Taylor & Francis

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Synthesis of homo- and copolymer containing sulfonic acid via atom transfer radical polymerization

Md. Wali Ullah D^{a,b}, Naoki Haraguchi^b, Md. Azgar Ali^b, Md. Rabiul Alam^{a,b} and Samiul Islam Chowdhury^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Comilla University, Cumilla, Bangladesh; ^bDepartment of Applied Chemistry and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Toyohashi University of Technology, Aichi, Japan; ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Bangladesh University of Textiles, Tejgaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Well-defined functional poly(*p*-phenyl styrenesulfonate) and poly(*p*-phenyl styrene-sulfonate-*co*styrene) were successfully synthesized by the atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) using CuBr/bpy(PMDETA) catalyst and 1-phenylethyl bromide (1-PEBr) as an ATRP initiator in diphenyl ether (DPE) or dimethyl formamide (DMF). In both homo- and copolymers, the CuBr/PMDETA catalytic system in DPE or DME showed higher yield than CuBr/bpy and the polydispersity index (PDI) of polymer was low. Using PMDETA or bpy as a ligand in DMF, the high yield with high PDI was obtained than in DPE. We found that the CuBr/PMDETA catalyzed ATRP of *p*-phenyl styrenesulfonate and copolymerization with styrene comonomer in DPE proceeded in a controlled manner. The polymers containing sulfonic acid were obtained by the chemical deprotection of protecting group, followed by acidification. The molecular structure, molecular weights and thermal properties of the copolymers were determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, size exclusion chromatography (SEC), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), respectively.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 June 2022 Accepted 14 September 2022

KEYWORDS

Atom transfer radical polymerization; well-defined homo- and copolymer; sulfonic acid functionality

1. Introduction

The controlled radical polymerizations (CRPs) such as nitroxide-mediated radical polymerization (NMP) [1,2], atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) [3-8], reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization [9-11], etc. have been used as synthetic tools to prepare well-defined polymers or copolymers with predetermined molecular weights, precise chain-end functionalities, and controlled topologies. ATRP has become a promising one of the different CRPs due to its mild reaction conditions, broad applicability to a variety of monomers, and good control over the polymer chain length and chain-end functionalities [3,4,12-36]. In ATRP, the molecular weights of the resultant polymer can be calculated using the ratio of monomer to the initiator in the polymer chain. The different tailor-made polymers with complex architectures like block copolymers, star polymers, dendrimers and hyperbranched polymers can be synthesized by varying the composition and topology of the polymer chains [37-42].

Homo- and copolymers of styrene sulfonate [43] have much interest in the field of renewable energy.

The sulfonated polymers were widely used as hole transporters in organic solar cells [44,45], ionic exchangers in polymer-lithium batteries [46,47], proton conductors in fuel cells [48,49], and as heterogenous acid catalysts in the organic reactions such as esterification, olefin hydration, etherification and alkylation of phenols [50–54].

Coughlin and coworkers have reported the sulfonation of polystyrene (PS) and the emulsion polymerization of styrene sulfonate (SS) monomer [55]. They found that small shoulders appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum of poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) obtained through sulfonation. The shoulders were not observed in PSS synthesized by polymerizing of styrene sulfonate (SS) monomer. This result indicated that the side reactions such as incomplete sulfonation, sulfonation in different positions, or other side products during sulfonation of PS were occurred.

The ATRP of monomers with sulfonic acids are difficult because of their strong reacting with either the ATRP catalyst, alkyl halide-type initiator or the polymeric dormant species [56]. In these cases, the sulfonic acid group in a monomer can be protected as an alkyl ester, salts formed at high pH or by

CONTACT Md. Wali Ullah walichemru@yahoo.com Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Comilla University, Kotbari, Cumilla 3506, Bangladesh; Department of Applied Chemistry and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Toyohashi University of Technology, Toyohashi 441-8580, Aichi, Japan 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

neutralization with alkyl amines before the polymerization. Examples of protective groups include *t*-butyl, benzyl, tetrahydropyrannyl, 4-nitro-phenyl, and 1-ethoxyethyl [25,57]. The protecting groups can control the polymer properties [58] and the obtained polymers can be more functionalized by transformation reaction of these groups.

There have been few reports on the synthesis of PSS and copolymerization of SS with a comonomer by the controlled radical polymerizations. Mitsukami et al. have prepared homopolymer PSS and block copolymer with sodium 4-vinylbenzoate in aqueous media by RAFT [43]. They observed that block copolymer was pH responsive.

To find out a suitable ATRP condition is very important for the polymerization of a monomer especially with a polar functional group. In our previous work, we reported the ATRP conditions for the homoplymerization of styrene and acrylates [59].

In the present work, we have successfully synthesized well-defined sulfonated homopolymers (**PSS**) and copolymers (**CP-SS**) with chain-end functionalities by the ATRP of *p*-phenyl styrene-sulfonate (SS) and copolymerization with styrene (S) comonomer, respectively. The effects of ATRP ligand and solvent on the polymer yields, molecular weights (M_n), and polydispersity index (PDI) were investigated in detail. These polymers were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), nuclear magnetic spectroscopy (¹H NMR) and size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Thermal properties of the copolymers were investigated by the thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

In this study, styrene monomer (S), copper bromide (CuBr) and solvents [diphenyl ether (DPE) and dimethyl formamide (DMF)] used were purified according to a standard procedure. The ATRP ligands (2,2'-bipyridine (bpy), and N,N,N',N",N"pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA)) and 1-phenylethyl bromide (1-PEBr) as an initiator were used as received without further purification. The ¹H NMR of polymers were measured in CDCl₃ at 25 °C operating at 400 MHz using the JEOL JNM-ECS 400SS spectrometer and the chemical shits were expressed in δ ppm. FT-IR spectra were recorded with a JEOL JIR-7000 FT-IR spectrometer and reported in reciprocal centimeter (cm⁻¹). The molecular weights and polydispersity index (PDI) of polymers were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) with Tosoh instrument with HLC 8020 UV (254 nm) detection. DMF was used as a carrier solvent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL.min⁻¹ at 40 °C. Two polystyrene gel columns of bead size 10 µm (Shodex KF-806 L, Showa Denko K. K.) were used. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis was performed on an SII EXSTER 600 (Seiko Instruments Inc., Japan) system under a nitrogen atmosphere. Differences in the thermal history of the polymers were eliminated by first heating the specimen to 200 °C, cooling it to 20 °C, and then recording the second DSC scan (all heating rates: 10 °C/min). Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a TG/DTA 6000 analyzer (Seiko Instruments Inc., Japan) under a flow of nitrogen (constant heating rate: 10 °C/min; 25-550 °C).

2.2. Synthesis of p-phenyl styrenesulfonate (SS) monomer

p-Phenyl styrenesulfonate (SS) was synthesized according to the previously reported procedure [60,61]. Yield: 3.082 g, 65%, R_f = 0.54. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ = 7.27 (CDCl₃), TMS): δ = 5.48 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 11.0 and J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H; Ar-H), 7.24–7.31 (m, 3 H; Ar-H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H; Ar-H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.0, 2 H; Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ = 77.1 (CDCl₃), TMS): δ = 118.35, 122.37, 126.67, 127.16, 128.85, 129.65, 129.88, 134.03, 135.10, 143.27, 149.59.

2.3. Synthesis of functional poly(p-phenyl styrenesulfonate) PSS by ATRP

CuBr (14 mg, 0.098 mmol), SS (1302 mg, 5.000 mmol), and 1.25 mL of DPE were added to a 6 mL vial successively. The reaction mixture was purged with argon for 5 min and then PMDETA (52 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added. After an additional 5 min of argon bubbling, 1-PEBr (19 mg, 0.10 mmol) as an ATRP initiator was added into the reaction mixture. The molar ratio of [SS]_o/[1-PEBr]_o/[CuBr]_o /[PMDETA]_o was set to 100/2/2/6. The polymerization was performed in an oil bath at 110 °C for 24 h with a stirring rate at 400 rpm. The polymers were precipitated by dropwise addition in 125 mL of methanol. The PSS was collected by filtration and then dried at 40 °C under vacuum oven. The molecular structure and $M_{\rm p}$ of **PSS** were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and SEC, respectively. Yield: 67%; *M*_{n, th} = 8,910, *M*_{n, SEC} = 12,900, *M*_{n, NMR} = 8,780, $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$ = 1.31; FT-IR (KBr): v = 1374, 1181 (S = O stretching), 1600, 1489 and 1452 (C = C in aromatic ring), 3060, 3025 (C H in aromatic ring), and 2925, 2850 (\bigcirc H in alkyl) cm⁻¹.

2.4. Synthesis of functional poly(p-phenyl styrene-sulfonate-co-styrene) CP-SS by ATRP

CuBr (15 mg, 0.10 mmol), 70 mol% of S (366 mg, 3.51 mmol), 30 mol% of SS (390 mg, 1.50 mmol), and 1.25 mL of DPE were added to a 6 mL vial successively. The reaction mixture was purged with argon for 5 min and then PMDETA (54 mg, 0.31 mmol) was added. 1-PEBr (20 mg, 0.11 mmol) as an initiator was added into the mixture after an additional 5 min of argon bubbling. The polymerization was carried out in an oil bath at 110 °C for 24 h with a stirring at 400 rpm. The resultant copolymers were precipitated by dropwise addition in 125 mL of methanol. The CP-SS was collected by filtration and then dried at 40 °C under vacuum oven to provide a white powder. Yield: 45%; $M_{n, th} = 8,390$, $M_{\rm n, SEC} = 15,600, M_{\rm n, NMR} = 9,230, M_{\rm n}/M_{\rm w} = 1.53;$ FT-IR (KBr): v = 1376, 1176 (S = O stretching), 1598, 1490 and 1453 (C = C in aromatic ring), 3059, 3024 (C- H in aromatic ring), and 2924, 2851 (\bigcirc H in alkyl) cm⁻¹.

2.5. Synthesis of PSSNa and CP-SSNa

General synthesis procedure: To a flask with a magnetic stir bar inside, three equivalents of NaOH to SS moiety in the polymer or copolymer was added in a mixed solvent (THF/MeOH/H₂O (50/10/1) = 16.8/3.36/ 0.336) was added. The reaction was conducted in an oil bath at 50 °C for 24 h.

PSSNa: After 24 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the insoluble fraction was collected by centrifugation and washed with THF, MeOH, and CH₃COCH₃. The solid product **PSSNa** was dried at 40 °C for 24 h under vacuum oven. Yield: 89%; SSNa content: 4.78 mmol g⁻¹; FT-IR (KBr): v = 1189 (S = O stretching in SO₃Na), 1646, 1601 and 1450 (C = C in aromatic ring), 3060 (C- H in aromatic ring), 2924, 2848 (C- H in alkyl), 3465 cm⁻¹ (O- H).

CP-SSNa: The resultant polymers were precipitated by dropwise addition in ether (Et₂O). The insoluble fraction was collected by centrifugation and washed with a small amount of MeOH and CH₃COCH₃. The solid product **CP-SSNa** was dried at 40 °C for 24 h under vacuum oven. Yield: 90%; SSNa content: 2.18 mmol g^{-1} ; FT-IR (KBr): v = 1190 (S = O stretching in SO₃Na), 1602, 1490 and 1450 (C = C in aromatic ring), 3060, 3024 (C- H in aromatic ring), 2925, 2850 (C- H in alkyl), 3464 cm⁻¹ (O H).

2.6. Synthesis of CP-SSH

To a flask with a magnetic stir bar, **CP-SSNa** (459 mg, 0.100 mmol of SSNa moiety) and 53 mL of THF were

added. 1.10 mL (20 equivalents to SSNa moiety) of the diluted H₂SO₄ in THF was added slowly into the mixture. The reaction was performed at room temperature for 24 h. The polymers were precipitated by dropwise addition in Et₂O. The insoluble fraction was collected by centrifugation and washed with a small amount of MeOH and CH₃COCH₃. The solid product was dried at 40 °C for 24 h under vacuum oven. Yield: 96%; sulfonic acid (SSH) moiety content: 2.30 mmol g⁻¹; FT-IR (KBr): v = 1218 (S = O stretching in SO₃H), 1602, 1490, 1450 (C = C in aromatic ring), 3060, 3024 (C- H in aromatic ring), and 2924, 2850 (C- H in alkyl).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis of poly(p-phenyl styrene-sulfonate) PSS by ATRP

PSS was prepared by the ATRP of SS, as illustrated in Scheme 1. The effects of ligand and solvent on the polymer yield and the polydispersity were summarized in Table 1. The ATRP conditions for SS were set as same as S polymerization [59]. PSS was obtained in low yield than **PS** because of the bulky functional group ($C_6H_5OO_2$) S-) present in the SS monomer (entry I vs. 1; entry II vs. 2). On the other hand, the M_n of **PSS** with high PDI compared to PS were higher than the calculated because of the chain termination at the early stage of polymerization. S polymerization showed high PDI values when PMDETA was used as an ATRP ligand instead of bpy, probably due to the more initiating species formed firstly (entry I vs. II), whereas SS polymerization exhibited low polydispersity (entry 1 vs. 2). DPE was changed to DMF for checking the solvent effect on the ATRP of SS. The yield of **PSS** was increased when DMF with bpy or PMDETA was used as a solvent in lieu of DPE and the PDI values were relatively high (entry 1 vs. 3; entry 2 vs. 4). A similar rate enhancement in polar media was observed from different studies [62]. A faster initiation might have occurred due to high solubility of catalyst and polar monomer in DMF. The ATRP of SS with PMDETA in DPE or DMF proceeded faster than bpy and the PDI values were also low (entry 1 vs. 2; entry 3 vs. 4).

Figure 1 shows the SEC traces of **PSS** synthesized by the ATRP using CuBr/ bpy(PMDETA) catalytic system of SS in DPE or DMF. In the SEC traces, the molecular weight distributions (MWD) of **PSS** were moderate and unimodal (PDI1.5).

The structure of **PSS** was characterized by ¹H NMR and FT-IR spectra. From the ¹H NMR spectrum of **PSS** in Figure 2, the peaks appeared at 1.3–1.8 ppm and 6.5–7.7 ppm confirmed the present of aliphatic and aromatic protons, respectively. The peak positions of aromatic





protons in **PSS** chains were shifted to down field than in **PS** due to the electronic effect of sulfonate group. In FT-IR spectrum of **PSS** in Figure 3, the typical stretching bands for C = C bonds in aromatic rings were found at 1560, 1489 and 1452 cm⁻¹. The asymmetrical and symmetrical stretching vibration peaks for S = O bond were observed at 1374 (strong) and 1181 (weak) cm⁻¹, respectively.

These results indicated that well-defined function-nal **PSS** successfully synthesized by the CuBr/ PMDETA catalyzed ATRP in DPE.

3.2. Synthesis of poly(p-phenyl styrene-sulfonate-co-styrene) CP-SS by ATRP

The properties of **PS** can be regulated by the copolymerization with a commoner [63,64]. After the successful synthesis of **PSS, CP-SS** was prepared by the copolymerization of 30 mol% SS with 70 mol% S using the same ATRP conditions that was employed in **PSS**, as illustrated in Scheme 1.

When ATRP was employed using bpy or PMDETA as a ligand in DPE or DMF, the yield of **CP-SS** was lower than

Table 1. Characterization data for PSS and or CP-SS synthesized by ATRP.^{a.}

Entry	Polymer	Ligand	Solvent	Yield (%)	M _{n,th}	M _{n,SEC} b	M _{n,NMR} ^c	M_w/M_n^b	Molar ratio (S:SS) ^c
*	PS	PMDETA	DPE	81	4,400	4,470	4,240	1.27	-
1 ^d	PSS	PMDETA	DPE	67	8,910	12,900	8,780	1.31	-
II *	PS	bpy	DPE	54	3,000	3,070	2,980	1.06	-
2 ^d	PSS	bpy	DPE	50	6,690	12,500	13,200	1.44	-
3 ^d	PSS	PMDETA	DMF	74	9,810	13,700	11,400	1.42	-
4 ^e	PSS	bpy	DMF	58	7,730	12,800	13,500	1.45	-
5 ^e	CP-SS	PMDETA	DPE	45	8,390	15,600	9,230	1.53	70:30
6 ^e	CP-SS	bpy	DPE	26	4,920	5,570	3,790	1.10	50:30
7 ^e	CP-SS	PMDETA	DMF	51	9,470	17,100	10,350	1.79	60:30
8 ^e	CP-SS	bpy	DMF	37	6,920	17,500	12,500	2.26	50:30

 $[Monomer]_o = 4.0 \text{ M}, [1-PEBr]_o = [CuBr]_o = 0.08 \text{ M}, \text{ and } [bpy(PMDETA)]_o = 0.24 \text{ M}.$

^aPolymerizations were performed at 110 °C for 24 h. [M]_o/[1-PEBr]_o/[CuBr]_o/[bpy(PMDETA)]_o = 100/2/2/6.

 $M_{n, th} = [\{(MW)_M \times Conversion \times ([M]_o/[1-PEBr]_o)\} + (MW)_{1-PEBr}].$

^bDetermined by SEC using DMF as an eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL.min⁻¹ at 40 °C (polystyrene standards).

^cDetermined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

^dSS was used as a monomer.

^eS and SS were used as monomers.

* [59]



Figure 1. SEC traces of PSS.

PSS because ATRP catalyst may also coordinate with S = O of the SS comonomer which reduced the catalytic activity (entries 5–8 vs. 1–3). The M_n of **CP-SS** was higher than the calculated. The M_n was in good agreement with M_{nrth} when bpy was used in DPE. Copolymerization of SS and S with PMDETA in DMF showed slightly high yield than in DPE. It may be possible that the polarity of DMF increases the solubility of catalysts and monomers that might have assisted the initiation. By contrast, high M_n was obtained due to the chain termination at the end of polymerization and the PDI (=1.79) values were also high. The rate of copolymerization was also increased when bpy was used in DMF and the M_n of **CP-SS** with high polydispersity (PDI = 2.26) was high probably owing to the chain termination at the end of polymerization.

Figure 4 exhibits the SEC traces of **CP-SS** prepared by the CuBr/bpy(PMDETA) catalyzed ATRP of SS with S in DPE or DMF. In SEC traces, the MWDs of **CP-SS** were broader when bpy or PMDETA was used in DMF than in DPE (entries 7 and 8).

In ¹H NMR spectrum of **CP-SS** in Figure 2, the peaks for aliphatic and aromatic protons of SS and S moieties in **CP-SS** chains were observed at 1.3–1.8 ppm and 6.5–7.5 ppm, respectively, which were found in **PSS**. The peak appeared at 1.10 ppm confirmed the present of chain-end methyl protons from 1-PEBr initiator in the **PSS** and **CP-SS** chains. The molar ratio of SS and S measured from the ¹H NMR spectrum was 60:30 that was close to the calculated one.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra of PS, PSS, and CP-SS in CDCl_{3.}

In FT-IR spectrum of **CP-SS** in Figure 3, the asymmetrical and symmetrical stretching vibrational bands for S = O bond were found at 1176 and 1376 cm⁻¹, respectively, that were exhibited in **PSS**. These results indicated that copolymerization of SS with S was successfully proceeded.

These above results confirmed that well-defined **CP-SS** was successfully synthesized using the suitable ATRP conditions as same as the SS polymerization.

3.3. Synthesis of poly(styrenesulfonate-co-styrene) CP-SSNa and poly(styrene sulfonic acid-co-styrene) CP-SSH by deprotection

PSSNa or **CP-SSNa** was obtained by the deprotecttion of phenyl group in the SS moiety of polymer chain using three equivalents NaOH to SS moiety of **PSS** or **CP-SS** in a mixed solvent (THF/MeOH/H₂O = 50/10/1) at 50 °C for 24 h (Scheme 2). The yield and SSNa content in **PSS** and **CP-SS** were 89%, 4.78 mmol g⁻¹ and 90%, 2.18 mmol g⁻¹, respectively.

The broad absorption peaks found at 1189 cm^{-1} and 1190 cm^{-1} in the FT-IR spectra of **PSSNa** and **CP-SSNa**

(Figure 3), respectively, confirmed the S = O bond in SO₃ Na in both the homopolymer and copolymer. **CP-SSH** was prepared by treating of twenty equivalents conc. H₂ SO₄ to SSNa moiety of **CP-SSNa** in THF. The yield and sulfonic acid content were in quantitative. FT-IR of **CP-SSH** in Figure 3 exhibited that broad absorption band assigned to stretching vibration of S = O bond in SO₃ H. This result confirmed that **CP-SSH** was successfully prepared from the deprotection of **CP-SS**, followed by acidification.

3.4. Thermal properties

The thermal properties of **CP-SS** and **CP-SSH** were studied by TGA and DSC analysis. TGA and DSC thermograms for **CP-SS** and **CP-SSH** are shown in Figures 5(a,b). TGA of **CP-SS** showed that the degradation temperatures (T_d) of **CP-SS** (345 °C) were very close to T_d of polystyrene (PS) [65]. The T_d of **CP-SSH** were shifted to higher temperatures as a function of sulfonic acid (SO_3H) groups, as compared to PS and



Figure 3. FTIR spectra of PS, PSS, PSSNa, CP-SS, CP-SSNa, and CP-SSH.





Figure 5. (a) TGA and (b) DSC thermograms of CP-SS and CP-SSH.

CP-SS (from 345 °C to 375 °C). In endothermic DSC thermograms, the similar results were observed i.e., the glass transition temperatures (T_g) of **CP-SSH** were also shifted to higher temperatures due to the presence of polar– SO₃H groups in the polymer chains, as compared to PS and **CP-SSH** (from 100 to 155 °C). The high T_g values of **CP-SSH** can be considered as an indicator of the increase of structural rigidity of **CP-SSH** chains.

4. Conclusion

Well-defined functional poly(p-phenyl styrene-sulfonate) **PSS** and poly(*p*-phenyl styrenesulfonate-*co*-styrene) **CP**-SS were successfully synthesized by the CuBr/bpy-(PMDETA) catalyzed atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of p-phenyl styrene-sulfonate (SS) and copolymerization with styrene (S) comonomer using 1-PEBr as an initiator in DPE or DMF. The effects of ligand and solvent on the polymer yield, the molecular weights (M_p) , and the polydispersity (PDI) were investigated. In PSS and CP-SS, the CuBr/PMDETA catalytic system in DPE or DME showed higher yield than CuBr/bpy and the polydispersity of polymer was low. Using PMDETA or bpy as a ligand in DMF, the high yield was obtained than in DPE and the PDI values were high. We found that the CuBr/PMDETA catalyzed ATRP of SS and copolymerization of SS with S in DPE proceeded in a controlled manner. CP-SSH containing sulfonic acid was obtained by the chemical deprotection of protecting group, followed by the acidification reaction. The molecular structure and molecular weights of the polymers were determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy and size exclusion chromatography (SEC), respectively. CP-SSH copolymers showed higher degradation temperatures with high T_{q} values, as compared to PS and CP-SS.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interests was reported by the author(s).

ORCID

Md. Wali Ullah (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2910-188X

References

- Georges MK, Veregin RPN, Kazmaier PM, et al. Narrow molecular weight resins by a free-radical polymerization process. Macromolecules. 1993;26(11):2987–2988.
- [2] Puts RD, Sogah DY. Control of living free-radical polymerization by a new chiral nitroxide and implications for the polymerization mechanism. Macromolecules. 1996;29:3323–3325.
- [3] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K. Controlled/"living" radical polymerization. atom transfer radical polymerization in the presence of transition-metal complexes. J Am Chem Soc. 1995;117:5614–5615.
- [4] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K. Controlled/"Living" radical polymerization: halogen atom transfer radical polymerization promoted by a Cu(I)/Cu(II) redox process. Macromolecules. 1995;28:7901–7910.
- [5] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K. "Living"/controlled radical polymerization: transition-metal-catalyzed atom transfer radical polymerization in the presence of a conventional radical initiator. Macromolecules. 1995;28:7572–7573.
- [6] Kato M, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M, et al. Polymerization of methyl methacrylate with the carbon tetrachloride/ dichlorotris-(triphenyl-phosphine) ruthenium(II)/methyl-aluminum bis(2,6-di-*tert*-butylphenoxide) initiating system: possibility of living radical polymerization. Macromolecules. 1995;28:1721–1723.
- [7] Matyjaszewski K. Mechanistic aspects of atom transfer radical polymerization. 685; Chapter 16. J. Macromol. Sci. A.1997;34:1785–1801.
- [8] Matayjaszewski K, Xia J. Atom transfer radical polymerization. Chem Rev. 2001;101:2921–2990.
- [9] Chiefari J, Chong YK, Ercole F, et al. Living free-radical polymerization by reversible addition-frag-mentation

chain transfer: the RAFT process. Marcomolecules. 1998;31:5559–6662.

- [10] Le TP, Moad G, Rizzardo E, et al. Chem. Abstr. 1998;128:115.
- [11] Chong YK, Tpt L, Moad G, et al. A More versatile route to block copolymers and other polymers of complex architecture by living radical polymerize-tion: the RAFT process. Macromolecules. 1999;32:2071–2074.
- [12] Kotani Y, Kato M, Kamigaito M, et al. Synthesis of poly (phenylene sulfide sulfonic acid) via poly(sulfonium cation) as a thermostable proton-conducting polymer. Macromolecules. 1996;29:6969–6971.
- [13] Granel C, Dubois P, Jerome R, et al. Controlled radical polymerization of methacrylic monomers in the presence of a bis(ortho-chelated) arylnickel(II) complex and different activated alkyl halides. Macromolecules. 1996;29:8576–8582.
- [14] Matyjaszewski K, Patten TE, Xia J. Controlled/"living" radical polymerization: kinetics of the homogeneous atom transfer radical polymerization of styrene. J Am Chem Soc. 1997;119:674–680.
- [15] Haddleton D, Kukulji D, Duncalf DJ, et al. Lowtemperature living "radical" polymerization (atom transfer polymerization) of methyl methacrylate mediated by copper(I) *N*-alkyl-2-pyridylmethan-imine complexes. Macromolecules. 1998;31:5201–5205.
- [16] Beers KL, Gaynor SG, Matyjaszewski K, et al. The synthesis of densely grafted copolymers by atom transfer radical polymerization. Macromolecules. 1998;31:9413–9415.
- [17] Coca S, Jaszieczek CB, Beers KL, et al. Polymerization of acrylates by atom transfer radical polymerization: homopolymerization of 2-hydroxy-ethyl acrylate. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem. 1998;36:1417–1424.
- [18] Kotani Y, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M. Living random copolymerization of styrene and methyl methacrylate with a Ru(II) complex and synthesis of ABC-type "block-random" copolymers. Macromolecules. 1998;31:5582–5587.
- [19] Arehart SV, Matyjaszewski K. Atom transfer radical copolymerization of styrene and *n*-butyl acrylate. Macromolecules. 1999;32:2221–2231.
- [20] Ashford EJ, Naldi V, O'Dell R, et al. First example of the atom transfer radical polymerization of an acidic monomer: direct synthesis of methacrylic acid copolymers in aqueous media. Chem Comm. 1999;14:1285–1286.
- [21] Moineau C, Minet M, Teyssie P, et al. Effect of the molecular weight on the dynamics of the conformational transition of poly(methacrylic acid). Macromolecules. 1999;32:8277–8283.
- [22] Pascual S, Coutin B, Tardi M, et al. Homogeneous atom transfer radical polymerization of styrene initiated by 1-chloro-1-phenylethane/copper(I) chloride/bipyridine in the presence of dimethyl-formamide. Macromolecules. 1999;32:1432–1437.
- [23] Leclere P, Moineau G, Minet M, et al. Direct observation of microdomain morphology in "all-acrylic" thermoplastic elastomers synthesized via living radical polymerization. Langmuir. 1999;15:3915–3919.
- [24] Davis KA, Matyjaszewski K. Atom transfer radical polymerization of *tert*-butyl acrylate and preparation of block copolymers. Macromolecules. 2000;33:4039–4047.

- [25] Davis KA, Charleux B, Matyjaszewski K. Preparation of block copolymers of polystyrene and poly (t-butyl acrylate) of various molecular weights and architectures by atom transfer radical polymerization. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem. 2000;38:2274–2283.
- [26] Hovestad NJ, Koten G, Van S, et al. Copper(I) bromide/ N-(n-Octyl)-2-pyridylmethanimine media-ted living-radical polymerization of methyl metha-crylate using carbosilane dendritic initiators. Macromolecules. 2000;33:4048–4052.
- [27] Matyjaszewski K, Shipp DA, McMurtry GP, et al. Simple and effective one-pot synthesis of (meth)acrylic block copolymers through atom transfer radical polymerization. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem. 2000;38:2023–2031.
- [28] Matyjaszewski K, Ziegler MJ, Arehart SV, et al. Gradient copolymers by atom transfer radical copolymerization. Phys Org Chem. 2000;13:775–786.
- [29] Davis KA, Matyjaszewski K. Statistical, gradient, block and graft copolymers by controlled/living radical polymerizations. Advances in Polym Sci. 2002;159:1–13.
- [30] Qiu J, Charleux B, Matyjaszewski K. Controlled/living radical polymerization in aqueous media: homogeneous and heterogeneous systems. Progr Polym Sci. 2001;26:2083–2134.
- [31] Lutz JF, Neugebauer D, Matyjaszewski K. Stereoblock copolymers and tacticity control in controlled/living radical polymerization. J Am Chem Soc. 2003;125:6986–6993.
- [32] Li M, Matyjaszewski K. Reverse atom transfer radical polymerization in miniemulsion. Macro-molecules. 2003;36:6028–6035.
- [33] Hong SC, Neugebauer D, Inoue Y, et al. Preparation of segmented copolymers in the presence of an immobilized/soluble hybrid ATRP catalyst system. Macromolecules. 2003;36:27–35.
- [34] Hong SC, Lutz JF, Inoue Y, et al. Use of an Immobilized/ soluble hybrid ATRP catalyst system for the preparation of block copolymers, random copolymers, and polymers with high degree of chain end functionality. Macromolecules. 2003;36:1075–1082.
- [35] Chung IS, Matyjaszewski K. Synthesis of degradable poly (methyl methacrylate) via ATRP: atom transfer radical ring-opening copolymeri-zation of 5-methylene-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-one and methyl methacrylate. Macromolecules. 2003;36:2995–2998.
- [36] Tsarevsky NV, Sarbu T, Goebelt B, et al. Synthesis of styrene–acrylonitrile copolymers and related block copolymers by atom transfer radical poly-merization. Macromolecules. 2002;35:6142–6148.
- [37] Qin S, Saget J, Pyun J, et al. Synthesis of block, statistical, and gradient copolymers from octadecyl (meth)acrylates using atom transfer radical polymerization. Macromolecules. 2003;36:8969–8977.
- [38] Matyjaszewski K. Mechanistic and synthetic aspects of atom transfer radical polymerization. J Macromol Sci -Pure Appl Chem. 1997;34:1785–17801.
- [39] Sawamoto M, Kamigaito M. Metal complex-mediated living radical polymerization: features, scope, and precision polymer synthesis. J Macromol Sci -Pure Appl Chem. 1997;34:1803–1814.
- [40] Zhao H, Shipp DA. Preparation of poly(styrene-blockbutyl acrylate) block copolymer-silicate nanocomposites. Chem Mater. 2003;15:2693–2695.

- [41] Mittal A, Sivaram S, Baskaran D. Unfavorable coordination of copper with methyl vinyl ketone in atom transfer radical polymerization. Macro-molecules. 2006;39:5555–5558.
- [42] Kavitha AA, Singha NK. Smart "all acrylate" ABA triblock copolymer bearing reactive functionality via atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP): demonstration of a "click reaction" in thermo-reversible property. Macromolecules. 2010;43:3193–3205.
- [43] Mitsukami Y, Donovan MS, Lowe AB, et al. Direct synthesis of hydrophilic styrenic-based homo-polymers and block copolymers in aqueous solution via RAFT. Macromolecules. 2001;34:2248–2256.
- [44] Park Y, Müller-Meskamp L, Vandewal K, et al. PEDOT:PSS with embedded TiO₂ nanoparticles as light trapping electrode for organic photovoltaics. Appl Phys Lett. 2016;108:253302.
- [45] Rivnay J, Inal S, Collins BA, et al. Structural control of mixed ionic and electronic transport in conducting polymers. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11287.
- [46] Higgins TM, Park SH, King PJ, et al. A Commercial conducting polymer as both binder and conductive additive for silicon nanoparticle-based lithium-ion battery negative electrodes. ACS Nano. 2016;10:3702–3713.
- [47] Yoon DH, Yoon SH, Ryu KS, et al. PEDOT:PSS as multi-functional composite material for enhanced Li-airbattery air electrodes. Sci Rep. 2016;6:19962.
- [48] Gadim TDO, Figueiredo AG, Rosero-Navarro NC, et al. Nanostructured bacterial cellulose–poly(4-styrene sulfonic acid) composite membranes with high storage modulus and protonic conductivity. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2014;6:7864–7875.
- [49] Fu RQ, Woo JJ, Seo SJ, et al. Sulfonated polystyrene/ polyvinyl chloride composite membranes for PEMFC applications. J Membr Sci. 2008;309:156–164.
- [50] Liu F, Meng X, Zhang Y, et al. Efficient and stable solid acid catalysts synthesized from sulfonation of swelling mesoporous polydivinylbenzenes. J Catal. 2010;271:52–58.
- [51] Siril PF, Cross HE, Brown DR. New polystyrene sulfonic acid resin catalysts with enhanced acidic and catalytic properties. J Mol Catal A Chem. 2008;279:63–68.
- [52] Harmer MA, Sun Q. Solid acid catalysis using ion-exchange resins. Appl Catal A Gen. 2001;221:45–62.

- [53] Hart M, Fuller G, Brown DR. Sulfonated poly(styrene-codivinylbenzene) ion-exchange resins: acidities and catalytic activities in aqueous reactions. J Mol Catal A Chem. 2002;182:439–445.
- [54] Barbaro P, Liguori F. Ion exchange resins: catalyst recovery and recycle. Chem Rev. 2009;109:515–529.
- [55] Coughlin JE, Reisch A, Markarian MZ, et al. Sulfonation of polystyrene: toward the "ideal" polyelectrolyte. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem. 2013;51:2416–2424.
- [56] Choi CK, Kim YB. Atom transfer radical polymerization of styrenesulfonic acid sodium salts (SSNa) in aqueous phase. Polym Bull. 2003;49:433–439.
- [57] Van WC, Prez FED, Bon SAF. Atom transfer radical polymerization of 1-ethoxyethyl (meth) acrylate: facile route toward near-monodisperse poly(meth)acrylic acid). Macromolecules. 2004;37:6673–6675.
- [58] Litt M, Wycisk R. Poly(arylenesulfonic acids) with frozen-in free volume as hydrogen fuel cell membrane materials. Polymer Rev. 2015;55:307–329.
- [59] Ullah MW, Haraguchi N, Ali MA, et al. Atom transfer radical polymerization of styrene and acrylates: effects of catalyst, ligand, solvent, and initiator. J Scientif Res. 2021;13:999–1010.
- [60] Ullah MW, Thao NTP, Sugimoto T, et al. Synthesis of core-Corona polymer microsphere-supported cinchonidinium salt and its application to asymmetric synthesis. Mol Catal. 2019;473:110392.
- [61] Ullah MW, Haraguchi N. Ionic, core-Corona polymer microsphere-immobilized MacMillan catalyst for asymmetric Diels-Alder reaction. Catalysts. 2019;9:960.
- [62] Perrier S, Armes SP, Wang XS, et al. Copper(I)-mediated radical polymerization of methacrylates in aqueous solution. J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem. 2001;39:1696–1707.
- [63] Chowdhury SI, Tanaka R, Nakayama Y, et al. Copolymerization of norbornene and styrene with anilinonaphthoquinone-ligated nickel complexes. Polymers. 2019;11:1100.
- [64] Zahir L, Jahan AN, Chowdhury SI. Copolymerization of styrene and [poly(ethylene glycol)methyl ether] methacrylate using reverse atom transfer radical polymerization. Chem Sci Eng Res. 2020;2:34–38.
- [65] Rieger J. The glass transition temperature of polystyrene. J Thermal Analys. 1996;46:965–972.