JACC: ADVANCES © 2023 THE AUTHOR. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Vitamins to Treat Heart Disease



Can Coronary Calcium Progression Show Us the Path Forward?*

Matthew Budoff, MD

n this issue of JACC: Advances, the AVADEC trial (The Aortic Valve Decalcification Trial) is discussed, which is an investigator-initiated randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled multicenter trial with the primary aim to investigate progression of coronary artery calcium (CAC) in persons with aortic valve calcification under the influence of vitamin K2 and vitamin D for 24 months.1 A total of 304 (male, mean age 71 years) were randomized to supplementation with vitamin K2 (720 µg/day) and vitamin D (25 µg/day) vs placebo in a multicenter double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Overall, there was no significant change in CAC progression, although a trend appeared favoring active treatment at 24 months ($\Delta 203$ vs $\Delta 254$ AU, P = 0.089). In a subset of patients with CAC score \geq 400, CAC progression was lower with active treatment ($\Delta 288$ vs $\Delta 380$ AU, P = 0.047).

CAC can be used to detect atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries independent of traditional risk factors and also helps in cardiovascular risk stratification and predicts future cardiac events, including mortality.² CAC progression has been demonstrated to be associated with all-cause mortality and ASCVD events, independent of baseline CAC score, age, and risk factors. Several prior multicenter observational studies reported that the progression of CAC is directly associated with worse cardiovascular outcomes. A study by Budoff et al using Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis dataset examined association between CAC progression and coronary heart disease outcomes with the interscan interval of 2.5 years. This study reported that the progression of CAC >100/year is associated with increased hard coronary heart disease outcomes with HR of 1.3 (95% CI: 1.1-1.5) at a median follow-up of 7.6 years.³

Another study of 4,609 consecutive asymptomatic individuals demonstrated that CAC progression was significantly associated with all-cause mortality regardless of baseline CAC score, time between scans, demographics, and other cardiovascular risk factors. The study reasoned that individuals with a baseline CAC \geq 30 could benefit from assessment of CAC progression to add incremental information for prognostication and risk factor assessment for those individuals.⁴

Thus, the finding that vitamins K2 and D could slow CAC progression is concordant with prior studies and biologically plausible. Several prior studies of vitamin K2 have demonstrated slowing of atherosclerotic plaque, and this AVADEC study adds to the literature in that regard.

Biologically, there is evidence that vitamin K2 plays a role in protection against vascular calcification, theoretically by stimulating matrix Gla protein. Data on vitamin D is less well developed and unlikely to demonstrate benefit in cardiovascular outcome studies, based on 2 large prospective randomized trials of vitamin D3 totally >30,000 participants between them, both of which returned null results.⁵

Since CAC progression is a strong predictor of future cardiovascular disease event, and slowing CAC progression is associated with less coronary progression on computed tomography angiography studies, it makes an excellent surrogate marker. The finding that the subgroup of CAC >400 shows benefit is being used by the investigators to power a

^{*}Editorials published in *JACC: Advances* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Advances* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Division of Cardiology, UCLA School of Medicine, Torrance, California, USA.

The author attests they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the author's institution and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

2

new study to evaluate the potential cardiovascular benefits of vitamin K.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The author has reported that he has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Hasific S, Oevrehus KA, Lindholt JS, et al. Effects of vitamin K2 and D supplementation on coronary artery disease in men: a RCT. *JACC: Adv.* 2023;2:100643.

2. Budoff MJ, Kinninger A, Gransar H, et al. When does a calcium score equates to secondary prevention?: insights from the multinational confirm registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img.* 2023;16(9): 1181-1189.

3. Budoff MJ, Young R, Lopez VA, et al. Progression of coronary calcium and incident coronary heart disease events: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61(12):1231-1239.

4. Budoff MJ, Hokanson JE, Nasir K, et al. Progression of coronary artery calcium predicts all-cause mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol Img. 2010;3(12):1229-1236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcmg.2010.08.018

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Matthew Budoff, UCLA School of Medicine, Lundquist Institute at Harbor-UCLA, 1124 W Carson Street, CDCRC, Torrance, California 90502, USA. E-mail: mbudoff@ lundquist.org.

> **5.** Hiemstra TF, Lim K, Thadhani R, Manson JE. Vitamin D and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2019;104(9):4033-4050. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2019-00194

> KEY WORDS coronary artery calcium, coronary heart disease, progression of heart disease, vitamin K, vitamin D