INVESTIGATION



Highly Efficient Cpf1-Mediated Gene Targeting in Mice Following High Concentration Pronuclear Injection

Dawn E. Watkins-Chow,^{*,1} Gaurav K. Varshney,^{†,1} Lisa J. Garrett,[‡] Zelin Chen,[§] Erin A. Jimenez,[§] Cecilia Rivas,[‡] Kevin S. Bishop,^{**} Raman Sood,^{**} Ursula L. Harper,^{††} William J. Pavan,^{*,2}

and Shawn M. Burgess^{§,2}

*Genetic Disease Research Branch, [‡]Embryonic Stem Cell and Transgenic Mouse Core, [§]Translational and Functional Genomics Branch, **Zebrafish Core, Translational and Functional Genomics Branch, and ^{††}Genomics Core, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, and [†]Functional and Chemical Genomics Program, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-4355-0868 (D.E.W.-C.); 0000-0002-0429-1904 (G.K.V.); 0000-0001-5565-662X (R.S.); 0000-0001-8281-5120 (W.J.P.); 0000-0003-1147-0596 (S.M.B.)

ABSTRACT Cpf1 has emerged as an alternative to the Cas9 RNA-guided nuclease. Here we show that gene targeting rates in mice using Cpf1 can meet, or even surpass, Cas9 targeting rates (approaching 100% targeting), but require higher concentrations of mRNA and guide. We also demonstrate that coinjecting two guides with close targeting sites can result in synergistic genomic cutting, even if one of the guides has minimal cutting activity.

KEYWORDS

Cpf1 nuclease genome editing CRISPR mouse

The CRISPR/Cas9 systems have revolutionized genome editing in many model and nonmodel organisms. Because of its high efficiency and simplicity of target design, CRISPR/Cas9 is now being used for a wide variety of molecular biology applications (Sander and Joung 2014). The technique was simplified with the use of single guide RNAs (sgRNA), containing a target site and chimeric crRNA and tracrRNA sequences, that can direct Cas9 to the target site (Hsu *et al.* 2014). Target recognition only requires the presence of a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) at the 3' end of the target site. The widely used Cas9 protein from *Streptococcus pyogenes* (SpCas9) utilizes a G-rich, NGG PAM sequence that limits the selection of target sites; however, Cas9 orthologs and engineered Cas9 variants that recognize

Supplemental material is available online at www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/ doi:10.1534/g3.116.038091/-/DC1.

other PAM sequences have expanded the set of available target sites. Still more target sites are available with the recently identified CRISPR/Cpf1 class of proteins (Zetsche et al. 2015). Similar to Cas9 proteins, Cpf1 proteins are single RNA-guided endonucleases, but they function without tracrRNA, and recognize a T-rich PAM. While an entire family of Cpf1 proteins has been discovered, only two Cpf1 proteins, from Acidaminococcus (AsCpf1) and Lachnospiraceae (LbCpf1), have been shown to work for mammalian genome editing in vitro. Both AsCpf1 and LbCpf1 recognize a TTTN PAM site that could be useful in targeting regulatory regions or AT-rich genomes. Two groups have recently reported Cpf1 activity in mice, although with variable targeting rates, and three different methods to deliver the Cpf1 into mouse zygotes, including pronuclear microinjection of ribonuclear-protein complexes (RNPs), electroporation of RNPs, or cytoplamasmic microinjection of mRNA/gRNA mixtures (Hur et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016). Here, we report the first demonstration of AsCpf1 activity using a distinct delivery method, pronuclear microinjection of RNA, show that the targeting rate with this delivery method is highly dependent on RNA concentration, and that multiple tested target sequences and conditions were ineffective for targeting in zebrafish embryos.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of AsCpf1 RNA and gRNA

AsCpf1 targets were identified with Benchling software, and selected based only on the presence of a predicted PAM site without

Copyright © 2017 Watkins-Chow et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.116.038091

Manuscript received September 28, 2016; accepted for publication December 20, 2016; published Early Online December 30, 2016.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Corresponding authors: NHGRI, NIH, Building 49, Room 4A82, 49 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-4472. E-mail: bpavan@mail.nih.gov; and NHGRI, NIH, Building 50, Room 5537, 50 South Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-8004. E-mail: burgess@mail.nih.gov

considerations of predicted efficiency. gRNAs were synthesized as custom RNA oligonucleotides with standard desalting (Integrated DNA Technologies), and resuspended in Picopure system purified water (Hydro Systems) at 500 or 1000 ng/µl. Two different gRNAs were synthesized for mouse pronuclear injections (unique target sequence for each is indicated in bold): Target A (5'-TAATTTC TACTCTTGTAGATATGATATCAACATCTACGACCTC-3') and Target B (5'-TAATTTCTACTCTTGTAGATCTTGTTGTGG GAACAAGAAA-3'). The hAsCpf1 plasmid (Addgene 69982) was a gift from Feng Zhang. A PCR product amplified from the hAsCpf1 plasmid (Cpf1_Fwd actggcttatcgaaattaatacgactc-3'; Cpf1_Rev ccccag ctggttctttcc-3') was used as a template for in vitro RNA synthesis using mMessage mMachine T7 Ultra Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher/Ambion), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was recovered using the MEGAclear Transcription Clean-up Kit (Thermo Fisher/Ambion). RNA quality was assessed with a Bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent Genomics), and stored in aliquots at -80° until used for injections.

Pronuclear injection

Pronuclear injection was performed with standard procedures (Behringer et al. 2014). Briefly, fertilized eggs were collected from superovulated FVB/N (Taconic Farms; abbreviated FVB) or C57BL/ 6J (Jackson Laboratories; abbreviated B6) females \sim 9 hr after mating with C57BL/6J or FVB/N males, respectively. Microinjections were performed using a capillary needle with a 1-2 µm opening pulled with a Sutter P-1000 micropipette puller. The pronucleus was injected using a FemtoJet 4i (Eppendorf) with continuous flow that we estimate to result in ~ 2 pl of injection mix. Following visualization of pronuclear swelling, the needle was pulled out through the cytoplasm, likely resulting in a small amount of additional RNA delivery to the cytoplasm. Injected eggs were surgically transferred to pseudopregnant CB6F1 hybrid recipient females, bred at the NIH from a cross of Balb/cJ females to C57Bl/6J males. In general, the injection mix contained AsCpf1 RNA and gRNA diluted in 10 mM Tris, 0.25 mM EDTA (pH 7.5). Specific RNA and DNA concentrations for each injection session are provided (Supplemental Material, Table S1).

Mouse husbandry

All animal procedures were performed in a pathogen-free, AAALACapproved facility, in accordance with National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines and approved by the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC).

Genotyping

Founder animals were screened using a combination of PCR and sequencing as previously described (Varshney *et al.* 2015). Briefly, DNA was extracted from midgestation whole embryos, or pup tail biopsies, and purified using a Gentra Puregene Mouse Tail Kit (Qiagen). A PCR product spanning both gRNA target sites was generated using a *Tyr*-specific forward primer with an M13-tail (5'-tgtaaac gacggccagtTCTATGTCATCCCCACAGGCAC-3'), and a *Tyr*-specific reverse primer containing a pig-tail (5'-gtgtcttGGTGACGACCTCC CAAGTACTC-3'). The PCR product was amplified with the addition of a 6-FAM or HEX labeled M13-forward oligonucleotide, and run on an ABI 3130xl with ROX400 or ROX500 size standards to detect small indels (<50 bp) at single base pair resolution. Standard agarose gels were also used to screen PCR products for larger indels (>20 bp), including possible deletion of the 116 bp between the two guides, which was never observed.

A subset of founders with PCR product sizes that differed from wildtype were further characterized by Sanger sequencing of total PCR products or subcloned PCR products generated with additional gene specific primers. Additionally, selected founders were characterized with deep sequencing using PCR products generated with *Tyr*-specific primers (5'-tgtaaaacgacggccagtTTTTCTTACCTCACTTTAGCAAAACA-3' and 5'-GGATGCTGGGCTGAGTAAGT-3') along with the barcoded third primer set, as described earlier (Varshney *et al.* 2015). The sequences were analyzed using minor modifications of the amplicoDIVider pipeline initially developed to identify deletion and insertion variants (DIVs) in deep sequencing data from zebrafish Cas9 mutants (Varshney *et al.* 2015). The amplicoDIVider scripts are publicly available (https://research.nhgri.nih. gov/software/amplicondivider).

Data availability

The authors state that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions presented in the article are represented fully within the article. Raw sequencing data available upon request.

RESULTS

To test if AsCpf1 had activity following pronuclear injection, and if the targeting rate of AsCpf1 for in vivo gene knockout in mouse is affected by RNA concentration, Exon 1 of Tyrosinase (Tyr) was targeted with two different gRNAs (Figure 1A). The gRNAs were injected individually, or coinjected as a pair along with AsCpf1 RNA for pronuclear injection, at either a "low" concentration (10 ng/µl Cpf1 with 2.5 ng each gRNA), comparable to concentrations routinely used for Cas9 targeting, or a "high" concentration (50 ng/µl with 100 ng each gRNA). In total, 297 founders were screened following 13 injection sessions carried out in parallel to compare the targeting rate following injection of low or high concentrations of gRNA and Cpf1 RNA (Table S1). Among the founder mice that were carried to term to allow for phenotype screening after birth, albino mice, as well as mosaic mice with visible patches of albino hair, were observed, phenotypes consistent with efficient targeting of the wild-type C57BL/6J Tyr allele in the hybrid C57BL/6J × FVB/N F1 mice (Figure 1B). The 297 founder mice were initially genotyped by sizing a fluorescently labeled PCR product spanning the two target sites to detect potential indels at any sites of DNA cleavage, using both low resolution agarose gels and high resolution capillary electrophoresis (Figure S1). In total, PCR products that differed from the expected 351 bp wild-type product were detected in 73/297 founder mice, indicating that indels occurred with an overall frequency of 24.6%. Importantly, injection sessions with a high RNA concentration resulted in a much higher targeting rate (73.3% of the founders) compared to those with a low RNA concentration (3.4% of the founders) (Table S1). Because of the overall high efficiencies of targeting with two guides at the high RNA concentration, and small number of founders, we could not make conclusions about any effect the direction of the cross had on the targeting rate [(C57BL/6] female \times FVB/N male) vs. (FVB/N female × C57BL/6J male)] (Table S2). However, in the low concentration injections, we observed a significantly higher targeting rate with C57BL/6J fertilized eggs (C57BL/6J female \times FVB/N male) compared to the reciprocal cross (Table S2). Importantly, the high concentration injections were more efficient, independent of the direction of the cross (Table S3).

To further characterize the AsCpf1-mediated indels, a combination of deep sequencing (Illumina MiSeq) and Sanger sequencing was carried out on PCR products spanning the target sites. This sequencing revealed that there was only one Target B site mutation; all other mutations were localized to the gRNA Target A site. Furthermore, in the more efficient



Figure 1 In vivo activity of AsCpf1. (A) Two gRNAs, Target A and Target B, were selected within *Tyrosinase* (*Tyr*) exon 1. (B) Two founder mice showing examples of a heterozygote albino mutant (left) next to a mosaic littermate (right), whose albino patches of fur resulted from AsCpf1mediated mutation of the wild-type C57BL/6J *Tyr* allele within a subset of cells during development. (C) The percentage of founder mice carrying 0–5 different mutant *Tyr* alleles is shown for the low and high concentration injection sessions. (D) The percentage of mutant *Tyr* alleles that arose in a single founder, or were recurring in two or more founder mice. (E) The percentage of mutant *Tyr* alleles predicted to introduce frameshift vs. inframe mutations. (F) The net size of indel alleles compared to the expected size of the wild-type *Tyr* sequence.

high concentration injections, a higher targeting rate per 100 founders was observed at the Target A site when Target B was coinjected with 87.1% (54/62) of founders targeted following coinjection *vs.* 42.8% (12/28) following single gRNA injected (Fisher's test P < 0.0001). While confirmation is needed from additional experiments, this intriguing result suggests that coinjection of multiple gRNAs may increase AsCpf1 activity.

In the low concentration injections, the majority of mice were wild type (97%), and very few heterozygote or mosaic mice were generated that carried one (2%) or two (1%) mutant alleles. In contrast, the high concentration injections had both a higher rate of mutation, and also a higher rate of mosaicism, with 5% of the founder mice each carrying as many as five different mutant alleles (Figure 1C). In total, 74 different alleles were identified in the 52 mutant founder mice that were fully characterized by deep sequencing. The majority of these alleles (71%) arose only in a single founder; however some alleles (29%) were reoccurring, as nine alleles arose independently in four or more founder mice (Figure 1D). As expected, $\sim 2/3$ of the alleles introduced a frameshift (Figure 1E). The indels ranged in size from -60 to +15 bp and were mostly deletion alleles (Figure 1F and Figure S2), consistent with observations in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Port and Bullock 2016). All of the founder mice were confirmed to be heterozygous for a SNP (rs31191169) known to be polymorphic between C57BL/6J and FVB/ N that is located 195 bp proximal to the Target A site, indicating that no large deletions occurred that extended beyond the PCR amplicon used for sequencing.

The majority of the founder mice appeared mosaic, as indicated by an uneven ratio of alleles within the PCR product where one or more mutant alleles were detected at less than the expected 50%. This result is consistent with the mosaic coat color pattern observed in founder mice carried to term and indicates that DNA cleavage often occurred after the one cell stage. Because of this high frequency of mosaic founders, germline transmission of the AsCpf1-induced mutant alleles was confirmed by breeding six founder mice to FVB/N. One of these founders was albino, and five were mosaic, with a range of 10–70% estimated

albino coat color contribution, representing a wide range of the observed mosaicism including the lowest and highest contribution founders (Figure S3). In all six mice, the Cpf1-mediated mutant allele was transmitted, and in one mosaic mouse, two different mutant alleles were transmitted.

In contrast to mouse, we were unable to successfully induce gene knockout in zebrafish with AsCpf1. Using injection methods previously successful with Cas9 (Varshney *et al.* 2015), we targeted six genes with 40 different targets (23 or 24 nt), but no activity was detected by CRISPR-STAT (Carrington *et al.* 2015). These results suggest that either AsCpf1 has lower activity than Cas9 in zebrafish, or that there are unique technical requirements for AsCpf1 activity in this species.

DISCUSSION

In summary, we detected AsCpf1 activity in mouse, but not in zebrafish. The level of AsCpf1 activity in mouse zygotes was highly dependent on RNA concentration, and, at high concentrations, was extremely effective, confirming that AsCpf1 provides an excellent alternative to Cas9 for the generation of knockout mice. Our targeting rate with AsCpf1 at high RNA concentrations (42-100% of founders) is significantly higher than at low RNA concentrations (0-21%), and is consistent with other studies that used a variety of delivery methods for Cpf1-medicated targeting in mice. For comparison, successful AsCpf1 targeting was observed following pronuclear microinjection of RNPs (17-83%) (Hur et al. 2016), electroporation of RNPs (14-64%) (Hur et al. 2016), and cytoplasmic microinjection of RNA (18-79%) (Kim et al. 2016) (Table S4). Our "high" concentration is comparable to that published for cytoplasmic microinjection of RNA (Kim et al. 2016); however, much higher than required for Cas9 activity with pronuclear microinjections, which typically are performed using lower RNA concentrations than cytoplasmic microinjections (Singh et al. 2015). Because of the different delivery methods, and limited number of guides tested, few conclusions can be drawn about the most important variables affecting the AsCpf1 targeting rate in mice, which may include RNA concentration, mouse strain, delivery method, or local target sequence. Importantly, our study provides the first direct comparison of a guide RNA at different concentrations, and suggests that Cpf1 is ineffective for targeting at an RNA concentration that is effective for Cas9 in our laboratory and others (Mashiko et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Horii et al. 2014). Interestingly, we observed that targeting was more efficient with coinjection of two adjacent guides, even though one guide was essentially inactive. While this represents data from only a single locus, the statistically significance (P < 0.0001) indicates that the effect of coinjection on targeting rate is worth testing more broadly in the future.

Because the T-rich PAM sequences recognized by AsCpf1 differ from Cas9, the combination of these nucleases will permit the precise targeting necessary for knock-in projects, especially those aimed at modeling short sequence variants at particular genomic locations. Also, the shorter size of the gRNA utilized by AsCpf1 provides an advantage over Cas9 for reducing the cost of RNA oligonucleotide synthesis, and has the potential to improve efficiency of gRNA delivery. Importantly, the frequency of homology-directed repair or ligation-mediated insertion by Cpf1 remains to be determined, and could yet provide the largest advantage for Cpf1 over Cas9.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Feng Zhang and Bernd Zetsche for providing the AsCpf1 plasmid and valuable advice, Arturo Incao for genomic DNA preparation and PCR amplification, Gene Elliott for assistance with microinjections, Laura Baxter for careful reading of the manuscript, and Abdel Elkahloun and Weiwei Wu of the NHGRI Microarray Core for assistance assessing RNA quality. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Human Genome Research Institute at the National Institutes of Health (S.M.B.: ZIA HG000183-15 and W.J.P.: HG000136-11)

LITERATURE CITED

- Behringer, R., M. Gertsenstein, K. V. Nagy, and A. Nagy, 2014 Manipulating the Mouse Embryo: A Laboratory Manual, Ed. 4. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Carrington, B., G. K. Varshney, S. M. Burgess, and R. Sood, 2015 CRISPR-STAT: an easy and reliable PCR-based method to evaluate target-specific sgRNA activity. Nucleic Acids Res. 43: e157.
- Horii, T., Y. Arai, M. Yamazaki, S. Morita, M. Kimura *et al.*, 2014 Validation of microinjection methods for generating knockout mice by CRISPR/ Cas-mediated genome engineering. Sci. Rep. 4: 4513.
- Hsu, P. D., E. S. Lander, and F. Zhang, 2014 Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. Cell 157: 1262–1278.
- Hur, J. K., K. Kim, K. W. Been, G. Baek, S. Ye *et al.*, 2016 Targeted mutagenesis in mice by electroporation of Cpf1 ribonucleoproteins. Nat. Biotechnol. 34: 807–808
- Kim, Y., S. A. Cheong, J. G. Lee, S. W. Lee, M. S. Lee *et al.*, 2016 Generation of knockout mice by Cpf1-mediated gene targeting. Nat. Biotechnol. 34: 808–810.
- Mashiko, D., Y. Fujihara, Y. Satouh, H. Miyata, A. Isotani *et al.*, 2013 Generation of mutant mice by pronuclear injection of circular plasmid expressing Cas9 and single guided RNA. Sci. Rep. 3: 3355.
- Port, F., and S. L. Bullock, 2016 Expansion of the CRISPR toolbox in an animal with tRNA-flanked Cas9 and Cpf1 gRNAs. bioRxiv. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/046417.
- Sander, J. D., and J. K. Joung, 2014 CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. Nat. Biotechnol. 32: 347–355.
- Singh, P., J. C. Schimenti, and E. Bolcun-Filas, 2015 A mouse geneticist's practical guide to CRISPR applications. Genetics 199: 1–15.
- Varshney, G. K., W. Pei, M. C. LaFave, J. Idol, L. Xu *et al.*, 2015 Highthroughput gene targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/ Cas9. Genome Res. 25: 1030–1042.
- Yang, H., H. Wang, S. S. Chikdu, A. W. Cheng, L. Shi et al., 2013 One-step generation of mice carrying reporter and conditional alleles by CRISPR/ Cas-mediated genome engineering. Cell 154: 1370–1379.
- Zetsche, B., J. S. Gootenberg, O. O. Abudayyeh, I. M. Slaymaker, K. S. Makarova et al., 2015 Cpf1 is a single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR-Cas system. Cell 163: 759–771.

Communicating editor: D. W. Threadgill