

History of hematopoietic cell transplantation: challenges and progress

Noa Granot and Rainer Storb

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and the University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

ABSTRACT

A fter more than 60 years of research in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), this therapy has advanced from one that was declared dead in the 1960s to a standard treatment of otherwise fatal malignant and non-malignant blood diseases. To date, close to 1.5 million hematopoietic cell transplants have been performed in more than 1,500 transplantation centers worldwide. This review will highlight the enormous efforts by numerous investigators throughout the world who have brought the experimental field of HCT to clinical reality, examine ongoing challenges, and provide insights for the future.

The beginnings

The late 1940s saw major research efforts directed at repairing or preventing radiation damage to organs in response to observations made on survivors of the atomic bomb explosions in Japan. A pivotal report by Jacobson et al. in 1949 demonstrated protection of mice from lethal total body irradiation (TBI) damage to the bone marrow when shielding their spleens or femora with lead.^{1,2} Two years later, Lorenz et al. saw similar protection when mice were given an intravenous infusion of syngeneic marrow following TBI.3 Of note, Jacobson4 and others attributed the radiation protection to some humoral factor present in spleen or bone marrow, the "humoral hypothesis", a supposition that was controversial and not shared by others who thought the 'rescue' of the irradiated mice had cellular origins. It was not until the mid-1950s that several laboratories unequivocally documented, with the help of blood genetic markers, that the radioprotection was due to repopulation of the irradiated marrow spaces by transplanted donor cells, thereby validating the "cellular hypothesis".^{5,6} Much of that early work has been comprehensively described in the 1967 book "Radiation Chimeras" written by van Bekkum and de Vries.⁷

The unequivocal validation of the cellular hypothesis was greeted enthusiastically by immunologists, radiation biologists, and clinicians because of its implications for cell biology and because it promised clinical translation for treating patients with life-threatening blood disorders. Investigators thought that high doses of chemoradiation therapy could be used both to destroy diseased marrow and suppress the host immune system, thereby preventing rejection of an infused marrow graft from a healthy donor. Already one year after the studies in rodents were published, Thomas et al. reported in 1957 in The New England Journal of Medicine that marrow could be infused into irradiated leukemia patients and then engraft, even though, in the end, the patients were not cured of their leukemia.8 In 1965, Mathé et al. described a patient with acute leukemia who was given TBI followed by a marrow infusion from each of six relatives.9 The marrow of one of the relatives engrafted. While the patient eventually succumbed to an immunologic complication, initially called secondary disease and now known as graft-versus-host disease (GvHD), his leukemia remained in remission. With this observation, Mathé et al. corroborated a previous report by Barnes and Loutit from 1956 in mice showing that GvHD could lead to eradication of leukemic cells.¹⁰ In order to describe this phenomenon, Mathé coined the term "graft-versus-leukemia" effect.

Unfortunately, all human allogeneic marrow grafts in these early years failed, as meticulously documented in a paper from 1970 by Bortin.¹¹ Of the 200 patients reported between 1957 and 1967, 73 were transplanted for aplastic anemia, 115 for advanced and refractory hematologic malignancies, and 12 for immunodeficiency diseases. In the end, all 200 patients died, 125 with graft failure, 47 with GvHD,

Haematologica 2020 Volume 105(12):2716-2729

Correspondence:

RAINER STORB rstorb@fredhutch.org

Received: July 30, 2020. Accepted: September 25, 2020. Pre-published: October 9, 2020.

doi:10.3324/haematol.2019.245688

©2020 Ferrata Storti Foundation

Material published in Haematologica is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved to the Ferrata Storti Foundation. Use of published material is allowed under the following terms and conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode. Copies of published material are allowed for personal or internal use. Sharing published material for non-commercial purposes is subject to the following conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode, sect. 3. Reproducing and sharing published material for commercial purposes is not allowed without permission in writing from the publisher.



and others with infections or recurrence of their underlying malignancies.

These early human transplants were performed before a full understanding of conditioning regimens and GvHD prevention was achieved, and before the discovery of the importance of histocompatibility matching for the outcome of marrow transplantation. The early transplants were based on observations in inbred mice, for which major histocompatibility complex (MHC) matching was not an absolute requirement. As a result of the complete failure of translating findings from mice to humans, most investigators abandoned the idea that allogeneic HCT could ever become a valuable asset in clinical medicine, and prominent immunologists doubted that the immunological barrier from one human to another could ever be crossed.

Back to the laboratory

Discouraged by the disastrous clinical results, most investigators left the field, declaring it a dead end. However, a few small laboratories in Europe and the United States persisted in systematic efforts to understand and overcome the perceived "insurmountable" obstacles encountered in early human marrow transplantation. Much of the work was carried out in large animals, including monkeys and dogs. An important paper published in 1968 showed that canine littermates matched for the MHC antigens by in vitro tissue typing had far better HCT outcomes than MHC-mismatched recipients.¹² In vitro typing for the MHC, called human leukocyte antigen (HLA) region in humans, H2 in mice, and DLA in dogs, was very primitive at the time and, moreover, the complexity of the MHC was not yet understood. Typing consisted of serologic measurements using multi-specific antibodies collected from parous women or transfusion recipients in trypan blue exclusion or leuko-agglutination assays,¹³⁻¹⁶ combined with testing of donor and recipient lymphocytes for reactivity in a mixed leukocyte culture.¹⁷ While trail-blazing at the time, these primitive testing techniques are now history, and typing is accomplished by genetic sequencing of up to 14 HLA-alleles.

The second, completely unexpected observation made in the original canine experiment was that GvHD, either in acute, subacute or chronic form, developed in MHCmatched littermates, even though significantly later than in mismatched littermates.¹⁸ This finding was surprising since it had not been encountered in mice that were mismatched with their donors for non-H2 antigens. It pointed out the need for investigating methods to prevent and control GvHD even in well-matched human donor-recipient combinations. Consequently, studies of numerous immunosuppressive agents were conducted in a canine model that eventually led to identifying the antimetabolite methotrexate as the best drug for GvHD prevention.¹⁹ By balancing the drug's toxicities against its efficacy, a regimen of intermittent methotrexate was established, with administration of the drug 1, 3, 6, and 11 days after transplantation and then weekly for at least 3 months. This regimen entered the clinic in 1969 and was used until the early 1980s.

Other research efforts focused on effective and tolerable conditioning regimens. In the beginning, single-dose TBI up to 10 Gray (Gy) was utilized. However, extensive stud-

ies in canines revealed that delivering TBI in multiple fractions of 2 Gy each reduced damage to slow-responding tissues, such as liver, lung and others, while barely diminishing radiation effects on marrow and lymphoid tissues. Based on these studies, fractionating TBI has remained the standard.²⁰ Additionally, effective drug-based conditioning regimens were developed. Among those, cyclophosphamide has become the standard for patients with aplastic anemia since the drug had outstanding immunosuppressive qualities. However, cyclophosphamide spared stem cells and was not myeloablative,²¹ and so was not deemed suitable for conditioning patients with leukemia. In contrast, another alkylating agent, busulfan, proved to be highly myeloablative but lacked the immunosuppressive qualities of cyclophosphamide or TBI. Prospective, randomized trials showed that busulfan was better tolerated than TBI and had equivalent efficacy to TBI for conditioning patients with myeloid malignancies;²² however, in order to ensure hematopoietic engraftment, busulfan needed to be combined with immunosuppressive drugs such as cyclophosphamide²³ or fludarabine.

Other studies addressed transfusion-induced sensitization to minor histocompatibility antigens, which often resulted in marrow graft rejection among HLA-identical recipients with aplastic anemia. Rejection rates in early transplants for aplastic anemia ranged from 36% to 60%.^{24,25} In order to surmount this major problem, methods were identified that minimized the risk of sensitization from transfusions. Also, a more immunosuppressive conditioning regimen was developed that combined antithymocyte globulin (ATG) with cyclophosphamide.^{26,27} This regimen has become standard practice for aplastic anemia patients with HLA-identical sibling donors. Other studies showed that, unlike in solid organ transplantation, post-HCT immunosuppression was not required for the remainder of the patients' life but could often be discontinued after 6 months. After 6 months, donor-derived regulatory T cells (then called "suppressor T cells") were found in the peripheral blood, which were thought to enable and maintain a state of graft-*versus*-host tolerance; of note, these cells were absent in patients with chronic GvHD.²⁸ It was also shown that successful grafts could be accomplished using hematopoietic cells derived from the peripheral blood in mice, dogs and baboons.²⁹ In later years, it was found that large numbers of these cells could be "mobilized" from the marrow into the peripheral blood (peripheral blood stem cells or PBSC) with granulocytecolony stimulating factor (G-CSF).^{30,31}

Finally, since dogs share spontaneous blood disorders with humans, preclinical exploration of treating such diseases by allogeneic HCT was possible. For example, dogs with severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) were cured by marrow transplants, as were dogs with severe hemolytic anemia due to pyruvate kinase deficiency. The latter dogs had massive iron deposits in their inner organs from the severe hemolysis.32 Long-term follow-up of transplanted dogs showed impressive resolution of iron deposits in the liver over time. This finding encouraged the first successful transplantation for multiply transfused human patients with thalassemia major.³³ Dogs with spontaneous non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) served to establish the value of autologous HCT in the treatment of this disease. Moreover, comparisons with results of allogeneic HCT confirmed the presence of graft-versus-lymphoma effects in dogs.

Return to the clinic: 1968 to 1980

By 1968, the progress made in preclinical transplantation and the advances in the understanding of HLA set the stage for clinical trials to resume. In 1968/1969, three publications reported the first successful marrow grafts for patients with primary immune deficiency disorders.³⁴³⁶ However, during the subsequent years, most clinical transplants were performed in patients with advanced hematologic malignancies and severe aplastic anemia.^{37,38} These early trials posed serious challenges not only in the field of transplantation biology but also in aspects of supportive care, especially infections and transfusion support. Therefore, these trials stimulated incredible progress in infectious disease and transfusion research.

Even though donors and recipients in nearly all early trials were HLA-identical siblings, and despite prophylaxis with methotrexate, GvHD occurred in almost half of the patients. Major advances in GvHD prevention and, consequently, improvement in overall patient survival were accomplished when, based on preclinical canine studies, methotrexate was combined with calcineurin inhibitors such as cyclosporine or tacrolimus. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 39\text{-}41}$ These synergistic drug combinations have remained among the most widely used methods for GvHD prevention to date. The first grading system for acute GvHD was described in 1974,⁴² and the first effective treatment of acute GvHD with anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) was reported in the same year.⁴³ In those early years, ATG was not commercially available and the drug was produced in our laboratory by immunizing rabbits with human thymocytes.

Early results in patients with aplastic anemia conditioned with cyclophosphamide showed 45% long-term survival.44,45 One reason for the disappointing findings was fatal GvHD. However, as predicted from canine studies, the most serious fatal complication was graft rejection due to sensitization through transfusions to minor histocompatibility antigens for which donors and recipients were disparate. Preclinical studies transformed how clinical transfusions and transplants were conducted and paved the way for better transplantation outcomes. Changing transfusion support to leukocyte-depleted, in vitro irradiated platelet and red blood cell products reduced the risk of sensitization to minor antigens and, with it, the risk of graft rejection not only for patients with aplastic anemia but also those with hemoglobinopathies. In addition, the newly developed cyclophosphamide/ATG regimen more effectively suppressed recipient immunity thereby enabling almost uniform marrow engraftment. The cumulative effects of these changes have resulted in survivals for patients with aplastic anemia given HLA-identical sibling marrow grafts ranging from 64% to 100%.^{39,46-55}

All early transplantations for acute leukemia were performed in patients who were in refractory relapse. As a result, in addition to fatalities from GvHD, many patients died from post-transplantation relapse. A decision in the mid-1970s to transplant patients earlier in the course of their disease, while the leukemia burden was low, reduced the relapse risk and led to a significant improvement in survival among patients with acute leukemias.^{37,38} Two pivotal publications from 1979 and 1981 in *The New England Journal of Medicine* described powerful graft-*versus*-leukemia effects associated with acute and chronic GvHD.^{56,57} This work provided the rationale for the subsequent introduction of donor lymphocyte infusions in the 1990s to prevent or combat relapse after HCT.^{58,59} Some transplant centers focused on removing T cells from the marrow in order to reduce the risk of GvHD. However, initial studies showed unacceptably high incidences of mortality from graft rejection, disease relapse and infections.⁶⁰ When T-cell depletion was combined with high-intensity conditioning regimens before and careful monitoring after transplantation for recurrence of acute leukemia and prompt treatment by donor lymphocyte infusions, outcomes were improved. This approach has remained an acceptable procedure in patients with acute leukemia.⁶¹

In the late 1980s, G-CSF-mobilized PBSC were introduced for allogeneic transplants. Randomized, prospective trials showed marrow and PBSC to be equivalent as far as engraftment and overall survival were concerned (Table 1). However, PBSC caused more chronic GvHD than marrow; because of this, marrow has remained the preferred source of stem cells for patients with non-malignant diseases such as aplastic anemia or hemoglobinopathies. However, PBSC continue to be the predominant graft source for patients with hematologic malignancies, in part due to donor preference.

One limitation in early allogeneic HCT was that only approximately 35% of patients had HLA-identical siblings who could serve as marrow donors. In order to get around that limitation, and assisted by an increasing understanding of the genetics of the HLA region, along with improved HLA-typing techniques,62-64 registries were established in the 1980s that collected HLA data from unrelated volunteer donors, first in the UK with the Anthony Nolan Foundation, in the United States with the National Marrow Donor Registry, and then other national registries (Table 1). Early canine studies had already indicated the feasibility of "matched", unrelated HCT,^{65,66} and the first successful human transplant from an HLAmatched unrelated donor was carried out in 1979 for a patient with acute lymphoblastic leukemia.⁶⁷ Currently, HLA data from more than 36 million unrelated volunteers are accessible in the various national registries. For Caucasian patients, the likelihood of finding an HLAmatched unrelated donor is approximately 80%; however, this percentage declines dramatically for patients from ethnic groups.⁶⁸⁻⁷¹ In order to provide potentially curative HCT for these otherwise unserved patients, transplant methods have been developed that use grafts either from unrelated umbilical cord blood (UCB) or from HLA-haploidentical relatives (see below). This way donors can be found for 95% of transplant candidates regardless of age and ethnic background.

Moving forward: the 1990s

Over the past 25 years, more and more transplant centers have been established worldwide. In order to collect and analyze outcome data from the ever-increasing numbers of transplants, data registries have been set up, such as the European Bone Marrow Transplant Registry (EBMT) and the Center for International Bone Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR). To date, information on close to 1.5 million HCT has been collected.^{72,78} Large and mostly retrospective data analyses have generated information aimed at providing recommendations for the best HCT approaches for the various diseases and donor-recipient combinations.

The 1990s saw many changes in the way transplantations have been carried out. Major advances in infectious disease prevention and treatment were made, including using acyclovir to prevent herpes simplex and varicella zoster virus reactivation, monitoring for cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation and, once reactivation occurred, preventing CMV disease with ganciclovir or foscarnet, preventing Pneumocystis Jirovecii infections with a synthetic antibacterial combination of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim, and introducing more effective anti-fungal agents and antibiotics.74.79 Conditioning regimens were intensified to the upper limit of tolerability in order to optimize tumor cell kill; however, investigators began realizing that these intensive, myeloablative regimens, including cyclophosphamide/TBI or busulfan/cyclophosphamide were too toxic for elderly patients or for those with comorbid conditions. This was especially unfortunate since most hematologic malignancies happen to occur in older patients. The problem was obvious when comparing the median ages of patients transplanted in those years at Fred Hutch (related grafts 40 years, and unrelated grafts 35 years) to the median patient age at diagnosis of the underlying hematologic malignancies (68 years).⁸⁰ In short, most affected patients were excluded from transplantation.

In order to address this serious problem, and extend allogeneic HCT to include older or medically infirm patients, less-intensive conditioning regimens were introduced. These regimens shifted the burden of tumor kill from high-dose chemo-irradiation therapy toward graftversus-tumor effects. The regimens were facilitated by the development of a then new immunosuppressive agent, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), that blocked the de novo purine pathway needed for lymphocyte replication and worked in synergy with calcineurin inhibitors. This synergistic drug combination was not only effective in preventing GvHD but, importantly, also enhanced hematopoietic engraftment.^{81,82} MMF also was synergistic with another agent, sirolimus, which reduced the sensitivity of T cells interleukin-2 through mTor inhibition.83-86 to Combinations of these agents are now commonly used after allogeneic HCT, leading to relatively low and acceptable risk of non-relapse mortality (NRM).

The 21st century

The early years of the 21st century saw tremendous growth in allogeneic HCT, in part because of non-myeloablative or reduced-intensity conditioning regimens, which enabled extending allogeneic HCT to include older patients, and, in part, the growth was due to increased use of grafts from alternative donors, including unrelated cord blood (UCB) and HLA-haploidentical relatives.

Additionally, progress has been made in GvHD prevention among unrelated recipients. For example, a recently published randomized, prospective phase III trial compared a commonly used drug combination of MMF and cyclosporine to a triple-drug regimen of MMF, cyclosporine, and sirolimus.⁸⁴ Patients on the triple drug arm had a significant reduction in overall acute GvHD, and acute grade III-IV GvHD was seen in only 2% of patients. This resulted in a significant improvement in overall survival. Another recent development has been the US Food and Drug Administration approval of ruxolitinib, a JAK2 inhibitor for the treatment of steroid-refractory acute GvHD. The approval was prompted by the favorable outcome of the single-arm, phase II REACH 1 (Research Evaluation and Commercialization Hub) study.⁸⁷ Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) has been used as an off-label second-line treatment for cutaneous manifestations of steroid-refractory acute and chronic GvHD since the early 2000s, with variable success.⁸⁸ The introduction of the HCT comorbidity index (HCT-CI) in 2005 facilitated comparisons of results between centers worldwide, and has served as an important decision-making tool for choosing appropriate transplant regimens.⁸⁹ Serum biomarkers derived from the gastrointestinal tract, specifically ST2 and REG- 3α , have emerged as an additional method of predicting acute GvHD severity, as presented in a recent Myoblast Autologous Grafting in Ischemic Cardiomyopathy (MAGIC) trial.⁹⁰ The application of this tool may enable tailored GvHD treatment and prediction of NRM.

Results with minimal-intensity or reduced-intensity conditioning regimens have been summarized in numerous scientific publications. One publication from 2013 reported outcomes in nearly 1,100 elderly or medically infirm patients with advanced hematologic malignancies who were given HLA-matched related or unrelated grafts after minimal-intensity conditioning with fludarabine and low-dose (2-3 Gy) TBI.⁹¹ The oldest patients in that trial were 75 years of age. Half of the patients had serious comorbidities with HCT Comorbidity Index scores ≥ 3 . These transplants were designed as an outpatient procedure. In fact, nearly half of the patients were never hospitalized while the remaining half had a median hospital stay of only 6 days.⁹² Living at home or in a private apartment and being able to move around freely were appreciated by patients and caregivers. At a median follow-up of 5 years, depending on the relapse risk of the underlying malignancies and on the comorbidity score, lasting remissions were seen in 45-75% of patients and 5-year survivals ranged from 25% to 60%. Overall 5-year NRM was 24%, for the most part associated with concurrent or preceding GvHD, and the overall relapse mortality was 34.5%. With the introduction of the triple-drug regimen of MMF, cyclosporine and sirolimus, the NRM has significantly declined among unrelated recipients. As a result, relapses have remained the major obstacle toward better outcome. Most relapses occurred within the first 2 years after HCT. When analyzing relapse risk per patient year, both disease and disease burden turned out to be major predictors of relapse.⁹³ For example, the risk was 0.19 for multiple myeloma (MM) in remission and as high as 0.32 for patients not in remission. Comparable numbers for acute myeloid leukemia were 0.33 for patients in remission 1-3 and 0.65 for those with relapsed disease.⁹¹ These findings delineated the limitations of graft-versus-tumor effects and bore out the adverse impact of high tumor burden. They have encouraged future efforts to reduce relapse through both enhancing graft-versus-tumor effects and more effectively reducing the tumor burden before HCT.

A more recent study⁹⁴ reported remarkable improvements in allogeneic HCT outcomes among 1,720 patients with hematologic malignancies who received non-myeloablative conditioning over the past twenty years (Figure 1). These improvements were accomplished even though more recent patients were older (56% >60 years old in 2010-2017 vs. 27% in 1997-2003), had more comorbidities

(45% with HCTCI scores equal to or >3 in 2010-2017 vs. 25% in 1997-2003), and had more frequently unrelated grafts (65% in 2010-2017 vs. 34% in 1997-2003). Explanations for the gradual improvements of outcome include use of ursodeoxycholic acid to prevent cholestasis and hyperbilirubinemia and reduce the incidence of liver GvHD,⁹⁵ improved GvHD prevention, use of topically active oral glucocorticoids for gut GvHD,⁹⁶ more judicious use of systemic glucocorticoid dosing for treatment of GvHD,⁹⁷ and improved antibiotics and anti-fungal agents. As for the latter, a randomized, double-blinded trial showed that posaconazole and fluconazole were similarly effective in preventing overall fungal infections and reducing overall mortality among 600 patients with acute GvHD; however, posaconazole was superior to fluconazole in preventing invasive aspergillosis⁹

Results from other transplant centers and from CIBMTR and EBMT analyses have shown similar outcomes with a variety of reduced-intensity or minimal-intensity conditioning regimens. Regimens included fludarabine and varying doses of melphalan with or without low-dose TBI, fludarabine and reduced doses of busulfan, reduced busulfan, cyclophosphamide and thiotepa and others. A 2016 review in the journal *Haematologica* summarized the findings with these regimens.⁹⁹ Moreover, researchers at Johns Hopkins utilized the backbone of the fludarabine/low-dose TBI regimen and added two small doses of pre-transplant cyclophosphamide, followed by two higher doses of cyclophosphamide on days 3 and 4 after HCT plus MMF and tacrolimus to enable engraftment of HLA-haploidentical related marrow or PBSC and minimize acute and chronic GvHD.¹⁰⁰ This regimen has been surprisingly effective, although, owing in part to the reduction in acute and chronic GvHD, relapse has remained a prominent problem.

The use of UCB as an innovative, alternative source of stem cells was introduced in the 1990s. Cord blood cells are immunologically naïve and allow for greater HLA disparity with a given recipient. This feature enabled transplantation for patients who lacked HLA-matched donors. An EBMT report showed promising outcomes among 143 UCB transplantations performed in 45 centers.¹⁰¹ An observational study by Brunstein et al. in 2010 suggested that outcome after transplantation of two partially HLAmatched unrelated UCB units was comparable to those of HLA-matched related and unrelated HCT.¹⁰² A prospective, randomized trial comparing double-unit UCB to single-unit UCB transplantation among 224 patients with hematologic malignancies showed equivalent 1-year survivals of 65% versus 73%.103 Moreover, patients given single-unit UCB experienced more rapid platelet recovery and less grade 3-4 acute GvHD. Others have experiment-



Figure 1. Improved outcomes of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with non-myeloablative conditioning for older and medically infirm patients with hematologic malignancies over two decades at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (WA, USA).²⁴ Overall survival (A), progression-free survival (PFS) (B), non-relapse mortality (NRM) (C), and incidence of relapse (D) by time period of transplant: 1997-2003 (black line), 2004-2009 (blue line), and 2010-2017 (red line) (from Cooper et *al.*;³⁴ with permission).

ed with *ex vivo* UCB expansion methods which may have led to higher engraftment rates.^{104,105} Additional potential advantages of UCB transplantation included the lack of risk to the donor, rapid availability, and ease of scheduling of transplantation.

In 2001, the Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trials Network (BMT CTN) was established through funding from the National Institutes of Health as a collaborative effort of the CIBMTR, the NMDP/Be The Match and the Emmes Company, together with 20 Core Transplant Centers. The BMT CTN has opened more than 30 multi-institutional clinical trials involving more than 100 transplant centers.

Current trends

While early HCT involved grafts from HLA-identical sibling donors, from 2006 on unrelated donors became the most frequently used graft source in the USA with almost 4,500 transplants in 2018 alone (Figure 2A¹⁰⁶). This increase could be attributed to: (i) the ever-larger number of unrelated, HLA-typed volunteers in the registries; (ii) advances in HLA-typing including the recognition of the importance of HLA-DPB1 expression for the development of GVHD;¹⁰⁷ and (iii) increasing age of patients whose siblings were also older and often unfit to donate because of comorbidities. A rise in the use of UCB during the early 2000s has been offset and reversed by the remarkable increase in HLA-haploidentical, related grafts after the introduction of post-transplant cyclophosphamide for GvHD prevention. Post-HCT cyclophosphamide served to cause in vivo depletion of both donor-versus-host reactive T cells (GvHD prevention) and host-versus-donor T cells and natural killer (NK) cells (prevention of graft rejection).¹⁰⁸ An alternative regimen for HLA-haploidentical grafts has been reported by Chinese investigators.¹⁰⁹ They conditioned patients by busulfan, cyclophosphamide, ME-CCNU and ATG and combined cyclosporine, MMF and a short course of methotrexate for GvHD prevention and reported favorable outcomes. A very recent review summarized the various conditioning regimens used for HLA-haploidentical HCT.¹¹⁰

Retrospective comparisons of results from different centers have suggested comparable outcomes with HLA-haploidentical related *versus* UCB grafts. In contrast, two recent prospective, randomized trials indicated better outcomes with HLA-haploidentical grafts compared to UCB transplants.^{111,112} However, relapse has remained a major complication, as half of the patients relapsed two years after transplant in both studies, regardless of conditioning regimen intensity. Additional controlled comparisons of the two donor sources may be needed to validate the superiority of HLA-haploidentical over UCB grafts. Unfortunately, accrual to such trials may be challenging since a patient, when given the choice between the two modalities, might prefer the related donor over an UCB graft.

Figure 2B shows trends in disease indications for HCT in North America for the past 18 years. Most notable has been a linear increase in acute myeloid leukemia, from 1,000 patients in 2000 to 3,500 in 2018. This increase was largely due to extending allogeneic HCT to include older patients in whom chemotherapy, as a rule, fails to maintain long-term remissions. For the same reason, increases,

although at lower levels, were also seen for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In contrast, allogeneic HCT for chronic myeloid leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and MM have either remained at very low levels or declined. These trends were influenced by the introduction of alternative therapies for these diseases, including tyrosine kinase inhibitors, a BCL-2 antagonist, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors, bi-specific or mono-specific monoclonal antibodies, proteasome inhibitors and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells, among others. However, these therapies including CART-T cells could also be used as a 'bridge' to allogeneic HCT, for example, in order to consolidate remissions in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) patients. Allogeneic HCT might also serve as a salvage treatment in NHL and CLL patients who relapsed after CAR-T cell treatment. A recent study reviewed our center's experience with allogeneic HCT after CAR-T cell therapy in 32 ALL, NHL and CLL patients and found no additional risk for infections and $Gv\dot{H}D.^{{}^{113}}$ In addition, recipients of allogeneic HCT after CAR-T cell therapy had longer event-free survival compared to patients given CAR-T cell therapy alone (P=0.014). A recently published Chinese study concurred with these results in ALL patients (1-year OS: 79.1% vs. 32.0%; leukemia-free survival: 76.9% vs. 11.6%; P<0.0001),¹¹⁴ while an earlier Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center trial, also in ALL patients, showed no significant advantage with allogeneic HCT after CAR-T cell therapy compared to CAR-T cell therapy alone (P=0.89, P=0.64, respectively).¹¹⁵ In the aggregate, most reports recommended CAR-T cell therapy as a bridging treatment to allogeneic HCT in patients with high-risk B-cell malignancies.

While allogeneic HCT has remained the only curative therapy for CLL, it is currently used mainly for patients in whom all other therapies failed and who are often in poor general condition. Given its curative potential, allogeneic HCT should be considered earlier in the disease course, for example, in patients with poor-risk CLL and no or few comorbidities, in whom the risk of HCT-associated NRM is very low.

Because of novel alternative therapies, allogeneic HCT for NHL has declined from >1,000 cases in 2013 to approximately 600 in 2018. A recent retrospective CIBMTR analysis of allogeneic HCT showed that fludarabine/2 Gy TBI conditioning gave better results than a fludarabine/4 Gy TBI regimen, largely because of lower NRM and better overall 5-year survival (51% vs. 31%) with the former regimen while relapse rates at 5 years were comparable; this result was a testimony to powerful graft-versus-lymphoma effects.¹¹⁶ Allogeneic HCT can be considered as salvage therapy in select high-risk MM patients in the setting of a clinical trial, and has been reviewed in detail by a number of investigators.^{117,118}

Allogeneic HCT has remained the therapy of choice for disorders such as congenital immunodeficiencies or autoimmune and immune dysregulation disorders. Given the rarity of these diseases, a better understanding of posttransplant complications and long-term outcome is only now emerging.^{119,120} Better timing of transplants, improved screening methods, lasting immune reconstitution post transplant, and reduced toxicity conditioning regimens have contributed to better outcomes for all immunodeficiencies. Allogeneic HCT has also remained standard of care for other non-malignant diseases such as severe aplastic anemia (AA), sickle cell disease, and thalassemia major. For AA, this is not only true for HLA-identical sibling marrow grafts, but increasingly also for unrelated HLAmatched marrow transplants, where survivals close to or of 100% have been reported.⁵⁴ The most frequently used unrelated HCT regimens for AA patients, either fludarabine, ATG, cyclophosphamide and 2 Gy TBI or fludarabine combined with Campath antibody, appeared to produce similar results, including for older patients.^{121,122} Moreover, recent papers reported outstanding survival figures for AA patients given HLA-haploidentical grafts.^{123,124} Taken together, these findings suggested that upfront marrow transplantation should be considered for all patients with AA who have a suitable donor rather than waiting until failure of immunosuppressive therapy.

ATG has also been widely used as a form of *in vivo* T-cell depletion in conditioning regimens for patients with hematologic malignancies. Kumar *et al.* recently reported a systematic review of prospective, randomized trials comparing ATG to no ATG.¹²⁵ They concluded that, while ATG reduced the incidence of acute and chronic GvHD, there was no statistically significant difference in NRM or overall survival. They suggested designing future studies with improved methodological quality to conclusively establish the role of ATG in allogeneic HCT.

CMV positivity before HCT has remained an adverse risk factor despite monitoring for CMV reactivation and pre-emptive therapy in case of reactivation. Therefore, the results of a recent phase III double-blind trial by Marty *et al.* comparing prophylactic letermovir to placebo in CMVseropositive patients were encouraging.¹²⁶ In that study,

A Unrelated Donor Allogeneic HCT in Patients <18 Years



B Selected Disease Trends for Allogeneic HCT in the US



Figure 2. Trends in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) over two decades in North America. Donor source (A), transplant indications (B). 2019 data from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR).10 acute ALL: lymphoblastic leukemia: AML: acute myeloid leukemia; CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CML: chronic myelogenous leukemia; MDS: myelodysplastic syndrome; MM: multiple myeloma; NHL/HL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma/ Hodgkin disease; URD-CB: unrelated donor cord blood; URD-PB: unrelated donor peripheral blood; URD-BM: unrelated donor bone marrow.

haematologica | 2020; 105(12)

patients randomized to letermovir showed a significant reduction in clinically significant CMV infection after HCT without encountering hematologic toxicities. A promising option for management of resistant and refractory CMV infection includes maribavir, which is currently being investigated in a phase III trial.¹²⁷ These newer treat-

Year	Event
1949-1955	Evidence of hematopoietic cell recovery after exposure to lethal radiation ²⁶
1956	Bone marrow transplant induces graft- <i>versus</i> -host immune response (GvHD) ¹⁰
1957	First human bone marrow transplantation ⁸¹³³
1958	Major histocompatibility complex discovered: human leukocyte antigen (HLA) ^{13,15,16,154,155}
1958-1969	Major preparative regimens developed: total body irradiation (TBI), cyclophosphamide, busulfan ¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁰⁰
1958-1970	Methotrexate for control GvHD in animal models ^{19,161-163}
1968	First allogeneic transplants for primary immunodeficiencies ³⁴⁻³⁶
1971	First successful transplant for end-stage leukemia [™]
1972-1974	First allogeneic transplants for aplastic anemia, PNH and Fanconi anemia ^{44,45}
1973	GvHD and graft- <i>versus</i> -leukemia effects are separate reactions ^{9,165}
1974	Acute grading system and first effective treatment of acute GvHD#46
1974	The first bone marrow donor registry was established by the Anthony Nolan Foundation
1975	Transplantation earlier in the course of leukemia ³⁷
1979-1981	Establishing graft-versus-leukemia effect in human patients ^{56,57}
1980	First successful unrelated HLA-matched transplant in acute leukemia patient ⁶⁷
1981	Establishment of conditioning regimen for non-malignant diseases leading to successful full immune reconstitution ¹⁶⁶
1981	First successful treatment of chronic GvHD with immunosuppression combination 187
1981	Introduction of the concept of fractionated total body irradiation ²⁰
1981	Introduction of acyclovir for HSV and VZV prophylaxis79
1982	First successful transplant for thalassemia major ³³
1983	Busulfan-cyclophosphamide conditioning for acute myeloid leukemia ²³
1986	Establishment of the National Marrow Donor Program in the USA
1986	More effective acute GvHD prophylaxis with a combination of methotrexate and cyclosporine or tacrolimus ⁴⁰
1987-1993	HLA class I and HLA class II structures are defined, and HLA-typing transitions from cellular to DNA based®244
1988	Standard treatment of chronic GvHD established; prednisone, cyclosporine ^{168,169}
1991	Early treatment with ganciclovir after allogeneic HCT to prevent CMV disease ⁷⁷
1995	Use of peripheral blood stem cells mobilized with granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) ^{30,31,170}
1995	Donor lymphocyte infusions for disease relapse ^{58,59}
1997	Umbilical cord blood as an alternative source of hematopoietic cells ¹⁰¹
1998	Impact of matching for class II HLA-DRB1, HLA-DQB1 and class I HLA-C ^m
1997-2001	Less toxic conditioning regimens expand allogeneic transplant for older patients ¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁶
1998	CMV monitoring assays ¹⁷⁷
1983-2005	HLA-haploidentical related grafts for severe combined immunodeficiency and leukemia patients ¹⁷⁸⁻¹⁸¹
2001	BMT CTN established
2002	Dramatic reduction in liver GvHD with ursodeoxycholic acid®
2005-2012	Novel antibacterial and antifungals improve transplantation outcomes ^{7476,78}
2008	Improved outcomes of HLA-haploidentical transplants with post-transplant cyclophosphamide ¹⁰⁰
2009	More judicious dosing of systemic glucocorticoids for treatment of acute GvHD ^{96,97}
2012	Same outcomes with PBSC versus bone marrow from unrelated donors, and less chronic GvHD with bone marrow ¹⁸²
2014	Addition of sirolimus for control of GvHD ⁸³⁸⁵
2015	Introduction of novel therapies ^{149,150}
2017	Novel CMV prophylaxis with letermovir ¹²⁶
2018	Ruxolitinib for treatment of steroid-refractory acute GvHD ⁸⁷
2019-2020	Improved outcomes of aplastic anemia patients with HLA-haploidentical transplants ^{123,124}
2018-2020	CAR-T cell therapy as a 'bridge' to allogeneic HCT ¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵

Table 1. Timeline of list of notable events throughout the years.

BMT CTN: The Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trials Network; CAR Tcell: chimeric antigen receptor Tcell; CMV: cytomegalovirus; G-CSF; granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; GvHD: graft-versus-host disease; HCT: hematopoietic cell transplantation; HSV: herpes simplex virus; PBSC: peripheral blood stem cell; PNH: paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; VZV: varicella zoster virus. ments have been recently reviewed by Einsele *et al.*¹²⁸ Another approach is adoptive CMV-specific T-cell therapy.¹²⁹ Adoptive T-cell therapy has been effective not only for CMV, but also for Epstein-Barr virus-associated lymphoproliferative syndrome.¹³⁰

Future directions

For allogeneic HCT to become an even more relevant treatment modality, advances must be made in mitigating three major interrelated problems: regimen-related toxicities, post-HCT relapse, and chronic GvHD.

As for the first, younger patients have traditionally received systemic, high-intensity conditioning regimens for maximal tumor cell kill before HCT and reducing the risk of relapse after HCT. Regimen intensity seems to be especially important for patients with AML. A prospective, randomized trial in younger patients with AML in morphologic remission published in 1990131 showed that conditioning with cyclophosphamide and 1,575 cGy TBI resulted in a significantly lower relapse rate than conditioning with cyclophosphamide and 1,200 cGy TBI, even though this benefit was offset by an increase in NRM. The importance of regimen intensity for controlling relapse was underscored by a recent randomized BMT-CTNsponsored trial in patients with AML or MDS.¹³² That trial compared conditioning with various high-intensity regimens to that with a number of reduced-intensity or nonmyeloablative regimens. The less-intense regimens showed lower NRM, but higher relapse mortality compared to myeloablative regimens, resulting in a statistically significantly lower relapse-free survival (though not overall survival). A recent, retrospective analysis of outcomes for patients with AML showed a clear advantage of myeloablative regimens in patients without measurable residual disease over reduced-intensity or non-myeloablative regimens both with respect to relapse and survival.¹³³ Counterintuitively, this benefit was not seen in patients with measurable residual disease.

However, it has to be considered that high-intensity regimens not only target the malignant tumor cells but also affect every other cell in the body. As a result, transplanted patients experience numerous short- and long-term toxicities. These include mucositis, gastrointestinal damage, veno-occlusive disease of the liver, adverse effects on growth and development, sterility, endocrine imbalance, cataracts, subsequent neoplasms, and others. For example, a recent, retrospective analysis of 4,905 patients transplanted at one center found a cumulative incidence of subsequent malignancies of 22% at 30 years.¹³⁴ The magnitude of the tumor incidence was associated with regimen intensity. This observation coupled with the remarkable graft-*versus*-tumor effects following the newer reduced or minimal-intensity regimens seen in older patients raises the possibility of using such regimens more broadly, including in younger patients. This would be especially desirable for children and young adults given their longer life expectancy after HCT. Minimizing the systemic regimen intensity would markedly reduce the risks of shortand long-term toxicities, including secondary cancers. For this to become reality, the problem of post-HCT relapse needs to be harnessed. This could be accomplished by: (i) increasing graft-versus-tumor effects after HCT; (ii) reducing the tumor burden before HCT through adding targeted radioimmunotherapy (RIT) with few off-target effects to low-intensity conditioning regimens; and (iii) administering maintenance therapy after HCT.

In order to design approaches to increase graft-*versus*tumor effects, a better understanding of polymorphic minor histocompatibility antigens specific for hematopoietic cells and distinct from those expressed on other tissue cells will be required. Such understanding might result in the generation of vaccines or of activated T cells that would selectively target hematopoietic antigens and enable exclusive destruction of tumor cells, rather than causing general GvHD.^{135,136}

Another promising approach has been reducing the pre-transplant tumor burden through targeted RIT. Most often RIT have included monoclonal antibodies to the hematopoietic cell surface antigen, CD45, or the B-cell antigen CD20, which are coupled to a radioactive isotope, and then added to a minimal-intensity conditioning regimen. Such targeted RIT, while adding intensity, do not add significant toxicity. First encouraging trials have used β -emitting radionuclides for this purpose including iodine-131, rhenium-188 or yttrium-90.137-140 However, while somewhat effective in patients transplanted for myeloid and lymphoid malignancies, these isotopes have the disadvantages of long half-lives, relatively low dose rates, relatively low energy, and long path lengths. More recent, extensive preclinical work has led to the introduction of an α -emitting radionuclide, astatine-211 (²¹¹At), that has several major advantages over the heretofore used β -emitters.¹⁴¹ First, it emits much higher energy in its decay, second, its half-life is a mere 7.2 hours, and finally, its path length is only 60 μ m. The high energy of this radioisotope leads to complete destruction of targeted cells, without the possibility of DNA repair. The short pathlength results in few off-target effects.²¹¹At decays as a pure alpha, and therefore no isolation of patients is required. Phase I-II, first-in-human clinical trials are ongoing in patients with advanced myeloid malignancies receiving HCT from HLA-matched and unrelated donors, and from HLA-haploidentical related donors. Also, other target antigens are being explored in patients with MM, e.g., CD 38 and B-cell maturation antigen.^{142,143} Furthermore, trials have begun using ²¹¹At-based RIT in order to reduce the intensity of conventional, systemic conditioning for patients with non-malignant blood disorders, which would result in fewer short- and long-term toxicities following HCT.

Encouraging results with maintenance therapy after HCT have been reported in patients with FLT3-ITD AML. A randomized, prospective trial in 204 patients conditioned with busulfan/cyclophosphamide showed significantly less relapse with post-HCT sorafenib compared to controls (1-year relapse 7% vs. 24.5%) and improved leukemia-free and overall survival.¹⁴⁴ Early results of the Routine Antenatal Diagnostic Imaging with Ultrasound (RADIUS) study showed that midostaurin reduced post-HCT relapse in FLT3-mutated AML patients but the difference was as yet not statistically significant.¹⁴⁵ Results with post-HCT azacytidine have been equivocal. Bortezimib maintenance after HCT was beneficial in patients with high-risk MM,¹⁴⁶ while rituximab maintenance has been equivocal in patients with CLL¹⁴⁷ or ineffective in patients with NHL.14

Apart from relapse, chronic GvHD has remained the most challenging complication of allogeneic HCT. While

there are significant, beneficial graft-versus-tumor effects associated with chronic GvHD, these have been offset by morbidity and mortality from this immune complication. The conundrum of preventing chronic GvHD while not sacrificing graft-versus-tumor effects has, as yet, not been satisfactorily resolved. Many current approaches, for example, global in vivo T-cell depletion with ATG or in vitro depletion of naïve T cells from the graft, have used highintensity, myeloablative conditioning regimens to control post-HCT relapse, but this comes at the cost of regimenrelated sequelae. Emerging approaches in preventing GvHD have been achieved through understanding of immunologic pathways of chronic GvHD. These include therapies targeting alloreactive T cells, alloreactive and autoreactive B cells through direct depletion from stem cell grafts (e.g., post-transplantation cyclophosphamide, CD34 selection, IL-2 and IL-17 therapy), in vivo depletion (e.g., rituximab, ofatumumab, obinutuzumab), and signal inhibition (e.g., ITK, JAK 1/2, ROCK-II, BTK, SYK inhibition); such studies were recently reviewed in depth by Cutler et al.149 and MacDonald et al.150 The multitude of approaches is an indication that no single method was found to be unequivocally effective.

In addition, novel therapies focus on adoptive transfer and expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs) to prevent and treat chronic GvHD through administration of low-dose IL-2 and T Tregs sparing therapy. Most recently, removal of naïve T cells from the graft has shown encouraging results for GvHD prevention in younger patients with high-risk leukemia.¹⁵¹ These patients were conditioned with a very intensive conditioning regimen consisting of fludarabine, thiotepa and 13.2 Gy TBI. This approach reduced rates of chronic GvHD (9% at 2 years) while preserving immune reconstitution, without increasing relapse or NRM, though observation periods are still short. Also, the intensity of the conditioning regimen, the TBI dose in particular, places patients at high risk for short- and longterm complications such as secondary cancer.

Researchers are also looking for ways to avoid GvHD without compromising graft-versus-leukemia effects in

HLA-haploidentical transplants by co-infusion of donorderived Tregs and conventional T cells, and infusion of NK cells after transplantation. Another approach has been selective depletion of B cells and T cells by removal of CD45RA⁺ or α/β^+ cells from the graft. In a recent multicenter clinical trial, 80 pediatric acute leukemia patients were transplanted with α/β^+ T- and B-cell depleted HLA-haploidentical grafts, and no additional post-transplantation GvHD prophylaxis.¹⁵² This study resulted in 5-year probability of chronic GvHD-free, relapse-free survival of 71%.

In conclusion, most current methods of preventing chronic GvHD have adversely impacted graft-versustumor effects thereby increasing the risk of relapse. In order to get around this problem, systemic, myeloablative conditioning regimens have been intensified for better tumor cell kill. However, this has increased the risk of short- and long-term toxicities. Also, high-intensity regimens cannot be tolerated in older patients. It remains to be seen whether in the future, high-dose systemic conditioning can be replaced by RIT that specifically destroy the malignant hematopoietic cells but spare normal tissues. In addition, vaccines to hematopoietic antigens or use of in vitro generated T cells that are cytotoxic for hematopoietic cells but not for target tissues involved in GvHD, might generate powerful graft-versus-tumor effects and reduce the risk of post-transplantation relapse.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Helen Crawford for her assistance with manuscript and figure preparation.

Support funding

This work was supported by NIH grants P01 CA078902, P30 CA015704 from the National Cancer Institute and P01 HL122173 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health, which had no involvement in the study design; the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; the writing of the report; nor in the decision to submit the article for publication.

References

- Jacobson LO, Simmons EL, Marks EK, Robson MJ, Bethard WF, Gaston EO. The role of the spleen in radiation injury and recovery. J Lab Clin Med. 1950;35(5):746-770.
- Jacobson LO, Marks EK, Robson MJ, Gaston EO, Zirkle RE. Effect of spleen protection on mortality following x-irradiation. J Lab Clin Med. 1949;34:1538-1543.
- Lorenz E, Uphoff D, Reid TR, Shelton E. Modification of irradiation injury in mice and guinea pigs by bone marrow injections. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1951;12(1):197-201.
- Jacobson LO. Evidence for a humoral factor (or factors) concerned in recovery from radiation injury: a review. Cancer Res. 1952;12 (5):315-325.
- 5. Barnes DWH, Loutit JF. What is the recovery factor in spleen? Nucleonics. 1954;12(5):68-71.
- 6. Main JM, Prehn RT. Successful skin homografts after the administration of high dosage X radiation and homologous bone marrow. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1955;15(4):1023-1029.

- van Bekkum DW, de Vries MJ. Radiation chimaeras. London: Logos Press Limited; 1967.
- Thomas ED, Lochte HL Jr, Lu WC, Ferrebee JW. Intravenous infusion of bone marrow in patients receiving radiation and chemotherapy. N Eng J Med. 1957;257(11):491-496.
- apy. N Eng J Med. 1957;257(11):491-496.
 9. Mathe G, Amiel JL, Schwarzenberg L, Cattan A, Schneider M. Adoptive immunotherapy of acute leukemia: experimental and clinical results. Cancer Res. 1965;25(9):1525-1531.
- Barnes DWH, Corp MJ, Loutit JF, Neal FE. Treatment of murine leukaemia with x-rays and homologous bone marrow. Preliminary communication. Br Med J. 1956;2(4993): 626-627.
- Bortin MM. A compendium of reported human bone marrow transplants. Transplantation. 1970;9(6):571-587.
- Epstein RB, Storb R, Ragde H, Thomas ED. Cytotoxic typing antisera for marrow grafting in littermate dogs. Transplantation. 1968;6(1):45-58.
- Payne R, Rolfs MR. Fetomaternal leukocyte incompatibility. J Clin Invest. 1958;37(12): 1756-1763.
- 14. Terasaki PI, McClelland JD. Microdroplet

assay of human serum cytotoxins. Nature. 1964;204:998-1000.

- 15. Dausset J. Iso-leuco-anticorps. Acta Haematol. 1958;20(1-4):156-166.
- van Rood JJ, Eernisse JG, van Leeuwen A. Leukocyte antibodies in sera from pregnant women. Nature. 1958;181(4625):1735-1736.
- Bach FH, Amos DB. HU-1: major histocompatibility locus in man. Science. 1967;156(3781):1506-1508.
- Storb R, Rudolph RH, Thomas ED. Marrow grafts between canine siblings matched by serotyping and mixed leukocyte culture. J Clin Invest. 1971;50(6):1272-1275.
- Storb R, Epstein RB, Graham TC, Thomas ED. Methotrexate regimens for control of graft-versus-host disease in dogs with allogeneic marrow grafts. Transplantation. 1970;9(3):240-246.
- 20. Deeg HJ, Storb R, Weiden PL, et al. Highdose total-body irradiation and autologous marrow reconstitution in dogs: dose-raterelated acute toxicity and fractionationdependent long-term survival. Radiat Res. 1981;88(2):385-391.
- 21. Storb R, Buckner CD, Dillingham LA, Thomas ED. Cyclophosphamide regimens in rhesus monkeys with and without mar-

row infusion. Cancer Res. 1970;30(8):2195-2203.

- 22. Clift RA, Buckner CD, Thomas ED, et al. Marrow transplantation for chronic myeloid leukemia: a randomized study comparing cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation with busulfan and cyclophosphamide. Blood. 1994;84(6):2036-2043.
- Santos GW, Tutschka PJ, Brookmeyer R, et al. Marrow transplantation for acute nonlymphocytic leukemia after treatment with busulfan and cyclophosphamide. N Eng J Med. 1983;309(22):1347-1353.
- 24. Storb R, Prentice RL, Thomas ED. Marrow transplantation for treatment of aplastic anemia. An analysis of factors associated with graft rejection. N Eng J Med. 1977;296(2):61-66
- 25. van Bekkum DW, Bach F, Bergan JJ, et al. Bone marrow transplantation from histocompatible, allogeneic donors for aplastic anemia. A report from the ACS/NIH bone marrow transplant registry. JAMA. 1976;236(10):1131-1135.
- 26. Storb R, Etzioni R, Anasetti C, et al. Cyclophosphamide combined with antithymocyte globulin in preparation for allogeneic marrow transplants in patients with aplastic anemia. Blood. 1994;84(3):941-949.
- 27. Storb R, Floersheim GL, Weiden PL, et al. Effect of prior blood transfusions on marrow grafts: abrogation of sensitization by procarbazine and antithymocyte serum. J Immunol. 1974;112(4):1508-1516.
- Tsoi MS, Storb R, Dobbs S, Thomas ED. Specific suppressor cells in graft-host tolerance of HLA-identical marrow transplantation. Nature. 1981;292(5821):355-357.
- Storb R, Graham TC, Epstein RB, Sale GE, Thomas ED. Demonstration of hemopoietic stem cells in the peripheral blood of baboons by cross circulation. Blood. 1977;50(3):537-542.
- Bensinger WI, Weaver CH, Appelbaum FR, et al. Transplantation of allogeneic peripheral blood stem cells mobilized by recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Blood. 1995;85(6):1655-1658.
- Schmitz N, Dreger P, Suttorp M, et al. Primary transplantation of allogeneic peripheral blood progenitor cells mobilized by filgrastim (granulocyte colony-stimulating factor). Blood. 1995;85(6):1666-1672.
- 32. Weiden PL, Hackman RC, Deeg HJ, Graham TC, Thomas ED, Storb R. Long-term survival and reversal of iron overload after marrow transplantation in dogs with congenital hemolytic anemia. Blood. 1981;57(1):66-70.
- Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Sanders JE, et al. Marrow transplantation for thalassaemia. Lancet. 1982;2(8292):227-229.
- 34. de Koning J, van Bekkum DW, Dicke KA, Dooren LJ, Radl J, van Rood JJ. Transplantation of bone-marrow cells and fetal thymus in an infant with lymphopenic immunological deficiency. Lancet. 1969;1(7608):1223-1227.
- Bach FH, Albertini RJ, Joo P, Anderson JL, Bortin MM. Bone-marrow transplantation in a patient with the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. Lancet. 1968;2(7583):1364-1366.
- Gatti RA, Meuwissen HJ, Allen HD, Hong R, Good RA. Immunological reconstitution of sex-linked lymphopenic immunological deficiency. Lancet. 1968;2(7583):1366-1369.
- Thomas ED, Storb R, Clift RA, et al. Bonemarrow transplantation (first of two parts). N Engl J Med. 1975;292(16):832-843.
- Thomas ED, Storb R, Clift RA, et al. Bonemarrow transplantation (second of two parts). N Engl J Med. 1975;292(17):895-902.

- 39. Storb R, Deeg HJ, Farewell V, et al. Marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia: methotrexate alone compared with a combination of methotrexate and cyclosporine for prevention of acute graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 1986;68(1):119-125.
- 40. Storb R, Deeg HJ, Whitehead J, et al. Methotrexate and cyclosporine compared with cyclosporine alone for prophylaxis of acute graft versus host disease after marrow transplantation for leukemia. N Eng J Med. 1986;314(12):729-735.
- 41. Storb R, Raff RF, Appelbaum FR, et al. FK-506 and methotrexate prevent graft-versushost disease in dogs given 9.2 Gy total body irradiation and marrow grafts from unrelated dog leukocyte antigen-nonidentical donors. Transplantation. 1993;56(4):800-807.
- 42. Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, et al. Clinical manifestations of graft-versus-host disease in human recipients of marrow from HL-Amatched sibling donors. Transplantation. 1974;18(4):295-304.
- Storb R, Gluckman E, Thomas ED, et al. Treatment of established human graft-versus-host disease by antithymocyte globulin. Blood. 1974;44(1):57-75.
- 44. Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Storb R, et al. Aplastic anaemia treated by marrow transplantation. Lancet. 1972;1(7745):284-289.
- 45. Storb R, Thomas ED, Buckner CD, et al. Allogeneic marrow grafting for treatment of aplastic anemia. Blood. 1974;43(2):157-180.
- 46. Champlin RE, Perez WS, Passweg JR, et al. Bone marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia: a randomized controlled study of conditioning regimens. Blood. 2007;109(10):4582-4585.
- 47. Locatelli F, Bruno B, Zecca M, et al. Cyclosporin A and short-term methotrexate versus cyclosporin A as graft versus host disease prophylaxis in patients with severe aplastic anemia given allogeneic bone marrow transplantation from an HLA-identical sibling: results of a GITMO/EBMT randomized trial. Blood. 2000;96(5):1690-1697.
- 48. Chu R, Brazauskas R, Kan F, et al. Comparison of outcomes after transplantation of G-CSF stimulated bone marrow grafts versus bone marrow or peripheral blood grafts from HLA-matched sibling donors for patients with severe aplastic anemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011;17(7):1018-1024.
- 49. Atta EH, de Sousa AM, Schirmer MR, Bouzas LF, Nucci M, Abdelhay E. Different outcomes between cyclophosphamide plus horse or rabbit antithymocyte globulin for HLA-identical sibling bone marrow transplant in severe aplastic anemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2012;18(12):1876-1882.
- 50. Bacigalupo A, Socié G, Schrezenmeier H, et al. Bone marrow versus peripheral blood as the stem cell source for sibling transplants in acquired aplastic anemia: survival advantage for bone marrow in all age groups. Haematologica. 2012;97(8):1142-1148.
- 51. Kim H, Lee JH, Joo YD, et al. A randomized comparison of cyclophosphamide vs. reduced dose cyclophosphamide plus fludarabine for allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with aplastic anemia and hypoplastic myelodysplastic syndrome. Ann Hematol. 2012;91(9):1459-1469.
- 52. Rashidi A, Slade M, DiPersio JF, Westervelt P, Vij R, Romee R. Post-transplant high-dose cyclophosphamide after HLA-matched vs haploidentical hematopoietic cell transplantation for AML. Bone Marrow Transplant.

2016;51(12):1561-1564.

- 53. Sangiolo D, Storb R, Deeg HJ, et al. Outcome of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation from HLA-identical siblings for severe aplastic anemia in patients over 40 years of age. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2010;16(10):1411-1418.
- 54. Gallo S, Woolfrey AE, Burroughs LM, et al. Marrow grafts from HLA-identical siblings for severe aplastic anemia: does limiting the number of transplanted marrow cells reduce the risk of chronic GVHD² Bone Marrow Transplant. 2016;51(12):1573-1578.
- 55. Kahl C, Leisenring W, Deeg HJ, et al. Cyclophosphamide and antithymocyte globulin as a conditioning regimen for allogeneic marrow transplantation in patients with aplastic anaemia: a long-term followup. Br J Haematol. 2005;130(5):747-751.
- Weiden PL, Flournoy N, Thomas ED, et al. Antileukemic effect of graft-versus-host disease in human recipients of allogeneic-marrow grafts. N Eng J Med. 1979;300(19):1068-1073.
- 57. Weiden PL, Sullivan KM, Flournoy N, Storb R, Thomas ED, and the Seattle Marrow Transplant Team. Antileukemic effect of chronic graft-versus-host disease. Contribution to improved survival after allogeneic marrow transplantation. N Eng J Med. 1981;304(25):1529-1533.
- 58. Kolb HJ, Schattenberg A, Goldman JM, et al. Graft-versus-leukemia effect of donor lymphocyte transfusions in marrow grafted patients. European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Working Party Chronic Leukemia. Blood. 1995;86(5):2041-2050.
- 59. Slavin S, Naparstek E, Nagler A, et al. Allogeneic cell therapy with donor peripheral blood cells and recombinant human interleukin-2 to treat leukemia relapse after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Blood. 1996;87(6):2195-2204.
- Marmont AM, Gale RP, Butturini A, et al. Tcell depletion in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: progress and problems. Haematologica. 1989;74(3):235-248.
- Keever CA, Small TN, Flomenberg N, et al. Immune reconstitution following bone marrow transplantation: comparison of recipients of T-cell depleted marrow with recipients of conventional marrow grafts. Blood. 1989;73(5):1340-1350.
- 62. Bjorkman PJ, Saper MA, Samraoui B, Bennett WS, Strominger JL, Wiley DC. Structure of the human class I histocompatibility antigen, HLA-A2. Nature. 1987;329(6139):506-512.
- 63. Brown JH, Jardetzky TS, Gorga JC, et al. Three-dimensional structure of the human class II histocompatibility antigen HLA-DR1. Nature. 1993;364(6432):33-39.
- 64. Levine JE, Yang SY. SSOP typing of the Tenth International Histocompatibility Workshop reference cell lines for HLA-C alleles. Tissue Antigens. 1994;44(3):174-183.
- 65. Storb R, Epstein RB, Bryant J, Ragde H, Thomas ED. Marrow grafts by combined marrow and leukocyte infusions in unrelated dogs selected by histocompatibility typing. Transplantation. 1968;6(4):587-593.
- 66. Storb R, Weiden PL, Graham TC, Lerner KG, Thomas ED. Marrow grafts between DLA-identical and homozygous unrelated dogs. Evidence for an additional locus involved in graft-versus-host disease. Transplantation. 1977;24(3):165-174.
- 67. Hansen JA, Clift RA, Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Storb R, Giblett ER. Transplantation of marrow from an unrelated donor to a

patient with acute leukemia. N Eng J Med. 1980;303(10):565-567.

- 68. Gragert L, Eapen M, Williams E, et al. HLA match likelihoods for hematopoietic stemcell grafts in the US registry. N Eng J Med. 2014;371(4):339-348.
- 69. Petersdorf EW. The World Marrow Donor Association: 20 years of international collaboration for the support of unrelated donor and cord blood hematopoietic cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2010;45(5):807-810.
- Oudshoorn M, van Leeuwen A, Zanden HG, van Rood JJ. Bone Marrow Donors Worldwide: a successful exercise in international cooperation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1994;14(1):3-8.
- 71. Pidala J, Kim J, Schell M, et al. Race/ethnicity affects the probability of finding an HLA-A, -B, -C and -DRB1 allele-matched unrelated donor and likelihood of subsequent transplant utilization. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013;48(3):346-350.
- 72. Gratwohl A, Pasquini MC, Aljurf M, et al. One million haemopoietic stem-cell transplants: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Haematol. 2015;2(3):e91-e100.
- 73. Niederwieser D, Baldomero H, Szer J, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation activity worldwide in 2012 and a SWOT analysis of the Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Group including the global survey. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2016;51(6):778-785.
- 74. Ethier MC, Science M, Beyene J, Briel M, Lehrnbecher T, Sung L. Mould-active compared with fluconazole prophylaxis to prevent invasive fungal diseases in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy or haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Br J Cancer. 2012;106(10):1626-1637.
- 75. Gafter-Gvili A, Fraser A, Paul M, et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis for bacterial infections in afebrile neutropenic patients following chemotherapy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;1:CD004386.
- 76. Reuter S, Kern WV, Sigge A, et al. Impact of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis on reduced infection-related mortality among patients with neutropenia and hematologic malignancies. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;40(8):1087-1093.
- 77. Goodrich JM, Mori M, Gleaves CA, et al. Early treatment with ganciclovir to prevent cytomegalovirus disease after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. N Eng J Med. 1991;325(23):1601-1607.
- 78. Robenshtok E, Gafter-Gvili A, Goldberg E, et al. Antifungal prophylaxis in cancer patients after chemotherapy or hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: systematic review and meta-analysis (Review). J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(34):5471-5489.
- 79. Saral R, Burns WH, Laskin OL, Santos GW, Lietman PS. Acyclovir prophylaxis of herpes-simplex-virus infections. N Eng J Med. 1981;305(2):63-67.
- Molina AJ, Storb RF. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in older adults. In: Rowe JM, Lazarus HM, Carella AM, editors. Handbook of Bone Marrow Transplantation. London, UK: Martin Dunitz Ltd.; 2000. p. 111-137.
- 81. Storb R, Yu C, Wagner JL, et al. Stable mixed hematopoietic chimerism in DLA-identical littermate dogs given sublethal total body irradiation before and pharmacological immunosuppression after marrow transplantation. Blood. 1997;89(8):3048-3054.

- 82. Yu C, Seidel K, Nash RA, et al. Synergism between mycophenolate mofetil and cyclosporine in preventing graft-versus-host disease among lethally irradiated dogs given DLA-nonidentical unrelated marrow grafts. Blood. 1998;91(7):2581-2587.
- 83. Armand P, Kim HT, Sainvil MM, et al. The addition of sirolimus to the graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis regimen in reduced intensity allogeneic stem cell transplantation for lymphoma: a multicentre randomized trial. Br J Haematol. 2016;173(1):96-104.
- 84. Sandmaier BM, Komblit B, Storer BE, et al. Addition of sirolimus to standard cyclosporine plus mycophenolate mofetilbased graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis for patients after unrelated non-myeloablative haemopoietic stem cell transplantation: a multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Haematol. 2019;6(8):e409-e418.
- 85. Kornblit B, Maloney DG, Storer BE, et al. A randomized phase II trial of tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and sirolimus after non-myeloablative unrelated donor transplantation. Haematologica. 2014;99(10): 1624-1631.
- 86. Hogan WJ, Little MT, Zellmer E, et al. Postgrafting immunosuppression with sirolimus and cyclosporine facilitates stable mixed hematopoietic chimerism in dogs given sublethal total body irradiation before marrow transplantation from DLA-identical littermates. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2003;9(8):489-495.
- 87. Jagasia M, Perales MA, Schroeder MA, et al. Results from REACH1, a single-arm phase 2 study of ruxolitinib in combination with corticosteroids for the treatment of steroidrefractory acute graft-vs-host disease (abstract). Blood. 2018;132(Suppl 1):601.
- Flowers MED, Apperley JF, van Besien K, et al. A multicenter prospective phase II randomized study of extracorporeal photopheresis for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2008;112(7):2667-2674.
- Sorror ML, Maris MB, Storb R, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)specific comorbidity index: a new tool for risk assessment before allogeneic HCT. Blood. 2005;106(8):2912-2919.
- Ferrara JLM, Chaudhry MS. GVHD: biology matters. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2018;2018(1):221-227.
- Storb R, Gyurkocza B, Storer BE, et al. Graftversus-host disease and graft-versus-tumor effects after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(12): 1530-1538.
- 92. Granot N, Storer BE, Cooper JP, Flowers ME, Sandmaier BM, Storb R. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in the outpatient setting. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25(11):2152-2159.
- 93. Kahl C, Storer BE, Sandmaier BM, et al. Relapse risk among patients with malignant diseases given allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation after nonmyeloablative conditioning. Blood. 2007;110(7):2744-2748.
- 94. Cooper JP, Storer BE, Granot N, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with non-myeloablative conditioning for patients with hematologic malignancies: improved outcomes over two decades. Haematologica. 2020 Jun 4. [Epub ahead of print].
- 95. Ruutu T, Eriksson B, Remes K, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid for the prevention of hepatic complications in allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2002;100(6):1977-1983.

- 96. Hockenbery DM, Cruickshank S, Rodell TC, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of oral beclomethasone dipropionate as a prednisone-sparing therapy for gastrointestinal graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2007;109(10):4557-4563.
- 97. Mielcarek M, Storer BE, Boeckh M, et al. Initial therapy of acute graft-versus-host disease with low-dose prednisone does not compromise patient outcomes. Blood. 2009;113(13):2888-2894.
- Ullmann AJ, Lipton JH, Vesole DH, et al. Posaconazole or fluconazole for prophylaxis in severe graft-versus-host disease. N Eng J Med. 2007;356(4):335-347.
- Storb R, Sandmaier BM. Nonmyeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Haematologica. 2016;101(5):521-530.
- 100. Luznik L, O'Donnell PV, Symons HJ, et al. HLA-haploidentical bone marrow transplantation for hematologic malignancies using nonmyeloablative conditioning and highdose, posttransplantation cyclophosphamide. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2008;14(6):641-650.
- 101. Gluckman E, Rocha V, Boyer-Chammard A, et al. Outcome of cord-blood transplantation from related and unrelated donors. N Eng J Med. 1997;337(6):373-381.
- 102. Brunstein CG, Gutman JA, Weisdorf DJ, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for hematological malignancy: relative risks and benefits of double umbilical cord blood. Blood. 2010;116(22):4693-4699.
- 103. Wagner JE Jr, Eapen M, Carter S, et al. Oneunit versus two-unit cord-blood transplantation for hematologic cancers. N Eng J Med. 2014;371(18):1685-1694.
- 104. Delaney C, Heimfeld S, Brashem-Stein C, Voorhies H, Manger RL, Bernstein ID. Notch-mediated expansion of human cord blood progenitor cells capable of rapid myeloid reconstitution. Nat Med. 2010;16 (2):232-237.
- 105. de Lima M, McNiece I, Robinson SN, et al. Cord-blood engraftment with ex vivo mesenchymal-cell coculture. N Eng J Med. 2012;367(24):2305-2315.
- 106. D'Souza A, Fretham C, Lee SJ, et al. Current use of and trends in hematopoietic cell transplantation in the United States. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020;26(8):e177-e182.
- 107. Petersdorf EW, Bengtsson M, De Santis D, et al. Role of HLA-DP expression in graft-versus-host disease after unrelated donor transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(24):2712-2718.
- 108. Kanakry CG, O'Donnell PV, Furlong T, et al. Multi-institutional study of post-transplantation cyclophosphamide as single-agent graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation using myeloablative busulfan and fludarabine conditioning. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(31):3497-3505.
- 109. Wang Y, Liu DH, Liu KY, et al. Long-term follow-up of haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation without in vitro T cell depletion for the treatment of leukemia: nine years of experience at a single center. Cancer. 2013;119(5):978-985.
- 110. Patil S, Potter V, Mohty M. Review of conditioning regimens for haplo-identical donor transplants using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in recipients of G-CSF mobilised peripheral stem cell. Cancer Treat Rev. 2020;89:102071.
- 111.Sanz J, Montoro J, Solano C, et al. Prospective randomized study comparing myeloablative unrelated umbilical cord blood transplantation versus HLA-hap-

loidentical related stem cell transplantation for adults with hematologic malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020;26(2): 358-366.

- 112. Scott BL. Long-term follow up of BMT CTN 0901, a randomized phase III trial comparing myeloablative (MAC) to reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) prior to hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) or myelodysplasia (MDS) (MAvRIC trial). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020;26(3):abstract S11.
- 113. Shadman M, Gauthier J, Hay KA, et al. Safety of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant in adults after CD19-targeted CAR Tcell therapy. Blood Adv. 2019;3(20):3062-3069.
- 114. Zhang X, Lu XA, Yang J, et al. Efficacy and safety of anti-CD19 CAR T-cell therapy in 110 patients with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia with high-risk features. Blood Adv. 2020;4(10):2325-2338.
- 115. Park JH, Riviere I, Gonen M, et al. Long-term follow-up of CD19 CAR therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(5):449-459.
- 116. Hamadini M, Litovich C, Khanal M, Kharfan-Dabaja MA, Ahn KW. Higher total body irradiation (TBI) dose-intensity in fludarabine (Flu)/TBI-based reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimen is associated with inferior survival in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (alloHCT). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020;26(3 Suppl):S21-S22.
- 117. Greil C, Engelhardt M, Ihorst G, et al. Allogeneic transplantation of multiple myeloma patients may allow long-term survival in carefully selected patients with acceptable toxicity and preserved quality of life. Haematologica. 2019;104(2):370-379.
- 118. Gonsalves WI, Buadi FK, Ailawadhi S, et al. Utilization of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for the treatment of multiple myeloma: a Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART) consensus statement. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2019;54(3):353-367.
- 119. Gennery AR, Slatter MA, Grandin L, et al. Transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells and long-term survival for primary immunodeficiencies in Europe: entering a new century, do we do better? J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126(3):602-610.
- 120. Pai SY, Logan BR, Griffith LM, et al. Transplantation outcomes for severe combined immunodeficiency, 2000-2009. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(5):434-446.
- 121. Sheth VS, Potter V, Gandhi SA, et al. Similar outcomes of alemtuzumab-based hematopoietic cell transplantation for SAA patients older or younger than 50 years. Blood Adv. 2019;3(20):3070-3079.
- 122. Rice C, Eikema DJ, Marsh JCW, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients aged 50 years or older with severe aplastic anemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25(3):488-495.
- 123. ElGohary G, El Fakih R, de Latour R, et al. Haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in aplastic anemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical outcome on behalf of the severe aplastic anemia working party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (SAAWP of EBMT). Bone Marrow Transplant. 2020;55(10):1906-1917.
- 124. Xu LP, Wang SQ, Ma YR, et al. Who is the best haploidentical donor for acquired severe aplastic anemia? Experience from a

multicenter study. J Hematol Oncol. 2019;12(1):87.

- 125. Kumar Á, Reljic T, Hamadani M, Mohty M, Kharfan-Dabaja MA. Antithymocyte globulin for graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2019;54(7):1094-1106.
- 126. Marty FM, Ljungman P, Chemaly RF, et al. Letermovir prophylaxis for cytomegalovirus in hematopoietic-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(25):2433-2444.
- 127. Papanicolaou GA, Silveira FP, Langston AA, et al. Maribavir for refractory or resistant cytomegalovirus infections in hematopoietic-cell or solid-organ transplant recipients: a randomized, dose-ranging, double-blind, phase 2 study. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;68(8):1255-1264.
- 128. Einsele H, Ljungman P, Boeckh M. How I treat CMV reactivation after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2020;135(19):1619-1629.
- 129. Einsele H, Kapp M, Grigoleit GU. CMV-specific T cell therapy. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2008;40(1):71-75.
- 130. Barrett ÅJ, Bollard CM. The coming of age of adoptive T-cell therapy for viral infection after stem cell transplantation. Ann Transl Med. 2015;3(5):62.
- 131. Clift RA, Buckner CD, Appelbaum FR, et al. Allogeneic marrow transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first remission: a randomized trial of two irradiation regimens. Blood. 1990;76(9): 1867-1871.
- 132. Scott BL, Pasquini MC, Logan BR, et al. Myeloablative versus reduced-intensity hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(11):1154-1161.
- 133. Morsink LM, Sandmaier BM, Othus M, et al. Conditioning intensity, pre-transplant flow cytometric measurable residual disease, and outcome in adults with acute myeloid leukemia undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(9):E2339.
- 134. Baker KS, Leisenring WM, Goodman PJ, et al. Total body irradiation dose and risk of subsequent neoplasms following allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood. 2019;133(26):2790-2799.
- 135. Biemacki MA, Bleakley M. Neoantigens in hematologic malignancies. Front Immunol. 2020;11:121.
- 136. Biernacki MA, Sheth VS, Bleakley M. T cell optimization for graft-versus-leukemia responses. JCI Insight. 2020;5(9):e134939.
- 137. Pagel JM, Gooley TA, Rajendran J, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation after conditioning with 131I-anti-CD45 antibody plus fludarabine and low-dose total body irradiation for elderly patients with advanced acute myeloid leukemia or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood. 2009;114(27):5444-5453.
- 138. Gopal AK, Guthrie KA, Rajendran J, et al. 90 Y-Ibritumomab tiuxetan, fludarabine, and TBI-based nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation conditioning for patients with persistent high-risk B-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2011;118(4):1132-1139.
- 139. Puronen CE, Cassaday RD, Stevenson PA, et al. Long-term follow up of 90Y-ibritumomab tiuxetan, fludarabine and total body irradiation-based non-myeloablative allogeneic transplant conditioning for persistent highrisk B-cell lymphoma. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2018;24(11):2211-2215.

- 140. Mawad R, Gooley TA, Rajendran JG, et al. Radiolabeled anti-CD45 antibody with reduced-intensity conditioning and allogeneic transplantation for younger patients with advanced acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2014;20(9):1363-1368.
- 141. Chen Y, Komblit B, Hamlin DK, et al. Durable donor engraftment after radioimmunotherapy using alpha-emitter astatine-211-labeled anti-CD45 antibody for conditioning in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood. 2012;119(5):1130-1138.
- 142. O'Steen S, Comstock ML, Orozco JJ, et al. The alpha-emitter astatine-211 targeted to CD38 can eradicate multiple myeloma in a disseminated disease model. Blood. 2019;134(15):1247-1256.
- 143. Green DJ, Orgun NN, Jones JC, et al. A preclinical model of CD38-pretargeted radioimmunotherapy for plasma cell malignancies. Cancer Res. 2014;74(4):1179-1189.
- 144. Xuan L, Wang Y, Huang F, et al. Sorafenib maintenance in patients with FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia undergoing allogeneic haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: an open-label, multicentre, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(9):1201-1212.
- 145. Maziarz RT, Fernandez H, Patnaik MM, et al. Radius: midostaurin (mido) plus standard of care (SOC) after allogeneic stem cell transplant (alloSCT) in patients (pts) with FLT3internal tandem duplication (ITD)-mutated acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25(3 Suppl):S11-S12.
- 146. Green DJ, Maloney DG, Storer BE, et al. Tandem autologous/allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with bortezomib maintenance therapy for high-risk myeloma. Blood Adv. 2017;1(24):2247-2256.
- 147. Shadman M, Maloney DG, Storer B, et al. Rituximab-based allogeneic transplant for chronic lymphocytic leukemia with comparison to historical experience. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2020;55(1):172-181.
- 148. Granot N, Rezvani AR, Pender BS, et al. Impact of rituximab and host/donor Fc receptor polymorphisms after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for CD20+ B-cell malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020 Jul 18. [Epub ahead of print].
- 149. Cutler CS, Koreth J, Ritz J. Mechanistic approaches for the prevention and treatment of chronic GVHD. Blood. 2017;129(1): 22-29.
- 150. MacDonald KP, Hill GR, Blazar BR. Chronic graft-versus-host disease: biological insights from preclinical and clinical studies. Blood. 2017;129(1):13-21.
- 151. Bleakley M, Heimfeld S, Jones LA, et al. Engineering human peripheral blood stem cell grafts that are depleted of naive T cells and retain functional pathogen-specific memory T cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2014;20(5):705-716.
- 152. Locatelli F, Merli P, Pagliara D, et al. Outcome of children with acute leukemia given HLA-haploidentical HSCT after alphabeta T-cell and B-cell depletion. Blood. 2017;130(5):677-685.
- 153. Mathé G, Jammet H, Pendic B, et al. [Transfusions et greffes de moelle osseuse homologue chez des humains irradiés a haute dose accidentellement] Rev Fr Etud Clin Biol. 1959;4(3):226-238.
- 154. Snell GD. The Nobel Lectures in Immunology. Lecture for the Nobel Prize for

Physiology or Medicine, 1980: studies in histocompatibility. Scand J Immunol. 1992;36(4):513-526.

- 155. Park I, Terasaki P. Origins of the first HLA specificities. Hum Immunol. 2000;61(3):185-189.
- 156. Ferrebee JW, Lochte HL Jr, Jaretzki A III, Sahler OD, Thomas ED. Successful marrow homograft in the dog after radiation. Surgery. 1958;43(3):516-520.
- 157. Mannick JA, Lochte HL Jr, Ashley CA, Thomas ED, Ferrebee JW. Autografts of bone marrow in dogs after lethal total-body radiation. Blood. 1960;15:255-266.
- 158. Cavins JA, Scheer SC, Thomas ED, Ferrebee JW. The recovery of lethally irradiated dogs given infusions of autologous leukocytes preserved at -80 C. Blood. 1964;23:38-43.
- 159. Storb R, Epstein RB, Rudolph RH, Thomas ED. Allogeneic canine bone marrow transplantation following cyclophosphamide. Transplantation. 1969;7(3):378-386.
- 160. Santos GW, Owens AH Jr. Allogeneic marrow transplants in cyclophosphamide treated mice. Transplant Proc. 1969;1(1):44-46.
- 161. Uphoff DE. Alteration of homograft reaction by A-methopterin in lethally irradiated mice treated with homologous marrow. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1958;99(3):651-653.
- 162. Boak JL, Fox M, Wilson RE. Activity of lymphoid tissues from antilymphocyte-serumtreated mice. Lancet. 1967;1(493):750-752.
- 163. Brent L, Courtenay T, Gowland G. Immunological reactivity of lymphoid cells after treatment with anti-lymphocytic serum. Nature. 1967;215(109):1461-1464.
- 164. Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Rudolph RH, et al. Allogeneic marrow grafting for hematologic malignancy using HL-A matched donor-recipient sibling pairs. Blood. 1971;38(3):267-287.
- 165.Bortin MM, Rimm AA, Saltzstein EC, Rodey GE. Graft versus leukemia. III. Apparent independent antihost and antileukemic activity of transplanted immunocompetent cells. Transplantation. 1973;16(3):182-188.
- 166.Kapoor N, Kirkpatrick D, Oleske J, et al.

Reconstitution of normal megakaryocytopoiesis and immunologic functions in Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome by marrow transplantation following myeloablation and immunosuppression with busulfan and cyclophosphamide. Blood. 1981;57(4):692-696.

- 167. Sullivan KM, Shulman HM, Storb R, et al. Chronic graft-versus-host disease in 52 patients: adverse natural course and successful treatment with combination immunosuppression. Blood. 1981;57(2):267-276.
- 168. Sullivan KM, Witherspoon RP, Storb R, et al. Alternating-day cyclosporine and prednisone for treatment of high-risk chronic graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 1988;72(2):555-561.
- 169. Sullivan KM, Witherspoon RP, Storb R, et al. Prednisone and azathioprine compared with prednisone and placebo for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease: prognostic influence of prolonged thrombocytopenia after allogeneic marrow transplantation. Blood. 1988;72(2):546-554.
- 170. Körbling M, Przepiorka D, Huh YO, et al. Allogeneic blood stem cell transplantation for refractory leukemia and lymphoma: potential advantage of blood over marrow allografts. Blood. 1995;85(6):1659-1665.
- 171. Petersdorf EW, Gooley TA, Anasetti C, et al. Optimizing outcome after unrelated marrow transplantation by comprehensive matching of HLA class I and II alleles in the donor and recipient. Blood. 1998;92(10):3515-3520.
- 172. Giralt Š, Estey E, Albitar M, et al. Engraftment of allogeneic hematopoietic progenitor cells with purine analog-containing chemotherapy: hamessing graft-versusleukemia without myeloablative therapy. Blood. 1997;89(12):4531-4536.
- 173. Slavin S, Nagler A, Naparstek E, et al. Nonmyeloablative stem cell transplantation and cell therapy as an alternative to conventional bone marrow transplantation with lethal cytoreduction for the treatment of malignant and nonmalignant hematologic diseases. Blood. 1998;91(3):756-763.
- 174. Giralt S, Thall PF, Khouri I, et al. Melphalan

and purine analog-containing preparative regimens: reduced-intensity conditioning for patients with hematologic malignancies undergoing allogeneic progenitor cell transplantation. Blood. 2001;97(3):631-637.

- 175. McSweeney PA, Niederwieser D, Shizuru JA, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation in older patients with hematologic malignancies: replacing high-dose cytotoxic therapy with graft-versus-tumor effects. Blood. 2001;97(11):3390-3400.
- 176. Spitzer TR, McAfee S, Sackstein R, et al. Intentional induction of mixed chimerism and achievement of antitumor responses after nonmyeloablative conditioning therapy and HLA-matched donor bone marrow transplantation for refractory hematologic malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2000;6(3A):309-320.
- 177. Boeckh M, Boivin G. Quantitation of cytomegalovirus: methodologic aspects and clinical applications. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1998;11(3):533-554.
- 178. Reisner Y, Kapoor N, Kirkpatrick D, et al. Transplantation for severe combined immunodeficiency with HLA-A,B,D,DR incompatible parental marrow cells fractionated by soybean agglutinin and sheep red blood cells. Blood. 1983;61(2):341-348.
- 179. Buckley RH, Schiff SE, Schiff RI, et al. Hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for the treatment of severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. 1999;340(7):508-516.
- 180. Beatty PG, Clift RA, Mickelson EM, et al. Marrow transplantation from related donors other than HLA-identical siblings. N Eng J Med. 1985;313(13):765-771.
- 181. Aversa F, Terenzi A, Tabilio A, et al. Full haplotype-mismatched hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: a phase II study in patients with acute leukemia at high risk of relapse. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(15):3447-3454.
- 182. Anasetti C, Logan BR, Lee SJ, et al. Peripheral-blood stem cells versus bone marrow from unrelated donors. N Eng J Med. 2012;367(16):1487-1496.