# Review Article

# Efficacy of *Brucea javanica* Oil Emulsion Injection Combined with the Chemotherapy for Treating Gastric Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Jia-Rui Wu 🝺, Shu-Yu Liu, Jia-Lian Zhu, Dan Zhang, and Kai-Huan Wang

Department of Clinical Chinese Pharmacy, School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100102, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jia-Rui Wu; exogamy@163.com

Received 18 January 2018; Revised 30 March 2018; Accepted 2 April 2018; Published 2 May 2018

Academic Editor: Shuang-En Chuang

Copyright © 2018 Jia-Rui Wu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Objective.* This meta-analysis sought to assess the efficacy and safety of *Brucea javanica* oil emulsion injection (BJOEI) combined with chemotherapy for treating gastric cancer (GC). *Method.* Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) regarding BJOEI to treat GC were searched in PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Embase, the China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database (CNKI), the Wan-Fang Database, China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), and the Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (SinoMed) up to January 9, 2017. The clinical total effective rate, performance status, adverse drug reactions (ADRs), and other outcomes were analyzed with Review Manager 5.3 and Statal2.0 software. *Results.* 13 RCTs involving 912 patients were included in the present meta-analysis. The results demonstrated that, compared with receiving chemotherapy alone, BJOEI combined with chemotherapy was more effective in improving clinical total effective rate (RR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.22~1.56, *P* < 0.00001), performance status (RR = 1.63, 95% CI: 1.30~2.04, *P* < 0.00001), and relieving ADRs such as myelosuppression, neutropenia, thrombopenia, and liver damage. Statistically significant difference was observed between the experimental group and control group. *Conclusion.* The pooled analysis showed that using BJOEI on the basis of the chemotherapy had a remarkable therapeutic effect for patients with GC, whereas more evidence-based medical researches were required to further support our study.

# 1. Introduction

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common cancer and the second leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide [1]. More than 50% of patients undergo surgery, but even after a curative resection, about 60% of patients relapse locally or with distant metastases [2]. Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) holds that the occurrence and development of GC are mainly related to two factors: one is the loss of positive Qi; the other is the invasion of carcinogenic factors [3, 4]. By means of enhancing or inhibiting the immune response of patients with cancer, TCM modulates the immune ability to achieve the inhibition effect of tumor growth and metastases [5]. Served as an important part of the comprehensive treatment for GC, TCM is not only capable of reducing side effects of chemotherapy, but also able to alleviate the clinical symptoms of patients with advanced GC [6]. Comprised of oleum

fructus bruceae, fabaceous lecithin, and glycerol, BJOEI takes petroleum ether extracts as raw material and purified soybean lecithin as emulsifier and is employed as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of lung carcinoma, brain metastasis of lung carcinoma, and gastrointestinal tumorigenesis [7–12]. On the basis of comprehensively collecting published RCTs, this research took advantage of meta-analysis to objectively evaluate the efficacy and safety of BJOEI for treating GC and also provided references for clinical decision-making.

# 2. Methods

2.1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. RCTs regarding BJOEI combined with the chemotherapy for the treatment of GC could be involved in our study, whether using blinding methods or not. The inclusion criteria were as follows:

*Patients.* (1) The patients were confirmed by biopsy and postoperative pathological examination; (2) the patients had the measurable or evaluable lesions; (3) expected survival period was more than 2 months; (4) they received combined or single chemotherapy over 1 cycle; (5) there should be more than 1 month since the last treatment; (6) they should be without obvious chemotherapy contraindications and liver and kidney dysfunction. And there was no limitation of age, gender, race, or course and severity of disease.

*Interventions.* The normal dosage of BJOEI is 10–30 ml (which was diluted with 250 ml sterilized normal saline), once per day through an intravenous drip. Control group adopted chemotherapy alone, which is comprised of Oxaliplatin + Capecitabine (XELOX), paclitaxel (PTX) + Capecitabine (ECX), Tegafur Gimeracil Oteracil Potassium Capsule (S1) + Cisplatin (DDP), UFT + Folic acid (BC), Docetaxel + Capecitabine (DX), Oxaliplatin + calcium folinate + fluorouracil (FOLFOX4), Oxaliplatin (OXA) + Cisplatin + fluorouracil (CF) + Tegafur, MC/CF. The BJOEI group referred to BJOEI combined with the same chemotherapeutic drugs as control group.

*Outcomes.* The primary outcomes of this research were clinical total effective rate, performance status, and ADRs. The criterion of the curative effect met the WHO for solid tumors [26]. The clinical total effective rate was calculated by the following formula: the clinical total effective rate = [number of complete response (CR) patients + partial response (PR) patients]/total number of patients  $\times$  100%. Karnofsky performance score (KPS) was used to assess performance status, which increased above 10 points after treatment was considered as significant improvement.

RCTs which met the following criteria were excluded in this meta-analysis: (1) the method of generating random sequences that was wrong; (2) RCTs that are not available for the full text; (3) RCTs that did not meet the requirements of the intervention; (4) the evaluation standards that were not definite.

2.2. Literature Search. RCTs involving BJOEI combined with chemotherapy to treat GC were systematically retrieved by searching the following databases from inception to January 9, 2017: PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Embase, CNKI, the Wan-Fang Database, VIP, and SinoMed. The combination of MeSH terms and text words was applied into the retrieval. "Stomach Neoplasms" was regarded as the MeSH term. All the strategies were adapted by different databases. The strategies of PubMed were listed as follows:

#1 "Stomach Neoplasms" [Mesh]

#2 "Stomach Neoplasms\*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Gastric Cancer\*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Gastric Carcinoma" [Title/Abstract] OR "Gastric Neoplasm\*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Cancer of Stomach" [Title/ Abstract] OR "Stomach Cancer\*" [Title/Abstract]

#3 #1 OR #2

#4 "Javanica oil emulsion injection" [Title/Abstract] OR "Yadanzi" [Title/Abstract] OR "Brucea javanica oil emulsion" [Title/Abstract] OR "Brucea javanica" [Title/Abstract]

#5 #3 AND #4

2.3. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment. All data was extracted independently by two investigators (JW and SL), and any discrepancies between the reviewers were resolved by intercessor until consensus was reached. Data retrieved from the publications included author's name, the year of publication, the number of patients, average age, gender, the details about dosages and course of treatment, and the data of outcomes.

The quality assessment was conducted by the Cochrane risk of bias tool, which included random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias. Each item was classified into 3 ranks: "high," "unclear," or "low."

2.4. Statistical Analysis. All the meta-analysis data utilized Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) for synthesis and analysis. For outcomes, this meta-analysis chose relative risk (RR) to evaluate dichotomous outcomes, while using mean difference (MD) to assess continuous variables, each outcome numerical value was presented with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) as well. Heterogeneity between RCTs was analyzed by chi-square test and estimated by  $I^2$ . Results of  $P \ge 0.1$  and  $I^2 \le 50\%$  suggested a lack of significant heterogeneity, and fixed-effect model was used accordingly, otherwise the random effect model conversely [27]. Publication bias was detected by Egger's test, and P < 0.05 was considered significant publication bias [28]. In addition, the sensitivity analysis was conducted to test the stability of results by Statal2.0 software.

# 3. Results

3.1. Literature Search and the Characteristics of Included RCTs. A total of 99 articles (n = 912) were identified via a primary search of the aforementioned literature databases, from which 55 were excluded after titles and abstracts screening. Of the 44 RCTs remaining for full-text screening, we excluded case reports, animal experiments, editorials, letters, and reviews. And for duplicated RCTs, only the most updated and comprehensive ones were chosen. Ultimately, 13 related RCTs were identified. The detailed steps of literature search flow and screening process were depicted in Figure 1.

There were 467 and 445 patients in the BJOEI groups and chemotherapy group, respectively. Age span is from 24 to 85. Besides, the range of sample size was from 43 to 130 in included RCTs. Among all of RCTs male patients accounted for about 70%. What is more, there were 11 RCTs that adopted 30 ml/d in our research, 2 RCTs employed 20 ml/d, and the course of treatment was at least 14 days. Due to the diverse dosages of BJOEI, subgroup analysis may be taken into



FIGURE 1: Meta-analysis profile summarizing trail flow.

account. More details regarding these RCTs were presented in Table 1.

3.2. Quality Assessment. This meta-analysis utilized Review Manager 5.3 software to perform quality assessment. Only 2 RCTs [13, 25] adopted a random number table, and 1 RCT [21] used simple method of randomization. Therefore, selection bias was evaluated as "low risk." 2 RCTs [17, 23] were divided into two groups in congruent with the hospitalized time, so the selection bias was remarked as "high risk." And the other 8 RCTs [14-16, 18-20, 22, 24] did not illustrate how to implement randomized method; then the selection bias was labelled as "unclear risk." Because none of the included RCTs reported concealment allocation, then selection bias due to allocation concealment, performance bias, and detection bias was deemed as "unclear risk." Moreover, there was no case deficiency or selective reporting among included RCTs; hence, the attrition bias and reporting bias were assessed as "low risk." Regarding other bias, 13 RCTs did not offer any details contributing to high risk, so this item was evaluated as "unclear risk." Graphical description about quality assessment was shown in Figure 2.

#### 3.3. Outcomes

3.3.1. Clinical Total Effective Rate. 13 RCTs were available for clinical total effective rate. To explore potential effect differences in regard to clinical total effective rate, subgroup analysis was undertaken according to the different dosages of BJOEI among included RCTs, namely, dosage 30 ml/d and dosage 20 ml/d. As shown in Figure 3, the results indicated that there were statistically significant difference in clinical total effective rate between BJOEI intervention and control group in patients with 30 ml/d (RR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.19~1.55, Z = 4.53, P < 0.00001) and 20 ml/d (RR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.11~ 1.95, Z = 2.67, P = 0.008). The test for subgroup difference by dosages of BJOEI implied no significant difference between subgroups ( $I^2 = 0$ %). Consequently, we were able to draw a conclusion that, compared with chemotherapy single, BJOEI combined with chemotherapy achieved superior effects for improving clinical effective rate of patients with GC.

3.3.2. Performance Status. In total, 6 RCTs [14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 26] recorded the data of performance status of two groups and pooled results showed a small heterogeneity (P = 0.10,  $I^2 = 47\%$  <50%); therefore, the fixed-effect model was applied. Meta-analysis results demonstrated that BJOEI group experienced about 63% superiority in terms of this outcome compared with control group which only received chemotherapy, and the difference had statistical significance (RR = 1.63, 95% CI: 1.30~2.04, Z = 4.20, P < 0.00001; Figure 4).

*3.3.3. ADRs.* There were 12 RCTs that referred to this outcome. The main ADRs were nausea and vomiting (7 RCTs) [14, 18–20, 22, 23, 25], diarrhea (6 RCTs) [17–20, 23, 25], and leukopenia (5 RCTs) [14, 18, 19, 23, 25]. Meta-analysis result manifested that there was a significant statistical difference between two groups. Compared with the control group, BJOEI group could be more effective in alleviating nausea and vomiting (Figure 5(a)), diarrhea (Figure 5(b)), and leukopenia (Figure 5(c)).

With regard to other ADRs such as myelosuppression, neutropenia, thrombopenia, and liver damage, BJOEI group was also superior compared with control group. However, no

	Sex (M/F)	AVG age	N (E/C)	Therapy of experiment	Therapy of control	Course (d)	Outcomes
	41/23	32-64	32/32	BJOEI 30 ml + XELOX	XELOX	21 d	03
	33/29	50.2	31/31	BJOEI 30 ml + PTX + ECX	PTX + ECX	21 d	0
	38/12	60.0	25/25	BJOEI $30 \text{ ml} + \text{S1} + \text{DDP}$	SI + DDP	14-21 d	Θ
	25/18	70-85	22/21	BJOEI 30 ml + UFT + BC	UFT + BC	30 d	1)2
	56/22	29-71	40/38	BJOEI 30 ml + DX	DX	21 d	1)2)
	/	31-82	48/46	BJOEI 30 ml + FOLFOX4	FOLFOX4	14 d	(1)
	27/15	70-85	24/18	BJOEI 30 ml + improved FOLFOX4	Modified FOLFOX4	21 d	(12)
20]	26/21	31-75	24/23	BJOEI 30 ml + FOLFOX4	FOLFOX4	14 d	(12)
21]	80/50	54.7	65/65	BJOEI 20 ml + OXA + CF + Tegafur	OXA + CF + Tegafur	21 d	03
	88/20	46.8	58/50	BJOEI 20 ml + XELOX	XELOX	21 d	(12)
	40/20	52.0	31/29	BJOEI 30 ml + FOLFOX4	FOLFOX4	14–30 d	(12)
	29/23	32-79	26/26	BJOEI 30 ml + MC/CF	MC/CF	28 d	0
	54/28	68.7	41/41	BJOEI 30 ml + XELOX	XELOX	21 d	(12)

studi
ncluded
the i
cs of
naracteristi
basic cł
The
TABLE 1:

folic acid; ①: clinical total effective rate; ③: ADRs; ③: performance status.



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias summary.

	Experin	nental	Con	trol	TAT 1 1 .	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% (	CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 dosage 30 ml/d							
Fan et al. 2008	13	24	8	18	4.4%	1.22 [0.65, 2.30]	
Gao 2011	18	26	15	26	7.1%	1.20 [0.79, 1.82]	
Jiang et al. 2011	22	32	17	32	8.1%	1.29 [0.87, 1.93]	
Liu et al. 2010	26	40	25	38	12.2%	0.99 [0.72, 1.36]	
Ma et al. 2013	18	25	10	25	4.8%	1.80 [1.05, 3.08]	
Wang 2013	18	31	9	29	4.4%	1.87 [1.01, 3.48]	
Wang et al. 2013	20	31	18	31	8.6%	1.11 [0.75, 1.65]	
Wang and Yang 2013	15	24	10	23	4.9%	1.44 [0.82, 2.52]	
Wang et al. 2009	9	22	5	21	2.4%	1.72 [0.69, 4.29]	
Wu et al. 2012	37	48	22	46	10.7%	1.61 [1.15, 2.26]	
Zhang et al. 2015	32	41	23	41	10.9%	1.39 [1.01, 1.91]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		344		330	78.5%	1.36 [1.19, 1.55]	•
Total events	228		162				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 8.57$ , or Test for overall effect: $Z =$	df = 10 (P = 4.53 (P < 0.0))	0.57); I <sup>2</sup> 00001)	= 0%				
1.4.2 dosage 20 ml/d							
Ma et al. 2014	34	58	17	50	8.7%	1.72 [1.11, 2.68]	
Wang and Peng 2012	35	65	27	65	12.8%	1.30 [0.90, 1.87]	<b></b>
Subtotal (95% CI)		123		115	21.5%	1.47 [1.11, 1.95]	
Total events	69		44				-
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.95$ , or Test for overall effect: $Z =$	$df = 1 \ (P = 0)$ 2.67 $(P = 0.0)$	.33); $I^2 =$ 008)	0%				
Total (95% CI)		467		445	100.0%	1.38 [1.22, 1.56]	•
Total events	297		206				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 9.93$ , or Test for overall effect: $Z =$	df = 12 (P = 0) 5.26 (P < 0.0)	$(0.62); I^2 = (0001)$	= 0%				0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subgroup difference	tes: $\chi^2 = 0.25$	, df = 1 (	P = 0.61)	$I^2 = 0$	%		Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

FIGURE 3: Meta-analysis in clinical total effective rate.

C( 1 C 1	Experir	nental	Con	itrol	147 1 1	Risk Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%	CI	M-H	, Fixed, 95% Cl	-	
Fan et al. 2008	16	24	9	18	15.3%	1.33 [0.78, 2.29]					
Jiang et al. 2011	22	32	4	32	6.0%	5.50 [2.14, 14.16	]			-	-
Liu et al. 2010	20	40	15	38	22.9%	1.27 [0.77, 2.09]			-+		
Wang 2013	16	31	12	29	18.5%	1.25 [0.72, 2.16]			-+		
Wang and Yang 2013	12	25	6	24	9.1%	1.92 [0.86, 4.29]				-	
Zhang et al. 2015	27	41	19	41	28.3%	1.42 [0.96, 2.11]					
Total (95% CI)		193		182	100.0%	1.63 [1.30, 2.04]			•		
Total events	113		65								
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 9.36$ , df	f = 5 (P = 0.1)	$(0); I^2 = $	47%								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4$	20 (P < 0.00)	01)					0.05	0.2	1	5	20
$L_{2} = 101$	.20 (1 < 0.00						Favours [	control]	Favours	[experime	ental]

FIGURE 4: The forest plot of the performance status.

TABLE 2: Meta-analysis results of other ADR	s.
---	----

ADRs	п	Effect Model	RR [95% CI]	z	Р
Myelosuppression	2	Fixed	0.49 [0.34, 0.69]	3.99	< 0.0001
Neutropenia	2	Fixed	0.48 [0.27, 0.86]	2.46	0.01
Thrombopenia	4	Fixed	0.65 [0.46, 0.91]	2.54	P = 0.02
Liver damage	4	Fixed	0.50 [0.27, 0.92]	2.22	0.03
Neurovirulence	4	Random	0.72 [0.42, 1.21]	1.25	0.21
Renal damage	2	Fixed	0.54 [0.24, 1.22]	1.49	0.14
Hand-foot syndrome	4	Fixed	0.78 [0.57, 1.08]	1.48	0.14
Oral mucositis	2	Fixed	0.82 [0.34, 1.97]	0.44	0.66

statistical significance was detected in terms of neurovirulence, renal damage, hand-foot syndrome, and oral mucositis. More detailed data for other ADRs was summarized in Table 2.

3.3.4. Publication Bias. A funnel plot on publication bias for clinical total effective rate was displayed in Figure 6, and the result of Egger's test (t = 1.48, P = 0.168 > 0.05) and Begg's test (z = 0.79, P = 0.428 > 0.05) indicated no evidence of significant publication bias.

*3.3.5. Sensitivity Analysis.* For clinical total effective rate, a sensitivity analysis was carried out to verify the stability of result, which was done by excluding RCTs seriatim at a time to resynthesize the data. As Figure 7 signified, sensitivity analyses revealed that no individual studies significantly affected the clinical total effective rate, which indicated statistically robust results.

#### 4. Discussion

This meta-analysis unveiled that, on the basis of chemotherapy, the use of BJOEI can significantly improve clinical total effective rate and the performance status of GC patients. With regard to ADRs, BJOEI combined with chemotherapy owned the property to prominently relieving nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, neutropenia, neurotoxicity, and so on. However, there was no significant difference in the incidence of oral mucositis and hand-foot syndrome between BJOEI group and control group.

GC is one of the most common malignancies with high mortality in the world; surgical treatment is the therapeutic modality that offers the greatest possibility of cure for patients with GC; besides, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are important therapeutic options for patients who are suffering from distant metastases or unable to receive surgery [29, 30]. Nevertheless, some of the chemotherapy regimens with higher efficacy have more adverse reactions, and the prolongation of median survival time is not obvious [31]. Thus, how to reduce the burden of toxicity and achieve higher quality of life is the top priority on the clinical research agenda. As complementary and alternative medicine, TCM has become one of main methods for cancer comprehensive treatment. BJOEI was made of fatty oil extracted from Brucea fruit through petroleum ether, in which the oleic acid owned strong anticancer activity [32]. The anticancer activity of BJOEI might be attributed to the following properties: inducing apoptosis, disturbing the cell cycle, disrupting the cellular energy metabolism, and depressing the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor [7]. Consequently, it has the effect of killing and inhibiting cancer cells and can promote both humoral and cellular immunity but does not harm normal cells [33-35]. Zhang [36] examined the effect of Brucea javanica oil emulsion on HGC-27 cell proliferation of GC cells in vitro by MTT method; the results show that the Brucea javanica oil emulsion can significantly inhibit tumor

#### Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Study or Subgroup	Exper Events	imental Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95%	Risk Ratio 9 CI M-H, Random, 95% CI
Fan et al. 2008	8	24	6	18	8.7%	1.00 [0.42, 2.37]	
Ma et al. 2014	6	58	17	50	9.0%	0.30 [0.13, 0.71]	
Wang 2013	5	31	10	29	7.6%	0.47 [0.18, 1.21]	
Wang et al. 2013	22	31	30	31	28.1%	0.73 [0.58, 0.93]	
Wang and Yang 2013	9	25	13	24	13.2%	0.66 [0.35, 1.26]	
Wu et al. 2012	9	48	17	46	11.8%	0.51 [0.25, 1.02]	
Zhang et al. 2015	24	41	22	41	21.7%	1.09 [0.74, 1.60]	
Total (95% CI)		258		239	100.0%	0.69 [0.52, 0.93]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07$ , $\chi^2 =$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.4$	83 = 11.55, df 2 (P = 0.0	E = 6 (P = 02)	$115 = 0.07); I^2$	= 48%			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
					(a)		

Study or Subgroup	Experin Events	nental Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95%	Risk Ratio CI M-H, Random, 95% CI
Fan et al. 2008	4	24	3	18	10.1%	1.00 [0.25, 3.92]	
Liu et al. 2010	6	40	18	38	18.7%	0.32 [0.14, 0.71]	<b>_</b>
Wang 2013	5	31	11	29	16.4%	0.43 [0.17, 1.08]	
Wang and Yang 2013	5	25	9	24	16.2%	0.53 [0.21, 1.36]	
Wu et al. 2012	4	48	12	46	14.2%	0.32 [0.11, 0.92]	<b>_</b>
Zhang et al. 2015	16	41	14	41	24.4%	1.14 [0.65, 2.02]	
Total (95% CI)		209		196	100.0%	0.56 [0.33, 0.93]	•
Total events	40		67				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.20, \chi^2$	= 9.94, df	= 5 (P =	$0.08); I^2$	= 50%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.2$	P = 0.0	)3)					0.050.21520Favours [experimental]Favours [control]

- /1	\
- ( F	<b>)</b>
· ( (	"

Study or Subgroup	Experii Events	nental Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% C	CI		Risk M-H, Fixe	Ratio d, 95%	CI	
Fan et al. 2008	6	24	8	18	9.7%	0.56 [0.24, 1.33]						
Wang 2013	4	31	5	29	5.5%	0.75 [0.22, 2.52]					-	
Wang et al. 2013	21	31	30	31	32.0%	0.70 [0.54, 0.90]						
Wu et al. 2012	16	48	25	46	27.2%	0.61 [0.38, 0.99]						
Zhang et al. 2015	15	41	24	41	25.6%	0.63 [0.39, 1.01]						
Total (95% CI)		175		165	100.0%	0.65 [0.52, 0.81]			•			
Total events	62		92									
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.61$ , df	= 4 (P = 0.9)	$96); I^2 = 0$	)%						0.5 1	+		10
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.8$	86 $(P = 0.00)$	001)					Favor	urs [exp	erimental]	Fa Fa	5 avours [c	ontrol]

(c)

FIGURE 5: The forest plot of the three main ADRs: (a) nausea and vomiting; (b) diarrhea; (c) leukopenia.

cell proliferation and effectively inhibit the migration and invasion of human GC cell line HGC-27. At the same time, the drug resistance of human gastric adenocarcinoma with vincristine resistant cells is reversed [37]. Thus the conclusion of our study that BJOEI plus chemotherapy has the effect of enhancing efficacy and reducing ADRs was supported by pharmacological research and TCM therapeutic principal.

In the database, there are 2 systemic reviews focused on the efficacy of BJOEI for treating GC [38, 39], which contained 7 RCTs and 9 RCTs, respectively. The previous two researches have compared clinical total effective rate, improvement of performance status, and incidence of ADRs. By contrast, our research unveiled more detailed ADRs; these results testified that BJOEI combined with chemotherapy would be advantageous for relieving ADRs. Compared with Zhou Jiupeng's research [35], this meta-analysis gave clear indication of BJOEI's dosage. Beyond that, we also owned the following advantages: firstly, we updated the search date to



FIGURE 6: Funnel plot of the clinical total effective rate.

Meta-analysis fixed-effects estimates (exponential form)



FIGURE 7: Sensitivity analysis in clinical total effective rate.

January 9, 2017, and included a total of 13 RCTs by means of a relative comprehensive retrieval. Secondly, we formulated strict eligible criteria. All included patients were diagnosed with GC in specific criteria and evaluated the effectiveness in congruent standard and received the same interventions as well; it ensured the identical base line and boosted the validity of pooled data. Thirdly, subgroup analysis based on the diverse dosages revealed that there was favorable consistency between two subgroups, which indicated that, in comparison with chemotherapy alone, BJOEI intervention yielded a better result for improving clinical total effective rate regardless of dosages.

At the same time, the limitations to our study should be considered. Firstly, the 13 documents included are all Chinese literatures; only 9 RCTs referred to "random" for the grouping method and did not describe the specific random grouping method. All literatures had not referred to the use of blinding, and the quality of literatures is relatively general. Secondly, all of the studies which were included in the literature and published by the database had some publication bias. Although the large-sample and small sample randomized controlled trials were more evenly distributed, they also could affect the publication bias. Finally, due to the lack of sufficient information about patients' sex and age, we were unable to conduct subgroup analysis according to these included RCTs. Therefore, it is uncertain whether these discrepancies may influence the result to a certain extent and the results should be interpreted with caution.

#### 5. Conclusion

To summarize, this study indicated that BJOEI combined with chemotherapy regimen seemed optimal for patients with GC in improving clinical total effective rate, performance status, and relieving ADRs. However, our findings should be confirmed by more prospectively designed, large-sample, and multicenter RCTs.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# **Authors' Contributions**

Jiarui Wu contributed to this work; Shuyu Liu and Jialian Zhu carried out slight revision of the manuscript; all authors approved the final paper.

#### Acknowledgments

The study was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 81473547; 81673829).

# References

- V. Pasechnikov, S. Chukov, E. Fedorov, I. Kikuste, and M. Leja, "Gastric cancer: prevention, screening and early diagnosis," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 20, no. 38, pp. 13842– 13862, 2014.
- [2] S. k. Qin and X. l. Gong, "Current status and progress of chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 641–652, 2006.
- [3] J. A. Ajani, "Evolving chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer," *The Oncologist*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 49–58, 2005.
- [4] P. Y. Zheng, K. Li, and F. J. Zheng, "Research on the pathogenesis and research ideas of traditional Chinese medicine for gastric cancer," *Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 509–511, 2008.
- [5] Y. L. Meng, Y. M. Duan, and Z. H. Zhang, "The superiority of combination of traditional Chinese and Western medicine in the treatment of tumor," *Heilongjiang Medicine Journal*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 166-167, 2009.
- [6] H. H. Hartgrink, E. P. Jansen, N. C. van Grieken, and C. J. van de Velde, "Gastric cancer," *The Lancet*, vol. 374, no. 9688, pp. 477–490, 2009.
- [7] W. Xu, X. Jiang, Z. Xu, T. Ye, and Q. Shi, "The efficacy of Brucea javanica oil emulsion injection as adjunctive therapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis," Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2016, Article ID 5928562, pp. 1–11, 2016.
- [8] P. Wang, "Chemotherapy and radiotherapy plus Brucea javanica oil emulsion injection in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer were," *Practical Journal of Cancer*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 78-79, 2004.
- [9] Y.-Y. Lu, X.-E. Huang, J. Cao et al., "Phase II study on Javanica oil emulsion injection (Yadanzi) combined with chemotherapy in treating patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 4791– 4794, 2013.
- [10] Z.-Q. Ji, X.-E. Huang, X.-Y. Wu, J. Liu, L. Wang, and J.-H. Tang, "Safety of Brucea javanica and Cantharidin combined with chemotherapy for treatment of NSCLC patients," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 15, no. 20, pp. 8603– 8605, 2014.
- [11] H. Zhang, J. Y. Yang, F. Zhou et al., "Seed oil of *Brucea javanica* induces apoptotic death of acute myeloid leukemia cells via both the death receptors and the mitochondrial-related pathways," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2011, Article ID 965016, pp. 1–14, 2011.
- [12] M. Z. Majid, Z. Mohamad Zaini, and F. Abdul Razak, "Apoptosis-inducing effect of three medicinal plants on oral cancer cells KB and ORL-48," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, Article ID 125353, pp. 1–8, 2014.

- [13] B. G. Jiang, Z. X. Wang, and L. H. Wang, "Clinical observation of 32 cases of XELOX regimen combined with Brucea javanica oil emulsion in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Guiding Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 60-61, 2011.
- [14] J. Y. Wang, W. Ma, W. J. Jing et al., "Observation of Brucea javanica oil combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Journal of Shaanxi College of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 49-50, 2013.
- [15] G. Y. Ma, L. J. Zhao, Q. M. Xiao et al., "Effect of Brucea javanica oil emulsion combined with chemotherapy effect on gastric cancer HO-1," *China's Rural Health*, vol. 232, 2013.
- [16] Z. G. Wang, L. M. Liu, and L. L. Sun, "Brucea javanica oil emulsion combined UFT and clinical observation on the treatment of elderly patients with advanced gastric cancer," *China Modern Medicine*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 243-244, 2009.
- [17] H. J. Liu, H. Xu, and S. Q. Ning, "Clinical study of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with DX regimen in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Practical Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 76-77, 2010.
- [18] Y. C. Wu, Y. C. Zhang, G. X. Dai et al., "Clinical observation of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with FOLFOX4 regimen in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Medical* & *Pharmaceutical Journal of Chinese People's Liberation Army*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 29–31, 2012.
- [19] X. Q. Fan, X. J. Zhou, X. Y. Li et al., "Study of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with mFOLFOX regimen in the treatment of old patients with advanced gastric cancer," *Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 27, pp. 4229-4230, 2008.
- [20] Y. T. Wang and L. P. Yang, "Clinical observation of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with oxaliplatin and 5-fluorouracil leucovorin in treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Journal of Practical Medical Techniques*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 427-428, 2013.
- [21] M. X. Wang and A. R. Peng, "Brucea javanica oil emulsion and its significance in the treatment of advanced gastric carcinoma," *China Practical Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 30, pp. 19-20, 2012.
- [22] Y. B. Ma, R. Ge, C. Wang et al., "Brucea javanica oil injection adjuvant chemotherapy after operation of gastric cancer," *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulae*, vol. 20, no. 18, pp. 178–180, 2014.
- [23] J. Wang, "Clinical observation of traditional Chinese medicine of Brucea javanica oil emulsion adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer," *Journal of Emergency in Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1005-1006, 2013.
- [24] J. Gao, "Clinical observation of Brucea javanica oil combined with MF/CF chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer," *Medical Journal of Chinese People's Health*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1108-1109, 2011.
- [25] X. M. Zhang, Y. P. Shao, and H. Xue, "Clinical observation of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with oxaliplatin and capecitabine in the treatment of elderly patients with advanced gastric cancer," *China Pharmacy*, vol. 26, no. 27, pp. 3769–3771, 2015.
- [26] F. Duffaud and P. Therasse, "New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors," *Bulletin du Cancer*, vol. 87, no. 12, pp. 881–886, 2000.
- [27] T. Jiang, L. Li, Y. Wang et al., "The association between genetic polymorphism rs703842 in CYP27B1 and multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis," *Medicine*, vol. 95, no. 19, Article ID e3612, 2016.

- [28] Z. Gao, J. Lv, and M. Wang, "Epstein-Barr virus is associated with periodontal diseases: A meta-analysis based on 21 casecontrol studies," *Medicine*, vol. 96, no. 6, Article ID e5980, 2017.
- [29] Q. X. Zhuang and J. M. Xu, "Experimental study of Brucea javanica oil emulsion on the migration and invasion of human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27 in vitro," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 760–764, 2011.
- [30] D. Zhang, J. Zheng, M. Ni et al., "Comparative efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal injections combined with the FOLFOX regimen for treating gastric cancer in China: a network metaanalysis," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, no. 40, pp. 68873–68889, 2017.
- [31] Y. S. Li and D. T. Chu, "Clinical application of chemotherapy for gastric cancer," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 3, pp. 220–222, 2000.
- [32] P. Hua, "Observation of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced digestive tract tumor curative effect," *Electronic Journal of Clinical Medical Literature*, vol. 4, no. 49, pp. 9533-9534, 2017.
- [33] F. J. Han, D. Y. Cai, X. K. Wu et al., "Research Progress on antitumor mechanism of Brucea javanica oil emulsion," *Journal* of Modern Oncology, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 669–671, 2013.
- [34] C. Chen and B. Wang, "Brucea javanica oil emulsion alleviates cachexia induced by Lewis lung cancer cells in mice," Journal of Drug Targeting, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 222–230, 2018.
- [35] Y. T. Zhang, Y. Y. Ma, Q. L. Wu et al., "Clinical study of Brucea javanica oil injection combined with mitomycin C and fluorouracil in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Drugs & Clinic*, vol. 1, pp. 124–127, 2018.
- [36] Q. F. Zhang, "Experimental study of Brucea javanica oil emulsion on the migration and invasion of human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27 in vitro," *Medical Journal of Chinese People's Health*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 52–54, 2016.
- [37] L. F. Yu, Y. L. Wu, and Y. P. Zhang, "Reversal of drug resistance in the vincristine-resistant human gastric cancer cell lines MKN28/VCR by emulsion of seminal oil of *Brucea javanica*," *World Chinese Journal of Digestology*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 376–378, 2001.
- [38] J. P. Zhou and H. X. Yang, "Meta-analysis on efficacy and safety of brucea javanica oil emulsion injection combined with chemotherapy for patients with advanced gastric carcinoma," *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 326– 332, 2016.
- [39] X. P. Yang, Y. L. Huang, H. P. Shen et al., "System evaluation of Brucea javanica oil emulsion injection combined with chemotherapy in treating gastric cancer," *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulae*, vol. 4, pp. 208–212, 2016.