as usual and waiting list controls in reducing health care use, with an effect size of -0.37 (95% Cl -0.71 to -0.04), with reasonable confidence in this finding. No benefits were found for medication reduction, but with less confidence in this result. Analysis of work loss showed no significant effects of psychological interventions over comparisons, but the use of many different metrics necessitated fragmenting the planned analyses, making summary difficult. The results are encouraging for the potential of routine psychological intervention to reduce post-treatment health care use, with associated cost savings, but it is likely that the range and complexity of problems affecting work necessitate additional intervention over standard group psychological intervention.

Conflict of interest statement

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

 Luciano JV, Sabes-Figuera R, Cardeñosa E, Peñarrubia-María MT, Fernández-Vergel R, García-Campayo J, Knapp M, Serrano-Blanco A. Cost-utility of a psychoeducational intervention in fibromyalgia

patients compared with usual care. An economic evaluation alongside a 12-month randomized controlled trial. Clin J Pain 2013; 29:702–11.

- [2] Pike A, Hearn L, Williams AC. Effectiveness of psychological interventions for chronic pain on health care use and work loss: systematic review and meta-analysis. PAIN 2016;157:777–85.
- [3] Smeets RJ, Severens JL, Beelen S, Vlaeyen JW, Knottnerus JA. More is not always better: cost-effectiveness analysis of combined, single behavioral and single physical rehabilitation programs for chronic low back pain. Eur J Pain 2009;13:71–81.
- [4] Smeets RJEM, Vlaeyen JWS, Hidding A, Kester ADM, van der Heijden GJMG, Knottnerus JA. Physical, cognitive-behavioral or combination treatment for chronic low back pain? The one-year post-treatment results of a randomized, controlled trial. PAIN 2008;134:263–76.
- [5] Thieme K, Gromnica-Ihle E, Flor H. Operant behavioral treatment of fibromyalgia: a controlled study. Arthritis Rheum 2003;49:314–20.

Amanda C de C. Williams, PhD, CPsychol

Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London, United Kingdom E-mail address: amanda.williams@ucl.ac.uk (A. C. de C. Williams) http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.00000000000000025

Erratum

Diagnostic accuracy of laser evoked potentials in diabetic neuropathy: Erratum.

The authors of the article listed below, which published in the June 2017 issue of PAIN, note that the affiliation for Marco Lacerenza was incorrect. The correct affiliation for Dr. Lacerenza is as follows: Humanitas and Fondazione Opera San Camillo, Milan, Italy The authors apologize for the error.

Reference

Di Stefano G, La Cesa S, Leone C, Pepe A, Galosi E, Fiorelli M, Valeriani M, Lacerenza M, Pergolini M, Biasiotta A, Cruccu G, Truini A. Diagnostic accuracy of laser evoked potentials in diabetic neuropathy. PAIN 2017;158;1100–7.

Erratum

Identifying patients with chronic widespread pain in primary care: Erratum.

The article listed below, which published in the January 2017 issue of PAIN, has been updated online to include the following license and copyright information:

Copyright © 2016 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reference

Mansfield KE, Sim J, Croft P, Jordan KP. Identifying patients with chronic widespread pain in primary care. PAIN 2017;158:110–9.

Copyright © 2017 by the International Association for the Study of Pain. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.