

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Liu Y, Wu K, Shi L, Xiang F, Tao K, Wang G (2016) Prognostic Significance of the Metabolic Marker Hexokinase-2 in Various Solid Tumors: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 11(11): e0166230. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166230

Editor: William B. Coleman, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: August 9, 2016

Accepted: October 4, 2016

Published: November 8, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (973 program, 2015CB5540007), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81472740, 81101825) and Research Fund of Public Welfare in Health Industry, Health and Family Plan Committee of China (No. 201402015). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Prognostic Significance of the Metabolic Marker Hexokinase-2 in Various Solid Tumors: A Meta-Analysis

#### Yulin Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ke Wu<sup>1</sup>, Liang Shi<sup>2</sup>, Fan Xiang<sup>1</sup>, Kaixiong Tao<sup>1</sup>, Guobin Wang<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, 2 Department of Clinical Laboratory, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

\* guobinwanguh@163.com

# Abstract

## Objective

Recently, numerous studies have reported that hexokinase-2 (HK2) is aberrantly expressed in cancer, indicating that HK2 plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of cancer. However, its prognostic significance in solid tumor remains unclear. Accordingly, we performed a meta-analysis to assess the prognostic value of HK2 in solid tumor.

## Methods

Eligible studies were identified using PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases. Pooled hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for overall survival (OS) or progression-free survival (PFS)/disease-free survival (DFS)/relapse-free survival (RFS) were estimated with random effects or fixed effects models, respectively. Subgroup analysis was also performed according to patients' ethnicities, tumor types, detection methods, and analysis types.

## Results

Data from 21 included studies with 2532 patients were summarized. HK2 overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS (pooled HR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.51-2.38, p < 0.001) and PFS (pooled HR = 2.91, 95% CI = 2.02-4.22, p < 0.001) in solid tumor. As to a specific form of cancer, the negative effect of HK2 on OS was observed in hepatocellular carcinoma (pooled HR = 2.06, 95% CI = 1.67-2.54, p < 0.001), gastric cancer (pooled HR = 1.72, 95% CI = 1.09-2.71, p = 0.020), colorectal cancer (pooled HR = 2.89, 95% CI = 1.62-5.16, p < 0.001), but not in pancreatic cancer (pooled HR = 1.13, 95% CI = 0.28-4.66, p = 0.864). No publication bias was found in the included studies for OS (Begg's test, p = 0.325; Egger's test, p = 0.441).



**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Conclusion

In this meta-analysis, we identified that elevated HK2 expression was significantly associated with shorter OS and PFS in patients with solid tumor, but the association varies according to cancer type.

#### Introduction

Cancer has being the leading cause of mortality worldwide and is therefore a major public health threat. Solid tumors account for most of human cancers [1]. Currently, curative surgical resection is still the optimal therapy for primary solid tumor. However, outcome may vary in patients with the same tumor after surgery. Thus, to precisely evaluate the therapeutic effect and prognosis of patients who suffer from cancer, feasible and effective biomarkers are urgently needed.

Numerous studies have shown that cancer metabolism is closely related to cancer cell survival owing to the sharing of common signaling molecules between these two pathways [2–4]. Cancer cells prefer to convert glucose to lactic acid as the main source of energy, regardless of oxygen availability, a phenomenon designated the Warburg effect or aerobic glycolysis [5]. Aerobic glycolysis generates less ATP than oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), but can rapidly provide sufficient energy and biosynthetic precursors for cellular proliferation. Therefore aerobic glycolysis has been identified as the seventh hallmark of cancer [6]. Although the glycolysis rate can be regulated by a number of metabolic enzymes in the glycolytic pathway, most studies have suggested that glycolytic flux primarily relies on upregulation of glucose transporters and hexokinase (HK) [7, 8]. Specifically, HK2 has been suggested to contribute to the increased glycolysis by catalyzing the conversion from glucose to glucose-6-phosphate in the glycolytic pathway of cancer cells [9].

Recently, overexpression of HK2 has been reported in various solid tumors, including colorectal cancer [10], hepatocellular carcinoma [11], ovarian cancer [12], and pancreatic cancer [13], indicating that HK2 plays an important role in the development and progression of cancer. However, the prognostic value of HK2 was still controversial. Many studies have shown that overexpression of HK2 is associated with poor prognosis [14–16], whereas a few studies have demonstrated the opposite results [10].

Thus, in order to clarify the prognostic significance of HK2 as a metabolic marker in various solid tumors, we performed a meta-analysis on data collected systematically.

## Methods

#### Search Strategy

The PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases were used for a comprehensive literature search with the following terms and their combinations: "hexokinase-2 or HK2 or HK-2", "cancer or carcinoma or tumor or neoplasm", and "prognosis or prognostic or outcome or survival". The last search was conducted on September 28, 2016. Any potential studies were manually searched for in the references.

#### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Eligible studies met the following inclusion criteria: evaluation of patients with pathologically diagnosed solid tumors; the study contained sample size more than 20; published in English;

studies aimed to explore the association between HK2 expression and prognosis; and studies with hazard ratios (HRs) and confidence intervals (CIs), which could be directly obtained or calculated with relevant data.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: studies of tumor cell lines, animal experiments, or non-solid tumors; published in a language other than English; duplicate reports and inappropriate article types, such as case reports, letters, conference papers, and reviews; studies without sufficient data for obtaining HRs and CIs.

## Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Data were extracted from the included studies by two authors (Yulin Liu and Liang Shi) independently, and a third author (Ke Wu) was responsible for reconciling disagreements. Extracted data included first author's name, publication year, country, tumor type, sample size, tumor stage, mean age, cut-off value, and outcome. The adopted indexes for outcomes included overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS)/disease-free survival (DFS)/relapsefree survival (RFS). The quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (case-control studies) [17]. The score ranged from 0 to 9, and studies with scores of 6 or more were regarded as high quality.

## Statistical Analysis

HRs and their 95% CIs were used to assess the prognostic value of elevated HK2 expression in solid tumor. The reported statistical variables were extracted directly from the primary studies. If not given explicitly, variables were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method or available data according to Tierney's method [18]. Heterogeneity analysis was performed by visual observation of forest plots with the  $I^2$  statistic and Chi-squared tests [19].  $I^2 > 50\%$  and/or p < 0.05 was considered representative of obviously heterogeneity, and a random effect model was applied to pool the HRs and their CIs. Otherwise, a fixed effect model was applied. Pooled HRs of greater than 1 represented poor prognosis of elevated HK2 expression, and results with p values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Subgroup analysis was further performed for interpretation of identified heterogeneity. Additionally, the consistency of pooled outcomes was examined with sensitivity analysis. Publication bias was investigated using funnel plots with Egger's test and Begg's test, and results with p values of less than 0.05 were considered be indicative of significant bias. Stata 12.0 software was used for all statistical analyses in this meta-analysis.

## Results

## **Eligible Studies**

According to our defined searching strategy, a total of 951 references were identified after an initial search. After screening by titles and abstracts, 876studies were excluded on account of duplicated data, irrelevant subject, inappropriate article type, and inappropriate study type (i.e., cell and animal experiments). The 75 remaining studies for the association of HK2 overex-pression with cancer prognosis were evaluated by reading the full text. Finally, 21studies consisting of 2532 cases were evaluated in this meta-analysis (Fig 1).

## **Characteristics of Included Studies**

The main characteristics of the included studies are shown in <u>Table 1</u>. Twenty-one eligible studies from China, Japan, Korea, the United States of America, Canada, and Germany included patients who had been diagnosed with a variety of solid tumors, such as hepatocellular





Fig 1. Flow diagram shows search strategy.

carcinoma, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer and pancreatic cancer. HK2 protein and mRNA expression in tumor tissues were detected in 18 and three studies, respectively. 18 studies provided data on OS, which including hepatocellular carcinoma (n = 6), gastric cancer (n = 3), colorectal cancer (n = 2), pancreatic cancer (n = 2) and others (n = 5). Eight studies provided data on PFS/DFS/RFS. HRs were directly extracted from 14 studies and calculated with available data or survival curves from the other seven studies. The cut-off values for positive expression of HK2 varied in these studies. In quality assessment, all of the included studies had scores ranging from 6 to 9, indicating that they were of high quality.

## Correlation of HK2 Expression with OS and Subgroup Analysis

The combined analysis of 18 studies showed that elevated HK2 expression was associated with worse OS (pooled HR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.51-2.38, p < 0.001) (Fig 2). Owing to moderate heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 55.4\%$ , p = 0.002), a random effect model was used to pool HRs.

In order to identify the possible sources of heterogeneity across these studies, subgroup analysis was performed according to patients' ethnicity, tumor type, detection method, and analysis type (Table 2). Subgroup analysis by patient ethnicity showed that HK2

First author	Year	Country	Tumor type	Sample size	Stage	Method	Mean age	Cut-off value	Analysis	Outcome	NOS
Zhang [20]	2016	China	HCC	155	I-IV	IHC	NR	CS	univariate	OS	7
Guo [ <mark>21</mark> ]	2015	China	HCC	120	I-IV	RT-PCR	NR	NR	survival curves	OS	7
Gong [22]	2012	China	HCC	97	I-IV	RT-PCR	NR	0.39%	survival curve	OS	8
Kwee [23]	2012	America	HCC	157	I-IV	IHC	NR	CS	multivariate	OS	9
Peng [14]	2008	China	HCC	203	I-IV	RT-PCR	54.9	ratio ≥1.5	survival curve	OS	8
Paudyal [24]	2008	Japan	HCC	31	I-IV	IHC	NR	CS	multivariate	OS	8
Hur [25]	2013	Korea	GC	152	I-IV	IHC	55.8±13.6	staining≥30%	univariate	OS/DFS	7
Qiu [ <u>16]</u>	2011	China	GC	188	I-IV	IHC	57	NR	multivariate	OS	8
Rho [26]	2007	Korea	GC	257	I-IV	IHC	54.6	staining≥10%	multivariate	OS	9
Katagiri [27]	2016	Japan	CRC	195	I-IV	IHC	64.5	staining≥10%	multivariate	OS	8
Ho [28]	2016	Canada	CRC	60	I-IV	IF	NR	$F$ -Score $\geq$ 24.7	univariate	OS/PFS	7
Hamabe [10]	2014	Japan	CRC	104	I-IV	IHC	NR	CS	survival curve	RFS	8
Ogawa [13]	2015	Japan	PC	36	I- III	IHC	70	CS	multivariate	OS/RFS	7
Lyshchik [29]	2007	Japan	PC	74	I-IV	IHC	$63.5 \pm 9.2$	index≥3	survival curve	OS	8
Sato [30]	2013	Japan	BC	118	I- III	IHC	57	staining≥10%	survival curve	DFS	7
Palmieri [ <mark>31</mark> ]	2009	Germany	BC	123	NR	IHC	51	NR	multivariate	OS	8
Zhang [32]	2016	China	NPC	140	I-IV	IHC	48	index≥3	multivariate	OS/PFS	9
Huang [ <u>33]</u>	2015	China	CCA	132	I-IV	IHC	51	staining≥25%	multivariate	PFS	8
Suh[12]	2014	Korea	EOC	111	I-IV	IHC	NR	CS	multivariate	OS/PFS	9
Tsukada [34]	2012	Japan	ULMS	23	1	IHC	51.5	CS	multivariate	OS	8
Wolf [15]	2011	Canada	GBM	56	NR	IHC	NR	NR	multivariate	OS	7

Table 1. The main characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis.

HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; GC: gastric cancer; CRC: colorectal cancer; PC: pancreatic cancer; BC: breast cancer; NPS: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; CCA: cervical carcinoma; EOC: epithelial ovarian carcinoma; ULMS: uterine leiomyosarcoma; GBM: glioblastoma multiforme; IHC: immunohistochemistry; RT-PCR: reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; IF: immunofluorescence; NR: not reported; CS: complex score combining intensity and percentage of HK2 expression.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166230.t001

PLOS ONE

overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS in Asian patients (pooled HR = 1.86, 95% CI = 1.39–2.49, p < 0.001) and Caucasian patients (pooled HR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.47–2.63, p < 0.001). The negative effect of HK2 overexpression on OS was demonstrated in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (pooled HR = 2.06, 95% CI = 1.67–2.54, p < 0.001), gastric cancer (pooled HR = 1.72, 95% CI = 1.09–2.71, p = 0.020), colorectal cancer (pooled HR = 2.89, 95% CI = 1.62–5.16, p < 0.001) and others (pooled HR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.44–3.21, p < 0.001), but not with pancreatic cancer (pooled HR = 1.13, 95% CI = 0.28–4.66, p = 0.864). In subgroup analysis based on detection method, overexpression of HK2 could significantly indicate poor outcome in patients with solid tumor (IHC: pooled HR = 1.85, 95% CI = 1.37–2.49, p < 0.001; RT-PCR: pooled HR = 2.00, 95% CI = 1.51–2.65, p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis by the type of analysis suggested that elevated HK2 expression was significantly associated with shorter OS using either univariate analysis (pooled HR = 1.72, 95% CI = 1.13–2.61, p = 0.011) or multivariate analysis (pooled HR = 1.99, 95% CI = 1.60–2.46, p < 0.001).

#### Correlation of HK2 Expression with PFS/DFS/RFS

Eight eligible studies were adopted to pool HRs for PFS/DFS/RFS. Without obvious statistical heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , p = 0.790), a fixed effect model was used to pool HRs. The results showed that elevated HK2 expression was associated with negative outcome in patients with

Study ID	HR (95% CI)	% Weight
Zhang (2016)	2.70 (1.76, 4.15)	8.16
Guo (2015)	1.95 (1.18, 3.20)	7.40
Gong (2012)	2.05 (1.02, 4.11)	5.54
Kwee (2012)	1.62 (1.00, 2.60)	7.63
Peng (2008)	2.01 (1.36, 2.97)	8.58
Paudyal (2008)	2.15 (0.31, 14.53)	1.25
Hur (2013)	1.37 (0.85, 2.22)	7.60
Qiu (2011)	3.48 (1.56, 7.72)	4.76
Rho (2007)	1.47 (0.94, 2.23)	8.14
Katagiri (2016)	2.70 (1.40, 5.60)	5.56
Но (2016)	3.37 (1.17, 9.75)	3.29
Ogawa (2015)	2.57 (0.89, 8.39)	3.03
Lyshchik (2007) —	0.60 (0.37, 0.96)	7.64
Zhang (2016)	4.85 (1.63, 14.38)	3.17
Suh (2014)	1.81 (0.66, 4.93)	3.55
Tsukada (2012)	0.53 (0.08, 3.45)	1.30
Wolf (2011)	1.90 (1.19, 3.04)	7.72
Palmieri (2009)	2.52 (1.27, 4.97)	5.66
Overall (I-squared = 55.4%, p = 0.002)	1.90 (1.51, 2.38)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.0688 1	14.5	

PLOS ONE

solid tumor (pooled HR = 2.55, 95% CI = 1.89–3.45, p < 0.001) (Fig 3). Additionally, data were analyzed based on PFS, DFS, and RFS. Patients with elevated HK2 expression had a significantly shorter PFS (pooled HR = 2.91, 95% CI = 2.02–4.22, p < 0.001). Despite the lack of significant difference, a similar trend was observed for DFS (pooled HR = 1.89, 95% CI = 0.94–3.83, p = 0.074) and RFS (pooled HR = 2.02, 95% CI = 0.93–4.38, p = 0.076).

#### Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed by sequentially omitting individual studies with a fixedeffect model (Fig 4). No studies were found to obviously impact the result pattern. Interestingly, one study by Lyshchik et al [22] contributed the most to the observed heterogeneity. After deletion of this study, the observed heterogeneity dramatically decreased ( $I^2 = 55.4\%$ , p = 0.002 versus  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , p = 0.475). However, the association between HK2 expression and OS was not altered when this study was included (pooled HR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.51–2.38, p < 0.001) or excluded (pooled HR = 2.00, 95% CI = 1.73–2.32, p < 0.001).

Subgroup	No. of patients	No. of studies	Random-effect m	Heterogeneity		
			HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	<i>l</i> ² (%)	<i>p</i> value
Overall survival	2178	18	1.90 (1.51, 2.38)	< 0.001	55.4	0.002
Ethnicity						
Asian	1782	14	1.86 (1.39, 2.49)	< 0.001	63.4	0.001
Caucasian	396	4	1.97 (1.47, 2.63)	< 0.001	0	0.541
Tumor type						
HCC	763	6	2.06 (1.67, 2.54)	< 0.001	0	0.767
GC	597	3	1.72 (1.09, 2.71)	0.020	52.1	0.124
CRC	255	2	2.89 (1.62, 5.16)	< 0.001	0	0.731
PC	110	2	1.13 (0.28, 4.66)	0.864	81.7	0.019
Others	453	5	2.15 (1.44, 3.21)	< 0.001	17.6	0.303
Detection method						
IHC	1698	14	1.85 (1.37, 2.49)	< 0.001	63.9	0.001
RT-PCR	420	3	2.00 (1.51, 2.65)	< 0.001	0	0.992
IF	60	1	3.37 (1.17, 9.74)	0.024	-	-
Analysis type						
Multivariate	1317	11	1.99 (1.60, 2.46)	< 0.001	4.3	0.402
Univariate	861	7	1.72 (1.13, 2.61)	0.011	77.3	< 0.001
Lyshchik's study						
Yes	2178	18	1.90 (1.51, 2.38)	< 0.001	55.4	0.002
No	2104	17	2.00 (1.73, 2.32)	< 0.001	0	0.475

Table 2. Pooled HRs for OS according to subgroup analysis.

#### **Publication Bias**

Publication bias was not found by visual assessment of funnel plots (Fig 5), and this analysis was confirmed by Begg's test (p = 0.325) and Egger's test (p = 0.441). Because fewer than 10 eligible studies were used to pool HRs for PFS/DFS/RFS, funnel plots, Begg's tests, and Egger's tests were not performed and publication bias was not assessed in these analyses.

#### Discussion

In the past several decades, aerobic glycolysis has attracted increasing attention as a hallmark of cancer cells. Metabolic reprogramming furnishes cancer cells with sufficient precursor substances for rapid cell proliferation [35, 36]. As a key irreversible step in the glycolytic pathway, the phosphorylation of glucose catalyzed by HK plays a pivotal role in aerobic glycolysis. Meanwhile, HK is involved in the initiation and progression of tumor; in particular, HK2 is a critical component integrating cell viability and energy production pathways [21, 37]. The prosurvival effect of HK2 is achieved mainly through the following three mechanisms: (1) upregulation of HK2 facilitates the generation of biosynthetic precursors for cellular proliferation by increasing glycolysis rate [38]; (2) overexpression of HK2 could increase NADPH levels in the cytoplasm through the pentose phosphate pathway, contributing to protection of cells from oxidative damage [39]; and (3) HK2 can bind to mitochondria and preserve mitochondrial integrity in order to block mitochondrial death pathways [40]. Therefore, elevated expression of HK2 is critical for promoting tumor progression.

Accumulating evidence has revealed that elevated HK2 expression is associated with not only the development and progression of tumor [37, 41], but also with an unfavorable prognosis [23]. The overexpression of HK2 was positively correlated with tumor stage, differentiation

Study		% Woight
	TIR (3570 CI)	weight
PFS		
Zhang (2016)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7.57
Huang (2015) ——	<b>2.94</b> (1.61, 5.79)	22.13
Suh (2014) ———	• 2.63 (1.41, 4.92)	23.24
Но (2016)	2.74 (1.21, 6.19)	13.62
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.885)	2.91 (2.02, 4.22)	66.56
DFS		
Hur (2013)	1.17 (0.39, 3.52)	7.50
Sato (2013)	2.65 (1.10, 6.90)	10.76
Subtotal (I-squared = 20.0%, p = 0.263)	1.89 (0.94, 3.83)	18.26
RFS		
Ogawa (2015)	2.57 (0.92, 7.17)	8.61
Hamabe (2014)	1.48 (0.46, 4.82)	6.58
Subtotal (I–squared = 0.0%, p = 0.488)	2.02 (0.93, 4.38)	15.18
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.465		
Overall (I–squared = 0.0%, p = 0.790)	2.55 (1.89, 3.45)	100.00
.0766 1	13	



and lymph node metastasis, as well as with a reduction in survival [10, 16, 21, 32]. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first meta-analysis systematically exploring the prognostic value of elevated HK2 expression in solid tumor. In brief, our meta-analysis provided strong support that elevated HK2 expression was a significant independent indicator of poor outcome based on pooled HRs estimates. HK2 was associated with shorter OS in hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. The adverse prognostic effect of HK2 overexpression was virtually unaffected by ethnic background, analysis type and detection method. Moreover, patients with elevated HK2 expression had a significantly shorter PFS. Besides as an independent indicator of poor prognosis, combination of the expression of HK2 and other biomarkers could hold potent prognostic value in patients with solid tumor. In pancreatic cancer, although the positive expression of HK2 exhibited borderline significant prognostic value for OS, the combination of elevated expression of HK2 and PKM2 was found to be significant (P<0.05) [13]. Hamabe et al. reported that the combined evaluation of positive HK2 and negative phosphorylated pyruvate dehydrogenase (p-PDH) was associated with reduced RFS in stage II and III patients with CRC [10].[29]



Meta-analysis estimates, given named study is omitted

Fig 4. Sensitivity analysis of the evaluation on the relationship between HK2 expression and OS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166230.g004

Interestingly, we also found HK2 failed to predict the outcome of patients with pancreatic cancer. This discrepancy may be partly attributed to the small sample size in the individual studies [13, 29]. In addition, data from univariate analysis were adopted to estimate the prognostic role of HK2 expression in the study by Lyshchik et al [29], allowing us to ignore the effects of clinicopathological factors on the prediction efficacy of HK2. Sensitivity analysis revealed that the study by Lyshchik et al was the main source of heterogeneity. After deletion of this study, the observed heterogeneity completely disappeared. Finally, considering that pancreatic cancer remains a lather disease in spite of improvement in early diagnosis, curative surgical resection, and adjuvant therapies, multidisciplinary treatment is required for a complete cure [42]. Preoperative treatment have an effect on immunohistochemical staining patterns, which may impair the predictive efficacy of HK2 on outcome in tumor.

And yet for all that, as a key regulator of glycolysis, the expression of HK2 is likely significant for the progression and prognosis of pancreatic cancer. The dense desmoplastic region surrounding pancreatic cancer cells inhibits neovascularization, leading to the deficiency of oxygen and nutrients in tumor tissue [43, 44]. Under such stressful microenvironment, cancer cells undergo a shift in cellular metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis [45], as a hallmark of cancer cells. Therefore, more studies designed rationally are required to confirm that elevated HK2 expression is associated with unfavorable outcome in patients with pancreatic cancer.

In spite of the strong results of our study, there were still several limitations to our metaanalysis. First, although the study by Lyshchik et al was identified as the main source of



Fig 5. Funnel plots to evaluate publication bias of included studies for OS.

heterogeneity, specific clinical elements that contributed to the heterogeneity were not explicit. Second, due to the use of various cut-off values in the included studies, the utility of HK2 as a prognostic biomarker was impaired in patients with solid tumor. Accordingly, it may be necessary to standardize detection methods and cut-off values. Third, because multiple factors affect prognosis, we preferred to include studies in which multivariate analysis had been performed; however, eight studies with univariate analysis only were still included for a comprehensive analysis. In general, multivariate analysis is more efficient and precise when there are multiple interacting variables in one experiment [46]. Subgroup analysis indicated that multivariate analysis also yielded more stable results. Finally, the approach for obtaining essential data to pool HRs could be a potential source of heterogeneity. The pooled HRs extracted directly from the primary studies seemed to be more reliable than those calculated with survival curves or available data. Although subgroup analysis by tumor type was conducted, the impact of HK2 on prognosis was lost in some specific forms of cancer. Hence, it is essential for more studies to enroll patients with specific forms of cancer.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis suggested that HK2 could act as an independent prognostic factor for patients with solid tumor, but the association between HK2 and prognosis varies according to cancer type. Based on the above-mentioned limitations, this conclusion should be further confirmed by additional clinical studies with larger sample sizes.

#### **Supporting Information**

S1 Fig. Forest plots to assess the association between elevated HK2 expression and OS in subgroups.

(TIF)

PLOS ONE

S2 Fig. Forest plot to assess the effect of elevated HK2 expression on OS after omitting Lyshchik's study. (TIF)

**S1 Table. PRISMA 2009 checklist.** (DOC)

## Acknowledgments

We thank our colleagues in our laboratory for methodological support and Cactus Communications Inc. (Philadelphia, PA, USA) for proofreading this manuscript.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: YLL GBW.

Data curation: YLL LS KW FX.

Formal analysis: YLL LS KW.

Funding acquisition: GBW KXT KW LS.

Investigation: FX LS.

Methodology: KXT KW LS.

Project administration: GBW.

Resources: GBW.

Software: YLL LS.

Supervision: GBW.

Visualization: YLL FX.

Writing – original draft: YLL FX.

Writing – review & editing: KXT KW.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization (2014) GLOBOCAN2012: Estimated Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012. Available: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Default.aspx.
- Maurya AK, Vinayak M. Quercetin regresses Dalton's lymphoma growth via suppression of PI3K/AKT signaling leading to upregulation of p53 and decrease in energy metabolism. Nutr Cancer. 2015; 67 (2):354–63. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2015.990574 PMID: 25658812.
- Domenech E, Maestre C, Esteban-Martinez L, Partida D, Pascual R, Fernandez-Miranda G, et al. AMPK and PFKFB3 mediate glycolysis and survival in response to mitophagy during mitotic arrest. Nat Cell Biol. 2015; 17(10):1304–16. doi: 10.1038/ncb3231 PMID: 26322680.
- Chen GQ, Tang CF, Shi XK, Lin CY, Fatima S, Pan XH, et al. Halofuginone inhibits colorectal cancer growth through suppression of Akt/mTORC1 signaling and glucose metabolism. Oncotarget. 2015; 6 (27):24148–62. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.4376 PMID: 26160839.
- 5. Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E. THE METABOLISM OF TUMORS IN THE BODY. J Gen Physiol. 1927; 8(6):519–30. PMID: <u>19872213</u>.
- 6. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011; 144(5):646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013 PMID: 21376230.
- Rivenzon-Segal D, Boldin-Adamsky S, Seger D, Seger R, Degani H. Glycolysis and glucose transporter 1 as markers of response to hormonal therapy in breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 2003; 107(2):177–82. doi: 10.1002/ijc.11387 PMID: 12949791.

- Mathupala SP, Rempel A, Pedersen PL. Aberrant glycolytic metabolism of cancer cells: a remarkable coordination of genetic, transcriptional, post-translational, and mutational events that lead to a critical role for type II hexokinase. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 1997; 29(4):339–43. PMID: 9387094.
- Mathupala SP, Ko YH, Pedersen PL. Hexokinase-2 bound to mitochondria: cancer's stygian link to the "Warburg Effect" and a pivotal target for effective therapy. Semin Cancer Biol. 2009; 19(1):17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2008.11.006 PMID: 19101634.
- Hamabe A, Yamamoto H, Konno M, Uemura M, Nishimura J, Hata T, et al. Combined evaluation of hexokinase 2 and phosphorylated pyruvate dehydrogenase-E1alpha in invasive front lesions of colorectal tumors predicts cancer metabolism and patient prognosis. Cancer Sci. 2014; 105(9):1100–8. doi: 10.1111/cas.12487 PMID: 2015925213.
- Guzman G, Chennuri R, Chan A, Rea B, Quintana A, Patel R, et al. Evidence for heightened hexokinase II immunoexpression in hepatocyte dysplasia and hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Dis Sci. 2015; 60(2):420–6. doi: 10.1007/s10620-014-3364-3 PMID: 25381201.
- Suh DH, Kim MA, Kim H, Kim MK, Kim HS, Chung HH, et al. Association of overexpression of hexokinase II with chemoresistance in epithelial ovarian cancer. Clin Exp Med. 2014; 14(3):345–53. doi: <u>10</u>. 1007/s10238-013-0250-9 PMID: 2014509355.
- Ogawa H, Nagano H, Konno M, Eguchi H, Koseki J, Kawamoto K, et al. The combination of the expression of hexokinase 2 and pyruvate kinase M2 is a prognostic marker in patients with pancreatic cancer. Mol Clin Oncol. 2015; 3(3):563–71. doi: 10.3892/mco.2015.490 PMID: 2015823010.
- Peng SY, Lai PL, Pan HW, Hsiao LP, Hsu HC. Aberrant expression of the glycolytic enzymes aldolase B and type II hexokinase in hepatocellular carcinoma are predictive markers for advanced stage, early recurrence and poor prognosis. Oncol Rep. 2008; 19(4):1045–53. doi: 10.3892/or.19.4.1045 PMID: 18357395.
- Wolf A, Agnihotri S, Micallef J, Mukherjee J, Sabha N, Cairns R, et al. Hexokinase 2 is a key mediator of aerobic glycolysis and promotes tumor growth in human glioblastoma multiforme. J Exp Med. 2011; 208(2):313–26. doi: 10.1084/jem.20101470 PMID: 2011099458.
- Qiu MZ, Han B, Luo HY, Zhou ZW, Wang ZQ, Wang FH, et al. Expressions of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and hexokinase-II in gastric adenocarcinoma: the impact on prognosis and correlation to clinicopathologic features. Tumour Biol. 2011; 32(1):159–66. doi: 10.1007/s13277-010-0109-6 PMID: 20845004.
- Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analyses. Available: <u>http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp.</u>
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. Trials. 2007; 8:16. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-8-16 PMID: 17555582.
- 19. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002; 21 (11):1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186 PMID: 12111919.
- Zhang ZF, Feng XS, Chen H, Duan ZJ, Wang LX, Yang D, et al. Prognostic significance of synergistic hexokinase-2 and beta2-adrenergic receptor expression in human hepatocelluar carcinoma after curative resection. BMC Gastroenterol. 2016; 16(1):57. doi: 10.1186/s12876-016-0474-8 PMID: 27255554.
- Guo W, Qiu Z, Wang Z, Wang Q, Tan N, Chen T, et al. MiR-199a-5p is negatively associated with malignancies and regulates glycolysis and lactate production by targeting hexokinase 2 in liver cancer. Hepatology. 2015; 62(4):1132–44. doi: 10.1002/hep.27929 PMID: 26054020.
- Gong L, Cui Z, Chen P, Han H, Peng J, Leng X. Reduced survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma expressing hexokinase II. Med Oncol. 2012; 29(2):909–14. doi: 10.1007/s12032-011-9841-z PMID: 21279699.
- Kwee SA, Hernandez B, Chan O, Wong L. Choline Kinase Alpha and Hexokinase-2 Protein Expression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Association with Survival. PloS one. 2012; 7(10). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046591 PMID: 2012590328.
- Paudyal B, Paudyal P, Oriuchi N, Tsushima Y, Nakajima T, Endo K. Clinical implication of glucose transport and metabolism evaluated by 18F-FDG PET in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 2008; 33(5):1047–54. doi: 10.3892/ijo\_00000093 PMID: 2009033235.
- 25. Hur H, Xuan Y, Kim YB, Lee G, Shim W, Yun J, et al. Expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-1 in gastric cancer as a potential therapeutic target. Int J Oncol. 2013; 42(1):44–54. doi: <u>10.3892/ijo.</u> 2012.1687 PMID: 2013103629.
- Rho M, Kim J, Chang DJ, You ML, Hee EL, Kim MA, et al. Expression of type 2 hexokinase and mitochondria-related genes in gastric carcinoma tissues and cell lines. Anticancer Res. 2007; 27(1 A):251– 8. PMID: 2007120790.

- Katagiri M, Karasawa H, Takagi K, Nakayama S, Yabuuchi S, Fujishima F, et al. Hexokinase 2 in colorectal cancer: a potent prognostic factor associated with glycolysis, proliferation and migration. Histol Histopathol. 2016:11799. doi: 10.14670/hh-11-799 PMID: 27363977.
- Ho N, Coomber BL. Hexokinase II expression is correlated with colorectal cancer prognosis. Cancer Treatment Communications. 2016; 6:11–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrc.2016.02.008 PMID: 608480704.
- Lyshchik A, Higashi T, Hara T, Nakamoto Y, Fujimoto K, Doi R, et al. Expression of glucose transporter-1, hexokinase-II, proliferating cell nuclear antigen and survival of patients with pancreatic cancer. Cancer Invest. 2007; 25(3):154–62. doi: 10.1080/07357900701208931 PMID: 17530485.
- Sato-Tadano A, Suzuki T, Amari M, Takagi K, Miki Y, Tamaki K, et al. Hexokinase II in breast carcinoma: a potent prognostic factor associated with hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and Ki-67. Cancer Sci. 2013; 104(10):1380–8. doi: 10.1111/cas.12238 PMID: 23869589.
- Palmieri D, Fitzgerald D, Shreeve SM, Hua E, Bronder JL, Weil RJ, et al. Analyses of resected human brain metastases of breast cancer reveal the association between up-regulation of hexokinase 2 and poor prognosis. Mol Cancer Res. 2009; 7(9):1438–45. doi: <u>10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0234</u> PMID: 2009514864.
- Zhang MX, Hua YJ, Wang HY, Zhou L, Mai HQ, Guo X, et al. Long-term prognostic implications and therapeutic target role of hexokinase II in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Oncotarget. 2016; 7(16):21287–97. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.7116 PMID: 26848773.
- Huang X, Liu M, Sun H, Wang F, Xie X, Chen X, et al. HK2 is a radiation resistant and independent negative prognostic factor for patients with locally advanced cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015; 8(4):4054–63. PMID: 26097593.
- Tsukada H, Muramatsu T, Miyazawa M, Iida T, Ikeda M, Shida M, et al. Long Term Prognostic Implications of Expression of Glucose Transporter-1 and Hexokinase II in Patients with Stage I Uterine Leiomyosarcoma. Acta Histochem Cytochem. 2012; 45(2):147–54. doi: <u>10.1267/ahc.11063</u> PMID: 22685357.
- Lim SO, Li CW, Xia W, Lee HH, Chang SS, Shen J, et al. EGFR Signaling Enhances Aerobic Glycolysis in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells to Promote Tumor Growth and Immune Escape. Cancer Res. 2016; 76(5):1284–96. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-15-2478 PMID: 26759242.
- Qin L, Tian Y, Yu Z, Shi D, Wang J, Zhang C, et al. Targeting PDK1 with dichloroacetophenone to inhibit acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell growth. Oncotarget. 2016; 7(2):1395–407. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.6366 PMID: 26593251.
- Patra K, Wang Q, Bhaskar P, Miller L, Wang Z, Wheaton W, et al. Hexokinase 2 is required for tumor initiation and maintenance and its systemic deletion is therapeutic in mouse models of cancer. Cancer cell. 2013; 24(2):213–28. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.06.014 PMID: 2013512913.
- Pedersen PL. Warburg, me and Hexokinase 2: Multiple discoveries of key molecular events underlying one of cancers' most common phenotypes, the "Warburg Effect", i.e., elevated glycolysis in the presence of oxygen. J Bioenerg Biomembr. 2007; 39(3):211–22. doi: 10.1007/s10863-007-9094-x PMID: 17879147.
- Sun L, Shukair S, Naik TJ, Moazed F, Ardehali H. Glucose phosphorylation and mitochondrial binding are required for the protective effects of hexokinases I and II. Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 28(3):1007–17. doi: 10.1128/mcb.00224-07 PMID: 18039843.
- Pastorino JG, Hoek JB. Hexokinase II: the integration of energy metabolism and control of apoptosis. Curr Med Chem. 2003; 10(16):1535–51. doi: 10.2174/0929867033457269 PMID: 12871125.
- 41. Feliciano P. Hexokinase 2 required in tumors. Nature Genetics. 2013; 45(9):969. PMID: 2013552263.
- Alemi F, Alseidi A, Scott Helton W, Rocha FG. Multidisciplinary management of locally advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Curr Probl Surg. 2015; 52(9):362–98. doi: <u>10.1067/j.cpsurg.2015.07</u>. 003 PMID: 26363649.
- Feig C, Gopinathan A, Neesse A, Chan DS, Cook N, Tuveson DA. The pancreas cancer microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res. 2012; 18(16):4266–76. doi: <u>10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-11-3114</u> PMID: 22896693.
- Koong AC, Mehta VK, Le QT, Fisher GA, Terris DJ, Brown JM, et al. Pancreatic tumors show high levels of hypoxia. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000; 48(4):919–22. PMID: 11072146.
- Vazquez A, Kamphorst JJ, Markert EK, Schug ZT, Tardito S, Gottlieb E. Cancer metabolism at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2016; 129(18):3367–73. doi: 10.1242/jcs.181016 PMID: 27635066.
- 46. Gaddis ML. Statistical methodology: IV. Analysis of variance, analysis of covariance, and multivariate analysis of variance. Acad Emerg Med. 1998; 5(3):258–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1553-2712.1998.tb02624.x PMID: 9523936.