


Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Clinical Virology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcv

Corrigendum

## Corrigendum to 'Performance of the Diasorin SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection assay on the LIAISON XL' [Journal of Clinical Virology 141 (2021) 104909]

N Van der Moeren<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, VF Zwart<sup>a,b</sup>, G Goderski<sup>c</sup>, GT Rijkers<sup>a,d</sup>, W van den Bijllaardt<sup>b</sup>, J Veenemans<sup>d</sup>, JAJW Kluytmans<sup>b,e</sup>, SD Pas<sup>b</sup>, A Meijer<sup>c</sup>, JJ Verweij<sup>a</sup>, JLAN Murk<sup>a</sup>, JJJM Stohr<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> St. Elisabeth-Tweesteden Hospital, Hilvarenbeekse Weg 60, Tilburg, the Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Amphia Hospital, Molengracht 21, 4818 CK Breda, the Netherlands

<sup>c</sup> National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9, 3721 MA Bilthoven, the Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Admiral De Ruyter Hospital, 's-Gravenpolderseweg 114, 4462 RA Goes, the Netherlands

e Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht University, the Netherlands

The authors regret to have to report an error in the first sentence of the discussion of the published article. Specificity and sensitivity of the DAA compared to RT-PCR are depicted, RT-PCR is however mistakenly replaced by RRA.

The sentence 'We found an overall specificity of 100% (95%CI 97.9%-100%) and sensitivity of 73% (95%CI 61.3%-82.7%) of the DAA compared to the RRA...' should be 'We found an overall specificity

of 100% (95%CI 97.9% - 100%) and sensitivity of 73% (95%CI 61.3% - 82.7%) of the DAA compared to the RT-PCR...'.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused. DOI of original article: < 10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104909> <N. Van der Moeren>

<n.vdmoeren@gmail.com>

E-mail address: n.vdmoeren@gmail.com (N. Van der Moeren).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2021.105028

Available online 30 November 2021 1386-6532/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104909. \* Corresponding author.