Original Article

Perceived Stress and its Epidemiological and Behavioral Correlates in an Urban Area of Delhi, India: A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study

Ruchira Pangtey, Saurav Basu, Gajendra Singh Meena, Bratati Banerjee

ABSTRACT

Background: Increasing stress has been recognized as a major public health problem in the developing world accelerated by an ongoing demographic, economic, and sociocultural transition. Our study objectives were to validate a Hindi version of the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) and to also assess the extent of perceived stress and its correlates among an adult population in an urban area of Delhi. **Methodology:** A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in an urban resettlement colony of Delhi among 480 adult subjects aged 25--65 years, during the period from January to December 2015. The PSS-10 was translated into Hindi and validated in the study population. Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 25. **Results:** A total of 243 (50.6%) men and 237 (49.4%) women were enrolled. The scale had an acceptable level of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.731). A principal component analysis was run on the PSS-10 data, based on which a three-component structure was accepted, which explained 61% of the total variance. The mean PSS score was 19.25 (SD = 4.50) years. Perceived stress was highest in the 35--50 age group. On multivariate analysis, low socioeconomic status and a white-collar occupation were found to be associated with increased perceived stress (P < 0.001). **Conclusion:** A high burden of perceived stress exists in residents of a low-income urban population in India.

Key words: Hindi, India, PSS-10, stress

Key messages: The Hindi version of the PSS-10 is a valid and reliable instrument for measuring perceived stress in the community.

Growing stress in the developing world is indicative of unresolved physical and emotional tensions accentuated by the fast-changing demographic, economic, and sociocultural landscape. Chronic stress negatively influences health and well-being in relation to mental health, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, etc.^[1-5]

Access this article online				
	Quick Response Code			
Website: www.ijpm.info				
DOI: 10.4103/IJPSYM_JPSYM_528_18				

Stress is a dynamic concept influenced by the relationship between the environment and the individual and its effect upon the individual varies

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Pangtey R, Basu S, Meena GS, Banerjee B. Perceived stress and its epidemiological and behavioral correlates in an Urban Area of Delhi, India: A community-based cross-sectional study. Indian J Psychol Med 2020;42:80-6.

Department of Community Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Saurav Basu

Department of Community Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India. E-mail: saurav.basu1983@gmail.com **Received:** 23rd December, 2018, **Revision:** 24th January, 2019, **Accepted:** 28th July, 2019, **Publication:** 06th January, 2020.

with his or her ability in coping with it.^[6] Perceived stress is a measure of the degree to which situations in one's life are appraised as stressful and is comprised of sociocultural context dependent on medical, physical, psychological, and psychosocial aspects.^[7] The appraisal of this perceived stress is considered to supersede the assessment of stressful life events.^[8]

Previous studies have shown that sociodemographic factors (like educational level, socioeconomic status, neighborhood profile, and gender) and behavioral risk factors (like smoking and alcohol consumption) are also associated with perceived stress.^[9-14] However, most Indian studies on perceived stress have been conducted within specific population subgroups, but there is a lack of data regarding perceived stress and associated factors in the general population.^[15-18] Understanding the factors associated with stress in Indian populations can enhance the development of community-based interventions for stress reduction. Furthermore, early identification of individuals and subgroups with an accumulation of stress-related risk factors may provide opportunities for early strategic intervention for prevention of adverse behavioral and health outcomes.[11]

Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), developed by Sheldon Cohen, is used as a self-appraisal measure for individuals to assess the extent of the perceived stressfulness of their various life situations.^[19] Various studies in India have used the scale, but to our knowledge, it has not been previously validated in a predominantly Hindi speaking population.

Our study objectives were to validate a Hindi version of the PSS and to also assess the extent of perceived stress and its correlates among an adult population in an urban area of Delhi.

METHODOLOGY

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in an urban resettlement colony in the Gokalpuri area located in the North-East district of Delhi, India during the period from January to December 2015. The population of the area was approximately 23,187 (December 2013). The study site was chosen as it is the field practice area of the Department of Community Medicine of a premier medical college in Delhi. The study area is a resettlement colony of an urban slum and comprises a densely populated, low-income population.

Adult individuals aged from 25 to 65 years who were residing in the area for a minimum period of 6 months and could understand and converse in Hindi were included in the study. Those who, at the time of the interview, were suffering or recuperating from serious illnesses which had required hospitalization were excluded.

We conducted a secondary analysis of data collected originally for a study ascertaining the burden of behavioral risk factors related to select non-communicable diseases in 480 subjects.^[20]

The sampling unit for the present study was a household. Systematic random sampling was applied for selection of houses. Every ninth house was selected. If the selected house was found to be locked, it was visited three more times. In the eventuality that data could not be collected from the same house or no eligible individual was available in the household, the next house was selected. From each familial household, a maximum of two individuals, each of the opposite sex, were enrolled in the study. If multiple eligible individuals were available in the same household, the study subjects were selected randomly by a draw of lots. A maximum of 10 individuals were enrolled in a day.

Data were collected from the subjects using a semi-structured patient interview schedule. The perceived stress was measured using PSS.^[19] The scale was linguistically validated into the local language Hindi. The translation process included: (1) Forward translation of the original PSS into Hindi was done by a native speaker, (2) the back-translation into English was done by another native speaker, (3) this forward and back-translation process was continued until the back-translated version matched with the original English version of the scale, (d) the translated version was pretested in 10 adults who were not included in the study [Supplement 1]. The PSS was verbally administered to those subjects who were illiterate or lacked functional literacy or on their request.

The PSS has been widely used and psychometrically validated as a reliable measure of psychological stress estimated over the previous 4 weeks.^[19] It comprises of 10 items measured on a five-point Likert scale (0: never, 1: almost never 2: sometimes 3: fairly often 4: very often). The PSS construct demonstrates a two-factor structure; the first being "general stressors" and the second being "the ability to cope"^[21] The PSS score is obtained by summing the scores of all the items, with reverse coding for items 4, 5, 7, and 8 as they are positively stated. The PSS score ranges from 0 to 40, with the 40 point score representing the highest perceived stress level. The PSS does not have any diagnostic cutoff to differentiate between the stressed and not stressed individuals.

The socioeconomic status of the subjects was assessed using the modified Kuppuswamy classification updated for 2014 income criteria.^[22] Current daily smokers were defined as those who were currently smoking cigarettes, bidis, or hookah daily in the previous 7 days. Current daily smokeless tobacco users were defined as those who were currently using chewable tobacco products like gutka, naswar, khaini, or zarda paan daily in the previous 7 days. Heavy drinking was defined as a quantity of alcohol consumption that exceeds an established threshold value which in the present study was set at more than 14 drinks per week for men (or >4 drinks per occasion) and more than seven drinks per week for women (or >3 drinks per occasion).^[23] Weight and height of the subjects were also recorded to calculate the body mass index (BMI). The Asia-Pacific classification of BMI, which has a lower cutoff for overweight and obesity, was accepted for this study.^[24]

Ethics: Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of the medical college. All subjects gave their written and informed consent before their enrolment in the study.

Statistical analysis: The data was analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 25.

A principal component analysis (PCA) was run on the 10-item PSS to ascertain its construct validity. The present dataset satisfied the PCA requirements regarding the linear relationship between variables and adequacy of sample size. The Cronbach alpha and the Spearman-Brown split-half reliability coefficient were calculated to establish the reliability of the PSS.

Categorical variables were expressed as frequency and proportion, while continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation. The Mann--Whitney U test and the Kruskal--Wallis H test were used to determine if there was a statistically significant median difference in perceived stress levels between two or more groups, respectively. A multiple regression analysis was run to predict the PSS score (dependent variable) from the independent variables that showed statistically significant association with higher PSS scores on bivariate analysis. There was linearity as assessed by partial regression plots and a plot of studentized residuals against the predicted values. There was homoscedasticity as assessed by visual inspection of a plot of studentized residuals versus unstandardized predicted values. There was no evidence of multicollinearity, as assessed by tolerance values greater than 0.1. The assumption of normality was met, as assessed by a Q-Q Plot.

Due to the multiple comparisons involved, the Bonferroni correction was applied (0.05/11) and a *P* value <0.004 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean (\pm SD) age of the subjects was 37.9 (11) and ranged from 25 to 64 years. A total of 243 (50.6%) men and 237 (49.4%) women were enrolled. The socioeconomic class of the subjects was lower in a majority (62) of the subjects, with 113 (23.5%) belonging to the lower middle class and 279 (58.1%) to the upper lower class [Table 1].

The mean PSS score was 19.25 (SD = 4.5) years. The scale had an acceptable level of internal consistency, as determined by a Cronbach's alpha of 0.731. The Spearman-Brown split-half reliability coefficient was also adequate (0.71). The translated Hindi version of PSS is provided as online supplementary material.

PCA of the PSS-10 was conducted. The suitability of PCA was assessed before analysis. Inspection of the correlation matrix showed that all variables had at least one correlation coefficient greater than 0.3. The overall Kaiser--Meyer--Olkin (KMO) measure was 0.633, classifications of "mediocre" according to Kaiser, while the individual KMO values for all the items were greater than 0.4, which suggested retaining all the items of the

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of study sample (n=480)

Variable	n (%)
Age	
18-34	225 (47)
35-50	156 (32.4)
>50	99 (20.6)
Sex (Gender)	
Men	243 (50.6)
Women	237 (49.4)
Marital status	
Married	346 (72.1)
Unmarried	123 (25.6)
Divorced	11 (2.3)
Family type	
Nuclear	288 (60)
Joint	192 (40)
Educational status	
No schooling	101 (21)
<10 years	159 (33.2)
≥10 years	220 (45.8)
Occupation	
Professional	45 (9.4)
Semi-Professional	23 (4.8)
Clerical/shop owner	54 (11.3)
Skilled worker	42 (8.8)
Semi-skilled	54 (11.3)
Unskilled	142 (29.6)
Housewife	120 (25)
Socioeconomic Status	
Upper	22 (4.6)
Upper middle	47 (9.8)
Lower middle	113 (23.5)
Upper Lower	279 (58.1)
Lower	19 (4)

PSS-10. Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 1526.04$, df = 45, P < 0.001), indicating that the data was likely factorizable.

PCA revealed three components that had eigenvalues greater than one, which explained 34.2%, 16.6%, and 10.1% of the total variance, respectively. Component loadings of the rotated solution are shown in Table 2. Visual inspection of the scree plot with a cutoff of an eigenvalue ≥ 1 also indicated that the three components should be retained [Figure 1]. Strong loadings of items relating to "perceived helplessness" were present in component 1 (items 1, 2, 6), "perceived distress" in component 2 (items 9, 10), and "self-efficacy for coping" in component 3 (items 5, 7, 8).

On bivariate analysis, subjects of lower education level (less than 10 years), of lower socioeconomic status, who were married, or having diabetes were observed to show significantly higher median PSS scores (P < 0.004). A Kruskal--Wallis H test was conducted to determine if the PSS score in the subjects differed by age and BMI [Table 3]. A post-hoc analysis using the Mann--Whitney U test revealed that the increase in the median PSS scores from 0--34 to 35--50



Figure 1: Scree Plot of the Perceived Stress Scale-10

age group was statistically significant (P < 0.001). Furthermore, the increase in the median PSS score from underweight to overweight (P = 0.001) and the decrease from normal to obese (P = 0.001) were also statistically significant.

The multiple regression model statistically significantly predicted PSS, F (8, 471) = 13.8, P < 0.0005, adjusted $R^2 = 0.18$. The variables socioeconomic status (coded high/middle = 1, lower = 0), employment type (coded 1 = white collar, 0 = non-white collar), and marital status (coded 1 = married, 0 = unmarried) added statistically significantly to the prediction (P < 0.004). The regression coefficients and standard errors are reported in Table 4.

Self-rated health status was reported as *excellent* by 66 (13.8%), *very good* by 112 (23.3%), *good* by 180 (37.5%), *fair* by 93 (19.4%), and *poor* by 29 (6%) subjects. Furthermore, adherence to healthy lifestyle habits was reported as *excellent* by 48 (10%), *very good* by 143 (29.8%), *good* by 164 (34.2%), *fair* by 113 (23.5%), and *poor* by 12 (2.5%) subjects.

DISCUSSION

The present study assessed the reliability and factor structure of the PSS-10 in a general population in an urban area in Delhi. The study findings of the Hindi PSS-10 revealed a three-component structure which is in variance with previous studies which had supported a two-component structure comprising of "perceived helplessness" and "perceived self-efficacy for coping."^[25,26] The three-component structure in our study additionally indicates the presence of "distress."

The amount of total variance explained by the two-component structure was 51%, which is consistent with previously published studies on PSS-10.^[25-26] Furthermore, the three-component structure of our study explained 61% of the total variance.

Table 2: Rotated Structure Matrix for PCA	vith Varimax rotation of a three	component (PSS-10) questionnaire ³
---	----------------------------------	---

	Component		
	1	2	3
PSS 1 felt upset because of something that happened unexpectedly?	0.792	0.112	0.040
PSS 2 felt unable to control the important things in your life?	0.668	0.320	0.007
PSS 3 felt nervous and "stressed"?	0.737	0.282	0.166
PSS 4 felt confident about your ability to handle your personal problems?	-0.627	0.326	-0.033
PSS 5 things were going your way?	0.083	-0.121	0.907
PSS 6 felt could not cope with all the things that you had to do?	0.61	0.515	0.030
PSS 7 felt able to control irritations in your life?	0.23	0.340	0.632
PSS 8 felt you were on top of things?	-0.17	0.478	0.629
PSS 9 angered because of things that were outside of your control?	0.078	0.710	0.109
PSS 10 felt difficulties were piling up so high that you could not overcome them?	0.342	0.636	0.152

*Varimax rotation with Kaiser Normalization. PCA - Principal component analysis, PSS - Perceived stress scale

Table 3: Associ	ation betwee	n perceived	stress	score	and
sociodemogra	ohic variables	(<i>n</i> =480)			

Variable	Mean	n (%)	PSS median	Р
	(SD)		score (IQR)	
Age		-		
18-34	37.9±	225 (47)	19 (5)	< 0.001*
35-50	11.0	156 (32.4)	21 (5)	
>50		99 (20.6)	19 (5)	
Sex (Gender)				
Men	-	243 (50.6)	19 (6)	0.02**
Women		237 (49.4)	20 (4)	
Education (years)				
<10	8.0±5.2	260 (54.2)	20 (4)	< 0.001**
≥10		220 (45.8)	18 (5)	
SES				
Upper/Middle	-	182 (38)	17 (5)	< 0.001**
Lower		298 (62)	20.5 (5)	
Marital status				
Married	-	346 (72.1)	20(5)	< 0.001**
Unmarried		134 (27.9)	18 (6)	
Family type		· · · ·	()	
Nuclear	-	288 (60)	20 (5)	0.02**
Joint		192 (40)	19.5 (5)	
Employment type				
White collar	-	122 (25.4)	20(5)	0.37**
Others		346 (72)	20(5)	0107
Daily tobacco smoking		e (, _)	_ (()	
Present	_	53 (11)	20 (8)	0 42**
Absent		427 (89)	19(5)	0.12
Daily smokeless tobacco use		127 (0))	1) (3)	
Present	_	60 (12 5)	20 (5)	0.02**
Absent		420 (87 5)	19(6)	0.02
Heavy drinking		420 (07.5)	19 (0)	
Present	_	113 (23.5)	20 (4)	0.14**
Absent	-	367 (76.5)	20 (4)	0.14
BMI		507 (70.5)	20 (0)	
Normal	21 3+4 8	150 (31.3)	20 (6)	<0.001*
Underweight	21.5±4.0	150(31.3) 154(32.1)	18 (6)	<0.001
Overweight		134(27.9)	21(3)	
Obese		42 (8 8)	17(5)	
Dishetes		12 (0.0)	17 (3)	
Dracent		12 (8 8)	21 (4)	0.004**
Absent	-	438 (91 3)	19(6)	0.004
Umortancion		(50 (51.5)	17 (0)	
Dresent		01 (10)	20 (4)	0.11**
Absent	-	389 (81)	20 (4)	0.11
11050111		505 (01)	20(0)	

*Kruskal-Wallis H Test, **Mann-Whitney U Test. SES - Socioeconomic status, BMI - Body mass index

Table 4: Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis

В	95% CI	Р		
16.73		< 0.001		
0.02	-0.02 - 0.06	0.26		
0.72	-0.18 - 1.16	0.11		
1.65	0.59 - 2.7	0.002		
1.98	0.94 - 3.0	< 0.001*		
0.28	-1.09 - 0.53	0.50		
3.34	2.16 - 4.53	< 0.001*		
-5.5	-6.85 - (-4.1)	< 0.001*		
-0.02	-0.10 - 0.06	0.62		
	B 16.73 0.02 0.72 1.65 1.98 0.28 3.34 -5.5 -0.02	B 95% CI 16.73 0.02 -0.02 - 0.06 0.72 -0.18 - 1.16 1.65 0.59 - 2.7 1.98 0.94 - 3.0 0.28 -1.09 - 0.53 3.34 2.16 - 4.53 -5.5 -6.85 - (-4.1) -0.02 -0.10 - 0.06		

*P<0.001; B=unstandardized regression coefficient; ⁺Sex (1=male, 0=female), education (1 = \geq 10 years, 0 = < 10 years), marital status (1=married, 0=unmarried), employment type (1=white collar, 0=others), SES (high/ middle=1, lower=0). SES - Socioeconomic status, BMI - Body mass index Our study found that mean perceived stress level measured by the PSS-10 was 18.69 in men and 19.75 in women. The mean PSS score was also lower in young people aged below 35 years. Large population-based studies conducted in the general population of the developed world had also found higher mean PSS scores among women compared with men, but the mean PSS scores were found to be much lower. A cross-sectional study in Denmark reported mean PSS scores of 11.7 in 5,346 women respondents and 10.2 in 4,676 men respondents.^[11] Three national-level surveys in the United States (US) also found higher mean PSS scores among women than men, but in contrast to the present study, reported an increase in the PSS scores with decreasing age.^[10] The US surveys also showed that mean PSS scores increased in men from 12.07 to 15.52 from 1983 to 2009 and in women from 13.68 to 16.14 during the same period. Mean PSS scores in a five-country Western European study in the elderly population was 17.6.^[27] Developed countries with much higher human development indices, overall material prosperity, and relative lack of economic insecurity are expected to show lower stress levels in their populations compared with populations in the developing world. Nevertheless, the perceived stress levels in our study are only slightly higher compared with those in the US study. This could be due to the phenomenon of relative deprivation in which, despite the lack of absolute deprivation, the perceptions of inequality could translate into stress.^[28]

Low educational level was not found to be a significant predictor of increased stress in the present study. Although a high educational level can also act as a stressor, it has been suggested that the ability to cope with stress improves with education.^[11] Furthermore, similar to previous studies, we also found higher PSS scores in subjects belonging to the lower socioeconomic classes.^[9-11]

Unemployment or the lack of a means of employment in those who desire to work has been previously reported to be associated with increased perceived stress due to the likelihood of lack of financial autonomy.^[10] However, in our study, those with a white-collar job reported higher perceived stress. This could be due to the large number of homemakers in our sample whose perceived stress levels differed from those of the unemployed.

In the present study, married participants reported increased levels of perceived stress compared with those who were unmarried, although marriage is usually considered to lower perceived stress levels.^[29] However, it is also known that factors related to economic deprivation can contribute towards stress spillover in marriages.^[30]

The strengths of the present study are that it is one of the first studies from India that validated the PSS-10 scale and assessed the perceived stress in the general population from a low-income community. However, there are certain limitations to our study. First, it is a cross-sectional study due to which temporal relationship between perceived stress and the various stressor variables cannot be determined. Second, the study did not take into account several factors like social isolation and neighborhood profile, which can influence perceived stress levels among individuals in a community.^[11,14,29] Third, our study did not include elderly subjects (aged ≥ 65 years) who are known to experience greater perceived stress.^[31] Finally, the study was conducted in a single community in Delhi, which limits its external validity.

In conclusion, perceived stress in a low-income urban Indian population was high, with low socioeconomic status and lack of white-collar employment being its covariates. Future studies should assess the role of social networks in the Indian context for coping with perceived stress.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rosengren A, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Sliwa K, Zubaid M, Almahmeed WA, *et al.* Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11119 cases and 13648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): Case-control study. Lancet 2004;364:953-62.
- 2. Kivimäki M, Kawachi I. Work stress as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Curr Cardiol Rep 2015;17:74.
- Toussaint L, Shields GS, Dorn G, Slavich GM. Effects of lifetime stress exposure on mental and physical health in young adulthood: How stress degrades and forgiveness protects health. J Health Psychol 2016;21:1004-14.
- Surwit RS, Schneider MS, Feinglos MN. Stress and diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1992;15:1413-22.
- Isasi CR, Parrinello CM, Jung MM, Carnethon MR, Birnbaum-Weitzman O, Espinoza RA, et al. Psychosocial stress is associated with obesity and diet quality in Hispanic/Latino adults. Ann Epidemiol 2015;25:84-9.
- 6. Butler G. Definitions of stress. Occas Pap R Coll Gen Pract 1993;61:1-5.
- 7. Feizi A, Aliyari R, Roohafza H. Association of perceived stress with stressful life events, lifestyle and sociodemographic factors: A large-scale community-based study using logistic quantile regression. Comput Math Methods Med

2012;2012:151865.

- Cohen S. Contrasting the hassles scale and the perceived stress scale: Who's really measuring appraised stress? American Psychologist 1986;41:716-8.
- Carroll D, Davey Smith G, Sheffield D, Shipley MJ, Marmot MG. The relationship between socioeconomic status, hostility, and blood pressure reactions to mental stress in men: Data from the Whitehall II study. Health Psychol 1997;16:131-6.
- Sheldon C, Denise J. Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 20091. J Appl Soc Psychol 2012;42:1320-34.
- 11. Nielsen L, Curtis T, Kristensen TS, Rod Nielsen N. What characterizes persons with high levels of perceived stress in Denmark? A national representative study. Scand J Public Health 2008;36:369-79.
- 12. Frone MR. Work stress and alcohol use. Alcohol Res Health 1999;23:284-91.
- Torres OV, O'Dell LE. Stress is a principal factor that promotes tobacco use in females. Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2016;65:260-8.
- 14. Elliott M. The stress process in neighborhood context. Health Place 2000;6:287-99.
- Satheesh BC, Prithviraj R, Prakasam PS. A study of perceived stress among undergraduate medical students of a private medical college in Tamilnadu. Int J Sci Res 2015;4:994-7.
- Walvekar SS, Ambekar JG, Devaranavadagi BB. Study on serum cortisol and perceived stress scale in the police constables. JCDR 2015;9:BC10-4.
- 17. Mane AB, Krishnakumar MK, Niranjan PC, Hiremath SG. Differences in perceived stress and its correlates among students in professional courses. JDCR 2011;5(Suppl 1):1228-33.
- Behere SP, Yadav R, Behere PB. A comparative study of stress among students of medicine, engineering, and nursing. Indian J Psychol Med 2011;33:145-8.
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav 1983;24:386-96.
- Pangtey R, Meena GS, Banerjee B, Gupta PK. Prevalence of behavioral risk factors of chronic non communicable diseases in an urban area of Delhi. Int J Sci Res 2017;6:262-4.
- Smith KJ, Rosenberg DL, Timothy Haight G. An assessment of the psychometric properties of the perceived stress scale-10 (PSS10) with business and accounting students. Account Perspect 2014;13:29-59.
- 22. Sharma R. Kuppuswamy's socioeconomic status scale-revision for 2011 and formula for real-time updating. Indian J Pediatr 2012;79:961-2.
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, The Physicians' Guide to Helping Patients With Alcohol Problems. Washington, DC Government Printing Office 1995; Publication NIH 95-3769.
- 24. Executive summary of the clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:1855-67.
- Mozumder MK. Validation of Bengali perceived stress scale among LGBT population. BMC Psychiatry 2017;17:314.
- Chaaya M, Osman H, Naassan G, Mahfoud Z. Validation of the Arabic version of the Cohen perceived stress scale (PSS-10) among pregnant and postpartum women. BMC Psychiatry 2010;10:1-7.
- 27. Marcellini F, Giuli C, Papa R, Gagliardi C, Dedoussis G, Herbein G, et al. Zinc status, psychological and nutritional

assessment in old people recruited in five European countries: Zincage study. Biogerontology 2006;7:339-45.

- 28. Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE. The problems of relative deprivation: Why some societies do better than others. Soc Sci Med 2007;65:1965-78.
- 29. Beam CR, Dinescu D, Emery R, Turkheimer E. A twin study on perceived stress, depressive symptoms, and marriage.

J Health Soc Behav 2017;58:37-53.

- 30. Conger RD, Rueter MA, Elder GH Jr. Couple resilience to economic pressure. J Pers Soc Psychol 1999;76:54-71.
- Mani G, Udayakumar S, Annamalai K, Ramasamy DJ. Perceived levels of stress and its correlates among residents of old age home in Kanchipuram District, Tamil Nadu. Med J DY Patil Univ 2014;7:728-31.