



Activation of the Extracytoplasmic Function σ Factor σ^{P} by β -Lactams in *Bacillus thuringiensis* Requires the Site-2 **Protease RasP**

Theresa D. Ho,^a Kelsie M. Nauta,^a Ute Müh,^a ^(D)Craig D. Ellermeier^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ^bGraduate Program in Genetics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT Bacteria can utilize alternative σ factors to regulate sets of genes in response to changes in the environment. The largest and most diverse group of alternative σ factors are the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) σ factors. σ^{P} is an ECF σ factor found in Bacillus anthracis, Bacillus cereus, and Bacillus thuringiensis. Previous work showed that σ^{P} is induced by ampicillin, a β -lactam antibiotic, and required for resistance to ampicillin. However, it was not known how activation of σ^{P} is controlled or what other antibiotics may activate σ^{P} . Here, we report that activation of $\sigma^{\rm P}$ is specific to a subset of β -lactams and that $\sigma^{\rm P}$ is required for resistance to these β -lactams. We demonstrate that activation of σ^{P} is controlled by the proteolytic destruction of the anti- σ factor RsiP and that degradation of RsiP requires multiple proteases. Upon exposure to β -lactams, the extracellular domain of RsiP is cleaved by an unknown protease, which we predict cleaves at site-1. Following cleavage by the unknown protease, the N terminus of RsiP is further degraded by the site-2 intramembrane protease RasP. Our data indicate that RasP cleavage of RsiP is not the rate-limiting step in σ^{P} activation. This proteolytic cascade leads to activation of σ^{P} , which induces resistance to β -lactams likely via increased expression of β -lactamases.

IMPORTANCE The discovery of antibiotics to treat bacterial infections has had a dramatic and positive impact on human health. However, shortly after the introduction of a new antibiotic, bacteria often develop resistance. The bacterial cell envelope is essential for cell viability and is the target of many of the most commonly used antibiotics, including β -lactam antibiotics. Resistance to β -lactams is often dependent upon β -lactamases. In *B. cereus, B. thuringiensis,* and some *B. anthracis* strains, the expression of some β -lactamases is inducible. This inducible β -lactamase expression is controlled by activation of an alternative σ factor called σ^{P} . Here, we show that β -lactam antibiotics induce σ^{P} activation by degradation of the anti- σ factor RsiP.

KEYWORDS cell envelope, extracellular signaling, gene expression, sigma factors, signal transduction, stress response

he bacterial cell envelope is essential for cell viability and is the target of many of the most commonly used antibiotics, including β -lactams like penicillins, penems, and cephalosporins. These are broad-spectrum antibiotics that target peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis by inhibiting the transpeptidase activity of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). This results in decreased and/or altered cross-linking of peptidoglycan, which leads to cell envelope damage and subsequent cell lysis and death (1, 2).

Members of the Bacillus cereus group, including Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus cereus and some strains of Bacillus anthracis, are highly resistant to β -lactam antibiotics (3–6). This resistance is due in part to expression of at least two β -lactamases (3, 5). The

Citation Ho TD, Nauta KM, Müh U, Ellermeier CD. 2019. Activation of the extracytoplasmic function σ factor σ^{P} by β -lactams in *Bacillus* thuringiensis requires the site-2 protease RasP. mSphere 4:e00511-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mSphere.00511-19.

Editor Sarah E. F. D'Orazio, University of Kentucky

Copyright © 2019 Ho et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Craig D. Ellermeier, craig-ellermeier@uiowa.edu.

Received 17 July 2019 Accepted 18 July 2019 Published 7 August 2019



expression of these β -lactamases is induced by ampicillin and is dependent upon the alternative σ factor σ^{p} . σ^{p} belongs to the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) family of alternative σ factors (5).

Bacteria often utilize alternative σ factors to regulate subsets of genes required for survival under specific environmental conditions or for stress responses. ECF σ factors are the largest and most diverse group of alternative σ factors and represent the "third pillar" of bacterial signal transduction (7, 8). ECF σ factors belong to the σ^{70} family, but unlike the "housekeeping" σ factor, σ^{70} , ECF σ factors contain only region 2 and region 4.2 of σ^{70} , which recognize and bind to the -10 and -35 regions of promoter sequences, respectively (8, 9). In addition, unlike σ^{70} , ECF σ factors are generally held inactive by anti- σ factors until bacteria encounter an inducing signal (10, 11). Upon induction, ECF σ factors are released from their cognate anti- σ factors to promote transcription of specific stress response genes.

The ECF σ factors have been subdivided into more than 40 distinct groups, with ECF01 being the best studied (reviewed in references 7, 11, and 12). σ^{P} belongs to the ECF01 family, which includes members like σ^{E} and σ^{W} from *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, respectively. The activities of the ECF01 family are inhibited by their cognate transmembrane anti- σ factors (8, 13). To activate ECF01 σ factors, the anti- σ factors must be destroyed via a proteolytic cascade (14, 15). For example, the *E. coli* anti- σ factor RseA is degraded in response to outer membrane stress, leading to σ^{E} activation (16, 17). DegS, a serine protease, cleaves the anti- σ factor RseA at site-1 (14, 18, 19). After site-1 cleavage, the conserved site-2 protease, RseP, cleaves RseA within the membrane, leading to increased σ^{E} activity (14, 20, 21). Similarly, the σ^{W} anti- σ factor, RsiW, from *B. subtilis* is proteolytically degraded by site-1 and site-2 proteases. In the case of RsiW, the site-1 protease is PrsW, a metalloprotease unrelated to DegS. PrsW cleaves RsiW in response to antimicrobial peptides, vancomycin, and pH change (22–24). RsiW is further processed by the conserved site-2 protease RasP, a homolog of RseP (15).

The closely related ECF30 family member σ^{v} from *B. subtilis* is activated by lysozyme (25–29). Activation of σ^{v} differs from σ^{E} and σ^{w} activation in that σ^{v} is not controlled by a dedicated site-1 protease but instead utilizes signal peptidases (30, 31). Signal peptidases are essential proteases which are required to cleave substrates secreted from the general secretion or twin arginine secretion systems (32–34). The anti- σ factor RsiV binds to lysozyme, which allows signal peptidase to cleave RsiV at site-1 (30, 31). This allows the site-2 protease RasP to cleave RsiV, leading to σ^{v} activation (35).

Previous studies found that σ^{P} is induced by ampicillin (Amp) and that its activity is required for resistance to ampicillin (5). The activity of σ^{P} is inhibited by the transmembrane anti- σ factor RsiP (5, 6). However, whether σ^{P} is activated specifically by ampicillin or more generally by cell wall stress is not known. In *B. subtilis*, activation of σ^{V} is specific to lysozyme (26, 27), while activation of σ^{W} , σ^{X} , and σ^{M} is in response to more general cell envelope stress (9, 36, 37). Here, we show that σ^{P} is activated by a specific subset of β -lactams and that this activation occurs via regulated intramembrane proteolysis of the anti- σ factor RsiP.

RESULTS

A subset of β-lactams induces σ^{P} activation. Previously, Koehler and colleagues demonstrated that ampicillin induces expression of the β-lactamase encoded by *bla1* (*hd73_3490*) in a σ^{P} -dependent manner in *B. thuringiensis* and *B. cereus* (5). Activation of some ECF σ factors is highly specific to an inducing signal, while others are activated by more general cell envelope stress. Thus, we sought to determine the specificity of σ^{P} activation using *B. thuringiensis* as a model system.

Like many ECF σ factor systems, σ^{P} is required for its own transcription (5). To monitor σ^{P} activation, we fused the σ^{P} promoter (P_{sigP}) to the *lacZ* reporter gene and integrated this construct into the genome of *B. thuringiensis* (THE2549 *thrC*:: P_{sigP} -*lacZ*). We tested several classes of β -lactams and cell wall-targeting antibiotics for their ability to induce expression of P_{sigP} -*lacZ*. We observed wide zones of P_{sigP} -*lacZ* induction



FIG 1 Expression of *sigP* is specifically induced by β -lactams. All the strains contained P_{sigP} -lacZ in either a wild-type (THE2549), a $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP (EBT232), or a $\Delta bla1$ (EBT215) background. Mid-log cells were washed and diluted 1:100 in molten LB agar containing X-Gal (100 μ g/ml) and poured into empty 100-mm petri dishes. Filter disks containing cefoxitin (Cef) (1 μ l of 5-mg/ml cefoxitin), bacitracin (Bac) (1 μ l of 50-mg/ml bacitracin), nisin (Nis) (3 μ l of 100-mg/ml nisin), vancomycin (Van) (1 μ l of 10-mg/ml vancomycin), cefmetazole (Cmet) (1 μ l of 5-mg/ml cefmetazole), polymyxin B (Poly) (1 μ l of 50-mg/ml polymyxin B), kanamycin (Kan) (1 μ l of 10-mg/ml kanamycin), piperacillin (Pip) (1 μ l of 55-mg/ml piperacillin), cefneazone (Cper) (1 μ l of 50 mg/ml cefnetazone), phosphomycin (Phos) (1 μ l of 100-mg/ml phosphomycin), Amp (2 μ l of 200-mg/ml ampicillin), cephalexin (Clex) (1 μ l of 50-mg/ml cephalexin), Erm (1 μ l of 5-mg/ml erythromycin), and methicillin (Meth) (2 μ l of 100-mg/ml methicillin) were then placed on the top agar and incubated for 16 h at 30°C.

around cefoxitin and cefmetazole (Fig. 1). We detected fainter zones of induction in the areas around cephalothin and cephalexin (Fig. 1). Very faint zones of induction were present in the cells around ampicillin and methicillin (Fig. 1). Interestingly, we did not observe this induction surrounding the β -lactams cefoperazone and piperacillin or antibiotics that target other steps in cell wall biosynthesis, including ramoplanin, phosphomycin, nisin, bacitracin, and vancomycin (Fig. 1). We also tested compounds that do not target peptidoglycan biosynthesis, including kanamycin, polymyxin B, and erythromycin (Erm), and saw no induction of P_{sigF} -lacZ (Fig. 1).

To quantify the levels of β -lactam induction, we tested eight β -lactams for their ability to activate the P_{sigp} -lacZ fusions using a β -galactosidase assay. Mid-log cells were incubated in the presence of various concentrations of ampicillin, cefoxitin, cefmetazole, cephalothin, methicillin, cephalexin, cefoperazone, and cefsulodin for 1 h at 37°C. We observed dose-dependent induction with a subset of these β -lactams (Fig. 2A and B). Interestingly, ampicillin, methicillin, and cephalexin showed low levels of P_{sigp} -lacZ induction when spotted onto a lawn of cells (Fig. 1) but strongly induced P_{sigp} -lacZ in liquid assays (Fig. 2A and B), a point we will return to later. In contrast, neither cefoperazone nor cefsulodin was able to induce on the plates or in liquid (Fig. 1 and 2B). This confirms our observation that a subset of β -lactams induces σ^{P} activation.

mSphere[®]



FIG 2 Expression of P_{sigp} -lacZ is dose dependent and dependent upon σ^p and RasP. (A) *B.* thuringiensis with transcriptional fusion P_{sigp} -lacZ (THE2549) was grown overnight at 30°C, subcultured in LB, and grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8 before being incubated with various concentrations of β -lactams (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25 0.5, 1, and $2 \mu g/m$) for 1 h. Cells were collected and resuspended in Z buffer. (B) *B. thuringiensis* with transcriptional fusion P_{sigp} -lacZ (THE2549) was grown overnight at 30°C, subcultured in LB, and grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8 before being incubated with various concentrations of β -lactams (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25 0.5, 1, and $2 \mu g/m$) for 1 h. Cells were collected and resuspended in Z buffer. (B) *B. thuringiensis* with transcriptional fusion P_{sigp} -lacZ (THE2549) was grown overnight at 30°C, subcultured in LB, and grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8 before being incubated with various concentrations of β -lactams (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25 0.5, 1, and $2 \mu g/m$) for 1 h. Cells were collected and resuspended in Z buffer. (C) All strains contain P_{sigp} -lacZ and the genotype and plasmid noted: wild type/Vect. (EBT169), sigP/Vect. (EBT251), $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP/pSigPRsiP (EBT238), $\Delta rasP/Vect$. (EBT175), and rasP/pRasP (EBT176). Strains were grown to mid-log phase and then treated with 5 $\mu g/m$) cefoxitin or untreated (0) and incubated for 1 h. β -Galactosidase activity was calculated as described in Materials and Methods. These experiments were done in triplicate, and standard deviations are represented by error bars.

We found that deletion of the *sigP-rsiP* genes blocked expression of P_{sigP} -*lacZ* in the presence of β -lactams (Fig. 1 and 2C), demonstrating that σ^{P} is required for induction of P_{sigP} -*lacZ* in response to β -lactams. When we introduced a low-copy-number plasmid containing P_{sigP} -sigP+-rsiP+ into the Δ sigP-rsiP mutant (Δ sigP-rsiP/pSigPRsiP), we re-



TABLE 1 $\Delta sigP$ -rsil	^o mutant is	more sensitive	to β -lactams	than wild	type t
------------------------------------	------------------------	----------------	---------------------	-----------	--------

	MIC (μ g/ml) for	strain (mean ± SD):	
Drug	WT	$\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant	Fold difference
Ampicillin	6,000 ± 0	1.67 ± 0.5	3,592
Cefoxitin	200 ± 0	20 ± 0	10
Methicillin	666 ± 115	1 ± 0	666
Piperacillin	5 ± 0	1.25 ± 0	4
Cephalothin	88 ± 25	0.25 ± 0	350
Cephalexin	200 ± 0	4 ± 0	50
Cefmetazole	44 ± 13	2.8 ± 1.1	16
Cefoperazone	5 ± 2	4 ± 0	1.25
Cefsulodin	400 ± 0	400 ± 0	1

stored the induction of P_{sigP} -lacZ in response to cefoxitin (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these data suggest that a subset of β -lactam antibiotics activates σ^{P} .

 $σ^{P}$ and Bla1 are involved in resistance to some β-lactams. To determine the impact of $σ^{P}$ on resistance to β-lactams, we measured the MICs of several β-lactams for wild-type and $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant strains. We found that the wild type was greater than 100-fold more resistant to ampicillin, methicillin, and cephalothin than was the $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant (Table 1). The wild type was 16- to 50-fold more resistant to cefmetazole, cefoxitin, and cephalexin than the mutant (Table 1). There was little or no difference in resistance to piperacillin, cefoperazone, and cefsulodin, which also failed to activate $σ^{P}$ (Table 1 and Fig. 1). We also demonstrate that complementing the $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant with a plasmid carrying P_{sigP} -sigP+-rsiP+ restored resistance to ampicillin and cefoxitin (Table 2). For reasons that remain unclear, strains containing plasmids, including empty vector, have slight increases in β-lactam resistance. However, this does not impact the observation that the presence of P_{sigP} -sigP+-rsiP+ restored resistance to ampicillin and cefoxitin.

Since σ^{P} was shown to control expression of $hd73_3490$ (referred to here as bla1), which encodes a β -lactamase, we sought to determine if this gene played a role in resistance to β -lactams. We made a deletion of bla1 and determined the MIC of ampicillin and cefoxitin for this strain. The bla1 mutant was 8- to 16-fold more sensitive to ampicillin and ~5-fold more sensitive to methicillin but no more sensitive to cefoxitin than the wild type (Table 2). This contrasts with the sigP mutant, which is greater than 1,000-fold more sensitive to ampicillin, 600-fold more sensitive to methicillin, and ~25-fold more sensitive to cefoxitin than the wild type (Table 2). This suggests that Bla1 plays a more important role in resistance to ampicillin and methicillin than to cefoxitin. Furthermore, our data suggest that while Bla1 contributes to β -lactam resistance, additional σ^{P} -regulated genes must also contribute to β -lactam resistance.

When we tested various β -lactams for induction of $P_{sig\rho}$ -lacZ on 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -p-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) plates, we did not consistently observe a strong zone of induction surrounding ampicillin and methicillin (Fig. 1). We hypothesized that this weak induction zone was due to the wild type efficiently producing β -lactamases which degraded the inducer (ampicillin and methicillin). Thus, we were unable to

TABLE 2 RasP	' is	reauired	for	resistance	to	B-lactams ^a
--------------	------	----------	-----	------------	----	------------------------

		MIC (μ g/ml) of dru	MIC (μ g/ml) of drug (mean ± SD):			
Genotype	Vector	Ampicillin	Cefoxitin	Methicillin		
WT	Empty	8,000 ± 0	200 ± 0	666.7 ± 115		
Δ sigP-rsiP	Empty	2 ± 0	20 ± 0	1 ± 0		
$\Delta sigP$ -rsiP	pSigP	6,666 ± 3,011	100 ± 0	ND		
$\Delta rasP$	Empty	6.7 ± 2.1	20 ± 0	ND		
$\Delta rasP$	pRasP	6,333 ± 1,966	133 ± 57.7	ND		
$\Delta bla1$	Empty	$400~\pm~0$	200 ± 0	$125~\pm~50$		

^aAbbreviations: WT, wild type; ND, not determined.

observe the increased production of β -galactosidase. To test this hypothesis, we determined the effect of a $\Delta bla1$ mutant on $\sigma^{\rm P}$ activation. We found that in the $\Delta bla1$ mutant, ampicillin and methicillin produced more distinct zones of induction (Fig. 1). However, all other induction zones of the $\Delta bla1$ mutant were similar to the wild type. Thus, in the absence of Bla1, which degrades ampicillin and methicillin, we detected greater induction of P_{sigP} -lacZ expression. Taken together, these observations suggest that the weak ampicillin induction of P_{sigP} -lacZ on plates is in part due to the efficient degradation of the inducer by β -lactamases.

RsiP is degraded in response to cefoxitin in a dose-dependent manner. The anti- σ factors of other ECF01 family members are degraded, which leads to the activation of their cognate σ factors (7, 14, 15). We sought to determine if β -lactams activate σ^{P} by inducing degradation of RsiP. To investigate this, we constructed a strain with an anhydrotetracycline (ATc)-inducible copy of green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to the N terminus of RsiP (GFP-RsiP). The inducible promoter allows us to uncouple expression of RsiP from induction of σ^{P} . The GFP-RsiP fusion allows us to follow the fate of the cytoplasmic portion of RsiP. Expression of GFP-RsiP complements an rsiP null mutation (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) and localizes to the membrane (Fig. S2). We then induced the synthesis of GFP-RsiP in exponential-phase cells and monitored its processing before and after treatment with cefoxitin. We chose to utilize cefoxitin for these experiments because cefoxitin induces $\sigma^{\rm P}$ activation over a wide concentration range and the $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant strain grows at most of these concentrations (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Cell pellets were then lysed by sonication, and Western blot analyses were performed using anti-RsiP antisera against the extracellular portion of RsiP or anti-GFP antisera, which detect GFP fused to the intracellular portion of RsiP.

When cells producing GFP-RsiP were grown in the absence of cefoxitin, we detected full-length GFP-RsiP at the expected size of ~60 kDa using anti-RsiP antisera. This band was absent in the empty-vector control (Fig. 3A). When cells were incubated with cefoxitin (5 μ g/ml) for various times, we found that the level of full-length GFP-RsiP decreased over time (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A). We observed loss of GFP-RsiP by 30 min to 1 h after exposure to cefoxitin (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A). This suggests that GFP-RsiP is likely degraded in the presence of cefoxitin.

We also tested the effect of cefoxitin concentration on GFP-RsiP levels by incubating cells with a range of cefoxitin concentrations (0 to 500 μ g/ml) for 1 h. We found that increasing concentrations of cefoxitin resulted in a greater decrease of full-length GFP-RsiP (Fig. 3B and Fig. S3B). We obtained comparable results when we performed blotting assays for the N-terminal domain using anti-GFP antisera (Fig. S4). These data suggest that activation of $\sigma^{\rm P}$ occurs via loss of RsiP in a cefoxitin dose-dependent manner.

RasP is necessary for σ^{P} **activation.** Both σ^{E} and σ^{W} are activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis of their cognate anti- σ factors. Proteolysis of these anti- σ factors requires multiple proteases, including the highly conserved site-2 proteases RseP and RasP, respectively (14, 15). We hypothesize that activation of σ^{P} requires multiple proteases, including the conserved site-2 protease RasP to degrade RsiP. To test this, we used BLAST to identify a putative membrane-embedded metalloprotease, HD73_4103, which is 76% similar and 60% identical to *B. subtilis* RasP and is here referred to as RasP (Fig. S5) (38–43). To determine if RasP was required for σ^{P} activation, we generated a strain containing a deletion of *rasP* and the P_{sigP}-lacZ reporter. In the absence of RasP, we did not detect increased expression of P_{sigP}-lacZ reporter in response to cefoxitin (Fig. 2C). In MIC experiments, we found that, similarly to the $\Delta sigP$ -rsiP mutant, the $\Delta rasP$ mutant was more sensitive to ampicillin and cefoxitin (Table 2). We found that both resistance to β -lactams and induction of P_{sigP}-lacZ could be complemented when a plasmid expressing *rasP*⁺ was introduced into the $\Delta rasP$ mutant (Fig. 2C and Table 2). These data suggest that RasP is required for σ^{P} activation.





FIG 3 RsiP levels decrease in the presence of cefoxitin. *B. thuringiensis* expressing tetracycline-inducible *gfp-rsiP* (EBT360) or empty vector (EV; EBT169) was subcultured 1:50 into LB supplemented with ATc (50 ng/ml). At mid-log phase, cells were incubated with 5 μ g/ml of cefoxitin for various times (0, 15, 30, 60, 120, or 180 min) (A) or increasing concentrations of cefoxitin (0, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, or 500 μ g/ml) for 1 h (B). The immunoblot was probed with antisera against RsiP (α -RsiP⁷⁶⁻²⁷⁵). Streptavidin IR680LT was used to detect HD73_4231 (PycA homolog), which served as a loading control (62, 63). The color blot showing both anti-RsiP and streptavidin on a single gel is shown in Fig. S3. Numbers at right indicate molecular masses in kilodaltons.

RasP is required for degradation of RsiP. To determine if RasP is required for degradation of RsiP, we expressed the GFP-RsiP fusion in both the wild type and a $\Delta rasP$ mutant. We treated cells with 5 μ g/ml cefoxitin for various lengths of time from 0 to 180 min (Fig. 4 and Fig. S6). In the wild type, we observed loss of full-length RsiP over time (Fig. 4 and Fig. S6). In contrast, we observed loss of full-length GFP-RsiP and the accumulation of a smaller ~35-kDa band in the $\Delta rasP$ mutant (Fig. 4 and Fig. S6). This suggests that RasP is required for complete degradation of RsiP. Since a truncated product accumulates in the $\Delta rasP$ mutant, RasP is likely required for site-2 cleavage and an unidentified protease is required for cleavage at site-1.



FIG 4 RsiP degradation is dependent upon the site-2 protease RasP. *B. thuringiensis* wild type (EBT360) or $\Delta rasP$ (EBT366) containing a tetracycline-inducible copy of *gfp-rsiP* was subcultured 1:50 into LB supplemented with ATc (50 ng/ml). At mid-log phase, cultures were incubated with cefoxitin (5 μ g/ml) for the time indicated at 37°C. The immunoblot was probed with anti-GFP antisera. EV is wild type with pAH9 (EBT169), and GFP is wild type with pAH13 (UM20). Streptavidin IR680LT was used to detect HD73_4231 (PycA homolog), which served as a loading control (62, 63). The color blot showing both anti-GFP and streptavidin on a single gel is shown in Fig. S6. Numbers at right are molecular masses in kilodaltons.





FIG 5 Truncations of RsiP lead to constitutive σ^{p} activation. To determine if RasP was required for ampicillin-inducible P_{sigP} -lacZ expression, we assayed β -galactosidase activity of *B. thuringiensis* with transcriptional fusion P_{sigP} -lacZ and different *rsiP* truncation mutants (WT, THE2549; RsiP¹⁻²²⁰, THE2602; RsiP¹⁻⁸⁰, THE2628; RsiP¹⁻⁶¹, THE2637; RsiP¹⁻¹⁶, THE2642) and a $\Delta rasP$ deletion (WT, EBT140; RsiP¹⁻²²⁰, EBT116; RsiP¹⁻⁸⁰, EBT148; RsiP¹⁻⁶¹, EBT133; RsiP¹⁻¹⁶, THE2605). Cells were grown overnight at 30°C, subcultured in LB, and grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8 before being incubated with cefoxitin (5 μ g/ml) for 1 h. The experiment was performed in triplicate, and standard deviations are represented by error bars.

Mutations in rsiP result in constitutive sigP expression. To further characterize the σ^{P} signal transduction system, we isolated mutants which resulted in constitutive expression of P_{siaP}-lacZ. We selected for mutants with increased resistance to cefoxitin by plating cultures of the wild-type P_{siaP} -lacZ strain (THE2549) on LB-cefoxitin (200 μ g/ ml) agar. At this concentration of cefoxitin, wild-type B. thuringiensis fails to grow. These strains were tested for P_{sigP}-lacZ expression in the absence of cefoxitin by streaking on LB-X-Gal. We isolated 8 independent mutants with increased resistance to cefoxitin that have constitutive P_{siaP}-lacZ expression. We hypothesized that these strains harbored mutations in rsiP. We PCR amplified and sequenced the sigP and rsiP genes from the constitutive mutants. The 8 constitutive mutants contained mutations in different regions of the rsiP gene that resulted in C-terminal truncations of RsiP (Fig. S7). We selected four rsiP mutants for further study. We found that each mutant strain showed increased P_{siaP} -lacZ expression even in the absence of β -lactams (Fig. 5). When a wild-type copy of rsiP (pSigPRsiP) was introduced to each of these mutants, PsiaP-lacZ expression was no longer constitutive but was induced in the presence of cefoxitin (Fig. S8). This indicates that the rsiP mutations were responsible for the increased P_{siaP}-lacZ expression.

In the σ^{v} and σ^{w} systems, RasP cleaves the anti- σ factors RsiW and RsiV within the transmembrane domain to activate the cognate σ factors (15, 35). The RsiP transmembrane is predicted to be residues 54 to 71 based on TMHMM (44). Two of the four RsiP truncations produce proteins with the transmembrane domain intact, while the remaining RsiP truncations lack the transmembrane domain. Since RasP is known to cleave proteins within the transmembrane domain, we hypothesized that those truncations which still contain a transmembrane domain would require RasP in order to activate σ^{P} . To test this, we introduced the $\Delta rasP$ mutation into each of the *rsiP* mutants. In the absence of RasP, strains containing truncations which have a transmembrane domain (RsiP^{1–220} and RsiP^{1–80}) (Fig. 4 and Fig. S7) no longer constitutively activate σ^{P} (Fig. 5). However, the strains with the *rsiP* truncation lacking the transmembrane domain (RsiP^{1–16} and RsiP^{1–61}) constitutively activate σ^{P} even in the absence of RasP (RsiP^{1–16} and RsiP^{1–61}) (Fig. 4 and Fig. S5). Thus, RasP is required for σ^{P} activation when the transmembrane domain of RsiP is intact, consistent with the role of RasP as a site-2 protease.



FIG 6 Truncation of RsiP results in constitutive degradation in a RasP-dependent manner. *B. thuringiensis* containing a tetracycline-inducible copy of *gfp-rsiP*, *gfp-rsiP*¹⁻⁷² (*rsiP* without the extracellular domain), or *gfp-rsiP*¹⁻⁵³ (*rsiP* without the transmembrane and extracellular domains) was constructed in either the wild type (*rasP*⁺) or a *ArasP* mutant strain (GFP-RsiP wild type [*rasP*⁺], EBT360; GFP-RsiP *ArasP*, EBT366; GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁵³ wild type [*rasP*⁺], EBT518; GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁵³ *ArasP*, EBT510; GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² wild type [*rasP*⁺], EBT516; GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² *ArasP*, EBT533). Strains were subcultured 1:50 into LB supplemented with ATc (100 ng/ml), grown to mid-log phase, and then incubated for 2 h without (–) or with (+) cefoxitin treatment (5 µg/ml) at 37°C. The immunoblot was probed with anti-GFP antisera. Streptavidin IR680LT was used to detect HD73_4231 (PycA homolog), which served as a loading control (62, 63). The color blot showing both anti-GFP and streptavidin on a single gel is shown in Fig. S9. Numbers at right are molecular masses in kilodaltons.

RasP cleaves within the transmembrane domain of RsiP and is not the regu**lated step in** σ^{P} **activation.** In the case of σ^{W} and σ^{V} , the rate-limiting step in σ factor activation is site-1 cleavage (15, 35). Since the identity of the site-1 protease is not currently known, we sought to determine if RasP cleavage of RsiP is a rate-limiting step in σ^{P} activation. To test this, we constructed truncations of GFP-RsiP that lack the extracellular portion of RsiP. One truncation includes the transmembrane domain $(qfp-rsiP^{1-72})$, and one truncation lacks the transmembrane domain $(qfp-rsiP^{1-53})$. We expressed the truncated GFP-RsiP proteins in wild-type and $\Delta rasP$ backgrounds and exposed these strains to cefoxitin (5 μ g/ml). In wild-type strains, we found that both GFP-RsiP^{1–72} and GFP-RsiP^{1–53} were degraded (Fig. 6 and Fig. S9). However, in the $\Delta rasP$ mutant GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² accumulated, while GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁵³ was degraded (Fig. 6 and Fig. S9). These data indicate that GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² requires RasP for degradation while GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁵³ does not. One possible interpretation is that GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² is not produced or localized properly to the membrane. Thus, we confirmed that GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² localizes to the membrane by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. S2). This suggests that the RasP cleavage site of RsiP occurs within the transmembrane domain between amino acids 53 and 72. The presence or absence of cefoxitin had no effect on the degradation (Fig. 6 and Fig. S9). Since GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² is constitutively degraded, we conclude that GFP-RsiP¹⁻⁷² mimics the site-1 cleavage product and that RasP activity is not induced by cefoxitin. This suggests that RasP cleavage of RsiP is not the regulated step in σ^{P} activation and that site-1 cleavage is the step that is controlled by the presence of β -lactams.

DISCUSSION

Many ECF σ factors are induced in response to extracytoplasmic stressors and initiate transcription of a subset of genes to modulate the cell's response to these stresses. ECF σ factors can respond to signals such as misfolded periplasmic protein, antimicrobial peptides, or lysozyme. The ECF σ factors encoded in highly related organisms can vary widely. For example, *B. subtilis* encodes 7 ECF σ factors, while *B. thuringiensis* encodes 15 predicted ECF σ factors. The only ECF σ factor that these organisms share is σ^{M} (45). Thus, there is a variability in how bacteria utilize ECF σ factors to respond to stress. Ross et al. demonstrated that the novel ECF σ factor σ^{P} is induced in the presence of ampicillin and initiates transcription of β -lactamases (5). Here, we demonstrated that σ^{P} responds specifically to a subset of β -lactams, while other β -lactams and cell wall-targeting antibiotics fail to induce σ^{P} activation. We also showed that σ^{P} confers various degrees of resistance to these β -lactam antibiotics. We found that σ^{P} was not required for resistance to other cell wall antibiotics, including vancomycin, nisin, and bacitracin, suggesting specificity in resistance to β -lactams and not a general cell envelope stress response.

For ECF σ factors to be activated, their cognate anti- σ factors must be inactivated. This can be accomplished via various mechanisms, including a conformational change of the anti- σ factor; partner switching, where an anti-anti- σ factor frees the σ factor from the anti- σ factor; or proteolytic destruction of the anti- σ factor (9, 11). The anti- σ factors RseA in E. coli and RsiW and RsiV in B. subtilis are degraded sequentially by regulated intramembrane proteolysis. Each of these anti- σ factors requires a different family of proteases to cleave the anti- σ factor at site-1 (14, 22, 30, 46, 47), while site-2 cleavage is carried out by the conserved site-2 protease (14, 15, 35). We hypothesize that σ^{P} is activated in a similar manner. Our data indicate that σ^{P} is released from RsiP by proteolytic degradation when β -lactams are present. We found that RasP is required for activation of σ^{P} . We also observe that an RsiP degradation product approximately the size of our predicted RasP substrate accumulates in a $\Delta rasP$ mutant. This indicates that RasP is required for degradation of RsiP. Our data also suggest, similarly to other anti- σ factors, that site-2 cleavage of RsiP is not the rate-limiting step, since the C-terminal RsiP truncations are constitutively degraded and lead to constitutive σ^{P} activation in the absence of β -lactams. Thus, we hypothesize that RasP is required for site-2 cleavage of RsiP and that an as-yet-unidentified protease is required to initiate degradation of RsiP by cleaving RsiP at site-1. We hypothesize that, like other ECF σ factors activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis, site-1 cleavage of RsiP is likely the rate-limiting step in σ^{P} activation.

Our data suggest that a subset of β -lactams induce σ^{P} activation. We found that, in addition to ampicillin, σ^{P} is activated by cefoxitin, cefmetazole, cephalothin, cephalexin, and methicillin but not by piperacillin, cefoperazone, cefsulodin, or antibiotics that target other steps in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. This raises the question of what the signal is for σ^{P} activation. The β -lactams could be sensed directly or indirectly. For example, RsiV directly senses lysozyme and degradation of RsiV is rapid (31). In contrast, activation of σ^{E} is indirect and due to buildup of products that occur when the outer membrane is damaged (31, 48). Our data suggest that RsiP degradation is a relatively slow process. One possible interpretation of this is that β -lactam-induced peptidoglycan (PG) damage must accumulate to induce RsiP degradation. We hypothesize that the β -lactams that we tested have different affinities for penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) and that this affinity may explain why some β -lactams induce σ^{P} while others do not. In other organisms, including Streptococcus pneumoniae, B. subtilis, and E. coli, β -lactams can differentially target PBPs (49–51). This raises the possibility that activation of σ^{P} could be the result of inhibition of specific PBPs. Unfortunately, at this time we do not know which PBPs are targeted by the different β -lactams in *B. thuringiensis*. Thus, the precise mechanism and signal responsible for σ^{P} activation remain to be clearly defined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media and growth conditions. All *B. thuringiensis* strains are isogenic derivatives of AW43, a derivative of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* strain HD73 (52). All strains and genotypes can be found in Table 3. All *B. thuringiensis* strains were grown in or on LB medium at 30°C unless otherwise specified. Cultures of *B. thuringiensis* were grown with agitation in a roller drum. Strains containing episomal plasmids were grown in LB containing chloramphenicol (Cam; 10 μ g/ml) or erythromycin (Erm; 10 μ g/ml). *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C using LB-ampicillin (Amp; 100 μ g/ml) or LB-Cam (10 μ g/ml) medium. To screen for threonine auxotrophy, *B. thuringiensis* strains were patched on minimal medium plates without or with threonine (50 μ g/ml) (53, 54). The β -galactosidase chromogenic indicator 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) was used at a concentration of 100 μ g/ml. Anhydrotetracycline (ATc; Sigma) was used at a concentration of 100 ng/ml.

Strain and plasmid construction. All plasmids are listed in Table 4, which includes information relevant to plasmid assembly. Plasmids were constructed by isothermal assembly (55). Regions of plasmids constructed using PCR were verified by DNA sequencing. The oligonucleotide primers used in this work were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA) and are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. All plasmids were propagated using OmniMax 2-T1R as the cloning host and passaged through the nonmethylating *E. coli* strain INV110 before being transformed into a *B. thuringiensis* recipient strain.

TABLE	3	Strains
-------	---	---------



Species and strain	Description	Reference or source	
B. thuringiensis			
AW43	B. thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki HD73 cured of both	52	
	pAW63 and pHT73, Nal ^r		
THE2549	AW43 thrC::P _{cipe} -lacZ	This study	
EBT140	AW43 thrC:: P_{clop} -lacZ $\Delta rasP$	This study	
EBT232	AW43 thrC:: P_{cip} -lacZ Δ siqP-rsiP	This study	
EBT215	AW43 thrC:: P_{clop}^{igr} -lacZ $\Delta bla1$	This study	
EBT360	AW43 thrC::P _{siaP} -lacZ/pAH9 P _{tot} -gfp-rsiP	This study	
EBT366	AW43 thrC:: P_{cip} -lacZ $\Delta rasP/pAH9$ P_{tot} -afp-rsiP	This study	
EBT510	AW43 thrC:: P_{rigp}^{3gr} -lacZ $\Delta rasP/pAH9$ P_{rigp}^{4} -gfp-rsiP ¹⁻⁵³	This study	
EBT516	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ/pAH9 Prot-afp-rsiP ¹⁻⁷²	This study	
EBT518	AW43 thrC::P. acZ/pAH9 P. afp-rsiP ¹⁻⁵³	This study	
EBT533	AW43 thrC::P _{riop} -lacZ Δ rasP/pAH9 P _{tot} -gfp-rsiP ¹⁻⁷²	This study	
EBT175	AW43 thrC::P _{cip} -lacZ ΔrasP/pAH9	This study	
EBT176	AW43 thrC:: P_{con} -lacZ Δ rasP/pAH9 rasP	This study	
EBT238	AW43 thrC::Peino-lacz AsiaP-rsiP/pAH9 Peino-siaP-rsiP	This study	
EBT251	AW43 thrC:: P_{rip} -lacZ Δ siaP-rsiP/pAH9	This study	
THE2642	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻¹⁶	This study	
THE2637	AW43 thrC:: P_{cio} -lacZ rsi P^{1-61}	This study	
THE2628	AW43 thrC:: P_{cip} -lacZ rsi P^{1-80}	This study	
THE2602	AW43 thrC:: P_{cio} -lacZ rsiP ¹⁻²²⁰	This study	
THE2605	AW43 thrC::P_cion-lacZ Δ rasP rsiP ¹⁻¹⁶	This study	
EBT133	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ $\Delta rasP$ rsiP ¹⁻⁶¹	This study	
EBT148	AW43 thrC::P ₁₋₀ -lacZ Δ rasP rsiP ¹⁻⁸⁰	This study	
EBT116	AW43 thrC::P ₁₋₀ -lacZ Δ rasP rsiP ¹⁻²²⁰	This study	
EBT567	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻¹⁶ /pAH9 Prior-siaP-rsiP	This study	
EBT566	AW43 thrC:: P_{i-p} -lacZ rsi P^{1-61} /pAH9 P_{i-p} -sia P -rsi P	This study	
EBT565	AW43 thrC::Pring-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻⁸⁰ /pAH9 Pring-sigP-rsiP	This study	
EBT564	AW43 thrC::Prion-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻²²⁰ /pAH9 Prion-siaP-rsiP	This study	
EBT168	AW43 thrC::Prion-lacZ/pAH9 Prion-siaP-rsiP	This study	
EBT169	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ pAH9	This study	
EBT563	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻¹⁶ /pAH9	This study	
EBT562	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻⁶¹ /pAH9	This study	
EBT561	AW43 thrC::Pring-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻⁸⁰ /pAH9	This study	
EBT560	AW43 thrC::Prior-lacZ rsiP ¹⁻²²⁰ /pAH9	This study	
UM20	AW43/pAH13	This study	
EBT587	AW43 thrC::P _{sigP} -lacZ rsiP ¹⁻⁸⁰ /pAH9 P _{tet} -gfp-rsiP	This study	
E coli			
OmniMax 2-T1R	E' {proAB+ lacle lacZAM15 Tn10(Tetr) A(ccdAB)} mcrA	Invitrogen	
	Λ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrR() Δ 80(lac7) Λ M15 Λ (lac7) Δ 4araF)(1169	innaogen	
	and $\Delta 1 \text{ rec} \Delta 1 sun FAA this 1 average relA1 ton A nenD$		
INV110	endA1 rost thr lev thi lacy galk galt are tom they dam	Invitrogen	
	dcm supE44 Δ (lac-proAB) [F' traD36 proAB lacl ⁹ Z Δ M15]	invitogen	

To construct deletion mutants, we cloned DNA 1 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of the site of desired deletion using primers listed in Table S1 onto the temperature-sensitive pMAD plasmid (erythromycin resistant) between the BgIII and EcoRI sites (56).

Complementation constructs were constructed in pAH9, which is an *E. coli*–Gram-positive bacterial shuttle vector with a pE194 origin of replication (57). Chromosomal DNA including the promoter sequence was cloned for P_{sigP} -sigP+-rsiP+ and cloned into pAH9 digested with EcoRI and HindIII, while *rasP* was cloned downstream of the P_{sarA} promoter from *Staphylococcus aureus* by digesting with EcoRI and KpnI. In *B. thuringiensis*, P_{sarA} has moderate constitutive expression.

To generate strains containing the *sigP* promoter fused to the *lacZ* reporter integrated into the chromosome, we constructed a number of intermediate vectors. To switch the antibiotic resistance of the temperature-sensitive pMAD vector, we constructed pTHE946, which contains the *E. coli* origin (ColE1 ori) of replication, an Erm resistance gene (for selection in Gram-positive bacteria), an Amp resistance gene (for selection in *E. coli* strains), and the temperature-sensitive origin (pE194 ori) from pMAD (7.3-kb Stul and BamHI fragment) as well as the conjugation origin of transfer and the Cam resistance gene from pRPF185 (Smal and BamHI fragment). The *thrC* (primers 2917 and 2918) and *thrB* (primers 2919 and 2920) genes were cloned into the Scal- and Sall-digested pTHE946 plasmid (lacking Erm⁻ and Amp⁻ genes) to generate a vector (pTHE948) which can integrate into the *thrC* operon. A promoterless *lacZ* fragment (primers 2922 and 2923) was added between the *thrC* and *thrB* genes of pTHE948 (Xhol and Sbfl) to generate pTHE950. This plasmid (Xhol and Notl digested) was used to clone the *sigP* promoter (primers TE2929 and 2930) to generate the P_{sigP}^{-*lacZ* promoter fusion (pTHE949).}

Ho et al.

TABLE 4 Plasmids

Plasmid	Relevant feature(s)	Parent vector	Digestion enzymes	Insert primers	Reference	
pMAD	ori-pE194ts				56	
pAH9	ori-pE194 P _{sarA} -mcherry				57	
pAH13	P _{tet} -gfp				57	
pRAN332	P _{tet} -gfp				64	
pEBT4	ori-pE194ts, ∆ <i>blaP</i>	pMAD	BgIII, EcoRI	3832 and 3833, 3834 and 3835	This study	
pEBT5	ori-pE194ts, ∆ <i>rasP</i>	pMAD	BgIII, EcoRI	3632 and 3633, 3634 and 3635	This study	
pEBT6	ori-pE194ts, <i>∆sigP-rsiP</i>	pMAD	BgIII, EcoRI	3776 and 3777, 3778 and 3779	This study	
pEBT13	P _{tet} -gfp-rsiP	pAH9	HindIII, EcoRI	3838 and 3839	This study	
pCE630	P _{tet} -gfp-rsiP ¹⁻⁷²	pAH9	HindIII, EcoRI	3838 and 4258	This study	
pCE632	P _{tet} -gfp-rsiP ¹⁻⁵³	pAH9	HindIII, EcoRI	3838 and 4259	This study	
pTHE960	P _{siaP} -sigP+-rsiP+	pAH9	HindIII, EcoRI	3774 and 3775	This study	
pIA02	P _{sarA} -rasP ⁺	pAH9	EcoRl, Kpnl	3744 and 3745	This study	
pTHE946	pE194ts	pMAD	BamHI, Stul		This study	
pTHE948	pE194ts 'thrC thrB'	pTHE946	Scal, Sall	2917 and 2918, 2919 and 2920	This study	
pTHE950	pE194ts 'thrC lacZ thrB'	pTHE948	Xhol, Sbfl	2922 and 2923	This study	
pTHE949	pE194ts 'thrC P _{sigP} -lacZ thrB'	pTHE950	Xhol, Sall	2929 and 2930	This study	

B. thuringiensis DNA transformation. Plasmids were introduced into *B. thuringiensis* by electroporation (58, 59). Briefly, recipient cells were grown to late log phase at 37°C. For each transformation, cells (1.5 ml) were pelleted by centrifugation $(9,000 \times g)$ and washed twice in room-temperature sterile water. After careful removal of all residual water, 100 μ l of sterile 40% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 (Sigma) was used to gently resuspend cells. Approximately 2 to 10 μ l of unmethylated DNA (>50 ng/ μ l) was added to cells and transferred to an 0.4-cm-gap electroporation cuvette (Bio-Rad). Cells were exposed to 2.5 kV for 4 to 6 ms. LB was immediately added, and cells were incubated at 30°C for 1 to 2 h prior to plating on selective media.

Construction of deletions or promoter*lacZ* **fusions in** *B. thuringiensis.* To generate unmarked mutants and *thrC*::P_{*sigP}<i>-lacZ* strains, we used plasmid vectors containing the temperature-sensitive origin of replication (pE194 ori) from the pMAD plasmid (56). At permissive temperatures (30°C), pMAD replicates episomally as a plasmid. At nonpermissive temperatures (42°C), pMAD must integrate into the chromosome via homologous recombination; otherwise, the plasmid will be lost to segregation and the strain will become sensitive to erythromycin. Plasmids were transformed into a *B. thuringiensis* recipient strain and selected for on LB-Erm agar at 30°C. To select for the integration of the deletion plasmid into the recipient strain genome, plasmid-containing bacteria were grown at 42°C on LB-Erm plates. The plasmid-integrated strain was then struck on LB agar at 30°C twice. Individual colonies were patched on LB and LB-Erm agar to identify the Erm-sensitive bacteria which had lost the deletion plasmid by segregation. To verify each deletion, genomic DNA was isolated from each strain candidate and PCR was used to verify the deletion. Integration of the P_{sigP}*-lacZ* fusion into the *thrC* operon results in threonine auxotrophy and can be identified by lack of growth on minimal medium plates without threonine.</sub>

Zones of inhibition and zones of induction. To determine the zones of inhibition and induction by various antibiotics, we first washed mid-logarithmically grown cells in fresh LB. Washed cells were diluted 1:100 in molten LB agar containing X-Gal (100 μ g/ml) and poured into empty 100-mm petri dishes. Sterile cellulose disks (8 mm) were saturated with different antibiotics and allowed to dry for longer than 10 min. After each antibiotic disk was placed on the solidified agar, plates were incubated at 30°C overnight before observation.

β-Galactosidase assays. To quantify expression from the *sigP* promoter, we measured the β-galactosidase activity of cells containing a P_{sigP} /acZ promoter fusion. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:50 in fresh LB medium and incubated for 3 h at 30°C. One milliliter of each subculture was pelleted (9,000 × g), washed (in LB broth), and resuspended in 1 ml LB broth lacking or including specified antibiotics. After 1 h of incubation at 37°C, 1 ml of each sample was pelleted and resuspended in 200 µl of Z buffer. Cells were permeabilized by mixing with 16 µl chloroform and 16 µl 2% Sarkosyl (26, 60). Permeabilized cells (100 µl) were mixed with 10 mg/ml *ortho*-nitrophenyl-β-galactoside (ONPG; Research Products International; 50 µl), and optical density at 405 nm (OD₄₀₅) was measured over time using an Infinite M200 Pro plate reader (Tecan). β-Galactosidase activity units (µmol of ONP formed min⁻¹) × 10³/(OD₆₀₀ × ml of cell suspension) were calculated as previously described (61). Experiments were performed in triplicate with the mean and standard deviation being shown.

MIC assay. To determine the MICs of various antibiotics, we diluted overnight cultures of bacteria (washed in LB) 1:1,000 in medium containing 2-fold dilutions of each antibiotic. All MIC experiments were performed in round-bottom 96-well plates. Each experiment was performed in triplicate, and the plates were allowed to incubate for 24 h at 37°C before observation of growth or no growth.

Immunoblot analysis. Samples were electrophoresed on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and proteins were then blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare, Amersham). Nitrocellulose was blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and proteins were detected with either 1:10,000 anti-GFP or 1:5,000 anti-RsiP⁷⁶⁻²⁷⁵ antiserum. Streptavidin IR680LT (1:10,000) was used to detect two biotin-containing proteins, PycA (HD73_4231) and AccB (HD73_4487), which served as loading controls (62, 63). To detect primary antibodies, the blots were incubated with 1:10,000 goat anti-rabbit IR800CW (Li-Cor) and imaged on an Odyssey CLx scanner (Li-Cor) or an Azure Sapphire imager (Azure Biosystems). All immunoblot assays were performed a minimum of three times with a representative example being shown.





SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00511-19.

FIG S1, TIF file, 1.9 MB. FIG S2, TIF file, 1.4 MB. FIG S3, TIF file, 1.5 MB. FIG S4, TIF file, 1.8 MB. FIG S5, TIF file, 1.3 MB. FIG S6, TIF file, 2.7 MB. FIG S7, TIF file, 1.5 MB. FIG S8, TIF file, 1.8 MB. FIG S9, TIF file, 1.6 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of Iowa and by NIH grant R21AI146769 to C.D.E.

We thank Theresa Koehler for strains and advice. We also thank Leyla Slamti for protocols and members of the Ellermeier and Weiss labs for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- Waxman DJ, Strominger JL. 1983. Penicillin-binding proteins and the mechanism of action of beta-lactam antibiotics. Annu Rev Biochem 52:825–869. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.52.070183.004141.
- Tomasz A. 1979. The mechanism of the irreversible antimicrobial effects of penicillins: how the beta-lactam antibiotics kill and lyse bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 33:113–137. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.33 .100179.000553.
- Chen Y, Succi J, Tenover FC, Koehler TM. 2003. β-Lactamase genes of the penicillin-susceptible *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne strain. J Bacteriol 185: 823–830. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.3.823-830.2003.
- Chen Y, Tenover FC, Koehler TM. 2004. β-Lactamase gene expression in a penicillin-resistant *Bacillus anthracis* strain. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:4873–4877. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.12.4873-4877.2004.
- Ross CL, Thomason KS, Koehler TM. 2009. An extracytoplasmic function sigma factor controls beta-lactamase gene expression in *Bacillus anthracis* and other *Bacillus cereus* group species. J Bacteriol 191:6683–6693. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00691-09.
- Gargis AS, McLaughlin HP, Conley AB, Lascols C, Michel PA, Gee JE, Marston CK, Kolton CB, Rodriguez-R LM, Hoffmaster AR, Weigel LM, Sue D. 2018. Analysis of whole-genome sequences for the prediction of penicillin resistance and β-lactamase activity in *Bacillus anthracis*. mSystems 3:e00154-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00154-18.
- Staroń A, Sofia HJ, Dietrich S, Ulrich LE, Liesegang H, Mascher T. 2009. The third pillar of bacterial signal transduction: classification of the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factor protein family. Mol Microbiol 74:557–581. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06870.x.
- Helmann JD. 2002. The extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Adv Microb Physiol 46:47–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911(02) 46002-X.
- 9. Helmann JD. 2016. *Bacillus subtilis* extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors and defense of the cell envelope. Curr Opin Microbiol 30: 122–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.02.002.
- 10. Helmann JD. 1999. Anti-sigma factors. Curr Opin Microbiol 2:135–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(99)80024-1.
- 11. Ho TD, Ellermeier CD. 2012. Extra cytoplasmic function σ factor activation. Curr Opin Microbiol 15:182–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2012 .01.001.
- Sineva E, Savkina M, Ades SE. 2017. Themes and variations in gene regulation by extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Curr Opin Microbiol 36:128–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2017.05.004.
- Yoshimura M, Asai K, Sadaie Y, Yoshikawa H. 2004. Interaction of *Bacillus subtilis* extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors with the N-terminal regions of their potential anti-sigma factors. Microbiology 150:591–599. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26712-0.
- 14. Alba BM, Leeds JA, Onufryk C, Lu CZ, Gross CA. 2002. DegS and YaeL

participate sequentially in the cleavage of RseA to activate the sigma(E)dependent extracytoplasmic stress response. Genes Dev 16:2156–2168. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1008902.

- Schöbel S, Zellmeier S, Schumann W, Wiegert T. 2004. The Bacillus subtilis sigmaW anti-sigma factor RsiW is degraded by intramembrane proteolysis through YluC. Mol Microbiol 52:1091–1105. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2958.2004.04031.x.
- Lima S, Guo MS, Chaba R, Gross CA, Sauer RT. 2013. Dual molecular signals mediate the bacterial response to outer-membrane stress. Science 340:837–841. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235358.
- 17. Ades SE, Connolly LE, Alba BM, Gross CA. 1999. The *Escherichia coli* σ E-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response is controlled by the regulated proteolysis of an anti- σ factor. Genes Dev 13:2449–2461. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.18.2449.
- Walsh NP, Alba BM, Bose B, Gross CA, Sauer RT. 2003. OMP peptide signals initiate the envelope-stress response by activating DegS protease via relief of inhibition mediated by its PDZ domain. Cell 113:61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00203-4.
- Wilken C, Kitzing K, Kurzbauer R, Ehrmann M, Clausen T. 2004. Crystal structure of the DegS stress sensor: how a PDZ domain recognizes misfolded protein and activates a protease. Cell 117:483–494. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00454-4.
- Kanehara K, Ito K, Akiyama Y. 2003. YaeL proteolysis of RseA is controlled by the PDZ domain of YaeL and a Gln-rich region of RseA. EMBO J 22:6389–6398. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg602.
- Akiyama Y, Kanehara K, Ito K. 2004. RseP (YaeL), an *Escherichia coli* RIP protease, cleaves transmembrane sequences. EMBO J 23:4434–4442. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600449.
- Ellermeier CD, Losick R. 2006. Evidence for a novel protease governing regulated intramembrane proteolysis and resistance to antimicrobial peptides in *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Dev 20:1911–1922. https://doi.org/10 .1101/gad.1440606.
- Butcher BG, Helmann JD. 2006. Identification of *Bacillus subtilis* sigmadependent genes that provide intrinsic resistance to antimicrobial compounds produced by bacilli. Mol Microbiol 60:765–782. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05131.x.
- Pietiäinen M, Gardemeister M, Mecklin M, Leskelä S, Sarvas M, Kontinen VP. 2005. Cationic antimicrobial peptides elicit a complex stress response in *Bacillus subtilis* that involves ECF-type sigma factors and two-component signal transduction systems. Microbiology 151:1577–1592. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/mic.0.27761-0.
- Benachour A, Muller C, Dabrowski-Coton M, Le Breton Y, Giard J-C, Rincé A, Auffray Y, Hartke A. 2005. The *Enterococcus faecalis* SigV protein is an extracytoplasmic function sigma factor contributing to survival follow-



- Ho TD, Hastie JL, Intile PJ, Ellermeier CD. 2011. The *Bacillus subtilis* extracytoplasmic function sigma factor sigma(V) is induced by lysozyme and provides resistance to lysozyme. J Bacteriol 193:6215–6222. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JB.05467-11.
- Guariglia-Oropeza V, Helmann JD. 2011. Bacillus subtilis σ(V) confers lysozyme resistance by activation of two cell wall modification pathways, peptidoglycan O-acetylation and D-alanylation of teichoic acids. J Bacteriol 193:6223–6232. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06023-11.
- Ho TD, Williams KB, Chen Y, Helm RF, Popham DL, Ellermeier CD. 2014. *Clostridium difficile* extracytoplasmic function σ factor σ^V regulates lysozyme resistance and is necessary for pathogenesis in the hamster model of infection. Infect Immun 82:2345–2355. https://doi.org/10.1128/ IAI.01483-13.
- 29. Ho TD, Ellermeier CD. 2019. Activation of the extracytoplasmic function σ factor $\sigma^{\rm v}$ by lysozyme. Mol Microbiol https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi .14348.
- 30. Hastie JL, Williams KB, Sepúlveda C, Houtman JC, Forest KT, Ellermeier CD. 2014. Evidence of a bacterial receptor for lysozyme: binding of lysozyme to the anti- σ factor RsiV controls activation of the ECF σ factor σ^{v} . PLoS Genet 10:e1004643. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen .1004643.
- 31. Hastie JL, Williams KB, Bohr LL, Houtman JC, Gakhar L, Ellermeier CD. 2016. The anti-sigma factor RsiV is a bacterial receptor for lysozyme: co-crystal structure determination and demonstration that binding of lysozyme to RsiV is required for $\sigma^{\rm v}$ activation. PLoS Genet 12:e1006287. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006287.
- 32. Paetzel M, Karla A, Strynadka NCJ, Dalbey RE. 2002. Signal peptidases. Chem Rev 102:4549–4580. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr010166y.
- 33. Tuteja R. 2005. Type I signal peptidase: an overview. Arch Biochem Biophys 441:107–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2005.07.013.
- van Roosmalen ML, Geukens N, Jongbloed JDH, Tjalsma H, Dubois J-Y, Bron S, van Dijl JM, Anné J. 2004. Type I signal peptidases of Grampositive bacteria. Biochim Biophys Acta 1694:279–297. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2004.05.006.
- 35. Hastie JL, Williams KB, Ellermeier CD. 2013. The activity of σ^v , an extracytoplasmic function σ factor of *Bacillus subtilis*, is controlled by a regulated proteolysis of the anti- σ factor RsiV. J Bacteriol 195:3135–3144. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00292-13.
- 36. Kingston AW, Liao X, Helmann JD. 2013. Contributions of the σ(W), σ(M) and σ(X) regulons to the lantibiotic resistome of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 90:502–518. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12380.
- Jervis AJ, Thackray PD, Houston CW, Horsburgh MJ, Moir A. 2007. SigM-responsive genes of *Bacillus subtilis* and their promoters. J Bacteriol 189:4534–4538. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00130-07.
- Schneider JS, Glickman MS. 2013. Function of site-2 proteases in bacteria and bacterial pathogens. Biochim Biophys Acta 1828:2808–2814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.04.019.
- Kinch LN, Ginalski K, Grishin NV. 2006. Site-2 protease regulated intramembrane proteolysis: sequence homologs suggest an ancient signaling cascade. Protein Sci 15:84–93. https://doi.org/10.1110/ps .051766506.
- Kroos L, Akiyama Y. 2013. Biochemical and structural insights into intramembrane metalloprotease mechanisms. Biochim Biophys Acta 1828:2873–2885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.03.032.
- Parrell D, Zhang Y, Olenic S, Kroos L. 2017. Bacillus subtilis intramembrane protease RasP activity in Escherichia coli and in vitro. J Bacteriol 199:e00381-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00381-17.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- 44. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.
- 45. Schmidt TR, Scott EJ, Dyer DW. 2011. Whole-genome phylogenies of the family Bacillaceae and expansion of the sigma factor gene family in the

Bacillus cereus species-group. BMC Genomics 12:430. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2164-12-430.

- Heinrich J, Wiegert T. 2006. YpdC determines site-1 degradation in regulated intramembrane proteolysis of the RsiW anti-sigma factor of *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 62:566–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-2958.2006.05391.x.
- Castro AN, Lewerke LT, Hastie JL, Ellermeier CD. 2018. Signal peptidase is necessary and sufficient for site-1 cleavage of RsiV in *Bacillus subtilis* in response to lysozyme. J Bacteriol 200:e00663-17. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.00663-17.
- Chaba R, Alba BM, Guo MS, Sohn J, Ahuja N, Sauer RT, Gross CA. 2011. Signal integration by DegS and RseB governs the sigmaE-mediated envelope stress response in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:2106. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019277108.
- Kocaoglu O, Tsui HCT, Winkler ME, Carlson EE. 2015. Profiling of β-lactam selectivity for penicillin-binding proteins in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:3548–3555. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.05142-14.
- Kocaoglu O, Carlson EE. 2015. Profiling of β-lactam selectivity for penicillinbinding proteins in *Escherichia coli* strain DC2. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:2785–2790. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.04552-14.
- Kocaoglu O, Calvo RA, Sham LT, Cozy LM, Lanning BR, Francis S, Winkler ME, Kearns DB, Carlson EE. 2012. Selective penicillin-binding protein imaging probes reveal substructure in bacterial cell division. ACS Chem Biol 7:1746–1753. https://doi.org/10.1021/cb300329r.
- Wilcks A, Jayaswal N, Lereclus D, Andrupl L. 1995. Characterization of plasmid pAW63, a second self-transmissible plasmid in *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* HD73. Plasmid 73:1263–1270. https://doi.org/10 .1099/00221287-144-5-1263.
- Hoffmaster AR, Koehler TM. 1999. Autogenous regulation of the Bacillus anthracis pag operon. J Bacteriol 181:4485–4492.
- Koehler TM. 2009. Bacillus anthracis physiology and genetics. Mol Aspects Med 30:386–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2009.07.004.
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343–345. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318.
- Arnaud M, Chastanet A, Débarbouillé M. 2004. New vector for efficient allelic replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:6887–6891. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.11.6887-6891.2004.
- Malone CL, Boles BR, Lauderdale KJ, Thoendel M, Kavanaugh JS, Horswill AR. 2009. Fluorescent reporters for *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Microbiol Methods 77:251–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2009.02.011.
- Bone EJ, Ellar DJ. 1989. Transformation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* by electroporation. FEMS Microbiol Lett 58:171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1097(89)90033-5.
- Lereclus D, Arantes O, Chaufaux J, Lecadet M-M. 1989. Transformation and expression of a cloned delta-endotoxin gene in *Bacillus thuringien*sis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 60:211–217. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968 .1989.tb03448.x.
- 60. Griffith KL, Wolf RE. 2002. Measuring beta-galactosidase activity in bacteria: cell growth, permeabilization, and enzyme assays in 96-well arrays. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 290:397–402. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001.6152.
- 61. Slauch JM, Silhavy TJ. 1991. Cis-acting ompF mutations that result in OmpR-dependent constitutive expression. J Bacteriol 173:4039–4048. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.13.4039-4048.1991.
- 62. Marini P, Li SJ, Gardiol D, Cronan JE, De Mendoza D. 1995. The genes encoding the biotin carboxyl carrier protein and biotin carboxylase subunits of *Bacillus subtilis* acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase, the first enzyme of fatty acid synthesis. J Bacteriol 177:7003–7006. https://doi .org/10.1128/jb.177.23.7003-7006.1995.
- Karp PD, Billington R, Caspi R, Fulcher CA, Latendresse M, Kothari A, Keseler IM, Krummenacker M, Midford PE, Ong Q, Ong WK, Paley SM, Subhraveti P. 2017. The BioCyc collection of microbial genomes and metabolic pathways. Brief Bioinform 2017:bbx085. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bib/bbx085.
- 64. Ransom EM, Ellermeier CD, Weiss DS. 2015. Use of mCherry red fluorescent protein for studies of protein localization and gene expression in *Clostridium difficile*. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:1652–1660. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.03446-14.

Ho et al.