

Identification of SOX2 Interacting Proteins in the Developing Mouse Lung With Potential Implications for Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia

Kim A. A. Schilders^{1‡}, Gabriëla G. Edel^{1‡}, Evelien Eenjes^{1†}, Bianca Oresta¹, Judith Birkhoff¹, Anne Boerema-de Munck¹, Marjon Buscop-van Kempen¹, Panagiotis Liakopoulos², Petros Kolovos², Jeroen A. A. Demmers³, Raymond Poot⁴, Rene M. H. Wijnen¹, Dick Tibboel¹ and Robbert J. Rottier^{1,4*}

¹ Department of Pediatric Surgery, Erasmus Medical Center (MC)-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ² Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece, ³ Department of Biochemistry, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁴ Department of Cell Biology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia is a structural birth defect of the diaphragm, with lung hypoplasia and persistent pulmonary hypertension. Aside from vascular defects, the lungs show a disturbed balance of differentiated airway epithelial cells. The Sry related HMG box protein SOX2 is an important transcription factor for proper differentiation of the lung epithelium. The transcriptional activity of SOX2 depends on interaction with other proteins and the identification of SOX2-associating factors may reveal important complexes involved in the disturbed differentiation in CDH. To identify SOX2-associating proteins, we purified SOX2 complexes from embryonic mouse lungs at 18.5 days of gestation. Mass spectrometry analysis of SOX2-associated proteins identified several potential candidates, among which were the Chromodomain Helicase DNA binding protein 4 (CHD4), Cut-Like Homeobox1 (CUX1), and the Forkhead box proteins FOXP2 and FOXP4. We analyzed the expression patterns of FOXP2, FOXP4, CHD4, and CUX1 in lung during development and showed co-localization with SOX2. Coimmunoprecipitations validated the interactions of these four transcription factors with SOX2, and large-scale chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) data indicated that SOX2 and CHD4 bound to unique sites in the genome, but also co-occupied identical regions, suggesting that these complexes could be involved in co-regulation of genes involved in the respiratory system.

Keywords: lung development, SOX2, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, CHD4, CUX1

INTRODUCTION

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) is a structural birth defect of the diaphragm, and patients are characterized by lung hypoplasia and persistent pulmonary hypertension, which is associated with vascular abnormalities due to aberrant perivascular cells (1–4). In addition, CDH patients show an aberrant cellular composition of the airway epithelium, such as hyperplasia of the pulmonary neuroendocrine cell (PNEC) population and hypoplasia of club cell population (5–7).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shi Yuan, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Paul D. Losty, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom Shan He, The First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, China Florian Kipfmueller, University Hospital Bonn, Germany

*Correspondence:

Robbert J. Rottier r.rottier@erasmusmc.nl

[†]Present address:

Evelien Eenjes, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden [‡]These authors have contributed

equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neonatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

Received: 22 February 2022 Accepted: 04 April 2022 Published: 09 May 2022

Citation:

Schilders KAA, Edel GG, Eenjes E, Oresta B, Birkhoff J, Boerema-de Munck A, Buscop-van Kempen M, Liakopoulos P, Kolovos P, Demmers JAA, Poot R, Wijnen RMH, Tibboel D and Rottier RJ (2022) Identification of SOX2 Interacting Proteins in the Developing Mouse Lung With Potential Implications for Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia. Front. Pediatr. 10:881287. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.881287

1

The conducting airway epithelium starts to differentiate before the alveolar epithelium, as shown in mouse development (8-13). The SRY-Related HMG-Box Gene 2 (SOX2) transcription factor is one of the earliest genes expressed in the proximal lung region and its expression is necessary and sufficient for the epithelial cells that mark the conducting airways (14-17). As the epithelial cells start to express SOX2, they become proximal airway and differentiate into basal cells, ciliated cells and club cells (15, 18). SOX2 directly regulates the transcription of the basal cell marker TRP63 and initiates the emergence of basal cells, via direct activation of the TRP63 promoter (15). Mice with altered SOX2 expression levels display aberrant epithelial differentiation, a phenotype that is frequently associated with pediatric lung diseases, such as in congenital pulmonary airway malformation (CPAM) and congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) (19). Reduced levels of SOX2 during development leads to foregut defects resembling esophagus atresia with tracheoesophageal fistula and fewer basal cells (20). In contrast to reduced SOX2 levels, ectopic SOX2 expression in epithelial cells during development resulted in a decreased number of airways, enlarged airspaces and abnormal alveolar formation. Interestingly, the size of these cyst-like structures depended on timing and duration of ectopic SOX2 expression (15). Aside from these structural abnormalities, cellular changes of the epithelium were notable with an increase in basal cells and neuroendocrine cells (14).

The transcriptional activity of SOX2 depends on its interaction with other proteins, leading to "complex-specific" DNA binding and subsequent transcriptional regulation. Some of the earliest identified partners are OCT3/4, which plays a role in stem cell regulation, and PAX6, which has a role in lens development (21–23). Previously, we described two novel partners, XPO4, which is involved in nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking (24), and CHD7, a chromatin remodeling ATPase associated with CHARGE syndrome (25). However, for lung development it is still unknown which specific SOX2 partners are involved in the differentiation of proximal epithelium, and if these partners may be important in aberrant differentiation of the epithelium in CDH.

Therefore, we used a specific mouse model expressing a biotinylatable SOX2, the bioSOX2 mouse, to isolate SOX2 complexes *in vivo* during airway epithelium differentiation (26). We identified several interacting proteins and showed that CHD4, FOXP2, FOXP4, and CUX1 act as SOX2 interacting partners during lung development. We confirmed co-localization of these proteins with SOX2 in the trachea and upper airways, confirmed their interaction with SOX2 and, for CHD4, we showed potential co-regulation of target genes *in vivo*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

bioSOX2/birA Mice

BioSOX2 and birA mice were previously generated and maintained on C57/Bl6 background under standard conditions and experiments were performed following guidelines of the ethics committee of the Erasmus Medical Center (26, 27).

Immunohistochemistry

Lungs from wild type mouse embryos from E11 till E18 were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C before processing for paraffin embedding. Sections of 5 µm were dewaxed and rehydrated, followed by antigen retrieval with microwave treatment in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA pH9.0 (TE). After quenching endogenous peroxidase with 1.5% H₂O₂, samples were blocked with 1% BSA, 0.05% Tween20 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature and then incubated with antibody against CHD4 (Abcam; ab72418), FOXP2 (Abcam; ab16046), FOXP4 (Santa Cruz; sc-292474), or CUX1 (Abcam, Ab54583) diluted in blocking buffer at 4°C overnight. After washing in PBS with 0.05% Tween20, slides were incubated in blocking buffer with biotin conjugated secondary antibody for 30 min at room temperature. Then samples were incubated with VECTASTAIN® ABC Reagent (Vector) for 30 min, followed by incubation with diaminobenzidine (Fluka). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted with pertex. Sections were analyzed using Olympus BX41 microscope.

Immunofluorescent Staining

Lungs from wild type mouse embryos from E11 till E18 were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C before processing for paraffin embedding. Sections of 5 μ m were dewaxed and rehydrated, followed by antigen retrieval with microwave treatment in TE. Samples were blocked and incubated with antibodies against CHD4 (ab72418) and SOX2 (GTI5098), FOXP2 (ab16046) and SOX2, and CUX1 (Abcam, Ab54583) and SOX2 diluted in blocking buffer at 4°C overnight. After washing in PBS with 0.05% Triton X-100, slides were incubated in blocking buffer with fluorophore conjugated secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor-488, Alexa Fluor-594; Jackson Immuno Research) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted in Vectashield Mounting Medium with Dapi (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA, United States). Digital images were captured using a ZEISS imager Z1 AX10 microscope.

Cell Culture, Co-transfections, and Co-immunoprecipitations

HEK293 (HEK) cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) with 5% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin under standard culture conditions. NCCIT cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin under standard culture conditions.

HEK cells were transfected with different combinations of pcDNA3 based plasmids expressing FLAG-tagged CHD4, FLAG-HA-tagged WDR5, myc-tagged SOX2, myc-tagged FOXP2, myc-tagged FOXP4, myc-tagged TCF3, and FLAG-tagged SOX2, using X-tremeGENE HP DNA Transfection Reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Similar co-transfections and co-immunoprecipitations were performed using pcDNA3-myc-HA-tagged CUX1 and different SOX2 constructs that were described previously (24, 28–34). X-tremeGENE HP DNA Transfection Reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used for the transfection according to the manufacturer's manual. Cells were harvested 24 h after transfection. Total cell extracts were prepared in

300 μ l cell lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH8, 137 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 10% glycerol) with Complete protease inhibitor (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). 50 μ l extract was incubated for 2 h at 4°C in 250 μ l cell lysis buffer with antibodies against myc (Roche; 1668149) and FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich; F1804), followed by 1 h incubation with protein G beads (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States). After washing with cell lysis buffer, the beads were resuspended in 20 μ l sample buffer and heated for 10 min at 95°C.

Western Blotting

Samples were separated on a SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane by wet blotting for 2 h at 100 V and 400 mA. Membranes were blocked in TBS with 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% BSA. Membranes were labeled with antibodies against myc (Abcam; ab9106) and FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich; F7425) for 2 h, followed by an 1 h incubation with secondary HRP-labeled antibody. Membranes were developed with ECL incubation (Thermo Fisher Scientific INC., Waltham, MA, United States) on an Alliance Imager (Uvitec, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

Glutathione S-Transferase-Fusion Tags

Generation of glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion-tags and bacterial lysates is previously described (24, 35). HEK cells were grown ~85% confluent and nuclear extracts were prepared. 10 μ g of the bacterial lysate was incubated with 25 μ l glutathione sepharose UB beads (GE Healthcare)/1% fish skin gelatin for 2 h rotating at 4°C. Beads are washed 4x with bacteria lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes-NaOH pH7.6, 150 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% triton; 0.5 mM DTT + 1x CEF prior to use), 2x with wash buffer (20 mM Hepes pH7.6, 20% glycerol, 100 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.02% NP-40; 1x CEF prior to use) and are then incubated for 2 h with nuclear cell extracts rotating at 4°C. After washing 4 x with wash buffer, 40 μ l 2x sample buffer is added and beads are heated for 10 min at 95°C. Western blotting was used to detect SOX2 binding partners.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation and Data Analysis

 ${\sim}120~{\times}~10^{6}$ NCCIT cells were double cross-linked with 2 mM disuccinimidyl glutate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% formaldehyde, or single cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde (36). Cells were lysed in ChIP cell lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 1x CEF), followed by lysis of the nuclei in ChIP nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 1x CEF). Samples were sheared with a multiprobe bioruptor (double cross-linked: 75 min, 30 s high, 30 s off; single crosslinked: 30 min, 30 s high, 30 s off). Samples were diluted 10x with ChIP dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM EDTA, 1.1% TritonX-100, 0.01% SDS), precleared and incubated O/N with antibodies against SOX2 (#2748 cell signaling) and CHD4 (Abcam, ab72418), and goat IgG (Santa Cruz) and rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz) as negative control. Samples were incubated with Protein A/G agarose beads 1 h rotating at 4°C and then washed with Low Salt Immune Complex Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1%

TABLE 1 | Purified SOX2 associating proteins from E18.5 lungs.

	Mascot	Peptides
CHD4	1343 (939)	26 (21)
CUX1	476 (N.A.)	8 (0)
FOXP2	61 (N.A.)	2 (0)
FOXP4	86 (N.A.)	2 (0)

Mascot scores and number of peptides for four SOX2 associating proteins are provided, with the control numbers between brackets (mass spec of control mouse lungs).

TritonX-100, 0.1% SDS), High Salt Immune Complex Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% TritonX-100, 0.1% SDS), LiCl Immune Complex Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% deoxycholate), and TE (10 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0). Bound chromatin was eluted with freshly prepared elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃), de-cross-linked and purified DNA was sequenced. For ChIP-PCR, the purified DNA was analyzed using gene-specific primer sets in a qPCR and the $\Delta C(t)$ method was used to determine the enrichment of the SOX2 and CHD4 precipitated DNA relative to the IgG control samples. ChIP-seq analysis was mainly performed as previously described (37). Peaks were called with the following criteria: peak \geq 20, FDR \leq 0.001, fold change over control \geq 2. The iRanges package and the "findOverlaps" function from the GenomicRanges package (38) was used to identify the target genes and the overlap between the binding regions.

RESULTS

Selected SOX2 Interacting Proteins Co-localize With SOX2 in the Developing Lung

The transcription factor SOX2 is expressed early in the developing lung and is one of the first molecular signs of epithelial cells that are committed to the proximal airway fate. To identify molecular switches that hallmark the differentiation of the proximal cell toward the different cell lineages eventually covering the upper airways, such as ciliated cells, club cells and basal cells, we generated a mouse line expressing a biotinylatable tagged SOX2 (26). An additional mouse strain ubiquitously expressing the bacterial biotin birA ligase was used to biotinylate the bioSOX2 protein in vivo (27). The biotin-tagged SOX2 is subsequently purified with high affinity using streptavidin, which has a much higher affinity than regular antibodies have for antigens (39). Using this mouse strain, we performed a largescale screen to identify in vivo SOX2 interacting proteins using embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5) mouse lungs. Biotinylated SOX2 and associated proteins were precipitated with streptavidin magnetic beads and subsequently identified by mass spectrometry. A list of possible candidate partners of SOX2 was created based on the mascot score and peptide enrichment. From this list, a select number of proteins that could be of importance for lung epithelial differentiation were selected for further analysis (Table 1).



As the screen for potential SOX2 interactors was based on nuclear protein extracts of total embryonic lungs, we first analyzed the spatial and temporal expression pattern of selected proteins during lung development in mice using immunohistochemistry. The Forkhead box protein FOXP2 expression was restricted to the distal lung epithelium during the late pseudoglandular, canalicular, and saccular phases of lung development (Figures 1A-C) and FOXP4 expression was first detected at E14 in the epithelium of the developing airways and the surrounding mesenchyme, but the expression became restricted to the proximal and distal airway epithelium during the canalicular phase (40). A similar expression pattern persisted in the saccular phase and postnatally (Figures 1D-F). FOXP2 and SOX2 were co-expressed in cells localized at the branching region between the proximal and distal region during the embryonic and early pseudoglandular phases of lung development (Figures 2E,F; arrow). During later stages of lung development, FOXP2 is restricted to the distal epithelial cells, while SOX2 is restricted to the proximal epithelial cells (Figures 2G,H). CHD4, one of the catalytic subunits of the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex, was detectable in the embryonic lung until E16, but became significantly expressed in the epithelial cells of the proximal airways from the canalicular stage onward (Figures 1G-I). In the adult lung, CHD4 remained expressed in the epithelial cells of the large airways albeit at lower level (data not shown). CHD4 colocalized at E18 with SOX2 in the epithelial cells lining the proximal airways, thus, at the final stages of embryonic lung development, the spatial and temporal

expression patterns of SOX2 and CHD4 overlap (Figures 2A-D; arrows). The Cut-Like Homeobox1 (CUX1) was initially expressed in the mesenchyme at the earliest stages of lung development until E16, but during the canalicular and saccular phases of the lung significant expression was detected in the epithelial cells of the airways (Figures 1J-L). In the adult mouse lung, CUX1 remained exclusively expressed in the epithelial cells of the large airways. CUX1 expression colocalized with SOX2 in epithelial cells of the large conducting airways at the canalicular stage (E16.5) and saccular stage (E18.5, Figures 2I-L). In conclusion, the spatial and temporal expression of these potential SOX2 interacting proteins correspond to the pattern of SOX2 expression.

FOXP2, FOXP4, CUX1, and CHD4 Physically Interact With SOX2

Since the spatial and temporal expression of SOX2 and its putative partners overlap, the direct interaction between the proteins was validated. Therefore, transient transfections were performed using constructs to express epitope-tagged proteins in different combinations. Immunoprecipitation of a FLAG-tagged SOX2 and either a myc-tagged isoform of FOXP2 or FOXP4 showed that SOX2 interacts with FOXP2 and FOXP4 (**Figures 3A,B**). Comparable immunoprecipitations were performed to validate the interaction between SOX2 and CHD4 using a FLAG-tagged CHD4 and a myc-tagged SOX2 protein, indicating that SOX2 and CHD4 also interact (**Figure 3C**). The



interactions between SOX2 and TCF3 or WDR5 were used as positive controls, as we previously showed that these proteins interact with SOX2 (26).

CUX1 is a large, 200 kDa protein, which is proteolytically processed both at the N- and C-terminus into several isoforms (41). We used a full length CUX1 expression construct containing an N-terminal myc-tag and a C-terminal HA-tag and analyzed which isoforms would be precipitated with the antibodies against the different tags (41). Immunoprecipitation of extracts from transient transfected HEK cells using antibodies against the myc- or HA tag showed that the full length CUX1 protein is proteolytically processed at both termini, as the myc and HA antibody detected different CUX1 isoforms (**Figures 4A,B**). The myc antibody detected isoforms containing the N-terminus, such as the full-length CUX1 protein (p200) and a p55 isoform, whereas the HA antibody detected different isoforms with the C-terminus intact (**Figure 4A**, asterisk). Thus, the full length p200 isoform generates multiple isoforms, presumptively corresponding to the p150, p110, p90, p80, and p75 isoforms as previously shown (Figure 4A, bracket; 41). In addition, a commercial CUX1 specific antibody raised against amino acids 521-621, a region between cut repeat 1 and 2 (CR1 and CR2), primarily recognized the p200 isoform (Figure 4A, right panel). To avoid missing specific interactions between CUX1 and SOX2, we used this antibody and another commercial antibody raised against the C-terminus of CUX1 to perform immunoprecipitations. Both antibodies precipitated the transiently transfected CUX1 protein, and efficiently co-precipitated the SOX2 protein, indicating that CUX1 and SOX2 interact (Figure 4B). Given the complex pattern of processed CUX1 isoforms, we decided to perform a streptavidin precipitation of embryonic trachea and brain protein extracts from birA and bioSOX2/birA mice to verify the interaction between SOX2 and CUX1. Comparing the birA with the bioSOX2/birA, it is clear that the biotinylated SOX2 is specifically precipitated, although the trachea showed



lower amounts reflecting the fact that the trachea consists of several cell types, of which some express SOX2 (**Figures 4C,D**). Concomitantly, CUX1 is unambiguously co-precipitated, albeit more abundantly in the brain than in the trachea (**Figures 4C,D**, arrows). Finally, a bacterial produced GST-SOX2 fusion protein was used to investigate the nature of the interaction between SOX2 and CUX1 (24, 35). Nuclear extracts of HEK cells were incubated with GST-only or the GST-SOX2 fusion protein, resulting in a SOX2 specific precipitation of the p75 CUX1 isoform (**Figure 4E**). Collectively, these data show that CUX1 directly interacts with SOX2 in the developing airway epithelium.

Previously, we showed that the HMG domain of SOX2 is important for the interaction with another interacting protein, XPO4 (24). Therefore, we used three SOX2 deletion proteins to analyze potential domains of interaction (**Figure 5A**). As we already showed the interaction of SOX2 with the full length CUX1, we also used two constructs expressing CUX1 truncated proteins based on their natural processing (33; **Figure 5B**). The full length SOX2 interacts with both CUX1 isoforms, but deletion of the transactivating domain of SOX2 results in reduced association of the short CUX1 isoform. This is even more pronounced when the amino acids 8–40 are removed (F-SOX2- Δ TAD2). Removal of the HMG domain completely abolishes the interaction with the CUX1 isoforms (**Figure 5C**). Collectively, these data show that the N-terminal and DNA-binding HMG domain of SOX are important for the interaction with CUX1. Moreover, the CUX1 domains that interact with SOX2 are within the short isoform of CUX1 containing the CR2, CR3, and HD domains.

SOX2 and CHD4 Have Unique and Common Genomic Targets

Lastly, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by sequencing, to identify if the SOX2 interacting protein CHD4 would also bind to DNA in close vicinity of SOX2. Therefore, the human pluripotent embryonal teratocarcinoma NCCIT cell line, which endogenously expresses SOX2 and CHD4, was used (**Figure 6A**). In total, over 6000 SOX2 specific binding sites were identified and just over 400 sites for CHD4. Gene ontology analysis showed that some of the identified binding sites that were in close proximity to transcriptional start sites in the SOX2 ChIP are associated with lung development, such as ETV4, JAG1, GLI2, SOX21, TP63 (Δ NTP63 isoform promoter), and NOTCH2 (**Figure 6B**, top to bottom). Other interesting lung-associated genes are HHIP, PDPN, BMPR1A, and EPAS1. For CHD4 we identified fewer binding sites, of which FOXK2,



asterisks indicate specific bands in the bound fraction) or against SOX2 (bottom, black asterisks). (**D**) Identical streptavidin precipitation as in panel (**C**), using brain protein extracts. (**E**) Bacterial produced glutathione S-transferase (GST) or GST-fused to full length SOX2 (GST-SOX2) were incubated with nuclear protein extracts of HEK cells. CUX1 specifically precipitated with the GST-SOX2 fusion protein. U = eluate, B = precipitated fraction.

CDH15, and S1PR4 are shown (**Figure 6C**, top to bottom). Of the specific binding sites, 145 binding sites were shared by SOX2 and CHD4, of which RIF1, STRA6, and KNG1 are interesting examples (**Figure 6D**, top to bottom). Overall, we highlight here several examples of genes which may be regulated by SOX2 alone or in combination with CHD4.

DISCUSSION

SOX2 is an important transcription factor during lung development and an early marker of airway epithelium (14, 42, 43). Changes in the level of SOX2 during lung

development leads to aberrant epithelial differentiation, as frequently associated with pediatric lung diseases, such as in congenital pulmonary airway malformation (CPAM) and congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). CDH patients show an altered cellular composition of the airway epithelium, such as hyperplasia of the pulmonary neuroendocrine cell (PNEC) population and hypoplasia of the club cell population (5–7). The transcriptional activity of SOX2 largely depends on its interaction with other proteins and the identification of SOX2-associating proteins may be important to understand this aberrant epithelial differentiation in pediatric lung diseases. Using a mouse strain with a biotinylatable-tag inserted in the endogenous SOX2 locus to purify SOX2-containing complexes



in the lung *in vivo*, we identified FOXP2, FOXP4, CHD4, and CUX1 as SOX2-associating proteins (**Figure 6E**).

Three candidate SOX2 partner proteins, FOXP1, FOXP2, and FOXP4, are involved in various biological processes, including lung development. In this study, interaction of SOX2 with both FOXP2 and FOXP4 was validated. During lung development these FOXP factors have an overlapping expression pattern and specific dimer combinations of FOXP1, FOXP2, and FOXP4 regulate subsets of target genes, including genes of the NOTCH and WNT signaling pathway (44). Interplay between FOXP1 and FOXP2 is necessary for proper lung airway morphogenesis and development of the esophagus (45). A conditional knockout mouse of both factors results in a loss of secretory cells, indicating that FOXP1/4 is involved in secretory cell fate during development and repair (46). Since these cells are derived from SOX2⁺ progenitor cells, a potential interplay between SOX2 and FOXP1/4 could be involved in this process. Although SOX2 is restricted to the proximal airways and FOXP2 to the distal airways during later stages of development, co-localization was observed during the embryonic and early pseudoglandular phase. These double positive cells were localized in the branching site between the proximal and distal region, where also bronchoalveolar stem cells are located, suggesting that the FOXP2-SOX2 complex could be involved in early branching in lung development (47).

Another candidate binding partner identified is the Cut-Like Homeobox1 (CUX1), which we previously also identified as a potential SOX2 partner in neural stem cells (25). CUX1 is expressed in many cell types and organs, including the lung, and is involved in diverse processes, such as cell migration, cell adhesion and motility and it is involved in brain and liver development and cancer. Several CUX1 mutant mice have been generated, and one of these models has shown a role for CUX1 in lung development. $Cutl1^{Z/Z}$ mice, which express an inactive CUX1 protein, die shortly after birth of respiratory distress and showed an aberrant lung epithelium, resulting in a thick, non-functional epithelium. The authors suggested that CUX1 is important for the differentiation of the cuboidal epithelial precursor cells into functional alveolar type I and II cells (48).

SOX2 and CUX1 physically interact and this interaction is dependent on the N-terminal and DNA-binding domain of SOX2 (**Figure 5C**; 33). The same domain is also involved in the interaction with other proteins, such as HDAC2 and XPO4 (24, 33). Previously, it is shown that SOX2 and CUX1 bind to several common target genes, including CHN1 (involved in Duane Retraction Syndrome), HOMER2 (linked to Congenital diaphragmatic hernia), HIF1AN and KCCN2, WDR37, FOXP4, ARL6 (involved in Bardet-Biedl syndrome) (49, 50), and several WNT genes in mouse mammary tumors (51). Altogether, this suggest that CUX1 plays a role in the developing epithelium by interaction with SOX2, regulating downstream target genes for epithelial differentiation, and interestingly, one of which is linked to CDH.

CHD4 is one of the catalytic subunits of the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex, which makes DNA accessible to proteins and protein complexes to mediate several processes including transcription and repair (52). Other components of this complex are HDAC1/2, which are



Frontiers in Pediatrics | www.frontiersin.org

histone deacetylases, the non-enzymatic proteins MBD2/3, the retinoblastoma-binding proteins MTA1/2/3 and GATAD2A/B (52). Several studies have shown potential interaction between SOX2 and MTA1/2/3, MBD2, GATAD2A/B, and HDAC1/2 in different cell lines, including embryonic stem cells, neural stem cells, and embryonal carcinoma cells (25, 33). The NuRD complex is also involved in epithelial injury response in the lung by interacting with the FOXP1/2/4 family, suggesting that this complex is involved in lung injury repair and regeneration (53). Premature born babies that need oxygen supply after birth are mechanically ventilated and thereby exposed to high concentrations of oxygen, causing lung injury (54). The same holds true for the hypoplastic lungs of patients with CDH who develop chronic lung disease in thirty percent of the survivors. Based on the findings of Chokas and colleagues, the NuRD complex and its core component CHD4 could be involved in repair of these injured lungs, making it worthwhile to further investigate the role of CHD4 as a potential partner of SOX2 during lung development.

We also showed that CHD4 and SOX2 bind to the same genomic regions as SOX2, suggesting that the SOX2-CHD4 complex regulates genes that may be involved in the respiratory system.

In conclusion, we identified SOX2 interacting proteins during lung development, which may be important for the differentiation of the airway epithelium. For some, there are indications that these proteins may be associated with pediatric lung diseases, such as CDH. Previously, we showed that CHD7, which is linked to CHARGE syndrome, directly interacts with SOX2, which in turn is linked to AEG syndrome (25). Interestingly, CHARGE and AEG syndromes are frequently confused because of their overlapping clinical presentation, and so, the SOX2-CHD7 interaction may explain this confusion. This, in turn, supports the potential importance of our current findings in relation to understanding the involvement of SOX2 associating proteins in the occurrence of pulmonary epithelium defects in CDH.

REFERENCES

- Kool HM, Burgisser PE, Edel GG, de Kleer I, Boerema-de Munck A, de Laat I, et al. Inhibition of retinoic acid signaling induces aberrant pericyte coverage and differentiation resulting in vascular defects in congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2019) 317:L317–31. doi: 10.1152/ ajplung.00104.2018
- Brosens E, Peters NCJ, van Weelden KS, Bendixen C, Brouwer RWW, Sleutels F, et al. Unraveling the genetics of congenital diaphragmatic hernia: an ongoing challenge. *Front Pediatr.* (2021) 9:800915. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021. 800915
- Edel GG, Schaaf G, Wijnen RMH, Tibboel D, Kardon G, Rottier RJ. Cellular origin(s) of congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Front Pediatr.* (2021) 9:804496. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.804496
- Kardon G, Ackerman KG, McCulley DJ, Shen Y, Wynn J, Shang L, et al. Congenital diaphragmatic hernias: from genes to mechanisms to therapies. *Dis Model Mech.* (2017) 10:955–70. doi: 10.1242/dmm.028365
- Gu X, Karp PH, Brody SL, Pierce RA, Welsh MJ, Holtzman MJ, et al. Chemosensory functions for pulmonary neuroendocrine cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2014) 50:637–46. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2013-0199OC

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: BioProject ID PRJNA816351 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/ PRJNA816351).

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KS, GE, EE, BO, JB, AB-dM, and MB-vK: experimental design, data acquisition, and analysis. PK, PL, JD, RP, and RR: proteomics and genomics analysis. RR: overall project design and financial acquisitions. RW, DT, and RR: management. All authors: writing, correcting, and approval of final manuscript.

FUNDING

This project was funded by the Sophia Foundation for Medical Research project number 641 (KS), S14-12 (EE), and S17-20 (GE).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Yoshihiro Yoneda for the GST-SOX2 constructs, Dr. Svaren for providing the CHD4 plasmid, Professor Morrisey for providing the FOXP2 and FOXP4 constructs, Professor Angie Rizzino for providing the SOX2 mutant plasmids, Alain Nepveu for providing the CUX1 plasmid, and Brad Merrill for the TCF3 construct.

- Ijsselstijn H, Gaillard JL, de Jongste JC, Tibboel D, Cutz E. Abnormal expression of pulmonary bombesin-like peptide immunostaining cells in infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Pediatr Res.* (1997) 42:715–20. doi: 10.1203/00006450-199711000-00026
- Cutz E, Pan J, Yeger H, Domnik NJ, Fisher JT. Recent advances and contraversies on the role of pulmonary neuroepithelial bodies as airway sensors. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* (2013) 24:40–50. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2012.09. 003
- Hashimoto S, Chen H, Que J, Brockway BL, Drake JA, Snyder JC, et al. betacatenin-SOX2 signaling regulates the fate of developing airway epithelium. J *Cell Sci.* (2012) 125:932–42. doi: 10.1242/jcs.092734
- Arjaans S, Wagner BD, Mourani PM, Mandell EW, Poindexter BB, Berger RMF, et al. Early angiogenic proteins associated with high risk for bronchopulmonary dysplasia and pulmonary hypertension in preterm infants. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2020) 318:L644–54. doi: 10.1152/ajplung. 00131.2019
- Rockich BE, Hrycaj SM, Shih HP, Nagy MS, Ferguson MA, Kopp JL, et al. Sox9 plays multiple roles in the lung epithelium during branching morphogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2013) 110:E4456–64. doi: 10.1073/pnas.13118 47110

- Alanis DM, Chang DR, Akiyama H, Krasnow MA, Chen J. Two nested developmental waves demarcate a compartment boundary in the mouse lung. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:3923. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4923
- Desai TJ, Brownfield DG, Krasnow MA. Alveolar progenitor and stem cells in lung development, renewal and cancer. *Nature*. (2014) 507:190–4. doi: 10.1038/nature12930
- Treutlein B, Brownfield DG, Wu AR, Neff NF, Mantalas GL, Espinoza FH, et al. Reconstructing lineage hierarchies of the distal lung epithelium using single-cell RNA-seq. *Nature*. (2014) 509:371–5. doi: 10.1038/nature13173
- Gontan C, de Munck A, Vermeij M, Grosveld F, Tibboel D, Rottier R. Sox2 is important for two crucial processes in lung development: branching morphogenesis and epithelial cell differentiation. *Dev Biol.* (2008) 317:296– 309. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.02.035
- Kapere Ochieng J, Schilders K, Kool H, Buscop-van Kempen M, Boerema-De Munck A, Grosveld F, et al. Differentiated type II pneumocytes can be reprogrammed by ectopic Sox2 expression. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e107248. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107248
- Ackerman KG, Wang J, Luo L, Fujiwara Y, Orkin SH, Beier DR. Gata4 is necessary for normal pulmonary lobar development. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2007) 36:391–7. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2006-0211RC
- Tompkins DH, Besnard V, Lange AW, Wert SE, Keiser AR, Smith AN, et al. Sox2 is required for maintenance and differentiation of bronchiolar clara, ciliated, and goblet cells. *PLoS One.* (2009) 4:e8248. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0008248
- Volckaert T, Campbell A, De Langhe S. c-Myc regulates proliferation and Fgf10 expression in airway smooth muscle after airway epithelial injury in mouse. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e71426. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071426
- Wong KKY, Flake AW, Tibboel D, Rottier RJ, Tam PKH. Congenital pulmonary airway malformation: advances and controversies. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* (2018) 2:290–7. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30035-X
- Que J, Okubo T, Goldenring JR, Nam KT, Kurotani R, Morrisey EE, et al. Multiple dose-dependent roles for Sox2 in the patterning and differentiation of anterior foregut endoderm. *Development*. (2007) 134:2521–31. doi: 10.1242/ dev.003855
- Uchikawa M, Kamachi Y, Kondoh H. Two distinct subgroups of group B Sox genes for transcriptional activators and repressors: their expression during embryonic organogenesis of the chicken. *Mech Dev.* (1999) 84:103–20. doi: 10.1016/s0925-4773(99)00083-0
- Kamachi Y, Kondoh H. Sox proteins: regulators of cell fate specification and differentiation. *Development*. (2013) 140:4129–44. doi: 10.1242/dev.091793
- Kamachi Y, Uchikawa M, Tanouchi A, Sekido R, Kondoh H. Pax6 and SOX2 form a co-DNA-binding partner complex that regulates initiation of lens development. *Genes Dev.* (2001) 15:1272–86. doi: 10.1101/gad.887101
- Gontan C, Guttler T, Engelen E, Demmers J, Fornerod M, Grosveld FG, et al. Exportin 4 mediates a novel nuclear import pathway for Sox family transcription factors. J Cell Biol. (2009) 185:27–34. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200810106
- Engelen E, Akinci U, Bryne JC, Hou J, Gontan C, Moen M, et al. Sox2 cooperates with Chd7 to regulate genes that are mutated in human syndromes. *Nat Genet.* (2011) 43:607–11. doi: 10.1038/ng.825
- Schilders K, Eenjes E, Edel G, de Munck AB, van Kempen MB, Demmers J, et al. Generation of a biotinylatable Sox2 mouse model to identify Sox2 complexes in vivo. *Transgenic Res.* (2018) 27:75–85. doi: 10.1007/s11248-018-0058-1
- Driegen S, Ferreira R, van Zon A, Strouboulis J, Jaegle M, Grosveld F, et al. A generic tool for biotinylation of tagged proteins in transgenic mice. *Transgenic Res.* (2005) 14:477–82. doi: 10.1007/s11248-005-7220-2
- Srinivasan R, Mager GM, Ward RM, Mayer J, Svaren J. NAB2 represses transcription by interacting with the CHD4 subunit of the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex. *J Biol Chem.* (2006) 281:15129– 37. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M600775200
- 29. Shu W, Yang H, Zhang L, Lu MM, Morrisey EE. Characterization of a new subfamily of winged-helix/forkhead (Fox) genes that are expressed in the lung and act as transcriptional repressors. *J Biol Chem.* (2001) 276:27488–97. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M100636200
- Li SR, Weidenfeld J, Morrisey EE. Transcriptional and DNA binding activity of the Foxp1/2/4 family is modulated by heterotypic and homotypic protein interactions. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2004) 24:809–22. doi: 10.1128/MCB.24.2.809-822. 2004

- Pereira L, Yi F, Merrill BJ. Repression of Nanog gene transcription by Tcf3 limits embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2006) 26:7479–91. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00368-06
- Moon NS, Premdas P, Truscott M, Leduy L, Berube G, Nepveu A. S phasespecific proteolytic cleavage is required to activate stable DNA binding by the CDP/Cut homeodomain protein. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2001) 21:6332–45. doi: 10.1128/MCB.21.18.6332-6345.2001
- Cox JL, Mallanna SK, Luo X, Rizzino A. Sox2 uses multiple domains to associate with proteins present in Sox2-protein complexes. *PLoS One.* (2010) 5:e15486. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015486
- 34. Lee JH, Skalnik DG. CpG-binding protein (CXXC finger protein 1) is a component of the mammalian Set1 histone H3-Lys4 methyltransferase complex, the analogue of the yeast Set1/COMPASS complex. J Biol Chem. (2005) 280:41725–31. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M508312200
- Yasuhara N, Shibazaki N, Tanaka S, Nagai M, Kamikawa Y, Oe S, et al. Triggering neural differentiation of ES cells by subtype switching of importinalpha. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2007) 9:72–9. doi: 10.1038/ncb1521
- Nowak DE, Tian B, Brasier AR. Two-step cross-linking method for identification of NF-kappaB gene network by chromatin immunoprecipitation. *Biotechniques*. (2005) 39:715–25. doi: 10.2144/ 000112014
- 37. Kolovos P, Nishimura K, Sankar A, Sidoli S, Cloos PA, Helin K, et al. PR-DUB maintains the expression of critical genes through FOXK1/2and ASXL1/2/3-dependent recruitment to chromatin and H2AK119ub1 deubiquitination. *Genome Res.* (2020) 30:1119–30. doi: 10.1101/gr.26101 6.120
- Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, et al. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2013) 9:e1003118. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118
- 39. de Boer E, Rodriguez P, Bonte E, Krijgsveld J, Katsantoni E, Heck A, et al. Efficient biotinylation and single-step purification of tagged transcription factors in mammalian cells and transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2003) 100:7480-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1332608100
- 40. Lu MM, Li S, Yang H, Morrisey EE. Foxp4: a novel member of the Foxp subfamily of winged-helix genes co-expressed with Foxp1 and Foxp2 in pulmonary and gut tissues. *Gene Expr Patterns*. (2002) 2:223–8. doi: 10.1016/ s1567-133x(02)00058-3
- Truscott M, Denault JB, Goulet B, Leduy L, Salvesen GS, Nepveu A. Carboxylterminal proteolytic processing of CUX1 by a caspase enables transcriptional activation in proliferating cells. J Biol Chem. (2007) 282:30216–26. doi: 10. 1074/jbc.M702328200
- 42. Tompkins DH, Besnard V, Lange AW, Keiser AR, Wert SE, Bruno MD, et al. Sox2 activates cell proliferation and differentiation in the respiratory epithelium. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2011) 45:101–10. doi: 10.1165/rcmb. 2010-0149OC
- Que J, Luo X, Schwartz RJ, Hogan BL. Multiple roles for Sox2 in the developing and adult mouse trachea. *Development*. (2009) 136:1899–907. doi: 10.1242/ dev.034629
- Li S, Morley M, Lu M, Zhou S, Stewart K, French CA, et al. Foxp transcription factors suppress a non-pulmonary gene expression program to permit proper lung development. *Dev Biol.* (2016) 416:338–46. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.06. 020
- Shu W, Lu MM, Zhang Y, Tucker PW, Zhou D, Morrisey EE. Foxp2 and Foxp1 cooperatively regulate lung and esophagus development. *Development*. (2007) 134:1991–2000. doi: 10.1242/dev.02846
- 46. Li S, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Lu MM, DeMayo FJ, Dekker JD, et al. Foxp1/4 control epithelial cell fate during lung development and regeneration through regulation of anterior gradient 2. *Development.* (2012) 139:2500–9. doi: 10. 1242/dev.079699
- Kim CF, Jackson EL, Woolfenden AE, Lawrence S, Babar I, Vogel S, et al. Identification of bronchioalveolar stem cells in normal lung and lung cancer. *Cell.* (2005) 121:823–35. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.032
- Ellis T, Gambardella L, Horcher M, Tschanz S, Capol J, Bertram P, et al. The transcriptional repressor CDP (Cutl1) is essential for epithelial cell differentiation of the lung and the hair follicle. *Genes Dev.* (2001) 15:2307–19. doi: 10.1101/gad.200101
- Wat MJ, Enciso VB, Wiszniewski W, Resnick T, Bader P, Roeder ER, et al. Recurrent microdeletions of 15q25.2 are associated with increased risk of

congenital diaphragmatic hernia, cognitive deficits and possibly Diamond-Blackfan anaemia. *J Med Genet.* (2010) 47:777-81. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2009. 075903

- Yu L, Wynn J, Ma L, Guha S, Mychaliska GB, Crombleholme TM, et al. De novo copy number variants are associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. J Med Genet. (2012) 49:650–9. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-101135
- Cadieux C, Kedinger V, Yao L, Vadnais C, Drossos M, Paquet M, et al. Mouse mammary tumor virus p75 and p110 CUX1 transgenic mice develop mammary tumors of various histologic types. *Cancer Res.* (2009) 69:7188–97. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-4899
- Allen HF, Wade PA, Kutateladze TG. The NuRD architecture. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2013) 70:3513–24. doi: 10.1007/s00018-012-1256-2
- Chokas AL, Trivedi CM, Lu MM, Tucker PW, Li S, Epstein JA, et al. Foxp1/2/4-NuRD interactions regulate gene expression and epithelial injury response in the lung via regulation of interleukin-6. *J Biol Chem.* (2010) 285:13304–13. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.088468
- Baraldi E, Filippone M. Chronic lung disease after premature birth. N Engl J Med. (2007) 357:1946–55. doi: 10.1056/nejmra067279

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Schilders, Edel, Eenjes, Oresta, Birkhoff, Boerema-de Munck, Buscop-van Kempen, Liakopoulos, Kolovos, Demmers, Poot, Wijnen, Tibboel and Rottier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.