarginal cell trapezoid, maximum length of the cell 3.15× longer than its maximum height (262:83) (Fig. 2A). Hind wing M+CU distinctly shorter than 1-M, and 0.63 × longer than 1-M (75:119); 1-M 3.6 × longer than length of 1r-m (119:33);

2-SC+R horizontal to the longitudinal axis of hind wing; 2-1A absent. *Metasoma*. T1 punctate medially, about $1.1 \times$ longer than its apical width (133:125). (Fig. 2D). T2 dorsally rectangular; median length of T2 $0.34 \times$ longer than its apical width (50:146), and $0.74 \times$ as long as median length of T3 (50:67) (Figs 2B, D). T3 entirely smooth (Fig. 2D). Hypopygium acute apically, fully sclerotized without median suture (Fig. 2A, C). Ovipositor length about $1.23 \times$ longer than length of metasoma (57:46). Ovipositor sheaths densely setose throughout; setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.95 \times longer than length of metasoma (44:46), $1.38 \times$ longer than length of hind tibia (44:32), and $0.46 \times$ longer than length of forewing (44:96).

Color. Body mostly whitish pale and appearing striped; the following areas melanic: antenna, vertex, median mesonotal lobe (mostly melanic except for posterior area), lateral mesonotal lobe (pale basally), scutellum, anterior propodeum, fore trochantellus, basal fore femur, mid trochanter (mostly) and trochanterllus, hind coxa with a large melanic spot posterolaterally, entire hind trochanter and trochantellus, hind femur (except for anteromedial area), mid and hind tarsi, median tergum 1, entire tergum 2, anterior terga 3–6, posterior tergum 7, ovipositor and external ovipositor sheaths. Wings entirely lightly infuscate, stigma dark brown but centrally pale.

Male. Unknown.

Etymology. Named in honor of Joseph Lee Burrow, the world-class college football quarterback for the LSU Tigers and the 2019 Heisman Trophy winner.

Host(s). Unknown.



Figure 3. Distribution map of the members of Orientocardiochiles gen. nov. in Malaysia and Vietnam.



Figure 4. A Distribution map of *Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi* sp. nov. in Wang Kelian **B** distribution map of *O. joeburrowi* sp. nov. in Malaysia.

Distribution. Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi sp. nov., is known from only one female specimen collected from Wang Kelian, Malaysia, which is near the Thailand–Malaysia border (Fig. 4A, B).

Notes. Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi sp. nov., can be distinguished from O. nigrofasciatus sp. nov. due to the following diagnostic characters of the genus: i) forewing entirely lightly infuscate; ii) propodeum without short longitudinal carina anteriorly; iii) propodeal areola quadrate (kite-shaped); iv) hind tarsal claw pectinate with 10 teeth; v) hypopygium entirely sclerotized and without median enfold; vi) scapus entirely brown.

Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus Long, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/7F9CC61E-28E0-45A2-B6C4-40FA9F4231A9 Fig. 5A–O

Material examined. *Holotype*, VIETNAM ● ♀; female, "**Card.101**" (IEBR), S. Vietnam: Lam Dong, Cat Tien NP, forest; 11°18'N, 107°26'E, 100 m; 8.iv.2007; MP Quy.

Description. Body length 9.7 mm. Length of forewing 9.0 mm. Antenna 7.0 mm, ovipositor sheath 4.7 mm. *Head.* Antenna with 43 segments; length of scape $1.4 \times \text{longer than its width (18:13); third segment 1.5 \times \text{longer than second segment (15:10); apical segment 2.25 \times \text{longer than subapical segment (4:10). Clypeal suture distinct; ventral margin of clypeus evenly convex with indistinct tubercles (Fig. 5C);$



Figure 5. Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus sp. nov. A Lateral habitus (holotype, female) B head (dorsal view) C head (anterior view) D head (lateral view) E dorsal mesonotum F lateral mesonotum G metasoma (dorsal view) H forewing I hind wing J propodeum K hamuli on hind wing L hind tarsal claw M ventro-lateral mesonotum N ventral metasoma O apex of ovipositor sheath (lateral view).

width of clypeus $1.8 \times$ longer than its height (35:19); face width $0.9 \times$ length of face and clypeus combined (28:32); distance between tentorial pits 1.9 × distance between pit and eve margin (15:8) (Fig. 5C). Mandible angularly bent ventrally (Fig. 5C); basal width of mandible $0.8 \times$ distance from mandible to eye margin (8:10). Frons depressed laterally, with tubercle anteriorly, almost smooth; in dorsal view. Head transverse; median length of head $0.45 \times$ its width (25:56) in dorsal view. Eye length $1.9 \times$ length of temple (17:9). Ocelli rather large; POL:OD:OOL=3:4:13 (Fig. 5B). Vertex sparsely punctate anteriorly, rugose-punctate posteriorly; in lateral view. Length of eve $1.3 \times$ temple (18:14); temple sparsely punctate (Fig. 5D). Mesosoma. Mesosoma robust; length of mesosoma 1.6 × height (55:35) (Fig. 5F). Pronotal side large, almost smooth. Notauli evenly deep, crenulate, meeting deep smooth area posteriorly (Fig. 5E). Lobes of mesoscutum shiny, sparsely punctate. Scutellum slightly convex medially, densely and finely punctate. Scutellar sulcus rather narrow, curved, with 5+ crenulae, median length of scutellar sulcus $0.3 \times \text{longer than median length of scutellum } (7:24)$ (Fig. 5E). Propodeal areola length 1.8 × longer than its width (27:15). Epicnemial carina absent (Fig. 5M). Precoxal sulcus wide, shallow, crenulate (Fig. 5F). Mesopleuron sparsely and finely punctate. Subalar space crenulate. Metapleuron smooth anteriorly, foveate anteriorly. Propodeum with short basal carina; propodeal areola complete, almost occupying whole length of propodeum, areola with two median transverse carinae (Fig. 5]); propodeum coarsely rugose laterobasally. Legs. Fore tibial spur 0.6 × longer than basitarsus (21:35). Length of hind femur, tibia and basitarsus 3.0, 7.0 and 8.0 \times longer than their maximum width, respectively. Hind coxa shiny, smooth. Hind femur sparsely punctate. Hind tibia without apical projection; inner hind tibial spur $1.6 \times$ longer than outer spur (16:10) and $0.5 \times$ longer than hind basitarsus (16:32). Hind basitarsus $0.5 \times \text{longer than hind tibia}$ (32:63), $0.9 \times \text{longer than hind tarsus } 2-5$ (32:37). Hind tarsal claw pectinate, with 4 teeth (Fig. 5L). Wings. Length of forewing 3.1 × longer than its maximum width (90:29). Pterostigma elongate; length of pterostigma 5.0 × longer than its width (45:9) (Fig. 5H). r:3-SR:2-SR=6:33:18. 1-M 2.4 × as long as m-cu (17:7). 2-SR+M 1.7 × as long as m-cu (22:13). 1-CU1 0.14 × 2-CU1 (4:29) and $0.22 \times \text{cu-a}$ (4:18). 1a present as a spectral short trace. Second submarginal cell long, maximum length 3.1 × longer than its maximum width (90:29) (Fig. 5H). Subdiscal cell broad. Length of hind wing $5.3 \times \text{longer than its maximum width (101:19)}$. M+CU of hind wing distinctly shorter 1-M, and 0.65 × longer than 1-M (15:23). 1-M $4.6 \times 1r$ -m (23:5). 2-SC+R horizontal to the longitudinal axis of hind wing (Fig. 5I). Hind wing with six hamuli (Fig. 5K). *Metasoma*. T1 widened apically, 0.96 × longer than it is wide (28:29) (Fig. 5G); coriaceous smooth basally, almost punctate-reticulate medially, rugose apically. T2 transverse, without emarginate basal area, largely rugose (Fig. 5G); median length of T2 $0.3 \times$ longer than its apical width (10:32), and $0.6 \times$ longer than median length of T3 (10:16). T3 sparsely and finely punctate. Remaining tergites almost smooth (Fig. 5G). Hypopygium sharply pointed at apex, median longitudinal area largely desclerotized and folded inwards throughout (Fig. 5N). Ovipositor sheath slender, pointed at apex and shortly setose (Fig. 5O); setose part of ovipositor sheath 1.4 × longer than length of metasoma (64:47), 2.0 × longer than length of hind tibia (64:32), and $0.7 \times \text{longer than length of forewing (64:90)}$.

Color. Pale yellow; antenna black, except scape yellow; stemmaticum and vertex posteriorly black (Fig. 5B); lobes of mesoscutum largely black medially; scutellum black, pale yellow laterally and apically (Fig. 5E); propodeum black medio-basally (Fig. 5J); propleuron posteriorly, mesopleuron medio-dorsally and mesosternum black (Fig. 5F); fore and middle legs pale yellow, except middle trochanters, trochantellus, and tarsus yellowish-brown; hind coxa dorso-basally and ventrally, trochanters, trochantellus, femur basally and apically, hind tibia at base and apically, hind tarsus brown; wing veins brown; wing membrane hyaline, apex of forewing blackish-brown (Fig. 5H); first metasomal tergite with large median black patch; second tergite black, except basal small round yellow area; third tergite pale yellow; fourth–sixth tergites with basal black stripes (Fig. 5G); seventh tergite black apically.

Male. Unknown.

Etymology. From "nigro" (Latin for "black"), and "fascia" (Latin for "band", "zone", "stripe"), because of black stripes basally on metasomal tergites 4–6.

Host(s). Unknown.

Distribution. Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus sp. nov., is known from only one female specimen collected from Cat Tien NP, S. Vietnam. (Fig. 6A, B).

Notes. Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus sp. nov., from Vietnam can be separated from Orientocardiochiles joeburrowi sp. nov., from Malaysia by the following characters: i) forewing apically strongly infuscate; ii) propodeum with short longitudinal carina anteriorly; iii) propodeal areola pentagonal; iv) hind tarsal claw pectinate with four teeth; v) hypopygium with median longitudinal fold; vi) scape mostly yellow, except for the dorso-apical region.



Figure 6. A Distribution map of *Orientocardiochiles nigrofasciatus* sp. nov. in Cat Tien NP **B** distribution map of *O. nigrofasciatus* sp. nov. in Vietnam.

Discussion

Character discussion

Members of *Orientocardiochiles* Kang & Long, gen. nov., *Austerocardiochiles* Dangerfield, Austin, & Whitfield, 1999, *Hansonia* Dangerfield, 1996, *Heteropteron* Brullé, 1846, and *Wesmaelella* Spinola, 1851 share the presence of lateral sutures on the first metasomal tergum that are clearly defined throughout the length of the tergum (Figs 1D, 2D, 5G). According to the most recent complete genus-level phylogeny of the subfamily based on morphological characters (Dangerfield et al. 1999), three monophyletic clades are separated based on the presence or absence and length of eye setae.

The basal clade of Dangerfield et al. (1999) is composed of members of *Heteropteron* and *Wesmaelella* that have glabrous eyes (Fig. 7B). The members of these genera do not possess clypeal tubercles, nor a median areola on propodeum (Fig. 7A, B). Also absent is the lens-shaped area, or plateau-like projection on the second metasomal tergum. Based on the characters mentioned, *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. cannot be placed in the basal clade.

Clade A of Dangerfield et al. (1999) contains *Hansonia* and other genera that have short and sparse eye setae as in *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. (Figs 2F, 5C, D). Members of *Austerocardiochiles* and other genera in clade B mostly have long and dense eye setae (Fig. 1F), unlike *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. Based on the eye setae character, *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. can be placed in clade A. However, *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. could be included in the clade B if the short eye setae character is an independently developed character, as has occurred in one of the basal genera of the clade B, *Asiacardiochiles* Telenga, 1955. Based on the hypopygial median fold of *O. nigrofasciatus* sp. nov. (Fig. 5N), placing *Orientocardiochiles* gen. nov. in clade B is more probable than clade A because members of two genera in clade B, *Austerocardiochiles* and *Cardiochiles*, possess the hypopygial median fold (Fig. 1C).

Distribution and diversity

As mentioned in the Introduction, members of Cardiochilinae are distributed worldwide. Regarding the genus-level diversity, the Australasian region has the highest diversity. Ten cardiochiline genera have been recorded from the Australasian region (Dangerfield et al. 1999; Yu et al. 2016). As a result of this work, we confirmed that Cardiochilinae exhibits the second highest genus-level diversity in the Oriental region. Nine genera, including the introduced genus *Toxoneuron* (Say, 1836), are recorded from the region. Five genera have been recorded from Malaysia and Vietnam in each country. More genera will be likely to be found in Malaysia and Vietnam after further collecting.

Future directions

In the past two decades, molecular data combined with morphological data has been widely utilized to improve resolution of the species-, genus-, tribe-, and subfamily-level



Figure 7. *Heteropteron* sp. A Dorsal propodeum B anterior head.

relationships of Braconidae. However, a genus-level phylogeny of Cardiochilinae based on molecular data is lacking. This shows the necessity of a novel phylogeny. Therefore, IK is in process of conducting a new genus-level revision of Cardiochilinae to elucidate the genus-level relationships of the subfamily using a novel phylogeny based on combined molecular and morphological data.

Acknowledgements

The first author, IK, thanks all members of the Lord Lab and Louisiana State Arthropod Museum and is grateful to the Department of Entomology for LSU Agricultural Center for financial support. KDL expresses the great gratitude to Dr Kees van Achterberg, Department of Terrestrial Zoology, Naturalis Biodiversity Center Leiden (RMNH), the Netherlands. We thank Dr Mai Phu Quy at IEBR, Simon Hinkley, and Claire Keely at the Museums Victoria, and Dr Tommy McElrath at the IN HS for the loans of specimens. We also thank Dr James M. Carpenter at the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), New York, USA for providing some literature. This study was partially supported by NSF DEB #1841704 to NPL and the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED), grant no. 106-NN.05-2016.08.

References

Ashmead WH (1905) New Hymenoptera from the Philippines. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 29(1416): 107–119. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.29-1416.107

- Belokobylskij SA (1987) A new genus in the subfamily Cardiochilinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from the Soviet Far East. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal 66(2): 302–304.
- Brullé GA (1846) Suites à Buffon: histoire naturelle des insects: hyménoptéres. Paris Roret 4: 1–689.
- Cameron P (1910) On some Asiatic species of the subfamilies Spathinae, Doryctinae, Rhogadinae, Cardiochilinae and Macrocentrinae in the Royal Berlin Zoological Museum. Wiener Entomologische Zeitung 29: 93–100. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.23337
- Dabek EZ, Whitfield JB, Hallwachs W, Janzen DH (2020) Two new reared species of *Heteropteron* Brullé (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Cardiochilinae) from northwest Costa Rica, with the first definitive host records for the genus. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 77: 151–165. https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.77.50577
- Dangerfield PC, Whitfield JB, Sharkey MJ, Janzen DH, Mercado I (1996) Hansonia, a new genus of Cardiochiline Braconidae (Hymenoptera) from Costa Rica, with notes on its biology. Hansonia, un nuevo género de Braconidae Cardiochiline (Hymenoptera) de Costa Rica, con notas sobre su biología. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 98(3): 592–596.
- Dangerfield PC, Austin AD, Whitfield JB (1999) Systematics of the world genera of Cardiochilinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Invertebrate Systematics 13(6): 917–976. https://doi.org/10.1071/IT98020
- Edmardash YA, Gadallah NS, Sharkey MJ (2018) Revision of the subfamily Cardiochilinae Ashmead, 1900 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in Egypt, with new records and a new species. Journal of Natural History 52(5–6): 269–297. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2017. 1420834
- Enderlein G (1912) Neue Gattungen und Arten von Braconiden. Archiv für Naturgeschichte 78: 94–100. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.27904
- Harris RA (1979) A glossary of surface sculpturing. Occasional Papers in Entomology, California Department of Food and Agriculture 28: 1–33.
- Huddleston T, Walker AK (1988) Cardiochiles (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of lepidopterous larvae, in the Sahel of Africa, with a review of the biology and host relationships of the genus. Bulletin of entomological research 78(3): 435–461. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0007485300013201
- Long KD, Belokobylskij SA (2003) A preliminary list of the Braconidae (Hymenoptera) of Vietnam. Russian Entomogical Journal 12(4): 385–398.
- Long KD, van Achterberg C (2011a) Review of the genus *Hartemita* Cameron, 1910 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Cardiochilinae) with the description of six new species from Vietnam. ZooKeys 102: 23–40. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.102.879
- Long KD, van Achterberg C (2011b) Two new species of the genus *Hartemita* Cameron, 1910 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Cardiochilinae) from Vietnam. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 154: 223–228. https://doi.org/10.1163/22119434-900000322
- Long KD, van Achterberg C (2014) An additional list with new records of braconid wasps of the family Braconidae (Hymenoptera) from Vietnam. Tap chi Sinh hoc 36(4): 397–415. https://doi.org/10.15625/0866-7160/v36n4.5979

- Long KD, Oanh NT, Dzuong NV, Hoa DT (2019) Two new species of the genus Austerocardiochiles Dangerfield, Austin & Whitfield, 1999 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Cardiochilinae) from Vietnam. Zootaxa 4657(3): 11. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4657.3.11
- Nees von Esenbeck CG (1811) Ichneumonoids Adsciti. Genera et Familias Divisi. Magazin Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin 5: 3–37.
- Nees von Esenbeck CG (1819) Appendix ad JLC Gravenhorst conspectum generum et familiarum Ichneumonidum, genera et familias Ichneumonidum adscitorum exhibens. Nova Acta Leopid. Carol. 9: 299–310.
- Say T (1836) Descriptions of new species of North American Hymenoptera, and observation on some already described. Boston Journal of Natural History 1(3): 209–305.
- Spinola M (1851). Hyménopteros. In: Gay C (Ed.) Historia Fisica y Politica de Chile. Tomo 6. Zoologia, Maulde y Renon, Paris, 153–569. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.16172
- Telenga NA (1955) Hymenoptera. Vol. 5 No 4. Family Braconidae: Subfamily Microgasterinae, Subfamily Agathidinae. Fauna SSSR (NS) 61: 1–312.
- QGIS Development Team (2019) QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgis.osgeo.org
- van Achterberg C (1988) Revision of the subfamily Blacinae Foerster (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). Zoologische Verhandelingen Leiden 249: 1–324.
- van Achterberg C (1993) Illustrated key to the subfamilies of the Braconidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea). Zoologische Verhandelingen Leiden 283: 1–189.
- Viereck HL (1914) Type species of the genera of ichneumon-flies. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 83: 1–186. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.83.1
- Yu DS, van Achterberg K, Horstmann K (2016) Biological and taxonomical information: Ichneumonoidea 2016. Taxapad Interactive Catalogue, Ottawa. Database on flash drive. https://www.taxapad.com/global.php [accessed 18 January 2019]