



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*Obesity (Silver Spring)*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2015 April ; 23(4): 833–839. doi:10.1002/oby.21036.

## All in the Family: Correlations between Parents' and Adolescent Siblings' Weight and Weight-related Behaviors

Jerica M. Berge, PhD, MPH, LMFT<sup>1</sup>, Craig Meyer, MS<sup>2</sup>, Richard F. MacLehose, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Renee Crichlow, MD<sup>1</sup>, and Dianne Neumark-Sztainer, PhD, RD, MPH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Minnesota Medical School, Department of Family Medicine and Community Health

<sup>2</sup>University of Minnesota, Division of Epidemiology and Community Health

### Abstract

**Objective**—To examine whether and how parents' and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors are correlated. Results will inform which family members may be important to include in adolescent obesity prevention interventions.

**Design and Methods**—Data from two linked population-based studies, EAT 2010 and F-EAT, were used for cross-sectional analyses. Parents (n=58; 91% females; mean age=41.7 years) and adolescent siblings (sibling #1 n=58, 50% girls, mean age=14.3 years; sibling #2 n=58, 64% Girls, mean age=14.8) were socioeconomically and racially/ethnically diverse.

**Results**—Some weight-related behaviors between adolescent siblings were significantly positively correlated (i.e., fast food consumption, breakfast frequency, sedentary patterns,  $p<0.05$ ). There were no significant correlations between parent weight and weight-related behaviors and adolescent siblings' same behaviors. Some of the significant correlations found between adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors were statistically different from correlations between parents' and adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors.

**Conclusions**—Although not consistently, adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors were significantly correlated as compared to parents' and adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors. It may be important to consider including siblings in adolescent obesity prevention interventions or in recommendations healthcare providers give to adolescents regarding their weight and weight-related behaviors.

### Keywords

Parent; Adolescent Siblings; Obesity; Dietary Intake; Physical Activity

---

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:[http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\\_policies/license.html#terms](http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms)

Address for correspondence: Jerica M. Berge, PhD, MPH, LMFT, CFLE, Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, 717 Delaware Street SE, Room 424, Minneapolis, MN 55455, Voice: 612-626-3693, [mohl0009@umn.edu](mailto:mohl0009@umn.edu).

**Financial Disclosures:** None of the authors have financial disclosures to declare.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None of the authors have conflicts of interest to declare.

## INTRODUCTION

According to the recent census in the United States (2010),<sup>1</sup> the average U.S. family has approximately four family members in the household, with over 65% of families having more than one child in the same household. Given how common it is for adolescents to live with multiple family members (i.e., parents, siblings), and the known high prevalence of adolescent obesity,<sup>2,3</sup> it is important to understand whether and how multiple family members' behaviors are related to adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors (e.g., fruit and vegetable intake, physical activity, screen time). Additionally, sibling influences on adolescent weight-related behaviors are key to investigate because sibling relationships are the longest lasting relationships,<sup>4</sup> in terms of life expectancy, and may have the most sustained influence on adolescents' weight-related behaviors as adolescents transition into adulthood.

While it is recognized that the "family" influences adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors (e.g., dietary intake, physical activity, sedentary behaviors), the majority of studies have only investigated the parent and adolescent relationship.<sup>5</sup> Very limited research has investigated how multiple family members' weight and weight-related behaviors are associated with adolescents' weight, dietary intake and physical activity.<sup>5,6</sup> For example, it is unknown whether siblings' or parents' weight and weight-related behaviors are more highly correlated with adolescents' weight, dietary intake and physical activity.<sup>5</sup> Addressing these types of questions will help to identify key family member(s) whose own behaviors are associated with adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors, thus informing which family member(s) may be important to include in obesity prevention interventions.

Numerous previous studies have examined parental influences on adolescent obesity risk.<sup>5,7-10</sup> Parent feeding practices (i.e., restriction, pressuring),<sup>11-14</sup> parenting style (i.e., authoritative, authoritarian, permissive, neglectful),<sup>7,15-17</sup> frequent family meals<sup>18-20</sup> parent modeling and encouraging of healthful behaviors<sup>21-23</sup> have all been examined in regards to childhood obesity risk. Results suggest that restrictive parent feeding practices are associated with increased obesity risk<sup>11-14</sup> and authoritative parenting style<sup>7,15-17</sup> and frequent family meals<sup>18-20</sup> are associated with more healthful dietary behaviors and reduced risk of obesity, although findings have not always been consistent.<sup>24</sup> However, the associations between parent modeling healthful eating and physical activity behaviors and adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors are less clear. For example, some studies have shown associations between parent modeling of healthful eating and physical activity and adolescent lower body mass index (BMI) z-score and more healthful dietary intake and hours of physical activity<sup>25,26</sup> and other studies have shown few or no significant associations.<sup>27,28</sup>

While numerous studies have been conducted in the field of family studies and psychology regarding the influence of siblings on adolescent delinquency, depression, risk taking behaviors (e.g., smoking, drinking, drugs, risky sexual behavior) and peer competency (i.e., ability to relate and be accepted by peers),<sup>29-31</sup> very limited research has examined the association between siblings' and adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors. The majority of sibling research has been conducted on twins investigating similarities on weight

status,<sup>32,33</sup> chronic health conditions (e.g., diabetes, high blood pressure),<sup>34–36</sup> and disordered eating behaviors.<sup>37–39</sup> Findings show that twins typically have similar weight status, risk factors for chronic disease, and disordered eating behaviors, but it is unclear whether twins have similar weight-related behaviors (e.g., dietary intake, physical activity).<sup>23–30</sup> One study that did look specifically at sibling influences on adolescent weight, physical activity and dietary behaviors examined associations between sibling closeness and conflict and adolescents' health attitudes, exercise behaviors, and weight status above and beyond parent–child relationship qualities.<sup>6</sup> Results showed that sibling closeness was positively associated with adolescents' health attitudes and exercise behaviors after controlling for parent–child relationship qualities and individual characteristics such as temperament.<sup>6</sup>

The current study will examine correlations between parents' and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors in order to address gaps in the previous literature related to parent modeling of dietary and physical activity patterns and the understudied influence of siblings. Additionally, birth order of siblings will also be examined to understand the relationship between older and younger sibling's weight and weight-related behaviors. This multi-informant familial data, representing a diverse ethnic/racial and socio-economic sample, will allow for determining key family members who may be important to include, or whose relationships would be important to address, in adolescent obesity prevention interventions.

Family Systems Theory<sup>40</sup> guides the hypotheses and data analysis plan for the current study. Family Systems Theory recognizes multiple familial influences on youth weight and weight-related behaviors and posits that a change in one part of the family system affects other parts of the system. For example, if a sibling is physically active and eats healthfully this may promote physical activity and healthful eating in the adolescent. The current study proposes to examine whether certain family members' (i.e., siblings) weight and weight-related behaviors are more highly correlated with adolescent weight and weight-related behaviors than others (i.e., parents). The main study hypotheses include: (1) Adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors (i.e., fruit and vegetable intake, fast food consumption, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption, breakfast frequency, physical activity, sedentary behavior, dieting) will be more significantly correlated compared to parents' and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors; and (2) Weight and weight-related behaviors among older siblings will be more significantly correlated with adolescents' weight, dietary intake and physical activity as compared to weight and weight-related behaviors among younger siblings.

## METHODS

### Study Design and Population

Data for this analysis were drawn from two coordinated, population-based studies.<sup>22</sup> EAT 2010 (Eating and Activity in Teens) was designed to examine dietary intake, physical activity, weight control behaviors, weight status and factors associated with these outcomes in adolescents. Project F-EAT (Families and Eating and Activity Among Teens) was designed to examine factors within the family and home environment (e.g., parent

behaviors, family functioning, home food and physical activity resources) of potential relevance to adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors. Survey development for both EAT 2010 and F-EAT are described elsewhere.<sup>22</sup> Drafts of the surveys were pre-tested by 56 adolescents and 35 parents from diverse backgrounds for clarity, readability and relevance; and reviewed by an interdisciplinary team of experts. After revisions, the survey was additionally pilot tested with a different sample of 129 middle school and high school students and 102 parents to examine the test-retest reliability of measures over a one to two-week period. All study procedures were approved by the University of Minnesota's Institutional Review Board Human Subjects Committee and the participating school districts.

For EAT 2010, surveys and anthropometric measures were completed by 2,793 adolescents from 20 public middle schools and high schools in the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan area of Minnesota during the 2009–2010 academic year. For Project F-EAT, data were collected by surveying up to two parents/caregivers (n=3,709) of the adolescents in EAT 2010 by mail or phone interviews. In total, 2,382 EAT 2010 (85%) adolescent participants had at least one parent respond and there were two parent respondents for 1,327 adolescents.

The study sample for the current analysis was restricted to those adolescents with a sibling in the EAT survey that also had the same primary parent reporting in the F-EAT survey. Pairs of siblings were identified through the matching of addresses and birth dates of parent-respondents in the F-EAT survey. Adolescents that did not live with their primary parent at least half of the time were not included in the analysis. The final sample (Table 1) included 58 triads of two adolescent siblings and their primary parent. The first siblings of the pair to participate in the survey were equally split by gender (50%), racially diverse (White – 14%; Black – 15%; Hispanic – 19%; Asian American – 28%; Native American/Other – 24%), with a mean age of 14.3 years. The second siblings of the pair to enroll in the survey were 64% female, similarly racially diverse (White – 14%; Black – 15%; Hispanic – 19%; Asian American – 26%; Native American/Other – 22%), with a mean age of 14.8 years. Primary parents were predominantly female (91%), racially diverse (White – 22%; Black – 19%; Hispanic – 21%; Asian American – 26%; Native American/Other – 10%), 50% completed some college or more, with a mean age of 41.7 years.

## Measures

All parent and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors (i.e., fruit and vegetable intake, fast food consumption, sugar-sweetened beverage intake, breakfast frequency, dieting, physical activity, sedentary behaviors) used in analyses are described in Table 2.

## Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were calculated for relevant study variables including means and standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables (Table 1). To evaluate the strength of associations between parent and child or between siblings for the outcome of interest (e.g., amount of weekly physical activity), correlations were estimated by fitting models using generalized estimating equations (GEE)

with a second-degree stationary (Toeplitz) working correlation matrix. This correlation matrix specifies two separate correlation parameters: one parameter models the correlation between outcomes for the first and second sibling; a second parameter models correlation between outcomes for parent and sibling. The correlation between parent and sibling 1 and the correlation between parent and sibling 2 are assumed to be identical in this working correlation matrix. Continuous dependent variables (e.g., weekly hours of physical activity, weekly hours of sedentary activity, daily servings of fruits and vegetables, weekly frequency of fast food consumption, daily consumption of sugary beverages, and weekly frequency of eating breakfast) were modeled as the dependent variable with separate linear regressions.

Adolescent BMI percentile was dichotomized to overweight/obese vs. nonoverweight, using a cut-point of greater than or equal to the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile. Parent BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was dichotomized to overweight/obese vs. nonoverweight using a cutpoint of greater than or equal to 25 (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Weight status and dieting, both dichotomous variables, were modeled using GEE logistic regression. All models included an indicator term for the primary parent, a term for age (continuous), a term for gender (female/male), and indicator variables for race/ethnicity (White/African American/Hispanic/Asian American/Hawaiian, Native American, Other).

To test if the correlation terms were statistically different from zero or from each other, a cluster bootstrap procedure was implemented to estimate the standard error of the correlations as well as the difference between the correlations. The bootstrap was implemented for 5,000 iterations and 95% CI were estimated based on percentiles of the bootstrap distribution. Tests of significance were based on whether the percentile interval of interest included the null hypothesis.

To investigate potential interactions between birth order of the adolescent and their sibling on outcomes, we examined interactions between birth order (whether the sibling was older/younger than the target adolescent) and the independent sibling variables. There were no statistically significant interactions found; final models did not include an interaction term.

## RESULTS

Overall, results indicated that some weight-related behaviors (i.e., fast food consumption, breakfast frequency, sedentary behaviors) between siblings were significantly positively correlated. Additionally, there were no significant correlations between parents' weight and weight-related behaviors and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors (Table 3). Furthermore, some significant correlations between adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors were significantly different from parents and adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors.

### Weight status

Adolescent siblings' weight status was not significantly correlated, after adjusting for adolescent age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Additionally, parent and adolescent siblings' weight status was not significantly correlated (Table 3).

### **Dietary patterns**

Adolescent siblings' fast food consumption and breakfast frequency were significantly positively correlated, after adjusting for adolescent age, gender, and race/ethnicity (Table 3). There were no significant correlations between siblings' fruit and vegetable intake. In addition, there were no significant correlations between parent fruit and vegetable intake, fast food consumption, breakfast frequency, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and adolescent siblings' same behaviors. The correlation between adolescent siblings' fast food consumption significantly differed from the correlation between parents' and adolescent siblings' fast food consumption (Table 3).

### **Physical activity patterns**

Siblings' hours of sedentary behavior per week were significantly positively correlated, after adjusting for adolescent age, gender, and race/ethnicity (Table 3). There was not a significant correlation between parents' hours of sedentary behaviors per week and adolescent siblings' sedentary behaviors per week. Additionally, the significant positive correlation between siblings' sedentary patterns was significantly different from the correlation between parents' and adolescent siblings' sedentary patterns. There were no significant correlations between siblings' hours of physical activity or parents' and siblings' hours of physical activity (table 3).

### **Dieting**

Adolescent siblings' dieting behaviors were not significantly correlated, after adjusting for adolescent age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Additionally, parent and adolescent siblings' dieting behaviors were not significantly correlated (Table 3).

### **Interaction by sibling birth order**

There were no statistically significant interactions by birth order of the sibling. This suggests that all siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors found to be significantly correlated were not driven by whether the sibling was younger or older.

## **DISCUSSION**

The results of the current study suggest that some weight-related behaviors between siblings are highly correlated, for better or for worse. For example, adolescent siblings' breakfast eating frequency was significantly positively correlated (i.e., when one adolescent ate breakfast it was highly likely the other adolescent also ate breakfast). However, siblings' fast food intake and sedentary activity behaviors were also significantly positively correlated. This finding supports limited previous research showing that siblings' weight and health attitudes are similar.<sup>6</sup> Because many of the significant correlations between siblings in the current study were unhealthful behaviors (i.e., fast food consumption, sedentary behavior), it may be important for future interventions to include both siblings when trying to combat negative health behaviors in the home environment. Family Systems Theory<sup>40</sup> would support this recommendation, in that targeting both siblings would increase the likelihood that both siblings would engage in more healthful behaviors through reciprocally reinforcing

each other's positive weight-related behaviors (and potentially other family members' weight-related behaviors too).

While not all correlations were significantly different, results of the current study indicated that adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors were more significantly correlated as compared to parents' and adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors. This finding may be important for researchers and practitioners who work with families with adolescent siblings. It may be the case that involving siblings in adolescent obesity prevention efforts would be helpful, potentially even more than parent's involvement.

Another interesting finding from the current study was that the interaction between sibling birth-order was not significant. Previous literature in the fields of family studies and psychology suggests that older siblings are more influential on adolescent health attitudes, risk taking behaviors and depressive symptoms.<sup>6</sup> This is an interesting finding and may indicate that with regard to targeting adolescent weight-related behaviors it is equally useful to involve a younger or older sibling. However, our limited sample size may have prevented us from detecting an interaction even if one existed.

Results of this study indicate the potential importance of siblings in understanding adolescents' weight-related behaviors. Future research is needed that incorporates mixed methods designs (i.e., qualitative and quantitative) in order to examine more in-depth the multiple relationships in the home environment and the role of each family member in regards to adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors. Additionally, mixed methods designs would be able to investigate further why siblings' weight-related behaviors are more significantly correlated with adolescents' weight-related behaviors as compared to parents. Such information would inform future adolescent obesity prevention interventions by being able to target the family relationships that may have the most influence on changing adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors.

There were several strengths and limitations of the current study that need to be taken into account when interpreting findings. Strengths of the study include drawing from a large and diverse community-based epidemiological cohort study. Additionally, family triads (parent, adolescent, sibling) were studied, which is rarely done in the obesity field. Furthermore, all participants self-reported on their weight-related behaviors. One limitation of the study is that, in order to match parent, adolescent and sibling triads, the sample size was greatly reduced and we may have had less power in analyses. Further, results may be less generalizable in terms of race and ethnicity in comparison to the overall Project EAT sample.

## CONCLUSIONS

The complexity of relationships in the home environment is an under-researched area in relation to adolescent obesity and has been a barrier to the progress of the field in understanding the connection between the home environment and adolescent obesity and translating findings into successful interventions. The current study indicates that some of adolescent siblings' weight-related behaviors are significantly positively correlated as

compared to parents' and adolescents' weight-related behaviors. Thus, public health researchers and practitioners who work with adolescents may want to consider including adolescents' siblings when targeting adolescents' weight and weight-related behaviors.

## Acknowledgments

**Funding Source:** Research is supported by grant number R03 HD074677 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (CO-PI's: Berge and MacLehose), R01 HL093247 (PI: Neumark-Sztainer) and R01 HL084064 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (PI: Neumark-Sztainer). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Cancer Institute or the National Institutes of Health. Drs. Berge and MacLehose had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

## References

1. Census. US 2010 Census. 2011. <http://2010.census.gov/2010census/>. Accessed 01/01/11
2. Ogden CL, Lamb MM, Carroll MD, Flegal KM. Obesity and socioeconomic status in children and adolescents: United States, 2005–2008. NCHS Data Brief. 2010; (51):1–8.
3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. JAMA. Feb 1; 2012 307(5):483–490. [PubMed: 22253364]
4. Noller P. Sibling relationships in adolescence: Learning and growing together. Personal Relationships. 2005; 12:1–22.
5. Berge JM. A review of familial correlates of child and adolescent obesity: what has the 21st century taught us so far? International journal of adolescent medicine and health. Oct-Dec;2009 21(4):457–483. [PubMed: 20306760]
6. Senguttuvan U, Whiteman S, Jensen AC. Family relationships and adolescents' health attitudes and weight: The understudied role of sibling relationships. Family Relations. 2014; 63:384–396. [PubMed: 24954967]
7. Rhee KE. Childhood overweight and the relationship between parent behaviors, parenting style, and family functioning. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2008; 615:11–37.
8. Golan M, Weizman A. Familial approach to the treatment of childhood obesity: Conceptual mode. Journal of Nutrition Education. 2001; 33(2):102–107. [PubMed: 12031190]
9. Birch LL, Ventura AK. Preventing childhood obesity: what works? International Journal of Obesity. Apr; 2009 33(Suppl 1):S74–81. [PubMed: 19363514]
10. Birch LL, Davison KK. Family environmental factors influencing the developing behavioral controls of food intake and childhood overweight. Pediatric Clinics of North America. 2001; 48:893–907. [PubMed: 11494642]
11. Birch LL, Fisher JO, Davison KK. Learning to overeat: Maternal use of restrictive feeding practices promotes girls' eating in the absence of hunger. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2003; 78(2):215–220. [PubMed: 12885700]
12. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2000; 71:1054–1061. [PubMed: 10799366]
13. Fisher JO, Mitchell DC, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL. Parental influences on young girls' fruit and vegetable, micronutrient, and fat intakes. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2002; 102:58–64. [PubMed: 11794503]
14. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Eating in the absence of hunger. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2002; 76:226–231. [PubMed: 12081839]
15. Berge JM, Wall M, Neumark-Sztainer D, Larson N, Story M. Parenting Style and Family Meals: Cross-Sectional and 5-Year Longitudinal Associations. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2010; 110(7):1036–1042. [PubMed: 20630160]



16. Berge JM, Wall M, Loth K, Neumark-Sztainer D. Parenting style as a predictor of adolescent weight and weight-related behaviors. *The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*. Apr; 2010 46(4):331–338. [PubMed: 20307821]
17. Rhee KE, Lumeng JC, Appugliese DP, Kaciroti N, Bradley RH. Parenting styles and overweight status in first grade. *Pediatrics*. 2006; 117:2047–2054. [PubMed: 16740847]
18. Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M, Croll J, Perry C. Family meal patterns: Associations with sociodemographic characteristics and improved dietary intake among adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 2003; 103(3):317–322. [PubMed: 12616252]
19. Neumark-Sztainer D, Eisenberg ME, Fulkerson JA, Story M, Larson NI. Family meals and disordered eating in adolescents: Longitudinal findings from Project EAT. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 2008; 162:17–22. [PubMed: 18180407]
20. Berge J, Wall M, Tsun-Fang F, Fulkerson J, Larson N, Neumark-Sztainer D. The protective role of family meals for youth obesity: 10-year longitudinal associations. *The Journal of Pediatrics*.
21. Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Hannan PJ, Tharp T, Rex J. Factors associated with changes in physical activity: A cohort study of inactive adolescent girls. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 2003; 157:803–810. [PubMed: 12912787]
22. Neumark-Sztainer D, Maclehose R, Loth K, Fulkerson JA, Eisenberg ME, Berge J. What's for dinner? Types of food served at family dinner differ across parent and family characteristics. *Public Health Nutr*. Oct 19.2012 :1–11. [PubMed: 23294865]
23. Berge JM, Wall M, Bauer KW, Neumark-Sztainer D. Parenting characteristics in the home environment and adolescent overweight: a latent class analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md)*. 2010; 18(4):818–825.
24. Fulkerson JA, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M. Family Meal Frequency and Weight Status Among Adolescents: Cross-sectional and 5-year Longitudinal Associations. *Obesity*. 2008; 16(11):2529–2534. [PubMed: 18719674]
25. Vilhjalmsson R, Thorlindsson T. Factors related to physical activity: A study of adolescents. *Social science and Medicine*. 1998; 47(5):665–675. [PubMed: 9690849]
26. Fein AJ, Plotnikoff RC, Wild C, Spence JC. Perceived environment and physical activity in youth. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2004; 11(3):135–142. [PubMed: 15496341]
27. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC, Hill JO. Correlates of physical activity in a national sample of girls and boys in grades 4 through 12. *Health Psychology*. 1999; 18(4):410–415. [PubMed: 10431943]
28. Anderssen N, Wold B. Parental and peer influences on leisure-time physical activity in young adolescents. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 1992; 63(4):341–348. [PubMed: 1439157]
29. Padilla-walker L, Harper J, Jensen A. Self-regulation as a mediator between sibling relationship quality and early adolescents' positive and negative outcomes. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2010; 24:419–428. [PubMed: 20731488]
30. Pike A, Coldwell J, Dunn J. Sibling relationships in early/middle childhood: Links with individual adjustment. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2005; 19:523–532. [PubMed: 16402867]
31. Whiteman S, Jensen AC, Maggs J. Similarities in adolescent siblings' substance use: Testing competing pathways of influence. *Journal of studies on alcohol and drugs*. 2013; 74:104–113. [PubMed: 23200155]
32. Nelson MC, Gordon-Larsen P, North KE, Adair LS. Body mass index gain, fast food, and physical activity: Effects of shared environments over time. *Obesity*. 2006; 14(4):701–709. [PubMed: 16741273]
33. Mitchell KS, Mazzeo S, Aggen SH, et al. Characteristics of monozygotic male and female twins discordant for overweight: A descriptive study. *Eating Behaviors*. 2008; 9:366–369. [PubMed: 18549997]
34. Evans AE, van Baal GM, McCarron P, et al. The genetics of coronary heart disease: The contribution of twin studies. *Twin Research*. 2003; 6(5):432–441. [PubMed: 14624727]
35. Marenberg ME, Risch N, Berkman LF, Floderus B, deFaire U. Genetic susceptibility to death from coronary heart disease in a study of twins. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1994; 330:1041–1046. [PubMed: 8127331]

36. Zdravkovic S, Wienke A, Pedersen NL, Marenberg ME, Yashin AI, DeFaire U. Heritability of death from coronary heart disease: A 36-year follow-up of 20,966 Swedish twins. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2002; 252:247–254. [PubMed: 12270005]
37. Keel PK, Klump KL, Miller KB, McGue M, Iacono WG. Shared transmission of eating disorders and anxiety disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2005; 38:99–105. [PubMed: 16134107]
38. Klump KL, Burt SA, McGue M, Iacono WG. Changes in genetic and environmental influences on disordered eating across adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2008; 64(12):1409–1415. [PubMed: 18056549]
39. Klump KL, Wonderlich S, Lehoux P, Lilienfeld LRR, Bulik CM. Does environment matter? A review of nonshared environment and eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2002; 31:118–135. [PubMed: 11920974]
40. Whitchurch, GG.; Constantine, LL. Systems theory. In: Boss, PG.; Doherty, WJ.; LaRossa, R.; Schumm, WR.; Steinmetz, SK., editors. *Sourcebook on family theories and methods: A contextual approach*. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1993.

**What is already known about this subject**

- Limited research has examined the relationship between parents' and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors (e.g., fruit and vegetable intake, physical activity, sedentary behaviors).

**What this study adds**

- Results indicated that some weight-related behaviors between siblings were significantly positively correlated.
- Parents' and adolescent siblings' weight and weight-related behaviors were not significantly correlated.
- It may be important to consider including siblings in adolescent obesity prevention interventions.

**Table 1**

Descriptive Statistics of Sibling and Parent Demographics, Weight-status, Dietary Intake, Physical Activity, and Dieting.

	<b>Sibling 1 (n=58)</b>	<b>Sibling 2 (n=58)</b>	<b>Primary Parent (n=58)</b>
Age (# years)	14.3 (2.1)	14.8 (2.1)	41.7 (6.9)
Female	50% (29)	64% (37)	91% (53)
<u>Race</u>			
White	14% (8)	14% (8)	22% (13)
Black	15% (9)	15% (9)	19% (11)
Hispanic	19% (11)	19% (11)	21% (12)
Asian American	28% (16)	26% (15)	26% (15)
Hawaiian/Native American/Other	24% (14)	22% (13)	10% (6)
<u>Parent Education</u>			
Less than High School	–	–	31% (18)
High School/GED	–	–	19% (11)
Some College	–	–	26% (15)
Finished College	–	–	14% (8)
Advanced Degree	–	–	9% (5)
Overweight/Obese	38% (22)	41% (24)	66% (38)
Fruits and Vegetables (servings/day)	2.8 (1.9)	2.5 (1.8)	7.7 (2.1)
Fast Food (# times/wk)	1.5 (0–9)	1.5 (0–5.5)	0.75 (0–5.5)
Sugary Beverages (#/day)	0.5 (0–2.4)	0.2 (0–4.1)	1.75 (0–14)
Breakfast (#/ wk)	4.5 (2.7)	4.5 (2.5)	4.7 (2.2)
Physical Activity (hrs/wk)	4.6 (0–16)	2.6 (0–16)	3.1 (0–13.3)
Sedentary Activity (hrs/wk)	34.3 (24.1)	38.9 (26.6)	13.5 (9.2)
Dieting	31 % (18)	33% (19)	50% (29)

\* Values presented as mean (standard deviation), median (range), or % (n).

\* Frequencies may not sum to total due to missingness.

Table 2

## Adolescent, Parent and Sibling Weight and Weight-related Behaviors used in Analyses

Measure	Description/Questions
<b>BMI, Dietary Intake Patterns, Unhealthy Weight Control Behaviors and Physical Activity Patterns</b>	
<b>Body Mass Index (BMI) percentile</b>	Adolescent and sibling height and weight measurements were taken at school by trained research staff in a private area with standardized equipment and procedures. Adolescents were asked to remove shoes and outerwear (e.g., heavy sweaters). BMI values were calculated according to the following formula: weight (kg)/height (meters) <sup>2</sup> and converted to percentiles, standardized for gender and age based on CDC guidelines. <sup>66,67</sup> <i>Parent BMI</i> was assessed using parent self-report of height and weight (Test-retest $r = .97$ ). Self-reported height and weight has been shown to be highly correlated with objectively measured values in adults. <sup>68-71</sup> BMI was calculated using the standard formula, weight (kg)/height (meters) <sup>2</sup> and taking the average between both surveys filled out for siblings.
<b>Fruit/vegetable intake and Sugar-sweetened Beverage Consumption</b>	Adolescent and sibling dietary intake was assessed with the 149-item Youth and Adolescent Food Frequency Questionnaire (YAQ) <sup>72</sup> . For <i>fruit and vegetable intake</i> , daily servings were defined as the equivalent of one-half cup. A serving of <i>sugar-sweetened beverages</i> (e.g. soda pop, sports drinks) was defined as the equivalent of one glass, bottle, or can. Validity and reliability of the YAQ have been previously tested with youth and found to be within acceptable ranges for dietary assessment tools <sup>72,73</sup> . Responses to questions on the frequency of intake of fruits (n=14; excluding fruit juice) and vegetables (n=20; excluding french fries), were summed to assess average total daily intake. <i>Parent fruit and vegetable intake</i> was assessed by asking parents the following two questions, how many servings of fruit did you usually eat on a typical day? (A serving is a half cup of fruit or 100% fruit juice, or a medium piece of fruit)" and "Thinking back over the past week, how many servings of vegetables did you usually eat on a typical day? (A serving is half a cup of cooked vegetables or one cup of raw vegetables)". For both items there were seven response options (0, <1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or more servings/day) (Test-retest $r = 0.69$ [fruit]; $r = 0.57$ [vegetables]). Responses for fruit and vegetable intake were coded numerically as 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.5, then summed together to create one variable. <i>Parent sugar-sweetened beverage consumption</i> was assessed by asking parents, "Thinking back over the past week, how often did you drink sugar-sweetened beverages (regular soda, pop, Kool-Aid)?" Response options included: less than once per week, 1 drink per week, 2-4 drinks per week, 5-6 drinks per week, 1 per day, or 2 or more per day (Test-retest $r = 0.66$ ). Responses were coded numerically as 0, 1.5, 3, 5.5, 7 and 14, then divided by 7 for daily sugary beverage intake. Final values were averaged between survey responses filled out for both siblings.
<b>Breakfast Frequency</b>	Parents, adolescents and siblings were asked: "During the past week, how many days did you eat breakfast?" Response options ranged from never to every day. Responses were coded numerically as: 0, 1.5, 3.5, 5.5, and 7 days/week. (Test-retest breakfast $r = 0.82$ [parent]; $r = 0.76$ [adolescent/sibling]). Parent's values were averaged between survey responses filled out for both siblings.
<b>Fast Food Intake</b>	Parents, adolescents and siblings were asked: "In the past week, how often did you eat something from the following types of restaurants (like McDonald's Burger King, Hardee's, etc.)?" Response options were never, 1-2 times 3-4 times, 5-6 times, 7 times and more than 7 times. Responses were scored as: 0, 1.5, 3.5, 5.5, 7 and 9 times/week (Test-retest $r = 0.55$ [parent]; $r = 0.38$ [adolescent/sibling]). Parent's values were averaged between survey responses filled out for both siblings.
<b>Dieting</b>	Parent, adolescent and sibling dieting was assessed by self-report using the following question, "How often have you gone on a diet during the last year? By 'diet' we mean changing the way you eat so you can lose weight." <sup>74</sup> Responses included: never, one to four times, five to 10 times, more than 10 times, and I am always dieting (Test-retest = 0.60 [parent]; $r = 0.65$ [adolescent/sibling]). To distinguish dieters from non-dieters, responses were dichotomized into no (never) and yes (other responses). Sensitivity analyses indicated that collapsing the dieting variable produced similar results as the original 5-item scale. Parent's responses were coded to yes if they reported they had ever dieted in either survey filled out for siblings, and no if they answered never to both surveys filled out for siblings.
<b>Physical activity</b>	Parent, adolescent and sibling physical activity questions were adapted from the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire. <sup>75</sup> EAT 2010 adolescents were asked: "In a usual week, how many hours do you spend doing the following activities: (1) strenuous exercise (e.g. biking fast, aerobics, jogging, basketball, swimming laps, soccer, rollerblading) (2) moderate exercise (e.g. walking quickly, easy bicycling, volleyball, skiing, dancing, skateboarding, snowboarding)." Response options ranged from "none" to "6+ hours a week". (Test-retest $r = 0.78$ [parent]; $r = 0.73$ [adolescent/sibling]). Items were summed together to assess average hours of moderate and vigorous physical activity per week. Parent's values were averaged between survey responses filled out for both siblings.
<b>Sedentary behavior</b>	Adolescents and siblings were asked, "In your free time on an average weekday (Monday-Friday), how many hours do you spend doing the following activities?...[0 hr, ½ hr, 1 hr, 2 hr, 3 hr, 4 hr, 5+ hr]." <sup>76</sup> The activities assessed included: Watching TV/DVDs/videos, Using a computer (not for homework), and Xbox/Play-Station/other electronic games that you play when sitting (Test-retest $r = 0.84$ ). Participants who reported 5+ hours of use were coded as having 6 hours. Total sedentary behavior per week was calculated as the sum of the three individual behaviors per week.

Measure	Description/Questions
	<p>Parents were asked, "On an average day, how many hours do you spend watching TV, DVD's, or videos? [None, ½ hour per day, 1 hour per day, 2 hours per day, 3 hours per day, 4 hours per day, 5 or more hours per day]." (Test retest <math>r = 0.78</math>). Parent's values were averaged between survey responses filled out for both siblings.</p>
<b>Control Variables:</b>	
<b>Socio-demographic characteristics</b>	<p>Parent, adolescent and sibling race/ethnicity, age and parents' educational attainment were assessed by self-report in adolescents and parents respectively. Race/ethnicity was assessed with the item, "Do you think of yourself as 1) white, 2) black or African-American, 3) Hispanic or Latino, 4) Asian-American, 5) Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, or 6) American Indian or Native American?" and respondents were asked to check all that apply. Participants who checked "white" and another option were included in the "other" category. Those who checked two non-white options were categorized as "mixed/other race". Additionally, those checking "Hawaiian/Pacific Islander" or "American Indian/Native American" were also categorized as "mixed/other race" due to their small numbers in this dataset. Highest level of parent educational attainment was used as a proxy for socio-economic status and was assessed using the following question, "What is the highest level of education that you have completed?". Response options for education included: less than high school; finished high school or GED; some college; finished college; advanced degree. Those who finished college or completed advanced degrees were combined in analyses for a total of 4 categories.<sup>77</sup> Parent, adolescent and sibling age was calculated using self-reported birth date and survey completion date.</p>

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 3**

Correlations Between Parent and Adolescent and Between Sibling Weight Status, Dietary Intake, Physical Activity, and Dieting.\*

	Parent & Adolescent Correlation Coefficient <sup>‡</sup>	Siblings Correlation Coefficient <sup>‡</sup>	Difference in Correlations (95% CI) <sup>‡</sup>
Weight Status	0.07 (-0.17, 0.25)	0.25 (-0.10, 0.54)	-0.18 (-0.56, 0.17)
Fruits and Vegetables	0.08 (-0.17, 0.29)	0.16 (-0.17, 0.40)	-0.07 (-0.48, 0.37)
Fast Food	0.22 (-0.02, 0.40)	<b>0.65 (0.17, 0.88)<sup>§</sup></b>	<b>-0.43 (-0.71, -0.02)<sup>§</sup></b>
Sugary Beverages	0.20 (-0.15, 0.35)	0.05 (-0.01, 0.17)	0.15 (-0.28, 0.30)
Breakfast	0.19 (-0.07, 0.40)	<b>0.45 (0.11, 0.69)<sup>§</sup></b>	-0.26 (-0.60, 0.10)
Physical Activity	0.07 (-0.13, 0.25)	0.14 (-0.28, 0.48)	-0.07 (-0.55, 0.46)
Sedentary Activity	0.02 (-0.15, 0.13)	<b>0.65 (0.04, 0.94)<sup>§</sup></b>	<b>-0.63 (-0.90, -0.05)<sup>§</sup></b>
Dieting	0.20 (-0.05, 0.40)	0.19 (-0.12, 0.46)	0.00 (-0.35, 0.35)

\*=All models adjusted for parent and adolescent race, gender, and age, and an indicator variable for primary parent.

<sup>‡</sup>=Correlation coefficients and differences in correlation coefficients are observed values; 95% confidence intervals were calculated using 5,000 cluster bootstrap samples and percentiles of the bootstrap distribution.

<sup>§</sup>=Statistically significant at  $\alpha < 0.05$  using bootstrap percentiles.