## SHORT REPORT

eJHaem

# A meta-analysis of toxicities related to hydroxycarbamide dosing strategies

Joacy G. Mathias<sup>1</sup> | Vikki G. Nolan<sup>1</sup> | Meghan Meadows-Taylor<sup>1</sup> | L. Ashley Robinson<sup>1</sup> | Kristen E. Howell<sup>1</sup> | James G. Gurney<sup>1</sup> | Jane S. Hankins<sup>2</sup> | Winfred C. Wang<sup>2</sup> | Jeremie H. Estepp<sup>2,3</sup> | Matthew P. Smeltzer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, The University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee

<sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee

#### Correspondence

Matthew P. Smeltzer, Division of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, University of Memphis, 222 Robison Hall, Memphis, TN 38152. Email: msmltzer@memphis.edu

Funding information National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Grant/Award Number: R34 HL-127162; American Society of Hematology Scholar Award

Jeremie H. Estepp and Matthew P. Smeltzer contributed equally to this work.

## Abstract

Due to fear of short-term toxicities, there is nonconsensus of hydroxycarbamide dosing strategy (escalated vs fixed-dosing methods), which contributes to its suboptimal use. We performed a meta-analysis to summarize the incidence rates of toxicities associated with both dosing methods. Summarized incidence rates could not be statistically compared between dosing methods due to sparse data. Summarized neutropenia and thrombocytopenia incidence rates were slightly higher when using escalated dosing than with fixed. Summarized reticulocytopenia was comparable. Summarized hepatic and renal toxicities' incidence rates were slightly higher when using fixed doses than with escalated. We recommend diligent and transparent reporting of toxicities.

#### KEYWORDS

dosing, hydroxycarbamide, meta-analysis, sickle cell disease

## **1** | INTRODUCTION

Hydroxycarbamide (also known as hydroxyurea [HC]) is an approved treatment for adults and children (>2 years of age) with sickle cell anemia (SCA; HbSS and HbS $\beta^0$  thalassemia) [7]. Based on the randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter, BABY HUG trial (PMID: 21571150), HC is also commonly prescribed off-label by pediatric hematologists for infants (9-18 months old) (PMID: 20223921). Despite HC being front-line therapy for children and adults with SCA, there is a paucity of high-quality evidence regarding toxicity rates observed when employing two accepted dosing strategies: minimum effective dosing and maximum tolerated dosing [1,3]. Toxicity data are of critical importance as novel anti-sickling agents such as Oxbryta (voxelotor) and Adakveo (crizanlizumab) have been developed in the setting of widespread concomitant use of HC. We performed a meta-analysis comparing myelosuppressive, renal, and hepatic toxicities among minimum effective (fixed) dosing and maximum tolerated dosing protocols reported in published studies between 2010 and 2015. We censored selection of reports from after 2010 in an effort to ensure data captured represented modern sickle cell cohorts and reflected current standards of medical care and from prior to 2015 to exclude studies performed in sub-Saharan Africa where toxicity profiles of hydroxycarbamide may be exaggerated.

## 2 | METHODS

Studies for potential inclusion were identified by searching PubMed and Elsevier's Scopus electronic databases. The search criteria used

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. eJHaem published by British Society for Haematology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

were the same as those of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) in a comprehensive evaluation of HC as a treatment for SCD (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK38496/). Full-text articles published in English, between 2010 and 2015 with a study design of either a randomized or nonrandomized clinical trial, or a prospective or retrospective cohort, and reporting at least myelosuppressive, renal, or hepatic toxicity information were included. In the event of multiple published reports from the same study, we included the report with more information.

Myelosuppressive toxicities were defined as (a) Neutropenia: absolute neutrophil count of  $<1.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L, (b) Anemia: hemoglobin (Hb) <6.0 g/dL with concomitant absolute reticulocyte count  $<80 \times 10^{9}$ /L, (c) Reticulocytopenia: absolute reticulocyte count  $<80 \times 10^{9}$ /L associated with an Hb level <9.0 g/dL, (d) Thrombocytopenia: platelet count  $<80 \times 10^{9}$ /L, (e) Renal toxicity: doubling of the baseline serum creatinine level or a value of >1.0 mg/dL, and (f) Hepatic toxicity: increase in alanine aminotransferase to  $\geq$ 150 IU/L. Due to variation in the definitions of toxicities across studies, we also included author-defined toxicities.

Two independent reviewers and experienced hematologists (JHE and WCW) selected eligible studies for inclusion. In the event of disagreement between JHE and WCW, JSH provided an independent assessment of inclusion criteria and served as a tie-breaker. Following manuscript selection, relevant data were abstracted including the HC dosage method, sample size, total person-years of follow-up, number of study participants with HC exposure, ages and genotypes of participants, and frequency of toxicities.

Incidence rates  $(Y_i/T_i)$  of each toxicity, along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), were calculated by dividing the frequency of reported toxicities  $(Y_i)$  with the exposure patient years (PY) of follow-up  $(T_i)$  for each study. Using a random intercept Poisson regression model (Proc NLMIXED), incidence rates were averaged to calculate the summarized incidence rate for studies that employed fixed dosing and those that employed escalated dosing separately [11]. In post hoc sensitivity analyses, data from three studies deemed as outliers, that is, those with remarkably high frequency of toxicities were excluded and summarized incidence rates recalculated. All analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) statistical software package version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

## 3 | RESULTS

Nine studies, described in Supplementary table 1, were included in the quantitative analysis. Figure 1 shows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart for study inclusion. Two studies were multi-center. Included studies were conducted in the United States, Brazil, and India. One study was a randomized clinical trial. The majority of the studies were funded by the NHLBI. Five studies escalated HC to maximum tolerated dosing and provided 1849 PY of HC exposure with 161 reported toxicities, and four studies used a fixed dosing strategy and provided 848 PY of HC exposure with 149 reported toxicities.

The summarized incidence rate for neutropenia was 3.69 (95% CI, 1.56-8.72) per 100 PY for studies utilizing escalation to maximum tolerated dose and 1.76 (95% CI, 0.14-22.7) per 100 PY in studies utilizing a fixed dose (Table 1). The summarized incidence rate for neutropenia without data from the very young population in BABY HUG was 0.79 (95% CI, 0.23-2.73) per 100 PY for fixed dosing (Supplementary table 2).

Summarized incidence rates for anemia could not be calculated due to lack of information among studies that used fixed dosing. The summarized incidence rate for reticulocytopenia with escalated dosing was 1.23 (95% Cl, 0.13-11.71) per 100 PY and with fixed dosing 0.21 (95% Cl, 0.01-4.93) per 100 PY (Table 1). The summarized incidence rate for reticulocytopenia, excluding data from Alvarez et al, when using escalated dosing was 0.36 (95% Cl, 0.05-2.98) per 100 PY (Table S2).

The summarized incidence rate for thrombocytopenia when using escalated dosing was 0.72 (95% CI, 0.07-7.79) per 100 PY, and for fixed dosing 1.96 (95% CI, 0.75-5.05) per 100 PY (Table 1).

All studies except Alvarez et al and Jain et al reported no renal toxicities. The summarized incidence rate for renal toxicities when using escalated dosing was 0.02 (95% Cl, 0-38.80) per 100 PY, and for fixed dosing the summarized incidence rate was 0.61 (95% Cl, 0.20-1.86) per 100 PY (Table 1).

The summarized incidence rate for hepatic toxicities with escalated dosing was 0 (95% CI, 0-201.43) per 100 PY, and for fixed dosing 2.16 (95% CI, 1.19-3.91) per 100 PY (Table 1). The summarized incidence rate for hepatic toxicities when using escalated dosing strategy without Alvarez et al data was 0 (95% CI, 0-0) per 100 PY (Table S2).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

In this meta-analysis, incidence rates of neutropenia and thrombocytopenia in the post hoc analyses appear higher when escalating HC to a maximum tolerated dose compared with utilizing a lower fixed dose; however, reticulocytopenia was comparable between the two dosing strategies. The incidence rates of hepatic and renal toxicities were slightly higher when using fixed dosing when compared with escalated doses. However, the results of our analysis were limited by the small number of studies reporting toxicity-related information, imprecisely summarized incidence rates, and high heterogeneity between the studies, thus affecting our ability to draw conclusions about differences in toxicities related to the two HC dosing strategies.

For our meta-analysis, only nine studies had information related to the toxicities. No information on toxicities was reported in 37 potentially eligible articles. Even among the studies with information, details on many specific toxicities were missing. Due to the myelosuppressive nature of HC, standard baseline measurements before its administration should have included a full blood count, liver function tests, and urea and creatinine levels [15]. Therefore, information related to the toxicities may be available but not reported due to a lack of relevance for a particular publication. Some studies indicated the incidence of HC-related toxicities, but not the number of subjects with adverse





**TABLE 1** Summary of Incidence Rates of Toxicities by Dosing Strategy

|                          | Incidence rate/100 PY (95% CI) |                     |                     |                   |                  |                    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                          | Neutropenia                    | Anemia              | Reticulocytopenia   | Thrombocytopenia  | Renal toxicity   | Hepatic toxicity   |
| MTD dosing strategy      |                                |                     |                     |                   |                  |                    |
| Hankins et al., 2015 [6] | 4.44 (0-13.16)                 | -                   | 4.44 (0-13.16)      | 0                 | -                | -                  |
| Hankins et al., 2014 [5] | 8.33 (3.17-13.50)              | 0.83 (0-2.47)       | 0.83 (0-2.47)       | 1.67 (0-3.98)     | 0                | 0                  |
| Lobo et al., 2013 [9]    | 0.83 (0.36-1.29)               | -                   | 0.07 (0-0.20)       | 0                 | 0                | 0                  |
| Yates et al., 2013 [14]  | 4.44 (0.08-8.80)               | -                   | -                   | 5.56 (0.69-10.43) | 0                | 0                  |
| Alvarez et al., 2015 [2] | 7.88 (3.60-12.16)              | 23.64 (16.22-31.05) | 18.18 (11.68-24.69) | 5.45 (1.89-9.02)  | 4.24 (1.10-7.39) | 15.15 (9.21-21.09) |
| Summary                  | 3.69 (1.56-8.72)               | 4.81 (0.39-58.10)   | 1.23 (0.13-11.71)   | 0.72 (0.07-7.80)  | 0.02 (0-38.80)   | 0 (0-201.43)       |
| Fixed dosing strategy    |                                |                     |                     |                   |                  |                    |
| Dehury et al., 2015 [4]  | 0.29 (0-0.86)                  | -                   | -                   | 1.17 (0.02-2.31)  | -                | -                  |
| Patel et al., 2014 [10]  | -                              | -                   | 0                   | 0                 | 0                | 0                  |
| Jain et al., 2013 [8]    | 1.74 (0.21-3.26)               | 0.53 (0-1.57)       | 0.53 (0-1.57)       | 1.39 (0.03-2.75)  | 1.04 (0-2.22)    | 2.78 (0.85-4.70)   |
| Wang et al., 2011 [12]   | 56.61 (45.89-67.34)            | -                   | -                   | 6.35 (2.76-9.94)  | 0                | 1.59 (0-3.38)      |
| Summary                  | 1.76 (0.14-22.74)              | -                   | 0.21 (0.01-4.93)    | 1.96 (0.75-5.05)  | 0.61 (0.20-1.86) | 2.16 (1.19-3.91)   |

events. The number of events and number of study participants with toxicities, irrespective of the relevance to the publication, may provide valuable information for future systematic reviews.

Several of the summarized toxicity incidence rates had wide 95% Cls. For instance, the summarized neutropenia incidence rate for studies that used a fixed dosing strategy was 1.76 per 100 PY (95% Cl, 0.14-22.74). The highest frequency of neutropenia among fixed dosing studies came from the BABY HUG trial [12], which increased

the 95% CI of the summarized neutropenia incidence. HC dosing of 20 mg/kg/day in children who were 9-18 months old at treatment initiation probably was associated with increased neutropenia because of the high frequency of viral infections in this very young age group [13]. Upon exclusion of these data, the 95% CI was relatively precise.

There were several sources of heterogeneity in our meta-analysis, such as age and genotype. We tried to address this issue by using random-effect modeling; however, heterogeneity persisted. We could not explore the sources of heterogeneity due to the small number of studies in escalated and fixed dosing groups and lack of information on toxicities by genotype and age group.

The lack of consensus regarding dosing strategy due to concern for short-term toxicities may lead to suboptimal use of HC. To address this critical gap in the literature, we attempted a meta-analysis comparing the toxicities associated with escalated and fixed dosing methods; however, we were unable to make conclusions on toxicities except neutropenia, mainly due to the lack of complete and systematic information on toxicities in many reports. Moving forward, we recommend diligent and standardized reporting of toxicities to help better understand the clinical effects of different dosing strategies.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JGM conducted the statistical analysis, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. VGN supervised the statistical analyses and aided in its interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript. MMT, LAR, and KEH helped with the data collection, statistical analysis, and its interpretation. JGG and JSH supervised the statistical analyses and aided in its interpretation and revision of the manuscript. WCW and JHE applied for the grant and contributed to conception and design, data collection, interpretation, and revision of the manuscript. MPS contributed to the conception and design of the study, statistical analysis, interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Terri L. Davis for formatting the manuscript according to the journal style. Analysis of this project was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) through grant R34 HL-127162 and by the American Society of Hematology Scholar Award (JHE).

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

JHE receives research support from Pfizer, Eli Lilly and Co, Global Blood Therapeutics, and Forma Therapeutics and serves as a consultant for Daiichi Sankyo and Global Blood Therapeutics. JSH receives research support through the grant U01 HL-133996, and from Novartis and Global Blood Therapeutics, and received consultant fees from MJH Life Sciences. MPS is a research consultant for the Association of Community Cancer Centers.

#### ORCID

Jeremie H. Estepp D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2257-0421 Matthew P. Smeltzer D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3378-9568

#### REFERENCES

- Akingbola TS, Tayo BO, Ezekekwu CA, Sonubi O, Zhang X, Saraf SL, et al. "Maximum tolerated dose" vs "fixed low-dose" hydroxyurea for treatment of adults with sickle cell anemia. *Am J Hematol.* 2019;94:E112– 5.
- 2. Alvarez O, Yovetich NA, Scott JP, Owen W, Miller ST, Schultz W, et al. Investigators of the Stroke With Transfusions Changing to

Hydroxyurea Clinical Trial. Pain and other non-neurological adverse events in children with sickle cell anemia and previous stroke who received hydroxyurea and phlebotomy or chronic transfusions and chelation: results from the SWiTCH clinical trial. *Am J Hematol.* 2013;88:932–8.

- Brandow AM, Jirovec DL, Panepinto JA. Hydroxyurea in children with sickle cell disease: practice patterns and barriers to utilization. Am J Hematol. 2010;85:611–3.
- 4. Dehury S, Purohit P, Patel S, Meher S, Kullu BK, Sahoo LK, et al. Low and fixed dose of hydroxyurea is effective and safe in patients with HbSbeta(+) thalassemia with IVS1-5(G $\rightarrow$ C) mutation. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2015;62:1017–23.
- 5. Hankins JS, Aygun B, Nottage K, Thornburg C, Smeltzer MP, Ware RE, et al. From infancy to adolescence: fifteen years of continuous treatment with hydroxyurea in sickle cell anemia. *Medicine*. 2014;93: e215.
- Hankins JS, McCarville MB, Rankine-Mullings A, Reid ME, Lobo CL, Moura PG, et al. Prevention of conversion to abnormal transcranial Doppler with hydroxyurea in sickle cell anemia: a phase III international randomized clinical trial. *Am J Hematol.* 2015;90:1099–1105.
- Hoppe C, Neumayr L. Sickle cell disease: monitoring, current treatment, and therapeutics under development. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*. 2019;33:355–71.
- Jain DL, Apte M, Colah R, Sarathi V, Desai S, Gokhale A, et al. Efficacy of fixed low dose hydroxyurea in Indian children with sickle cell anemia: a single centre experience. *Indian Pediatr.* 2013;50:929–33.
- Lobo CL, Pinto JF, Nascimento EM, Moura PG, Cardoso GP, Hankins JS. The effect of hydroxcarbamide therapy on survival of children with sickle cell disease. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;161:852–60.
- Patel S, Purohit P, Mashon RS, Dehury S, Meher S, Sahoo S, et al. The effect of hydroxyurea on compound heterozygotes for sickle cellhemoglobin D-Punjab—a single centre experience in eastern India. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61:1341–6.
- Stijnen T, Hamza TH, Ozdemir P. Random effects meta-analysis of event outcome in the framework of the generalized linear mixed model with applications in sparse data. *Stat Med.* 2010;29:3046–67.
- Wang WC, Ware RE, Miller ST, Iyer RV, Casella JF, Minniti CP, et al. Hydroxycarbamide in very young children with sickle-cell anaemia: a multicentre, randomised, controlled trial (BABY HUG). *Lancet*. 2011;377:1663–72.
- 13. Ware RE. How I use hydroxyurea to treat young patients with sickle cell anemia. *Blood*. 2010;115:5300–11.
- Yates AM, Dedeken L, Smeltzer MP, Lebensburger JD, Wang WC, Robitaille N. Hydroxyurea treatment of children with hemoglobin SC disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60:323–5.
- Yawn BP, Buchanan GR, Afenyi-Annan AN, Ballas SK, Hassell KL, James AH, et al. Management of sickle cell disease: summary of the 2014 evidence-based report by expert panel members. JAMA. 2014;312:1033–48.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

## How to cite this article: Mathias JG, Nolan VG, Meadows-Taylor M, et al. A meta-analysis of toxicities related to hydroxycarbamide dosing strategies. *eJHaem*. 2020;1:235–238. https://doi.org/10.1002/jha2.7