ARTICLE

Open Access

LncRNA MNX1-AS1 promotes progression of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma through the MNX1/Hippo axis

Fengwei Li¹, Qinjunjie Chen¹, Hui Xue², Lei Zhang², Kui Wang² and Feng Shen¹

Abstract

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have extremely complex roles in the progression of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) and remain to be elucidated. By cytological and animal model experiments, this study demonstrated that the expression of IncRNA MNX1-AS1 was remarkably elevated in ICC cell lines and tissues, and was highly and positively correlated with motor neuron and pancreas homeobox protein 1 (MNX1) expression. MNX1-AS1 significantly facilitated the proliferation, migration, invasion, and angiogenesis in ICC cells in vitro, and remarkably promoted tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. Further study revealed that MNX1-AS1 promoted the expression of MNX1 via recruiting transcription factors c-Myc and myc-associated zinc finger protein (MAZ). Furthermore, MNX1 upregulated the expression of Ajuba protein via binding to its promoter region, and subsequently, Ajuba protein suppressed the Hippo signaling pathway. Taken together, our results uncovered that MNX1-AS1 can facilitate ICC progression via MNX1-AS1/c-Myc and MAZ/MNX1/Ajuba/Hippo pathway, suggesting that MNX1-AS1 may be able to serve as a potential target for ICC treatment.

Introduction

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) is the second most common primary liver cancer after hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In the last few decades, the incidence of ICC has been rising in both Eastern and Western countries paralleled by an increase in ICC-related mortality¹. ICC has high malignancy, and surgical resection is currently the only widely accepted curative treatment². However, it is difficult to diagnose at an early stage, leading to a loss of opportunity for surgery. Moreover, because of the high recurrence rate after surgical resection, the 5-year survival rate of ICC is only 15–40%³. Recently, target therapy has transformed the treatment landscape of various malignant tumors. Compared to other malignant tumors, like non-small cell lung cancer, research on target therapy for ICC is a young field⁴, partially because our understanding of molecular mechanisms involved in ICC progression is still poor. Thus, it is urgent to explore the molecular pathogenesis of ICC and identify potential therapeutic targets for ICC treatment.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-proteincoding RNAs that longer than 200 nucleotides⁵. More and more researches have reported that lncRNAs have vital roles in oncogenesis and progression of various tumors^{5–7}. Growing evidence reveals that lncRNAs are involved in a wide variety of biological activities, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, metastasis, differentiation, and chemical drug resistance in cancers^{5,8–10}. The interaction between lncRNAs and other molecules such as DNAs, RNAs, and proteins can lead to the abnormal expression of key target genes^{4,7,11}. For instance, lncRNAs sponge microRNAs to prevent them from binding to their

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Kui Wang (wangkuiykl@163.com) or

Feng Shen (shenfengehbh@sina.com)

¹Department of Hepatic Surgery IV, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, The Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China

²Department of Hepatic Surgery II, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, The Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China

These authors contributed equally: Fengwei Li, Qinjunjie Chen, Hui Xue Edited by Y. Shi

[©] The Author(s) 2020

target genes, and therefore indirectly regulate the expression of the target genes^{12,13}. Moreover, lncRNAs participate in various epigenetic modifications of proteins by interacting with RNA-binding proteins^{14,15}. Furthermore, lncRNAs can regulate the expression of target genes via recruiting transcriptional factors (TFs)^{16,17}. In a word, lncRNAs have critical roles in tumor progression, and studies on tumor-associated lncRNAs may help identify the potential therapeutic targets for ICC treatment.

The lncRNA MNX1-AS1, also known as CCAT5, is an antisense RNA of motor neuron and pancreas homeobox protein 1 (MNX1) gene. The significant roles of MNX1-AS1 were first reported in malignant tumors including epithelial ovarian cancer, cervical cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, and colorectal cancer^{9,18–21}. A study found that MNX1-AS1 expression level was remarkably higher in colorectal cancer tissues, and the overexpressed MNX1-AS1 promoted colorectal cancer progression by upregulating signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3)²¹. Moreover, MNX1-AS1 was proved to be highly expressed in gastric cancer, and the ectopic expression of MNX1-AS1 was associated with poor prognosis for gastric cancer patients. This study also demonstrated that MNX1-AS1 could be activated by transcriptional enhancer factor TEF-3 (TEAD4) and then promote gastric cancer progression²². Furthermore, it was also reported that MNX1-AS1 facilitated HCC progres-MNX1-AS1/miR-218-5p/COMMD8 sion through (COMM domain-containing protein 8) $pathway^{23}$. Recently, MNX1-AS1 was reported in bladder cancer to be a functional oncogene that facilitated tumor growth and metastasis through MNX1-AS1/miR-218-5p/RAB1A (ras-related protein Rab-1A) axis²⁴.

MNX1 is a TF involved in tumorigenesis of diverse malignant tumors. Several researchers have demonstrated that aberrantly expressed MNX1 had a vital role in infant acute myeloid leukemia^{25,26}. Moreover, The expression of MNX1 was found to be remarkably elevated in colorectal cancer samples, and the ectopic expression of MNX1 contributed to colorectal cancer progression²⁷. The overexpression of MNX1 was also associated with worse prognosis in bladder cancer patients. Abnormal expression of MNX1 was responsible for cell proliferation and tumorigenicity of bladder cancer²⁸. More interestingly, several researchers have found that the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 was positively correlated^{29,30}. MNX1-AS1 knockdown markedly reduced MNX1 expression in breast cancer, while overexpression of MNX1-AS1 elicited an increased expression of MNX1²⁹. MNX1 and MNX1-AS1 were also demonstrated to express synergistically in osteosarcoma cells. MNX1-AS1 can activate MNX1 to mediate epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of osteosarcoma cells³⁰. In fact, an essential role of lncRNAs is to regulate the transcription of their neighboring genes¹⁵, but the mechanism behind this phenomenon remains to be elucidated.

However, the mechanisms behind MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 dysregulation in ICC are not clear until now, and whether the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 is correlated in ICC has not vet been determined. This study was designed to explore the correlation between the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 and to identify the roles and mechanisms of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 in ICC. We downloaded and analyzed the RNA-seq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) data sets and found that MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were highly and positively correlated in ICC tissues. Moreover, the overexpression of MNX1-AS1 contributed to the tumorigenesis and progression of ICC in vivo and in vitro. MNX1-AS1 facilitated the transcription of MNX1 by recruiting TFs c-Myc and MAZ, and then MNX1 repressed Hippo signaling pathway by upregulating the expression of Ajuba protein. We believed that the MNX1-AS1/c-Myc & MAZ/MNX1/Ajuba/Hippo signaling pathway might be valuable therapeutic targets for ICC treatment.

Materials and methods

RNA-seq data analysis

The ICC RNA-seq data were downloaded from the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and the GEO database (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The TCGA-CHOL data set includes the RNA-seq data of 30 ICC tissues and 8 normal liver tissues. The GSE107943 data set includes RNA-seq data of 31 ICC tissues and 30 paired normal liver tissues. The above data were downloaded for subsequent bioinformatics analysis.

Patients and tissue samples

Thirty-three paired ICC tumor tissues and normal liver tissues were obtained from ICC patients who underwent radical surgical resection in Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital. All patients did not receive radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or other treatments before surgery. Totally 20 male and 13 female patients were included in our study. The average age of all patients is 53 ± 11 years-old. Among them, 5 patients had a history of HBV infection, 2 patients presented with biliary stones. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, the Second Military Medical University (Shanghai, China). The informed consent was written by and obtained from all patients. All procedures were in accord with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining and IHC score

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues were cut into slices with a thickness of around 4 μ m. Subsequently,

deparaffinization and antigen rehydration were conducted. Next, 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used to block nonspecific antigen-binding sites. The slices were then incubated overnight with the mouse anti-MNX1 (1:200, Sigma-Aldrich) at 4 °C. After washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the slices were incubated with the secondary antibody the next day. The 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine Horseradish Peroxidase Color Development Kit was used for developing. The slices were stained with hematoxylin and then dehydrated and mounted. Then, IHC intensity and percentage were evaluated using software ImageJ. Staining intensity score was graded as follows: negative = 0; low positive = 1; positive = 2; high positive = 3. Staining percentage score was graded as follows: $\le 5\% = 0$; 5-15% = 1; 15-25% = 2; 25-50% = 3; 50-75% = 4; >75% = 5. IHC positivity was calculated via the following formula: IHC score = percentage score × intensity score.

Cell culture

Three cell lines of cholangiocarcinoma (RBE, QBC939, and FRH0201), the bile duct epithelial cell line (HIBEpiC), and the human umbilical vein endothelial cell line (HUVECs) were bought from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Mycoplasma contamination testing was performed to confirm the negative infection of mycoplasma contamination. The RBE, QBC939, and FRH0201 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 culture medium (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The HIBEpiC cells were cultured in a DMEM culture medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS. The HUVECs cells were cultured in Media 199 culture medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS. All cells were grown in a humidified 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 37 °C.

Cell transfection

Plasmids containing three shRNAs targeting MNX1-AS1 (shMNX1-AS1 #1, #2, #3), one negative control shRNA vector with no target (NC-SH), and overexpressing plasmids of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were designed and synthesized by MineBio Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China). Three siRNAs of MNX1-AS1 and one negative control siRNA (siSCR) were bought from Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). 1.0×10^6 cells were seeded in 6-well plates, and siRNAs or siSCR were transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a final concentration of 100 nM according to the manufacturer's instructions. The transfection efficiency was verified by qRT-PCR after 48 h of transfection, which was more than 85%. The sequences of siRNA were presented in Supplementary Table S1.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR assay

Total RNA was extracted from cells using a TRIZOL kit (Invitrogen, USA). A reverse transcription kit (RR036A, TaKaRa) was used to reverse-transcribe total RNA into cDNA. Then, cDNA was quantified by PCR, and the data were acquired with the LightCycler 480 system (Roche, Nutley, NJ). GAPDH was used as internal control. The primers used in this study were presented in Supplementary Table S1.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation ability was investigated using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Approximately 1000 cells were inoculated into a 96-well plate and incubated overnight. CCK-8 solution was added into wells and cultured for 24, 28, 72, and 96 h, and the absorbance value of each well was measured on a 450 nm absorbance scale.

Colony formation assay

The RBE and FRH0201 cells were seeded into 6-well plates. One week later, cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with methanol, and then stained with crystal violet (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent, Beijing, China). All cell colonies formed in a well were counted. The assays were conducted in triplicate.

Cell migration and invasion assays

The transwell chambers of membrane molecules with 8 μ m pores in 24 holes (Corning, USA) were used for cell migration and invasion assays. In migration assay, ~1 × 10⁵ transfected cells were inoculated in the upper chamber of serum-free medium (with permeable membrane) and cultured for 24 h. The medium with 10% FBS was added into the lower chamber as a chemical inducer. After incubation for 24 h, the cells that migrated to the membrane bottom were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min. After staining and fixation with 0.1% crystal violet, the cells were observed and counted under a microscope. The method used in cell invasion assay is consistent with that in the cell migration assay except for the 40 µl of diluted Matrigel in the upper chamber.

Endothelial tube formation assay

Matrigel matrix (Corning, $50 \,\mu$ L) was added to 96-well plates, and the 96-well plates were solidified for 30 min at 37 °C. Then, we resuspended HUVECs in supernatant collected from different groups (si-MNX1-AS1, over-MNX1-AS1, control group). Next, 6×10^4 HUVECs were seeded to each well containing 500 μ L supernatant. After the cells were incubated for 8 h, tube formation was observed and photographed by a microscope.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP) and ChIP-seq

ChIP assay was conducted by using an EZ-ChIP kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The mouse MNX1 antibody was bought from Abcam Company (San Francisco, CA, USA). Lysates were used to make DNA–protein cross-linking through 4% paraformaldehyde and then broken to 400–800 bp DNA segments by ultrasonic crushing treatment. The immunoprecipitated chromatin DNA assay was conducted by using the MNX1 antibody or normal IgG. Afterward, the immunoprecipitated chromatin DNA was washed and sent to Novogene Company (Novogene, Beijing, China) for ChIP-seq.

RNA immunoprecipitation assay (RIP)

The EZMagna RIP kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to investigate whether MNX1-AS1 interacts or binds to TFs. Cells were split in RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitor mixture and RNase inhibitor. Cell extracts and magnetic bead RIPA buffer containing 5 μ g human anti-c-Myc cross-linking anti-MAZ antibody (Abcam) or IgG were incubated together at 4 °C for 6 h. The magnetic bead was washed with buffer three times and then incubated with protease K at 55 °C for 30 min to remove other impure proteins. Finally, qRT-PCR was adopted to examine the relative amount of MNX1-AS1.

Western blot assay

Total protein was extracted by using RIPA lysate (Beyotime, Beijing, China). The concentrated protein was detected by using the bicinchoninic acid protein detection kit (Beyotime, Beijing, China). Afterward, 30 µg protein was separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and then transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). After 5% fetal BSA was used to block the membrane overnight, the membrane was incubated with the primary antibodies: MNX1, Ajuba, MST1, MST2, p-Mob, p-Lats1, Yes-associated protein (YAP), p-YAP, and GAPDH. All antibodies were bought from Abcam (San Francisco, CA, USA). The enhanced chemiluminescence test system was used to observe the protein strip (Applygen Technologies, Beijing, China).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) assay

The RBE and FRH0201 cells were incubated with the MNX1-AS1 probe labeled with FAM at 37 °C overnight and washed with 2× saline sodium citrate buffer (SSC) three times. The nucleus counterstaining was conducted in the dark by using 4',6-diamidino-2-pheny-lindole (DAPI). Cells were photographed under an Olympus microscope. The probe sequence used in this study was presented in Supplementary Table S1.

Xenograft assay

Ten four-week-old athymic male BALB/c nude mice were bought from Nanfang Model Organisms Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The mice were labeled with a number from 1 to 10 randomly, then a random number table (1–10) was generated by R software (version, 3.6.1). The mice labeled with the first five numbers in the table will be used in the experimental group, and the rest of the mice will be used in the control group. 1×10^7 FRH0201-shMNX1-AS1 or FRH0201-shNC cells were inoculated subcutaneously on their right side. Tumors were measured every week. The mice were killed 4 weeks after inoculation, and the tumors were separated. Tumor size was calculated using the formula: (length × width²)/2.

In vivo metastasis assay

Ten four-week-old athymic male BALB/c nude mice were bought from Nanfang Model Organisms Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The method of randomization was described as above. The lung metastasis model was established through tail vein injection of cancer cells to detect cell metastasis ability in mice. Approximately 2×10^6 of FRH0201-shMNX1-AS1 or FRH0201-shNC cells were injected into the tail vein of nude mice. After being killed, the lungs of mice were removed and photographed using the IVIS system (Carestream Health, Inc.). Animal studies were performed according to ARRIVE guidelines.

Statistical analysis

The "limma" package in R language (version, 3.6.1) was used to screen differential expression genes (DEGs). The criteria of DEGs were as follows: FDR < 0.05, |log2FC| > 2. SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis. The significance of the differences between the two groups was determined via two-sided Student's *t*-test, and non-normal distribution data or data with uneven variances were analyzed using the Wilcoxon test. ANOVA was used as more than two groups were compared. Pearson correlation analysis was adopted correlation analysis between genes. The sample size was calculated by PASS software (version 15.0.5, NCSS, LLC). For animal experiments, a sample size of five was chosen for each experimental group. The investigator was blinded to the group allocation and during the experiment. Statistical significance was considered at P < 0.05.

Results

MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 are increasingly expressed and highly correlated in ICC

To detect genes that were differentially expressed between ICC tissues and adjacent liver tissues, GSE107943 and TCGA-CHOL data sets were



downloaded and analyzed (Fig. 1a, b). The locations of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were labeled in volcano maps, which indicated that both of them were highly expressed in ICC samples. We found 3544 common upregulated genes in the two data sets (Fig. 1c). In fact, MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 are located at two chains in the same region of the chromosome, and the coding region of MNX1-AS1 overlaps with the promoter region of MNX1 to some extent (Fig. 1d). We investigated the correlation between

the expression levels of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 in RNAseq data. Our analysis showed that the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were highly and positively correlated in GSE107943 and TCGA-CHOL data sets ($R^2 >$ = 0.826, P < 0.001, Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. S1). Further, to confirm the correlation, we collected and determined the expression levels of the two genes in 33 pairs of ICC and paracancerous tissues via qRT-PCR, and the results were consistent with those of sequencing; that was, MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were highly expressed in these 33 pairs of ICC tissues. The expression of MNX1-AS1 was also highly and positively correlated with the expression of MNX1 ($R^2 = 0.880$, P < 0.001, Fig. 1f). Then, in order to confirm their presence in various cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, we measured the basal expression of MNX1-AS1 from three cholangiocarcinoma cell lines (RBE, OBC939, and FRH0201) and a normal epithelial cell of the bile duct (HIBEpiC). The qRT-PCR results revealed that the MNX1-AS1 expression levels in all the three cholangiocarcinoma cell lines were markedly higher than in the HIBEpiC cell line (P < 0.01). Among the three cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, the MNX1-AS1 expression level in FRH0201 cells was the highest, whereas the lowest in RBE cells (Fig. 1h). We also conducted the immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of MNX1 protein to further verify whether MNX1 was increasingly expressed in ICC tissues. It was evident that the expression level of MNX1 protein in ICC tissue was significantly higher than adjacent non-tumor tissue (Fig. 1g). Furthermore, we investigated the location of MNX1-AS1 in cholangiocarcinoma cells via FISH assay which showed that MNX1-AS1 mainly existed in the nucleus of the RBE and FRH0201 cell lines (Fig. 1h), indicating that it mainly had roles in the nucleus. Taken together, these results demonstrated that the expressions of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were highly expressed in ICC tissues and cell lines, and the expression levels of the two genes were highly and positively correlated to each other. Moreover, MNX1-AS1 was mainly located in the nucleus.

MNX1-AS1 facilitates the expression of MNX1 protein by recruiting transcription factors c-Myc and MAZ

Based on the results above, we speculated that MNX1-AS1 might facilitate the expression of MNX1 protein. To verify this hypothesis, we conducted loss- and gain-offunction assays in vitro, and observed that the expression levels of MNX1 remarkably increased after overexpressing MNX1-AS1 in RBE cells but significantly decreased after interfering MNX1-AS1 in FRH0201 cells (P < 0.001, Fig. 2a). These results indicated that the expression of MNX1 was indeed regulated by MNX1-AS1, which was consistent with our hypothesis before. However, how MNX1-AS1 regulated the expression of MNX1 remained unclear. To explore the underlying mechanism, we first queried the GeneCards (https://www.genecards.org) and PROMO databases (http://alggen.lsi.upc.es) to predict the TFs that might bind with the MNX1 promoter region. The query results showed that only five TFs, including YY1, c-Myc, MAZ, USF1, and WT1, were common TFs in the two databases (Fig. 2b). We then determined the query results above via ChIP assay and detected that only c-Myc and MAZ could bind to the MNX1 promoter (Fig. 2c). To further verified the effects of TFs c-Myc and MAZ on the expression of MNX1, loss-of-function assay was performed, and found that the expression of MNX1 was significantly decreased in c-Myc or MAZ knockdown FRH0201 cells (Fig. 2d). Moreover, in order to demonstrate whether c-Myc and MAZ can directly bind to IncRNA MNX1-AS1, we queried the RegRNA website (http://regrna2.mbc.nctu.edu.tw) to explore whether the nucleotide sequence of MNX1-AS1 existed binding sites with c-Myc and MAZ. The prediction results showed that the binding sites with c-Myc and MAZ did exist. We then further determined the prediction results by RIP assay, and our results demonstrated that TFs c-Myc and MAZ did bind to lncRNA MNX1-AS1 (Fig. 2e). Taken together, our findings illuminated that lncRNA MNX1-AS1 can recruit TFs c-Myc and MAZ to enhance the expression of MNX1 protein.

MNX1-AS1 enhances ICC cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and angiogenic ability

To demonstrate the effects of MNX1-AS1 expression on ICC cell phenotypes, we conducted a series of loss-ofand gain-of-function assays in FRH0201 and RBE cell lines. CCK-8 cell proliferation assay and colony formation assay uncovered that overexpression of MNX1-AS1 promoted ICC cell proliferation, whereas knockdown of MNX1-AS1 suppressed ICC cell proliferation (Fig. 3a). In order to detect the effects of MNX1-AS1 on migration and invasion abilities of ICC cells, transwell assays were conducted, we observed that MNX1-AS1 overexpression remarkably enhanced the migration and invasion abilities of RBE cells. In contrast, the migration and invasion abilities remarkably decreased in MNX1-AS1-depleted FRH0201 cells (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, We performed the tube formation assay to evaluate the effect of MNX1-AS1 on the ability of angiogenesis. The average length of tubes from the over-MNX1-AS1 group (labeled as MNX1-AS1 in Fig. 3) was significantly longer than those from the control group (labeled as pcDNA3.1 in Fig. 3). In contrast, the average length of tubes from the sh-MNX1-AS1 group was markedly shorter than those from the control group. The tube formation assays indicated that overexpression of MNX1-AS1 could stimulate angiogenesis in ICC cells (Fig. 3c). In summary, our findings demonstrated that lncRNA MNX1-AS1 can promote the proliferation, migration, invasion, and angiogenic ability of ICC cells.

MNX1-AS1 knockdown suppressed ICC tumorigenesis in vivo

To detect the effects o lncRNA MNX1-AS1 on tumor growth in vivo, we constructed a xenograft tumor model via injecting sh-MNX1-AS1 or nc-MNX1-AS1 FRH0201 cells into male nude mice subcutaneously. IHC staining assays were conducted to detect the



expression of downstream molecular targets of MNX1-AS1 in our xenograft models. The expression of MNX1 was significantly decreased after MNX1-AS1 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. S2, IHC score: SH-MNX1-AS1 vs. NC-MNX1-AS1: 6.25 ± 1.24 vs. 11.33 ± 1.09 , P < 0.001). As shown in Fig. 4a, The size and weight of tumors in the sh-MNX1-AS1 group were significantly smaller than those in the nc-MNX1-AS1 group (4-week volume: 0.86 ± 0.16 vs. 1.92 ± 0.16 cm³; weight: 0.33 ± 0.08 vs. 0.81 ± 0.11 g, P < 0.05), which indicated that the proliferation ability of tumor in vivo was markedly decreased after knockdown of MNX1-AS1.

We established a mouse model of pulmonary metastasis to evaluate the effect of MNX1-AS1 on tumor metastasis in vivo. The results revealed that the number of pulmonary metastases in the sh-MNX1-AS1 group was significantly decreased compared with those in the sh-NC group. Then, immunohistochemical staining for Ki-67 was conducted, the results demonstrated that the expression of Ki-67 in the sh-MNX1-AS1 group was remarkably decreased (IHC score: sh-MNX1-AS1 vs. nc-MNX1-AS1: 3.33 ± 0.33 vs. 7.33 ± 0.33 , P = 0.001), indicating that cell proliferation ability in pulmonary metastases was considerably decreased after MNX1-AS1 knockdown (Fig. 4b). Taken together, these findings illuminated that inhibition of MNX1-AS1 might suppress ICC tumorigenesis in vivo.

MNX1 represses the Hippo signaling pathway via upregulating the expression of Ajuba protein

To further explore the downstream genes that MNX1 regulated, we conducted ChIP-seq of MNX1. Figure 5a, b showed the form and distribution of elements, including intron, exon, promoter, upstream region, and intergenic



sites, which can interact with MNX1. We further performed Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis on all genes we enriched. GO analysis revealed that the downstream target genes of MNX1 participated in diverse biological processes, including DNA-binding transcription activator, mRNA binding posttranscriptional gene and Wnt activated receptor activity, etc. (Fig. 5c). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that diverse tumor-related pathways were involved and might be the signaling pathways downstream of MNX1, including the MAPK signaling pathway, Wnt signaling pathway, and Hippo signaling pathway, etc (Fig. 5d). More interestingly, our results from ChIP-seq of MNX1 also demonstrated that MNX1 could bind to Ajuba promoter regions. Several studies indicated that Ajuba protein could repress the activity of the Hippo pathway and then facilitate the tumorigenesis of diverse malignancies^{31,32}. Taken together, these findings revealed that MNX1 may repress the activity of the Hippo pathway via binding to the promoter of Ajuba in ICC.

To further detect whether MNX1 actually binds to the Ajuba promoter region, we conducted a ChIP assay of MNX1. Figure 6a revealed the MNX1-binding motifs, we then predicted three MNX1-binding sites in the Ajuba promoter region based on the motifs above. Next, a ChIP assay was conducted to determine these predicted binding sites and found that two predicted binding sites (P2 and

P3) in the Ajuba promoter were actual binding sites for MNX1 (Fig. 6b). Furthermore, we investigated the critical downstream molecules in the Hippo signaling pathway by western blot. As performed in Fig. 6c, the overexpression of MNX1-AS1 or MNX1 significantly increased the expression of Ajuba protein; remarkably decreased the expression of downstream molecules Mst1/2, phosphorylated Mob, phosphorylated Lats1, and phosphorylated YAP1; and significantly increased the expression of oncogenic YAP1, whereas knockdown of MNX1-AS1 or MNX1 elicited opposite effects. The results from our assays verified that MNX1 can inhibit the Hippo pathway activity via binding to the promoter of Ajuba.

In a word, our results demonstrated that MNX1-AS1 can promote the expression of MNX1 by recruiting TFs c-Myc and MAZ in the nucleus. MNX1, as a transcription factor, will subsequently facilitate the expression of Ajuba protein. Then, Ajuba protein can inhibit the activity of the Hippo pathway. Finally, the inactivity of the Hippo pathway leads to an increasing YAP1 protein in the nucleus, which will prompt the tumorigenesis and progression of ICC (Fig. 7).

Discussion

ICC accounts for ~10–15% of primary liver cancer, and its incidence has been increasing in the past decades^{33,34}. Accumulating evidence has suggested that lncRNAs have vital roles in cancer biology. Although the abnormal



expression of some lncRNAs in ICC samples is a wellconfirmed phenomenon, the functional mechanisms of lncRNAs remain to be elucidated. One important lncRNA, MNX1-AS1, was upregulated and involved in tumorigenesis of diverse malignant tumors, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)³⁵, lung adenocarcinoma³⁶, and Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC)³⁷. It was revealed that MNX1-AS1 was related to TNM stage and lymph node metastasis in NSCLC, and associated with a poor prognosis of NSCLC patients³⁵. MNX1-AS1 expression was demonstrated to correlate with the poor prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma patients³⁶. MNX1-AS1 was highly expressed in ESCC and was proved to facilitate ESCC progression by upregulating insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2)³⁷. All these studies demonstrated that MNX1-AS1 might have vital roles in the tumorigenesis of diverse cancers. More interestingly, several studies revealed that the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 was positively correlated^{29,30}. MNX1 is an essential protein in diverse malignancies including bladder cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, and cervical cancer^{27,28,38-41}. The previous study revealed that the high expression level of MNX1 was associated with tumor stage and lymph nodes metastasis in cervical cancer, and it facilitated the proliferation, migration, and invasion of cervical cancer cells⁴⁰. In breast cancer, the expression of MNX1 was related to the prognosis of patients⁴¹. As far as we know, no study has explored the correlation between the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 in ICC, and their roles were also elusive in tumorigenesis of ICC.

In this study, we firstly investigated the expression of MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 via analyzing high-throughput RNA-seq data from TCGA and GEO databases, and further determined in 33 paired ICC tissues. The results found that both MNX1-AS1 and MNX1 were significantly expressed in higher levels in ICC tissues compared with their paired liver tissues, and their expression was highly and positively correlated in ICC. MNX1-AS1 enhanced ICC cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and angiogenesis in vitro, and prompted tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. Our FISH assay revealed that MNX1-AS1 was predominantly localized in the cancer cell nucleus. The lncRNAs located in the nucleus might participate in the regulation of their neighboring gene expression via interacting with DNA, RNA, or protein^{15,42,43}. However, the underlying mechanism of these processes remained elusive. Accumulating evidence also elucidated that TFs may contribute to the dysregulation of



enrichment analysis of ChIP-seq of MNX1. Top 10 terms of each class were performed. BP biological processes, CC cellular components, MF molecular functions. **d** KEGG pathway analysis of ChIP-seq of MNX1 showed that MNX1 was involved in diverse cancer-related pathways (Supplementary Table S4).



performed to determine whether MNX1 bound to the promoter of Ajuba. The result indicated that MNX1 bound to the promoter of Ajuba (P2 and P3). **c** The expression of essential molecules in the Hippo signaling pathway after upregulating or downregulating the expression of MNX1-AS1 or MNX1 by western blot assay. The results indicated that overexpression of MNX1 facilitated the expression of Ajuba protein, and subsequently repressed the activity of the Hippo pathway, the western blot assay was repeated three times for each group. (***P < 0.001 by *t*-test).



lncRNAs in diverse malignancies^{44,45}. This study uncovered that lncRNA MNX1-AS1 contributes to the expression of MNX1 via recruiting TFs c-Myc and MAZ. An important member of the Myc gene family, c-Myc, has been reported that its increased expression was associated with the progression of diverse cancers, including colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, lung cancer, HCC, bladder cancer, and cholangiocarcinoma⁴⁶⁻⁵⁴. In hepatobiliary cancers, c-Myc mediated small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptides B (SNRPB) upregulation to facilitate the progression of HCC⁵⁴. A circular RNA, circCDYL, hampered the progression of bladder cancer via downregulating the expression of c-Myc⁵⁴. Dysregulation of c-Myc may contribute to the progression of cholangiocarcinoma⁵⁴. MAZ is a confirmed oncogene involved in the progression of various malignancies^{55–58}. It was shown that the MAZ expression level was elevated in prostate cancer tissues, and its high expression was associated with the poor prognosis of prostate cancer patients. MAZ can facilitate the bone metastasis of prostate cancer via the k-ras pathway⁵⁷. The roles of MAZ in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma were also reported⁵⁸, and it promoted the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, migration, and invasion of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells via k-ras pathway⁵⁸. Our results illuminated that c-Myc and MAZ can facilitate the expression of MNX1 via binding to MNX1-AS1 in ICC.

To further clarify the downstream genes under MNX1 regulation, ChIP-seq of MNX1 was conducted. KEGG pathway analysis found that MNX1 was associated with diverse tumor-related signaling pathways, including the Hippo pathway. It was reported that the Hippo pathway is related to the organ size, tissue homeostasis, and tumorigenesis⁵⁹. Once the Hippo pathway is inactivated, Yesassociated protein (YAP) and Tafazzin (TAZ) translocated into the nucleus to promote cell proliferation⁶⁰. Numerous studies have also reported that the Hippo pathway participated in the progression of various malignancies^{59,61–64}. G9a-derived dimethylated H3K9 (H3K9me2) repressed the expression of large tumor suppressor 2 (LATS2) and promoted the expression of YAP subsequently. Inactivity of the Hippo pathway may facilitate the progression of cholangiocarcinoma⁶³. Recent researches found that Ajuba protein was a negative regulator of the Hippo pathway^{31,32}. More interestingly, we found that MNX1 can bind to the Ajuba promoter region, and the overexpressed MNX1 could prompt the expression of Ajuba protein, whereas knockdown of MNX1 elicited opposite effects. Moreover, it was also observed that when the expression of Ajuba protein was elevated, the expression of Mst1/2, phosphorylated Mob, phosphorylated Lats1, and phosphorylated YAP1 was remarkably decreased. However, the expression of oncogenic YAP1 was significantly elevated. The increasing unphosphorylated YAP1 translocated into the nucleus and had a vital role in ICC progression. The opposite trends were observed when the expression of the Ajuba protein was decreased. Our results demonstrated that MNX1 can facilitate the expression of Ajuba protein by binding to its promoter and subsequently silent the Hippo pathway to promote the progression of ICC. What's more, a recent study about oral squamous cell carcinoma revealed that knockdown of YAP prohibited the expression of c-Myc, while overexpression of YAP showed the opposite effects, suggesting that YAP could regulate c-Myc transcriptional activity⁶⁵. In fact, it has been welldocumented that YAP is a stimulator to c-Myc transcription^{66,67}. Based on our experimental results and related literature reports, lncRNA MNX1-AS1 may exert an oncogenic role via the c-Myc/MNX1/Ajuba/YAP feedback loop.

In summary, we have demonstrated that MNX1-AS1 is a novel lncRNA related to the tumorigenesis and progression of ICC. MNX1-AS1 contributes to the expression of MNX1 via recruiting TFs including c-Myc and MAZ. Subsequently, MNX1 facilitates the expression of Ajuba protein by binding to its promoter and thus represses the Hippo signaling pathway. The MNX1-AS1/ c-Myc and MAZ/MNX1/ Ajuba/Hippo signaling pathway is a potential therapeutic target for ICC treatment.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the State Key Project on Infectious Diseases (2018ZX10723204-001), Joint Tackling Project of Emerging Frontier Technologies in Shanghai Hospitals in 2017 (SHDC12017122), the Key Breakthrough Direction of Special Disease of the Second Military Medical University Investigative-oriented Department and Investigative Type Doctor Construction Project in 2017 (K.W.).

Author contributions

F.S. and K.W. contributed to the design of the study as well as the revision of the article. F.L. and Q.C. operated the cell and animal experiments. H.X. and L.Z. performed the statistical analysis, and drafted the article. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41419-020-03029-0).

Received: 30 April 2020 Revised: 13 August 2020 Accepted: 9 September 2020

Published online: 22 October 2020

References

- Kelley, R. K, Bridgewater, J., Gores, G. J. & Zhu, A. X. Systemic therapies for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J. Hepatol. 72, 353–363 (2020).
- Mazzaferro, V., Gorgen, A., Roayaie, S., Droz Dit Busset, M. & Sapisochin, G. Liver resection and transplantation for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* 72, 364–377 (2020).
- Bridgewater, J. et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J. Hepatol. 60, 1268–1289 (2014).
- Ma, M. et al. Metabolism-induced tumor activator 1 (MITA1), an energy stressinducible long noncoding RNA, promotes hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis. *Hepatology* **70**, 215–230 (2019).
- Sanchez Calle, A., Kawamura, Y., Yamamoto, Y., Takeshita, F. & Ochiya, T. Emerging roles of long non-coding RNA in cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **109**, 2093–2100 (2018).
- Ferreira, H. J. & Esteller, M. Non-coding RNAs, epigenetics, and cancer: tying it all together. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 37, 55–73 (2018).
- Shen, X. H., Qi, P. & Du, X. Long non-coding RNAs in cancer invasion and metastasis. *Mod. Pathol.* 28, 4–13 (2015).
- Zhou, Y. et al. LncRNA ID2-AS1 suppresses tumor metastasis by activating the HDAC8/ID2 pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Lett.* 469, 399–409 (2020).
- Liu, X., Yang, Q., Yan, J., Zhang, X. & Zheng, M. LncRNA MNX1-AS1 promotes the progression of cervical cancer through activating MAPK pathway. J. Cell. Biochem. 120, 4268–4277 (2019).
- Guan, L. et al. MEIS2C and MEIS2D promote tumor progression via Wnt/ β-catenin and hippo/YAP signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38, 417 (2019).
- Shahabi, S. et al. LINC00261 is an epigenetically regulated tumor suppressor essential for activation of the DNA damage response. *Cancer Res.* **79**, 3050–3062 (2019).
- Ye, Y., Gu, B., Wang, Y., Shen, S. & Huang, W. E2F1-mediated MNX1-AS1-miR-218-5p-SEC61A1 feedback loop contributes to the progression of colon adenocarcinoma. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **120**, 6145–6153 (2019).
- Li, O., Yi, W., Yang, P., Guo, C. & Peng, C. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes proliferation and invasion of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma cells through targeting microRNA-122. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 18, 25–32 (2019).
- Pereira, B., Billaud, M. & Almeida, R. RNA-binding proteins in cancer: old players and new actors. *Trends Cancer* 3, 506–528 (2017).

- Villegas, V. E. & Zaphiropoulos, P. G. Neighboring gene regulation by antisense long non-coding RNAs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 3251–3266 (2015).
- Li, Z., Wang, Y., Hu, R., Xu, R. & Xu, W. LncRNA B4GALT1-AS1 recruits HuR to promote osteosarcoma cells stemness and migration via enhancing YAP transcriptional activity. *Cell Prolif.* 51, e12504 (2018).
- Yari, H. et al. LncRNA REG1CP promotes tumorigenesis through an enhancer complex to recruit FANCJ helicase for REG3A transcription. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5334 (2019).
- Li, A. H. & Zhang, H. H. Overexpression of IncRNA MNX1-AS1 is associated with poor clinical outcome in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 21, 5618–5623 (2017).
- Lv, Y., Li, H., Li, F., Liu, P. & Zhao, X. Long noncoding RNA MNX1-AS1 knockdown inhibits cell proliferation and migration in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* 32, 91–99 (2017).
- Li, Z., Wang, F. & Zhang, S. Knockdown of IncRNA MNX1-AS1 suppresses cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in prostate cancer. *FEBS Open Biol.* 9, 851–858 (2019).
- Wang, Y., Yan, X. L. & Tian, S. K. Downregulating long non-coding RNA CCAT5 inhibits tumor growth, invasion and metastasis in colorectal cancer through suppressing STAT3. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 7899–7904 (2019).
- Shuai, Y. et al. TEAD4 modulated LncRNA MNX1-AS1 contributes to gastric cancer progression partly through suppressing BTG2 and activating BCL2. *Mol. Cancer* 19, 6 (2020).
- Ji, D., Wang, Y., Sun, B., Yang, J. & Luo, X. Long non-coding RNA MNX1-AS1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma proliferation and invasion through targeting miR-218-5p/COMMD8 axis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 513, 669–674 (2019).
- Wang, J. et al. Long noncoding RNA MNX1 antisense RNA 1 exerts oncogenic functions in bladder cancer by regulating miR-218-5p/RAB1A Axis. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **372**, 237–247 (2020).
- Wildenhain, S. et al. Expression of cell-cell interacting genes distinguishes HLXB9/TEL from MLL-positive childhood acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 24, 1657–1660 (2010).
- Schwaller, J. Novel insights into the role of aberrantly expressed MNX1 (HLXB9) in infant acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica* **104**, 1–3 (2019).
- 27. Yang, X. et al. MNX1 promotes cell proliferation and activates Wnt/betacatenin signaling in colorectal cancer. *Cell Biol. Int.* **43**, 402–408 (2019).
- Chen, M. et al. Motor neuron and pancreas homeobox 1/HLXB9 promotes sustained proliferation in bladder cancer by upregulating CCNE1/2. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 37, 154 (2018).
- Cheng, Y., Pan, Y., Pan, Y. & Wang, O. MNX1-AS1 is a functional oncogene that induces EMT and activates the AKT/mTOR pathway and MNX1 in breast cancer. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **11**, 803–812 (2019).
- Wu, F., Zhong, Y., Lang, X. B., Tu, Y. L. & Sun, S. F. MNX1-AS1 accelerates the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in osteosarcoma cells by activating MNX1 as a functional oncogene. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 8194–8202 (2019).
- 31. Das Thakur, M. et al. Ajuba LIM proteins are negative regulators of the Hippo signaling pathway. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 657–662 (2010).
- Sun, G. & Irvine, K. D. Ajuba family proteins link JNK to Hippo signaling. Sci. Signal. 6, ra81 (2013).
- Esnaola, N. F. et al. Evaluation and management of intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer* **122**, 1349–1369 (2016).
- Jonas, S. et al. Extended liver resection for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A comparison of the prognostic accuracy of the fifth and sixth editions of the TNM classification. *Ann. Surg.* 249, 303–309 (2009).
- 35. Liu, G. et al. Expression and significance of LncRNA MNX1-AS1 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Onco Targets Ther.* **12**, 3129–3138 (2019).
- Yang, R., Wang, L. & Han, M. MNX1-AS1 is a novel biomarker for predicting clinical progression and poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **120**, 7222–7228 (2018).
- Zheng, D. N., Zhang, C. J. & Sun, G. P. Long non-coding RNA MNX1-AS1 promotes migration and invasion of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by upregulating IGF2. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 6179–6185 (2019).
- Wang, Y. et al. RGS12 is a novel tumor-suppressor gene in African American prostate cancer that represses AKT and MNX1 expression. *Cancer Res.* 77, 4247–4257 (2017).
- Zhang, L. et al. MNX1 is oncogenically upregulated in African-American prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 76, 6290–6298 (2016).
- Xiao, L., Hong, L. & Zheng, W. Motor neuron and pancreas homeobox 1 (MNX1) is involved in promoting squamous cervical cancer proliferation via regulating cyclin E. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 25, 6304–6312 (2019).

- Tian, T. et al. Expression, clinical significance, and functional prediction of MNX1 in breast cancer. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* 13, 399–406 (2018).
- Zhang, X. D. et al. The interaction of IncRNA EZR-AS1 with SMYD3 maintains overexpression of EZR in ESCC cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 1793–1809 (2018).
- Wang, Y. et al. The long noncoding RNA IncTCF7 promotes self-renewal of human liver cancer stem cells through activation of Wnt signaling. *Cell Stem Cell* 16, 413–425 (2015).
- Liu, H. T., Liu, S., Liu, L., Ma, R. R. & Gao, P. EGR1-mediated transcription of IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 promotes cell-cycle progression in gastric cancer. *Cancer Res.* 78, 5877–5890 (2018).
- Melo, C. A. et al. A p53-bound enhancer region controls a long intergenic noncoding RNA required for p53 stress response. *Oncogene* 35, 4399–4406 (2016).
- Shen, Z. et al. SNX16 activates c-Myc signaling by inhibiting ubiquitinmediated proteasomal degradation of eEF1A2 in colorectal cancer development. *Mol. Oncol.* 14, 387–406 (2020).
- Liu, Z. et al. Transcription factor 7 promotes the progression of perihilar cholangiocarcinoma by inducing the transcription of c-Myc and FOS-like antigen 1. *EBioMedicine* **45**, 181–191 (2019).
- Wu, Y. F., Li, Z. R., Cheng, Z. Q., Yin, X. M. & Wu, J. S. Decrease of miR-622 expression promoted the proliferation, migration and invasion of cholangiocarcinoma cells by targeting regulation of c-Myc. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 96, 7–13 (2017).
- Cui, X. et al. ZNFX1 anti-sense RNA 1 promotes the tumorigenesis of prostate cancer by regulating c-Myc expression via a regulatory network of competing endogenous RNAs. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 77, 1135–1152 (2019).
- Hua, Q. et al. LINC01123, a c-Myc-activated long non-coding RNA, promotes proliferation and aerobic glycolysis of non-small cell lung cancer through miR-199a-5p/c-Myc axis. J. Hematol. Oncol. 12, 91 (2019).
- 51. Peng, N. et al. c-Myc-mediated SNRPB upregulation functions as an oncogene in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Biol. Int.* **44**, 1103–1111 (2020).
- Yang, H. et al. Deregulated methionine adenosyltransferase α1, c-Myc, and Maf proteins together promote cholangiocarcinoma growth in mice and humans (‡). *Hepatology* 64, 439–455 (2016).
- Tsuchiya, H. et al. HBx and c-MYC cooperate to Induce URI1 expression in HBVrelated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5714 (2019).

- Lin, C. Y. et al. Histone demethylase KDM4C stimulates the proliferation of prostate cancer cells via activation of AKT and c-Myc. *Cancers* 11, 1785 (2019).
- Li, H. et al. Therapeutic targeting of circ-CUX1/EWSR1/MAZ axis inhibits glycolysis and neuroblastoma progression. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 11, e10835 (2019).
- Yu, Z. H. et al. Dual function of MAZ mediated by FOXF2 in basal-like breast cancer: Promotion of proliferation and suppression of progression. *Cancer Lett.* 402, 142–152 (2017).
- Yang, Q. et al. MAZ promotes prostate cancer bone metastasis through transcriptionally activating the KRas-dependent RalGEFs pathway. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38, 391 (2019).
- Maity, G. et al. The MAZ transcription factor is a downstream target of the oncoprotein Cyr61/CCN1 and promotes pancreatic cancer cell invasion via CRAF-ERK signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 4334–4349 (2018).
- Feng, X. et al. The tumor suppressor interferon regulatory factor 2 binding protein 2 regulates hippo pathway in liver cancer by a feedback loop in mice. *Hepatology*, (2019).
- Ma, S., Meng, Z., Chen, R. & Guan, K. L. The Hippo pathway: biology and pathophysiology. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 88, 577–604 (2019).
- Peng, Q. S. et al. circRNA_0000140 suppresses oral squamous cell carcinoma growth and metastasis by targeting miR-31 to inhibit Hippo signaling pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* **11**, 112 (2020).
- Huang, Z. et al. PDLIM1 inhibits tumor metastasis through activating hippo signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* **71**, 1643–1659 (2019).
- Ma, W. et al. The histone methyltransferase G9a promotes cholangiocarcinogenesis through regulation of the hippo pathway kinase LATS2 and YAP signaling pathway. *Hepatology*. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31141 (2020).
- Yin, L. et al. SH3BGRL2 inhibits growth and metastasis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma via activating hippo/TEAD1-Twist1 pathway. *EBioMedicine* 51, 102596 (2020).
- Chen, X. et al. C-MYC and BCL-2 mediate YAP-regulated tumorigenesis in OSCC. Oncotarget 9, 668–679 (2018).
- Liu, Z. et al. A potential role for the Hippo pathway protein, YAP, in controlling proliferation, cell cycle progression, and autophagy in BCPAP and KI thyroid papillary carcinoma cells. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 9, 3212–3223 (2017).
- Zhang, H. T. et al. The BET bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 suppresses chondrosarcoma cell growth via regulation of YAP/p21/c-Myc signaling. J. Cell. Biochem. 118, 2182–2192 (2017).