



Article Self-Catalyzed CdTe Wires

Tom Baines ¹,*, Giorgos Papageorgiou ¹,[†], Oliver S. Hutter ¹, Leon Bowen ², Ken Durose ¹ and Jonathan D. Major ¹

- ¹ Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, Physics Department, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7XF, UK; g.papageorgiou@swansea.ac.uk (G.P.); O.S.Hutter@liverpool.ac.uk (O.S.H.); dph0kd@liverpool.ac.uk (K.D.); jonmajor@liverpool.ac.uk (J.D.M.)
- ² Department of Physics, G.J. Russell Microscopy Facility, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK; leon.bowen@durham.ac.uk
- * Correspondence: tbaines@liverpool.ac.uk
- + Current Address: CTF SOLAR GmbH, Zur Wetterwarte 50, 01109 Dresden, Germany.

Received: 23 March 2018; Accepted: 23 April 2018; Published: 25 April 2018



Abstract: CdTe wires have been fabricated via a catalyst free method using the industrially scalable physical vapor deposition technique close space sublimation. Wire growth was shown to be highly dependent on surface roughness and deposition pressure, with only low roughness surfaces being capable of producing wires. Growth of wires is highly (111) oriented and is inferred to occur via a vapor-solid-solid growth mechanism, wherein a CdTe seed particle acts to template the growth. Such seed particles are visible as wire caps and have been characterized via energy dispersive X-ray analysis to establish they are single phase CdTe, hence validating the self-catalysation route. Cathodoluminescence analysis demonstrates that CdTe wires exhibited a much lower level of recombination when compared to a planar CdTe film, which is highly beneficial for semiconductor applications.

Keywords: CdTe; self-catalysed; wires

1. Introduction

Due to their unique properties, semiconductor nano and microwires have attracted a lot of interest for optoelectronic devices. Direct band gap wires offer enhanced performance due to an increased effective surface area and reduced recombination [1–3]. CdTe is a direct semiconductor widely utilized for photovoltaics (PV) as a thin film absorber, owing to its near optimal band gap for PV of 1.5 eV and ease of deposition owing to its comparatively simple phase chemistry [4]. CdTe can be produced by a variety of techniques, such as physical vapor deposition (PVD) [5], chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [6], molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [7] and solution phase synthesis [8]. It has been suggested that solar cells based on CdTe wires may exhibit a higher performance, due to the advantageous carrier transport properties of the wires. However, the fabrication of CdTe wires is far more challenging than for the planar films. CdTe wires grown by PVD or CVD techniques typically occur via a vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism necessitating a metal catalyst seed particle to facilitate wire growth [9]. The use of catalysts is problematic when attempting to create device quality material. Au and Bi have been used to successfully synthesize CdTe wires [9,10], however both have been established as deep level recombination centers in CdTe, compromising performance [11,12]. Catalyst-free CdTe wires are therefore preferable to minimize the defect content. Prior work on catalyst free CdTe wires primarily consists of two approaches (i) a solution based method grown via a solution-liquid-solid (SLS) mechanism [13], or (ii) a template assisted electrodeposition route using, for example, an aluminum oxide film as the template [14]. Both of these techniques have their disadvantages; they often require the use of solvents like oleylamine or complex patterning steps for

the template layers. Wires produced by solution based methods are also often difficult to incorporate into device structures as many applications require vertically aligned wires projecting from the surface of the substrate [15].

The only prior report of self-catalyzed CdTe wires via PVD was from Wang et al. who reported growth via a thermal chemical method on an ITO substrate via a proposed vapor-solid-solid (VSS) mechanism [16], where the substrate was placed in a alumina vacuum tube furnace and CdTe was deposited at 2×10^{-1} mbar and 700 °C. Nanorods were observed to grow from a single CdTe seed particle formed on the substrate surface. The (111) zincblende crystal surface is more active than the substrate leading to continuous stacking along the CdTe (111) plane resulting in a preferred 1D growth [16]. It is worth noting that we use the term catalysis in this context to infer the CdTe seed particle is the cause for the wire formation, rather than the reaction rate is being increased.

In this work we present self-catalyzed wire growth via a proven scalable deposition route, close space sublimation (CSS) [5] on Mo substrates and as such this work has direct relevance to potential device production [17]. The influence of growth pressure and substrate surface roughness on wire growth were investigated with characterization by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy and cathodoluminescence (CL).

2. Materials and Methods

A range of substrates were used in this work; uncoated soda-lime glass (SLG), fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) coated SLG "TEC 6" glass (NSG Ltd., St. Helens, UK) and 0.1 mm Mo foil substrates (Advent, 99.95% pure, Oxford, UK). All substrates were washed with isopropyl alcohol (IPA) and de-ionized (DI) water, then ultrasonically cleaned in DI water prior to deposition. 250 nm (0.5 Ω /sq) Mo films were grown onto the glass substrates via Direct Current (DC) magnetron sputtering at 400 °C using an Ar plasma. 6–8 µm CdTe (Alfa Aesar, 99.99% pure, Lancashire, UK) was deposited via CSS in an N₂ ambient at a variety of pressures and at source and substrate temperatures of 650 °C and 550 °C respectively.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was carried out using a Veeco Innova Bruker atomic force microscope (Bruker, CA, USA) in contact mode. XRD measurements were performed using a PANalytical X'pert PRO X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical B. V., Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at room temperature, using CuKα1 line as the X-ray source. SEM images were taken using a JSM-7001F microscope from JEOL with EDX spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). CL spectra was measured with a Hitachi SU-70 SEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 12 keV together with a Gatan MonoCL system (Gatan, CA, USA) for CL detection. The pixel dwell time for the panchromatic was 4 s.

3. Results and Discussion

The preferable implementation for CdTe wires in a solar cell structure is via the "substrate" cell structure [17], wherein the CdTe component must be deposited on top of a suitable back contact medium. Typically for substrate CdTe devices the back contact material is Mo as its thermal expansion coefficient is close to that of CdTe and its use is well established for other thin film technologies such as Copper Indium Gallium Selenide (CIGS) and Copper Zinc Tin Sulfide (CZTS) [18,19], although there are some issues with regards to generation of an Ohmic contact [17]. We therefore focused on producing wires on a Mo surface as this is the first step towards wire cell development. We considered two substrate options: Mo coated SLG, the typical route for CIGS/CZTS devices, or growth directly onto Mo foil, which is of interest due to the potential to produce flexible solar cells [18]. Figure 1a,b shows SEM images of CdTe growth on SLG/Mo and Mo foil respectively, using deposition conditions equivalent to that for our standard CdS/CdTe superstrate cell platform [20]. For growth on Mo foil (Figure 1b) we observe what we would classify as a "typical" CdTe thin film growth for these conditions, i.e., complete coverage of the substrate with a grain size 1–5 µm [21]. For growth on the SLG/Mo substrates under identical growth conditions we observed the formation of a field of self-catalysed wires. The wires show an ordered lateral growth with an average diameter of $3.5 \pm 0.3 \mu m$, average length of $15.9 \pm 3.8 \mu m$

and clear evidence of a hexagonal cap to the wires. Prior nucleation and growth studies have identified similar hexagonal CdTe islands to form during the early stages of CSS deposition [22].

From this and the microscopy images we infer a vapor-solid-solid (VSS) growth mode, wherein hexagonal seed crystals are initially formed on the surface then subsequently act as a template for wire growth, following a similar mechanism to the one proposed by Wang et al. [16,23]. Such mechanisms are likely to be highly sensitive to the mobility of adatoms on the surface, which will influence the critical nucleus size [24] and thus the formation of any surface-stable seed crystal, as well as the rate of material flux to feed wire growth. The lack of wire formation for growth on the Mo foil can therefore be attributed to one of two factors either (i) the surface energy of the foil is significantly different compared to SLG/Mo, thus influencing the adatom lifetime on the surface and altering the growth mode [25] or (ii) as the Mo foil is significantly rougher than Mo/SLG (71.98 nm Root Mean Square (RMS) vs. 8.0 nm RMS roughness, Figure S1 in supporting information shows the three dimensional AFM images of the substrates surface) the increased roughness is hampering adatom diffusion disrupting the formation of seed particles.



Figure 1. SEM images showing the effect of the substrate on wire growth. CdTe deposition was performed under identical growth conditions on: (a) SLG/Mo; (b) Mo Foil; (c) SLG; and (d) SLG/FTO/Mo.

A route to better understand the limiting factor was to utilize identical growth conditions but using uncoated SLG and SLG/FTO/Mo coated substrates. These substrates were selected in particular to separate the influence of the deposition surface from the surface roughness. For deposition on SLG the surface bonding energy is completely different compared to SLG/Mo but the roughness is even lower. FTO coated glass is stable at high temperatures due to its CVD deposition route and has higher roughness than SLG, 16.17 nm RMS (Figure S1), but by coating it with sputtered Mo is offers an identical surface to SLG/Mo only with increased roughness. Via comparison of growth on these substrates it allows us to separate the influence of the Mo layer from the roughness. SEM images for growth on SLG and SLG/FTO/Mo are shown in Figure 1c,d respectively. We observe the formation of wires on the SLG surface but thin-film style growth on the SLG/FTO/Mo surface, hence it is apparent that surface roughness is the controlling factor for wire formation. For the highly smooth Mo-free SLG surface, wires have formed with smaller dimensions than that observed for the

SLG/Mo surface. This again demonstrates the influence of roughness but additionally allows us to rule out the Mo film acting as a catalyst layer and clearly demonstrates the wires are self-catalysed. For the rougher SLG/FTO/Mo surface, growth has reverted to thin films, albeit of slightly smaller grain size than on Mo foil. This suggests that the Mo layer is largely inconsequential to wire formation

other materials systems such as ZnO [26,27], but it's crucial role in CdTe wire formation has never previously been established. In order to determine the level of wire formation control afforded by this self-catalysed route, the influence of CSS deposition pressure was studied as this determines the adatom arrival and re-evaporation rates [28]. Figure 2a–c show SEM images with varying pressure from vacuum (system base pressure i.e., no nitrogen added to ambient) to 30 Torr and to 60 Torr. Deposition was again performed on SLG/Mo to maintain a device relevant stack structure. Layers grown under vacuum exhibit a highly uniform and dense wire array while at 30 Torr the wires become less uniform and are more randomly distributed (11 wires/400 μ m² and 5 wires/400 μ m² respectively). It has previously been established for CSS growth of thin film CdTe that higher deposition pressures favor the formation of larger grains owing to a reduction in the adatom arrival rate at the surface and thus the formation of larger critical sized nuclei [21]. Here, for growth at 60 Torr, this has led to the formation of excessively large seed crystals, $12.3 \pm 0.9 \,\mu$ m, compared to $3.5 \pm 0.3 \,\mu$ m for vacuum conditions, which appear unable to effectively template wire growth. There is some evidence of growth occurring beneath these caps, but true wire growth does not occur. These results indicate that while there is a relatively wide pressure range over which growth will occur, the dimensions and the quality of the wires may be adjusted. This follows from previous work where the formation of seed particles and wires is inversely proportional to the growth pressure due to a reduction in the vapor concentration [16,29].

in contrast to the surface roughness. Wire formation has been shown to be roughness dependent in



Figure 2. SEM images of CdTe wire growth with varying deposition pressure. (a) Vacuum; (b) 30 Torr and (c) 60 Torr.

The XRD patterns shown in Figure 3 were recorded for wires grown on SLG/Mo at either 30 Torr or under vacuum and compared with a planar film deposited on SLG/FTO/Mo. The growth preference may be determined by calculating the texture coefficients (C_{hkl}) for each diffraction peak using Equation (1).

$$C_{\rm hkl} = \frac{\frac{I_{\rm hkl}}{I_{\rm rhkl}}}{1/n_{\rm p}\sum_{n_{\rm p}=1}^{n_{\rm p}}\frac{I_{\rm hkl}}{I_{\rm rhkl}}} \tag{1}$$

where I_{hkl} is the intensity of a (hkl) diffraction peak, I_{rhkl} is the relative intensity of this diffraction peak for a powder sample and n_p is the number of reflections present in the sample. Then a standard deviation (σ) indicates the extent to which the film deviates from the powder using Equation (2) [30]. A high σ indicates the film is more textured and a low value indicates it is more random [30].

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sum 1/n_{\rm p}(C_{\rm hkl} - 1)^2}$$
⁽²⁾

Table 1 shows the C_{hkl} and σ for all the samples presented in Figure 3. All samples show a typical diffraction pattern for zinc blende CdTe with a preferential (111) orientation however, the degree of preferential orientation varies. Layers grown on SLG/FTO/Mo (Figure 3c), which have no evidence of wire formation, are the most randomly orientated film $\sigma = 1.59$. Both wire samples grown on SLG/Mo show an increase in preferred (111) orientation to $\sigma = 1.83$ for growth at 30 Torr (Figure 3b) and $\sigma = 2.23$ for growth at vacuum (Figure 3a). This increase would indicate that the wires grow in a (111) orientation.



Figure 3. XRD patterns for wire growth on SLG/Mo at (**a**) vacuum and (**b**) 30 Torr; (**c**) Shows XRD pattern of a planar film deposited at 30 Torr on SLG/FTO/Mo.

Table 1. Texture coefficients (C_{hkl}) and standard deviation (σ) calculated for each of the samples shown in Figure 3.

	Under Vacuum SLG/Mo	30 Torr SLG/Mo	30 Torr SLG/FTO/Mo
C ₁₁₁	6.47	5.511	4.90
C ₂₂₀	0.11	0.407	0.43
C ₃₁₁	0.10	0.398	0.70
C ₄₀₀	0.011	0.144	0.23
C ₃₃₁	0.075	0.134	0.21
C ₄₂₂	0.11	0.234	0.35
C ₅₁₁	0.12	0.171	0.19
σ	2.23	1.83	1.59

One possibility was that the wires were being nucleated by some contaminant on the surface. Although the substrates were thoroughly cleaned prior to deposition, outdiffusion of chemical species from the glass can still occur. To verify that contaminants were not nucleating wire growth, EDX was performed at a number of points along the wires (Figure 4). Spectra were taken from the both the cap and shaft of the wire with the chemical composition being compared. The spectra in both cases looks identical, being predominantly Cd and Te with only small additional peaks from the Mo under layer and O. This indicates that the wires are phase pure CdTe with the additional oxygen being detected always being present in CSS deposited CdTe. The EDX and XRD data presented support the inference that the wires are self-catalysed from a CdTe seed particle which subsequently become the cap of the wire [16].



Figure 4. SEM images of the CdTe wires deposited under vacuum on Mo/SLG and EDX spectra at different points of the wires.

It is anticipated that wires should have enhanced carrier transport properties and thus reduced carrier recombination. CL analysis was performed to compare the recombination rates of CdTe wires and thin film. Figure 5a,b show SEM images of the wire and planar layers grown at vacuum on SLG/Mo and SLG/FTO/Mo respectively, with accompanying CL images shown in Figure 5c,d. The CL spectra were collected and normalized in the region of 1.40 to 1.70 eV (Figure 5e). The wires produced a higher signal compared to the planar film indicating the wires possess a reduced level of non-radiative recombination, possibly to a reduction in the number of grain boundaries present in the wires compared to the planar film [31]. This reduced non-radiative recombination makes these ideal for many device applications such as radiation detectors and PV. In order for a direct comparison between the CL signals both were renormalized (Figure 5f) to their respective highest intensity signals, both CL spectra for the wires and planar film show a near band edge transition [11]. The peak for wires is shifted to a slightly higher energy of 1.435 eV compared to 1.419 eV for the planar film, these peaks correspond to a typical donor-acceptor pair transition observed for CdTe [3,5]. The CL spectra for planar films also shows an additional peak at 1.486 eV corresponding to a near band edge transition for CdTe.



Figure 5. SEM images for CdTe wires (**a**) and the planar (**b**) CdTe film; along with CL images for the wires (**c**) and planar film (**d**). Normalized CL spectra produced for the CdTe wires and CdTe planar film (**e**,**f**). CdTe samples were grown at vacuum. (**e**) Has been normalized with respect to the highest signal, therefore the wire signal was set to 1. In order for the peak shift to be directly analyzed the signals were both normalized to 1 so that the intensities of the signals was neglected (**f**).

4. Conclusions

Self-catalysed CdTe wires have been fabricated in a single step technique using a simple industrially scalable PVD technique (CSS). We have produced samples on a SLG/Mo substrate suitable for cell fabrication and demonstrated wire growth dimensions can be controlled by both the surface roughness and deposition pressure. XRD and EDX results indicate that the wires are preferentially (111) oriented and free from contaminants while CL analysis shows reduced recombination in the wires compared to thin films. This development of catalyst free wires on a useable substrate is important for semiconductor applications as it excludes extrinsic growth templating materials which induce deep defects into the CdTe like Bi_{Te} and Au_{Cd} which are detrimental to performance [12]. The next step will be to incorporate these SLG/Mo/CdTe wire structures into complete solar cell devices.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/8/5/274/s1, Figure S1 shows the three-dimensional AFM image of the substrates used in this study in order to determine their surface roughness.

Author Contributions: T.B. and J.D.M. conceived and designed the experiments; T.B. performed the experiments; T.B. performed the SEM and XRD measurements; G.P. performed the EDX measurements; O.S.H. performed and analyzed the AFM measurements; L.B. performed the CL measurements; T.B. analyzed the EDX and CL data; K.D. supervised G.P and O.S.H. and provided lab equipment; T.B. and J.D.M. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant numbers EP/N014057/1 and EP/J017361/1.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability: The data which supports the findings of this work is available from Liverpool's Data Catalogue or from the author.

References

- Wang, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhu, H.; Liu, R.; Wang, H.; Li, Q. Crystalline Te nanotube and Te nanorods-on-CdTe nanotube arrays on ITO via a ZnO nanorod templating-reaction. *CrystEngComm* 2011, 13, 2955–2959. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ma, Y.; Jian, J.; Wu, R.; Sun, Y.; Li, J. Growth of single-crystalline ultra-long cadmium telluride micron-size wires via thermal evaporation. *Micro Nano Lett.* **2011**, *6*, 596–598. [CrossRef]
- 3. Di Carlo, V.; Prete, P.; Dubrovskii, V.G.; Berdnikov, Y.; Lovergine, N. CdTe Nanowires by Au-Catalyzed Metalorganic Vapor Phase Epitaxy. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 4075–4082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chopra, K.L.; Paulson, P.D.; Dutta, V. Thin-film solar cells: An overview. *Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl.* 2004, 12, 69–92. [CrossRef]
- Consonni, V.; Rey, G.; Bonaim, J.; Karst, N.; Doisneau, B.; Roussel, H.; Renet, S.; Bellet, D. Synthesis and physical properties of ZnO/CdTe core shell nanowires grown by low-cost deposition methods. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2011, *98*, 96–99. [CrossRef]
- 6. Lee, S.K.C.; Yu, Y.; Perez, O.; Puscas, S.; Kosel, T.H.; Kuno, M. Bismuth-assisted CdSe and CdTe nanowire growth on plastics. *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 77–84. [CrossRef]
- Kret, S.; Szuszkiewicz, W.; Dynowska, E.; Domagala, J.; Aleszkiewicz, M.; Baczewski, L.T.; Petroutchik, A. MBE Growth and Properties of ZnTe- and CdTe-Based Nanowires. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* 2008, 53, 3055–3063.
- Khalavka, Y.; Sönnichsen, C. Growth of gold tips onto hyperbranched CdTe nanostructures. *Adv. Mater.* 2008, 20, 588–591. [CrossRef]
- Davami, K.; Ghassemi, H.M.; Sun, X.; Yassar, R.S.; Lee, J.S.; Meyyappan, M. In Situ observation of morphological change in CdTe nano-and submicron wires. *Nanotechnology* 2011, 22, 435204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, L.; Wu, R.; Li, J.; Sun, Y.F.; Jian, J.K. CdTe nanosheets and pine-like hyperbranched nanostructures prepared by a modified film technique: Catalyst-assisted vacuum thermal evaporation. *Mater. Lett.* 2011, 65, 17–20. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ruiz, C.M.; Saucedo, E.; Martínez, O.; Bermúdez, V. Hexagonal CdTe-like rods prompted from Bi2Te3 droplets. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, *111*, 5588–5591. [CrossRef]

- Wei, S.; Zhang, S.B. Electronic Structures and defect physics of Cd-Based Semiconductors. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, Anchorage, AK, USA, 15–22 September 2000; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2000; pp. 483–486.
- 13. Jin, X.; Kruszynska, M.; Parisi, J.; Kolny-Olesiak, J. Catalyst-free synthesis and shape control of CdTe nanowires. *Nano Res.* **2011**, *4*, 824–835. [CrossRef]
- 14. Zhao, A.W.; Meng, G.W.; Zhang, L.D.; Gao, T.; Sun, S.H.; Pang, Y.T. Electrochemical synthesis of ordered CdTe nanowire arrays. *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process.* **2003**, *76*, 537–539. [CrossRef]
- Neretina, S.; Hughes, R.A.; Britten, J.F.; Sochinskii, N.V.; Preston, J.S.; Mascher, P. Vertically aligned wurtzite CdTe nanowires derived from a catalytically driven growth mode. *Nanotechnology* 2007, *18*, 275301. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wang, X.N.; Wang, J.; Zhou, M.J.; Wang, H.; Xiao, X.D.; Li, Q. CdTe nanorods formation via nanoparticle self-assembly by thermal chemistry method. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2010**, *312*, 2310–2314. [CrossRef]
- Williams, B.L.; Major, J.D.; Bowen, L.; Phillips, L.; Zoppi, G.; Forbes, I.; Durose, K. Challenges and prospects for developing CdS/CdTe substrate solar cells on Mo foils. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2014, 124, 31–38. [CrossRef]
- Kranz, L.; Gretener, C.; Perrenoud, J.; Schmitt, R.; Pianezzi, F.; La Mattina, F.; Blösch, P.; Cheah, E.; Chirilă, A.; Fella, C.M.; Hagendorfer, H.; Jäger, T.; Nishiwaki, S.; et al. Doping of polycrystalline CdTe for high-efficiency solar cells on flexible metal foil. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, *4*, 2306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Lin, Y.C.; Hsieh, Y.T.; Lai, C.M.; Hsu, H.R. Impact of Mo barrier layer on the formation of MoSe₂ in Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ solar cells. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2016**, *661*, 168–175. [CrossRef]
- 20. Major, J.D.; Treharne, R.E.; Phillips, L.J.; Durose, K. A low-cost non-toxic post-growth activation step for CdTe solar cells. *Nature* **2014**, *511*, 334–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Major, J.D.; Proskuryakov, Y.Y.; Durose, K.; Zoppi, G.; Forbes, I. Control of grain size in sublimation-grown CdTe, and the improvement in performance of devices with systematically increased grain size. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2010**, *94*, 1107–1112. [CrossRef]
- 22. Major, J.D.; Proskuryakov, Y.Y.; Durose, K.; Green, S. Nucleation of CdTe thin films deposited by close-space sublimation under a nitrogen ambient. *Thin Solid Films* **2007**, *515*, 5828–5832. [CrossRef]
- 23. Ambrosini, S.; Fanetti, M.; Grillo, V.; Franciosi, A.; Rubini, S. Vapor-liquid-solid and vapor-solid growth of self-catalyzed GaAs nanowires. *AIP Adv.* **2011**, *1*, 042142. [CrossRef]
- 24. Venables, J.A. *Introduction to Surface and Thin Film Processes*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2000; ISBN 9780511755651.
- 25. Venables, J.A.; Spiller, G.D.T.; Hanbucken, M. Nucleation and growth of thin films. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **1984**, 47, 399–459. [CrossRef]
- 26. Chen, S.W.; Wu, J.M. Nucleation mechanisms and their influences on characteristics of ZnO nanorod arrays prepared by a hydrothermal method. *Acta Mater.* **2011**, *59*, 841–847. [CrossRef]
- Ho, S.T.; Chen, K.C.; Chen, H.A.; Lin, H.Y.; Cheng, C.Y.; Lin, H.N. Catalyst-free surface-roughness-assisted growth of large-scale vertically aligned zinc oxide nanowires by thermal evaporation. *Chem. Mater.* 2007, 19, 4083–4086. [CrossRef]
- Mandl, B.; Stangl, J.; Hilner, E.; Zakharov, A.A.; Hillerich, K.; Dey, A.W.; Samuelson, L.; Bauer, G.; Deppert, K.; Mikkelsen, A. Growth mechanism of self-catalyzed group III-V nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 4443–4449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dalal, S.H.; Baptista, D.L.; Teo, K.B.K.; Lacerda, R.G.; Jefferson, D.A.; Milne, W.I. Controllable growth of vertically aligned zinc oxide nanowires using vapour deposition. *Nanotechnology* 2006, 17, 4811–4818. [CrossRef]
- Williams, B.L.; Major, J.D.; Bowen, L.; Keuning, W.; Creatore, M.; Durose, K. A Comparative Study of the Effects of Nontoxic Chloride Treatments on CdTe Solar Cell Microstructure and Stoichiometry. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 31. Mendis, B.G.; Bowen, L. Cathodoluminescence measurement of grain boundary recombination velocity in vapour grown p-CdTe. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2011**, *326*, 4–8. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).