

Cardiac and Vascular Surgery–Associated Acute Kidney Injury: The 20th International Consensus Conference of the ADQI (Acute Disease Quality Initiative) Group

Mitra K. Nadim, MD; Lui G. Forni, BSc, PhD, MBBS, MRCPI, AFICM; Azra Bihorac, MD, MS; Charles Hobson, MD, MHA; Jay L. Koyner, MD; Andrew Shaw, MB; George J. Arnaoutakis, MD; Xiaoqiang Ding, MD; Daniel T. Engelman, MD; Hrvoje Gasparovic, MD, PhD, FETCS; Vladimir Gasparovic, MD; Charles A. Herzog, MD, FAHA; Kianoush Kashani, MD, MSc; Nevin Katz, MD; Kathleen D. Liu, MD, PhD, MAS; Ravindra L. Mehta, MD; Marlies Ostermann, MD; Neesh Pannu, MD; Peter Pickkers, MD, PhD; Susanna Price, MB, PhD, FFCM; Zaccaria Ricci, MD; Jeffrey B. Rich, MD; Lokeshwara R. Sajja, MD, MS, MCh; Fred A. Weaver, MD, MMM; Alexander Zarbock, MD; Claudio Ronco, MD; John A. Kellum, MD, MCCM

Acute kidney injury (AKI) occurs in 7% to 18% of hospitalized patients and complicates the course of 50% to 60% of those admitted to the intensive care unit, carrying both significant mortality and morbidity.¹ Even though many cases of AKI are reversible within days to weeks of occurrence, data from multiple large observational and epidemiological studies over the past decade suggest a strong association between AKI and subsequent chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD).^{2,3} Patients with AKI who receive renal replacement therapy (RRT) are >3 times more likely to develop ESRD than those

who do not. This rise in the number of patients who receive treatment for ESRD is a global phenomenon associated with considerable patient costs, effects on quality of life, and economic impact on society as a whole. In developing countries, most people with kidney failure have insufficient access to dialysis and/or kidney transplantation. Consequently, the development of effective approaches to the prevention, early recognition, and management of AKI is necessary to reduce the burden of CKD and ESRD.⁴

Millions of patients undergo cardiac and vascular surgery (CVS) every year in developed countries alone. AKI is a

From the Division of Nephrology & Hypertension, Department of Medicine (M.K.N.) and Division of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery (F.A.W.), Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; Department of Clinical & Experimental Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom (L.G.F.); Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Guildford, United Kingdom (L.G.F.); Division of Nephrology, Hypertension & Renal Transplantation, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL (A.B.); Division of Surgical Critical Care, Department of Surgery, Malcom Randall VA Medical Center, Gainesville, FL (C.H.); Section of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, IL (J.L.K.); Department of Anesthesiology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN (A.S.); Division of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL (G.J.A.); Department of Nephrology, Shanghai Institute for Kidney Disease and Dialysis, Shanghai Medical Center for Kidney Disease, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China (X.D.); Division of Cardiac Surgery, Department of Surgery, Baystate Medical Center, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Springfield, MA (D.T.E.); Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Rebro, Zagreb, Croatia (H.G.); Department of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Croatia (V.G.); Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Hennepin County Medical Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (C.A.H.); Division of Nephrology & Hypertension, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (K.K.); Division of Cardiac Surgery, Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD (N.K.); Divisions of Nephrology and Critical Care, Departments of Medicine and Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, CA (K.D.L.); Department of Medicine, UCSD Medical Center, University of California, San Diego, CA (R.L.M.); King's College London, Guy's & St Thomas' Hospital, London, United Kingdom (M.O.); Division of Critical Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada (N.P.); Department Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands (P.P.); Adult Intensive Care Unit, Imperial College, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom (S.P.); Department of Pediatric Cardiac Surgery, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Roma, Italy (Z.R.); Heart and Vascular Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH (J.B.R.); Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, STAR Hospitals, Hyderabad, India (L.R.S.); Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany (A.Z.); Department of Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation, San Bortolo Hospital, International Renal Research Institute of Vicenza, Italy (C.R.); Department of Critical Care Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, PA (J.A.K.).

Accompanying Table S1 and Data S1 are available at <http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/7/11/e008834/DC1/embed/inline-supplementary-material-1.pdf>

Correspondence to: Mitra K. Nadim, MD, FASN, Division of Nephrology & Hypertension, Department of Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1520 San Pablo St., Suite 4300, Los Angeles, CA 90033. E-mail: nadim@usc.edu

J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7:e008834. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.008834.

Received March 16, 2018; accepted March 23, 2018.

© 2018 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

common perioperative complication for patients undergoing both cardiac surgery^{5–9} and vascular surgery,^{9–11} occurring in 20% to 70% of cases depending on the type of surgery and the definition of AKI used. In addition, more and more of these patients who receive complex CVS are elderly with multiple comorbidities, which predispose to the development of AKI and potentially hasten progression to ESRD. Mortality rates among cardiovascular patients undergoing RRT are between 40% and 70%, and mortality is associated with both the severity of the initial insult and the number of episodes of AKI occurring during the hospital admission.^{12,13}

In recent years, there have been considerable advances in our understanding of CVS-associated AKI (CVS-AKI). Nevertheless, despite the high prevalence, there is little consensus about how best to prevent or treat CVS-AKI. The aim of this consensus process was to review the current literature on CVS-AKI; to create the basis for its definition; to develop an initial understanding of its pathophysiology; to explore the potential use of biomarkers for its diagnosis; to critique current literature in the fields of prevention and treatment, so as to make recommendations for clinical practice; and to propose a framework for future research.

Methods

ADQI (Acute Disease Quality Initiative) is an ongoing process that produces evidence-based recommendations on the diagnosis, prevention, and management of AKI and on various issues concerning acute dialysis and fluid management (<http://www.adqi.org>). The conference chairs of the 20th ADQI consensus committee (M.K.N., J.A.K., V.G., C.R., and L.G.F.) convened a panel of experts representing the relevant disciplines—cardiac surgery, vascular surgery, cardiology, nephrology, anesthesiology, and critical care—from North America, Europe, and Asia to discuss the issues related to CVS-AKI (Data S1). The conference took place June 16 to 19, 2017, and the format of the meeting was a 2.5-day modified Delphi method to achieve consensus, as described previously (Data S1).¹⁴

Results and Discussion

Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of CVS-AKI is complex and poorly understood. Although patients undergoing cardiac and major vascular surgery may experience similar insults to the kidneys, many distinctions exist between these populations. Notable differences are the relative influence of cardiac dysfunction (greater in cardiac surgery) versus warm ischemia–reperfusion injury to the kidneys and increased

abdominal pressures (both greater with vascular surgery). Finally, the effect of the cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) circuit itself in the case of cardiac surgery is notable. Although animal models^{15,16} of CPB and cardiac surgery–associated AKI (CS-AKI) exist, they have not been widely applied to the study of AKI. Furthermore, although clinical studies have been conducted for >40 years, numerous knowledge gaps remain. Observational studies, animal and cell culture work, and mathematical simulations¹⁷ are currently available to predict the events likely to occur during cardiac surgery. Hemodynamic disturbances at each level of arterial blood supply dominate the discussion, and inflammatory, immunological, neurohumoral, and mechanical factors are also of significance (Figure 1).

Hemodynamic perturbations

Perturbations in the renal blood flow may lead to an imbalance of oxygen supply and demand.^{18,19} The inner stripe of the outer medullary portion of the kidney may be susceptible to ischemic damage caused by low resting P_{O_2} (10–20 mm Hg).¹⁸ During CPB, cardiac output is preserved, but the target blood pressure under such nonpulsatile conditions is unknown, and inadequate renal perfusion may contribute to AKI. However, using a mathematical model,¹⁷ the rewarming phase of CPB appeared to represent the period when the renal medulla may be at most risk because of the combination of high oxygen demand and low oxygen supply occurring at this time. Low cardiac output states during and after cardiac surgery are likely to contribute to the ischemic process, although whether low flow, low blood pressure, or oxygen delivery is the main culprit remains elusive.²⁰ Studies of noncardiac surgery patients suggest that maintenance of sufficient mean arterial pressure is the most important hemodynamic parameter to preserve in the perioperative period^{21,22}; however, these patients are rarely exposed to hypothermia and hemodilution, so it remains unclear whether this finding also applies to cardiac surgery patients.

The period after CPB may be relevant for the development of reperfusion injury,²³ and the precise underlying mechanisms need to be fully understood so that preventive and salvage treatments may be developed to mitigate this process. Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) appeared to show great promise in a study of high-risk patients²⁴ but has been shown to be ineffective (at least with respect to the effect sizes examined) in lower risk patients.^{25–27} Some controversy exists regarding the effects of propofol, which has been hypothesized to attenuate the response to RIPC.²⁶

The role of venous congestion in the development of AKI is a potential area of pathophysiological significance.^{28,29} The role of high central venous pressure in congestive heart failure is well appreciated.³⁰ The incidence of AKI in this population has led investigators to study it in the context of heart

surgery, for which the problem is typically in the right heart, and vascular surgery, for which the problem may be increased abdominal compartment pressures. However, the mechanisms involved are unclear, and although “back pressure” on the glomerular apparatus has been postulated, it is unlikely that this process is the sole cause of this observation. It may be that the renal pelvis is able to compensate for a certain amount of increased venous volume before the pressure–flow relationship inside the poorly compliant renal capsule changes, in keeping with the Monro–Kellie doctrine observed in the brain.³¹ Whether this truly applies to the kidney is currently a matter of speculation but one that merits further study.

Inflammation and immunity

The systemic inflammatory response is often observed following major surgery, with considerable variability observed between individuals, although it is recognized that a more severe response is associated with an increased risk of adverse outcomes including AKI.^{32–34} Unsurprisingly, CVS is often associated with such a response and may activate the inflammatory cascade through several pathways.^{35,36} CVS exposes the patient to a risk profile somewhat different from most other major surgeries. CPB, cross-clamping of the aorta, high doses of exogenous vasopressors, and high rates of exogenous blood product transfusion, for example, all enhance the risks of AKI, especially when coupled with the risk profile for AKI for most of these patients. Such exposures are associated with perturbations in renal perfusion that induce reperfusion injury following episodes of ischemia, resulting in increased oxidative stress and associated inflammation.^{37,38} This process is exacerbated by the significant shunting within the kidney that results in the renal medulla and corticomedullary junction being relatively hypoxic relative to other tissues.¹⁸ In cardiac surgery, the entire cardiac output is exposed to an extracorporeal circuit, and this provides a further inflammatory insult through contact activation from the exposure of blood to the CPB circuit; although in the modern CPB circuit biocompatibility has been optimized, measures of immune activation (cytokine and chemokine levels) increase significantly after CPB.³⁶ The generation of reactive oxygen species induces inflammation by upregulation of proinflammatory transcription factors, including NF- κ -B (nuclear factor κ -B).^{39,40} Cytokines and chemokines recruit neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes into the renal parenchyma. Parenchymal infiltration and activation of these immune cells promote AKI and lead to fibrosis. Avoidance of the CPB machine in an attempt to reduce distant organ function has been successful,⁴¹ although recently published data suggest that 5-year survival is lower with off-pump techniques⁴²; this may be a reflection of improved revascularization of the heart with the on-pump

technique. In the presence of concurrent sepsis, such as with bacterial endocarditis, sepsis and surgery appear to be synergistic in terms of affecting an immune response.⁴³

Iron metabolism and free hemoglobin

CVS leads to free hemoglobin liberation with the release of free iron, and this phenomenon has generated much interest regarding CS-AKI.^{44–47} A degree of hemolysis is inevitable whenever red blood cells come into contact with an artificial surface or with air (eg, blood scavenging systems), and this may be coupled with a prolonged period of hypothermia (sometimes as low as 18°C), which creates the perfect environment for hemolysis and liberation of free iron, leading to vasoconstriction through scavenging of nitric oxide by free hemoglobin. Indeed, evidence from a case–control study of patients who developed AKI postoperatively compared with matched controls demonstrated that plasma-free hemoglobin was less than half that observed in the control group, providing further evidence that hemolysis and free iron may contribute to AKI development.⁴⁴ Moreover, free hemoglobin and, particularly, free ferrous iron increase production of reactive oxygen species via the Fenton and Haber Weis reactions, especially as free hemoglobin and iron are sequestered within the kidney.⁴⁸ Plasma-free hemoglobin also induces HO-1 (heme oxygenase 1) expression. HO-1 degrades heme but increases in experimental models of AKI. Plasma HO-1 is increased in patients who develop AKI, and CPB duration, hemolysis, and inflammation are associated with increased HO-1 concentrations following cardiac surgery.⁴⁵

Other mechanisms

Oxygen free radical generation and metabolism is an area of active investigation^{49,50} (and genetic predisposition to injury is important^{51,52}), but it is not clear whether this results in increased susceptibility to AKI or to innate impairment of the ability to repair and regenerate healthy renal tissue. The precise nature of the genetic (and epigenetic) variables involved also remains unclear. Furthermore, embolic disease is important for CS-AKI. Cholesterol emboli⁵³ are at risk for distal migration when a cross-clamp is applied or released from the aorta, especially in patients with significant atherosclerosis. Moreover, intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation devices increase the embolic load, and the fact that these devices are typically deployed in patients with severely compromised hemodynamic conditions makes it difficult to discern whether such devices are of overall benefit (by improving cardiac output) or harm (by increasing generation of emboli) to the kidney. In addition, tissue injury releases mitochondrial damage–associated molecular patterns including mitochondrial DNA, which can act as a direct activator of neutrophils, which in turn elicit a systemic inflammatory response syndrome while suppressing polymorphonuclear




Figure 1. Major pathophysiological mechanisms for the development of cardiac and vascular surgery–associated acute kidney injury (CVS-AKI). Many common factors contribute to the development of CVS-AKI. Hemodynamic perturbations such as exposure to cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), cross-clamping of the aorta, high doses of exogenous vasopressors, and blood-product transfusion all increase the risk of AKI. Similarly, the mechanical factors outlined may be associated with renal perfusion injury following episodes of ischemia, resulting in increased oxidative stress and associated inflammation as well as embolic disease including cholesterol emboli, all of which increase the pathological burden on the kidney. Other mechanisms such as neurohormonal activation are relevant, as is the generation of free hemoglobin and the liberation of free iron perioperatively, all potentiating AKI. Associated tissue damage is reflected in a systemic inflammatory response, and all these factors contribute to a significant inflammatory response. Immune activation, the generation of reactive oxygen species, and upregulation of proinflammatory transcription factors all play roles.

function. Such molecular patterns have also been seen during CPB and, as such, may participate in the pathogenesis of CVS-AKI.⁵⁴

Diagnosis and Risk Assessment

Perioperative stratification for AKI

Recommendation:

We recommend routine implementation of validated clinical risk-prediction models in the preoperative assessment of all patients undergoing CVS, using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), cystatin C, and/or albuminuria to improve risk stratification of those at intermediate and high risk of AKI postoperatively (not graded).

Rationale: Risk assessment is a dynamic process in which patients with fixed preoperative risk derived from underlying comorbidities are evaluated on the basis of additional and potentially modifiable risks from their clinical status before surgery. The use of currently available risk-prediction instruments must be guided by the goals of risk assessment in each instance. Preoperative risk assessment may be useful for communicating risks associated with surgery to the patient and in implementing preventive strategies in the intra- and postoperative periods, for example, goal-directed hemodynamic management, individualized blood pressure management,²¹ and avoidance of the use of NSAIDs for pain management. Postoperative risk assessment is geared toward early identification of AKI that may allow earlier

implementation of preventive strategies. Peri- and postoperative risk assessment is geared toward early identification of AKI that may allow proactive treatment. An important conceptual point is that kidney injury in the setting of CVS occurs along a continuum and may relate to patient, preoperative, and intraoperative factors and the trajectory of AKI occurrence from baseline conditions; its development over a patient's clinical course should take this aspect into account (Figure 2).

Although many risk-prediction scores for AKI after cardiac surgery have been published, only 8 have been externally validated with C statistics ranging from 0.72 to 0.89 (Table S1).^{55–61} In general, these scoring systems have good discrimination in assessing low-risk groups but relatively poor discrimination in moderate to high-risk patients.⁶² There are no externally validated risk-assessment tools specifically for AKI following vascular surgery; although Khetarpal and colleagues developed and externally validated an AKI risk score for general surgery cases that included but was not limited to vascular surgery.^{63,64} The most robust cardiac surgery prediction tools with the best discrimination have used AKI requiring RRT as an outcome. This is problematic because AKI requiring dialysis, although catastrophic in this context, is relatively uncommon, occurring in 1% to 2% of all patients undergoing surgery in most programs. In addition, the decision to initiate RRT varies among clinicians. Less severe forms of AKI are commonly seen after cardiac surgery with reported rates of Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) stage 1 AKI reported between 20% and 70% depending on the patient risk factors and the inclusion of KDIGO serum creatinine (sCr) and/or urine output (UO) criteria for AKI.^{7,8,65–68} Three of the prediction rules have assessed risk of less severe forms of AKI defined using sCr criteria only.^{56,58,69} Of these, one used the RIFLE criteria of AKI,⁵⁶ and another used the Acute Kidney Injury Network criteria.⁶⁹

The risk factors commonly identified in externally validated risk-prediction models are shown in Figure 2. Preexisting CKD, although variably defined, is the strongest risk factor for AKI in this setting. With 2 exceptions,^{59,70} most other prediction tools have used sCr to assess kidney function, which may significantly overestimate kidney function, particularly in malnourished elderly populations. The eGFR, which accounts for age, race, and sex and is subject to similar limitations, is likely a more accurate estimation of kidney function in stable, elective patients. The use of both eGFR and sCr in this context assumes steady-state kidney function, which is frequently not the case. Newly identified risk factors such as preoperative hemoglobin (anemia/transfusion load) and proteinuria have only been incorporated in recent models, whereas other risk factors have not been rigorously studied for their incremental value when added to existing risk-

prediction models (eg, days from cardiac catheterization to surgery). All risk-prediction tools have shown only moderate calibration, suggesting significant heterogeneity in the underlying populations.⁶² Because most risk-prediction tools have been derived from clinical and administrative databases, they fail to capture acuity of illness, which may account for the calibration discrepancies and the difficulty in discrimination among the moderate- to high-risk groups. Some measure or surrogate for hemodynamic stability is present in all published models whether it is characterized by surgical urgency or the presence of cardiogenic shock. It is likely that risk discrimination would improve if additional objectively defined clinical variables were included.

At a minimum, all patients undergoing cardiac and vascular surgical procedures should undergo routine clinical assessment of AKI risk. This involves systematic evaluation of known susceptibilities for development of AKI such as CKD and albuminuria using preoperative sCr and urinalysis in all patients before surgery.^{71–73} These results will help frame individualized risk for AKI while perhaps providing insight into patients' baseline renal function. Whenever possible, efforts should be made to obtain the patient's prior kidney-function tests to ascertain true baseline function. In summary, the currently available preoperative risk-assessment tools are beneficial in that they use commonly available data and identify low-risk patients in the setting of traditional CVS. Nevertheless, they have several limitations:

1. They are predominantly used to predict RRT.
2. Sensitivity and specificity break down at the extremes of the spectrum.
3. They do not account for preoperative eGFR (primarily rely on sCr alone).

Intra- and postoperative factors play equally important roles in determining the course and severity of AKI; as such, continued risk assessment throughout the peri- and postoperative periods is crucial for patients at risk of AKI.

Definition and diagnosis of AKI

Recommendations:

1. We recommend that AKI should be defined by the KDIGO criteria, including both sCr and UO criteria (not graded).
2. We recommend checking sCr immediately before surgery in all patients and utilizing sCr-based eGFR to assess renal function in patients with steady state preoperatively so as to ascertain AKI postoperatively (not graded).
3. We recommend repeated clinical reassessment of AKI risk within the first 12 postoperative hours incorporating intra- and postoperative variables (not graded).
4. We suggest measuring biomarkers of AKI (eg, TIMP2-IGFBP7 [combination of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 2 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein




Figure 2. Risk assessment for acute kidney injury (AKI) following cardiac and vascular surgery (CVS). This figure provides a framework for the time course of risk assessment for AKI following CVS. Risk assessment should be a continual process that is repeatedly performed in the pre-, peri-, and early postoperative time course, and it should incorporate clinical factors and biomarkers if available. Patients deemed to be at high risk of AKI may benefit from the implementation of kidney-focused care to improve patient outcomes. CHF indicates congestive heart failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; EF, ejection fraction; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; IGFBP7, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Initiative Global Outcome; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase–associated lipocalin; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; TIMP2, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 2.

7] or NGAL [neutrophil gelatinase–associated lipocalin]) in patients at high risk of CS-AKI (grade 2A).

Rationale: The current Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) database defines AKI by KDIGO sCr-based stage 3 AKI (sCr ≥ 3 times baseline or initiation of RRT).⁷⁴ However, smaller changes in sCr are associated with adverse outcomes following CVS.^{75–78} Given the association of stage 1 AKI (sCr 1.5 times baseline or ≥ 0.3 -mg/dL increase within 48 hours) with adverse outcomes in multiple settings, it is important to recognize stage 1 AKI (based on sCr and/or UO criteria) so that the progression to stage 2 (sCr 2.0 times baseline) or stage 3 AKI and other outcomes can be monitored. In addition, sCr criteria alone may miss $\approx 30\%$ of patients with AKI, resulting in both misclassification of AKI severity and delay in management. Critically ill patients who meet AKI criteria by both sCr and UO are at higher risk of adverse outcomes including 30-day mortality and need for RRT in comparison to those who meet a single criterion for AKI.^{5,79–81} The STS database does not distinguish between

AKI and acute kidney disease, which is currently defined as the course of the AKI syndrome in those who continue to have renal pathophysiological changes 7 days after the inciting event. Acute kidney disease may last for weeks to months with variable outcomes (full or partial recovery, ESRD).⁸² Moreover, the timing and trajectory of AKI (<7 days versus 7–30 days) after CVS can provide insight into the cause of kidney dysfunction and may likely have different associations with adverse outcomes.

We recommend checking sCr in all patients to determine preoperative baseline kidney function. The time frame depends on the presence of acute illness and other factors that may affect kidney health (eg, recent exposure to iodinated contrast in the setting of cardiac catheterization, medications including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers). In the absence of such factors, it is reasonable to obtain preoperative baseline sCr within 2 weeks of surgery. When sCr is at steady state (eg, stable for 48 hours), it can be used to calculate eGFR

using validated estimating equations (eg, Chronic Kidney Disease–Epidemiology Collaboration [CKD–EPI] or Modification of Diet in Renal Disease [MDRD]) to assess for CKD.⁸³ For urgent or emergent surgical procedures, when sCr is less likely to be in steady state, the preoperative baseline sCr should be obtained within 24 hours of surgery and, ideally, as close as possible to the start of surgery. In these cases, clinical factors that contribute to the urgency of surgery (eg, cardiogenic shock) are likely to affect sCr and kidney function adversely.

Pitfalls of AKI definitions. Several specific challenges for AKI definitions exist in the context of cardiac surgery. In the setting of CPB, hemodilution frequently results in postoperative sCr below the preoperative baseline. Given the limitations of sCr, certain subpopulations may be particularly prone to overestimation of baseline kidney function, including elderly patients, sarcopenic patients, or those with significant volume overload. Given the importance of underlying CKD as a risk factor for perioperative AKI, this is most relevant for preoperative risk assessment. In these patients, quantitation of kidney function with cystatin C or timed creatinine clearances or eGFR equations (eg, CKD–EPI or MDRD) may be preferable approaches.⁸³

Beyond sCr. Despite its ubiquitous use, sCr is an imperfect marker for the early detection of AKI because rises in sCr are delayed following the kidney insult. In patients with normal preoperative renal function, GFR may decrease significantly with only minimal effect on sCr. During cardiac surgery, CPB and intravenous fluid administration may lead to hemodilution of sCr in the perioperative period, delaying the recognition of AKI. These limitations of sCr (and other functional biomarkers of AKI such as UO) have led to a call for the use of tubular damage and stress biomarkers for the recognition and diagnosis of AKI.⁸² A recent consensus conference provided a framework for the reclassification of AKI along changes in functional (sCr and UO) and damage or stress biomarkers (Figure 3).⁸⁴ Over the past decade, several biomarkers of AKI have demonstrated the ability to detect kidney injury or stress and have been proposed as tools to improve the evaluation and treatment of patients with AKI.^{67,85–92}

Importantly, damage may occur before reaching the AKI threshold of changes in sCr or UO, reflecting delay in the kinetics of these functional markers or the presence of renal reserve (the compensatory ability of the kidney to increase GFR in the face of increased demand). The best-studied and most widely available biomarkers in the clinical setting are urinary or plasma NGAL and TIMP2-IGFBP7.^{67,85–93} NGAL has been most successful in pediatric cardiac surgery, whereas TIMP2-IGFBP7 has been used in both adult and pediatric cases. Thus, biomarkers may potentially refine the clinical

management of AKI by providing both diagnostic and prognostic information independent of the conventional functional markers of AKI. Recent studies have demonstrated that implementing kidney-focused care in the setting of postoperative patients with elevated stress biomarkers leads to improved patient outcomes (less AKI, less severe AKI, and decreased length of stay).^{67,93} However, we recommend applying the KDIGO bundle only to CVS patients who are at high risk of AKI identified by biomarkers.

Prevention of CVS-AKI

Recommendations:

1. We recommend that a variety pharmacological and nonpharmacological strategies be used to prevent AKI in patients undergoing CVS (Table 1; grades 1A–2D).
2. We recommend avoiding certain interventions for prevention of AKI that have been shown to be ineffective or to cause harm (Table 1; grades 1A–1B).
3. A number of approaches to prevent AKI lack sufficient evidence for recommendation and are best avoided until such evidence is available (Table 1; not graded).
4. We recommend avoiding hydroxyethyl starch (grade 1A) and suggest judicious use of balanced crystalloid solutions rather than saline or albumin solutions to replace fluid losses (grade 2B).

Rationale: The evidence for most pharmacological and nonpharmacological strategies in the perioperative setting is limited because the majority of studies are single center, are of poor quality with small sample sizes, and/or use variable inclusion criteria. In addition, the timing and dose of pharmacological agents and the definition of AKI vary widely.

Pharmacological strategies

Perioperative. Numerous pharmacological agents including levosimendan,^{94–97} statins,^{98–100} N-acetylcysteine,^{101–104} sodium bicarbonate,^{105–108} and erythropoietin^{109–113} have, for the most part, failed to demonstrate benefit for the prevention of CS-AKI. A possible exception is dexmedetomidine, for which a number of small or low-quality studies found a reduction in the occurrence of AKI after cardiac surgery.^{114–116} Moderate glucose control (127–179 mg/dL) was found in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) to be preferable to tight control (≤ 126 mg/dL) in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting,¹¹⁷ resulting in lower rates of AKI and mortality, with the most important factor being avoidance of glucose variability throughout the entire perioperative time frame.^{118,119} The use of balanced crystalloid solutions guided by measures of fluid responsiveness is supported by the current literature and is consistent with the recommendations for fluid management in critically ill




Figure 3. Classification of acute kidney injury (AKI) by changes in function and/or damage. Currently the diagnosis of AKI is made through changes in serum creatinine (sCr) or urine output (UO)—functional biomarkers. The 10th Acute Disease Quality Initiative consensus meeting delineated criteria for defining AKI in terms of changes in biomarkers of renal function (sCr/UO) and biomarkers of kidney damage. This paradigm allows for the combination of injury biomarkers with sCr and UO and has been useful in the discrimination of patients with AKI. The terms *prerenal* and *intrinsic* AKI are sometimes used to denote these relationships. The upper right box may be termed *subclinical*. The upper left box is yellow because we may still miss changes in function and damage in some patients; a role for additional diagnostics and/or stress tests is acknowledged.

patients with sepsis.^{97,120–123} The administration of hydroxyethyl starch is not indicated for patients at risk of CS-AKI because of its demonstrated renal toxicity.^{97,121} Although albumin may have a role preoperatively in patients with hypoalbuminemia,¹²⁴ we suggest limiting colloid administration in cardiac surgery patients as much as possible and recommend balanced crystalloid solutions as replacement fluid, in keeping with the literature.¹²⁰

Preoperative. Although evidence is limited, several studies demonstrate that withholding angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers in the preoperative period is associated with reduced incidence of AKI.^{125–127} Correction of hypoalbuminemia (level of <4 g/dL) by exogenous albumin supplementation has been shown to be renoprotective in off-pump cardiac surgery.^{124,128}

Intraoperative. Volatile anesthetics have been shown to protect against AKI in clinical trials.^{129,130} A recently published meta-analysis including 10 trials with 1600 total

participants demonstrated that volatile anesthetics significantly reduced AKI incidence compared with control data (relative risk: 0.65; 95% confidence interval, 0.43–0.97; $P=0.04$). Although there was no significant difference between groups in absolute postoperative sCr level and mortality, patients receiving volatile anesthetics had significantly (or borderline) lower increase in sCr level from baseline on the first and second postoperative days and reduced incidence of prolonged intensive care unit stay and hospitalization.¹³¹

Postoperative. For agents such as dopamine,¹³² α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone analog,¹³³ and loop diuretics,^{134,135} evidence shows that their use in the prevention of AKI either is not beneficial or is potentially harmful.^{132,134,135} Because the evidence for mannitol^{136–138} and fenoldopam^{139–143} is either weak or controversial, more research is needed. Although natriuretic peptides have been shown in some studies to reduce the incidence of AKI, the need for RRT was similar, and the overall evidence for these

Table 1. Strategies for Prevention of AKI After CVS

Timing	Strategy	Population	Recommended	Not Recommended
Perioperative	Avoidance of glucose variability	Cardiac	1B	...
	Balanced crystalloid (vs saline)	Cardiac and vascular	1B	...
	Dexmedetomidine	Cardiac	2C	...
	Statins	Cardiac	...	1A
	N-acetylcysteine	Cardiac	...	1A
	Sodium bicarbonate	Cardiac	...	1A
	Levosimendan	Cardiac	...	1A
	Limited use of blood transfusion	Cardiac vascular	1A	More research needed
	Albumin (vs crystalloid)	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	Erythropoietin	Cardiac and vascular	...	More research needed
Preoperative	Discontinuation of ACEIs and ARBs	Cardiac	1C	...
	Albumin in patients with hypoalbuminemia	Cardiac (OPCAB)	2C	...
	24- to 72-h delay postcontrast before cardiac surgery	Cardiac	2C	...
	IABP	Cardiac	2C*	...
Intraoperative	Volatile anesthetic agents (vs propofol)	Cardiac	2C	...
	Cold renal perfusion for AAA	Vascular	2C	...
	Avoidance of hyperthermia	Cardiac	2C	...
	Pulsatile CPB	Cardiac	2D*	...
	Avoidance of hemodilution	Cardiac	2C	...
	Techniques to prevent procedure-related atheroembolism	Vascular	2C	...
	OPCAB technique	Cardiac	...	1A
	Remote ischemic preconditioning	Cardiac	2B*	More research needed for vascular and low risk cardiac surgery
	Minimization of aortic manipulation	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	MAP >75	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	Intraoperative ultrafiltration	Cardiac	...	More research needed
Postoperative	KDIGO bundle	Cardiac	1B*	...
	Low tidal volume ventilation strategy	Cardiac	1C	...
	Loop diuretics (for prevention of AKI)	Cardiac and vascular	...	1B
	Levosimendan	Cardiac	...	1A
	Dopamine	Cardiac	...	1A
	A-melanocyte-stimulating hormone	Cardiac	...	1B
	Vasopressin for vasoplegic shock (vs norepinephrine)	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	Natriuretic peptides	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	Fenoldopam	Cardiac	...	More research needed
	Mannitol	Cardiac and vascular	...	More research needed

AAA indicates abdominal aortic aneurysm (includes thoracoabdominal); ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AKI, acute kidney injury; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; CVS, cardiac and vascular surgery; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; KDIGO, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; MAP, mean arterial pressure; OPCAB, off-pump coronary artery bypass.

*High-risk cardiac surgery patients.

drugs was weak and controversial.^{144–152} Vasodilatory shock (vasoplegia) has become a common occurrence, particularly with the widespread use of angiotensin-converting enzyme

inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers.¹⁵³ In a recent RCT of patients with vasoplegic shock (defined as mean arterial pressure <65 mm Hg resistant to fluid challenge and cardiac

index >2.2 L/min per m^2) after cardiac surgery, vasopressin was associated with lower incidence of severe AKI (stages 2 and 3) and RRT use, although, again, study limitations prevent recommendation and further research is needed.¹⁵⁴

Nonpharmacological strategies

Perioperative. Again, results in this area are contradictory. Intravenous contrast before surgery may increase the incidence of AKI and has led to some recommendations for delaying surgery 24 to 72 hours after contrast administration^{155,156}; however, another study disagrees.¹⁵⁷ Preoperative placement of an intra-aortic balloon pump may prevent AKI and reduce the incidence of RRT in high-risk patients by improving perfusion and reducing endothelial activation.^{158,159} Others, however, have suggested that using an intra-aortic balloon pump as a surrogate to achieve pulsatile perfusion contributes significantly to lowered aortic pressure in the distal portion of aorta and may impair kidney perfusion.¹⁶⁰

Intraoperative. The use of CPB is required for the majority of cardiac surgical procedures. During CPB, cardiac output is preserved, but the target blood pressure under such nonpulsatile conditions is unknown. A large RCT demonstrated that maintaining a higher level of mean arterial pressure during normothermic CPB did not reduce the incidence of AKI.¹⁶¹ However, before making any recommendations, further evidence is required. The impact of off-pump coronary artery bypass (OPCAB) on renal outcomes has been studied extensively and remains another point of controversy. OPCAB exerted a renoprotective effect in patients with normal preoperative sCr but not in patients with preexisting renal disease.¹⁶² No impact on the incidence of AKI with the 2 competing strategies was seen in some series,^{163,164} whereas others found an association of on-pump coronary artery bypass with higher incidence of AKI.¹⁶⁵ A meta-analysis of trials ($n=17\ 322$ patients) suggests a lower risk for AKI but no difference in the need for RRT in off-pump surgery patients.¹⁶⁶ However, a recently published RCT ($n=2932$ patients) demonstrated that OPCAB reduced the risk of postoperative AKI in comparison to on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting, with no discernable difference in kidney function at 1 year.⁴¹ Although data suggest a reduction in AKI in OPCAB, a recent RCT⁴² and several meta-analyses^{167,168} have demonstrated an increase in long-term, all-cause mortality in OPCAB compared with on-pump surgery. Consequently, the greater operative safety and possible prevention of AKI with OPCAB may come at the expense of long-term survival gains.

Catheter manipulation in the thoracic and abdominal aorta may lead to renal artery embolization, with aortic cross-clamping proximal to the renal arteries associated with ischemia–reperfusion injury, further aggravated by atheroemboli secondary to aortic manipulation.^{169,170} Aortic clamping

above bilateral renal arteries, adjunctive renal artery procedures, and left renal vein division have been found to increase the incidence of AKI.¹³⁶ Emboli protection devices may help preserve renal function and prevent procedure-related atheroembolism during endovascular renal interventions.¹⁷¹ Transradial coronary angiography avoids catheter manipulation in the descending and abdominal aorta, which, compounded by reduced bleeding, leads to less AKI than transfemoral angiography.¹⁷² Avoidance of aortic manipulation (the “no touch” technique) in OPCAB has been shown to decrease the risk of postoperative stroke in several studies; however, its effect on AKI incidence remains controversial, with some studies demonstrating lower incidence,^{173,174} but others failing to do so.^{175,176}

Moderate hypothermic circulatory arrest with antegrade cerebral perfusion during complex aortic surgery has been embraced by an increasing number of surgical groups, with data suggesting that this is not associated with an increased AKI risk.¹⁷⁷ Conversely, hyperthermic perfusion during CPB, defined as a cumulative time at $>37^\circ\text{C}$, is associated with an increase in AKI incidence.¹⁷⁸ In a study achieving clinical equipoise through propensity score matching, duration of hyperthermic perfusion was independently associated with severity of AKI, with a 51% increase in the incidence for every 10 minutes of hyperthermic perfusion.¹⁷⁹ Cold renal perfusion has been suggested for pararenal abdominal aorta aneurysm surgery to reduce the incidence of AKI associated with juxtarenal and thoracoabdominal aortic operations.^{180–182}

Pulsatile perfusion provides surplus mechanical energy transmission to the vascular endothelium. Its impact on clinical outcomes has been extensively studied in a variety of settings; however, the data on renal outcomes are conflicting because of the lack of uniformity in pulsatility delivery.^{159,183–185} A retrospective analysis showed pulsatile CPB conferred a renoprotective effect in higher risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery.¹⁸⁶ However, the contemporary use of implantable continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices challenges this concept.¹⁸⁷ The improvement in the hemodynamic environment provided by continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices leads to better renal function in heart failure patients, implying that the theoretical importance of pulsatility is superseded by increased cardiac output.^{187,188}

Hemodilution during CPB is an independent risk factor for AKI in adult cardiac surgery,¹⁸⁹ with improved outcomes for cases in which significant hemodilution (hematocrit $<24\%$) is avoided during CPB.¹⁹⁰ Although lower hemoglobin (8.8 versus 13.1 g/dL) preoperatively and on arrival to the intensive care unit has been associated with persistent AKI after cardiac surgery,¹⁹¹ transfusion of at least 2 U of packed red blood cells has also been associated with higher incidence of CVS-AKI.¹⁹² In 2 recent RCTs in which patients were randomized to a liberal (Hb <9.5 g/dL) or restrictive (Hb <7.5 g/dL) transfusion policy

intraoperatively and postoperatively, there was no difference in postoperative outcomes including AKI.^{193,194} In addition, studies have failed to demonstrate any benefit in the use of erythropoietin in the prevention of postoperative AKI.^{109–111} Conventional ultrafiltration has been used to treat the hemodilutional effects of CPB circuits, but routine adoption does not seem to be efficacious, with a recent study demonstrating a higher adjusted risk of AKI.¹⁹⁵

As discussed, the effect of RIPC on renal function yields conflicting results.^{24–26} In high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery, the rate of AKI and the need for RRT have been found to be significantly decreased using RIPC,¹⁹⁶ whereas in studies of unselected patients with nonstandardized intraoperative technique, no renal benefit was observed.^{25,26} Results of recent meta-analyses have failed to demonstrate the efficacy of RIPC in reducing the incidence of AKI or the need for RRT.^{197–200} Importantly, these meta-analyses included studies involving low-risk patients and studies that used propofol. In a subgroup of studies in which propofol was not used, a reduction in AKI was demonstrated, suggesting a possible interaction of propofol with the protective effects of RIPC.²⁰⁰ Data for vascular surgery patients are also conflicting and limited to 4 small RCTs in patients undergoing open or endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, the majority of whom showed no benefit with RIPC in preventing AKI.^{201–204}

Postoperative. Implementation of a “KDIGO bundle of care”—consisting of avoidance of nephrotoxic agents, discontinuation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers for the first 48 hours after surgery, close monitoring of sCr and UO, avoidance of hyperglycemia for the first 72 hours after surgery, consideration of alternatives to radiocontrast agents, and close hemodynamic monitoring using a prespecified algorithm—prevented CVS-AKI in high-risk patients defined as biomarker positive.^{67,93} A lower rate of CS-AKI has been shown in patients ventilated with low (<10 mL/kg) tidal volume compared with traditional (10–12 mL/kg) or high (>12 mL/kg) tidal volumes after cardiac surgery.²⁰⁵

Management of CVS-AKI

Workup and monitoring

Recommendations:

1. The choice of diagnostic tests and monitoring for patients with CVS-AKI is determined by the presence and the degree of kidney and/or cardiorespiratory dysfunction (not graded).
2. The diagnostic approach depends on the etiology of organ dysfunction and the expected short- and long-term patient outcomes (not graded).

3. A kidney-specific and stepwise diagnostic approach for patients with CVS-AKI and cardiorespiratory dysfunction may be helpful (not graded).

Rationale: Effective interventions to reverse the consequences of AKI remain elusive, with efforts focusing on primary prevention. Nevertheless, management of patients who sustain AKI is of vital importance, both to reduce the immediate impact of AKI and to avoid further episodes of AKI and avert the progression of AKI to acute kidney disease or CKD. Organ functional changes are dynamic, and, as such, frequent assessments are required to establish the trajectory that will influence the nature and urgency of interventions. Such assessments should guide preemptive therapies to prevent or manage complications that are anticipated in the setting of AKI. Because the impact of CVS-AKI on both short- and long-term outcomes of patients is significant, reaching appropriate diagnoses to provide etiology-specific individualized preventive and/or therapeutic measures is critical. The diagnostic tests and monitoring strategies available are manifold (Table 2) and should be determined by the level of kidney or cardiorespiratory dysfunction present (Figure 4A and 4B).

If no evidence shows cardiopulmonary failure, it is essential to try to identify the etiology of the AKI. Following evaluation of cardiorespiratory function and intravascular volume status, urine analysis and medication review are initial steps in assessing such patients. The use of stress or injury biomarkers of AKI may potentially allow more accurate prognostication of AKI because they have been shown to correlate with long-term outcomes.^{206,207} When AKI persists for >48 to 72 hours, nephrology consultation to evaluate other potential causes of AKI and, potentially, disease-specific management may be helpful. For patients who require RRT, close monitoring of metabolic milieu and hemodynamic/volume status is critical. Once discharged, clinicians should follow patients who recovered from CVS-AKI for the development of CKD or other post-AKI complications.²

Pharmacological and nonpharmacological support

Recommendations:

1. The management goals for the CVS patient who has sustained AKI include preventing the progression of AKI, promoting renal recovery precluding subsequent episodes of AKI, and treating the acute and chronic consequences of AKI (not graded).
2. We recommend not using natriuretic peptide, fenoldopam, diuretics, dopamine, or mannitol for the treatment of CVS-AKI (grade 1C).
3. The decision to start RRT should be individualized with consideration of the clinical context and not be based solely on

Table 2. Suggested Diagnostic Tests and Monitoring Strategies for CVS-AKI

Category	Test	Patients	Advantage	Disadvantage
Cardiovascular function	Basic hemodynamic monitoring (MAP, cardiac filling pressure, and its trends, Sp _o ₂ , HR, RR, temperature)	All patients	Identification of patients with need for advanced monitoring Ability to evaluate the trends	Low resolution Questionable relationship with volume deficiency
	Advanced hemodynamic monitoring (thermodilution, transpulmonary indicator dilution, arterial-pressure waveform-derived, esophageal or suprasternal Doppler, echocardiography, partial CO ₂ rebreathing, bioimpedance, and bioreactance)	Progressive or severe AKI Hemodynamic instability	Accuracy High resolution	Invasive Limited evidence for effect on outcomes
	Assist device–related information	Patients who need cardiorespiratory support	Readily available Informative	Requires expertise to interpret
	Lactate	Progressive or severe AKI Hemodynamic instability	Indicator of tissue O ₂ delivery Clearance guides therapy	Lacks specificity (eg, epinephrine-induced increase in lactate)
	SV _o ₂ or ScV _o ₂	Progressive or severe AKI Hemodynamic instability	Balance of tissue O ₂ delivery and consumption	Sensitivity can be reduced with shunting and when O ₂ consumption is low
Pulmonary function	Blood gas	Cardiorespiratory dysfunction	Accurate measure of oxygenation and ventilation	Limited information concerning cardiac performance
	Lung US	Cardiorespiratory dysfunction	Noninvasive Sensitive and specific	Interrater variability
	Lung imaging	Cardiorespiratory dysfunction	Simple, uniformly available	X-ray exposure; Specificity can be limited
	Lung compliance	Patients on MV	Easy to perform	May lack specificity
Kidney function	sCr	All patients with CVS-AKI	Available Informative (diagnosis and prediction)	Late and insensitive biomarker of kidney dysfunction
	Urine sediments	Kidney dysfunction	High specificity	Low sensitivity
	Urine electrolytes	Kidney dysfunction	Available Confirmatory	Limited sensitivity and specificity, Confounded by diuretics
	Injury/stress biomarkers	CVS-AKI (AKI risk and stage I)	Informative (diagnosis, prognosis) Less delayed	Limited availability in some areas
	Kidney US	Suspicion of obstruction	Highly sensitive and specific	Interrater variability
	Kidney Doppler US	Progressive kidney dysfunction	Noninvasive	Lack of specificity of resistive index Limited images in ICU
	Urine eosinophils	Progressive kidney dysfunction and clinical suspicion of AIN/atheroembolic disease	Reasonable specificity	Low sensitivity
	Kidney biopsy	Progressive kidney disease with unknown etiology	Informative (diagnosis, prognosis)	Not done in usual clinical practice
Miscellaneous	Chemistry panel	All patients with CVS-AKI	Available Informative (eg, to assess AKI complications)	
	Glucose monitoring	All CVS-AKI patients	Allows appropriate glucose management	

Continued

Table 2. Continued

Category	Test	Patients	Advantage	Disadvantage
	Myoglobin and sarcoplasmic proteins (eg, creatine kinase, aldolase, LDH, ALT, and AST)	Progressive AKI with clinical suspicion	Informative (rhabdomyolysis diagnosis)	
	Complement	Progressive AKI with clinical suspicion of immunologically mediated renal disease (eg, atheroembolic disease, infection, I/R)	Confirmatory	Low sensitivity
	ESR/CRP	Progressive AKI with clinical suspicion (eg, Atheroembolic disease, infection, I/R)	Confirmatory	
	Peripheral blood smear and hemolysis panel	Progressive AKI with clinical suspicion of hemolysis associated AKI (eg, HUS/TTP, DIC)	Confirmatory	
	Inflammatory biomarkers	Vasodilatory shock	Predictor of outcome	Limited availability; no standardization

AIN indicates acute interstitial nephritis; AKI, acute kidney injury; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein; CVS, cardiac and vascular surgery; DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HR, heart rate; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; I/R, ischemia/reperfusion; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MAP, mean arterial pressure; MV, mechanical ventilation; RR, respiratory rate; sCr, serum creatinine; SpO₂, peripheral oxygen saturation; ScVo₂, central venous oxygen saturation; SVo₂, systemic venous oxygen saturation; TTP, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; US, ultrasound.

renal function or stage of AKI. Once the decision to initiate RRT has been made, it should be started promptly (not graded).

4. We recommend the use of continuous therapies in patients with hemodynamic instability and in situations in which shifts in fluid balance are poorly tolerated (grade 1B).

Rationale: For those patients with CVS-AKI who present with cardiorespiratory failure, the diagnostic approach needs to focus first on addressing cardiorespiratory dysfunction. Among patients who have significant baseline or acute cardiorespiratory failure or who require substantial support for heart and lung function, the intensity of hemodynamic and intravascular volume status monitoring should be escalated.²⁰⁸ The hemodynamic management of cardiac surgery patients with renal dysfunction should focus on:

1. Improvement of right and left ventricular function
2. Maintenance of MAP and sinus rhythm
3. Optimization of preload
4. Management of right ventricle afterload (pulmonary vascular resistance)
5. Optimization of mechanical ventilation

Pharmacological cardiac support. A multipharmacological approach is frequently necessary. Catecholamines are often required to improve ventricular function, acting through a direct inotropic effect but also by improving myocardial perfusion and recruitment of unstressed venous preload.

Phosphodiesterase type III inhibitors (milrinone and enoximone), calcium sensitizers (levosimendan), and pulmonary vasodilators (inhaled nitric oxide, inhaled prostacyclin, and inhaled milrinone) can improve cardiac performance while reducing afterload on both right and left ventricles. Because the determinant for renal perfusion is the arterial and venous blood pressure, both left ventricle dysfunction and increased central venous pressure are associated with decreased renal perfusion and increased renal afterload contributing to CS-AKI.²⁰⁹ As noted, increased renal venous pressure also causes an increase in the renal subcapsular pressure, thereby reducing glomerular filtration.²¹⁰ The use of dynamic measures based on heart–lung interactions to predict fluid responsiveness, such as pulse pressure or stroke volume variation, has been shown to improve outcomes including renal function in both cardiac and noncardiac settings.²¹¹ Fluid overload is particularly problematic following cardiac surgery because of the frequent co-occurrence of low cardiac output and postcardiotomy ventricular impaired relaxation. Following the acute phase, slow and controlled volume unloading, through the use of diuretics and/or continuous RRT, is frequently necessary.

Pharmacological kidney support. Pharmacological management of CVS-AKI remains challenging, with no specific approaches available currently. Many drugs including dopamine, loop diuretics, mannitol, and natriuretic peptides have been investigated as primary renal therapy. Although




Figure 4. A, Cardiorespiratory-specific diagnostic approach. This diagnostic approach may be applied to a patient who has a cardiorespiratory cause of acute kidney injury (AKI). The level of intervention is governed by the degree and chronicity of cardiorespiratory dysfunction. Source: ADQI (Acute Disease Quality Initiative) 20th consensus meeting (<http://www.adqi.org>). Used with permission. B, Kidney-specific diagnostic approach. This diagnostic approach may be applied to a patient who has a renal-specific cause of AKI. The level of intervention is governed by the degree and duration of renal dysfunction. This is particularly relevant in the post-intensive care unit phase, in which a patient with persistent AKI (>2 or 3 days) or acute kidney disease should be monitored and followed up. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; CI, cardiac index; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CO, cardiac output; CVP, central venous pressure; CXR, chest x-ray; EVLW, extravascular lung water; HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; RR, respiratory rate; ScVO₂, central venous oxygen saturation; SpO₂, peripheral oxygen saturation; SVV, stroke volume variation; US, ultrasound.

associated with increased UO, none are routinely used because of limited and conflicting data and, in some cases, evidence of harm.^{140,145,212} RCTs with natriuretic peptides have shown inconsistent effects for renal end points. Meta-analyses demonstrated that atrial natriuretic peptide in high doses was associated with a trend toward increased mortality

and more adverse events in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.¹⁴⁴ Although there was a reduced need for RRT (with the associated problems using RRT as an end point) with low-dose atrial natriuretic peptide in patients undergoing CVS, there was no difference in mortality. The majority of studies on atrial natriuretic peptide are underpowered and of low or




Figure 5. Fluid management strategies in critical illness: the place of mechanical fluid removal. Once life-threatening hypovolemia has been corrected (savage resuscitation), fluid overload (FO) needs to be avoided. Early mechanical fluid removal should be considered if specific indications exist. Note, the existence of an extracorporeal circuit for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) greatly reduces any added risk for renal replacement therapy (RRT), assuming this circuit is used rather than a separate line for RRT. However, some patients will respond well to diuretics, and thus an ECMO circuit in place is only a relative indication for early RRT initiation and only when fluid or solute management dictates. During therapy, hemodynamic and intravascular volume status should be monitored and fluid removal rate and fluid balance targets reassessed regularly, aiming for clinical stability and tolerance of fluid removal. Within this pathway, RRT should be considered at any point if additional solute clearance is necessary. FB indicates fluid balance; UF, ultrafiltration.

moderate quality; therefore, atrial natriuretic peptide is not currently recommended for treatment of AKI.²¹³ Although meta-analyses have suggested a decrease in RRT in patients with CS-AKI who were treated with fenoldopam, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of patients with CS-AKI (n=667) was stopped for futility after an interim analysis. Fenoldopam infusion did not reduce 30-day mortality or the need for RRT but was associated with an increased rate of hypotension compared with placebo.¹⁴⁰

Renal replacement therapy. In patients undergoing cardiac surgery, perioperative fluid overload has been associated with worse outcomes and is a primary risk factor for multiorgan failure, including AKI.^{214–216} Fluid balance can be achieved with pharmacological agents; however, in some cases, RRT

should be considered to correct fluid accumulation, even in nonoliguric patients if the daily fluid balance cannot be maintained or is negative with the use of diuretics, to avoid the negative effects of prolonged fluid overload in critically ill patients (Figure 5).^{217–219}

The optimal timing of RRT initiation remains a topic of much debate. Theoretically, initiation of RRT before the onset of severe AKI could improve survival and promote earlier recovery of kidney function by mitigating injury from acidemia, uremia, fluid overload, and systemic inflammation.²²⁰ However, early initiation may put patients at risk associated with RRT when a conservative approach could be used. Meta-analyses examining the timing of initiation of RRT^{221–224} have suggested that earlier initiation of RRT in critically ill patients with AKI may have a beneficial impact on survival. A

Table 3. Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Directions in CVS-AKI

Knowledge Gap	Future Research Directions
Risk assessment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Defining the association of KDIGO stage 1 AKI by urine output and sCr with outcomes in the CVS settings 2 Investigation of acute kidney stress are warranted to better characterize the incidence and outcomes of those with elevations in injury and damage biomarkers before changes in sCr and urine output 3 Development of iterative risk-prediction models that allow reevaluation of risk in the pre-, peri-, and postoperative periods. In this context, we recommend evaluation of the incremental value of real-time estimated GFR assessment and renal injury/stress biomarkers as part of a risk stratification strategy 4 Feasibility studies to assess renal reserve in the preoperative period for unrecognized renal susceptibility in selected group of patients
Risk stratification	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Development and validation of current and emerging biomarkers of AKI diagnosis, recovery, progression to CKD 2 Research and development of noninvasive, inexpensive, and highly accurate devices for kidney function, hemodynamic, and volume status monitoring (eg, real-time GFR monitoring devices, kidney perfusion, and intracapsular pressure monitors, etc) 3 Design and investigation of the impact of the biomarker or technology-guided protocols in the prevention or treatment of CVS-AKI
Prevention of CVS-AKI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Development of biomarker or diagnostic tool-guided protocols to prevent the progression of CVS-AKI or facilitate kidney function recovery 2 Investigation and validation of biomarkers and diagnostic tools with more resolution or ability to identify intravascular volume deficiency, microcirculation deficits, and kidney-related variables and outcomes (eg, severity and location of injury, real-time kidney function measures, biomarkers of kidney recovery, fibrosis or de novo or progression of CKD or need for RRT) 3 Development of noninvasive, inexpensive, and highly accurate devices for kidney function, hemodynamic, and volume status monitoring (eg, real-time GFR monitoring devices, kidney perfusion, and intracapsular pressure monitors, etc)
Management of CVS-AKI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Development of studies to verify if specific management approaches currently showed as effective in CVS-AKI primary prevention are also useful for secondary prevention 2 Improvement of definition and monitoring of fluid overload in order to better understand its relationship and management strategies in CVS-AKI patients 3 Development of large randomized trial on ANP for the prevention and treatment of AKI 4 Evaluation of the role of stem cells in treatment of AKI

AKI indicates acute kidney injury; ANP, atrial natriuretic peptide; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CVS, cardiac and vascular surgery; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome; RRT, renal replacement therapy; sCr, serum creatinine.

few small RCTs were included, as well as numerous observational and cohort studies of various qualities with high heterogeneity. Although AKI stage correlates with hospital mortality, many patients with stage 3 AKI will show spontaneous recovery without RRT. In addition, some patients may have urgent indications for RRT (eg, fluid overload not responding to diuretics) without meeting severe AKI criteria. The decision to start RRT should be individualized.²¹⁹ Recently, 2 large RCTs in critically ill patients compared “early” versus “late” RRT.^{225,226} In the ELAIN (Early vs Late Initiation of Renal Replacement Therapy In Critically Ill Patients With Acute Kidney Injury) trial (n=231), patients with AKI stage 2 and serum NGAL >150 ng/mL were randomized to early (RRT within 8 hours of AKI stage 2) or late (RRT within 12 hours of reaching AKI stage 3) RRT.²²⁵ Approximately half of the patients were cardiac surgery patients (47%). Patients in the early RRT group had 25% lower mortality compared with those in the late arm. Only 10% of patients in the late group

did not receive RRT. In the AKIKI (Artificial Kidney Initiation in Kidney Injury) trial (n=620), patients were randomized to early treatment, defined as RRT within 6 hours of reaching AKI stage 3, or late treatment, defined as RRT initiation when “absolute” indications were fulfilled.²²⁶ Mortality (≈50%) was not significantly different between the 2 groups, but approximately half of the patients randomized to late treatment did not receive RRT. Patients in the late treatment group who started RRT had higher mortality (62%) compared with patients in the early RRT group (48.5%). Forty percent of the patients were excluded on the basis of having emergent indications, already receiving RRT, or having indications for initiation of RRT for >5 hours. Clearly, these 2 trials do not definitively identify which patients are likely to benefit most from early initiation of RRT.

Studies of dialysis modality (continuous versus intermittent) have failed to demonstrate a consistent benefit of one technique over another.²²⁷ However, continuous RRT is

recommended for patients with hemodynamic instability that exceeds the ability to manage patients safely with intermittent hemodialysis.^{213,219,228} In addition, fluid removal is generally easier and associated with less hemodynamic instability when using continuous RRT.^{229,230} It is possible that the operational characteristics of RRT might influence renal and patient recovery. Several observational studies have suggested that intermittent hemodialysis compared with continuous RRT is associated with less renal recovery and a higher risk of long-term dialysis dependency.^{231,232}

Stem cells. Use of mesenchymal stem cells, which are nonhematopoietic precursor cells derived from human bone marrow, is currently under investigation (2 trials completed and being analyzed) for the treatment of CS-AKI.^{233,234} The role of mesenchymal stem cells in AKI treatment might depend on the secretion of prometotic, antiapoptotic, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory factors.²³⁵

Knowledge Gaps and Future Research

Currently, there is a paucity of data regarding diagnosis, prevention, and treatment, especially in patients undergoing vascular surgery. Consequently, it is imperative to develop research questions to address these issues (Table 3). For many of the pharmacological agents available for the prevention or management of AKI in this setting, larger RCTs will be needed to determine efficacy in different clinical scenarios. It is important to establish the utility of new biomarkers, not only for the early diagnosis and staging of AKI but also for the implementation of preventive measures.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the University of Southern California, the International Renal Research Institute Vicenza and University of Zagreb for organization of ADQI (Acute Disease Quality Initiative) 20th International Consensus Conference.

Sources of Funding

This conference was supported by educational grants from OneLegacy Foundation, Baxter, NxStage Medical, Astute Medical, Sphingotec, Fresenius, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, AM-Pharma and La Jolla Pharmaceutical.

Disclosures

Nadim has acted as a consultant for Sphingotec and Baxter. Forni has received speakers fees from Astute medical and as a consultant for Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Baxter and La Jolla

Pharmaceuticals. Bihorac has received speakers honoraria from Astute Medical. Koyner has received research funds from Astute Medical, Bioporto, Satellite Health Care, speakers honoraria from the American Society of Nephrology, expert witness on AKI post cardiac surgery, and consultancy work for Sphingotec and Pfizer. Shaw has received consultancy fees from Edwards, Astute Medical, FAST Biomedical and NxStage. Engelman has received consultancy fees from Astute Medical, Astellas. Liu has received consultancy fees from Potrero Medical, Quark and Theravance. Mehta has received consultancy fees from Baxter, Astute Medical, Sphingotec. Pickkers, Radboud University Medical Center, has received consultancy fees from Baxter, Sphingotec and AM Pharma. Zarbock, has received research fees from Astute Fresenius, Astute Medical, Fresenius, Astellas, Baxter and consultancy fees from Sphingotec and Astellas. Kellum, has received research funding from, Astellas Baxter Bioporto Astute Medical, Grifols Cytosorbents Bard, NxStage, and has received consultancy fees from, Astellas, Baxter, Bioporto, Grifols, Astute Medical, NxStage, Cytosorbents, Sphingotec.

References

1. Hoste EA, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, Cely CM, Colman R, Cruz DN, Edipidis K, Forni LG, Gomersall CD, Govil D, Honore PM, Joannes-Boyau O, Joannidis M, Korhonen AM, Lavrentieva A, Mehta RL, Palevsky P, Roessler E, Ronco C, Uchino S, Vazquez JA, Vidal Andrade E, Webb S, Kellum JA. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: the multinational AKI-EPI study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2015;41:1411–1423.
2. Chawla LS, Amdur RL, Shaw AD, Faselis C, Palant CE, Kimmel PL. Association between AKI and long-term renal and cardiovascular outcomes in United States veterans. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2014;9:448–456.
3. Coca SG, Singanamala S, Parikh CR. Chronic kidney disease after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Kidney Int.* 2012;81:442–448.
4. Liyanage T, Ninomiya T, Jha V, Neal B, Patrice HM, Okpechi I, Zhao MH, Lv J, Garg AX, Knight J, Rodgers A, Gallagher M, Kotwal S, Cass A, Perkovic V. Worldwide access to treatment for end-stage kidney disease: a systematic review. *Lancet.* 2015;385:1975–1982.
5. Quan S, Pannu N, Wilson T, Ball C, Tan Z, Tonelli M, Hemmelgarn BR, Dixon E, James MT. Prognostic implications of adding urine output to serum creatinine measurements for staging of acute kidney injury after major surgery: a cohort study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2016;31:2049–2056.
6. Englberger L, Suri RM, Li Z, Casey ET, Daly RC, Dearani JA, Schaff HV. Clinical accuracy of RIFLE and Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria for acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Crit Care.* 2011;15:R16.
7. Bastin AJ, Ostermann M, Slack AJ, Diller GP, Finney SJ, Evans TW. Acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery according to risk/injury/failure/loss/end-stage, acute kidney injury network, and kidney disease: improving global outcomes classifications. *J Crit Care.* 2013;28:389–396.
8. Machado MN, Nakazone MA, Maia LN. Prognostic value of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery according to kidney disease: improving global outcomes definition and staging (KDIGO) criteria. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e98028.
9. James MT, Dixon E, Roberts DJ, Barry R, Balint C, Bharwani A, Buie WD, Godinez T, Hemmelgarn BR, Kortbeek JB, Manns BJ, Marin A, Scott-Douglas N, Steffox HT, Pannu N. Improving prevention, early recognition and management of acute kidney injury after major surgery: results of a planning meeting with multidisciplinary stakeholders. *Can J Kidney Health Dis.* 2014;1:20.
10. Warren J, Mehran R, Baber U, Xu K, Giacoppo D, Gersh BJ, Guagliumi G, Witzenbichler B, Magnus Ohman E, Pocock SJ, Stone GW. Incidence and impact of acute kidney injury in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with coronary artery bypass grafting: insights from the Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) and Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage Strategy (ACUITY) trials. *Am Heart J.* 2016;171:40–47.

11. Kashani K, Steuernagle JH IV, Akhoundi A, Alsara A, Hanson AC, Kor DJ. Vascular surgery kidney injury predictive score: a historical cohort study. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2015;29:1588–1595.
12. Ishani A, Nelson D, Clothier B, Schult T, Nugent S, Greer N, Slinin Y, Ensrud KE. The magnitude of acute serum creatinine increase after cardiac surgery and the risk of chronic kidney disease, progression of kidney disease, and death. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171:226–233.
13. Thakar CV, Christianson A, Himmelfarb J, Leonard AC. Acute kidney injury episodes and chronic kidney disease risk in diabetes mellitus. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;6:2567–2572.
14. Kellum JA, Bellomo R, Ronco C. Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI): methodology. *Int J Artif Organs*. 2008;31:90–93.
15. Chang RW, Luo CM, Yu HY, Chen YS, Wang CH. Investigation of the pathophysiology of cardiopulmonary bypass using rodent extracorporeal life support model. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2017;17:123.
16. DeNino WF, Carter CB, Sievert A, Goss A, Toole JM, Mukherjee R, Uber WE. The effect of ultrafiltration with cardiopulmonary bypass on the removal of dabigatran from the circulation of adult pigs. *Perfusion*. 2016;31:424–430.
17. Sgouralis I, Evans RG, Layton AT. Renal medullary and urinary oxygen tension during cardiopulmonary bypass in the rat. *Math Med Biol*. 2017;34:313–333.
18. Brezis M, Rosen S. Hypoxia of the renal medulla—its implications for disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;332:647–655.
19. Lannemyr L, Bragadottir G, Krumbholz V, Redfors B, Sellgren J, Ricksten SE. Effects of cardiopulmonary bypass on renal perfusion, filtration, and oxygenation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:205–213.
20. Salmasi V, Maheshwari K, Yang D, Mascha EJ, Singh A, Sessler DI, Kurz A. Relationship between intraoperative hypotension, defined by either reduction from baseline or absolute thresholds, and acute kidney and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:47–65.
21. Futier E, Lefrant JY, Guinot PG, Godet T, Lorne E, Cuvillon P, Bertran S, Leone M, Pastene B, Piriou V, Molliex S, Albanese J, Julia JM, Tavernier B, Imhoff E, Bazin JE, Constantin JM, Pereira B, Jaber S, Group IS. Effect of individualized vs standard blood pressure management strategies on postoperative organ dysfunction among high-risk patients undergoing major surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2017;318:1346–1357.
22. Walsh M, Devereaux PJ, Garg AX, Kurz A, Turan A, Rodseth RN, Cywinski J, Thabane L, Sessler DI. Relationship between intraoperative mean arterial pressure and clinical outcomes after noncardiac surgery: toward an empirical definition of hypotension. *Anesthesiology*. 2013;119:507–515.
23. Parolari A, Pesce LL, Pacini D, Mazzanti V, Salis S, Sciacovelli C, Rossi F, Alamanni F; Monzino Research Group on Cardiac Surgery O. Risk factors for perioperative acute kidney injury after adult cardiac surgery: role of perioperative management. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2012;93:584–591.
24. Zarbock A, Schmidt C, Van Aken H, Wempe C, Martens S, Zahn PK, Wolf B, Goebel U, Schwer CI, Rosenberger P, Haeblerle H, Gorlich D, Kellum JA, Meersch M. Effect of remote ischemic preconditioning on kidney injury among high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015;313:2133–2141.
25. Hausenloy DJ, Candilio L, Evans R, Ariti C, Jenkins DP, Kolvekar S, Knight R, Kunst G, Laing C, Nicholas J, Pepper J, Robertson S, Xenou M, Clayton T, Yellon DM; Investigators ET. Remote ischemic preconditioning and outcomes of cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:1408–1417.
26. Meybohm P, Bein B, Brosteau O, Cremer J, Gruenewald M, Stoppe C, Coburn M, Schaelte G, Boning A, Niemann B, Roesner J, Kletzin F, Strouhal U, Reyher C, Laufenberg-Feldmann R, Ferner M, Brandes IF, Bauer M, Stehr SN, Kortgen A, Wittmann M, Baumgarten G, Meyer-Treschan T, Kienbaum P, Heringlake M, Schon J, Sander M, Treskatsch S, Smul T, Wolwender E, Schilling T, Fuernau G, Hasenclever D, Zacharowski K; Collaborators RIS. A multicenter trial of remote ischemic preconditioning for heart surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:1397–1407.
27. Zaugg M, Lucchinetti E. Remote ischemic preconditioning in cardiac surgery—ineffective and risky? *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:1470–1472.
28. Chen KP, Cavender S, Lee J, Feng M, Mark RG, Celi LA, Mukamal KJ, Danziger J. Peripheral edema, central venous pressure, and risk of AKI in critical illness. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11:602–608.
29. Rajendram R, Prowle JR. Venous congestion: are we adding insult to kidney injury in sepsis? *Crit Care*. 2014;18:104.
30. Afsar B, Ortiz A, Covic A, Solak Y, Goldsmith D, Kanbay M. Focus on renal congestion in heart failure. *Clin Kidney J*. 2016;9:39–47.
31. Mokri B. The Monro-Kellie hypothesis: applications in CSF volume depletion. *Neurology*. 2001;56:1746–1748.
32. Becher RD, Hoth JJ, Miller PR, Meredith JW, Chang MC. Systemic inflammation worsens outcomes in emergency surgical patients. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2012;72:1140–1149.
33. MacCallum NS, Finney SJ, Gordon SE, Quinlan GJ, Evans TW. Modified criteria for the systemic inflammatory response syndrome improves their utility following cardiac surgery. *Chest*. 2014;145:1197–1203.
34. Napolitano LM, Ferrer T, McCarter RJ Jr, Scalea TM. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome score at admission independently predicts mortality and length of stay in trauma patients. *J Trauma*. 2000;49:647–652; discussion 652–653.
35. Greenberg JH, Whitlock R, Zhang WR, Thiessen-Philbrook HR, Zappitelli M, Devarajan P, Eikelboom J, Kavsak PA, Devereaux PJ, Shortt C, Garg AX, Parikh CR; Consortium T-A. Interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 as acute kidney injury biomarkers in pediatric cardiac surgery. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2015;30:1519–1527.
36. Zhang WR, Garg AX, Coca SG, Devereaux PJ, Eikelboom J, Kavsak P, McArthur E, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Shortt C, Shlipak M, Whitlock R, Parikh CR; Consortium T-A. Plasma IL-6 and IL-10 concentrations predict AKI and long-term mortality in adults after cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;26:3123–3132.
37. Gomez H, Ince C, De Backer D, Pickkers P, Payen D, Hotchkiss J, Kellum JA. A unified theory of sepsis-induced acute kidney injury: inflammation, microcirculatory dysfunction, bioenergetics, and the tubular cell adaptation to injury. *Shock*. 2014;41:3–11.
38. O'Neal JB, Shaw AD, Billings FT. Acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery: current understanding and future directions. *Crit Care*. 2016;20:187.
39. Ali F, Sultana S. Repeated short-term stress synergizes the ROS signalling through up regulation of NFkB and iNOS expression induced due to combined exposure of trichloroethylene and UVB rays. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2012;360:133–145.
40. Wei C, Li L, Kim IK, Sun P, Gupta S. NF-kappaB mediated miR-21 regulation in cardiomyocytes apoptosis under oxidative stress. *Free Radical Res*. 2014;48:282–291.
41. Garg AX, Devereaux PJ, Yusuf S, Cuerden MS, Parikh CR, Coca SG, Walsh M, Novick R, Cook RJ, Jain AR, Pan X, Noiseux N, Vik K, Stolf NA, Ritchie A, Favaloro RR, Parvathaneni S, Whitlock RP, Ou Y, Lawrence M, Lamy A; Investigators C. Kidney function after off-pump or on-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;311:2191–2198.
42. Shroyer AL, Hattler B, Wagner TH, Collins JF, Baltz JH, Quin JA, Almassi GH, Kozora E, Bakaeen F, Cleveland JC Jr, Bishawi M, Grover FL; Veterans Affairs R-FSG. Five-year outcomes after on-pump and off-pump coronary-artery bypass. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:623–632.
43. Araujo IR, Ferrari TC, Teixeira-Carvalho A, Campi-Azevedo AC, Rodrigues LV, Guimaraes Junior MH, Barros TL, Gelape CL, Sousa GR, Nunes MC. Cytokine signature in infective endocarditis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0133631.
44. Billings FT IV, Ball SK, Roberts LJ II, Pretorius M. Postoperative acute kidney injury is associated with hemoglobinemia and an enhanced oxidative stress response. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2011;50:1480–1487.
45. Billings FT IV, Yu C, Byrne JG, Petracek MR, Pretorius M. Heme oxygenase-1 and acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery. *Cardiorenal Med*. 2014;4:12–21.
46. Haase M, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, Ma O, Bennett MR, Mockel M, Matalanis G, Dragun D, Haase-Fielitz A. Novel biomarkers early predict the severity of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery in adults. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2009;88:124–130.
47. Haase M, Haase-Fielitz A, Bagshaw SM, Ronco C, Bellomo R. Cardiopulmonary bypass-associated acute kidney injury: a pigment nephropathy? *Contrib Nephrol*. 2007;156:340–353.
48. Haase M, Bellomo R, Haase-Fielitz A. Novel biomarkers, oxidative stress, and the role of labile iron toxicity in cardiopulmonary bypass-associated acute kidney injury. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:2024–2033.
49. Billings FT IV, Pretorius M, Schildcrout JS, Mercado ND, Byrne JG, Ikizler TA, Brown NJ. Obesity and oxidative stress predict AKI after cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;23:1221–1228.
50. McGuinness SP, Parke RL, Drummond K, Willcox T, Bailey M, Kruger C, Baker M, Cowdrey KA, Gilder E, McCarthy L, Painter T; Investigators S-C. A multicenter, randomized, controlled phase IIIb trial of avoidance of hyperoxemia during cardiopulmonary bypass. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;125:465–473.
51. Gomez H. Between chromatin and SNPs: genetic variability and the susceptibility to acute kidney injury. *Crit Care*. 2017;21:138.
52. Vilander LM, Kaunisto MA, Pettila V. Genetic predisposition to acute kidney injury—a systematic review. *BMC Nephrol*. 2015;16:197.
53. Yan TK, Li XL, Xue Y, Wei L, Lin S. Acute kidney injury induced by allergic conditions-associated renal cholesterol crystal embolism. *Nefrologia*. 2012;32:856–857.
54. Sandler N, Kaczmarek E, Itagaki K, Zheng Y, Otterbein L, Khabbaz K, Liu D, Senthilnathan V, Gruen RL, Hauser CJ. Mitochondrial DAMPs are released

- during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery and are associated with postoperative atrial fibrillation. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2018;27:122–129.
55. Chertow GM, Lazarus JM, Christiansen CL, Cook EF, Hammermeister KE, Grover F, Daley J. Preoperative renal risk stratification. *Circulation*. 1997;95:878–884.
 56. Jorge-Monjas P, Bustamante-Munguira J, Lorenzo M, Heredia-Rodriguez M, Fierro I, Gomez-Sanchez E, Hernandez A, Alvarez FJ, Bermejo-Martin JF, Gomez-Pesquera E, Gomez-Herreras JL, Tamayo E. Predicting cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury: the CRATE score. *J Crit Care*. 2016;31:130–138.
 57. Mehta RH, Grab JD, O'Brien SM, Bridges CR, Gammie JS, Haan CK, Ferguson TB, Peterson ED; Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Cardiac Surgery Database I. Bedside tool for predicting the risk of postoperative dialysis in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2208–2216; quiz 2208.
 58. Palomba H, de Castro I, Neto AL, Lage S, Yu L. Acute kidney injury prediction following elective cardiac surgery: AKICS Score. *Kidney Int*. 2007;72:624–631.
 59. Pannu N, Graham M, Klarenbach S, Meyer S, Kieser T, Hemmelgarn B, Ye F, James M; APPROACH Investigators and the Alberta Kidney Disease Network. A new model to predict acute kidney injury requiring renal replacement therapy after cardiac surgery. *CMAJ*. 2016;188:1076–1083.
 60. Ranucci M, Castelveccchio S, Menicanti L, Frigiola A, Pelissero G. Risk of assessing mortality risk in elective cardiac operations: age, creatinine, ejection fraction, and the law of parsimony. *Circulation*. 2009;119:3053–3061.
 61. Thakar CV, Arrigain S, Worley S, Yared JP, Paganini EP. A clinical score to predict acute renal failure after cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2005;16:162–168.
 62. Vanmassenhove J, Kielstein J, Jorres A, Biesen WV. Management of patients at risk of acute kidney injury. *Lancet*. 2017;389:2139–2151.
 63. Kheterpal S, Tremper KK, Englesbe MJ, O'Reilly M, Shanks AM, Fetterman DM, Rosenberg AL, Swartz RD. Predictors of postoperative acute renal failure after noncardiac surgery in patients with previously normal renal function. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;107:892–902.
 64. Kheterpal S, Tremper KK, Heung M, Rosenberg AL, Englesbe M, Shanks AM, Campbell DA Jr. Development and validation of an acute kidney injury risk index for patients undergoing general surgery: results from a national data set. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;110:505–515.
 65. Petaja L, Vaara S, Liuhanen S, Suojaranta-Ylinen R, Mildh L, Nisula S, Korhonen AM, Kaukonen KM, Salmenpera M, Pettila V. Acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery by complete KDIGO criteria predicts increased mortality. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2017;31:827–836.
 66. McLroy DR, Argenziano M, Farkas D, Umann T, Sladen RN. Incorporating oliguria into the diagnostic criteria for acute kidney injury after on-pump cardiac surgery: impact on incidence and outcomes. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2013;27:1145–1152.
 67. Meersch M, Schmidt C, Hoffmeier A, Van Aken H, Wempe C, Gerss J, Zarbock A. Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated AKI by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PREVAKI randomized controlled trial. *Intensive Care Med*. 2017;43:1551–1561.
 68. Birnie K, Verheyden V, Pagano D, Bhabra M, Tilling K, Sterne JA, Murphy GJ; Collaborators UAiCS. Predictive models for kidney disease: improving global outcomes (KDIGO) defined acute kidney injury in UK cardiac surgery. *Crit Care*. 2014;18:606.
 69. Brown JR, Kramer RS, MacKenzie TA, Coca SG, Sint K, Parikh CR. Determinants of acute kidney injury duration after cardiac surgery: an externally validated tool. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2012;93:570–576.
 70. Demirjian S, Schold JD, Navia J, Mastracci TM, Paganini EP, Yared JP, Bashour CA. Predictive models for acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2012;59:382–389.
 71. James MT, Hemmelgarn BR, Wiebe N, Pannu N, Manns BJ, Klarenbach SW, Tonelli M; Alberta Kidney Disease N. Glomerular filtration rate, proteinuria, and the incidence and consequences of acute kidney injury: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010;376:2096–2103.
 72. Molnar AO, Parikh CR, Sint K, Coca SG, Koyner J, Patel UD, Butrymowicz I, Shlipak M, Garg AX. Association of postoperative proteinuria with AKI after cardiac surgery among patients at high risk. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;7:1749–1760.
 73. Nadkarni GN, Coca SG, Meisner A, Patel S, Kerr KF, Patel UD, Koyner JL, Garg AX, Philbrook HT, Edelstein CL, Shlipak M, El-Khoury J, Parikh CR; Investigators T-AC. Urinalysis findings and urinary kidney injury biomarker concentrations. *BMC Nephrol*. 2017;18:218.
 74. Kidney disease: improving global outcomes (KDIGO) acute kidney injury work group. Clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int Suppl*. 2012;2:1–138.
 75. Lassnigg A, Schmid ER, Hiesmayr M, Falk C, Druml W, Bauer P, Schmidlin D. Impact of minimal increases in serum creatinine on outcome in patients after cardiothoracic surgery: do we have to revise current definitions of acute renal failure? *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:1129–1137.
 76. Lassnigg A, Schmidlin D, Mouhieddine M, Bachmann L, Druml W, Bauer P, Hiemayr M. Minimal changes of serum creatinine predict prognosis in patients after cardiothoracic surgery: a prospective cohort study. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2004;15:1597–1605.
 77. Hobson CE, Yavas S, Segal MS, Schold JD, Tribble CG, Layon AJ, Bihorac A. Acute kidney injury is associated with increased long-term mortality after cardiothoracic surgery. *Circulation*. 2009;119:2444–2453.
 78. Huber M, Ozrazgat-Baslanti T, Thottakkara P, Efron PA, Feezor R, Hobson C, Bihorac A. Mortality and cost of acute and chronic kidney disease after vascular surgery. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2016;30:72–81.e1–2.
 79. Kaddourah A, Basu RK, Bagshaw SM, Goldstein SL; Investigators A. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:11–20.
 80. Kellum JA, Sileanu FE, Murugan R, Lucko N, Shaw AD, Clermont G. Classifying AKI by urine output versus serum creatinine level. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;26:2231–2238.
 81. Jin K, Murugan R, Sileanu FE, Foldes E, Priyanka P, Clermont G, Kellum JA. Intensive monitoring of urine output is associated with increased detection of acute kidney injury and improved outcomes. *Chest*. 2017;152:972–979.
 82. Chawla LS, Bellomo R, Bihorac A, Goldstein SL, Siew ED, Bagshaw SM, Bittleman D, Cruz D, Endre Z, Fitzgerald RL, Forni L, Kane-Gill SL, Hoste E, Koyner J, Liu KD, Macedo E, Mehta R, Murray P, Nadim M, Ostermann M, Palevsky PM, Pannu N, Rosner M, Wald R, Zarbock A, Ronco C, Kellum JA; Acute Disease Quality Initiative W. Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) 16 Workgroup. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2017;13:241–257.
 83. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, Kusek JW, Manzi J, Van Lente F, Zhang YL, Coresh J, Levey AS; Investigators C-E. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:20–29.
 84. Endre ZH, Kellum JA, Di Somma S, Doi K, Goldstein SL, Koyner JL, Macedo E, Mehta RL, Murray PT. Differential diagnosis of AKI in clinical practice by functional and damage biomarkers: workgroup statements from the tenth Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative Consensus Conference. *Contrib Nephrol*. 2013;182:30–44.
 85. Parikh CR, Coca SG, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Shlipak MG, Koyner JL, Wang Z, Edelstein CL, Devarajan P, Patel UD, Zappitelli M, Krawczeski CD, Passik CS, Swaminathan M, Garg AX. Postoperative biomarkers predict acute kidney injury and poor outcomes after adult cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;22:1748–1757.
 86. Mishra J, Dent C, Tarabishi R, Mitsnefes MM, Ma O, Kelly C, Ruff S, Zahedi K, Shao M, Bean J, Mori K, Barasch J, Devarajan P. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) as a biomarker for acute renal injury after cardiac surgery. *Lancet*. 2005;365:1231–1238.
 87. Meersch M, Schmidt C, Van Aken H, Martens S, Rossaint J, Singbartl K, Gorlich D, Kellum JA, Zarbock A. Urinary TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 as early biomarkers of acute kidney injury and renal recovery following cardiac surgery. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e93460.
 88. Murray PT, Mehta RL, Shaw A, Ronco C, Endre Z, Kellum JA, Chawla LS, Cruz D, Ince C, Okusa MD; ADQI 10 workgroup. Potential use of biomarkers in acute kidney injury: report and summary of recommendations from the 10th Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative consensus conference. *Kidney Int*. 2014;85:513–521.
 89. Vijayan A, Faubel S, Askenazi DJ, Cerda J, Fissell WH, Heung M, Humphreys BD, Koyner JL, Liu KD, Mour G, Nolin TD, Bihorac A; American Society of Nephrology Acute Kidney Injury Advisory G. Clinical use of the urine biomarker [TIMP-2] x [IGFBP7] for acute kidney injury risk assessment. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016;68:19–28.
 90. Shah KS, Taub P, Patel M, Rehfeldt M, Struck J, Clopton P, Mehta RL, Maisel AS. Proenkephalin predicts acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery patients. *Clin Nephrol*. 2015;83:29–35.
 91. Ng LL, Squire IB, Jones DJ, Cao TH, Chan DC, Sandhu JK, Quinn PA, Davies JE, Struck J, Hartmann O, Bergmann A, Mebazaa A, Gayat E, Arrigo M, Akiyama E, Sabti Z, Lohrmann J, Twerenbold R, Herrmann T, Schumacher C, Kozuharov N, Mueller C, GREAT Network. Proenkephalin, renal dysfunction, and prognosis in patients with acute heart failure: a GREAT Network Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69:56–69.
 92. Mossanen JC, Pracht J, Jansen TU, Buendgens L, Stoppe C, Goetzenich A, Struck J, Autschbach R, Marx G, Tacke F. Elevated soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor and proenkephalin serum levels predict the development of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18:E1662.
 93. Gocze I, Jauch D, Gotz M, Kennedy P, Jung B, Zeman F, Gnewuch C, Graf BM, Gnann W, Banas B, Bein T, Schliitt HJ, Bergler T. Biomarker-guided

- intervention to prevent acute kidney injury after major surgery: the prospective randomized BigPAK study. *Ann Surg.* 2018;267:1013–1020.
94. Landoni G, Lomivorotov VV, Alvaro G, Lobreglio R, Pisano A, Guarracino F, Calabro MG, Grigoryev EV, Likhvantsev VV, Salgado-Filho MF, Bianchi A, Pasyuga VV, Baiocchi M, Pappalardo F, Monaco F, Boboshko VA, Abubakirov MN, Amantea B, Lembo R, Brazzi L, Verniero L, Bertini P, Scandroglio AM, Bove T, Belletti A, Michienzi MG, Shukevich DL, Zabelina TS, Bellomo R, Zangrillo A; CHEETAH Study Group. Levosimendan for hemodynamic support after cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:2021–2031.
 95. Mehta RH, Leimberger JD, van Diepen S, Meza J, Wang A, Jankowich R, Ostermann RW, Hay D, Fremes S, Duncan A. Levosimendan in patients with left ventricular dysfunction undergoing cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:2032–2042.
 96. Bove T, Matteazzi A, Belletti A, Paternoster G, Saleh O, Taddeo D, Dossi R, Greco T, Bradic N, Husedzinovic I. Beneficial impact of levosimendan in critically ill patients with or at risk for acute renal failure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Heart Lung Vessel.* 2015;7:35.
 97. Joannidis M, Druml W, Forni LG, Groeneveld ABJ, Honore PM, Hoste E, Ostermann M, Oudemans-van Straaten HM, Schetz M. Prevention of acute kidney injury and protection of renal function in the intensive care unit: update 2017: expert opinion of the working group on prevention, AKI section, European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med.* 2017;43:730–749.
 98. Billings FT IV, Hendricks PA, Schildcrout JS, Shi Y, Petracek MR, Byrne JG, Brown NJ. High-dose perioperative atorvastatin and acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315:877–888.
 99. Lewicki M, Ng I, Schneider AG. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) for preventing acute kidney injury after surgical procedures requiring cardiac bypass. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;CD010480.
 100. Wang J, Gu C, Gao M, Yu W, Yu Y. Preoperative statin therapy and renal outcomes after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis and meta-regression of 59,771 patients. *Can J Cardiol.* 2015;31:1051–1060.
 101. Haase M, Haase-Fielitz A, Bagshaw SM, Reade MC, Morgera S, Seevayagam S, Matalanis G, Buxton B, Doolan L, Bellomo R. Phase II, randomized, controlled trial of high-dose N-acetylcysteine in high-risk cardiac surgery patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2007;35:1324–1331.
 102. Burns KE, Chu MW, Novick RJ, Fox SA, Gallo K, Martin CM, Stitt LW, Heidenheim AP, Myers ML, Moist L. Perioperative N-acetylcysteine to prevent renal dysfunction in high-risk patients undergoing CABG surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2005;294:342–350.
 103. El-Hamamsy I, Stevens LM, Carrier M, Pellerin M, Bouchard D, Demers P, Cartier R, Page P, Perrault LP. Effect of intravenous N-acetylcysteine on outcomes after coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2007;133:7–12.
 104. Song JW, Shim JK, Soh S, Jang J, Kwak YL. Double-blinded, randomized controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine for prevention of acute kidney injury in high risk patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass. *Nephrology.* 2015;20:96–102.
 105. Bailey M, McGuinness S, Haase M, Haase-Fielitz A, Parke R, Hodgson CL, Forbes A, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R. Sodium bicarbonate and renal function after cardiac surgery: a prospectively planned individual patient meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology.* 2015;122:294–306.
 106. Haase M, Haase-Fielitz A, Plass M, Kuppe H, Hetzer R, Hannon C, Murray PT, Bailey MJ, Bellomo R, Bagshaw SM. Prophylactic perioperative sodium bicarbonate to prevent acute kidney injury following open heart surgery: a multicenter double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med.* 2013;10:e1001426.
 107. Wetz AJ, Brauer A, Quintel M, Heise D. Does sodium bicarbonate infusion really have no effect on the incidence of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery? A prospective observational trial. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:183.
 108. Kim JH, Kim HJ, Kim JY, Ahn H, Ahn IM, Choe WJ, Lim CH. Meta-analysis of sodium bicarbonate therapy for prevention of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2015;29:1248–1256.
 109. Penny-Dimri JC, Cochrane AD, Perry LA, Smith JA. Characterising the role of perioperative erythropoietin for preventing acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2016;25:1067–1076.
 110. Kim JE, Song SW, Kim JY, Lee HJ, Chung KH, Shim YH. Effect of a single bolus of erythropoietin on renoprotection in patients undergoing thoracic aortic surgery with moderate hypothermic circulatory arrest. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2016;101:690–696.
 111. de Seigneux S, Ponte B, Weiss L, Pugin J, Romand JA, Martin PY, Saudan P. Epoetin administered after cardiac surgery: effects on renal function and inflammation in a randomized controlled study. *BMC Nephrol.* 2012;13:132.
 112. Tasanarong A, Duangchana S, Sumransurp S, Homvises B, Satdhabudha O. Prophylaxis with erythropoietin versus placebo reduces acute kidney injury and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *BMC Nephrol.* 2013;14:136.
 113. Tie HT, Luo MZ, Lin D, Zhang M, Wan JY, Wu QC. Erythropoietin administration for prevention of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2015;48:32–39.
 114. Cho JS, Shim JK, Soh S, Kim MK, Kwak YL. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces the incidence and severity of acute kidney injury following valvular heart surgery. *Kidney Int.* 2016;89:693–700.
 115. Ammar AS, Mahmoud KM, Kasemy ZA, Helwa MA. Cardiac and renal protective effects of dexmedetomidine in cardiac surgeries: a randomized controlled trial. *Saudi J Anaesth.* 2016;10:395–401.
 116. Ji F, Li Z, Young JN, Yeranossian A, Liu H. Post-bypass dexmedetomidine use and postoperative acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e77446.
 117. Bhamidipati CM, LaPar DJ, Stukenborg GJ, Morrison CC, Kern JA, Kron IL, Ailawadi G. Superiority of moderate control of hyperglycemia to tight control in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2011;141:543–551.
 118. Song JW, Shim JK, Yoo KJ, Oh SY, Kwak YL. Impact of intraoperative hyperglycaemia on renal dysfunction after off-pump coronary artery bypass. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2013;17:473–478.
 119. Bansal B, Carvalho P, Mehta Y, Yadav J, Sharma P, Mithal A, Trehan N. Prognostic significance of glycemic variability after cardiac surgery. *J Diabetes Complications.* 2016;30:613–617.
 120. Myburgh JA, Finfer S, Bellomo R, Billot L, Cass A, Gattas D, Glass P, Lipman J, Liu B, McArthur C. Hydroxyethyl starch or saline for fluid resuscitation in intensive care. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:1901–1911.
 121. Bayer O, Reinhart K. Acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery patients receiving hydroxyethyl starch solutions. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:209.
 122. Frenette AJ, Bouchard J, Bernier P, Charbonneau A, Nguyen LT, Rioux JP, Troyanov S, Williamson DR. Albumin administration is associated with acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery: a propensity score analysis. *Crit Care.* 2014;18:602.
 123. Kim JY, Joung KW, Kim KM, Kim MJ, Kim JB, Jung SH, Lee EH, Choi IC. Relationship between a perioperative intravenous fluid administration strategy and acute kidney injury following off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: an observational study. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:350.
 124. Lee EH, Kim WJ, Kim JY, Chin JH, Choi DK, Sim JY, Choo SJ, Chung CH, Lee JW, Choi IC. Effect of exogenous albumin on the incidence of postoperative acute kidney injury in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery with a preoperative albumin level of less than 4.0 g/dL. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;124:1001–1011.
 125. Coca SG, Garg AX, Swaminathan M, Garwood S, Hong K, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Passik C, Koyner JL, Parikh CR, Consortium T-A. Preoperative angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blocker use and acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2013;28:2787–2799.
 126. Barodka V, Silvestry S, Zhao N, Jiao X, Whellan DJ, Diehl J, Sun JZ. Preoperative renin-angiotensin system inhibitors protect renal function in aging patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Surg Res.* 2011;167:e63–e69.
 127. Yacoub R, Patel N, Lohr JW, Rajagopalan S, Nader N, Arora P. Acute kidney injury and death associated with renin angiotensin system blockade in cardiothoracic surgery: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62:1077–1086.
 128. Lee EH, Baek SH, Chin JH, Choi DK, Son HJ, Kim WJ, Hahm KD, Sim JY, Choi IC. Preoperative hypoalbuminemia is a major risk factor for acute kidney injury following off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Intensive Care Med.* 2012;38:1478–1486.
 129. Yoo YC, Shim JK, Song Y, Yang SY, Kwak YL. Anesthetics influence the incidence of acute kidney injury following valvular heart surgery. *Kidney Int.* 2014;86:414–422.
 130. Julier K, da Silva R, Garcia C, Bestmann L, Frascarolo P, Zollinger A, Chassot PG, Schmid ER, Turina MI, von Segesser LK, Pasch T, Spahn DR, Zaugg M. Preconditioning by sevoflurane decreases biochemical markers for myocardial and renal dysfunction in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multicenter study. *Anesthesiology.* 2003;98:1315–1327.
 131. Cai J, Xu R, Yu X, Fang Y, Ding X. Volatile anesthetics in preventing acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:3127–3136.
 132. Kellum JA, M Decker J. Use of dopamine in acute renal failure: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:1526–1531.

133. McCullough PA, Bennett-Guerrero E, Chawla LS, Beaver T, Mehta RL, Molitoris BA, Eldred A, Ball G, Lee HJ, Houser MT, Khan S. ABT-719 for the prevention of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing high-risk cardiac surgery: a randomized phase 2b clinical trial. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5:e003549. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003549.
134. Lassnigg A, Donner E, Grubhofer G, Presterl E, Druml W, Hiesmayr M. Lack of renoprotective effects of dopamine and furosemide during cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2000;11:97–104.
135. Mahesh B, Yim B, Robson D, Pillai R, Ratnatunga C, Pigott D. Does furosemide prevent renal dysfunction in high-risk cardiac surgical patients? Results of a double-blinded prospective randomized trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2008;33:370–376.
136. Dubois L, Durant C, Harrington DM, Forbes TL, Derose G, Harris JR. Technical factors are strongest predictors of postoperative renal dysfunction after open transperitoneal juxtarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg*. 2013;57:648–654.
137. Smith MN, Best D, Sheppard SV, Smith DC. The effect of mannitol on renal function after cardiopulmonary bypass in patients with established renal dysfunction. *Anaesthesia*. 2008;63:701–704.
138. Yallop KG, Sheppard SV, Smith DC. The effect of mannitol on renal function following cardio-pulmonary bypass in patients with normal pre-operative creatinine. *Anaesthesia*. 2008;63:576–582.
139. Zangrillo A, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Frati E, Covello RD, Cabrini L, Guarracino F, Ruggieri L, Bove T, Bignami E, Landoni G. Fenoldopam and acute renal failure in cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2012;26:407–413.
140. Bove T, Zangrillo A, Guarracino F, Alvaro G, Persi B, Maglioni E, Galdieri N, Comis M, Caramelli F, Pasero DC, Pala G, Renzini M, Conte M, Paternoster G, Martinez B, Pinelli F, Frontini M, Zucchetti MC, Pappalardo F, Amantea B, Camata A, Pisano A, Verdecchia C, Dal Checco E, Cariello C, Faita L, Baldassarri R, Scandroglio AM, Saleh O, Lembo R, Calabro MG, Bellomo R, Landoni G. Effect of fenoldopam on use of renal replacement therapy among patients with acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312:2244–2253.
141. Halpenny M, Lakshmi S, O'Donnell A, O'Callaghan-Enright S, Shorten GD. Fenoldopam: renal and splanchnic effects in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Anaesthesia*. 2001;56:953–960.
142. Bove T, Landoni G, Calabro MG, Aletti G, Marino G, Cerchierini E, Crescenzi G, Zangrillo A. Renoprotective action of fenoldopam in high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a prospective, double-blind, randomized clinical trial. *Circulation*. 2005;111:3230–3235.
143. Landoni G, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Tumlin JA, Bove T, De Luca M, Calabro MG, Ranucci M, Zangrillo A. Beneficial impact of fenoldopam in critically ill patients with or at risk for acute renal failure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2007;49:56–68.
144. Nigwekar SU, Navaneethan SD, Parikh CR, Hix JK. Atrial natriuretic peptide for management of acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;4:261–272.
145. Sward K, Valsson F, Odencrants P, Samuelsson O, Ricksten SE. Recombinant human atrial natriuretic peptide in ischemic acute renal failure: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32:1310–1315.
146. Mentzer RM Jr, Oz MC, Sladen RN, Graeve AH, Hebel RF Jr, Luber JM Jr, Smedira NG, Investigators N. Effects of perioperative nesiritide in patients with left ventricular dysfunction undergoing cardiac surgery: the NAPA Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:716–726.
147. Beaver TM, Winterstein AG, Shuster JJ, Gerhard T, Martin T, Alexander JA, Johnson RJ, Ejaz A, Hartzema AG. Effectiveness of nesiritide on dialysis or all-cause mortality in patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery. *Clin Cardiol*. 2006;29:18–24.
148. Sezai A, Hata M, Niino T, Yoshitake I, Unosawa S, Wakui S, Fujita K, Takayama T, Kasamaki Y, Hirayama A, Minami K. Continuous low-dose infusion of human atrial natriuretic peptide in patients with left ventricular dysfunction undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: the NU-HIT (Nihon University working group study of low-dose Human ANP Infusion Therapy during cardiac surgery) for left ventricular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:1844–1851.
149. Sezai A, Hata M, Niino T, Yoshitake I, Unosawa S, Wakui S, Kimura H, Shiono M, Takayama T, Hirayama A. Results of low-dose human atrial natriuretic peptide infusion in nondialysis patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: the NU-HIT (Nihon University working group study of low-dose HANP Infusion Therapy during cardiac surgery) trial for CKD. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:897–903.
150. Mitaka C, Ohnuma T, Murayama T, Kunimoto F, Nagashima M, Takei T, Iguchi N, Tomita M; JAPAN Investigators. Effects of low-dose atrial natriuretic peptide infusion on cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *J Crit Care*. 2017;38:253–258.
151. Mitaka C, Kudo T, Jibiki M, Sugano N, Inoue Y, Makita K, Imai T. Effects of human atrial natriuretic peptide on renal function in patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:745–751.
152. Mori Y, Kamada T, Ochiai R. Reduction in the incidence of acute kidney injury after aortic arch surgery with low-dose atrial natriuretic peptide: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2014;31:381–387.
153. Iribarren J, Jimenez J, Brouard M, Lorenzo J, Perez R, Lorente L, Nuñez C, Lorenzo L, Henry C, Martinez R. Vasoplegic syndrome after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery—associated factors and clinical outcomes: a nested case-control study. *Crit Care*. 2007;11:P254.
154. Hajjar LA, Vincent JL, Barbosa Gomes Galas FR, Rhodes A, Landoni G, Osawa EA, Melo RR, Sundin MR, Grande SM, Gaiotto FA, Pomerantzeff PM, Dallan LO, Franco RA, Nakamura RE, Lisboa LA, de Almeida JP, Gerent AM, Souza DH, Gaiane MA, Fukushima JT, Park CL, Zambolim C, Rocha Ferreira GS, Strabelli TM, Fernandes FL, Camara L, Zeferino S, Santos VG, Piccioni MA, Jatene FB, Costa Auler JO Jr, Filho RK. Vasopressin versus norepinephrine in patients with vasoplegic shock after cardiac surgery: the VANCS randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:85–93.
155. Hu Y, Li Z, Chen J, Shen C, Song Y, Zhong Q. The effect of the time interval between coronary angiography and on-pump cardiac surgery on risk of postoperative acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2013;8:178.
156. Zhang Y, Ye N, Chen YP, Cheng H. Relation between the interval from coronary angiography to selective off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting and postoperative acute kidney injury. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;112:1571–1575.
157. McIlroy DR, Epi MC, Argenziano M, Farkas D, Umann T. Acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: does the time interval from contrast administration to surgery matter? *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2012;26:804–812.
158. Serraino GF, Marsico R, Musolino G, Ventura V, Gulletta E, Sante P, Renzulli A. Pulsatile cardiopulmonary bypass with intra-aortic balloon pump improves organ function and reduces endothelial activation. *Circ J*. 2012;76:1121–1129.
159. Wang J, Yu W, Gao M, Gu C, Yu Y. Preoperative prophylactic intraaortic balloon pump reduces the incidence of postoperative acute kidney injury and short-term death of high-risk patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis of 17 studies. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;101:2007–2019.
160. Lundemoen S, Kvalheim VL, Svendsen OS, Mongstad A, Andersen KS, Grong K, Husby P. Intraaortic counterpulsation during cardiopulmonary bypass impairs distal organ perfusion. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2015;99:619–625.
161. Azau A, Markowicz P, Corbeau JJ, Cottineau C, Moreau X, Baufreton C, Beydon L. Increasing mean arterial pressure during cardiac surgery does not reduce the rate of postoperative acute kidney injury. *Perfusion*. 2014;29:496–504.
162. Di Mauro M, Gagliardi M, Iaco AL, Contini M, Bivona A, Bosco P, Gallina S, Calafiore AM. Does off-pump coronary surgery reduce postoperative acute renal failure? The importance of preoperative renal function. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2007;84:1496–1502.
163. Reents W, Hilker M, Borgermann J, Albert M, Plotze K, Zacher M, Diegeler A, Boning A. Acute kidney injury after on-pump or off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2014;98:9–14; discussion 14–5.
164. Schopka S, Diez C, Camboni D, Floerchinger B, Schmid C, Hilker M. Impact of cardiopulmonary bypass on acute kidney injury following coronary artery bypass grafting: a matched pair analysis. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2014;9:20.
165. Abu-Omar Y, Taghavi FJ, Navaratnarajah M, Ali A, Shahir A, Yu LM, Choong CK, Taggart DP. The impact of off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery on postoperative renal function. *Perfusion*. 2012;27:127–131.
166. Cheungpasitporn W, Thongprayoon C, Kittanamongkolchai W, Srivali N, OA OC, Edmonds PJ, Ratanapo S, Spanuchart I, Erickson SB. Comparison of renal outcomes in off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nephrology*. 2015. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nep.12506>. Accessed May 29, 2018.
167. Takagi H, Ando T, Mitta S; Group A. Meta-analysis comparing ≥ 10 -year mortality of off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol*. 2017;120:1933–1938.
168. Filardo G, Hamman BL, da Graca B, Sass DM, Machala NJ, Ismail S, Pollock BD, Collinsworth AW, Grayburn PA. Efficacy and effectiveness of on- versus off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis of mortality and survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018;155:172–179.e5.
169. Yeung KK, Groeneveld M, Lu JJ, van Diemen P, Jongkind V, Wisselink W. Organ protection during aortic cross-clamping. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2016;30:305–315.
170. Black JH III. Renal protection in juxtarenal and suprarenal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Semin Vasc Surg*. 2013;26:193–198.

171. Cooper CJ, Haller ST, Colyer W, Steffes M, Burket MW, Thomas WJ, Safian R, Reddy B, Brewster P, Ankenbrandt MA, Virmani R, Dippel E, Rocha-Singh K, Murphy TP, Kennedy DJ, Shapiro JJ, D'Agostino RD, Pencina MJ, Khuder S. Embolic protection and platelet inhibition during renal artery stenting. *Circulation*. 2008;117:2752–2760.
172. Kooiman J, Seth M, Dixon S, Wohms D, LaLonde T, Rao SV, Gurm HS. Risk of acute kidney injury after percutaneous coronary interventions using radial versus femoral vascular access: insights from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014;7:190–198.
173. Kim KB, Kang CH, Chang WI, Lim C, Kim JH, Ham BM, Kim YL. Off-pump coronary artery bypass with complete avoidance of aortic manipulation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;74:S1377–S1382.
174. Zhao DF, Edelman JJ, Seco M, Bannon PG, Wilson MK, Byrom MJ, Thourani V, Lamy A, Taggart DP, Puskas JD, Valley MP. Coronary artery bypass grafting with and without manipulation of the ascending aorta: a network meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69:924–936.
175. Lev-Ran O, Loberman D, Matsa M, Pevni D, Neshar N, Mohr R, Uretzky G. Reduced strokes in the elderly: the benefits of untouched aorta off-pump coronary surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2004;77:102–107.
176. Bolotin G, Shapira Y, Gotler Y, Frolikis IV, Ben-Gal Y, Neshar N, Uretzky G. The potential advantage of “no-touch” aortic technique in off-pump complete arterial revascularization. *Int J Cardiol*. 2007;114:11–15.
177. Arnaoutakis GJ, Vallabhajosyula P, Bavaria JE, Sultan I, Siki M, Naidu S, Milewski RK, Williams ML, Hargrove WC III, Desai ND, Szeto WY. The impact of deep versus moderate hypothermia on postoperative kidney function after elective aortic hemiarth repair. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;102:1313–1321.
178. Boodhwani M, Rubens FD, Wozny D, Nathan HJ. Effects of mild hypothermia and rewarming on renal function after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2009;87:489–495.
179. Newland RF, Baker RA, Mazzone AL, Quinn SS, Chew DP; Perfusion Downunder C. Rewarming temperature during cardiopulmonary bypass and acute kidney injury: a multicenter analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;101:1655–1662.
180. Koksoy C, LeMaire SA, Curling PE, Raskin SA, Schmittling ZC, Conklin LD, Coselli JS. Renal perfusion during thoracoabdominal aortic operations: cold crystalloid is superior to normothermic blood. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;73:730–738.
181. Lemaire SA, Jones MM, Conklin LD, Carter SA, Criddell MD, Wang XL, Raskin SA, Coselli JS. Randomized comparison of cold blood and cold crystalloid renal perfusion for renal protection during thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg*. 2009;49:11–19; discussion 19.
182. Yeung KK, Tangelder GJ, Fung WY, Coveliers HM, Hoksbergen AW, Van Leeuwen PA, de Lange-de Klerk ES, Wisselink W. Open surgical repair of ruptured juxtarenal aortic aneurysms with and without renal cooling: observations regarding morbidity and mortality. *J Vasc Surg*. 2010;51:551–558.
183. Sievert A, Sestino J. A meta-analysis of renal benefits to pulsatile perfusion in cardiac surgery. *J Extra Corpor Technol*. 2012;44:10–14.
184. Nam MJ, Lim CH, Kim HJ, Kim YH, Choi H, Son HS, Lim HJ, Sun K. A meta-analysis of renal function after adult cardiac surgery with pulsatile perfusion. *Artif Organs*. 2015;39:788–794.
185. Abramov D, Tamariz M, Serrick CI, Sharp E, Noel D, Harwood S, Christakis GT, Goldman BS. The influence of cardiopulmonary bypass flow characteristics on the clinical outcome of 1820 coronary bypass patients. *Can J Cardiol*. 2003;19:237–243.
186. Farid S, Povey H, Anderson S, Nashef SA, Abu-Omar Y. The effect of pulsatile cardiopulmonary bypass on the need for haemofiltration in patients with renal dysfunction undergoing cardiac surgery. *Perfusion*. 2016;31:477–481.
187. Sandner SE, Zimpfer D, Zrunek P, Rajek A, Schima H, Dunkler D, Grimm M, Wolner E, Wieselthaler GM. Renal function and outcome after continuous flow left ventricular assist device implantation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2009;87:1072–1078.
188. Gupta S, Woldendorp K, Muthiah K, Robson D, Prichard R, Macdonald PS, Keogh AM, Kotlyar E, Jabbour A, Dhital K, Granger E, Spratt P, Jansz P, Hayward CS. Normalisation of haemodynamics in patients with end-stage heart failure with continuous-flow left ventricular assist device therapy. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2014;23:963–969.
189. Karkouti K, Beattie WS, Wijeyesundera DN, Rao V, Chan C, Dattilo KM, Djaiani G, Ivanov J, Karski J, David TE. Hemodilution during cardiopulmonary bypass is an independent risk factor for acute renal failure in adult cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2005;129:391–400.
190. Ranucci M, Aloisio T, Carboni G, Ballotta A, Pistuddi V, Menicanti L, Frigiola A. Acute kidney injury and hemodilution during cardiopulmonary bypass: a changing scenario. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2015;100:95–100.
191. Oprea AD, Del Rio JM, Cooter M, Green CL, Karhausen JA, Nailor P, Guinn NR, Podgoreanu MV, Stafford-Smith M, Schroder JN, Fontes ML, Kertai MD. Pre- and postoperative anemia, acute kidney injury, and mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: a retrospective observational study. *Can J Anaesth*. 2018;65:46–59.
192. Khan UA, Coca SG, Hong K, Koyner JL, Garg AX, Passik CS, Swaminathan M, Garwood S, Patel UD, Hashim S, Quantz MA, Parikh CR. Blood transfusions are associated with urinary biomarkers of kidney injury in cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;148:726–732.
193. Mazer CD, Whitlock RP, Fergusson DA, Hall J, Belley-Cote E, Connolly K, Khanykin B, Gregory AJ, de Medicis E, McGuinness S, Royse A, Carrier FM, Young PJ, Villar JC, Grocott HP, Seeberger MD, Fremes S, Lellouche F, Syed S, Byrne K, Bagshaw SM, Hwang NC, Mehta C, Painter TW, Royse C, Verma S, Hare GMT, Cohen A, Thorpe KE, Juni P, Shehata N; TRICS Investigators and Perioperative Anesthesia Clinical Trials Group. Restrictive or liberal red-cell transfusion for cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:2133–2144.
194. Murphy GJ, Pike K, Rogers CA, Wordsworth S, Stokes EA, Angelini GD, Reeves BC; Investigators TL. Liberal or restrictive transfusion after cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:997–1008.
195. Paugh TA, Dickinson TA, Martin JR, Hanson EC, Fuller J, Heung M, Zhang M, Shann KG, Prager RL, Likosky DS; Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons; Perfusion Measures and Outcomes PERForm Registry. Impact of ultrafiltration on kidney injury after cardiac surgery: the Michigan experience. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2015;100:1683–1688.
196. Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Van Aken H, Schmidt C, Kullmar M, Rosenberger P, Martens S, Gorlich D, Meersch M. Long-term effects of remote ischemic preconditioning on kidney function in high-risk cardiac surgery patients: follow-up results from the RenalRIP Trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:787–798.
197. Yang Y, Lang XB, Zhang P, Lv R, Wang YF, Chen JH. Remote ischemic preconditioning for prevention of acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2014;64:574–583.
198. Zhang Y, Zhang X, Chi D, Wang S, Wei H, Yu H, Li Q, Liu B. Remote ischemic preconditioning for prevention of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95:e3465.
199. Menting TP, Wever KE, Ozdemir-van Brunschot DM, Van der Vliet DJ, Rovers MM, Warle MC. Ischaemic preconditioning for the reduction of renal ischaemia reperfusion injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;3:CD010777.
200. Pierce B, Bole I, Patel V, Brown DL. Clinical outcomes of remote ischemic preconditioning prior to cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6:e004666. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004666.
201. Walsh SR, Tang TY, Sadat U, Gaunt ME. Remote ischemic preconditioning in major vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg*. 2009;49:240–243.
202. Ali ZA, Callaghan CJ, Lim E, Ali AA, Nouraei SA, Akthar AM, Boyle JR, Varty K, Kharbada RK, Dutka DP, Gaunt ME. Remote ischemic preconditioning reduces myocardial and renal injury after elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: a randomized controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2007;116:198–1105.
203. Walsh SR, Sadat U, Boyle JR, Tang TY, Lapsley M, Norden AG, Gaunt ME. Remote ischemic preconditioning for renal protection during elective open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: randomized controlled trial. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2010;44:334–340.
204. Murphy N, Vijayan A, Frohlich S, O'Farrell F, Barry M, Sheehan S, Boylan J, Conlon N. Remote ischemic preconditioning does not affect the incidence of acute kidney injury after elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2014;28:1285–1292.
205. Lellouche F, Dionne S, Simard S, Bussières J, Dagenais F. High tidal volumes in mechanically ventilated patients increase organ dysfunction after cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116:1072–1082.
206. Koyner JL, Garg AX, Coca SG, Sint K, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Patel UD, Shlipak MG, Parikh CR; Consortium T-A. Biomarkers predict progression of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;23:905–914.
207. Cruz DN, Ronco C, Katz N. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin: a promising biomarker for detecting cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2010;139:1101–1106.
208. Vincent J-L, Rhodes A, Perel A, Martin GS, Rocca GD, Vallet B, Pinsky MR, Hofer CK, Teboul J-L, de Boode W-P, Scolletta S, Vieillard-Baron A, De Backer D, Walley KR, Maggiorini M, Singer M. Clinical review: update on hemodynamic monitoring—a consensus of 16. *Crit Care*. 2011;15:229.
209. Ostermann M, Hall A, Crichton S. Low mean perfusion pressure is a risk factor for progression of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients—a retrospective analysis. *BMC Nephrol*. 2017;18:151.
210. Legrand M, Mebazaa A, Ronco C, Januzzi JL Jr. When cardiac failure, kidney dysfunction, and kidney injury intersect in acute conditions: the case of cardiorenal syndrome. *Crit Care Med*. 2014;42:2109–2117.
211. Aya H, Cecconi M, Hamilton M, Rhodes A. Goal-directed therapy in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;110:510–517.

212. Sirivella S, Gielchinsky I, Parsonnet V. Mannitol, furosemide, and dopamine infusion in postoperative renal failure complicating cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000;69:501–506.
213. Angeli P, Gines P. Hepatorenal syndrome, MELD score and liver transplantation: an evolving issue with relevant implications for clinical practice. *J Hepatol*. 2012;57:1135–1140.
214. Shen Y, Zhang W, Cheng X, Ying M. Association between postoperative fluid balance and acute kidney injury in patients after cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *J Crit Care*. 2017;44:273–277.
215. Chau K, Schisler T, Er L, Jaswal D, Cheung C, Israel A, Bowering J, Levin A. Fluid balance, change in serum creatinine and urine output as markers of acute kidney injury post cardiac surgery: an observational study. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*. 2014;1:19.
216. Stein A, de Souza LV, Belettini CR, Menegazzo WR, Viegas JR, Costa Pereira EM, Eick R, Araujo L, Consolim-Colombo F, Irigoyen MC. Fluid overload and changes in serum creatinine after cardiac surgery: predictors of mortality and longer intensive care stay. A prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2012;16:R99.
217. Rosner MH, Ostermann M, Murugan R, Prowle JR, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Mythen MG, Shaw AD; ADQI XII Investigators Group. Indications and management of mechanical fluid removal in critical illness. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113:764–771.
218. Goldstein S, Bagshaw S, Cecconi M, Okusa M, Wang H, Kellum J, Mythen M, Shaw AD; Group AXI. Pharmacological management of fluid overload. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113:756–763.
219. Ostermann M, Joannidis M, Pani A, Floris M, De Rosa S, Kellum JA, Ronco C; 17th Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) Consensus Group. Patient selection and timing of continuous renal replacement therapy. *Blood Purif*. 2016;42:224–237.
220. Gibney N, Hoste E, Burdman EA, Bunchman T, Kher V, Viswanathan R, Mehta RL, Ronco C. Timing of initiation and discontinuation of renal replacement therapy in AKI: unanswered key questions. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2008;3:876–880.
221. Karvellas CJ, Farhat MR, Sajjad I, Mogensen SS, Leung AA, Wald R, Bagshaw SM. A comparison of early versus late initiation of renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care*. 2011;15:R72.
222. Wierstra BT, Kadri S, Alomar S, Burbano X, Barrisford GW, Kao RL. The impact of “early” versus “late” initiation of renal replacement therapy in critical care patients with acute kidney injury: a systematic review and evidence synthesis. *Crit Care*. 2016;20:122.
223. Zou H, Hong Q, Gaosi X. Early versus late initiation of renal replacement therapy impacts mortality in patients with acute kidney injury post cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care*. 2017;21:150.
224. Liu Y, Davari-Farid S, Arora P, Porhomayon J, Nader ND. Early versus late initiation of renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2014;28:557–563.
225. Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C, Van Aken H, Wempe C, Pavenstädt H, Boanta A, Gerß J, Meersch M. Effect of early vs delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;315:2190–2199.
226. Gaudry S, Hajage D, Dreyfuss D. Initiation of renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:1901–1902.
227. Bagshaw SM, Berthiaume LR, Delaney A, Bellomo R. Continuous versus intermittent renal replacement therapy for critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:610–617.
228. Kellum JA, Lameire N. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). *Crit Care*. 2013;17:204.
229. Bouchard J, Soroko SB, Chertow GM, Himmelfarb J, Ikizler TA, Paganini EP, Mehta RL; Program to Improve Care in Acute Renal Disease Study G. Fluid accumulation, survival and recovery of kidney function in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int*. 2009;76:422–427.
230. Bouchard J, Mehta RL. Volume management in continuous renal replacement therapy. *Semin Dial*. 2009;22:146–150.
231. Schneider AG, Bellomo R, Bagshaw SM, Glassford NJ, Lo S, Jun M, Cass A, Gallagher M. Choice of renal replacement therapy modality and dialysis dependence after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2013;39:987–997.
232. Wald R, Shariff SZ, Adhikari NK, Bagshaw SM, Burns KE, Friedrich JO, Garg AX, Harel Z, Kitchlu A, Ray JG. The association between renal replacement therapy modality and long-term outcomes among critically ill adults with acute kidney injury: a retrospective cohort study*. *Crit Care Med*. 2014;42:868–877.
233. Romagnoli S, Ricci Z, Ronco C. Therapy of acute kidney injury in the perioperative setting. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2017;30:92–99.
234. Swaminathan M, Stafford-Smith M, Chertow GM, Warnock DG, Paragamian V, Brenner RM, Lellouche F, Fox-Robichaud A, Atta MG, Melby S, Mehta RL, Wald R, Verma S, Mazer CD; ACT-AKI investigators. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of AKI after cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2018;29:260–267.
235. Barnes CJ, Distaso CT, Spitz KM, Verdun VA, Haramati A. Comparison of stem cell therapies for acute kidney injury. *Am J Stem Cells*. 2016;5:1–10.

Key Words: biomarker • dialysis • diuretics • ischemia–reperfusion injury • renal insufficiency

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Appendix

Information regarding workgroups and work product

Co-Chairs	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
<p>Mitra K. Nadim (Los Angeles, CA, USA)</p> <p>Lui G. Forni (Guildford, UK)</p> <p>John Kellum (Pittsburgh, PA, USA)</p> <p>Claudio Ronco (Vicenza, Italy)</p> <p>Vladimir Gasparovic (Zagreb, Croatia)</p>	Risk Assessment for the development of CVS-AKI	Pathophysiology of CVS-AKI	Prevention of CVS-AKI	Workup and Management of CVS-AKI
Facilitators	Jay L. Koyner (Chicago, IL, USA)	Andrew Shaw (Nashville, TN, USA)	Azra Bihorac (Gainesville, FL, USA)	Charles Hobson (Gainesville, FL, USA)
Members	<p>Kathleen D. Liu (San Francisco, CA, USA)</p> <p>Neesh Pannu (Alberta, Canada)</p> <p>Jeffrey B. Rich (Cleveland, OH, USA)</p> <p>Lokeswara R. Sajja (Hyderabad, India)</p>	<p>Hrvoje Gasparovic (Zagreb, Croatia)</p> <p>Nevin Katz (Baltimore, MD, USA)</p> <p>Peter Pickkers (Nijmegen, Netherlands)</p> <p>Susana Price (London, UK)</p> <p>Zaccaria Ricci (Vicenza, Italy)</p>	<p>Geroge J. Arnaoutakis (Gainesville, FL, USA)</p> <p>Daniel T. Engelman (Springfield, MA, USA)</p> <p>Charles A. Herzog (Minnesota, MN, USA)</p> <p>Fred A. Weaver (Los Angeles, CA, USA)</p> <p>Alexander Zarbock (Munster, Germany)</p>	<p>Xiaoqiang Ding (Shanghai, China)</p> <p>Kianoush Kashani (Minnesota, MN, USA)</p> <p>Ravindra L. Mehta (San Diego, CA, USA)</p> <p>Marlies Ostermann (London, UK)</p>

Data S1.

ADQI METHODOLOGY

Our consensus process relied on evidence where available and, in the absence of evidence, consensus expert needed opinion where possible as described previously. Our methods comprise (i) a systematic search for evidence with review and evaluation of the available literature; (ii) the establishment of clinical and physiological outcomes and also measures to be used for comparison of different treatments; (iii) the description of current practice and the rationale for the use of current techniques; and (iv) the analysis of areas in which evidence is lacking and future research is required to obtain new information. The topics chosen for each conference are selected on the basis of the following criteria: (i) prevalence of the clinical problem; (ii) estimate of variation in clinical practice; (iii) potential influence on outcome; (iv) potential for development of evidence-based guidelines; and (v) availability of scientific evidence.

The activities of ADQI conferences are conducted in three stages: (1) pre-conference, (2) conference, and (3) post-conference. Prior to the conference, we identified four topics for discussion pertaining to Cardiac and Vascular associated acute kidney injury (AKI). Conference participants were divided into four working groups, (i. Pathophysiology; ii. Diagnosis and risk assessment; iii. Prevention; and iv. Workup and Management) and tasked with the development of practice recommendations as well as research recommendations where knowledge gaps were recognized. Panelists were assigned to one of the work groups, with one member serving as the group facilitators. Conference directors circulate between the breakout groups and also serve as facilitators and moderators for plenary sessions.

Summary statements were developed through a series of breakout sessions where individual work group members were required to identify key issues for which recommendations were needed and to classify current state of consensus and identify supporting evidence for each issue. Workgroup members were then required to present their findings to the entire group, revising each statement as needed until a final version was agreed upon. The responsibility for presenting the findings of the work group to the rest of the participants was shared by each member on a rotating basis. Group facilitators

revised work group findings as needed after each plenary session. Directives for future research were achieved by asking the participants to: a) identify deficiencies in the literature, b) determine if more evidence is necessary, and c) if so, and articulate general research questions. When possible, pertinent study design issues are also considered. Special observers had a scheduled rotation through each of the four workgroups to provide input. In each breakout session, the workgroups refined the key questions, identified the supporting evidence, and generated recommendations and/or directions for future research as appropriate. Summary statements were developed through these series of alternating breakout and plenary sessions and were further refined until final versions were agreed upon. A writing committee assembled the individual reports from the work groups. Each report was edited to conform to a uniform style and for length. The final reports were mailed to each participant for comment and revision. Once final reports were completed, the writing committee summarized the individual reports into a final conference document that is submitted for publication.

For each group topic studies were identified via MEDLINE, PubMed search, bibliographies of review articles and participants' files. Searches were limited to English language articles. We excluded studies on pediatric population. Each group identified a list of key questions and reviews of the literature were performed in a systematic manner prior to the meeting, as directed by the Workgroup chairs. Although nonrandomized studies were also reviewed, the majority of the Workgroup resources were devoted to review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), as these were deemed to be most likely to provide data to support level 1 recommendations with very high- or high-quality (A or B) evidence. Exceptions were made by incorporating the best available evidence and expert opinion for topics with sparse evidence. Through consensus of opinion, the available literature was distilled and presented iteratively to the conference participants with the final outputs assessed and aggregated in a plenary session who formally approved the consensus recommendations. The quality of the overall evidence and the strength of recommendations were graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation system. Evidence was classified according to levels per EBM methodology.