FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Brain and Spine



journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/brain-and-spine

Cryopreservation of autologous bone flaps following decompressive craniectomy: A new method reduced positive cultures without increase in post-cranioplasty infection rate



Sofia Melin^a, Ismene Haase^b, Martin Nilsson^a, Carina Claesson^c, Åse Östholm Balkhed^d, Lovisa Tobieson^{a,*}

^a Department of Neurosurgery in Linköping, and Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

^b Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care in Linköping, and Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

^c Department of Clinical Microbiology, and Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

^d Department of Infectious Diseases in Östergötland, and Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bacterial culture Cryopreservation Decompressive craniectomy Cranioplasty Pulsed lavage

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cranioplasty (CP) after decompressive craniectomy (DC) is a common neurosurgical procedure. Implementation of European Union (EU) directives recommending bacterial cultures before cryopreservation, lead to increased number of autologous bone flaps being discarded due to positive cultures. A new method for handling bone flaps prior to cryopreservation, including the use of pulsed lavage, was developed. *Research question:* The aim was to evaluate the effect of a new method on proportion of positive bacterial cultures

Research question: The aim was to evaluate the effect of a new method on proportion of positive bacterial cultures and surgical site infection (SSI) following CP surgery.

Material and methods: Sixty-one bone flaps from 53 consecutive DC surgery patients were retrospectively included and the study period was divided into before and after method implementation. Patient demographics, laboratory and culture results, type of CP and occurrence of SSI were analyzed.

Results: Twenty-six and 18 bone flaps were available for analysis during the first and second period, respectively. The proportion of positive bacterial cultures was higher in the first period compared to the second (n = 9(35%) vs 0(0%); p = 0.001), and thus the use of custom made implants was considerably higher in the first study period (p = 0.001). There was no difference in the frequency of post-cranioplasty SSI between the first and second study period (n = 3 (11.5%) vs 1 (4.8%), p = 0.408).

Discussion and conclusion: The new method for handling bone flaps resulted in a lower frequency of positive bacterial cultures, without increased frequency of post-cranioplasty SSI, thus demonstrating it is safe to use, allows compliance with the EU-directives, and may reduce unnecessary discarding of bone flaps.

1. Introduction

Decompressive craniectomy (DC) is a neurosurgical life-saving procedure for treating elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) that is refractory to medical therapy. Common causes of elevated ICP are brain edema due to traumatic brain injury (TBI), malignant middle cerebral artery (MCA) infarction, or aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH), where DC has shown to be efficient in decreasing mortality rates and improving neurological outcomes (Ban et al., 2010; Diedler et al., 2009; Honeybul et al., 2014; Juttler et al., 2007; Sahuquillo and Arikan, 2006; Schirmer and Ackil, 2008; Smith et al., 2002; Unterberg and Juettler, 2007; Vahedi et al., 2007). After the cerebral swelling has resolved, cranioplasty (CP) is performed for cosmetic reconstruction of the skull defect and to restore brain hemodynamics to help optimize neurologic recovery (Sakamoto et al., 2006; Winkler et al., 2000; Kuo et al., 2004; Erdogan et al., 2003; Agner et al., 2002).

Various materials have been used for CP, of which autologous bone is preferred due to its low cost and perfect match to the bone defect, although it carries risk of bone flap resorption (BFR). In cases when the autologous bone flap option is unavailable, custom made implants may

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bas.2022.100919

Received 30 May 2022; Received in revised form 11 July 2022; Accepted 19 July 2022 Available online 22 July 2022

2772-5294/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of EUROSPINE, the Spine Society of Europe, EANS, the European Association of Neurosurgical Societies. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: BFR, bone flap resorption; CP, cranioplasty; DC, decompressive craniectomy; ICP, intracranial pressure; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; SSI, surgical site infection; TBI, traumatic brain injury; GCS-m, Glasgow coma scale motor score.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital in Linköping, 581 85, Linköping, Sweden.

E-mail address: lovisa.tobieson@regionostergotland.se (L. Tobieson).

be used. Regardless of material used, surgical site infection (SSI) after CP is a severe complication with incidence rate exceeding 20% (Cheng et al., 2008; Gooch et al., 2009; Broughton et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2014; Coulter et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015; Sundseth et al., 2014; Brommeland et al., 2015; Shibahashi et al., 2017). Despite several different methods of sterilization and preservation of bone flaps have been studied over the last decades, there is no standardised best practice guidelines (Mirabet et al., 2021). The most commonly used techniques involve cryopreservation or subcutaneous implantation in the abdominal pocket.

In order to be compliant to the European directives 2004/23/EC, 2006/86/EC and 2015/565/EC the standard protocol in EU now includes obtaining a culture swab of the extracted autologous bone flap prior to its cryopreservation. This screening for microbial contamination has resulted in positive cultures of unknown significance. However, our department protocol only allows for reimplantation of bone flaps with negative cultures, leading to increased amounts of discarded bone flaps and thus increasing the use of custom made implants. To address this problem, the authors developed a new method for handling cryopreserved bone flaps including pulsed lavage of the bone flap preceding culture swab. Pulsed lavage is standard in orthopaedic and general surgery procedures to reduce the rate of SSIs (Bath et al., 2021), and has synergistic effects combined with antimicrobial therapy in an *in-vitro* study (Poilvache et al., 2020). The objective of the present study was to retrospectively compare the rates of positive cultures and SSI in patients who underwent CP before and after the new method was implemented.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Authority in Sweden (Ref.no 2021–05372), which waived the need for written informed consent from the patients as this was a retrospective study.

Consecutive patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy (DC) and bone flap cryopreservation in the Neurosurgical Department, University hospital in Linköping, Sweden, with a catchment area of 1 million people were included. Clinical and demographic parameters, laboratory results, microbiological culture results and clinical evaluation at twelve months and at last available follow up date were retrieved from patient medical records. Post-cranioplasty infection was defined as either having gone through revision surgery for infection or aseptic bone resorption with subsequent positive perioperative bacterial cultures.

The study period was divided into two periods were the first period (September 2017 to September 2019) was prior to the change in routine handling of bone flaps and the second period (October 2019 through to April 2021) was after the change in routine handling of bone flaps.

The routine perioperative antibiotic given was cloxacillin 2 g intravenously 30 min before incision. This was not changed between the two study periods. Furthermore, there were no changes made during the entire study period in the preoperative preparations for surgery, routine preoperative showers, perioperative sterile draping, suture material, surgical instruments or post-operative wound dressing. No changes between the periods were made in routine blood tests including tests for blood borne infectious diseases which were taken at the time of surgery.

2.2. Old method of handling bone flaps

After removal from the patient the bone flap was stored on the scrub nurse instrumentation table wrapped in surgical swabs drenched in saline solution in a sterile cup. At the end of surgery, the bone flap was cleansed from soft tissue and subsequently a piece of bone for deep tissue culture was secured. The piece of bone was incubated in a broth culture. Instructions were to use uncontaminated instruments, not used previously during the surgery, to procure this piece of bone. No swab culture was taken. Subsequently the bone flap was packaged in several layers of surgical swabs, a sterile surgical glove, sterile bags and identified clearly with patient ID. This entire procedure was done by the scrub nurse. Following the end of surgery, the packaged bone flap was delivered to the hospital bone freezer which is used strictly for autologous and allogenous bone transplantation tissue.

2.3. New method of handling bone flaps

After removal from the patient the bone flap was stored on the scrub nurse instrumentation table wrapped in surgical swabs drenched in saline solution in a sterile cup. At the end of DC surgery the bone flap was cleansed from any soft tissue. Surgical gloves were changed and the bone flap was then rinsed using pulse lavage (Pulsavac Plus, Zimmer Biomet, Zürich, Switzerland) with saline solution. Plastic covers were arranged around a bowl in an effort to avoid the rinse water splashing back up at the bone flap. A culture swab (Eswab standard, Unilabs, Skövde, Sweden) was then taken from the surface of the bone flap and sent to the microbiology laboratory. The bone flap was packaged in surgical swabs, a sterile surgical glove, and sterile bags in the same manner as previously, identified clearly and subsequently delivered to the bone freezer. This entire procedure was carried out by the scrub nurse (Fig. 2).

2.4. Bacterial cultures

All cultures were delivered directly to the laboratory of Clinical Microbiology if possible. If immediate delivery was not possible deep cultures were stored in a heat cupboard (35 $^{\circ}$ C), and Eswab cultures in refrigerator (8 $^{\circ}$ C) until delivery to the laboratory.

Deep cultures taken from pieces of bone were cultured in MH-broth (MH Difco Broth, BD, USA) for seven days. If there was no visible bacterial growth in the vial a control culture was performed. The broth was cultured on a hematin plate (CG agar (EO labs, Scotland) with hemoglobin (Bovine Freeze dried, BBL Dickinson, France)) incubated in carbon dioxide for two days, and an anaerobic plate without antibiotics (Fastidious Anaerobe Agar Neogen with horse blood, United Kingdom), incubated for four days in an anaerobic environment. If there was growth in the vial these bacteria were cultured on a hematin plate, an anaerobic plate without antibiotics, UTI-agar plate (Brilliance TM UTI medium Oxoid, United Kingdom), and a blood agar plate (Columbia agar base with horse blood, Difco, Neogen, USA) all of which were incubated in regular air for two days. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) was used for microbial identification and antibiotic susceptibility was determined using disc diffusion or E-test on agar plate (Mullerhinton II agar, BBL TM, USA or Muller Hinton NAD, BBL TM, USA) with NAD-solution for disc diffusion in beta-hemolytic streptococci and other streptococci. The culture method was not changed during the entire study period.

Eswab cultures taken from the bone surface were cultured on Hematin agar, Staf-chromar agar, UTI agar and streptococcus agar plate. All plates were incubated for two days in 35 °C. The hematin plate in carbon dioxide, streptococcus plate in an anaerobic environment and the UTI and staf-chrom plate in regular air.

2.5. Statistical method

Normally distributed data are presented as mean and standard deviation. Non-normally distributed ordinal data are presented as median and interquartile range. Nominal data are presented as numbers and percentages. Normally distributed data were analyzed using students ttest, ordinal data using Mann Whitney *U* test and nominal data using χ^2 test. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 27.0 (IBM, Kista, Sweden).

3. Results

Sixty-one bone flaps from 53 consecutive patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy (DC) were included in the study, 34 in the

first study period (September 2017–September 2019) and 27 in the second study period (October 2019–April 2021; Fig. 1). Eight bone flaps in the first period and five in the second period were lost to follow-up due to either the patient passing away before cranioplasty (CP) (n = 7), no access to medical records of CP surgery (n = 3), or opting out of CP (n = 4). Thus 26 bone flaps from 22 patients and 21 bone flaps from 19 patients were analyzed from the first and second study period, respectively (Fig. 1).

There was no difference in mean age at time of DC (40.8 (±16.0) years vs. 46.8 (±21.2) years, p = 0.270), proportion male patients (17 (65%) vs. 12 (57%); p = 0.563), median [IQR] Glasgow coma scale motor score (GCS-m; 6 [3-6] vs 5 [4-6], median [IQR] score according to American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status (2 [1-3] vs 2 [2-3], p = 0.798), mean body mass index (BMI; 29.9 (±15.4) vs 28.3 (±8.0), p = 0.798), between the first and second study period (Table 1). There was no difference in levels of hemoglobin (Hb), or C-reactive protein (CRP) between the groups (Table 1), whereas leukocyte count was higher in the first period compared to the second period (16.1 (±6.4) vs 10.3 (±2.8) x10⁹/L, p < 0.001, Table 1). The proportion of patients with one or more comorbidities was lower in the first study period compared to the second (n = 7 (28%) vs n = 13 (62%); p = 0.021; Table 1).

There was no difference between the two periods in mean time between the DC and CP surgery (8.7 (\pm 6.0) vs. 6.6 (\pm 3.6) months, p = 0.153), proportion of patients receiving antibiotics within 45 min of start of surgery at DC (n = 13 (81%) vs. n = 12 (92%), p = 0.390, 38% missing data) and CP surgery, respectively (n = 15 (83%) vs n = 13 (81%), p = 0.874; 28% missing data; Table 2) or proportion of patients receiving broad spectrum antibiotics at the time of DC surgery in the first study period compared to the second (n = 8 (35%) vs. n = 3 (16%), respectively, p = 0.163; Table 2). There was significantly fewer bone flaps in several pieces in the first study period compared to the second (n = 5 (19%) vs n = 10 (48%), p = 0.038; Table 2). Mean follow-up time was longer in the first period compared to the second period as all patients were followed to last available date (27.6 (11) vs 12.8 (6) months, respectively, p < 0.001). There was no difference in the frequency of post-cranioplasty infection of bone flaps (3 (11.5%) vs 1 (4.8%; p = 0.408) between the first and second study period. Post-cranioplasty infection was diagnosed at 17, 38 and 556 days post-surgery in the first group and after 30 days in the second group. The proportion of positive cultures was significantly higher in the first period compared to the second (9 (35%) vs 0 (0%); p < 0.001), as was the proportion of missing cultures (8 (31%) vs 1 (5%); p < 0.001). The use of custom-made flaps instead of autologous bone flaps was considerably higher in the first study period compared to the second (13 (50%) vs 1 (5%); p = 0.001). One patient in the second study period, a 6-month-old boy, had his bone flap removed due to bone flap resorption.

Bacterial species in positive cultures consisted of *Streptococcus angi*nosus (n = 1), *Cutibacterium acnes* (n = 4), methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA; n = 2), and oxacillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (n = 2).

There was a difference in the number of patients with primary CNS infections in the two study periods, with five patients undergoing DC due to meningitis or intracranial abscess during the first period, and none in the second period. Of the positive bacterial cultures in the first study period (n = 9), however, only two came from patients with primary CNS-infections (*Streptococcus anginosus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*).

4. Discussion

The new method of using pulsed lavage prior to culture swab and cryopreservation resulted in a significant reduction in positive cultures without any increase in frequency of post-cranioplasty infections, thus reducing the number of bone flaps being unnecessarily discarded.

In the current study, overall SSI rate was 8.5%, which is similar to what has previously been reported (Cheng et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). The proportion of positive cultures was 35% in the first study period compared to 0% in the second period. The number of primary CNS infection as a cause for decompressive craniectomy (DC) was higher in the first study period (n = 5) compared to the second period (n = 0) which may contribute to the great



Fig. 1. Flow chart of included patients.

Sixty-one bone flaps from 53 consecutive patients were included in the study in total, with 34 and 27 bone flaps in the first and second study period, respectively. In the first study period eight bone flaps were lost to follow-up in the first period due to death prior to cranioplasty (CP; n = 3), no access to records of CP (n = 3) or deliberate choice not to have CP surgery (n = 2). In the second period five bone flaps were lost to follow-up due to patient death prior to cranioplasty (n = 4), or patients deliberate choice not to have CP surgery (n = 1). This resulted in 26 bone flaps included in the first study period and 21 bone flaps in the second study period.





A) A decompressive craniectomy is performed and the bone flap is removed from the patient. B) The bone flap is cleansed from any soft tissue and rinsed with saline solution. C) The bone flap is rinsed using pulsed lavage with saline solution. Plastic covers are arranged around a bowl in order to avoid the rinse water splashing back and re-contaminating the bone flap. D) A culture swab is taken from the surface of the bone flap and sent to the laboratory. The bone flap is packaged in surgical swabs, a sterile surgical glove, and a sterile bag. The bag is then tagged with identification data and delivered to the bone freezer for cryopreservation.

difference in positive cultures, although the majority of the positive cultures (3/5) in the first study period came from patients without primary CNS-infection. Another potential confounding factor to the difference in positive cultures between the study periods is the difference in how the culture was sampled. In the first study period a piece of bone was cultured whereas a swab from the surface of the bone was cultured in the second study period. Therefore, it is not determined if the reduction in positive cultures is a result of merely the new method of handling the bone flap, the difference in culture sampling, or a combination of the two changes implemented. A recent study investigated 754 cranioplasty (CP) operations and found 20% positive cultures taken in absence of clinical infection at the time of the initial DC. However, the culture results could not predict post-cranioplasty infection, and SSI that occurred were caused by organisms that differed from those in the original culture swab (Morton et al., 2016). Similarly, in a study of 377 cranioplasty procedures the frequency of SSI did not increase after reimplantation of bone flaps with positive cultures, despite 50% being contaminated with primarily skin flora such as Cutibacterium acnes, Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci (Chiang et al., 2011). These pathogens also caused eight out of nine positive cultures in the current study.

Length of follow-up was longer in the first study group compared to the second, as all patients were followed until data analysis. Although the

Table 1

Parameter	First study period (N = 26 bone flaps)	Second study period $(N = 21 \text{ bone flaps})$	<i>p</i> - value
DC surgery			
Age (years), mean	40.8 (16.0)	46.8 (21.2)	0.270
(SD)	26	21	
Available for analysis			
BMI at DC, mean (SD)	29.9 (15.4)	28.3 (8.0)	0.798
Available for analysis	11	8	
Male patients, n (%)	17 (65)	12 (57)	0.563
Available for analysis	26	21	
One or more	7 (28)	13 (62)	0.021
comorbidities, n (%)	26	21	
Available for analysis			
Immunosuppressive	2 (8)	1 (6)	0.729
therapy incl.	24	18	
cortisone, n (%)			
Available for analysis			
ASA group, median	2 [1-3]	2 [2-3]	0.798
[IQR]	20	17	
Available for analysis			
GCS-m, median [IQR]	6 [3-6]	5 [4-6]	0.674
Available for analysis	26	21	
Indication DC, n (%)			0.046
Trauma	9 (35)	9 (43)	
Stroke	9 (35)	12 (57)	
Infection	7 (27) ‡	0 (0) ‡	
Perioperative	1 (4) ‡	0 (0) ‡	
edema			
Right side, n (%)	14 (54)	13 (62)	0.579
Available for analysis	26	21	
Hemoglobin (g/L),	128 (17)	119 (17)	0.093
mean (SD)	26	21	
Available for analysis			
CRP (mg/L), mean	57 (78)	62 (66)	0.803
(SD)	23	19	
Available for analysis			
Leukocyte count	16.1 (6.4)	10.3 (2.8)	0.000
(x10 ⁹ /L), mean	26	21	
(SD)			
Available for analysis			
CP surgery			
BMI at CP, mean (SD)	26.8 (6)	26.3 (5)	0.831
Available for analysis	15	13	
ASA group, median	3 [2-3]	3 [2-3]	0.617
[IOR]	21	16	
Available for analysis			
Hemoglobin (g/L)	143 (13)	135 (13)	0.056
mean (SD)	24	17	0.000
Available for analysis	21	17	
CRP (mg/L) mean	15 (9)	11 (14)	0.588
(SD)	7	4	0.000
Available for analysis	,		
Leukocyte count	84(30)	75(17)	0.252
(x10 ⁹ /L) mean	23	17	0.202
(SD)			
Available for analysis			
anabic jor unarysis			

‡ cells with expected count less than five.

Abbreviations: DC = decompressive craniectomy, CP = cranioplasty, BMI = body mass index; ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; CRP = c-reactive protein; incl. = including; GCS-m = Glasgow Coma Scale motor score.

majority of patients were followed more than twelve months also in the second group, the shorter follow-up time could lead to low-virulent infections being underreported in the second study period. However, a previous study of cranioplasty cases showed median time of SSI infection at 31 days, thus the duration of follow-up of 12.8 months in the second study period in the current study should be sufficient (Morton et al., 2016).

Table 2

characteristics of surgery.

Parameter	First study period $(N = 26 \text{ bone flaps})$	Second study period $(N = 21 \text{ bone flaps})$	p- value
DC surgery			_
Antibiotics within 45 min.	13 (81)	12 (92)	0.390
n (%)	16	13	
Available for analysis			
Broad-spectrum	8 (35)	3 (16)	0.163
antibiotics, n (%)	23	19	
Available for analysis			
Time for surgery DC,	190 (40)	254 (78)	0.067
(min), mean (SD)	8	6	
Available for analysis			
DC at repeat surgery, n (%)	3 (12)	3 (14)	0.779
Available for analysis	26	21	
Bone flap in several pieces,	5 (19)	10 (48)	0.038
n (%)	26	21	
Available for analysis			
CP surgery			
Time DC to CP (months),	8.7 (6.0)	6.6 (3.6)	0.153
mean (SD)	26	21	
Available for analysis			
Three or more cranial	7 (29)	1 (7)	0.108
surgeries before CP, n	24	14	
(%)			
Available for analysis			
Antibiotics within 45 min,	15 (83)	12 (92)	0.390
n (%)	18	13	
Available for analysis			
Broad spectrum antibiotic	2 (8)	5 (28)	0.094
profylaxis, n (%)	24	18	
Available for analysis			
Prolonged antibiotic	18 (82)	17 (100)	0.063
prophylaxis, n (%)	22	17	
Available for analysis			
Time for surgery CP, (min),	349 (181)	197 (101)	0.076
mean (SD)	7	11	
Available for analysis			

Abbreviations: DC = decompressive craniectomy; CP = cranioplasty; min = minutes.

Davis et al. stated in 1999 that 63% of surgeries show contamination in the operating field, including surgical instruments (Davis et al., 1999). This contamination of the operating field results in a high risk of positive cultures with unclear significance. Although the pathogens colonizing the bone flap may be less likely to cause SSI, it is essential that the bone flap is thoroughly cleansed prior to culture swab to avoid unnecessary positive cultures and subsequent discarding of bone flaps. Different types of irrigation and pulsed lavage has historically been used in orthopedics and abdominal surgery in order to reduce SSI rates (Bath et al., 2021). A strong relationship has previously been demonstrated between bacterial load in the wound and risk of SSI, where pressurized irrigation of contaminated wounds has been found to significantly reduce bacterial colonization (Owens and Stoessel, 2008; Rodeheaver et al., 1975). The high pressure irrigation method has, however, also been associated with increased tissue trauma (Wheeler et al., 1976). In the current study, the risk of harm is minimal since the bone flap is extracted and isolated from the patient whilst cleansed. However, the role of pulsed lavage in neurosurgery is limited to extracranial usage for this particular reason.

Bone flap resorption (BFR) is a known long-term complication of CP with autologous bone flap. In this study BFR occurred in one patient, a 6-months-old boy, consistently with previous reports of BFR being associated with young age (Martin et al., 2014; Mracek et al., 2015; Schwarz et al., 2016). BFR can occur long time after CP, thus this study could underreport the frequency of BFR. However, the aim of the study was to investigate the frequency of SSI following the implementation of the new method, not BFR. BFR is, nevertheless a problematic issue which may occur after CP with autologous bone flaps. Longer term follow-up is encouraged to evaluate the frequency of BFR.

This is a single-center study, with a relatively small number of patients. However, numbers are large enough to draw conclusions with statistical certainty and this clinically relevant problem which affects many neurosurgical centers around Europe is pressing. Further clinical studies with larger study samples and longer follow-up are encouraged.

5. Conclusion

The new method of handling bone flaps at our center resulted in a drastically lower frequency of positive bacterial cultures, without any increase in frequency of post-cranioplasty infections, thus allowing compliance with the EU-directives, without leading to unnecessary discarding of bone flaps.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Agner, C., Dujovny, M., Gaviria, M., 2002. Neurocognitive assessment before and after cranioplasty. Acta Neurochir. 144 (10), 1033–1040 discussion 40.
- Ban, S.P., Son, Y.J., Yang, H.J., Chung, Y.S., Lee, S.H., Han, D.H., 2010. Analysis of complications following decompressive craniectomy for traumatic brain injury. J. Kor. Neurosurg. Soc. 48 (3), 244–250.
- Bath, M.F., Suresh, R., Davies, J., Machesney, M.R., 2021. Does pulsed lavage reduce the risk of surgical site infection? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Hosp. Infect. 118, 32–39.
- Brommeland, T., Rydning, P.N., Pripp, A.H., Helseth, E., 2015. Cranioplasty complications and risk factors associated with bone flap resorption. Scand. J. Trauma Resuscitation Emerg. Med. 23, 75.
- Broughton, E., Pobereskin, L., Whitfield, P.C., 2014. Seven years of cranioplasty in a regional neurosurgical centre. Br. J. Neurosurg. 28 (1), 34–39.
- Chang, V., Hartzfeld, P., Langlois, M., Mahmood, A., Seyfried, D., 2010. Outcomes of cranial repair after craniectomy. J. Neurosurg. 112 (5), 1120–1124.
- Cheng, Y.K., Weng, H.H., Yang, J.T., Lee, M.H., Wang, T.C., Chang, C.N., 2008. Factors affecting graft infection after cranioplasty. J. Clin. Neurosci. 15 (10), 1115–1119.
- Chiang, H.Y., Steelman, V.M., Pottinger, J.M., Schlueter, A.J., Diekema, D.J., Greenlee, J.D., et al., 2011. Clinical significance of positive cranial bone flap cultures and associated risk of surgical site infection after craniotomies or craniectomies. J. Neurosurg. 114 (6), 1746–1754.
- Coulter, I.C., Pesic-Smith, J.D., Cato-Addison, W.B., Khan, S.A., Thompson, D., Jenkins, A.J., et al., 2014. Routine but risky: a multi-centre analysis of the outcomes of cranioplasty in the Northeast of England. Acta Neurochir. 156 (7), 1361–1368.
- Davis, N., Curry, A., Gambhir, A.K., Panigrahi, H., Walker, C.R., Wilkins, E.G., et al., 1999. Intraoperative bacterial contamination in operations for joint replacement. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br. 81 (5), 886–889.
- Diedler, J., Sykora, M., Blatow, M., Juttler, E., Unterberg, A., Hacke, W., 2009. Decompressive surgery for severe brain edema. J. Intensive Care Med. 24 (3), 168–178.
- Erdogan, E., Duz, B., Kocaoglu, M., Izci, Y., Sirin, S., Timurkaynak, E., 2003. The effect of cranioplasty on cerebral hemodynamics: evaluation with transcranial Doppler sonography. Neurol. India 51 (4), 479–481.
- Gooch, M.R., Gin, G.E., Kenning, T.J., German, J.W., 2009. Complications of cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy: analysis of 62 cases. Neurosurg. Focus 26 (6), E9.
- Honeybul, S., Ho, K.M., Lind, C.R., Gillett, G.R., 2014. Validation of the CRASH model in the prediction of 18-month mortality and unfavorable outcome in severe traumatic brain injury requiring decompressive craniectomy. J. Neurosurg. 120 (5), 1131–1137.
- Huang, Y.H., Yang, T.M., Lee, T.C., Chen, W.F., Yang, K.Y., 2013. Acute autologous bone flap infection after cranioplasty for postinjury decompressive craniectomy. Injury 44 (1), 44–47.
- Juttler, E., Schwab, S., Schmiedek, P., Unterberg, A., Hennerici, M., Woitzik, J., et al., 2007. Decompressive surgery for the treatment of malignant infarction of the middle cerebral artery (DESTINY): a randomized, controlled trial. Stroke 38 (9), 2518–2525.
- Kim, H., Sung, S.O., Kim, S.J., Kim, S.R., Park, I.S., Jo, K.W., 2013. Analysis of the factors affecting graft infection after cranioplasty. Acta Neurochir. 155 (11), 2171–2176.
- Kim, J.S., Park, I.S., Kim, S.K., Park, H., Kang, D.H., Lee, C.H., et al., 2015. Analysis of the risk factors affecting the surgical site infection after cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy. Korean J. Nutr. 11 (2), 100–105.

S. Melin et al.

- Kuo, J.R., Wang, C.C., Chio, C.C., Cheng, T.J., 2004. Neurological improvement after cranioplasty - analysis by transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. J. Clin. Neurosci. 11 (5), 486–489.
- Martin, K.D., Franz, B., Kirsch, M., Polanski, W., von der Hagen, M., Schackert, G., et al., 2014. Autologous bone flap cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy is combined with a high complication rate in pediatric traumatic brain injury patients. Acta Neurochir. 156 (4), 813–824.
- Mirabet, V., Garcia, D., Yague, N., Larrea, L.R., Arbona, C., Botella, C., 2021. The storage of skull bone flaps for autologous cranioplasty: literature review. Cell Tissue Bank. 22 (3), 355–367.
- Morton, R.P., Abecassis, I.J., Hanson, J.F., Barber, J., Nerva, J.D., Emerson, S.N., et al., 2016. Predictors of infection after 754 cranioplasty operations and the value of intraoperative cultures for cryopreserved bone flaps. J. Neurosurg. 125 (3), 766–770.
- Mracek, J., Hommerova, J., Mork, J., Richtr, P., Priban, V., 2015. Complications of cranioplasty using a bone flap sterilised by autoclaving following decompressive craniectomy. Acta Neurochir. 157 (3), 501–506.
- Owens, C.D., Stoessel, K., 2008. Surgical site infections: epidemiology, microbiology and prevention. J. Hosp. Infect. 70 (Suppl. 2), 3–10.
- Poilvache, H., Ruiz-Sorribas, A., Sakoulas, G., Rodriguez-Villalobos, H., Cornu, O., Van Bambeke, F., 2020. Synergistic effects of pulsed lavage and antimicrobial therapy against Staphylococcus aureus biofilms in an in-vitro model. Front. Med. 7, 527.
- Rodeheaver, G.T., Pettry, D., Thacker, J.G., Edgerton, M.T., Edlich, R.F., 1975. Wound cleansing by high pressure irrigation. Surg. Gynecol. Obstet. 141 (3), 357–362.
- Sahuquillo, J., Arikan, F., 2006. Decompressive craniectomy for the treatment of refractory high intracranial pressure in traumatic brain injury. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 1, CD003983.
- Sakamoto, S., Eguchi, K., Kiura, Y., Arita, K., Kurisu, K., 2006. CT perfusion imaging in the syndrome of the sinking skin flap before and after cranioplasty. Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg. 108 (6), 583–585.

- Schirmer, C.M., Ackil Jr., A.A., 2008. Malek AM. Decompressive Craniectomy. Neurocrit Care. 8 (3), 456–470.
- Schwarz, F., Dunisch, P., Walter, J., Sakr, Y., Kalff, R., Ewald, C., 2016. Cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy: is there a rationale for an initial artificial bone-substitute implant? A single-center experience after 631 procedures. J. Neurosurg. 124 (3), 710–715.
- Shibahashi, K., Hoda, H., Takasu, Y., Hanakawa, K., Ide, T., Hamabe, Y., 2017. Cranioplasty outcomes and analysis of the factors influencing surgical site infection: a retrospective review of more than 10 Years of institutional experience. World Neurosurg. 101, 20–25.
- Smith, E.R., Carter, B.S., Ogilvy, C.S., 2002. Proposed use of prophylactic decompressive craniectomy in poor-grade aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage patients presenting with associated large sylvian hematomas. Neurosurgery 51 (1), 117–124. ; discussion 24.
- Sundseth, J., Sundseth, A., Berg-Johnsen, J., Sorteberg, W., Lindegaard, K.F., 2014. Cranioplasty with autologous cryopreserved bone after decompressive craniectomy: complications and risk factors for developing surgical site infection. Acta Neurochir. 156 (4), 805–811 discussion 11.
- Unterberg, A., Juettler, E., 2007. The role of surgery in ischemic stroke: decompressive surgery. Curr. Opin. Crit. Care 13 (2), 175–179.
- Vahedi, K., Hofmeijer, J., Juettler, E., Vicaut, E., George, B., Algra, A., et al., 2007. Early decompressive surgery in malignant infarction of the middle cerebral artery: a pooled analysis of three randomised controlled trials. Lancet Neurol. 6 (3), 215–222.
- Wheeler, C.B., Rodeheaver, G.T., Thacker, J.G., Edgerton, M.T., Edilich, R.F., 1976. Sideeffects of high pressure irrigation. Surg. Gynecol. Obstet. 143 (5), 775–778.
- Winkler, P.A., Stummer, W., Linke, R., Krishnan, K.G., Tatsch, K., 2000. Influence of cranioplasty on postural blood flow regulation, cerebrovascular reserve capacity, and cerebral glucose metabolism. J. Neurosurg. 93 (1), 53–61.