



Species Diversity and Chemotypes of *Fusarium* Species Associated With Maize Stalk Rot in Yunnan Province of Southwest China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yong Wang, Guizhou University, China

Reviewed by:

Hai-Lei Wei, Institute of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), China Muhammad Inam UI Haq, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan Yun Chen, Zhejiang University, China

> ***Correspondence:** Wei Guo guowei01@caas.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbe and Virus Interactions With Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 11 January 2021 Accepted: 21 July 2021 Published: 20 August 2021

Citation:

Xi K, Shan L, Yang Y, Zhang G, Zhang J and Guo W (2021) Species Diversity and Chemotypes of Fusarium Species Associated With Maize Stalk Rot in Yunnan Province of Southwest China. Front. Microbiol. 12:652062. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.652062 Kaifei Xi^{1,2†}, Liuying Shan^{1,2†}, Yini Yang³, Guoqing Zhang⁴, Jun Zhang^{1,2} and Wei Guo^{1,2*}

¹Institute of Food Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China, ²Key Laboratory of Agro-Products Quality and Safety Control in Storage and Transport Process, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Beijing, China, ³The Central Agricultural Broadcasting and Television School, Beijing, China, ⁴General Office of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Beijing, China

Maize stalk rot caused by Fusarium species is one of the most important fungal diseases of maize throughout the world. The disease is responsible for considerable yield losses and has also been associated with mycotoxin contamination of the crop. In this study, a survey of maize stalk rot was performed in seven locations of Yunnan Province in China during the cropping season of 2015 and 2016. Based on morphological and molecular characteristics, 204 isolates belonging to 12 Fusarium spp. from symptomatic stalks of maize were identified. Among the isolated strains, 83 were identified as Fusarium meridionale (40.5%), 46 as Fusarium boothii (22.5%), 34 as Fusarium temperatum (16.5%), 12 as Fusarium equiseti (5.9%), 10 as Fusarium asiaticum (4.9%), six as Fusarium proliferatum (3.0%), four as Fusarium verticillioides (2.0%), four as Fusarium incarnatum (2.0%), two as Fusarium avenaceum (1.0%), one as Fusarium cerealis (0.5%), one as Fusarium graminearum (0.5%), and one as Fusarium cortaderiae (0.5%). Fusarium cortaderiae was the first report on the causal agent of maize stalk rot disease in China. These isolates were divided into five chemotypes: nivalenol (NIV), deoxynivalenol (DON), beauvericin (BEA), zearalenone (ZEN), and fumonisin (FUM). Phylogenetic analysis based on partial sequences of the translation elongation factor 1α (TEF1- α) showed a high degree of interspecific polymorphisms among the isolates. Pathogenicity analysis on maize stalks indicated that all the 12 species of Fusarium were able to cause the disease symptoms with different aggressiveness. This study on population, pathogenicity, and toxigenic chemotypes of Fusarium species associated with maize stalk rot in Yunnan Province of southwest China, will help design an effective integrated control strategy for this disease.

Keywords: maize stalk rot, Fusarium spp., diversity, pathogenicity, chemotypes

INTRODUCTION

In the Yunnan Province of southwest China, maize plays a crucial role in local agricultural production. In this region, the maize crop's yield and quality are particularly affected by stalk rot diseases caused by *Fusarium* species. *Fusarium* is an important plant pathogenic fungus with a wide range of hosts, including corn, wheat, rice, and other cereal crops (Boutigny et al., 2011). These pathogens cause ear and stalk rot disease, potentially damaging to crop yield and food safety. Different *Fusarium* species can produce toxic chemicals known as mycotoxins, which can be an important risk to both animal and human health if accumulated to an unsafe level (Sampietro et al., 2012; Kuhnem et al., 2016).

Fusarium genus has numerous species, which are morphologically indistinguishable, so they are very difficult to identify at the species level (Thomas et al., 2019). Fusarium graminearum species complex (FGSC) has been divided into biogeographically distinct lineages consisting of at least 16 species. Members of the FGSC are also classified into the broader Fusarium sambucinum species complex (FSAMSC; Starkey et al., 2007; O'Donnell et al., 2008, 2013; Sarver et al., 2011). Various members of FGSC show different geographic distribution and host preferences (Lee et al., 2015). Among different species in FGSC, F. graminearum is considered as an important pathogen of maize (Moreno-González et al., 2004). Earlier studies have reported that F. graminearum could cause seedling blight and root rot (Du et al., 1997; Munkvold and O'Mara, 2002). However, a previous study reported the presence of Fusarium culmorum, Fusarium solani, Fusarium semitectum, Fusarium verticillioides, and F. graminearum from the lodged maize plants (Ares et al., 2004). Another study showed that F. graminearum was the most aggressive strain during pathogenicity tests on maize (Lamprecht et al., 2011). In addition, F. graminearum is a dominant pathogen associated with Fusarium head blight (FHB) in North America and Europe (O'Donnell et al., 2004; Starkey et al., 2007), whereas Fusarium asiaticum has been found as a major species in Asia (Qiu et al., 2014). Fusarium graminearum is often found on wheat, but Fusarium boothii and Fusarium meridionale are frequent pathogens of maize, and Fusarium asiaticum is commonly reported from rice (Maier et al., 2006). Besides, F. verticillioides is one of the most common pathogens causing ear and stalk rot in maize. This species is widespread in areas with relatively warm and dry weather (Czembor et al., 2019), including the European and the Kansas state of the United States. In China, many Fusarium species are associated with ear and stalk rot diseases of maize, which resulted in significant yield losses and mycotoxin contamination problems. In China, the notable Fusarium species isolated from maize are F. verticillioides, F. graminearum, F. meridionale, and Fusarium temperatum (Duan et al., 2016). Fusarium temperatum is also an important maize pathogen and described as a new species causing disease in maize crop (Scauflaire et al., 2011). These pathogens can produce different toxigenic chemotypes, demonstrating the tremendous potential of this species for mycotoxin contamination (Duan et al., 2016). Moreover, isolates of *F. asiaticum*, isolated from head blight infected wheat plants produced 3A-deoxynivalenol (DON) or nivalenol (NIV). It was also showed that the isolates producing different mycotoxins also have differences in growth rate, pathogenicity, conidial length, fecundity, trichothecene accumulation and showed a varying degree of resistance to benzimidazole (Zhang et al., 2012). Although, many *Fusarium* species have been reported to responsible for maize ear and stalk rot disease in China, no detailed studies have been done in Yunnan Province based on composition, pathogenicity, and toxigenic chemotypes.

As the largest grain crop in Yunnan Province, maize is distributed throughout the province. Yunnan has diverse environmental conditions and topography, where the maize planted in areas have temperature ranging from 9 to 30°C and altitude ranging from 700 to 2,400 m. So, climatic conditions, soil type, water availability, farming system, and planting habits vary significantly throughout the province. Besides, different maize varieties planted in different parts of Yunnan have various growth characteristics, and the yield also varies considerably among the different areas of the province. In this study, diseased stalks of maize were collected from seven locations of Yunnan Province during the cropping season of 2015 and 2016. The study aimed to determine species diversity, pathogenicity, and toxigenic chemotypes of the Fusarium species causing maize stalk rot in Yunnan Province to design an effective integrated control strategy for this disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal Isolation, Purification, and Morphological Characterization

Stalks of maize showing typical rot symptoms were collected from seven maize-planting locations in Yunnan Province of China during the cropping season of 2015 and 2016 (Figure 1). The diseased samples were cut into small pieces (approximately 5 mm²) and soaked in 75% ethanol for 2 min. Subsequently, washed three times with sterile water and dried using autoclaved tissue towels. Later, the samples were placed onto potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates, which were supplemented with streptomycin sulfate (150 µg/ml) and kanamycin (150 µg/ml). The PDA plates were incubated at 25°C for 2-3 days in darkness. Fungal colonies showing various morphological features were selected. Fungal isolates were grown on PDA after single spore purification by following the procedure described by Xi et al. (2019). Morphological features of the fungal isolates were observed on PDA and carnation leaf agar (CLA). The appearance of the fungal colonies was recorded after the mycelium fully covered the whole PDA plate. Six Fusarium species including Fusarium avenaceum, Fusarium cerealis, Fusarium equiseti, F. graminearum, F. proliferatum, and F. verticillioides were confirmed by following the details mentioned in The Fusarium Laboratory Manual (Leslie and Summerell, 2006). For the identification of the other six species such as F. meridionale, F. boothii, F. temperatum, F. asiaticum, Fusarium incarnatum, and Fusarium cortaderiae, recently published materials were followed (Lamprecht et al., 2011; Scauflaire et al., 2011;



Castañares et al., 2016; Walkowiak et al., 2016; Avila et al., 2019). The size of microconidia and macroconidia were taken as average from 50 measurements of each isolate.

Species and Chemotype Determination

About 10 mm mycelial plugs from the colony's edge were inoculated to CM liquid medium and incubated in a shaker without light (175 rpm, 25°C) for 5 days. After incubation, the mycelia were collected by centrifugation (4,000 rpm, 5 min) and stored at -80°C until the subsequent use. Total DNA was extracted using a ZR fungal DNA Kit (ZYMO Research, United States) by following the manufacturer's instruction and stored at -20° C until the subsequent use. Sequences of the translation elongation factor 1α (*TEF-1* α) isolate were amplified from each using primers EF-1 (5'-ATGGGTAAGGARGACAAGAC-3'), and EF-2 (5'-GGARGTACCAGTSATCATGTT-3'; Geiser et al., 2004). The resulted sequences were compared with the NCBI database¹

and Fusarium database (FUSARIUM-ID v.1.0 database)^2 for species determination.

To identify each isolate's chemotypes, six specific mycotoxinproducing genes were amplified by PCR using specific primers as previous described (Ward et al., 2002; Jennings et al., 2004; Kulik et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2010; Duan et al., 2016). The sequence of primers used to amplify these genes has been mentioned in **Supplementary Table S1**. The PCR was done in a 20 μ l reaction mixtures including 1 μ l of template DNA, 10 μ l of 2× DreamTaq PCR Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States), 7 μ l of sterile water, and 1 μ l of each primer (10 μ M). Amplification reactions were carried out in a C1000 Touch thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, BIO-RAD, United States).

Phylogenetic Analysis of the *TEF-1* α Gene Sequence Data

The *TEF1-\alpha* gene always appeared to have a single copy in *Fusarium* and showed high levels of sequence polymorphism in closely related species (Geiser et al., 2004). All of the

¹https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

²http://isolate.fusariumdb.org/blast.php

sequences (n = 204) were aligned online using the MAFFT alignment program (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Alignments were adjusted manually using Clustal X (Thompson et al., 1994). A phylogenetic tree from multiple alignments of the 204 sequences was constructed using the neighbor-joining method calculated with MEGA X (Sudhir et al., 2018). The Interactive Tree of Life³ was used to beautify the phylogenetic tree. Clade support was inferred from 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

Pathogenicity Tests on Maize Stalks

B73 maize plants were inoculated at the 10-leaves stage by punching a hole in the stalk at the second or third internode above the soil line using a sterile toothpick. Then 20 μ l conidia suspension was injected from representative isolates at a concentration of 10⁶/ml. Mock-inoculated maize stalks were treated with sterilized water. The inoculation site was wrapped using a piece of sterilized gauze to conserve moisture and avoid any contamination. Each representative isolate and control were inoculated on three plants. After 7 days post-inoculation (dpi), the stalks of inoculated plants were split along the longitudinal direction for symptom measurements. The longitudinal brown infected areas were measured as the necrosis area to calculate each identified *Fusarium* species' virulence using ImageJ software (Zhang et al., 2016).

RESULTS

Isolation and Morphological Identification of *Fusarium* Species

Based on the morphological and molecular characteristics, 204 isolates were identified from seven major maize producing regions of Yunnan Province (**Figure 1**). Twelve *Fusarium* species were found including, 83 isolates as *F. meridionale* (40.5%), 46 isolates were *F. boothii* (22.5%), 34 isolates identified as *F. temperatum* (16.5%), 12 as *F. equiseti* (5.9%), 10 isolates were *F. asiaticum* (4.9%), six were *F. proliferatum* (3.0%), four as *F. verticillioides* (2.0%), four as *F. cerealis* (0.5%), one as *F. graminearum* (0.5%), and one as *F. cortaderiae* (0.5%; **Figure 1**).

All of the 12 species showed typical *Fusarium* morphological characteristics, which were consistent with the previous reports. *Fusarium graminearum, F. meridionale, F. boothii, F. asiaticum, F. cortaderiae*, and *F. cerealis* belonged to the FSAMSC and shared similar morphological characteristics. They had woolly aerial hyphae and formed red pigment in the PDA plates. At later stages, yellow hyphae were produced in the center of the colony, and the bottom of the plates became dark-red to black-red (**Figures 2A–F**). Mycelial growth rates of the members of FSAMSC were faster than the other six *Fusarium* species, and the *F. graminearum* showed the fastest growth rate. All members of this complex produced macroconidia, but no microconidia were observed. Macroconidia were curved at the

base and apex and usually contained three or five septa. The average sizes of macroconidia (N = 50) were 35.9–72.7 µm long × 3.6–5.6 µm wide, 32.5–68.6 µm long × 2.2–4.4 µm wide, 33.8–61.2 µm long × 2.0–4.0 µm wide, 37.3–69.7 µm long × 2.7–5.3 µm wide, 31.7–66.3 µm long × 3.5–5.5 µm wide, and 25.8–55.9 µm long × 3.0–7.0 µm wide for *F. graminearum, F. meridionale, F. boothii, F. asiaticum, F. cortaderiae*, and *F. cerealis*, respectively (**Figure 2**; **Supplementary Table S2**).

Fusarium proliferatum, F. temperatum, and F. verticillioides belonged to Fusarium fujikuroi species complex (FFSC) and shared similar morphological characteristics (Figures 2G-I). They had woolly aerial hyphae, and the colonies showed spider-web like arrangement but produced different pigments. Fusarium proliferatum was white or light purple in the early stages. Later, it became dark purple or gravish purple (Figure 2G). Fusarium temperatum was light orange at the start of the growth. It later became dark purple (Figure 2H). Fusarium verticillioides was initially white or light purple. At the later stages, it became dark purple (Figure 2I). Compared to the species of FSAMSC, mycelial growth rates of F. proliferatum, F. temperatum, and F. verticillioides were slow. They could produce macroconidia and microconidia on CLA. The macroconidia of F. proliferatum were sickle-shaped, straight, and slender, 3–5 septa, and 35.5–55.5 μ m long × 2.5–4.5 μ m wide (Figure 2G). The microconidia of F. proliferatum were ovate or mallet, usually aseptate, concentric or pseudo cephalic, and 5.0–16.7 μm long \times 1.8–3.5 μm wide. The macroconidia of F. temperatum were slender, mainly possessed four septa, and 26.0–67.5 μ m long × 3.6–5.0 μ m wide (Figure 2H). The microconidia of F. temperatum were long elliptic, pseudo cephalic, 0-1 septum, and 5.5-16.5 μ m long \times 2.0-4.0 μ m wide. The macroconidia of F. verticillioides were sickle-shaped, straight, and slender, 3–5 septa, and 30.4–52.3 μ m long \times 2.6–4.0 μ m wide (Figure 2I). The microconidia of F. verticillioides were clubbed shaped, 0-1 septum, and were 4.4-11.1 µm long \times 1.5–3.7 µm wide.

Fusarium incarnatum and F. equiseti belonged to Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex (FIESC) and exhibited similar morphology. Colony appearance of F. incarnatum and F. equiseti was abundant mycelium that initially white but became yellowish-brown with age (Figures 2J,K). Fusarium incarnatum produces straight to slightly curved macroconidia without obvious foot-shaped base cells (Figure 2J). The species also produces abundant microconidia. There was no apparent boundary between macroconidia and microconidia of F. incarnatum, possessed 3-5 septa, 27.5-40.5 µm long \times 3.5–5.5 µm wide (Figure 2J). However, *F. equiseti* only produces macroconidia. The macroconidia of F. equiseti were sickle-shaped, slender, and curved, apical cells slender and a prominent heel, generally 3-6 septa, and 35.5-60.0 µm long \times 3.0–5.0 µm wide (Figure 2K). Fusarium avenaceum belonged to Fusarium tricinctum species complex (FTSC). On PDA plates, aerial mycelia were compact and woolly, having white to light yellow color with central spore mass pale orange to brown and the colony reverse was carmine (Figure 2L). The macroconidia of F. avenaceum were slender and straight,

³https://itol.embl.de



linear, 4–6 septa, and 45.5–65.5 μ m long × 3.5–4.5 μ m wide (**Figure 2L**). Microconidia were fusoid, 1–2 septa, and ranged from 13.4–24.6 μ m long × 2.6–4.8 μ m wide. The morphological details of the colony, macroconidia and microconidia of these *Fusarium* species and the growth diameter of each *Fusarium* colony on PDA plates after 3 days has also been shown in

Analysis of Toxigenic Chemotypes

In FGSC, the *Tri* genes cluster is responsible for the production of different types of toxins. Three primers based on *Tri3*, *Tri7*,

and *Tri8* intergenic sequences, Tri315F/R, nivPF/R, and MinusTri7F/R, were used to amplify specific 15-AcDON fragments of 864 bp, NIV fragments of 450 bp, and 3-AcDON fragments of 483 bp, respectively. Similarly, the *FUM1* gene was used to detect the Fumonisins (FBs) with a fragment of 750 bp. Whereas the *esyn1* gene was used to detect the beauvericin (BEA) with a fragment of 600 bp. Also, the PKS4 gene was used to detect the zearalenone (ZEN) with a fragment of 280 bp.

The PCR amplification results showed that all of the 12 *Fusarium* species can synthesize mycotoxins and the

Supplementary Table S2.



FIGURE 3 | Visualization of PCR-based chemotype analysis from all of the 12 *Fusarium* species using representative isolates. Lane M: Marker; Lanes 1: detection of 15Ac-deoxynivalenol (DON) chemotypes produced by the *F. graminearum* isolate (YNF16-37); Lanes 2–7: detection of nivalenol (NIV) chemotypes produced by *F. meridionale* isolates (YNF15-50, YNF15-21, YNF15-78, YNF15-29, YNF16-19, and YNF16-55); Lanes 8–12: detection of 15Ac-DON chemotypes produced by *F. boothii* isolates (YNF15-23, YNF15-40, YNF16-17, YNF16-68, and YNF16-113); Lanes 13: detection of NIV chemotypes produced by the *Fusarium asiaticum* isolate (YNF15-56); Lanes 14: detection of 15Ac-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. asiaticum* isolate (YNF15-56); Lanes 14: detection of 15Ac-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. asiaticum* isolate (YNF15-59); Lanes 15: detection of 15Ac-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. asiaticum* isolate (YNF15-59); Lanes 15: detection of 15Ac-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. asiaticum* isolate (YNF15-59); Lanes 17-18: detection of fumonisin (FUM) chemotypes produced by *F. proliferatum* isolates (YNF15-10 and YNF16-118); Lanes 19–22: detection of beauvericin (BEA) chemotypes produced by *F. temperatum* isolates (YNF15-67, YNF16-04, YNF16-77, and YNF16-116); Lanes 23–24: detection of F. *M. chemotypes* produced by *F. verticillioides* isolates (YNF15-04 and YNF15-98); Lanes 25: detection of 3Ac-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. incarnatum* isolate (YNF15-93); Lanes 27–28: detection of zearalenone (ZEN) chemotypes produced by *F. avenaceum* isolates (YNF15-64); Lanes 29–30: detection of BEA chemotypes produced by *F. avenaceum* isolates (YNF15-64); Lanes 29–30: detection of SAc-DON chemotypes produced by the *F. incarnatum* isolate (YNF15-93); Lanes 27–28: detection of zearalenone (ZEN) chemotypes produced by *F. avenaceum* isolates (YNF15-64); Lanes 29–30: detection of BEA chemotypes produced by *F. avenaceum* isolates (YNF15-64); Lanes 29–30: detection of BEA chemotypes produced by *F. avenaceum* isolates (YNF15-

amplification results of the representative isolates were shown in Figure 3. Among all of 204 isolates, 53 isolates produced the DON chemotype, 93 isolates had the NIV chemotype, 10 isolates potentially produced FB1, 12 isolates had ZEN chemotype, and 36 isolates potentially produced BEA. Interestingly, all of F. cerealis and F. meridionale isolates produced the NIV chemotype. Similarly, the chemotypes of F. boothii, F. cortaderiae, F. incarnatum, and F. graminearum were categorized as the DON chemotype. Among the DON-producing isolates, all of F. boothii, F. cortaderiae, and F. graminearum isolates potentially produced 15-AcDON. However, one F. incarnatum isolate possessed the 15-AcDON chemotypes, the other three isolates represented the 3-AcDON chemotype. Conversely, all F. equiseti isolates were the ZEN chemotype, although F. incarnatum and F. equiseti belonged to the same species complexes. Also, most of F. asiaticum isolates mainly belonged to the NIV chemotype, and only one was categorized as the 15-AcDON chemotype. All of the isolates of F. verticillioides and F. proliferatum were the fumonisin chemotype. However, all of F. temperatum isolates like F. avenaceum isolates were the BEA chemotype (Table 1).

Phylogenetic Analysis Based on the Partial *TEF-1* α Sequences

For phylogenetic analysis, a neighbor-joining tree was constructed using the partial *TEF-1* α gene sequences, including all isolates in this study (**Figure 4**). The GenBank accession numbers for the TEF-1 α gene sequences of all the 204 strains are listed in Supplementary Table S3. The phylogenetic analysis showed that isolates of F. graminearum, F. meridionale, F. boothii, F. asiaticum, F. cortaderiae, and F. cerealis belonging to the FSAMSC were clustered into one big branch. It is worth noting that the isolates of F. asiaticum, F. boothii, F. cortaderiae, F. graminearum, and F. meridional showed a closer phylogenetic relationship compared to the isolate of F. cerealis because these isolates belonged to the FGSC, which is a part of FSAMSC. The isolates of F. proliferatum, F. temperatum, and F. verticillioides formed an independent branch in the phylogenetic tree owing to these isolates belonging to the FFSC. Similarly, isolates of F. incarnatum and F. equiseti formed an independent branch because of these isolates belonging to the FIESC. Likewise, isolates of F. avenaceum classified into FTSC showed another independent branch in the tree (Figure 4). These results indicated that isolates of Fusarium species showed a high degree of interspecific polymorphisms variation and was unrelated to geographic distribution.

Pathogenicity Tests on Maize Stalks

To test the pathogenicity of the 12 isolated *Fusarium* species, the stalks of B73 maize plants at the 10-leaf stage were inoculated with each representative fungal species. The symptoms and severity of the disease were recorded at the 7 dpi. The results showed that all of the *Fusarium* species are pathogenic to maize stalks and showed distinct discoloration

of internal stalk tissues around the inoculation site (**Figure 5A**). The longitudinal brown infected areas of maize stalks were measured to evaluate the virulence of each identified *Fusarium* species (**Figure 5B**). The results indicated that isolates of

F. meridionale are the most aggressive among all of the isolates. Pathogenicity of these isolates was confirmed by reisolating the fungus from symptomatic tissues but not from the control plants.

TABLE 1 | Chemotypes of Fusarium species identified in this study.

Species	Percentage (%)	Number -	Toxigenic chemotypes				
			NIV	DON	BEA	FUM	ZEN
Fusarium asiaticum	5	10	9	1	0	0	0
Fusarium boothii	22.5	46	0	46	0	0	0
Fusarium cortaderiae	0.5	1	0	1	0	0	0
Fusarium graminearum	0.5	1	0	1	0	0	0
Fusarium meridionale	40.5	83	83	0	0	0	0
Fusarium cerealis	0.5	1	1	0	0	0	0
Fusarium verticillioides	2	4	0	0	0	4	0
Fusarium proliferatum	3	6	0	0	0	6	0
Fusarium temperatum	16.5	34	0	0	34	0	0
Fusarium equiseti	6	12	0	0	0	0	12
Fusarium incarnatum	2	4	0	4	0	0	0
Fusarium avenaceum	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
Total	100	204	93	53	36	10	12







Fusarium isolates at 7 dpi. The longitudinal brown infected areas were used to evaluate the virulence of each identified Fusarium species. Each assay was performed on three independent biological repeats.

DISCUSSION

Fusarium spp. can cause various diseases at different growth stages of maize, such as root, seedling, stalk, and ear rot, leading to yield losses, and reduction of grain quality (Pfordt et al., 2020). Most of Fusarium spp. can produce different mycotoxins to contaminate small grain crops from pre-harvest to post-harvest stages. The gene of TEF1- α always appeared to have a single copy in Fusarium. It showed high levels of sequence polymorphism in closely related species, and the DNA sequence based on the TEF1- α was often used to

identify the putative Fusarium species (Geiser et al., 2004; Berruezo et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). In this study, 12 Fusarium species were isolated and identified from symptomatic maize stalks based on morphological characteristics, phylogenetic analysis (*TEF1-\alpha*), and Koch's postulates. Among them, F. meridionale (40.5%), F. boothii (22.5%), and F. temperatum (16.5%) were more prevalent. For the consecutive two cropping seasons of 2015 and 2016, we find the F. meridionale, F. boothii, F. asiaticum, F. proliferatum, F. temperatum, F. verticillioides, F. incarnatum, and F. equiseti from various locations of the Yunnan Province. Previous studies reported F. meridionale, F. graminearum, and F. cortaderiae were found from diseased maize plants in Brazil, and F. meridionale was the dominant (Kuhnem et al., 2016). Our results showed that F. meridionale was distributed throughout the seven-sampling locations and was also the major pathogen causing maize stalk rot disease in Yunnan Province of China. These results indicate that the environmental conditions were suitable for *F. meridionale* in Yunnan Province. However, only two isolates were individually identified as F. graminearum and F. cortaderiae in the present study. Fusarium cortaderiae was identified for the first time from maize in China. Previous study on the pathogenic Fusarium spp. causing maize ear rot in China showed that F. verticillioides, F. graminearum, F. meridionale, and F. boothii were dominant Fusarium species (Duan et al., 2016). However, only a few samples were taken from Yunnan Province in that study, which cannot represent the diversity of Fusarium spp. associated with maize ear rot disease in the whole province. In contrast, present studies focused on providing useful information of dominant pathogenic Fusarium species and their potential mycotoxins associated with maize in Yunnan Province. In this study, we found that F. meridionale and F. boothii were prevalent to cause maize stalk rot. However, the percentage of F. verticillioides and F. graminearum in this survey was unexpected. Notably, F. temperatum, a new species recently separated from Fusarium subglutinans, need to pay more attention in the future. Fusarium species can infect the stalk during the whole vegetation period by systemic spread after colonization of the roots (Murillo-Williams and Munkvold, 2008), through young leaf sheaths, by seed transmission and via wounds caused by hail or insect feeding (Gai et al., 2018). Stalk rot of maize results in defective grain filling, premature senescence, and lodging, which negatively affects production, harvesting, and yield (Quesada-Ocampo et al., 2016). In the present study, the pathogenicity tests showed that all of the Fusarium isolates could cause severe symptoms of maize stalk rot, but the extent of lesion spread was different. Fusarium meridionale was the most aggressive species to infect maize stalks. So, we have reasons to believe that differences in compositions of Fusarium spp. associated with maize stalk rot disease in Yunnan Province were caused by local climatic conditions.

To investigate the ability to produce mycotoxins of *Fusarium* species causing maize stalk rot in Yunnan Province, the toxigenic chemotypes were also evaluated by specific PCR assays. The pathogenicity analysis showed that there was no relationship between the pathogenicity and the type of mycotoxin production. Generally, pathogenicity was not influenced by the type of mycotoxin produced (Adams and Hart, 1989; Goswami and Kistler, 2005). However, the pathogenicity of the *F. graminearum* to wheat plant has a relationship with the type of the mycotoxin (Shin et al., 2018). Another study on FSAMSC reported that the aggressiveness of the pathogen was related to the type of mycotoxin produced by the pathogen (Laraba et al., 2021). Our results indicated that 45.6% (93/204) strains were NIV producers, whereas 26.0% (53/204) stains were DON producers. So, the contaminations of NIV and DON in maize-related

agro-products should be given particular attention in Yunnan Province of China. Besides, the identification of *F. cortaderiae*, *F. cerealis*, and *F. avenaceum* are reported for the first from Yunnan Province, which needs urgent attention to prevent their widespread. These results will provide useful information to design an effective strategy for the control of disease caused by *Fusarium* species in Yunnan Province of China.

CONCLUSION

In the 2 years of investigation, *F. meridionale* (40.5%), *F. boothii* (22.5%), and *F. temperatum* (16.5%) were the most frequent *Fusarium* species to cause maize stalk rot disease in Yunnan Province of China. The dominance of the NIV chemotype among isolates needs to pay more attention to food safety and animal health because of the more significant toxic potential of NIV relative to DON. Besides, *F. temperatum* associated with BEA mycotoxins represented a toxigenic risk for maize production. The current results on species diversity of *Fusarium* spp. and mycotoxin contaminations associated with maize stalk rot disease will provide valuable information to design effective strategies to control the disease caused by *Fusarium* spp.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WG conceived and designed the experiments. WG and JZ collected the samples in the field. YY and GZ provided substantial assistance to collect the samples in the field. KX and LS performed the experiments. KX and WG wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1600903), National Natural Science Foundation of China (32072377 and 31670143), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (6192023), and Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (CAAS-ASTIP-2020-IFST-03).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.652062/ full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Adams, G. C., and Hart, L. P. (1989). The role of deoxynivalenol and 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol in pathogenesis by *Gibberella zeae* as elucidated through protoplast fusions between toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains. *Phytopathology* 79, 404–408. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-79-404
- Ares, J. L. A., Ferro, A., Ramírez, L. C., and González, J. M. (2004). Fusarium graminearum Schwabe, a maize root and stalk rot pathogen isolated from lodged plants in northwestern Spain. Span. J. Agric. Res. 2, 249–252. doi: 10.5424/sjar/2004022-82
- Avila, C. F., Moreira, G. M., Nicolli, C. P., Gomes, L. B., Abreru, L. M., Pfenning, L. H., et al. (2019). *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex associated with Brazilian rice: phylogeny, morphology, and toxigenic potential. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 306:108267. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108267
- Berruezo, L. A., Mercado, C. E., Guadalupe, E., Harries, E. D. M., Stenglein, S. A., Curti, R. N., et al. (2018). Characterization of *Fusarium* species associated with tobacco diseases in Northwestern Argentina. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 151, 1065–1079. doi: 10.1007/s10658-018-1443-6
- Boutigny, A. L., Ward, T. J., Coller, G. J. V., Flett, B., Lamprecht, S. C., O'Donnell, K., et al. (2011). Analysis of the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from wheat, barley and maize in South Africa provides evidence of species-specific differences in host preference. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 48, 914–920. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2011.05.005
- Castañares, E., Dinolfo, M. I., Del Ponte, E. M., Pan, D., and Stenglein, S. A. (2016). Species composition and genetic structure of *Fusarium graminearum* species complex populations affecting the main barley growing regions of South America. *Plant Pathol.* 65, 930–939. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12470
- Czembor, E., Waskiewicz, A., Piechota, U., Puchta, M., Czembor, J. H., and Stepien, Ł. (2019). Differences in ear rot resistance and *Fusarium verticillioides*-produced fumonisin contamination between polish currently and historically used maize inbred lines. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:449. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2019.00449
- Du, T. L., Kirby, H. W., and Pedersen, W. (1997). Evaluation of an aeroponics system to screen maize genotypes for resistance to *Fusarium graminearum* seedling blight. *Plant Dis.* 81, 175–179. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.1997.81.2.175
- Duan, C., Qin, Z., Yang, Z., Li, W., Sun, S., Zhu, Z., et al. (2016). Identification of pathogenic *Fusarium* spp. causing maize ear rot and potential mycotoxin production in China. *Toxins* 8:186. doi: 10.3390/toxins8060186
- Fang, D. Z., Liu, X. L., Chen, X. R., Yan, W. W., He, Y. L., Cheng, Y., et al. (2020). *Fusarium* species and *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex genotypes associated with yam wilt in South-Central China. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:1964. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01964
- Gai, X., Dong, H., Wang, S., Liu, B., Zhang, Z., Li, X., et al. (2018). Infection cycle of maize stalk rot and ear rot caused by *Fusarium verticillioides*. *PLoS One* 13:e0201588. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201588
- Geiser, D. M., Jiménez-Gasco, M. M., Kang, S., Makalowska, I., Veeraraghavan, N., Ward, T. J., et al. (2004). FUSARIUM-ID v. 1.0: a DNA sequence database for identifying *Fusarium. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 110, 473–479. doi: 10.1023/B: EJPP.0000032386.75915.a0
- Goswami, R. S., and Kistler, H. C. (2005). Pathogenicity and in planta mycotoxin accumulation among members of the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex on wheat and rice. *Phytopathology* 95, 1397–1404. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-95-1397
- Jennings, P., Coates, M. E., Turner, J. A., and Nicholson, P. (2004). Determination of deoxynivalenol- and nivalenol-producing chemotypes of *Fusarium* graminearum isolated from wheat crops in England and Wales. *Plant Pathol.* 53, 643–652. doi: 10.1111/j.0032-0862.2004.01061.x
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). Mafft multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kuhnem, P. R., Ward, T. J., Silva, C. N., Spolti, P., Ciliato, M. L., Tessmann, D. J., et al. (2016). Composition and toxigenic potential of the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from maize ears, stalks and stubble in Brazil. *Plant Pathol.* 65, 1185–1191. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12497
- Kulik, T., Pszczółkowska, A., Fordoński, G., and Olszewski, J. (2007). PCR approach based on the esyn1 gene for the detection of potential enniatinproducing *Fusarium* species. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 116, 319–324. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2007.02.003

- Lamprecht, S. C., Tewoldemedhin, Y. T., Botha, W. J., and Calitz, F. J. (2011). *Fusarium graminearum* species complex associated with maize crowns and roots in the KwaZulu-Natal Province of South Africa. *Plant Dis.* 95, 1153–1158. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-02-11-0083
- Laraba, I., McCormick, S. P., Vaughan, M. M., Geiser, D. M., and O'Donnell, K. (2021). Phylogenetic diversity, trichothecene potential, and pathogenicity within *fusarium sambucinum* species complex. *PLoS One* 16:e0245037. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245037
- Lee, T., Zhang, H., Diepeningenc, A., and Waalwijka, C. (2015). Biogeography of *Fusarium graminearum* species complex and chemotypes: a review. *Food Addit. Contam.* 32, 453–460. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2014.984244
- Leslie, J. F., and Summerell, B. A. (2006). *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Maier, F. J., Miedaner, T., Hadeler, B., Felk, A., Salomon, S., Lemmens, M., et al. (2006). Involvement of trichothecenes in fusarioses of wheat, barley and maize evaluated by gene disruption of the trichodiene synthase (Tri5) gene in three field isolates of different chemotype and virulence. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 7, 449–461. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2006.00351.x
- Meng, K., Wang, Y., Yang, P., Luo, H., Bai, Y., Shi, P., et al. (2010). Rapid detection and quantification of zearalenone-producing fusarium species by targeting the zearalenone synthase gene pks4. *Food Control* 21, 207–211. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.05.014
- Moreno-González, J., Ares, J. L. A., Ferro, R. A., and Ramírez, L. C. (2004). Genetic and statistical models for estimating genetic parameters of maize seedling resistance to *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe root rot. *Euphytica* 137, 55–61. doi: 10.1023/B:EUPH.0000040502.10811.64
- Munkvold, G. P., and O'Mara, J. K. J. (2002). Laboratory and growth chamber evaluation of fungicidal seed treatments for maize seedling blight caused by *Fusarium* species. *Plant Dis.* 86, 143–150. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.2002.86.2.143
- Murillo-Williams, A., and Munkvold, G. P. (2008). Systemic infection by *Fusarium verticillioides* in maize plants grown under three temperature regimes. *Plant Dis.* 92, 1695–1700. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-92-12-1695
- O'Donnell, K., Rooney, A. P., Proctor, R. H., Brown, D. W., McCormick, S. P., Ward, T. J., et al. (2013). Phylogenetic analyses of RPB1 and RPB2 support a middle cretaceous origin for a clade comprising all agriculturally and medically important fusaria. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 52, 20–31. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2012.12.004
- O'Donnell, K., Ward, T. J., Aberra, D., Kistler, H. C., Aoki, T., Orwig, N., et al. (2008). Multilocus genotyping and molecular phylogenetics resolve a novel head blight pathogen within the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from Ethiopia. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 45, 1514–1522. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2008.09.002
- O'Donnell, K., Ward, T. J., Geiser, D. M., Kistler, H. C., and Aoki, T. (2004). Genealogical concordance between the mating type locus and seven other nuclear genes supports formal recognition of nine phylogenetically distinct species within the *Fusarium graminearum* clade. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 41, 600–623. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2004.03.003
- Pfordt, A., Romero, L. R., Schiwek, S., Karlovsky, P., and Tiedemann, A. V. (2020). Impact of environmental conditions and agronomic practices on the prevalence of *Fusarium* species associated with ear- and stalk rot in maize. *Pathogens* 9:236. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9030236
- Qiu, J., Xu, J., and Shi, J. (2014). Molecular characterization of the Fusarium graminearum species complex in Eastern China. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 139, 811–823. doi: 10.1007/s10658-014-0435-4
- Quesada-Ocampo, L. M., Al-Haddad, J., Scruggs, A. C., Buell, C. R., and Trail, F. (2016). Susceptibility of maize to stalk rot caused by *Fusarium* graminearum deoxynivalenol and zearalenone mutants. *Phytopathology* 106, 920–927. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-09-15-0199-R
- Sampietro, D. A., Ficoseco, M. E. A., Jimenez, C. M., Vattuone, M. A., and Catalán, C. A. (2012). Trichothecene genotypes and chemotypes in *Fusarium* graminearum complex strains isolated from maize fields of Northwest Argentina. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 153, 229–233. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2011.10.029
- Sarver, B. A. J., Ward, T. J., Gale, L. R., Broz, K., Kistler, H. C., Aoki, T., et al. (2011). Novel *Fusarium* head blight pathogens from Nepal and Louisiana revealed by multilocus genealogical concordance. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 48, 1096–1107. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2011.09.002
- Scauflaire, J., Gourgue, M., and Munaut, F. (2011). Fusarium temperatum sp. nov. from maize, an emergent species closely related to Fusarium subglutinans. Mycologia 103, 586–597. doi: 10.3852/10-135

- Shin, S., Son, J. H., Park, J. C., Kim, Y. H., Yoon, Y. M., Cheong, Y. K., et al. (2018). Comparative pathogenicity of *Fusarium graminearum* isolates from wheat kernels in Korea. *Plant Pathol. J.* 34, 347–355. doi: 10.5423/PPJ. OA.01.2018.0013
- Starkey, D. E., Ward, T. J., Takayuki, A., Gale, L. R. H., Corby, K., Geiser, D. M., et al. (2007). Global molecular surveillance reveals novel *Fusarium* head blight species and trichothecene toxin diversity. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 44, 1191–1204. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2007.03.001
- Sudhir, K., Glen, S., Michael, L., Christina, K., and Koichiro, T. (2018). Mega x: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547–1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Thomas, B., Audonneau, N. C., Machouart, M., and Debourgogne, A. (2019). Molecular identification of *Fusarium* species complexes: which gene and which database to choose in clinical practice? *J. Mycol. Med.* 29, 56–58. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.01.003
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- Walkowiak, S., Rowland, O., Rodrigue, N., and Subramaniam, R. (2016). Whole genome sequencing and comparative genomics of closely related *Fusarium* Head Blight fungi: *Fusarium graminearum*, *F. meridionale* and *F. asiaticum*. *BMC Genomics* 17:1014. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3371-1
- Wang, W., Wang, B., Sun, X., Qi, X., and Gong, G. (2021). Symptoms and pathogens diversity of corn *Fusarium* sheath rot in Sichuan province, China. *Sci. Rep.* 11:2835. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82463-2
- Ward, T. J., Bielawski, J. P., Kistler, H. C., Sullivan, E., and O'Donnell, K. (2002). Ancestral polymorphism and adaptive evolution in the trichothecene mycotoxin gene cluster of phytopathogenic *Fusarium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 99, 9278–9283. doi: 10.1073/pnas.142307199

- Xi, K., Haseeb, H. A., Shan, L., Guo, W., and Dai, X. (2019). First report of *Fusarium commune* causing stalk rot on maize in Liaoning Province, China. *Plant Dis.* 103:773. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-09-18-1674-PDN
- Zhang, Y., He, J., Jia, L., Yuan, L., Zhang, D., Guo, Y., et al. (2016). Cellular tracking and gene profiling of *Fusarium graminearum* during maize stalk rot disease development elucidates its strategies in confronting phosphorus limitation in the host apoplast. *PLoS Pathog.* 12:e1005485. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1005485
- Zhang, H., Van der Lee, T., Waalwijk, C., Chen, W., Xu, J., Xu, J., et al. (2012). Population analysis of the *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from wheat in China show a shift to more aggressive isolates. *PLoS One* 7:e31722. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031722

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Xi, Shan, Yang, Zhang, Zhang and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.