



Therapeutic Opportunities of Disrupting Genome Integrity in Adult Diffuse Glioma

Diana Aguilar-Morante ¹^(D), Daniel Gómez-Cabello ¹^(D), Hazel Quek ²^(D), Tianqing Liu ³^(D), Petra Hamerlik ⁴^(D) and Yi Chieh Lim ⁴,*

- ¹ Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, 41013 Sevilla, Spain; daguilar-ibis@us.es (D.A.-M.); dgcabello@us.es (D.G.-C.)
- ² QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia; hazel.quek@qimrberghofer.edu.au
- ³ NICM Health Research Institute, Westmead, NSW 2145, Australia; michelle.tianqing.liu@gmail.com
- ⁴ Danish Cancer Society, 2100 København, Denmark; pkn@cancer.dk
- * Correspondence: yilim@cancer.dk; Tel.: +45-35-257-413

Abstract: Adult diffuse glioma, particularly glioblastoma (GBM), is a devastating tumor of the central nervous system. The existential threat of this disease requires on-going treatment to counteract tumor progression. The present outcome is discouraging as most patients will succumb to this disease. The low cure rate is consistent with the failure of first-line therapy, radiation and temozolomide (TMZ). Even with their therapeutic mechanism of action to incur lethal DNA lesions, tumor growth remains undeterred. Delivering additional treatments only delays the inescapable development of therapeutic tolerance and disease recurrence. The urgency of establishing lifelong tumor control needs to be re-examined with a greater focus on eliminating resistance. Early genomic and transcriptome studies suggest each tumor subtype possesses a unique molecular network to safeguard genome integrity. Subsequent seminal work on post-therapy tumor progression sheds light on the involvement of DNA repair as the causative contributor for hypermutation and therapeutic failure. In this review, we will provide an overview of known molecular factors that influence the engagement of different DNA repair pathways, including targetable vulnerabilities, which can be exploited for clinical benefit with the use of specific inhibitors.

Keywords: glioma; DNA damage response; DNA repair; synthetic lethality; precision medicine; targeted therapy; CNS tumors; molecular markers; pharmacotherapeutics

1. Introduction

Therapeutic resistance is a known phenomenon that continues to be a formidable foe in the search for a curative treatment. Based on the observation of recurring tumors, early investigation supports the idea that tumors are initiated and maintained by a small population of cancer cells that share similar biological traits to normal adult stem cells. This led to the discovery of a distinct subset of CD133+ cells that endows malignant brain tumors with radioresistant properties [1,2]. Evidence of their constitutive DNA damage response (DDR) signaling has shown that these glioma-derived neural stem-like (GNS) cells are capable of recapitulating the entire tumor population single-handedly despite being under intense therapeutic insults, whilst the remaining cancer cell types are devoid of this ability [1,3]. From a clinical perspective, the characteristics of GNS cells are frequently observed in non-responsive patients who have received the Stupp protocol, a first-line treatment regime that utilizes both radiotherapy and TMZ to deliver fatal DNA double stand breaks (DSBs) [4,5]. Such modalities are inadequate to eliminate tumor cells and it is not uncommon to find a residual cell population as a source of recurrence.

Such attributes are largely influenced by genetic fitness, where malignant cancer cells comprise or acquire specific alteration in the genome that permits the rewiring of signaling



Citation: Aguilar-Morante, D.; Gómez-Cabello, D.; Quek, H.; Liu, T.; Hamerlik, P.; Lim, Y.C. Therapeutic Opportunities of Disrupting Genome Integrity in Adult Diffuse Glioma. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 332. https:// doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines 10020332

Academic Editor: Miguel Idoate

Received: 10 January 2022 Accepted: 28 January 2022 Published: 31 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). cascades to gain new modes of survival. In an attempt to unravel the relationship between driver mutations and resistance, large-scale molecular profiling by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) has taken up the role of defining the genomic landscape of malignant brain tumors [6]. Here, the seminal work shed light on three distinct subtypes; proneural, classical and mesenchymal [7]. Nearly all tumors with proneural subtype possess PDGFRA aberration and are associated with younger patients which have a high prevalence of *IDH1* mutation. For the classical subtype, amplification of EGFR and Chr.7 is correlated with the frequent loss of Chr.10 and CDKN2A. Conversely, the mesenchymal subtype has an angiogenic phenotype and is characterized by NF1 mutation with extensive upregulation of necrotic and inflammatory factors. Moreover, the neural subtype was also identified during the initial analysis but has been withdrawn due to the presence of non-tumorigenic cells. Among the different subtypes, tumors with the mesenchymal profile have the worst prognosis, whereas the proneural subtype shows a more favorable outcome. From these studies, it is evident that GBM subtypes are reliant on various independent signaling networks to permit tumor growth. One interesting observation is the broad shift in tumor heterogeneity. Current estimates indicate two-thirds of all diagnosed GBM undergoes subtype switching upon treatment [7,8]. For instance, mutant EGFR is lost upon recurrence, leading to the establishment of other tumor subtypes. Likewise for the transition from the proneural to mesenchymal subtype, PDGFRA deficient tumors are subjected to NF1 loss.

The shift in gene alteration is crucial for post-therapeutic survival. In this discovery, approximately 60 different mutations in various assorted efforts can contribute to the gain of malignancy in primary GBM. The same tumor at recurrence can acquire >500 different mutations in excess as a result of treatment intervention [9]. Most of these genetic variations do not exhibit discernible patterns. However, a genome-wide association study on indels formation provided insight into the correlation of mutational burden and poor patient outcome. This work extends on the current knowledge of exploiting DNA lesions as a therapeutic strategy to eradicate cancer cells. Recurring tumors with significant indels are highly competent in diminishing treatment response [9]. Retrospective assessment of pair-matched de novo and post-treated malignant tumors have uncovered that the genetic evolutionary trajectories are a prelude to clonal replacement; therapies ablate vulnerable cancer cells to positively select for resistant clones to proliferate. It is unlikely repeated radiation or TMZ treatment in recurring GBM will attain further progression of a freesurvival period. These combined studies suggest a high mutational burden is reflective of a scenario where recurrent tumors possess unique DNA repair systems resulting in fewer cancer cells being killed and reduced treatment efficacy. Modern radiotherapy techniques or DNA-damage inducing agents are inadequate to yield superior outcomes unless prohibition of specific DNA repair is carried out.

2. Implications of the DNA Damage Response Cascade

DNA lesion comes in various forms, including mismatch, chemical base modification, and single (SSB) and double strand break (DSB). Among the different lesions, SSB is the most frequently occurring and is characterized by the loss of individual nucleotides on one strand of the DNA. While SSBs are relatively harmless, they can be fatal during S-phase progression, causing replication fork collapse and subsequent strand breakages [10]. These DSBs lesions often originate from SSBs or exogenously from therapies (e.g., radiation) [11]. The effect of unresolved DNA breaks is catastrophic to genome integrity and has been implicated in numerous human disorders and cancers [12]. The ability with which tumor cells respond to DSBs determine their resistance (or sensitivity) to alkylating-based treatment. Hence, GBM is adept at engaging the DDR repertoire as a defensive mechanism to resolve any existing harm, including radiotherapy and TMZ (Figure 1) [13,14].

In the presence of DSBs, hierarchical recruitment of different DDR factors is initiated. The MRN (MRE11-RAD50-NBS1) complex first senses and tethers to the break site, bringing ATM along via protein interaction with NBS1. Tyrosine kinase C–Abl then activates Tip60 acetyltransferase, which methylates adjacent histone H3 lysine–9 (H3k9me3) to ensure an open–relaxed chromatin structure, which guarantees subsequent DDR factors access to the break site [15]. Co-localization of MRN and ATM permits the latter to phosphorylate adjacent histone H2AX molecules (γ H2AX), which in turn recruits MDC1 through its BRCT domain [16]. Interaction between these two molecules is generally accepted as the initial process of DDR where cascade signaling begins. Recruitment of MDC1 creates a positive feedback loop for phosphorylated ATM accumulations, which is required to disseminate the damage signal by further activating H2AX molecules that extend kilobases along the chromatin and away from the break site [17]. Meanwhile, MDC1 continues to recruit E3 ubiquitin (Ub)–protein ligase RNF8 which cooperates with E2 conjugating enzyme UBC13 to attach Ub molecules onto histone H1 [18,19]. The Ub chains act as a non–proteolytic platform to recruit 53BP1 and RAP80-complex, which is essential for the engagement of DNA DSB repair [20,21].



Figure 1. A simplified view of how GBM overcomes radiotherapy and TMZ treatment by utilizing the corresponding DNA repair pathways to resolve different DNA lesions.

Given that DNA lesions are resolved in a cell-cycle dependent manner, two downstream effector kinase proteins, namely CHK1 and CHK2, are essential for cell-cycle arrest. Their activation promptly degrades CDK complexes and stalls the cell-cycle for the timely removal of faulty lesions. For a rapid G1/S-phase arrest, the ATM–CHK2 axis initiates the ubiquitination of CDC25A, which prevents CDK2 and Cyclin–E interaction [22]. If DNA damage does occur during the later stage of G1, it is unlikely ATM–CHK2 activation can capture this lesion, thus allowing compromised cells to bypass the G1/S checkpoint entirely. S–phase is vital for the replication of DNA. Therefore, it is not surprising that multiple checkpoints are present to ensure adequate repair can take place. Here, DNA damage causes replication fork stall. The intra-S checkpoint will initiate either ATM-CHK2 activation or CHK1 phosphorylation through ATR, which in turn modulates the ubiquitination of CDC25A phosphatase to inhibit CDK2–Cyclin E/A. This process also prevents CDC45 from initializing the origin of replication. As the cell-cycle progress slows to a halt, DNA repair is activated to ensure the integrity of replication forks stall does not damage the genome. PARP presence at this stage also preferentially binds to the gaps of stalled-replication fork structure and assists in the recruitment of MRN complex and activates DDR signaling via ATM [23]. This process is a step–wise assembly and will require the recruitment of several mediators: MDC1, BRCA1 and FANCD2. Notably, there is an ongoing debate regarding the different DDR factors associated with S-phase. However, only one signaling pathway is well-described: ATM-MDC1-NBS1 phosphorylation of SMC1 which is essential for sister chromatid cohesion [24]. During early S-phase, active SMC1 prevents DNA synthesis to allow the repair of damaged DNA. As the cell-cycle progresses from S- to G2-phase, the CDK1–Cyclin B complex drives the final mitotic entry before cellular division. When dephosphorylated by CDC25A, WEE1 releases CDK1–Cyclin B complex, thereby allowing cells to enter mitosis [25]. In the event of DNA damage, ATM-CHK2 or ATM-ATR-CHK1 axis phosphorylates CDC25A, rendering CDK1–Cyclin B complex inactive to initiate arrest. On a caution note, checkpoint activation does not necessarily represent an absolute safe mechanism as compromised cells can continue to elute G2/M checkpoint.

When the appropriate cell-cycle arrest occurs, SSB and DSB repairs come into play where each process focuses on a specific class of DNA lesions (Figure 2). The primary focus of SSB repair is to prevent DSB formation prior to replication [26]. SSB repairs include base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER) and mismatch repair (MMR). BER mainly corrects for non-bulky lesions by removing the damaged base for subsequent nucleotide gap filling and ligation. NER on the other hand is exceptionally versatile in its ability to eliminate a wide range of structurally unrelated DNA lesions in a "cut and patch" approach. MMR has a similar process as NER except this pathway replaces singlenucleotide mismatches, which have escaped proofreading. This includes the resolution of insertion-deletion bases (indels), which often occurs when the replication complex moves across repetitive sequences (microsatellites). The complexity of such DNA damage (aka DSB) is fatal, and would require a high functioning redundancy system in the event that the participating repair pathway is compromised. Non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR) are the two major repairs used for handling DSB. Micro-mediated end joining (MMEJ) and single-strand annealing (SSA) are subsidiary pathways that are also capable of resolving DSBs, but at the expense of introducing genome rearrangements. The repair of DSBs is dependent on whether DNA end resection occurs and is regulated by the cell-cycle process. When resection is blocked at G1, NHEJ is triggered by DNA-PKcs to restore genome integrity via blunt-end ligation and is essential for suppressing chromosomal translocations. Extensive end resection is stimulated during S- to G2-phase in a manner that activates MRN complex, CtIP, BRCA1 or BLM [27,28]. This allows HR, MMEJ and SSA to contest for resolving DSBs. HR is dependent on an intact homologous sister chromatid, so that RAD51 can initiate strand invasion and template copying. Conversely, SSA relies on RAD52-ssDNA complex to mediate repair in regions of the genome that have long repeat sequences [29]. Moreover, Pol θ engages MMEJ to anneal and ligate the flanking micro-homologous sequences at the break site [30].



Figure 2. A summarized layout of the DNA repair system in combating different DNA lesions.

Notably, each of the four pathways leads to a different genetic outcome that can be manipulated by oncogenic factors to establish preferential repair. For instance, GBM patients that carry the *EGFRvIII* mutation can mediate radioresistance by hyperactivating DNA-PKcs to increase NHEJ activity [5]. Conversely, GBM with *MYC* mutation also drives the interaction between CDK18 and ATR to promote a more conducive cell-cycle environment, wherein enhanced HR can render DSBs ineffective [31]. Even fusion oncogene such as *BCR-ABL* has a positive stimulation on CtIP to augment SSA repair [32]. A reasonable postulation is that malignant progression is dependent on oncogenes to mount a strong proliferative index for tumor growth, which has an indirect consequence in mutational burden (replication stress) [33]. Hence, tumor cells required a greater dependency for DDR cascade to ensure the genome is largely intact during cell division [34].

3. Therapeutics against the DNA Damage Response

DDR acts in concert to protect the genome from detrimental harm. Under normal circumstances, the recruitment of various repair enzymes occurs in a DNA lesion-specific manner, yet alteration of the same process permits tumor cells to have a more aggressive stance. In the treatment of such cancers, chemical inhibition that targets the enzymatic activity or interaction of DNA repair proteins can be detrimental. The rationale of exploiting "pathway addiction" is to convert endogenous damage to fatal DNA lesions so that selective killing can be established. The benefit of utilizing this strategy also reduces collateral toxic accumulation of radiation and DNA-damaging chemotherapies to normal tissues.

The aspect of the tumor's DDR is different because the majority has lost one or more associated pathways, leading to a higher dependency on the remaining pathway/s. They are susceptible to specific DDR inhibition (Table 1, top) as a result of a compromised signaling cascade that is essential for recruiting different downstream factors to counteract the presence of DNA lesions. Conversely, normal cells, which remain to have a full DDR

capacity, are not vulnerable to DDR targeting due to pathway redundancy. At the apex of DDR signaling, MRN, KU70/80, RPA, SIRT6 and PARP1 are sensors that recognize various forms of DNA damage. Over the years, small molecular inhibitors have been developed for each respective DDR sensor with reasonable success. For instance, MYCN is a known oncogene driver in GBM, which promotes replication stress and is reliant on the MRN complex during S-phase cell-cycle to detect and initiate HR repair [35,36]. MIRIN inhibitor is developed to destabilize the MRN complex by targeting the 3'->5' exonuclease activity of MRE11, which inexplicitly causes strand breakages in MYCN-driven tumor cells [36]. A similar principle could also be extended to the inhibition of SIRT6 in BRCA1/2 deficient tumor, resulting in post-replication DSBs [37].

DNA Damage Response Inhibitors					
Signaling Pathway		Therapeutic Agent	Molecular Target	Ref	
	MRN	Mirin	MRE11	[36]	
Sensor	KU70/80	STL127705	KU70/80 and DNA-PK	[38]	
	PARP1	Niraparib PARP1 and 2		[39]	
	SIRT6	SIRT6-IN-1	SIRT6,1 and 2	[40]	
	ATM	KU-55933	ATM	[41]	
Transducers	ATR	VX-970	ATR	[42]	
	DNA-PK	KU-0060648	DNA-PK and PI3K	[43]	
Effectors	CHK1	UCN-01	CHK1	[44]	
	CHK2	CCT241533	CHK2	[45]	
]	DNA Repair Inhibitors	5		
Signaling Pathway		Therapeutic Agent	Molecular Target	Ref	
Double strand break repair	NHEJ	NU7026	DNA-PK	[46]	
	MMEJ	ART558	Pol θ	[47]	
	HR	B02	RAD51	[48]	
	SSA	D-I03	RAD52	[49]	
	FA	CU2	FANCL	[50]	

Table 1. Current available inhibitors of DNA damage response and DNA repair [36,38–50].

In addition to DNA sensors, downstream cascade (transducers and effectors) also carries significant importance in amplifying damage signals and coordinating the appropriate response for transcriptional activation, checkpoint arrest and DNA repair. This series of events are first initiated by transducer proteins, DNA-PK, ATM and ATR. For DNA-PK, recruitment to the damage site promotes the inward sliding of KU70/80 to allow NHEJ core proteins to process (if required) and re-ligate the ends of the DNA. The versatility of this repair machinery in restoring different DSBs is a valuable target for cancer therapy. Early inhibitors such as Quercetin and LY294002 were promising, with the subsequent development of NU7427 and NU7441. Both inhibitors are potent at the nanomolar concentration. A surprising advancement is the dual DNA-PK/PI3K inhibitor, KU-0060648 with approximately a 500-fold increase in efficacy. Importantly, targeting DNA-PKcs is effective in MMR-deficient tumors, and is often identified in recurrent GBM with acquired TMZ resistance [43,51]. ATM and ATR, on the other hand, play a more diverse role in amplifying the damage signal and are not confined to activating a single DNA repair process. The former is present in all cell-cycle phases, while the latter is only active during DNA replication. KU-55933 was the first specific ATM inhibitor being developed. Subsequent improvement includes KU-60019, CP46722 and KU-59403, but none of these inhibitors are able to penetrate the blood-brain barrier (BBB) except for AstraZeneca's experimental

AZ32 compound, which was further optimized in becoming the first-in-class oral ATM inhibitor, AZD1390 [52]. In the context of therapeutic targeting, inhibition of ATM activity in PTEN-deficient tumors with an already diminished HR can prevent repair compensation, resulting in unresolved DSBs [53]. This is a highly effective strategy as *PTEN* deficiency is frequently associated with GBM development [54]. ATR is another promising target for cancer therapy. In the early years, developing ATR inhibitor was challenging. The first specific compound, VE-821, came to fruition in 2011 [55,56], followed by VX-970, an updated compound for trial evaluation [42]. Treatment with this inhibitor is context dependent, where synergistic efficacy is achieved through tumors with *P53* deficiency [56]. GBM patients with the loss of *P53* are likely to benefit from this treatment strategy.

As DDR signaling converges downstream to a more specific role, effector proteins are required to act as intermediaries. One notable example is the presence of DSBs in activating checkpoints arrest to facilitate DNA repair. The delay in cell-cycle progress allows the timely removal of lesions prior to replication or division. Depending on the nature of the injury and cell-cycle phase in which the lesions are encountered, extensive arrest could be initiated at G1/S, intra-S-phase or G2/M transition, all of which are governed by CHK1 or CHK2. Checkpoints failure is therapeutically effective at circumventing the engagement of DNA repair. Hence, independent CHK1 (UCN-01), CHK2 (CCT241533) or dual CHK1/2 (AZD7762) inhibitors have been extensively tested in GBM with promising outcome [44,45,57]. Targeting the DNA repair machinery, which is responsible for correcting damage to the DNA molecules, is also a viable option (Table 1, bottom). This approach is most effective when tumor cells are solely dependent on a specific repair pathway for survival, and normal cells can escape damage via pathway redundancy.

4. Alternate Strategy in Achieving Therapeutic Susceptibility

Since the completion of the Human Genome Project, advances in sequencing technology have enabled rapid discoveries on genetically targeted cancer therapies. Imatinib [58], Encorafenib [59] and Osimertinib [60] are among the few agents that have been successfully developed to target their corresponding pathways; BCR–ABL gene fusion, BRAF V600E mutation and EGFRvIII variant. While these inhibitors are effective in prolonging survival, not all GBM patients have targetable gain-of-function mutations.

From an alternate standpoint, leverage against non-oncogenic genes is an interesting concept that, when disrupted in conjunction with a tumor-specific mutation, can lead to a meaningful response. Termed as synthetic lethal interaction, it is first discovered in Drosophila, in which the occurrence of a single gene mutation is tolerable for survival, but the co-occurrence loss of an additional gene leads to non-viable offspring. The same genetic interaction has been extended to human studies by determining inactive mutated genes in a given tumor and targeting their respective gene partner. The best-studied trial for targeted cancer therapies that exploits this principle is the use of poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor against tumor with breast cancer gene 1 (BRCA1) or 2 (BRCA2) mutations [61]. In this sequence of events, *BRCA1/2* plays a vital role in HR repair which is essential for genome protection. Loss of PARP promotes replication fork stalling and is dependent on BRCA-dependent HR repair for recovery. BRCA-deficient tumors subjected to PARP inhibition promote selective killing but spare normal cells with functional BRCA1/2. Success of this outcome has spawned numerous attempts to identify new interacting partners with synthetic lethal properties (Table 2). The main obstacle, however, lies in GBM heterogeneity, which may require the disruption of two or more oncogenes to produce a lethal effect because of the different genetic background in individual tumor cells. Acquired mutation including post-therapy gene reversion, also present similar repercussion of suppressing synthetic lethality. In the former, somatic mutation of 53BP1 in BRCA-deficient tumor [62] has been shown to promote resistance against PARP inhibitor, whereas the latter undergoes further genetic alteration to regain *BRCA1/2* wild-type function [63].

Despite these setbacks, the strategy on synthetic lethality can be extended to target the two major traits of tumor cells: replication stress and metabolic rewiring. In secondary GBM, *IDH* mutation is associated with the frequent loss of *CDKN2A*, which permits the entry of damaged DNA into S-phase. While this process encourages the formation of driver mutations during replication, it also creates a therapeutic window to target nucleotide production. Without the basic building blocks for genome restoration, IDH-mutant GBM cells are susceptible to DNA damaged-induced cell death. Similar synthetic lethality strategies against replication stress include targeting AMBRA1-deficient tumors with CHK1 inhibitor [64] or POLD1-deficient tumors that receive ATR inhibitor [65]; both approaches are designed to compromise the genome with excessive strand breakages and without the means to engage DNA repair.

Biological Process	Molecular Target	Therapeutic Inhibitor	Deficient Gene	Ref
DDR activation	ATR	VE-821	POLD1	[65]
DNA repair	PARP1	Niraparib	BRCA1, BRCA2	[61]
DNA repair	Pol θ	ART558	BRCA1, BRCA2, FANCD2	[47]
DNA repair	ATM	KU55933	BRCA1	[66]
DNA repair	DNA-PK	NU7441	BRCA1	[67]
DNA repair	FEN1	FEN1-IN-3	BRCA2	[68]
DNA sensor/repair	PARP1	Niraparib	IDH1, IDH2	[69]
DNA sensor/repair	PARP1	Talazoparib	RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B	[70]
Checkpoint arrest	CHK1	UCN-01	AMBRA1	[64]
Cell-cycle progression	SKP2	SKPinC1	RB1	[71]
Cell-cycle progression	WEE1	AZD1775	ATRX	[72]

Table 2. Interactive gene-pairs for synthetic lethality targeting [47,61,64–72].

Metabolic alteration is another leading cancer hallmark that favors glycolysis to satisfy the biosynthetic demands for tumor growth. A prominent example is the IDH mutation in GBM that drives 2-hydroxylglutarate (2-HG) production for tumorigenesis. Elevation of this metabolic enzyme impacts chromatin formation by inhibiting KDM4B and inadvertently hypermethylates H3K9 to compact the genome. When DNA breaks occur within these heterochromatin regions, DDR factors are unable to access the damage sites and perform genome restoration, leaving tumor cells highly sensitive to alkylating-based treatments [73]. Targeting the redox balance is also an exceptional strategy. As GBM outgrow their blood supply and become hypoxic, their redox homeostasis is compromised by the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). When left unchecked, it is deleterious to the genome. At the cellular level, oncogenes such as RAS, RAC1, STAT3, BCL-2 and MYC are responsible for this elevation of ROS, and it is well-documented that GBM are dependent on glutathione to ensure excessive ROS is kept within tolerable limit. By disrupting the redox balance, tumor cells are vulnerable to either the increase in oxidative damage [74] or lack of antioxidant [75]. In a recent investigation, rocaglamide A (RocA) has been identified as a natural compound with specificity against prohibitin (PHB) that regulates ROS production. Targeting this protein overwhelms GBM with unresolved ROS-induced DNA damage [76]. Likewise, GBM with MMR deficiency can give rise to the formation of 8-oxoG via ROS [77]. Early evidence suggests this is related to the acquisition of TMZ resistance during standardof-care [51,78,79]. Selective killing can be exploited in MMR-deficient tumors via DNA polymerase B or G inhibition [80]. As hypoxic GBM also require antioxidant such as glutathione to manage ROS, targeting this protein can disrupt the redox balance to achieve synthetic lethal interaction [81].

5. Mutational Signature in Predicting DNA Damage Response

Prospective therapeutic interventions of DDR are in support of a more dynamic approach, using the imprint of genes (or "signature") to unveil vulnerable sites within biological processes, instead of employing classic radiologic and histological analysis. During the progress of tumor malignancy, exposure to exogenous and/or endogenous reactive agents allow individual cancer cells to accumulate somatic mutations. While these alterations have been previously ignored as non-oncogenic drivers, it is clear that passenger mutations and structural events represent underlying defects in the DNA repair machinery. These counteracting processes are jointly shaping genomic changes and are best exemplified by the interplay of nucleotide misincorporation between DNA polymerases and MMR pathway. When the fidelity of DNA synthesis is compromised, post-replicative MMR mediates damage removal by excision to provide subsequent opportunity of an error-free synthesis prior to cell division. In the event of a malfunction, MMR deficiency brings about the full spectrum of replication errors which can be annotated by the high prevalence of transversion, an interchange of purine to pyrimidine bases. The pattern of mutations bears clinical value as predictors in therapeutic targeting and can be broadly categorized using base substitution or indel to determine DDR dysregulation.

In base substitution, there are six different nucleotide modifications ($C \cdot G \rightarrow A \cdot T/G \cdot C/T \cdot A$ or T·A \rightarrow A·T/C·G/G·C), which consist of 16 additional sequence changes for each neighboring base (A, C, G or T at the 5' and 3' end), thus giving rise to a theoretical 96 different trinucleotides combination in mutational patterns. The COSMIC platform houses a reference catalogue of all curated mutational signatures across different cancer types, including GBM [82]. Here, it is revealed that IDH-mutant tumors harbor a much higher frequency in mutational signature 3 (HR repair) and 15 (MMR) when compared to IDH-wildtype tumors [8]. GBM patients with IDH-mutation are indicative to benefit from alkylating therapy with specificity against S-phase cell-cycle where HR and MMR are the most active. The lack of repair during replication will exacerbate strand breakages resulting in DNA damage-induced cell death. Indels signature is another alternate analysis that is defined by the incorporation or loss of DNA fragments (<50 bp). It is found at one tenth the frequency and lacks the precise mutational coordination of base substitutions. Hence, short indels have been classified on the simple basis of deletion/insertion at the C or T base, while longer indels are defined as repeats with/without overlapping microhomology at deleted boundaries. This simplistic designation formed the premise of the 83 indel signatures, where most are associated with the alteration of proofreading polymerases, replication slippage, unwinding of double-stranded DNA and repair [45]. Importantly, when interrogating GBM with prior radiotherapy treatment, the poor survival outcome was linked to the increased burden of deleted DNA fragments. Investigation has implicated a specific enrichment for indel signature 8 (NHEJ repair) in both IDH-wildtype and IDH-mutant GBM [9]. With this newly acquired knowledge, it remains to be determined if mutational signatures can be categorically employed for therapeutic assessment.

6. Targeting DNA Damage Response from Preclinical Models to Clinical Trials

Although traditional chemotherapeutics are imperative for first-line treatment, there has been a growing interest in targeted approaches. Driven by omics advancement, the premise of precision oncology is to utilize therapeutics to address patient-to-patient tumor heterogeneity. Personalized therapeutics offer a compelling advantage over conventional one-size-fits-all treatment. Furthermore, challenges exist in areas of high attrition rates for drug development. These shortcomings can be mitigated by the use of genome editing (CRISPR, TALENs, ZFNs or homing endonucleases), or the RNA targeting (siRNA, ShRNA) toolkit, to understand functional vulnerabilities. Inhibitors based on these targetable genes can subsequently be developed. Combined with the use of preclinical models to evaluate drug safety profiles, the overall strategy will allow a more accurate prediction of success in clinical trials.

For instance, patient-derived xenograft (PDX) has been routinely adopted to evaluate patient-specific drug response, as it retains the genetic, transcriptional and histological features of the parental tumor. In this context, transplanting the biopsied specimen subcutaneously allows direct monitoring of tumor growth. Importantly, subcutaneous xenograft favors vasculature studies due to the availability of blood vessels while allowing different modes of drug administration; intratumoral, intraperitoneal and intravenous. The main drawback is the lack of BBB to observe therapeutic permeability. Intracranial PDX, on the other hand, is suited to examine tumor response in its native microenvironment but risks genetic drifting due to the need for cultivating biopsy tissue in vitro prior to implantation. Moreover, engraftment is slow, and lacks quantitative measures for malignant growth. Animal symptoms are the only reference for tumor progression. Despite its disadvantages, orthotopic xenograft closely mirrors cancer progression in humans. A third intraocular tumor model with the merits of both subcutaneous and intracranial approaches has also been proposed as an alternative means to evaluate therapeutic efficacy [83].

Regardless of the models used, PDXs are essential in providing accurate estimates of a compound's pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics for allometric scaling in human studies. In phase-I trial, patients are usually enrolled instead of healthy subjects because of the risk-to-benefit factor. which favors the former due to the exhaustion of existing therapeutics, and drug assessment that involves higher cytotoxic compounds. This is relevant to DDR inhibitors, as they rely on RT and/or other alkylating agents to achieve synergism, which can lead to overlapping toxicity. One strategy for developing these inhibitors for phase-I study is to determine their BBB penetrance, followed by efforts to adjust dose intervals to limit cumulative side effects. Additional diagnostic work-up on radiographic imaging should be included for real-time assessment to better differentiate between tumor progression and/or observe unforeseen neurotoxicity by white matter changes. So far, only a handful of DDR inhibitors have entered early trials but none has progressed to phase-III (Table 3). The challenge is in the collection of pharmacodynamic data of tumor biopsies of end-stage patients. Pre-existing resistance mechanisms acquired in first-line treatment can conceal the activity of new therapeutics including the development of reliable biomarkers. These fundamental issues can be resolved by evaluating compounds in naïve tumor settings. As such, the introduction of a window-of-opportunity study or phase-0 trial is advantageous because it is positioned between preclinical and phase-I stages. Phase-0 trial allows the administration of sub-therapeutic micro-dosing of novel compounds in untreated patients prior to surgical resection, where biopsied specimens are subsequently obtained for pharmacodynamic analysis which lacks the interference of other therapies [84]. As phase-0 can expedite drug development and potentially saving 2–2.5 years in comparison with traditional approaches, including the benefit of phase-I integration, there is an increasing demand for such trial practices and it has been observed in the analysis of DDR inhibitors: Nedisertib (DNA-PK) and Adavosertib (WEE1) for GBM patients.

Molecular Target	Inhibitor	Combination Treatment	Disease Setting	Predictive Biomarker	Clinical Phase	Clinical Trial Number
PARP1/2	Niraparib	Monotherapy	Primary GBM, recurrent GBM	IDH mut, ATRX loss	Ι	NCT05076513
DNA-PK	Nedisertib	RT, TMZ	GBM, Gliosarcoma	Unmethylated MGMT	Ι	NCT04555577
ATM	AZD1390	RT	GBM	-	Ι	NCT03423628
WEE1	Adavosertib	RT, TMZ	Primary GBM, recurrent GBM	-	Ι	NCT01849146
CDK4/6	Abemaciclib	Monotherapy	Recurrent GBM, Gliosarcoma	RB	Π	NCT01227434

Table 3. Clinical trials that use small molecule inhibitor to target the DNA damage response cascade.

7. Conclusions

Great strides have been made in targeting DDR with newer and more potent inhibitors. The approval of PARP inhibitor represents the first DDR breakthrough for patients with *BRCA1/2* mutation. While radiotherapy and TMZ have proven to be the most effective treatment for GBM to date, there are also clear signs of progressive resistance, with accompanying treatment failure. The use of DDR inhibitors is becoming apparent as the next viable strategy for cancer therapy, both to eliminate DNA repair pathways that are responsible for counteracting treatment efficacy, and to exploit defects within the DDR cascade, which renders tumor cell dependency to a limited choice of repair pathway/s for survival. The latter is clinically attractive because of its advantage to promote selective killing while minimizing genotoxic build-up in normal cells. Additionally, DDR defects, as a result of genetic aberrations, bear prognostic values as biomarkers for targeted therapy. Apart from deciphering druggable targets, preclinical animal models are important translational tools for modern clinical trial designs in guiding patient selection, drug scheduling, and treatment response. There is no doubt that a multitude of opportunities still exist within the DDR network waiting to be exploited for GBM treatment (Figure 3).



Figure 3. An overall view for the therapeutic discovery of DNA damage response and DNA repair inhibitors.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.C.L.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.C.L., D.A.-M. and H.Q.; writing—review and editing, Y.C.L., H.Q., D.G.-C. and T.L.; visualization, D.G.-C.; supervision, Y.C.L. and P.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

AMBRA1Autophagy and Beclin 1 Regulator 1ATMAtaxia-Telangiectasia MutatedATRAtaxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-relatedBBBBlood-Brain BarrierBCL-2B-cell Lymphoma 2BCR-BLBreakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1BERBase Excision RepairBRAFBreast Cancer 1BRCA1Breast Cancer 2BRCA2Breast Cancer 2CD25Cell Division Cycle 25CD225Cell Division Cycle 25ACDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK3Checkpoint Kinase 18DDRDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRKIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Comglementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH389me3Histone 13ysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitate DehydrogenaseDMA4Nignegen Breakage Syndrome 1NFINon-Homology-mediated End JoiningMMEIMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMKMismath RepairMRNMistone 139-NB31 pr	53BP1	p53-Binding Protein 1
ATMAtaxia-Telangiectasia MutatedATRAtaxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-relatedBBBBlood-Brain BarrierBCL-2B-cell Lymphoma 2BCR-ABLBreakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1BRABase Excision RepairBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25CDC4Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK1ACyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK1ACyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK1ACheckpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1DDRDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIscortarte DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone 1 sysine P trimethylationH3KNulecetide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurolibromin 1NH51Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurolibromin 1<	AMBRA1	Autophagy and Beclin 1 Regulator 1
ATRAtxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-relatedBBBBlood-Brain BarrierBCL-2Becell Lymphoma 2BCR-ABLBeakepoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1BERBase Excision RepairBRATB-Raf proto-oncogeneBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC24Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK24Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK14Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRMIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fancoin Anenia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2XH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3KemNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEMistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 48NESTNijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNor-Homologous End Joining	ATM	Ataxia-Telangiectasia Mutated
BBBBlood-Brain ĎarrierBCL-2B-cell Lymphoma 2BCR-ABLBreakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1BRBase Excision RepairBRAFB-Raf proto-oncogeneBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 1BRCA3Breast Cancer 1BRCA4Breast Cancer 1BRCA5Breast Cancer 1BRCA6CA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 2CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKC5DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRWIFEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH38/m63Histone J sysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNESINujinegen Breakage Syndrome 1NFINeurofibromin 1NHEJMorohonology-mediated End JoiningMMEIMicrohomology-mediated End Joining </td <td>ATR</td> <td>Ataxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-related</td>	ATR	Ataxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-related
BCL-2B-cell Lymphoma 2BCR-ABLBreakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1BERBase Excision RepairBRAFB-Raf proto-oncogeneBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC24Cyclin Dependent Kinase 2CDC45Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK128Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK14Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Jamage ResponseDNA-PKc5DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH38/me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone 1 sysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NFE1Neurofibromin 1NHEJMicrohomologo-mediated End JoiningMMRMistone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationMMRMistone 4 lysel So trime and encogene member N of the MYC familyP3Tumour	BBB	Blood-Brain Barrier
BCR-ABL Breakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1 BER Base Excision Repair BRAF B-Raf proto-oncogene BRCA1 Breast Cancer 1 BRCA2 Breast Cancer 2 BRCA1 BRCA1 C-terminal domain C-Abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant c CDC25 Cell Division Cycle 25 CDK12 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 2 CDK14 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18 CDKN2A Cyclin Dependent Kinase 10 CHK1 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 2 DDR DNA -Damage Response DNA -PKcs DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunit DSB Double Strand Break EGFR Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor EGFRVIII Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant III FACXD1 Faconi Anemia Complementation Group D2 GNS Glioma-derived neural stem-like H2AX H2A Histone family member X HR Homologous Recombination H3&9m3 Histone Jysine 9 trimethylase subfamily	BCL-2	B-cell Lymphoma 2
BERBase Excision RepairBRAFB-Raf proto-oncogeneBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 12CDK12Cyclin Dependent Kinase 13CDK14Checkpoint Kinase 12CDK15Checkpoint Kinase 12CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRKIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anenia Complementation Group D2CNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH38/me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Jsine Demethylase subfamily 4BNEERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMissital related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogenePOFRAPlatelet	BCR-ABL	Breakpoint cluster region—Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1
BRAFB-Raf proto-oncogeneBRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25ACDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-FKCsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3&me3Histone Jysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNB1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMKMMEE11-RAD50-NB51 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytoma	BER	Base Excision Renair
BRCA1Breast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25ACDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK24Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1DRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor ariant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Usyine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJMicrohomologoy-mediated End JoiningMMKME21-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelo	BRAF	B-Raf proto-oncogene
BRCA1Dreast Cancer 1BRCA2Breast Cancer 2BRCTBRCA1 C-terminal domainC-AblAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25CDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK10Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRvIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone Jysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNES1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NFINon-Homologous End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMMRMismatch RepairMMRMismatch RepairMMRMismatch RepairMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP3Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPMCMMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene <t< td=""><td>BRCA1</td><td>Breast Cancer 1</td></t<>	BRCA1	Breast Cancer 1
BRCT BRCAT C-terminal domain C-Abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant c CDC25 Cell Division Cycle 25 CDC25 Cell Division Cycle 25A CDK2 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1 CDK18 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18 CDK14 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK1 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 2 DDR DNA Damage Response DNA-PKcs DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunit DSB Double Strand Break EGFR Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor EGFRVIII Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant III FANCD2 Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2 GNS Glioma-derived neural stem-like H2AX H2A Histone family member X HR Homologous Recombination H3&bme3 Histone Jysine 9 trimethylation IDH Isocitrate Dehydrogenase KDM4B Histone Jusine 9 trimethylation NDF1 Nel	BRCA2	Breast Cancer 2
DiscriptionDiscriptionC-AbiAbelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1, variant cCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25ACDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKc5DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3&9me3Histone J sysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMMRMismatch RepairMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RADSO-NBS1 protein complexMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP3Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelet Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase 0PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog<	BRCT	BRC A1 C-terminal domain
ChoiInterstant retrieve that with one of performanceCDC25Cell Division Cycle 25CDC25ACell Division Cycle 25ACDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-FKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone Jusine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJMon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMgEll-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene <tr< td=""><td>C-Abl</td><td>Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1. variant c</td></tr<>	C-Abl	Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1. variant c
CDC25 Cell Division Cycle 25 CDC25 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 2 CDK18 Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18 CDKN2A Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18 CDKN2A Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1 CHK1 Checkpoint Kinase 1 CHK2 Checkpoint Kinase 2 DDR DNA Damage Response DNA-PKcs DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunit DSB Double Strand Break EGFR Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant III FANCD2 Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2 GNS Glioma-derived neural stem-like H2AX H2A Histone family member X HR Homologous Recombination H3k9me3 Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylation IDH Isocitrate Dehydrogenase KDM4B Histone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4B NER Nucleotide Excision Repair NFI Neurofibromin 1 NHEJ Non-Homologous End Joining MDC1 Mediator of DNA Damage Checkpoint MME Mismatch Repair MRN MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complex MYC Myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC family P53 Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDa PARP Poly (ADP-ribose) Polymerase PDGFRA Platelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor Alpha PDX Patient-Derived Xenografts POLD1 DNA Polymerase θ PTEN Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog RAC1 RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1 RAD51 Niprese Delta 1 POL Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog RAC1 RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1 RAD51 DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	CDC25	Cell Division Cycle 25
CDEARCircle In Prime Of Que DragCDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 1CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH39me3Histone 1 ysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNB51Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related on	CDC25A	Cell Division Cycle 254
CDK2Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18CDK18Cyclin Dependent Kinase 118CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 111CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDDRDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNB51Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMKMiscrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMisenatch RepairMKNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatele Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDSFRPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDSFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor	CDK2	Cyclin Dependent Kingge 2
CDKN3Cyclin Dependent Kinase 15CDKN2ACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFR/IIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone Jysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NFINeurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMsmatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePOCFRAPlatelt Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenografitsPOLD1DNA Polymerase 0PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repai	CDK2	Cyclin Dependent Kinase 2
CDKNZACyclin Dependent Kinase 1CHK1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKc5DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Jusine Penethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NB51 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelt Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLDDNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	CDK10	Cyclin Dependent Kinase 18
CHR1Checkpoint Kinase 1CHK2Checkpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitD5BDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIEGFR/IIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone J lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDSPatesta BeristPDIDNA Polymerase 0PDIDNA Polymerase 0PDIPA Poly ADP-ribose) Polymerase 1Pol θDNA Polymerase 0PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair	CUKNZA	Charling in Lines 1
CHAZCheckpoint Kinase 2DDRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelt Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase 0PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	CHKI	
DJRDNA Damage ResponseDNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFR/IIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMFJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	CHK2	Checkpoint Kinase 2
DNA-PKcsDNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunitDSBDouble Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEIMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogenePDARPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelet Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase 0 PDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase 0 PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	DDK	DNA Damage Response
Double Strand BreakEGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogenePDGFRAPlatelt Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived CongraftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	DNA-PKcs	DNA-dependent Protein Kinase catalytic subunit
EGFREpidermal Growth Factor ReceptorEGFREpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	DSB	Double Strand Break
EGRVIIIEpidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant IIIFANCD2Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	EGFR	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
FANCD2Fancon Anemia Complementation Group D2GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	EGFRVIII	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor variant III
GNSGlioma-derived neural stem-likeH2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogenePDAPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog	FANCD2	Fanconi Anemia Complementation Group D2
H2AXH2A Histone family member XHRHomologous RecombinationHRHomologous RecombinationHRHistone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	GNS	Glioma-derived neural stem-like
HRHomologous RecombinationH3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	H2AX	H2A Histone family member X
H3k9me3Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylationIDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogenePARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	HR	Homologous Recombination
IDHIsocitrate DehydrogenaseKDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NB51 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	H3k9me3	Histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylation
KDM4BHistone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4BNERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDCFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	IDH	Isocitrate Dehydrogenase
NERNucleotide Excision RepairNBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	KDM4B	Histone Lysine Demethylase subfamily 4B
NBS1Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θ PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	NER	Nucleotide Excision Repair
NF1Neurofibromin 1NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	NBS1	Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome 1
NHEJNon-Homologous End JoiningMDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	NF1	Neurofibromin 1
MDC1Mediator of DNA Damage CheckpointMMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	NHEJ	Non-Homologous End Joining
MMEJMicrohomology-mediated End JoiningMMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MDC1	Mediator of DNA Damage Checkpoint
MMRMismatch RepairMRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MMEJ	Microhomology-mediated End Joining
MRNMRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complexMYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MMR	Mismatch Repair
MYCMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneMYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MRN	MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 protein complex
MYCNMyelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC familyP53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MYC	Myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene
P53Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDaPARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	MYCN	Myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene member N of the MYC family
PARPPoly (ADP-ribose) PolymerasePDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	P53	Tumour Suppressor Protein 53-kDa
PDGFRAPlatelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor AlphaPDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	PARP	Poly (ADP-ribose) Polymerase
PDXPatient-Derived XenograftsPOLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	PDGFRA	Platelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor Alpha
POLD1DNA Polymerase Delta 1Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	PDX	Patient-Derived Xenografts
Pol θDNA Polymerase θPTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	POLD1	DNA Polymerase Delta 1
PTENPhosphatase and Tensin HomologRAC1RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1RAD51DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	Pol θ	DNA Polymerase θ
 RAC1 RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1 RAD51 DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog RAD52 DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog 	PTEN	Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog
RAD51 DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homologRAD52 DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	RAC1	RAS related C3 botulinum Toxin Substrate 1
RAD52 DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog	RAD51	DNA Repair Protein RAD51 homolog
••	RAD52	DNA Repair Protein RAD52 homolog

RAP80	Receptor-Associated Protein 80-kDa
RAS	Rat Sarcoma Virus, small GTPase family
RNF8	Ring Finger Protein 8
SIRT6	Sirtuin 6
SMC1	Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes 1
SSA	Single Strand Annealing
SSB	Single Strand Break
STAT3	Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3
Tip60	Tat-Interactive Protein 60-kDa
TMZ	Temozolomide
WEE1	Wee1-like protein kinase

References

- Bao, S.; Wu, Q.; McLendon, R.E.; Hao, Y.; Shi, Q.; Hjelmeland, A.B.; Dewhirst, M.W.; Bigner, D.D.; Rich, J.N. Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. *Nature* 2006, 444, 756–760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Singh, S.K.; Clarke, I.D.; Terasaki, M.; Bonn, V.E.; Hawkins, C.; Squire, J.; Dirks, P.B. Identification of a cancer stem cell in human brain tumors. *Cancer Res.* 2003, *63*, 5821–5828. [PubMed]
- Lan, X.; Jörg, D.J.; Cavalli, F.M.; Richards, L.M.; Nguyen, L.V.; Vanner, R.J.; Guilhamon, P.; Lee, L.; Kushida, M.M.; Pellacani, D.; et al. Fate mapping of human glioblastoma reveals an invariant stem cell hierarchy. *Nature* 2017, 549, 227–232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lim, Y.C.; Roberts, T.L.; Day, B.W.; Stringer, B.W.; Kozlov, S.; Fazry, S.; Bruce, Z.C.; Ensbey, K.S.; Walker, D.G.; Boyd, A.W.; et al. Increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation by targeting the homologous recombination pathway in glioma initiating cells. *Mol. Oncol.* 2014, *8*, 1603–1615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mukherjee, B.; McEllin, B.; Camacho, C.; Tomimatsu, N.; Sirasanagandala, S.; Nannepaga, S.; Hatanpaa, K.J.; Mickey, B.; Madden, C.; Maher, E.; et al. EGFRvIII and DNA Double-Strand Break Repair: A Molecular Mechanism for Radioresistance in Glioblastoma. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 4252–4259. [CrossRef]
- Verhaak, R.G.W.; Hoadley, K.A.; Purdom, E.; Wang, V.; Qi, Y.; Wilkerson, M.D.; Miller, C.R.; Ding, L.; Golub, T.; Mesirov, J.P.; et al. Integrated Genomic Analysis Identifies Clinically Relevant Subtypes of Glioblastoma Characterized by Abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1. *Cancer Cell* 2010, 17, 98–110. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Q.; Hu, B.; Hu, X.; Kim, H.; Squatrito, M.; Scarpace, L.; de Carvalho, A.C.; Lyu, S.; Li, P.; Li, Y.; et al. Tumor evolution of glioma-intrinsic gene expression subtypes associates with immunological changes in the microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* 2017, 32, 42–56. [CrossRef]
- 8. Barthel, F.P.; Johnson, K.C.; Varn, F.S.; Moskalik, A.D.; Tanner, G.; Kocakavuk, E.; Anderson, K.J.; Abiola, O.; Aldape, K.; Alfaro, K.D.; et al. Longitudinal molecular trajectories of diffuse glioma in adults. *Nature* **2019**, *576*, 112–120. [CrossRef]
- Kocakavuk, E.; Anderson, K.J.; Varn, F.S.; Johnson, K.C.; Amin, S.B.; Sulman, E.P.; Lolkema, M.P.; Barthel, F.P.; Verhaak, R.G.W. Radiotherapy is associated with a deletion signature that contributes to poor outcomes in patients with cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2021, 53, 1088–1096. [CrossRef]
- 10. Kuzminov, A. Single-strand interruptions in replicating chromosomes cause double-strand breaks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 8241–8246. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sedletska, Y.; Radicella, J.P.; Sage, E. Replication fork collapse is a major cause of the high mutation frequency at three-base lesion clusters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2013**, *41*, 9339–9348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jackson, S.P.; Bartek, J. The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. *Nature* 2009, 461, 1071–1078. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dahan, P.; Gala, J.M.; Delmas, C.; Monferran, S.; Malric, L.; Zentkowski, D.; Lubrano, V.; Toulas, C.; Moyal, E.C.-J.; Lemarie, A. Ionizing radiations sustain glioblastoma cell dedifferentiation to a stem-like phenotype through survivin: Possible involvement in radioresistance. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014, *5*, e1543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Wang, J.; Wakeman, T.P.; Lathia, J.D.; Hjelmeland, A.B.; Wang, X.F.; White, R.R.; Rich, J.N.; Sullenger, B.A. Notch promotes radioresistance of glioma stem cells. *Stem Cells* **2010**, *28*, 17–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Sun, Y.; Jiang, X.; Xu, Y.; Ayrapetov, M.K.; Moreau, L.A.; Whetstine, J.R.; Price, B.D. Histone H3 methylation links DNA damage detection to activation of the tumour suppressor Tip60. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *11*, 1376–1382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Stucki, M.; Clapperton, J.A.; Mohammad, D.; Yaffe, M.B.; Smerdon, S.J.; Jackson, S.P. MDC1 Directly Binds Phosphorylated Histone H2AX to Regulate Cellular Responses to DNA Double-Strand Breaks. *Cell* **2005**, *123*, 1213–1226. [CrossRef]
- Lou, Z.; Minter-Dykhouse, K.; Franco, S.; Gostissa, M.; Rivera, M.A.; Celeste, A.; Manis, J.P.; van Deursen, J.; Nussenzweig, A.; Paull, T.T.; et al. MDC1 Maintains Genomic Stability by Participating in the Amplification of ATM-Dependent DNA Damage Signals. *Mol. Cell* 2006, *21*, 187–200. [CrossRef]
- 18. Huen, M.S.-Y.; Grant, R.; Manke, I.; Minn, K.; Yu, X.; Yaffe, M.B.; Chen, J. RNF8 Transduces the DNA-Damage Signal via Histone Ubiquitylation and Checkpoint Protein Assembly. *Cell* **2007**, *131*, 901–914. [CrossRef]
- Mailand, N.; Bekker-Jensen, S.; Faustrup, H.; Melander, F.; Bartek, J.; Lukas, C.; Lukas, J. RNF8 Ubiquitylates Histones at DNA Double-Strand Breaks and Promotes Assembly of Repair Proteins. *Cell* 2007, 131, 887–900. [CrossRef]

- Botuyan, M.V.; Lee, J.; Ward, I.M.; Kim, J.-E.; Thompson, J.R.; Chen, J.; Mer, G. Structural Basis for the Methylation State-Specific Recognition of Histone H4-K20 by 53BP1 and Crb2 in DNA Repair. *Cell* 2006, 127, 1361–1373. [CrossRef]
- Sims, J.J.; Cohen, R.E. Linkage-Specific Avidity Defines the Lysine 63-Linked Polyubiquitin-Binding Preference of Rap80. *Mol. Cell* 2009, 33, 775–783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Falck, J.; Mailand, N.; Syljuåsen, R.G.; Bartek, J.; Lukas, J. The ATM–Chk2–Cdc25A checkpoint pathway guards against radioresistant DNA synthesis. *Nature* 2001, 410, 842–847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bryant, H.E.; Petermann, E.; Schultz, N.; Jemth, A.-S.; Loseva, O.; Issaeva, N.; Johansson, F.; Fernandez, S.; McGlynn, P.; Helleday, T. PARP is activated at stalled forks to mediate Mre11-dependent replication restart and recombination. *EMBO J.* 2009, 28, 2601–2615. [CrossRef]
- 24. Yazdi, P.T.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, S.; Patel, N.; Lee, E.Y.-H.; Qin, J. SMC1 is a downstream effector in the ATM/NBS1 branch of the human S-phase checkpoint. *Genes Dev.* 2002, *16*, 571–582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Masuda, H.; Fong, C.S.; Ohtsuki, C.; Haraguchi, T.; Hiraoka, Y. Spatiotemporal regulations of Wee1 at the G2/M transition. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 2011, 22, 555–569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khoronenkova, S.V.; Dianov, G.L. ATM prevents DSB formation by coordinating SSB repair and cell cycle progression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, 112, 3997–4002. [CrossRef]
- Chen, L.; Nievera, C.J.; Lee, A.Y.-L.; Wu, X. Cell Cycle-dependent Complex Formation of BRCA1-CtIP-MRN Is Important for DNA Double-strand Break Repair. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 7713–7720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tripathi, V.; Agarwal, H.; Priya, S.; Batra, H.; Modi, P.; Pandey, M.; Saha, D.; Raghavan, S.C.; Sengupta, S. MRN complexdependent recruitment of ubiquitylated BLM helicase to DSBs negatively regulates DNA repair pathways. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 1016. [CrossRef]
- Onaka, A.T.; Su, J.; Katahira, Y.; Tang, C.; Zafar, F.; Aoki, K.; Kagawa, W.; Niki, H.; Iwasaki, H.; Nakagawa, T. DNA replication machinery prevents Rad52-dependent single-strand annealing that leads to gross chromosomal rearrangements at centromeres. *Commun. Biol.* 2020, 3, 202. [CrossRef]
- Black, S.J.; Ozdemir, A.Y.; Kashkina, E.; Kent, T.; Rusanov, T.; Ristic, D.; Shin, Y.; Suma, A.; Hoang, T.; Chandramouly, G.; et al. Molecular basis of microhomology-mediated end-joining by purified full-length Pol0. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 4423. [CrossRef]
- Ning, J.-F.; Stanciu, M.; Humphrey, M.R.; Gorham, J.; Wakimoto, H.; Nishihara, R.; Lees, J.; Zou, L.; Martuza, R.L.; Rabkin, S.D. Myc targeted CDK18 promotes ATR and homologous recombination to mediate PARP inhibitor resistance in glioblastoma. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 2910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Salles, D.; Mencalha, A.L.; Ireno, I.C.; Wiesmüller, L.; Abdelhay, E. BCR-ABL stimulates mutagenic homologous DNA doublestrand break repair via the DNA-end-processing factor CtIP. *Carcinogenesis* 2010, *32*, 27–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Wang, L.; Ge, J.; Lan, Y.; Shi, Y.; Luo, Y.; Tan, Y.; Liang, M.; Deng, S.; Zhang, X.; Wang, W.; et al. Tumor mutational burden is associated with poor outcomes in diffuse glioma. *BMC Cancer* 2020, 20, 213. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.; Shen, C.; Estrada-Bernal, A.; Robb, R.; Chatterjee, M.; Sebastian, N.; Webb, A.; Mo, X.; Chen, W.; Krishnan, S.; et al. Oncogenic KRAS drives radioresistance through upregulation of NRF2-53BP1-mediated non-homologous end-joining repair. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, 49, 11067–11082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hodgson, J.G.; Yeh, R.-F.; Ray, A.; Wang, N.J.; Smirnov, I.; Yu, M.; Hariono, S.; Silber, J.; Feiler, H.S.; Gray, J.W.; et al. Comparative analyses of gene copy number and mRNA expression in glioblastoma multiforme tumors and xenografts. *Neuro-Oncology* 2009, 11, 477–487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petroni, M.; Sardina, F.; Infante, P.; Bartolazzi, A.; Locatelli, E.; Fabretti, F.; Di Giulio, S.; Capalbo, C.; Cardinali, B.; Coppa, A.; et al. MRE11 inhibition highlights a replication stress-dependent vulnerability of MYCN-driven tumors. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018, *9*, 895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Bajrami, I.; Walker, C.; Krastev, D.B.; Weekes, D.; Song, F.; Wicks, A.J.; Alexander, J.; Haider, S.; Brough, R.; Pettitt, S.J.; et al. Sirtuin inhibition is synthetic lethal with BRCA1 or BRCA2 deficiency. *Commun. Biol.* **2021**, *4*, 1270. [CrossRef]
- Weterings, E.; Gallegos, A.C.; Dominick, L.N.; Cooke, L.S.; Bartels, T.N.; Vagner, J.; Matsunaga, T.O.; Mahadevan, D. A novel small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair protein Ku70/80. DNA Repair 2016, 43, 98–106. [CrossRef]
- Jones, P.; Altamura, S.; Boueres, J.; Ferrigno, F.; Fonsi, M.; Giomini, C.; Lamartina, S.; Monteagudo, E.; Ontoria, J.M.; Orsale, M.V.; et al. Discovery of 2-{4-[(3S)-piperidin-3-yl]phenyl}-2H-indazole-7-carboxamide (MK-4827): A novel oral poly(ADPribose)polymerase (PARP) inhibitor efficacious in BRCA-1 and -2 mutant tumors. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 7170–7185. [CrossRef]
- 40. Cea, M.; Cagnetta, A.; Adamia, S.; Acharya, C.; Tai, Y.-T.; Fulciniti, M.; Ohguchi, H.; Munshi, A.; Acharya, P.; Bhasin, M.K.; et al. Evidence for a role of the histone deacetylase SIRT6 in DNA damage response of multiple myeloma cells. *Blood* **2016**, 127, 1138–1150. [CrossRef]
- Hickson, I.; Zhao, Y.; Richardson, C.J.; Green, S.J.; Martin, N.M.B.; Orr, A.I.; Reaper, P.M.; Jackson, S.P.; Curtin, N.; Smith, G.C.M. Identification and Characterization of a Novel and Specific Inhibitor of the Ataxia-Telangiectasia Mutated Kinase ATM. *Cancer Res.* 2004, 64, 9152–9159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yap, T.A.; O'Carrigan, B.; Penney, M.S.; Lim, J.S.; Brown, J.S.; Luken, M.J.D.M.; Tunariu, N.; Perez-Lopez, R.; Rodrigues, D.N.; Riisnaes, R.; et al. Phase I Trial of First-in-Class ATR Inhibitor M6620 (VX-970) as Monotherapy or in Combination With Carboplatin in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38, 3195–3204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Dietlein, F.; Thelen, L.; Jokic, M.; Jachimowicz, R.D.; Ivan, L.; Knittel, G.; Leeser, U.; Van Oers, J.; Edelmann, W.; Heukamp, L.; et al. A Functional Cancer Genomics Screen Identifies a Druggable Synthetic Lethal Interaction between MSH3 and PRKDC. *Cancer Discov.* 2014, 4, 592–605. [CrossRef]
- Signore, M.; Pelacchi, F.; di Martino, S.; Runci, D.; Biffoni, M.; Giannetti, S.; Morgante, L.; De Majo, M.; Petricoin, E.F.; Stancato, L.; et al. Combined PDK1 and CHK1 inhibition is required to kill glioblastoma stem-like cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014, *5*, e1223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Anderson, V.E.; Walton, M.I.; Eve, P.D.; Boxall, K.J.; Antoni, L.; Caldwell, J.J.; Aherne, W.; Pearl, L.H.; Oliver, A.W.; Collins, I.; et al. CCT241533 is a potent and selective inhibitor of CHK2 that potentiates the cytotoxicity of PARP inhibitors. *Cancer Res.* 2011, 71, 463–472. [CrossRef]
- Willmore, E.; De Caux, S.; Sunter, N.J.; Tilby, M.J.; Jackson, G.H.; Austin, C.; Durkacz, B.W. A novel DNA-dependent protein kinase inhibitor, NU7026, potentiates the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II poisons used in the treatment of leukemia. *Blood* 2004, 103, 4659–4665. [CrossRef]
- Zatreanu, D.; Robinson, H.M.R.; Alkhatib, O.; Boursier, M.; Finch, H.; Geo, L.; Grande, D.; Grinkevich, V.; Heald, R.A.; Langdon, S.; et al. Pol theta inhibitors elicit BRCA-gene synthetic lethality and target PARP inhibitor resistance. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 3636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, F.; Motlekar, N.A.; Burgwin, C.M.; Napper, A.D.; Diamond, S.L.; Mazin, A.V. Identification of Specific Inhibitors of Human RAD51 Recombinase Using High-Throughput Screening. ACS Chem. Biol. 2011, 6, 628–635. [CrossRef]
- Huang, F.; Goyal, N.; Sullivan, K.; Hanamshet, K.; Patel, M.; Mazina, O.M.; Wang, C.X.; An, W.F.; Spoonamore, J.; Metkar, S.; et al. Targeting BRCA1- and BRCA2-deficient cells with RAD52 small molecule inhibitors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 44, 4189–4199. [CrossRef]
- 50. Cornwell, M.J.; Thomson, G.J.; Coates, J.; Belotserkovskaya, R.; Waddell, I.D.; Jackson, S.P.; Galanty, Y. Small-Molecule Inhibition of UBE2T/FANCL-Mediated Ubiquitylation in the Fanconi Anemia Pathway. ACS Chem. Biol. 2019, 14, 2148–2154. [CrossRef]
- 51. McCord, M.; Steffens, A.; Javier, R.; Kam, K.-L.; McCortney, K.; Horbinski, C. The efficacy of DNA mismatch repair enzyme immunohistochemistry as a screening test for hypermutated gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 2020, *8*, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jucaite, A.; Stenkrona, P.; Cselényi, Z.; De Vita, S.; Buil-Bruna, N.; Varnäs, K.; Savage, A.; Varrone, A.; Johnström, P.; Schou, M.; et al. Brain exposure of the ATM inhibitor AZD1390 in humans—A positron emission tomography study. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 687–696. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McCabe, N.; Hanna, C.; Walker, S.M.; Gonda, D.; Li, J.; Wikstrom, K.; Savage, K.; Butterworth, K.; Chen, C.; Harkin, D.P.; et al. Mechanistic Rationale to Target PTEN-Deficient Tumor Cells with Inhibitors of the DNA Damage Response Kinase ATM. *Cancer Res.* 2015, 75, 2159–2165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Duan, S.; Yuan, G.; Liu, X.; Ren, R.; Li, J.; Zhang, W.; Wu, J.; Xu, X.; Fuchou, T.; Li, Y.; et al. PTEN deficiency reprogrammes human neural stem cells towards a glioblastoma stem cell-like phenotype. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 10068. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Charrier, J.-D.; Durrant, S.J.; Golec, J.M.C.; Kay, D.P.; Knegtel, R.M.A.; MacCormick, S.; Mortimore, M.; O'Donnell, M.E.; Pinder, J.L.; Reaper, P.M.; et al. Discovery of Potent and Selective Inhibitors of Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated and Rad3 Related (ATR) Protein Kinase as Potential Anticancer Agents. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 2320–2330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Reaper, P.M.; Griffiths, M.R.; Long, J.M.; Charrier, J.-D.; MacCormick, S.; Charlton, P.A.; Golec, J.M.C.; Pollard, J.R. Selective killing of ATM- or p53-deficient cancer cells through inhibition of ATR. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2011, 7, 428–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Williams, T.M.; Galban, S.; Li, F.; Heist, K.A.; Galbán, C.J.; Lawrence, T.S.; Holland, E.C.; Thomae, T.L.; Chenevert, T.L.; Rehemtulla, A.; et al. DW-MRI as a Predictive Biomarker of Radiosensitization of GBM through Targeted Inhibition of Checkpoint Kinases. *Transl. Oncol.* 2013, 6, 133–142. [CrossRef]
- 58. Willis, S.G.; Lange, T.; Demehri, S.; Otto, S.; Crossman, L.; Niederwieser, D.; Stoffregen, E.P.; McWeeney, S.; Kovacs, I.; Park, B.; et al. High-sensitivity detection of BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations in imatinib-naive patients: Correlation with clonal cytogenetic evolution but not response to therapy. *Blood* 2005, *106*, 2128–2137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Dummer, R.; Ascierto, P.A.; Gogas, H.J.; Arance, A.; Mandala, M.; Liszkay, G.; Garbe, C.; Schadendorf, D.; Krajsova, I.; Gutzmer, R.; et al. Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with BRAF -mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): A multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018, 19, 603–615. [CrossRef]
- Ramalingam, S.S.; Vansteenkiste, J.; Planchard, D.; Cho, B.C.; Gray, J.E.; Ohe, Y.; Zhou, C.; Reungwetwattana, T.; Cheng, Y.; Chewaskulyong, B.; et al. Overall Survival with Osimertinib in Untreated, EGFR-Mutated Advanced NSCLC. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 382, 41–50. [CrossRef]
- 61. Li, S.; Topatana, W.; Juengpanich, S.; Cao, J.; Hu, J.; Zhang, B.; Ma, D.; Cai, X.; Chen, M. Development of synthetic lethality in cancer: Molecular and cellular classification. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2020**, *5*, 241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bouwman, P.; Aly, A.; Escandell, J.M.; Pieterse, M.; Bartkova, J.; Van Der Gulden, H.; Hiddingh, S.; Thanasoula, M.; Kulkarni, A.; Yang, Q.; et al. 53BP1 loss rescues BRCA1 deficiency and is associated with triple-negative and BRCA-mutated breast cancers. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2010, 17, 688–695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Pettitt, S.J.; Frankum, J.R.; Punta, M.; Lise, S.; Alexander, J.; Chen, Y.; Yap, T.A.; Haider, S.; Tutt, A.N.J.; Lord, C.J. Clinical BRCA1/2 reversion analysis identifies hotspot mutations and predicted neoantigens associated with therapy resistance. *Cancer Discov.* **2020**, *10*, 1475–1488. [CrossRef]
- 64. Maiani, E.; Milletti, G.; Nazio, F.; Holdgaard, S.G.; Bartkova, J.; Rizza, S.; Cianfanelli, V.; Lorente, M.; Simoneschi, D.; Di Marco, M.; et al. AMBRA1 regulates cyclin D to guard S-phase entry and genomic integrity. *Nature* **2021**, *592*, 799–803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Hocke, S.; Guo, Y.; Job, A.; Orth, M.; Ziesch, A.; Lauber, K.; De Toni, E.N.; Gress, T.; Herbst, A.; Göke, B.; et al. A synthetic lethal screen identifies ATR-inhibition as a novel therapeutic approach for POLD1-deficient cancers. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 7080–7095. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, C.-C.; Kass, E.M.; Yen, W.-F.; Ludwig, T.; Moynahan, M.E.; Chaudhuri, J.; Jasin, M. ATM loss leads to synthetic lethality in BRCA1 BRCT mutant mice associated with exacerbated defects in homology-directed repair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, 7665–7670. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Albarakati, N.; Abdel-Fatah, T.M.; Doherty, R.; Russell, R.; Agarwal, D.; Moseley, P.; Perry, C.; Arora, A.; Alsubhi, N.; Seedhouse, C.; et al. Targeting BRCA1-BER deficient breast cancer by ATM or DNA-PKcs blockade either alone or in combination with cisplatin for personalized therapy. *Mol. Oncol.* 2015, *9*, 204–217. [CrossRef]
- 68. Mengwasser, K.E.; Adeyemi, R.O.; Leng, Y.; Choi, M.Y.; Clairmont, C.; D'Andrea, A.D.; Elledge, S.J. Genetic Screens Reveal FEN1 and APEX2 as BRCA2 Synthetic Lethal Targets. *Mol. Cell* **2019**, *73*, 885–899.e6. [CrossRef]
- Sulkowski, P.L.; Corso, C.D.; Robinson, N.D.; Scanlon, S.E.; Purshouse, K.R.; Bai, H.; Liu, Y.; Sundaram, R.K.; Hegan, D.C.; Fons, N.R.; et al. 2-Hydroxyglutarate produced by neomorphic IDH mutations suppresses homologous recombination and induces PARP inhibitor sensitivity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2017, 9. [CrossRef]
- Zimmermann, M.; Murina, O.; Reijns, M.; Agathanggelou, A.; Challis, R.; Žygimantė, T.; Muir, M.; Fluteau, A.; Aregger, M.; McEwan, A.; et al. CRISPR screens identify genomic ribonucleotides as a source of PARP-trapping lesions. *Nature* 2018, 559, 285–289. [CrossRef]
- Brough, R.; Gulati, A.; Haider, S.; Kumar, R.; Campbell, J.; Knudsen, E.; Pettitt, S.J.; Ryan, C.J.; Lord, C.J. Identification of highly penetrant Rb-related synthetic lethal interactions in triple negative breast cancer. *Oncogene* 2018, 37, 5701–5718. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liang, J.; Zhao, H.; Diplas, B.H.; Liu, S.; Liu, J.; Wang, D.; Lu, Y.; Zhu, Q.; Wu, J.; Wang, W.; et al. Genome-Wide CRISPR-Cas9 Screen Reveals Selective Vulnerability of ATRX-Mutant Cancers to WEE1 Inhibition. *Cancer Res.* 2019, 80, 510–523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Sulkowski, P.L.; Oeck, S.; Dow, J.; Economos, N.G.; Mirfakhraie, L.; Liu, Y.; Noronha, K.; Bao, X.; Li, J.; Shuch, B.M.; et al. Oncometabolites suppress DNA repair by disrupting local chromatin signalling. *Nature* **2020**, *582*, 586–591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Garama, D.J.; Harris, T.J.; White, C.L.; Rossello, F.; Abdul-Hay, M.; Gough, D.; Levy, D.E. A Synthetic Lethal Interaction between Glutathione Synthesis and Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species Provides a Tumor-Specific Vulnerability Dependent on STAT3. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2015**, *35*, 3646–3656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Ogunrinu, T.A.; Sontheimer, H. Hypoxia Increases the Dependence of Glioma Cells on Glutathione. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2010**, *285*, 37716–37724. [CrossRef]
- 76. Huang, H.; Zhang, S.; Li, Y.; Liu, Z.; Mi, L.; Cai, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, L.; Ran, H.; Xiao, D.; et al. Suppression of mitochondrial ROS by prohibitin drives glioblastoma progression and therapeutic resistance. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 3720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Kim, H.; Lim, K.Y.; Park, J.W.; Kang, J.; Won, J.K.; Lee, K.; Shim, Y.; Park, C.-K.; Kim, S.-K.; Choi, S.-H.; et al. Sporadic and Lynch syndrome-associated mismatch repair-deficient brain tumors. *Lab. Investig.* 2021, 102, 160–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McFaline-Figueroa, J.L.; Braun, C.J.; Stanciu, M.; Nagel, Z.D.; Mazzucato, P.; Sangaraju, D.; Cerniauskas, E.; Barford, K.; Vargas, A.; Chen, Y.; et al. Minor Changes in Expression of the Mismatch Repair Protein MSH2 Exert a Major Impact on Glioblastoma Response to Temozolomide. *Cancer Res.* 2015, *75*, 3127–3138. [CrossRef]
- 79. Stritzelberger, J.; Distel, L.; Buslei, R.; Fietkau, R.; Putz, F. Acquired temozolomide resistance in human glioblastoma cell line U251 is caused by mismatch repair deficiency and can be overcome by lomustine. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* **2017**, *20*, 508–516. [CrossRef]
- Martin, S.A.; McCabe, N.; Mullarkey, M.; Cummins, R.; Burgess, D.J.; Nakabeppu, Y.; Oka, S.; Kay, E.; Lord, C.J.; Ashworth, A. DNA Polymerases as Potential Therapeutic Targets for Cancers Deficient in the DNA Mismatch Repair Proteins MSH2 or MLH1. *Cancer Cell* 2010, 17, 235–248. [CrossRef]
- Hu, K.; Li, K.; Lv, J.; Feng, J.; Chen, J.; Wu, H.; Cheng, F.; Jiang, W.; Wang, J.; Pei, H.; et al. Suppression of the SLC7A11/glutathione axis causes synthetic lethality in KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2020, 130, 1752–1766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Alexandrov, L.B.; Kim, J.; Haradhvala, N.J.; Huang, M.N.; Ng, A.W.T.; Wu, Y.; Boot, A.; Covington, K.R.; Gordenin, D.A.; Bergstrom, E.N.; et al. The repertoire of mutational signatures in human cancer. *Nature* **2020**, *578*, 94–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, J.; Jo, D.H.; Kim, J.H.; Cho, C.S.; Han, J.E.; Kim, Y.; Park, H.; Yoo, S.H.; Yu, Y.S.; Moon, H.E.; et al. Development of a patient-derived xenograft model of glioblastoma via intravitreal injection in mice. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 2019, *51*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Burt, T.; Young, G.; Lee, W.; Kusuhara, H.; Langer, O.; Rowland, M.; Sugiyama, Y. Phase 0/microdosing approaches: Time for mainstream application in drug development? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2020, *19*, 801–818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]