Heterogeneity and Biased T Cell Receptor α/β **Repertoire of Mucosal CD8 + Cells from Murine Large Intestine: Implications for Functional State**

By Alexander R. Ibraghimov,* and Richard G. Lynch

From the Department of Patkology, University of Iowa College of Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Summary

Up to 90% of $CD8$ ⁺ intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) of the murine large intestine (LI) belong to the α/β T cell lineage and consist of two subsets. One subset expresses both α and β subunits of the CD8 coreceptor, and is uniformly Thy1⁺, CD5⁺, B220⁻, CD2⁺, CD28⁺. The CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ LI-IEL exclude self-reacting V_{β} structures, and readily proliferate in vivo in response to T cell receptor-mediated stimuli. The CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$ subset of TCR- α/β^+ LI-IEL is Thy1^{-/+}, CD5⁻, B220⁺, CD2^{+/-}, and CD28⁻. It contains cells with potentially self-reacting V_Bs and is responsive in vivo to high doses of anti-TCR- α/β monoclonal antibody (mAb), but not to bacterial superantigens. Both subsets are abundant in LI-IEL of old nude mice, and CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^+$ LI-IEL in nude mice undergo the same V_β deletions as in euthymic mice of the same background. Both subsets express the intestinal T cell-specific integrin α_{M290} 87, known to be a homing receptor for IEL. Unusually high proportions of CD69⁺ cells within both subsets indicate chronic activation. The proportions of CD69⁺ and $\alpha_{M290}\beta$ ⁷⁺ cells within the $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ subset increase with age, probably due to constant antigenic challenge. We propose that $CD8\alpha+\beta$ and $CD8\alpha+\beta$ subsets of LI-IEL permanently reside in LI and represent a lineage different from spleen and lymph node CD8⁺ T cells. The CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ undergoes negative selection, and is responsive to TCR-mediated stimuli. The CD8 $\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ subset of LI-IEL is a subject of distinct selection mechanisms, and has low responsiveness to TCR-mediated stimuli.

The T cell lymphocyte populations of the murine small intestine (SI)¹, including its intraepithelial lymphocyte (IEL) compartment, has been thoroughly investigated during the last few years. Some of the functional properties of the SI-IEL (1, 2), and the origin and composition of their subsets are fairly well characterized (3-7). CD8 + SI-IEL consist of two major subsets, one of them expressing both the α and β subunits of the CD8 coreceptor, and the other expressing only the CD8 α subunit (5). A major fraction of CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$ SI-IEL bear TCR- γ/δ (3-5), and the rest belong to the α/β T cell lineage (5). TCR- α/β ⁺ CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ SI-IEL are partly $CD2-$ (8), and $CD28-$ (9), which is in good agreement with their in vitro unresponsiveness to TCR-mediated signals both in terms of proliferation (7-9) and lymphokine production (9, 10).

When expression of "forbidden" V_β genes was revealed within the CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$, but not within the CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^+$ subsets of SI-IEL (6), it was suggested that the former are extrathymic and the latter are thymic-dependent T cells (5, 6). Later, both subsets appeared to be extrathymically derived (7), and differences in their TCR repertoires were explained by the unresponsiveness of the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻·SI-IEL, rather than by different origins (7). To make the picture more complicated, recent data suggest that these presumably unresponsive extrathymic SI-IEL are in fact responsive to an MHC-mediated positive stimulation (11), though they are refractory to negative selection by self-Ag (12).

IEL from the murine large intestine (LI) have attracted much less attention. While the present study was in progress, the first flow cytometry data on the LI-IEL were published (13). In that study, LI-IEL were shown to contain a higher proportion of TCR- α/β ⁺ and CD4⁺ cells compared with SI-IEL. As has been observed with SI-IEL, CD8⁺ LI-IEL appeared to contain $CD8\alpha+\beta^+$ and $CD8\alpha+\beta^-$ subsets. A substantial fraction of LI-IEL was shown to express intestinal T cell-specific integrin $\alpha_{M290}\beta7$, and a minor fraction were $CD2 - (13)$.

Our present experiments were concentrated on a detailed analysis of the $CD8⁺$ LI-IEL. We found that the general population structure of the CD8 + LI-IEL is similar to that of $CD8$ ⁺ SI-IEL, but that $CD8$ ⁺ LI-IEL contain relatively more T cells with a "conventional" phenotype (TCR- α/β^+ , $CD8\beta^+$, Thy1⁺, CD2⁺).

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: Bi, biotin; Cy, cyanin; IEL, intraepithelial lymphocytes; LI, large intestine; SEA, Staphylococcal enterotoxin A; SEB, Staphylococcal enterotoxin B; SI, small intestine.

⁴³³ J. Exp. Med. 9 The Rockefeller University Press 9 *0022-1007/94/08/0433/12* \$2.00 Volume 180 August 1994 433-444

In addition, we found that within the $TCR-\alpha/\beta^+$ LI-IEL the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺, and CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ subsets have two additional markers in common: (a) intestinal T cell homing receptor α_{M290} β 7 (14), and (b) CD69, an Ag expressed by freshly or chronically activated T cells (15, 16). Furthermore, we found two markers that clearly discriminate between the CD8⁺ subsets: the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ LI-IEL are almost 100% CD5⁺, B220⁻, whereas the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ are CD5⁻, B220⁺. The CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ LI-IEL do not express self-reacting V_{β S} and are sensitive to in vivo TCR-mediated signals. The CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL express self-reacting V $_{\beta}$ s and have a low responsiveness to stimulation via the TCR. On the basis of our data we propose that both $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ and $CD8\alpha^+\beta^-$ LI-IEL represent a separate lineage from splenic and LN CD8⁺ T cells. CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ LI-IEL are functionally competent and undergo negative selection, whereas CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$ LI-IEL are less responsive to TCR-mediated signals and appear to escape negative selection.

Materials and Methods

Animals and ln Vivo Treatment. C57BL/6, AKR/J, CBA/J, and MRL/MpJ +/+ female mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Euthymic BALB/c $+/+$ female mice and athymic BALB/c *nu/nu* female mice were obtained from Harlan Sprague Dawley Inc. (Indianapolis, IN) and housed in the animal facility at the University of Iowa. Mice were injected with 15 μ g i.p. of Staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) or with 30 μ g of Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) (Sigma Chemical Co.), or with 500 μ g of purified anti- α/β mAb H57.597. Age-matched control mice were injected with PBS.

Cell Preparations. LI including cecum and colon were dissected free from connective tissue and lymphoid aggregates, and the fecal contents were flushed with ice cold PBS containing 5 mM EDTA and 5 mM dithiothreitol. LI were opened longitudinally, gently rinsed in several changes of the same ice cold buffer, cut into small pieces, placed in 50-ml plastic tubes, and incubated in this buffer for 30 min at 37°C with constant shaking. After that, the tubes were vortexed for 30 s, supernatants were collected, and the tissue pellets were vortexed for another 30 s in a fresh portion of buffer. The procedure was repeated, and all the supematants were combined. Dissociated cells were filtered through Pyrex wool (Coming Glass Inc., Coming, NY), plated on plastic dishes to remove adherent cells and cell aggregates, and IEL were separated using discontinuous 40/70% Percoll gradient centrifugation for 20 min at 900 g. Cells from three to six animals were pooled in each experimental group. This protocol yields $6-10 \times 10^6$ single cells/ adult animal. Preparations from LI of aged nude mice give similar or higher yields, but are consistently more contaminated with epithelial cells and require second Percoll centrifugation.

mAbs and Other Reagents. FITC-labeled mAbs 16A (anti-CD45RB), RR4-7 (anti-V_{β}6), MR5-2 (anti-V $_{\beta}$ 8.1+2), RR3-15 (anti-V β 11), 14-2 (anti-V β 14), 53.5.8 (anti-CD8 β), biotin (Bi)labeled mAbs RM2-5 (anti-CD2), 37.51 (anti-CD28), 53.5.8 (anti-CD8 β), H1.2F3 (anti-CD69), and unlabeled mAb GL3 (anti-TCR- γ/δ) were purchased from PharMingen (San Diego, CA). Cyanin (Cy)-labeled mAb M298 (anti-B7 integrin subunit), supernatant containing mAb M295 (anti- α_{M290} integrin subunit) and PElabeled mAb Mel-14 (anti-L-selectin) were kindly provided by Cheng-Chi Chao and Dr. Morris O. Dailey (University of Iowa). Hybridoma clones H57.597 (anti-TCR- α/β), GK1.5 (anti-CD4),

30-H12 (anti-Thy1.2), PC61.3 (anti-IL-2R α), 53.6.72 (anti-CD8 α), 53.7.313 (anti-CDS), IM 7.8.1 (anti-CD44), and R3-6B2 (anti-B220) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). Rat hybridoma 3Ell (anti-mouse Thyl) was produced in this laboratory (Ibraghimov, A., and L. Iakoubov, unpublished observation), mAbs produced by the hybridoma lines were ammonium sulfate fractionated from serum-free media and were biotinylated or fluoresceinated using standard protocols. Cy was purchased from Biological Detection Systems (Pittsburgh, PA) and antibodies were cyanylated according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. PE-avidin was obtained from Vector Laboratoties, Inc. (Burlingame, CA).

Flow Cytometric Analysis. For three-color analysis, viable IEL were stained in a two-step procedure. Cells were suspended in a staining buffer consisting of 0.1% NaN₃, 5% BCS, and 10% normal mouse serum in BSS and containing a cocktail of saturating amounts of fluoresceinated, biotinyhted, and cyanylated antibodies. After a 20-min incubation at 25°C, cells were washed twice and incubated in the same buffer containing saturating amounts of PEavidin. The stainings for the α_{M290} integrin subunit were performed using culture supematant from the rat hybridoma M295, followed by PE-labeled goat anti-rat IgG Ab, normal rat serum, and other Cy- and FITC-labeled mAbs. The cells were analyzed on a Becton Dickinson & Co. (Mountain View, CA) FACS[®] 440 flow cytometer. $3-10 \times 10^4$ cells were analyzed per sample. The data were collected and analyzed using a VAX computer equipped with DESK software.

Results

IEL of Large Intestine Contain Two Populations of TCR- α/β ⁺ CD8 α ⁺ T Cells. In adult normal mice of all the strains tested (BALB/c, AKR/J, C57BL/6, MRL/MpJ, CBA/J) LI-IEL contained two distinct populations of CD8⁺ cells: CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ and CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ (Fig. 1 A). The proportion of CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ cells within the entire CD8 α ⁺ population gradually increased with age reaching over 50% in 40+ -wk-old mice (Fig. 1 B). Three-color flow cytometry analysis showed that in contrast to SI-IEL, TCR- γ/δ^+ cells from LI-IEL accounted for a minor portion of $CD8\alpha^+\beta^$ cells never exceeding 10-15% of the latter in young mice (Fig. 1 C) and decreasing to 4-7% in 20+-wk-old mice (not shown). Accordingly, the vast majority of $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ cells and the entire $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ population bore TCR- α/β (Fig. 1 C). In our experiments $CD4+CD8\alpha+LI-IEL$ never accounted for more than 1.5% of total CD8 α^+ LI-IEL (up to 3% according to Camerini et al. [13]), in older mice being consistently below 1% (not shown). The proportion of Ig^+ cells within $CD8\alpha$ ⁺LI-IEL was <0.5% in all age groups (not shown).

Besides heterogeneity in TCR composition, CD8 α + β cells were considerably different from $CD8\alpha+\beta+$ counterparts by the pattern of Thyl expression. Whereas $CD8\alpha+\beta$ ⁺ cells were uniformly Thy^{lhi}, the density of Thy¹ on CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ cells varied from high to completely negative (Fig. *1 C, lower panel),* thus making it not a suitable marker to discriminate between CD8 $\alpha^{+}\beta^{+}$ and CD8 $\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ subsets.

We found two markers, CD5 and B220, to be differentially expressed in these two subsets. All $CD8\alpha + \beta + L1$ -IEL

and 46-wk-old female mice are shown on the histogram by broken, dotted, and solid lines, respectively. In each age group, LI-IEL from four mice were pooled. The LI-IEL shown were electronically gated for CD8 α ⁺ cells as shown in A, and for TCR- γ/δ - cells using the third color (anti-TCR- γ/δ -Bi followed by avidin-PE). Figures show the percentages of $CD8\alpha + \beta$ cells within TCR- α/β CD8 α LI-IEL. (C) Distribution of TCR- γ/δ (top), TCR- α/β (middle), and Thy1 *(bottom)* within the $CD8\alpha + \beta +$ and $CD8\alpha+\beta$ ⁻ LI-IEL pooled from three BALB/c mice. LI-IEL shown in C were gated for CD8 α^+ cells. The gating was performed as shown in A. Fluorescence intensity is presented on a log scale.

Figure 1. Age-dependent changes and TCR usage in $CD8\alpha + \beta$ and $CD8\alpha + \beta$ LI-IEL. LI-IEL from BALB/c mice were processed as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Distribution of CD8 α and CD8 β subunits on LI-1EL from 26-wk-old female. (B) Proportions of $CD8\beta^+$ within $CD8\alpha^+$ LI-IEL in 16-, 26-,

express CD5, a common marker of peripheral T cells (17), and are devoid of B220, the B cell-specific form of lymphocyte transmembrane phosphatase CD45 (18). In contrast, CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL are B220⁺ and CD5⁻ (Fig. 2, A and B). It is important to note, that in contrast to SI-IEL, CDS-, B220⁺, and Thy1⁻ CD8 α ⁺ LI-IEL are mostly TCR- α/β^* , rather than TCR- γ/δ^* .

The phenotypic differences seen between the CD8 $\alpha^+ \beta^+$ and $CD8\alpha + \beta$ ⁻ LI-IEL subsets described above suggest that these subsets belong to different lineages and could differ functionally. To address this possibility we looked for the expression of a panel of markers which define certain stages of T cell development and which appear to be connected with their functional properties.

Expression of the Activation Markers and Adhesion Molecules by the CD8 Subsets. We studied LI-IEL CD8⁺ cells for

their expression of CD2, an adhesion and costimulatory molecule common to all mouse cells of T lineage (19, 20). CD2 expression by the CD8⁺ LI-IEL appeared to be biphasic with 25-30% of CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ cells being CD2⁻, whereas the rest of them and all the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ cells are CD2⁺ (Fig. 3 A). Absence of CD2 from a portion of $CD8⁺$ cells is noteworthy, since CD2- T cells from normal SI-IEL and from spleen and lymph nodes of *lpr/Ipr* mice are known to exhibit a block in signal transduction (21, 8). Another costimulatory molecule uniformly expressed by mature peripheral T cells is CD28 (22). In all the mouse strains tested there were no LI-IEL CDS+ cells that expressed CD28 at the high level found on CD8⁺ cells in the spleen and the LN, even though 100% of $CD8\alpha+\beta$ rells were CD28⁺ (Fig. 3 B). The CD8 α + β ⁻ LI-IEL were mostly CD28⁻, or CD28 low (Fig. 3 B).

CD8p-FITC

Less than 2% of $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ cells and up to 70% of CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ cells from BALB/c LI-IEL expressed L-selectin (Fig. 3 C), an adhesion molecule for lymph node homing T cells, which is downregulated in peripheral T cells upon activation (23).

To look for "intestine-specific" homing receptors we stained LI-IEL $CD8⁺$ cells for the expression of both subunits of α_{M290} 37 integrin. This integrin is expressed by SI-IEL (14, 24). We found that anti- α_{M290} and anti- β 7 mAbs, each labeled with different fluorochrome, uniformly produced completely overlapping stainings (not shown), suggesting that all the β 7 subunits were complexed with α_{M290} subunits on $CD8⁺$ LI-IEL. Interestingly, in all ages the majority

CD8_B-FITC

Figure 3. Expression of accessory and adhesion molecules by $CD8\alpha+\beta$ and $CD8\alpha+\beta$ - LI-IEL. LI-IEL from four C57BL/6 mice were processed in pool, as described in Materials and Methods. Distribution of CD2 (A) , CD28 (B) , L-selectin (C) , and the β 7 subunit of the M290 integrin (D) is shown for $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ and $CD8\alpha^+\beta^-$ LI-IEL. LI-IEL shown were gated for CD8 α ⁺ cells.

Figure 2. Differential expression of CD5 and B220 Ag by CD8 α + β + and CD8 α + β - LI-IEL. LI-IEL from *BALB/c* mice were processed in pool, as described in Materials and Methods. Distribution of CD5 and B220 markers is shown for $CD8\alpha + \beta +$ and CD8 α + β ⁻ LI-IEL. LI-IEL shown were gated for $CD8\alpha^+$ cells.

of CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ cells were $\alpha_{M290} \beta$ 7⁺ (Fig. 3 D), but the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ population showed a biphasic pattern of α_{M290} β 7 expression (Fig. $3 D$), which shifted with age to higher expression of $\alpha_{M290} \beta$ (not shown).

To further elucidate the functional status of LI-IEL CD8 cells, we assessed their expression of IL-2R, CD44, and CD69 molecules, since IL-2R is upregulated in activated T cells, CD44 is an adhesion molecule permanently expressed by activated/memory peripheral T cells (25), and CD69 is a marker of freshly or chronically activated T cells (15, 16). None of the CD8 subsets of LI-IEL in normal mice expressed significant amounts of IL-2R (not shown).

As shown in Fig. 4 B, $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{+}$ cells are uniformly CD44⁺. CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ cells are CD44 variable, the majority of them being CD44⁺. Surprisingly, presumably anergic CD2⁻CD8 α ⁺ cells are also CD44⁺ (Fig. 4 D). Expression of CD44 implies previous activation, so the presence of CD44 on CD2- CD8 cells suggests that either these cells could be activated even though they do not express CD2, or these cells have lost CD2 subsequent to being activated.

Another unexpected result was our finding with CD69 expression. The proportion of $CD69⁺$ cells within the entire CD8 α ⁺ LI-IEL population was found to be 80-90%. To the best of our knowledge such a high proportion of $CD69⁺$ cells has never been reported for any T cell subset in normal murine tissues. CD69 is very early and transiently expressed by activated T cells (15). In all the strains and all the age groups tested almost all $CD8\alpha+\beta$ cells from LI-IEL were CD69⁺ (Fig. 4 A). CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ appeared to be $CD69$ ^{variable}, the majority of them converting into $CD69$ ⁺ with age, as shown in Fig. 4 A for 26-wk-old BALB/c mice. Almost 100% of the CD2⁻ CD8 α ⁺ cells are CD69⁺ (Fig. 4 C). According to these data, and in agreement with the CD44 expression data, "abnormal" CD8 α + β -CD5-B220+ $CD28 - LI-IEL$ including the $CD2$ ⁻ and Thy1⁻ cells have the phenotypic features of activated T cells.

The nu Mutation Does Not Affect Development of the $CD8\alpha + \beta$ ⁺ and $CD8\alpha + \beta$ ⁻ LI-IEL. Since the two CD8⁺ LI-IEL subsets differ significantly in their phenotype, we addressed the question of their differences in the developmental

436 CD8 T Cell Heterogeneity in Murine Large Intestine

Figure 4. Expression of activation markers by $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{+}$ and $CD8\alpha+\beta$ ⁻ LI-IEL. LI-IEL from three C57BL/6 mice were processed in pool, as described in Materials and Methods. Distribution of CD69 (A) and CD44 (B) is shown for CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ (solid line), and for CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ *(heavy solid line);* negative controls are shown with *dotted lines.* Distribution of CD69 vs. CD2 Ag (C) , and CD44 vs. CD2 Ag (D) in the same preparation of LI-IEL which were gated for $CD8\alpha^+$ cells.

requirements. We isolated and phenotypically characterized LI-IEL from genetically athymic *nu/nu* BALB/c mice. This recessive mutation is known to heavily affect the development of all the thymus dependent T cells. 1-yr-old mice were used, since in *nu/nu* mice T cells are known to accumulate slowly with age both in systemic tissues (26) and in mucosaassociated tissues of lung and female genital tract (Ibraghimov, A., and R. G. Lynch, unpublished observations).

As presented in lable 1 and Fig. 5 A , both subsets of CD8 + LI-IEL appeared to be abundant in old *nu/nu* mice. Besides lower proportion of $TCR-\alpha/\beta^+$ cells within both subsets (Fig. 5, E and F) and correspondingly higher proportion of TCR- γ/δ ⁺ cells (not shown), each subset was phenotypically similar to its counterpart in euthymic mice. These similarities included characteristic patterns of expression of accessory molecules and activation markers (Table 1 and Fig. 5, B-D). Thus, we did not find any differential influence of the nu mutation on the two $CD8⁺$ LI-IEL subsets. Both subsets might develop extrathymically, though the extrathymic development of the T cells accumulating in *nu/nu* mice remains controversial. Still, normal yield and phenotype of $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ and $CD8\alpha^+\beta^-$ LI-IEL in nu/nu mice strongly suggest that these cells represent a lineage different from splenic and lymph node CD8⁺ TCR- α/β ⁺ cells that accumulate in the periphery of nude mice very slowly and never reach normal levels (26).

Biased TCR VB Repertoires of the CD8 + LI-IEL Subsets. Assuming that different subsets of CD8 LI-IEL could have

Table 1. *The Composition of CD8⁺ LI-IEL in +/+ and nu/nu BALB/c Mice*

| | Proportion of LI-IEL | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | $+ / +$ * | nu/nu^{\dagger} | |
| | % | | |
| Total CD3+LI-IEL $(x 10^{-6})$ | 7 ± 0.6 | 6.8; 9.4 | |
| TCR- α/β ⁺ /CD3 ⁺ | 94 ± 6 | 87:79 | |
| $CD8\alpha^+$ /CD3 ⁺ | 45 ± 9 | 52:61 | |
| $CD8\alpha + \beta$ +/ $CD8\alpha$ + | 51 ± 4 | 52: 57 | |
| $CD8\alpha$ + $CD5$ + $/CD8\alpha$ + | 56 ± 3 | 55: 61 | |
| CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ TCR- α/β ⁺ /CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺ | 98 ± 1 | 92: 95 | |
| CD8 α + β -TCR- α/β +/CD8 α + β - | 93 ± 2 | 34:41 | |
| $CD8\alpha + \beta + Thy1 + /CD8\alpha + \beta +$ | 96 ± 2 | 98: 100 | |
| $CD8\alpha + \beta$ -Thy1+/CD8 $\alpha + \beta$ - | 83 ± 5 | 91:88 | |
| $CD8\alpha + CD69$ + $/CD8\alpha +$ | 94 ± 3 | 96: 97 | |

* Experiment was performed three times and the mean and SD are represented.

* Experiment was performed two times and both values are shown. LI-IEL were isolated from 1-yr-old BALB/c + / + and *nu/nu* female mice, stained with cocktails of appropriate mAbs conjugated to FITC, Cy, or Bi, and analyzed by three-color flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods.

different functional properties and thus experience differential selection pressures it might be expected that the subsets would express different TCR V_β repertoires. We analyzed expression of different V_β structures by CD8 α^+ cells in combination with each of the following markers: Thyl, CD2, CD5, B220, and CD8 β . This three-color analysis was performed with LI-IEL freshly isolated from BALB/c, CBA/J, C57BL/6, and AKR/J mice employing mAbs against V_{β} 6, $V_{\beta}8.1+2$, $V_{\beta}11$, and $V_{\beta}14$ structures of the TCR- α/β . The frequencies of certain V_β structures within CD8 β^- , Thy1⁻, CD2⁻, CD5⁻, or B220⁺ subsets of CD8 α ⁺ LI-IEL were corrected to the proportion of TCR- α/β ⁺ cells. The results of these experiments with CBA/J LI-IEL CD8 α^+ cells are shown in Fig. 6. Several interesting points can be made based on these data: (a) the V_β repertoire expressed by the CD5⁻ subset closely parallels that of $CD8\beta^-$ subset, whereas the repertoire expressed by the CD5⁺ subset is very similar to the repertoire of $CD8\beta^+$ subset; (b) there are very significant differences between the V_{β} repertoires of the CD5⁻CD8 β ⁻(B220⁺) and the CD5⁺CD8 β ⁺(B220⁻) subsets; (c) differences in the repertoires of Thy1⁻ and Thy1⁺ subsets are modest; (d) there are almost no differences in V_{β} usage between CD2⁺ and CD2⁻ subsets of CD8 α ⁺ cells; (e) the CD5 + CD8 β + (B220 -) subset expresses V $_{\beta}$ 14 almost 10 times more frequently than the $CD5$ ⁻ $CD8\beta$ ⁻ $(B220^+)$ subset; and (f) the CD5⁻CD8 β ⁻ (B220⁺) subset contains the highest and the $CD5+CDB\beta+(B220^-)$ subset contains the lowest proportions of cells expressing $V_{\beta}6$ (not shown) and $V_{\beta}11$ (Fig. 6). $V_{\beta}6^+$ and $V_{\beta}11^+$ cells are potentially self-

Figure 5. Flow cytometry analysis of CD8+ LI-IEL from nu/nu BALB/c mice. LI-IEL from 1-yrold nude BALB/c mice were processed in pool as described in Materials and Methods. Distribution of CD8 α and CD8 β subunits (A) and distribution of CD8 α and CD69 markers (B) are shown for total LI-IEL from old nude mice. Distribution of Thy1 (C) and CD28 (D) markers vs. CD8 β subunit is shown for the same preparation of LI-IEL gated for CD8 α ⁺ cells. Distribution of $TCR-\alpha/\beta$ vs. $CD8\beta$ in LI-IEL from $+/-$ (E) and nu/m (F) mice is shown for preparations gated for CD8 α^+ .

reacting in Mls-1^a and I-E⁺ mice, respectively (27, 28), and thus are deleted during intrathymic development in Mls-1^{2}, $I-E^+$ CBA/J mice.

The percentages of CD8 cells expressing certain V_β structures differed between strains, but significant differences between the CD5⁻CD8 β ⁻B220⁺ and CD5⁺CD8 β ⁺B220⁻ subsets, and almost no differences between the CD2⁻ and CD2 + subsets were observed within each strain. The biased repertoires of the CD8 β ⁻ vs. CD8 β ⁺ cells from LI-IEL of AKR/J mice are shown in Fig. 7. Distributions of $V_{\beta}11^{+}$ cells between the CD5⁻ and CD5⁺ CD8 cells in four mouse strains are shown in Table 2. In all the three I-E + strains where $V_{\beta}11^{+}$ cells are deleted intrathymically, $V_{\beta}11$ is overrepresented in the CD5⁻CD8 α ⁺ LI-IEL but not in the $CD5+CD8\alpha+LI-IEL$. Another important observation is that the $CD5+CD8\alpha+LI-IEL$ from athymic nude mice are also devoid of $V_{\beta}11$ TCR. This suggests that: (a) $CD8\alpha + \beta + CD5 + LI-IEL$, if extrathymic, undergo negative selection in the periphery, and (b) the $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}CD5^{-}$ LI-IEL are either nonfunctional, or subject to distinct selection mechanisms.

 $CD8\alpha + \beta$ ⁻ and $CD8\alpha + \beta$ ⁺ Subsets in LI-IEL Are Differ*ent in Their Responses to In Vivo TCR-mediated Signals.* To study the responsiveness of $CD8\alpha + \beta^-$ and $CD8\alpha + \beta^+$ LI-IEL in vivo, we injected C57BL/6 mice with SEA or SEB. SEA is a bacterial superantigen selectively stimulating T cells expressing certain V $_{\beta}$ structures, including V $_{\beta}$ 11 (29). 48 h after injection of 15 μ g i.p. of SEA we found, in agreement with what was previously reported, that the proportion of V $_{\beta}$ 11⁺ cells within CD8 α ⁺ splenocytes increased fourfold compared with control mice, but we made the novel observation that the percentage of V_811^+ CD8 α^+ LI-IEL decreased by 30-40% (Fig. 8, A and B). Three-color staining of LI-IEL showed that within the CD8 α^+V_β 11 +

Figure 6. Expression of V $_{\beta}$ 11 and V $_{\beta}$ 14 TCR by different subpopulations of CD8+ LI-IEL in CBA/J mice. (A) Percentages of $V_{\beta}11+$ cells among CD8 α ⁺ splenocytes and different subpopulations of CD8 α ⁺ LI-IEL. (B) Percentages of V β 14⁺ cells among CD8 α ⁺ splenocytes and different subpopulations of $CD8\alpha$ ⁺ LI-IEL. Splenocytes of two CBA/J mice were pooled and stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy and anti-V $_{\beta}$ 11-FITC or anti-V₈14-FITC mAbs. LI-IEL from three to five CBA/J mice were stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy, anti-V $_{\beta}$ 11-FITC, or anti-V $_{\beta}$ 14-FITC, and anti-Thy1-Bi, anti-CD2-Bi, anti-CD5-Bi, or anti-CD8g-Bi mAbs. These stainings were followed by avidin-PE in the second step. Each variant of staining was performed in three different experiments with the mice of similar age, and the mean and SD are represented. Stainings for CD4, $TCR-\alpha/\beta$ and $TCR-\gamma/\delta$ were performed in each preparation, and the frequency of CD4 - CD8 α + β - (Thy1 - or CD5 -) LI-IEL expressing certain V_Bs was corrected for the proportion of $TCR-\alpha/\beta$ ⁺ cells.

population only $CD5+CDS^+$ cells were deleted, whereas $CD5$ ⁻CD8 β ⁻ cells were not affected (Fig. 8, C and D). These data suggest that: (a) LI-IEL CD8 cells with conventional phenotype (CD8 α + β +CD5+) differ functionally from their splenic counterparts, undergoing deletion under the same conditions that cause splenic cells to proliferate and (b) $CD8\alpha+\beta$ ⁻CD5⁻ cells from IL-IEL are less (if at all) responsive to the in vivo TCR-mediated stimuli caused by SEA.

In the experiments using SEB, which stimulates the majority of $V₆8$ -expressing T cells as well as some others (30), we found that in the spleen the $V_β8.1+2⁺$ CD8⁺ cells increased from $18.1 \pm 3.5\%$ to $41.7 \pm 1.4\%$ and that their CD5+B220-CD8 α + β + counterparts in the LI-IEL also increased from 10.7 \pm 1.5% to 17.8 \pm 0.3%, whereas V_B8.1+2⁺ CD5⁻B220⁺CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL did not increase (not shown). Thus in both instances, SEA and SEB, the conventional CD8 α + β + cells in the spleens responded by proliferation and their counterparts (CD8 α ⁺ β ⁺) in LI-IEL also responded, but with SEA the response led to decreased expression of the relevant V_{β} -region, whereas in the case of SEB, the response led to an increased expression of the relevant V_β -region.

To extend these data to all TCR- α/β structures and to see if conditions could be identified in which $CD8\alpha+\beta$ cells responded to TCR-mediated signals, we modified the in vivo experiment by using anti-TCR- α/β mAb. A single injection of 500 μ g i.p. of purified anti-TCR- α/β mAb produced somewhat similar results on both splenic and LI-IEL BALB/c CD8 α^+ cells: 72 h postinjection their TCR- α/β was downregulated on 97% of splenic CD8⁺ cells and on 97% of CD8 + LI-IEL (Fig. 9, *A-D)* with no significant increase in $TCR-\gamma/\delta^+$ compartment (not shown). In contrast to the spleen, where the relative amount of CD8 + cells increased 60% (Fig. 9, A and B) (with an even more significant absolute increase since the spleens of injected mice were enlarged), the relative amount of $CD8⁺$ LI-IEL was 30% lower in treated mice (Fig. 9, C and D). We conclude that both CD8 + LI-IEL subsets were affected, since anti-TCR- α/β mAb did not cause a significant shift in CD8 β^{+}/β CD8 α^+ ratio (Fig. 9 E).

Thus, the entire $CD8\alpha^+\beta^-$ LI-IEL subset appear to be sensitive to the in vivo treatment by a massive dose of anti-TCR- α/β mAb: (a) a significant proportion of them is eliminated or downregulate CD8 coreceptor: and (b) all the rest stay CD8 + and downregulate their TCR in response to the signal.

439 Ibraghimov and Lynch

| Strain of mice | I-E | Proportion of $V_{\beta}11$ ⁺ CD8 α ⁺ cells | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|--|------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | Spleen | $CD5 - LI-IEL$ | $CD5+LI-IEL$ | | |
| | | % | | | | |
| AKR/J | $\ddot{}$ | $1.8 \pm 0.2^*$ | 4.5 ± 0.2 | 1.5 ± 0.1 | | |
| CBA/J | $\ddot{}$ | $1.9;1.6$ [‡] | $3.6;3.9$ [‡] | $0.4;0.7^{\ddagger}$ | | |
| BALB/c +/+ | $\ddot{}$ | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 4.8 ± 0.3 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | | |
| $BALB/c$ nu/nu | $\ddot{}$ | ND ⁵ | 4.1;3.7 [†] | 0.0; 0.4 | | |
| C57BL/6 | | 4.2 ± 0.1 | 5.6 ± 0.1 | 6.0 ± 0.2 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 2. *Expression of V_ø11+TCR by CD8* α *⁺ Splenocytes and LI-IEL from Different Strains of Mice*

* Experiment was performed three or more times, and the mean and SD are represented.

t Experiment was performed twice, and both values are shown.

S Not done.

LI-IEL were isolated from mice of different strains as described in Materials and Methods. In each experiment IL-IEL from two to four mice were pooled and stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy, anti-VB11-FITC, and anti-CD5-Bi mAb, followed by avidin-PE in the second step. Staining with anti-CD8(x-Cy was used for positive gating, and staining with anti-CD5-Bi was used either for positive or negative gating, so that the percentages of $V_\beta 11$ + cells were evaluated within CD8 α +, CD5+, and within CD8 α +, CD5- LI-IEL. The frequencies of $V_\beta 11$ +CD5-CD8 α + LI-IEL were corrected for the proportion of TCR- α/β + cells which was evaluated in each preparation.

It is important to note that the $V_{\beta}11^{+}$ TCRs, which are forbidden in the periphery in BALB/c mice, but which remain in the nonconventional CD8 α + β ⁻ LI-IEL subset, were downregulated in anti-TCR- α/β -treated mice as efficiently as other TCRs (Fig. 9, F and G). The data generated in experiments using SEA, SEB, and anti-TCR- α/β mAb show that $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$, $CD5$ ⁻ B220⁺ LI-IEL including those expressing forbidden $V_{\beta S}$, are not completely unresponsive, though they appear significantly less sensitive to TCR-mediated signals.

Figure 8. SEA-induced changes in V_{β} 11 TCR expression in spleen and LI-IEL of C57BL/6 mice. Splenocytes and LI-IEL were isolated from two to four C57BL/6 mice 72 h after injection with 15 μ g of SEA i.p., and from two to four control C57BL/6 mice of the same age injected with PBS. Splenocytes were stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy and anti-V $_{8}$ 11 mAb. LI-IEL were stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy, anti-V β 11-FITC, and anti-CD8 β -Bi. These stainings were followed by avidin-PE in the second step. Distribution of $V_\beta 11$ TCR among splenocytes (A) and LI-IEL (B) gated for $CD8\alpha^+$ cells is shown for control *(dotted line)* and SEA-injected *(solid line)* mice. Distribution of V_{β} 11 TCR and the CD8 β subunit is shown for LI-IEL from control (C) and SEA-injected (D) mice. Fluorescence intensity and percentages of $V_{\beta}11^{+}$ cells in C and D are shown for LI-IEL gated for $CD8\alpha^+$ cells. Similar results were produced in three separate experiments. The frequency of CD4-CD8 α + β - LI-IEL expressing V β 11 was corrected for the proportion of TCR- α/β + cells.

440 CD8 T Cell Heterogeneity in Murine Large Intestine

Figure 9. Anti-TCR- α/β mAb-induced changes in splenic and LI-IEL CD8 + cells from *BALB/c* mice. Splenocytes and LI-IEL were isolated from three BALB/c mice 72 h after injection with 500 μ g i.p. of anti-TCR- α/β mAb H57.597, and from three control *BALB/c* mice injected with PBS. Cells were stained with anti-CD8 α -Cy and anti-V₈8-FITC, or anti-V $_{\beta}$ 11-FITC, or anti-TCR- α/β -Bi mAbs, and in the latter case followed in the second step by avidin-PE. Distribution of TCR- α/β and CD8 α subunit is shown for splenocytes from control (A) and from anti-TCR- α/β -treated (B) mice, and for LI-IEL from control (C) and anti-TCR- α/β -treated (D) mice. In $A-D$ the figures in two upper quadrants show the percentages of the entire TCK- α/β ⁺ populations; percentages of TCR- α/β ⁺ CD8 α^+ and TCR- α/β -CD8 α^+ cells are shown in the upper right and the lower right quadrants, respectively. Distribution of CD8B subunit (E), $V_{\beta}8$ TCR (F), and $V_{\beta}11$ TCR (G) is shown for $CD8\alpha^+$ LI-IEL from control *(solid line)* and anti-TCR- α / β -treated *(dotted line)* mice. Similar results were produced in two separate experiments. In all preparations TCR- γ/δ ⁺ cells were electronically gated out.

Discussion

Our studies of CD8 + LI-IEL revealed their similarity to CD8 + SI-IEL, but some differences between the CD8 + IEL in these two locations are pronounced. CD8⁺ LI-IEL are characterized by: (a) a higher proportion of $CD8\alpha+\beta^+$ cells in adult mice, (b) a higher proportion of α/β T cells; (c) a lower proportion of Thy1⁻ cells; and (d) a lower proportion of CD2⁻ cells. These differences suggest a greater fraction of a conventional CD8+ T cells within LI-IEL than within SI-IEL. This "normalization" of phenotype is probably driven by the antigenic challenge of local microflora that is much more abundant in LI as compared with SI.

The results presented here demonstrate the existence of two distinct TCR- α/β ⁺ CD8⁺ subsets within murine LI-IEL. One subset expresses both α and β subunits of the CD8 coreceptor, is phenotypically similar to mature peripheral T cells (CD5⁺, Thy1⁺, CD2⁺, CD28⁺, B220⁻), and ex-

presses functional TCR complexes. The features making this LI-IEL subset different from conventional $CD8⁺$ cells are: (a) the intestine-specific integrin $\alpha_{M290} \beta$ 7; (b) an unusually high proportion of CD69⁺ cells which increases with age; (c) a different sensitivity to TCR-mediated signals; and (d) a high frequency of TCR $V_{\beta}14^{+}$. The second subset has only the α subunits of the CD8 complex, is almost exclusively CD5-B220+CD28-/loL-selectin-, and includes a proportion of Thy1⁻ and CD2⁻ cells. It also shares the unique phenotypic features with $CD8\alpha + \beta + L1-IEL$, being nearly 100% CD69⁺ and $\alpha_{M290}\beta$ 7⁺.

The similarities of the two subsets might be of functional significance. Expression of the $\alpha_{M290}/37$ integrin suggests that both subsets reside in, rather than pass through, mucosal epithelium of the large intestine, since this integrin is unique for T cells of intestinal mucosa and conserved between different species (24, 31). Moreover, this integrin has been directly proven to recognize epithelial cells (32).

The extraordinary high frequency of expression of CD69 by CD8 + LI-IEL is noteworthy. In normal mice CD69 is present on very few splenic and lymph node T cells. It is also not common for resident T cells from other mucosaassociated tissues (lung, female genital tract, liver sinuses) (Ibraghimov, A., and R. G. Lynch, unpublished observations). This antigen is characterized by very early and transient expression upon T cell activation (15), and its constant presence suggests chronic activation (16). In this work we present the first evidence that CD69 is expressed by the vast majority of CD8⁺ LI-IEL, and in other studies we have found similar levels of CD69 expression on SI-IEL (Ibraghimov, A., and R. G. Lynch, unpublished observations). The reasonable explanation is that in both sites TCR- α/β +CD8+ cells are constantly stimulated by Ag from the lumen which would include dietary and the local microflora.

One more important feature common to both CD8⁺ LI-IEL subsets is that they belong to a lineage distinct from conventional splenic and lymph node CD8 + T cells: the two LI-IEL subsets are abundant in genetically athymic *nu/nu* BALB/c mice, and have phenotypes closely resembling those of their counterparts in eutbymic mice, while the development of splenic and lymph node T cells in *nu/nu* mice is heavily affected. The only dear difference we found between CD8 + LI-IEL from nu/m mice as compared with $CD8$ ⁺ LI-IEL from euthymic mice is the higher proportion of TCR- γ/δ + cells.

The phenotypic differences seen between the two subsets suggest different functional properties. Several lines of evidence support this view. It is established that the Thyl molecule is involved in T cell activation (33). It is also known that CD5 is a T cell-specific, activation-inducible serine kinase (34) associated with CD2, CD4, and CD8 coreceptors and with the TCR complex (35), and provides accessory signals for T lymphocyte activation and proliferation (36).

CD2 is a pan-T surface adhesion molecule participating in a muhimolecular complex consisting of the TCR/CD3, CD4/8, CD5, and $p56$ ^{lck} and P59^{fyn} kinases (35, 37). CD2 augments TCR-mediated signals (20) and mediates cell-eell adhesion in case of $CD8⁺$ cytotoxic lymphocytes (38). Known exceptions to the pan-T distribution are the CD2- T cells that accumulate in the periphery of mutant *lpr/Ipr* (39) mice, and the CD2- SI-IEL in normal mice (8). In both cases CD2- T cells are unresponsive to TCR-mediated signals by the criteria of proliferation and cytokine production (8, 21).

CD28 is yet another pan-T accessory molecule augmenting signal transduction via the TCR/CD3 complex, which normally leads to T cell proliferation and lymphokine production (22). CD28- T cells were found previously in SI-IEL, constituting up to 95% of $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ SI-IEL (9). In SI-IEL CD28⁻ phenotype correlated with unresponsiveness to TCR-mediated stimuli (9).

Thus, the differences revealed between $CD8\alpha^+\beta^+$ and CD8 α + β ⁻ LI-IEL suggest that the former are functional T cells, while the latter are either nonfunctional, or employ unconventional pathways of transduction of the TCK-mediated signals. It might be true at least in the case of CD2- LI-IEL, which are uniformly $CD44^+$, $CD69^+$ (thus expressing markers of committed T calls), and do not differ in their V_{β} repertoire from CD2⁺ CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL.

Responsiveness to the TCR-induced signals of the $CD8\alpha+\beta+$ LI-IEL deduced from their phenotype is supported by several lines of evidence: (a) in all the strains tested including nu/nu BALB/c, this subset is devoid of TCRs using forbidden V_β genes, suggesting its ability to undergo negative selection; (b) in vivo injections of superantigens SEA or SEB produced selective effects on the CD8 α + β + LI-IEL expressing appropriate V_{β} genes; (c) this subset increases with age, probably due to proliferation driven by constant antigenic stimulation; and (d) this subset shows ontogenetic changes consistent with their ability to respond to ongoing antigenic challenge: accumulation of CD69⁺ and $\alpha_{M290} \beta 7$ hi ceils. We consider upregnlation of this integrin to be activation dependent, since in the in vivo experiment SEB-induced T cell activation was accompanied by the shift of a proportion of these cells from $\alpha_{M290} \beta 7^{\text{lo}}$ to $\alpha_{M290} \beta 7^{\text{hi}}$ (not shown).

The unresponsiveness of the CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL subset implied by some of their phenotypic features (CDS-, $CD28^{-/10}$, $CD2^{+/-}$) is in agreement with the following observations: (a) the age-dependent decrease in their relative amount (probably, due to overgrowth by functional LI-IEL); (b) the lack of negative selection, resulting in expression of forbidden V_{β} genes; and (c) the inability to respond to in vivo administrated bacterial superantigens.

Interestingly, there are some phenotypic and functional properties of the $CD8\alpha^{+}\beta^{-}$ LI-IEL subset that argue against unresponsiveness of this subset. These include expression of CD44 and CD69, markers of previous and recent (chronic) activation, respectively, and the ability of this subset to respond in vivo to a massive dose of anti-TCR- α/β mAb. CD44 expression suggests that CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ LI-IEL once experienced activation. Moreover, according to CD69 expression, the entire subset might be constantly restimulated. A possible scenario might be that an initial activation event (reflected by the CD44 expression) occurred employing a conventional pathway of TCR signal transduction, but while the subset originally bore the needed accessory molecules, it lost them upon activation, and thus became anergized. Similar events take place in Peyer's patches, where about 15% of CD4 + cells permanently lose expression of the Thyl molecule and become anergic upon activation (40).

In this regard it is also important to note that whereas unresponsiveness of $CD2⁻$ and $CD28⁻$ SI-IEL, including CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻, was very well documented in vitro (8, 9), there was a 97% decrease in the amount of CD8+ TCR- α/β + SI-IEL in MHC class I-deficient mice (11). This suggests that these extrathymic T calls, insensitive to negative selection, still require an MHC-mediated positive stimulation to complete their development. Such putative behavior of CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ SI-IEL gets direct experimental proof in mice transgenic for TCR- α/β specific for male antigen (H-Y)

presented by class I MHC molecules: $CD8\alpha^+/\beta^-$ SI-IEL are not deleted in male intestine by the self-antigen, but rather positively selected to differentiate; in the female intestine in the absence of the specific peptide they are not positively selected (12, 41). Still, these once positively selected $CD8\alpha + \beta$ IEL are refractive to stimulation by H-Y + cells in vitro (41). It is tempting to speculate that $CD8\alpha+\beta$ LI-IEL under study also display this unusual combination of features: requirement for positive selection (their phenotype reflecting this past activation event), and unresponsiveness to negative selection by the self Ags (as reflected by their $V_β$ repertoire), as well as to experimental TCR-mediated stimuli. Moreover, $CD8\alpha+\beta$ LI-IEL might even be positively selected for the forbidden V_{β} s since very recent studies have demonstrated the expression of Mls-1² products by the intestinal epithelium, and the ability of these epithelial cells to stimulate $V_{\beta}6^+$ T cells (42). Thus, the CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$ subset of LI-IEL appears to be a subject of unconventional selection mechanisms rather than a completely unresponsive T cell population. In agreement with this, in our in vivo experiments,

 $CD8\alpha + \beta$ LI-IEL responded to anti-TCR treatment. Selective responsiveness was also revealed in SI-IEL. They respond poorly to mitogens and anti-TCR Abs, but are very effectively stimulated by the heat-shock protein of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to proliferate and secrete lymphokines (43).

In summary, there are two subsets of TCR- α/β ⁺ CD8⁺ LI-IEL: the CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^+$ and the CD8 $\alpha^+\beta^-$. Both subsets are different from conventional $CD8⁺$ cells of the periphery by the expression of intestinal T cell homing receptor $\alpha_{M290}\beta$ 7, and by an unusually high frequency of chronically activated cells, as reflected by expression of the early activation marker CD69. Moreover, the CD8 α + β + LI-IEL differ from splenic counterparts by 1.5-2-fold higher frequency of $V_{\beta}14^+$ cells, suggesting selection by an intestine-specific Ag. The CD5+CD2+CD28+Thy1+B220-CD8 α + β + LI-IEL undergo negative selection in the periphery, and readily respond in vivo to TCR-mediated stimuli. The CD5- $CD2^{+/-}CD28$ ⁻Thy1^{+/-}B220⁺CD8 α ⁺ β ⁻ subset of LI-IEL is subjected to distinct selection mechanisms and has a low responsiveness to TCR-mediated stimuli.

We would like to thank Teresa Duling and Lisa Bogh for their expert help with flow cytometry, and Cheng-Chi Chao and Morris O. Dailey for the donation of Mel-14, M295, and M298 mAbs.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant CA-49228.

Address correspondence to Dr. Alexander Ibraghimov, Department of Immunology, SL-15, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105.

Received for publication 19 November 1993 and in revised form 16 March 1994.

References

- 1. Taguchi, T., W.K. Aicher, K. Fujihashi, M. Yamamoto, J.R. McGhee, J.A. Bluestone, and H. Kiyono. 1991. Novel function for intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. Murine CD3+, γ/δ TCR⁺ T cells produce IFN- γ and IL5. *J. Immunol.* 147:3736.
- 2. Fujihashi, K., T. Taguchi, W.K. Aicher, J.R. McGhee, J.A. Bluestone, J.H. Eldridge, and H. Kiyono. 1992. Immunoregulatory functions for murine intraepithelial lymphocytes: γ/δ T cell receptor-positive (TCR $^+$) T cells abrogate oral tolerance, while α/β TCR + T cells provide B cell help. *J. Exp. Med.* 175:695.
- 3. Goodman, T., and L. Lefrancois. 1988. Expression of the γ - δ T-cell receptor on intestinal CD8⁺ intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Nature (Lond.).* 333:855.
- 4. Goodman, T., and L. Lefrancois. 1989. Intraepithelial lymphocytes. Anatomical site, not T cell receptor form, dictates phenotype and function. *J. Exp. Med.* 170:1569.
- 5. Guy-Grand, D., N. Cerf-Bensussan, B. Malissen, M. Malassis-Seris, C. Briottet, and P. Vassalli. 1991. Two gut intraepithelial $CD8⁺$ lymphocyte populations with different T cell receptors: a role for the gut epithelium in T cell differentiation. $J. Exp.$ *Med.* 173:471.
- 6. Rocha, B., P. Vassalli, and D. Guy-Grand. 1991. The $V\beta$ reper-

toire of mouse gut homodimeric α CD8⁺ intraepithelial T cell receptor α/β ⁺ lymphocytes reveals a major extrathymic pathway of T cell differentiation. *J. Exp. Med.* 173:483.

- 7. Poussier, P., P. Edouard, C. Lee, M. Binnie, and M. Julius. 1992. Thymus-independent development and negative selection of T cells expressing T cell receptor α/β in the intestinal epithelium: evidence for distinct circulation patterns of gutand thymus-derived T lymphocytes. *J. Exp. Med.* 176:187.
- 8. Van Houten, N., EF. Mixter, J. Wolfe, and R.C. Budd. 1993. CD2 expression on murine intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes is bimodal and defines proliferative capacity. *Int. Immunol.* 5:665.
- 9. Ohteki, T., and H.R. MacDonald. 1993. Expression of the CD28 costimulatory molecule on subsets of murine intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes correlates with lineage and responsiveness. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23:1251.
- 10. Dillon, S., B. Dalton, and T.T. MacDonald. 1988. Lymphokine production by mitogen and antigen activated mouse intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Cell. Immunol.* 103:326.
- 11. Correa, 1., M. Bix, N.-S. Liao, M. Zijlstra, R. Jaenisch, and D. Raulet. 1992. Most γ/δ T cells develop normally in β_{2} . microglobulin~lefident *mice. Proc Natl. AcacL Sci. USA.* 89:653.
- 12. Rocha, B., H. yon Boehmer, and D. Guy-Grand. 1992. Selec-

tion of intraepithelial lymphocytes with CD8 α/α co-receptors by self-antigen in the murine gut. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 89:5336.

- 13. Camerini, V., C. Panwala, and M. Kronenberg. 1993. Regional specialization of the mucosal immune system. Intraepithelial lymphocytes of the large intestine have a different phenotype and function than those of the small intestine. *J. Immunol.* 151:1765.
- 14. Kilshaw, P.J., and K.C. Baker. 1988. A unique surface antigen on intraepithelial lymphocytes in the mouse. *Immunol. Lett.* 18:149.
- 15. Yokoyama, W.M., S.R. Maxfidd, and E.M. Stevach. 1989. Very early (VEA) and very late (VLA) activation antigens have distinct functions in T lymphocyte activation. *Immunol. Rev.* 109:153.
- 16. Giese, T., and W.F. Davidson. 1992. Evidence for early onset, polyclonal activation of T cell subsets in mice homozygous for *Ipr. J. Immunol.* 149:3097.
- 17. Ledbetter, J.A., R.U. Rouse, S.H. Micklem, and L.A. Herzenberg. 1980. T cell subsets defined by expression of Lyt-l,2,3 and Thy-1 antigens. Two-parameter immunofluorescence and cytotoxic analysis with monoclonal antibodies modifies current views. *J. Exp. Med.* 152:280.
- 18. Thomas, M.L., P.J. Reynolds, A. Chain, Y. Ben-Neriah, and I.S. Trowbridge. 1987. B-cell variant of mouse T200 (Ly-5): evidence for alternative mRNA splicing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 85:7182.
- 19. Yagita, H., T. Nakama, H. Karasuyama, and K. Okamura. 1989. Monoclonal antibodies specific for CD2 reveal its presence on B as well as T cells. *Proa Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 86:645.
- 20. Reem, G.H., S. Carding, and E.L. Reinherz. 1987. Lymphokine synthesis is induced in human thymocyte by activation of the CD2 pathway. *J. Immunol.* 139:130.
- 21. Budd, R.C., J.Q. Russell, N. van Houten, S.M. Cooper, H. Yagita, and J. Wolfe. 1992. CD2 expression correlates with proliferative capacity of $\alpha\beta^+$ or $\gamma\delta^+$ CD4-CD8- T cells in *Ipr* mice. *J. lmmunol.* 148:1055.
- 22. Gross, J.A., E. Callas, and J.P. Allison. 1992. Identification and distribution of the costimulatory receptor CD28 in the mouse. *J. Immunol.* 149:380.
- 23. Jung, T.M., W.M. Gallatin, I.L. Weissman, and M.O. Dailey. 1988. Down regulation of homing receptors after T cell activation. *J. Immunol.* 141:4110.
- 24. Kilshaw, P.J., and S.J. Mutant. 1991. Expression and regulation of β 7 integrin on lymphocytes: relevance to the mucosal immune system. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 21:2591.
- 25. Budd, R.C., J.-C. Cerottini, C. Horvath, C. Bron, T. Pedrazzini, R.C. Howe, and H.-R. MacDonald. 1987. Distinction of virgin and memory T cells: stable acquisition of the Pgp-1 glycoprotein concomitant with antigenic stimulation. *J. Immunol.* 138:3120.
- 26. Kennedy, J.D., C.W. Pierce, and J.P. Lake. 1992. Extrathymic T cell maturation. Phenotypic analysis ofT cell subsets in nude mice as a function of age. *J. Immunol.* 148:1620.
- 27. MacDonald, H.-R., R. Schneider, R.L. Lees, R.C. Howe, H. Acha-Orbea, H. Festenstein, R.M. Zinkernagel, and H. Hengartner. 1988. T cell receptor $\nabla\beta$ use predicts reactivity and tolerance to Mls² encoded antigens. *Nature (Lond.).* 332:40.
- 28. Bill, J., O. Kanagawa, D.L. Woodland, and E. Palmer. 1989. The MHC molecule I-E is necessary but not sufficient for the

clonal deletion of $V\beta$ 11-bearing T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 169:1405.

- 29. Takimoto, H., Y. Yoshikai, K. Kishihara, G. Matsuzaki, H. Kuga, T. Otani, and K. Nomoto. 1990. Stimulation of all T cells bearing V β 1, V β 3, V β 11 and V β 12 by staphylococcal enterotoxin A. *Fur. J. lmraunol.* 20:617.
- 30. White, J., A. Herman, A.M. Pullen, R. Kubo, J.W. Kappler, and P. Marrack. 1989. The V β -specific superantigen staphylococcal enterotoxin B: stimulation of mature T cells and clonal deletion in neonatal mice. *Cell.* 56:27.
- 31. Krissansen, G.W., C.G. Print, R.L. Prestidge, D. Hollander, Q. Yuan, W.-M. Jiang, D.R. Jenkins, E. Leung, P. Mead, R. Yong, et al. 1992. Immunologic and structural relatedness of the integrin β 7 complex and the human intraepithelial lymphocyte antigen HML-1. *FEBS (Fed. Eur. Biochera. Soa) Lett.* 296:25.
- 32. Roberts, K., and P.J. Kilshaw. 1993. The mucosal T call integrin α_{M290} 37 recognizes a ligand on mucosal epithelial cell lines. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23:1630.
- 33. Gunter, K.C., T.R. Malek, and E.M. Shevach. 1984. T cellactivating properties of an anti-Thyl monoclonal antibody. Possible analogy to OKT3/LEU-4. *J. Exp. Med.* 159:716.
- 34. Alberola-Ila, J., L. Places, F. Lozano, and J. Vives. 1993. Association of an activation inducible serine kinase activity with CD5. *J. Immunol.* 151:4423.
- 35. Beyers, A.D., L.L. Spruyt, and A.F. Williams. 1992. Molecular associations between the T-lymphocyte antigen receptor complex and the surface antigens CD2, CD4, or CD8 and CD5. *Pro~ Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 89:2945.
- 36. Lodberg, L., and E.M. Stevach. 1985. Role of the Lyl antigen in interleukin 1-induced thymocyte activation. *Eur.J. Immunol.* 15:1007.
- 37. Carmo, A.M., D.W. Mason, and A.D. Beyers. 1993. Physical association of the cytoplasmic domain of CD2 with the tyrosine kinases p561ok and p59b". *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23:2196.
- 38. Malefyt, R. de W., S. Verma, M.-T. Bejarano, M. Ranes-Goldberg, M. Hill, and H. Spits. 1993. CD2/LFA-3 or LFA-1/ICAM but not CD28/B7 interactions can augment cytotoxicity by virus-specific CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23:418.
- 39. Shirai, T., M. Abe, H. Yagita, K. Okumura, H.C. Morse III, and W.F. Davidson. 1990. The expanded populations of CD4⁻CD8⁻ T cell receptor α/β ⁺ T cells associated with the lpr and gld mutations are CD2-. *J. Imraunol.* 144:3756.
- 40. Harriman, G.R., N.L. Lycke, L.J. Elwood, and W. Strober. 1990. T lymphocytes that express CD4 and the $\alpha\beta$ -T cell receptor but lack Thy-1. Preferential localization in Peyer's patches, *j. Imraunol.* 145:2406.
- 41. Poussier, P., H.S. Teh, and M. Julius. 1993. Thymusindependent positive and negative selection of T cells expressing a major histocompatibility complex class I restricted transgenic T cell receptor α/β in the intestinal epithelium. *J. Exp. Med.* 178:1947.
- 42. Kaiserlian, D., K. Vidal, H.R. MacDonald, and I. Grosjean. 1993. Mouse intestinal epithelial cells express the self superantigen Mla1². Eur. J. Immunol. 23:2717.
- 43. Beagley, K.W., K. Fujihashi, C.A. Black, A.S. Lagoo, M. Yamamoto, J.R. McGhee, and H. Kiyono. 1993. The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 71-kDa heat-shock protein induces proliferation and cytokine secretion by murine gut intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 23:2049.