

Hodgkin's disease: Case control epidemiological study in Yorkshire

S.M. Bernard^{1,4}, R.A. Cartwright², C.M. Darwin^{1,4}, I.D.G. Richards¹, B. Roberts³,
C. O'Brien⁴ & C.C. Bird⁴

¹Department of Community Medicine, University of Leeds, 32 Hyde Terrace; ²Yorkshire Regional Cancer Organisation, Cookridge Hospital; ³Department of Haematology, Leeds General Infirmary, Great George Street and ⁴Department of Pathology, University of Leeds, Leeds, U.K.

Summary This is the first report of a case-control epidemiological study on lymphomas and leukaemias occurring in Yorkshire during 1979–84. This paper deals with the results of the Hodgkin's disease analysis comprising 248 cases and 489 controls. The results indicate support for previous work with respect to small family size and past history of infectious mononucleosis. Positive observations made in a previous pilot study are also confirmed and extended with respect to associations with certain chronic skin lesions, dental anaesthesia and familial factors. Negative associations are described with respect to X-ray exposures and cigarette smoking. It is proposed that these results fit into a general hypothesis that these conditions are the result of interaction between infectious agents and altered immunity in those persons genetically predisposed.

The aetiology of Hodgkin's disease (HD) is still poorly understood. This may be due to past difficulties in diagnostic consistency or the failure to recognise a multistep aetiological process. It may be also attributable to the lack of a carefully defined geographical basis for such studies within which most cases of disease can be realistically expected to be identified.

This is the first of a series of reports concerned with a case-control epidemiological study of the various forms of lymphoma and leukaemia occurring in the Yorkshire Region during 1979–84. A feature of these investigations has been the incorporation of a firm diagnostic and geographical basis with referral of diagnostic material to a central review panel. Furthermore confirmation of past medical events has been achieved by perusal of medical records. A pilot survey has already been reported (Bernard *et al.*, 1984) which made new observations concerning possible aetiological factors in lymphomas and this paper tests several hypotheses developed at that time relating to Hodgkin's disease. It should be emphasised that no data from the pilot study were used in the analyses presented in this paper.

Methods and population

All cases occurring in the Yorkshire Health Region and diagnosed between October 1979 and December 1984 were eligible for inclusion. In total 248 cases and 489 controls were interviewed.

A new registration and diagnostic scheme was created in the Yorkshire Health Region to service this epidemiological survey. This made use of the pre-existing Cancer Registry and Histopathology Lymphoma Panel (Bird *et al.*, 1984) and involved regular contact with all histopathologists and clinicians with an interest in lymphomas. The pathological diagnosis of all cases was confirmed by referral of slides to members of the Lymphoma Panel. Ethical committee permissions and consents from over 250 consultant clinicians were also obtained to identify and interview Hodgkin's disease (HD) cases and a control population. The control population comprised hospital cases without current malignant disease, matched by health district, sex and ± 3 years of age in a ratio of 2:1 with HD cases. The controls were confined to hospital based cases for convenience since initial studies comparing general practice and hospital based control groups ($N=100$ in each group) revealed no significant differences between 23 groups of interview responses. A large range of non-malignant diagnoses are incorporated in

the control group but the majority of controls were in hospital either due to an accident or for cold surgery. All interviews were conducted by trained interviewers, usually in hospital, using a standard questionnaire covering all aspects of past life relating to occupation, hobbies, personal habits, drug ingestion, family history and past medical history. Hospital and GP records were checked to confirm the accuracy of drug and medical histories. Cancer in other blood relatives was also cross-checked with the cancer registries or by death certificate perusal. All data were coded, computerised and validated by a trained group not involved with interviewing. The case-control statistics were produced using the programmes of Rothman and Boice (1979), using their stratified techniques.

Two levels of analysis were undertaken: firstly, by pooling age groups, disease subtype and sex; and, secondly, by stratifying where possible by sex, age (15–35 yr vs. 36+ yr of age), and subtypes of disease.

Results

Cases studied

The total number of HD cases occurring in the Region during the period exceeded that interviewed. The pilot study used the same data base but its results are independent of the analyses presented here. All cases of HD occurring in the time period totalled 517 of which 297 (57%) were interviewed. In the majority of cases (20%) this was due to the fact that the case died prior to interview and as a consequence approximately only one fifth of eligible lymphocyte depletion (LD) cases were included. In addition 90 (17%) of non-interviewed cases could not have all their details verified and represent 'clinical' diagnoses which could not be incorporated into this survey as they lacked a sufficiently precise diagnosis. The remaining few non-interviewed cases represent either patient or consultant refusals. Investigation of all subtypes of the non-interviewed cases by age and geographical location did not suggest any further bias in case selection.

Non-significant or unassessable risk

Some topics could not be studied adequately because the number of case or control responses was too small. Table I lists topics with 5 or less eligible cases and controls, which are not considered further. Table II shows non-significant differences at the 5% level of probability, having computed risk ratios less than 2.0. A few factors with higher risk ratios, which are not statistically significant in the pooled data, include the occupation of hand and machine sewers

Table I Hodgkin's disease: Case-control study. Topics giving a response from five or less cases and controls.

<i>Past medical History</i>	
Allergy to cleaning compounds	2.1 ^a
aerosols	0.3
clothing	1.3
Herpes simplex in past	1.5
Epilepsy	3.4
Bells palsy	1.3
Allergic rhinitis	3.5
Cholecystitis	4.5
Nephritis	1.4
Rheumatoid arthritis	3.2
Convulsions	4.5
<i>Drug ingestion</i> (for periods over 3 months)	
Diabetic drugs	4.5
Anticoagulants	1.5
Chemotherapy/immune suppressive	0.2
Antifungal drugs	0.4
TB drugs	1.5
Laxatives	1.3
Antimalarials	1.3
Eye drops	2.4
Tablets for cramp	0.1
<i>Social aspects</i>	
Jewish origins	1.4
<i>Occupation/industry</i> (at any time over 6 months)	
Administrators	3.2
Photographic industry	0.5

^aNumbers indicate actual numbers of cases and controls in that order.

(RR=2.0, $P=0.10$) those with previous severe or chronic infections (RR=2.6, $P=0.06$) and diabetes in the past (RR=2.0, $P=0.22$).

Negative risks

The bulk of the negative risks shown in Table III along with related topics without risk, are associated with lack of past exposure to X-rays or cigarette smoking. Here the HD cases were contrasted with a control group which excluded the major smoking related non-malignant diseases; arterial disease and chronic chest conditions.

Familial association

Table IV shows the detailed results for association with family illness including all types of cancer and some more specific commoner solid tumours as well as lymphoma/leukaemia. The strongest association lies amongst the families for HD cases: here 9 cases and 1 control had one or more further HD cases in blood relatives. Overall multiple sclerosis (MS) is barely associated with an excess in case families, however, it is highly associated with male cases (Cases=7, Controls=1, RR=14.4, $P=0.001$).

Past medical history

Skin lesions show a significant 2 fold excess in cases as detailed in Table V. This also shows the excess risk associated with past urticaria and eczematous conditions.

Overall past infection with infectious mononucleosis (IM) was not a risk (12 cases, 23 controls, RR=1.0, $P=0.94$) however, there was an excess of young male cases (aged 15-35yr) who had had IM less than five years prior to diagnosis (5 cases and 2 controls, RR=4.9, $P=0.04$).

Table VI gives the results for past dental anaesthesia which essentially indicates an excessive risk for those who had gaseous anaesthesia prior to 1960. This topic was not part of the original interview proforma and was added later; as a

consequence the case-control matching ratio is distorted with a greater number of controls per case.

Non-benzodiazepine tranquillisers or antidepressant usage was significantly associated in excess with HD males. Barbiturates were included in this group and when analysed separately produced a six fold risk ($P=0.02$).

Occupational risks

There were only two significantly high occupational risks; amongst the few rubber and plastics workers interviewed (8 cases and 5 controls, RR=3.2, $P=0.03$) and female hand and machine sewers (10 cases and 8 controls, RR=2.7, $P=0.01$). Occupational contact with agriculture approached significance for males (RR=1.7, $P=0.06$).

Sibship size

Sibship sizes are grouped in Table VII and analysed using individuals with 5 or more siblings as a reference group. An increasing risk is associated with decreasing sibship size.

Discussion

This study contains several features which in combination are unique in the analysis of lymphomas: The detached perusal of medical records, the diagnostic support and the new register of cases. The perusal of both hospital and general practitioner records tended to add considerably to the details available on aspects of past drug ingestion and past ill health. The only obviously detectable selection bias lies in the dearth of LD cases interviewed, as no attempt was made to undertake interviews with relatives should the case have died.

The results have taken 5% as the boundary of statistical significance, however, with so many comparisons this level should be viewed with some care and because of this most of the tables give the directly computed level of probability. One of the most striking observations in this study is the excess of leukaemia and lymphoma amongst blood relatives of cases. The most common malignancy observed in these families was HD - relatives had HD with two reports of over two HD cases within the pedigree. The male predominance in familial cases (3:1) exceeded the male:female ratio of the cases overall (1.5:1) supporting previous observations that familial cases are more common in males than females (Kerzon-Storror *et al.*, 1983). Unfortunately the study was not able to gather data on male bed-room sharing as a possible explanation for this. There was no consistent age of onset of disease observed within or across families. Examination of the familial relationship suggests that sibling-sibling and parent-child are the most common familial patterns as previously reported (Vianna *et al.*, 1974; Haim, *et al.*, 1982).

This study suggests a possible link between HD and familial MS. Pooled results in the current study identify a near-significant risk, but stratified analyses indicate this is specific to males. The seven cases of MS associated with male HD patients included a mother, two fathers (one of whom also had a sister with MS), the remainder being relatives by marriage rather than direct blood relatives. Recent evidence has implicated an (as yet) unidentified retrovirus in MS (Koprowski *et al.*, 1985).

An association of HD with atopy is demonstrated in this study mainly by the excessive case numbers for eczema/dermatitis, shown in Table VI, but also supported by a significant risk associated with asthma and pollen allergy in young males with NSHD. This was first suggested by Winkelman & Rajka (1982). Such an association was also reported in the previous Yorkshire pilot study (Bernard *et al.*, 1984). However, the relationship to treatment with steroids suggested by our earlier study has not been borne out in this most recent investigation. It should be noted,

Table II Hodgkin's disease: Case control study. Topics giving non-significant responses having risk ratios under 2.0 from pooled results.

<i>1 Past medical history</i>			
TB ^a	6.16	Malaria	4.9
Asthma	15.40	Personality disorder	17.28
Any allergy	73.153	Migraine	4.7
Food allergy	8.18	Otitis media	10.13
Fur allergy	7.17	Rheumatic fever	2.11
Pollen/dust allergy	25.45	Hypertension	9.16
Soap allergy	5.11	Myocardial infarction	5.7
Metal allergy	3.14	Angina	1.8
Drug allergy	29.56	Pneumonia	8.9
Other allergy	22.51	Duodenal ulcer	16.27
Reaction to sunlight	20.46	Osteoarthritis	7.14
Bite reaction	23.58	Past malignancy	4.9
Tonsillectomy	54.131	Radiotherapy	5.10
Infectious mononucleosis	12.23		
Appendectomy	34.78		
Herpes zoster	11.16		
<i>2 Drug ingestion</i>			
Amphetamines	12.16	Bronchodilation	9.28
Contraceptive pill	39.77	Steroids	20.32
Antibiotic	16.24	Endocrine	4.7
Analgesics	16.33	Vitamins	5.7
Antihistamines	6.11	Tar based cream	4.8
Antinausea drops	2.7	Migraine tablets	2.8
Antacids	10.22	Drugs for heart disease	24.47
Benzodiazepines	33.77	Anti-inflammatory drugs	20.32
Other tranquilizers	25.32	Hormones	3.8
<i>3 Social aspects</i>			
Received higher education ^a	30.53	Pet owner	219.423
Lived on a farm	14.27	Household spray user	156.324
Ever been abroad	102.271	Hair spray user	62.133
<i>4 Occupations</i>			
Farmers	21.47	Painters	5.15
Miners	5.15	Labourers	2.8
Dye/chemical workers	16.27	Transport workers	27.74
Glass workers	4.10	Warehouse men	6.20
Furnace men	8.10	Clerks	44.61
Electricians	31.52	Sales workers	53.126
Engineers	62.127	Service workers	67.134
Woodworkers	16.28	Professional workers	28.57
Leather workers	5.6	Armed forces	29.62
Textile workers	30.51	Nurses	6.16
Clothing workers	28.47	Spinners	6.19
Food industry workers	24.64	Dry cleaners	6.14
Printers	6.19	Sports and recreational	8.12
Construction workers	26.49		
<i>5 Industrial</i>			
Chemical	20.34	Wood workers	16.37
Petroleum	5.13	Hospital workers	20.41
Agriculture	29.45		
<i>6 Contact with</i>			
Live animals	33.71	Fertilizers	38.55
Dead animals	18.40	Spray paint	20.44
Wood dust	24.46	Epoxy glue	41.75
Solvents	55.121	Irradiation	6.16

^aNumbers indicate total number of cases and control in series in that order.

however, that the link with steroids in the pilot study was accounted for mainly by non Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) in the pooled lymphoid malignancies group. Other skin conditions, excluding eczema or dermatitis, also proved to be significantly associated with HD. Urticaria was identified as the strongest risk factor in the group, reaching significance in the 15–35 year old nodular sclerosing (NS) HD subgroup, when sexes were pooled. This has not been identified in previous studies, but is consistent with the immune perturbation hypothesis.

The study has produced interesting observations on past dental anaesthesia. Prior to 1960 general anaesthesia would

usually have been achieved with nitrous oxide and a small amount of oxygen. After 1960 this was supplemented with halothane and there has been little major change in gaseous anaesthesia since then. The implication of nitrous oxide in HD aetiology has some biological basis in that it has been suggested that nitrous oxide interferes with vitamin B12 synthesis and has also specific effects on human neutrophils (Nunn & Morain, 1982).

Interest in IM as an EBV-induced lymphoproliferative disease has led to equivocal results on its possible aetiological significance to HD. It has been suggested that the risk, if it exists, may be within three to six years of

Table III Hodgkin's disease: Case control study. Topics based on pooled results which resulted in statistically significant low risk ratios.

<i>Past medical history</i>	<i>N</i> <i>case</i>	<i>N</i> <i>control</i>	<i>Risk</i> <i>ratio</i>	<i>95%</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>interval</i>	<i>P</i>
Ever had any operations	143	326	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.02
Sun lamp use for health	13	99	0.3	0.2–0.5	0.001
(Sun lamp use for tanning)	25	70	1.0	0.6–1.7	1.00
X-rays for any reason	225	465	0.5	0.3–0.9	0.02
Chest X-ray	169	368	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.04
Fracture X-ray	133	301	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.04
Procedural/investigative X-ray	44	154	0.5	0.3–0.7	0.001
Dental X-ray	89	224	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.02
('Shoe shop' foot X-ray)	20	36	1.1	0.6–1.9	0.37
<i>Social characteristics</i>					
Smoker (vs. non-smoking related disease in controls)	134	280	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.02
Wine drinker	25	88	0.5	0.3–0.8	0.004
Spirits drinker	23	67	0.7	0.4–1.0	0.04

Table IV Hodgkin's disease: Case control study. Pooled ages and sexes. Risks associated with family illnesses.

	<i>N</i> <i>case</i>	<i>N</i> <i>control</i>	<i>Risk</i> <i>ratio</i>	<i>95%</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>interval</i>	<i>P</i>
Lymphoma/leukaemia in family	16	9	3.31	1.54–7.11	0.001
Lymphoma/leukaemia in family (confirmed reports only)	9	5	3.72	1.32–10.47	0.006
Cancer in 1st or 2nd degree relative (confirmed reports only)	32	43	1.61	0.99–2.62	0.06
Brain tumour in 1st degree relative	7	5	2.81	0.93–8.54	0.06
Breast cancer in 1st degree relative	13	17	1.15	0.74–3.20	0.25
Lung cancer in 1st degree relative	10	18	0.24	0.50–2.42	0.48
Multiple sclerosis in family	9	7	2.59	0.99–6.81	0.06

Table V Hodgkin's disease: Case control study. Risks associated with previous skin conditions.

	<i>Males</i>				<i>Females</i>			
	<i>N</i> <i>case</i>	<i>N</i> <i>control</i>	<i>Risk</i> <i>ratio</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>N</i> <i>case</i>	<i>N</i> <i>control</i>	<i>Risk</i> <i>ratio</i>	<i>P</i>
Skin lesion – all except eczema/dermatitis	22	21	2.2	0.02	12	16	1.6	0.26
Urticaria ^b	5	4	2.5	0.16	2	0 ^a		
Psoriasis	2	4	0.9	0.98	2	9	0.4	0.22
Warts	7	4	3.0	0.08	1	1 ^a		
Eczema/dermatitis ^b	23	16	2.8	0.003	13	19	1.4	0.38
Steroid treatment for eczema/dermatitis	9	9	2.3	0.08	7	6	2.5	0.10
Other treatment for eczema/dermatitis ^b	25	18	3.1	0.001	15	25	1.2	0.66

^aInsufficient numbers; ^bMedically confirmed records only and ^cTreatment confirmed but not all original diagnoses.

Table VI Hodgkin's disease: Case control results. Risks associated with past dental anaesthesia.

	Males				Females			
	N ^a cases	N ^a controls	Risk ratio	P	N ^a cases	N ^a controls	Risk ratio	P
Any dental anaesthetic	31	86	3.1	0.003	18	69	0.9	0.94
Dental gas only vs. never	24	58	3.7	0.001	11	47	0.9	0.76
Dental gas pre-1960 vs. never	16	27	5.1	0.001	^b			
Dental gas post-1960 vs. never	6	19	2.7	0.08	^b			

^aMatching ratio 1 case: 3-4 controls (see text) and ^bNo case or control responses.

Table VII Hodgkin's disease: Case control study. Risks associated with sibship size using large sibships as standard.

Number of siblings of case	N cases	N controls	Risk ratio	95% Confidence interval	P
0 or 1	85	148	1.8	1.1-2.7	0.02
2	63	112	1.6	0.9-2.6	0.06
3 or 4	57	110	1.5	0.9-2.4	0.12
5 or more	41	116	1.0		

diagnosis of IM (Munoz *et al.*, 1978). This was weakly confirmed in the present study, the excess risk being confined to males age 15-35 yr within 5 years of IM.

Social characteristics, especially sibship size have been thought of as having an 'infectious agent' interpretation, largely through the work of Gutensohn and Shapiro (1982). The proposed hypothesis is that HD may arise as an unusual and late host response to a common infection, not experienced at an earlier age in singleton or other small families. The data here and in the pilot study lend support to this hypothesis.

The significant risk associated with male barbiturate users was a unique finding. Barbiturates tended to be prescribed for serious psychological or personality disorders and often in conjunction with other drugs.

Finally the negative findings present some problems. Significant negative associations found from smoking find no support in the literature. In one study, heavy cigarette smokers were found to be at risk for HD although alcohol consumption did not influence risk (Paffenbarger *et al.*, 1977). Although smoking is associated with many different malignancies, there is no good evidence to suggest HD is one of them. However, stratification for family size, as a possible correlate of social class revealed that the negative cigarette smoking risk was most marked for the smaller family sizes

and had almost disappeared in sibships of more than 4. The negative risk for smoking in male HD was consistent with results from the pilot study. The suggestion that this may reflect a bias in the hospital control group, where smoking-related diseases could be over-represented, was tested by excluding those controls diagnosed with smoking related diseases at time of interview. The negative association with smoking was still significant.

In summary, the results of this case-control analysis lend support to a multi-step model generated in the course of this study, namely the HD occurs largely in those with genetic predisposition, immune perturbation and infectious agent stimulation. These may be thought of independently or as stages in disease susceptibility and may have several possible manifestations in any individual. The concept of genetic predisposition is supported by the excess results in families of solid tumours and lymphomas. Also the link with atopy might have a genetic basis. The possibility of infectious agents was supported by small family size, a link with infectious mononucleosis and the risks associated with MS. Whilst immune perturbation maybe exemplified by occupational risks, skin diseases and dental anaesthesia risks. It is anticipated that multivariate statistical modelling might lend support to these hypotheses and this is intended once the analyses of the other diseases within the study are completed.

This study could not have been accomplished without the assistance of the numerous colleagues within the Yorkshire Region who have allowed us to interview their patients. The work was funded by the Leukaemia Research Fund and we would specifically like to acknowledge the assistance of Mary Brown, Jill Collins, Sylvia Craven, Felicity Ludolf, Ann Mainwaring, Jan Parker, Jane O'Sullivan, Bernice Pearlman, Carole Startin and Brenda Waller who have worked for several years with us on this project. The support of the Yorkshire Cancer Research Campaign and the Yorkshire Regional Cancer Registry is also acknowledged.

References

- BERNARD, S.M., CARTWRIGHT, R.A., BIRD, C.C., RICHARDS, I.D.G., LAUDER, I. & ROBERTS, B.E. (1984). Aetiologic factors in lymphoid malignancies: A case-control epidemiological study. *Leukemia Res.*, **00**, 681.
- BIRD, C.C., LAUDER, I., KELLET, H.S. & 5 others (1984). Yorkshire Regional Lymphoma Histopathology Panel: Analysis of five years' experience. *J. Path.*, **00**, 249.
- GUTENSOHN, N. & SHAPIRO, N. (1982). Social class risk factors among children with Hodgkin's disease. *Int. J. Cancer*, **30**, 477.
- HAIM, N., COHEN, Y. & ROBINSON, E. (1983). Malignant lymphoma in first-degree blood relatives. *Cancer*, **49**, 2197.
- HULL, P.J., DELAMERE, I.W. (1978). Familial Hodgkin's disease. *Postgrad. Med. J.*, **54**, 676.
- KERZIN-STORRAR, L., FAED, M.J.W., MACGUILLIVRAY, J.B. & SMITH, P.G. (1983). Incidence of familial Hodgkin's disease. *Br. J. Cancer*, **47**, 707.

- KOPROWSKI, H., DE FREITAS, E.C., HARPER, M.E. & 7 others (1985). Multiple sclerosis and human T-cell lymphotropic retroviruses. *Nature*, **318**, 154.
- MUNOZ, N., DAVIDSON, R.J.C., WHITTHOF, B., ERICSSON, J.E. & DE THÉ G. (1978). Infectious mononucleosis and Hodgkin's disease. *Int. J. Cancer*, **22**, 10.
- NUNN, J.F. MORAIN, C.O. (1982). Nitrous oxide decreases motility of human neutrophils *in vitro*. *Anaesthesiology*, **56**, 45.
- PAFFENBARGER, R.S., WING, A.L. & HYDE, T.R. (1977). Characteristics in youth indicative of adult onset of Hodgkin's disease. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.*, **48**, 1489.
- ROTHMAN, K. & BOICE, J.D. (1979). Epidemiologic analysis with a programmable calculator. NIH Publication no. 79-1649.
- VIANNA, N.J., DAVIES, J.N.P., POLAN, A.K. & WOLFGANG, P. (1974). Familial Hodgkin's disease; an environmental and genetic disorder. *Lancet*, **ii**, 854.
- WINKLEMAN, R.K. & RAJKA, G. 1982. Atopic dermatitis and Hodgkin's disease. *Acta Dermatol.*, **63**, 176.