

OPEN

How Should Acute T Cell-mediated Rejection of Kidney Transplants be Treated: Importance of Follow-up Biopsy From Kidney Transplantation

Sadia Jahan, FRACP,1 and Robert Carroll, FRACP1

ziz et al¹ in Wisconsin published their experience with protocol repeat biopsies in 163 episodes of T cell–mediated rejection (TCMR) between 2015 and 2020. Among their patients with a complete response as assessed by kidney function, 14% had a partial or no response histologically. Among patients with no kidney function response, 68% had a complete response histologically. They concluded that responses based on kidney function alone did not correlate with histology. These findings were echoed in the meta-analysis by Ho et al.²

We present our single-center experience of for-cause renal biopsy in which isolated TCMR was found between 2018 and 2021. There were 22 cases (total 349 transplantations) of isolated TCMR in which a repeat biopsy at a median of 14 (range, 5–30) d was performed.

There were 6 episodes of borderline TCMR, 5 episodes of Banff 1A, 2 episodes of Banff 1B, 7 episodes of Banff 2A, and 2 episodes of Banff 2B rejections.

Borderline TCMR and 1A Banff rejections were invariably treated with 250 to 500 mg IV methylprednisolone for 3 d. Three episodes of 2A and 2 episodes of 2B rejections were additionally treated with 3 to 7 mg/kg of antithymocyte globulin. The remainder episodes of the 2A rejection were treated with methylprednisolone alone.

Seventeen of 22 patients (77%) had improved creatinine with these treatment regimens. Nine of 17 patients (53%) had complete resolution of interstitial inflammation, tubulitis, or vascular lesions. Eight of 17 patients (47%) had residual histology requiring higher target tacrolimus trough levels (n = 5), 2 patients required 3 additional doses

of 250 to 500 mg intravenous methylprednisolone, and 1 patient changed from mycophenolate to everolimus.

Five of 22 patients (23%) showed no improvement in creatinine (3 had borderline TCMR, 1 had 1A rejection, and 1 had 2A Banff rejection) with repeat biopsies at 6, 14, 14, 14, and 22 d. Complete resolution of histology occurred in 2 of 5 patients (40%). Three of 5 patients (60%) had residual histological features requiring a further 3 doses of 500 mg of methylprednisolone and 2 patients aimed for higher tacrolimus trough targets.

Although our data represent for-cause biopsy, rather than protocol biopsy, they reemphasize the data from Aziz et al¹ and Ho et al.² Irrespective of the improvement in creatinine, a repeat biopsy showed complete resolution of histology in only 40% to 53% of patients at a median of 2 wk after the first biopsy.

With the global pandemic, delivery of patient care was frequently dictated by the level of lock down, and telehealth was used at a rate unlike ever before. However, the experience of our center together with the published literature highlights the importance of rebiopsy posttreatment of TCMR.

REFERENCES

- Aziz F, Parajuli S, Garg N, et al. How should acute T-cell mediated rejection of kidney transplants be treated: importance of follow-up biopsy. Transplant Direct. 2022;8:e1305.
- Ho J, Okoli GN, Rabbani R, et al. Effectiveness of T cell-mediated rejection therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Transplant. 2022;22:772–785.

Received 19 April 2023. Revision received 26 April 2023. Accepted 28 April 2023.

The authors declare no funding or conflicts of interest.

Correspondence: Sadia Jahan, FRACP, Central and Northern Renal and Transplantation Service, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Port Rd, Adelaide 5000, Australia. (sadia.jahan@sa.gov.au).

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Transplantation Direct. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 2373-8731

(Transplantation Direct 2023;9: e1498; doi: 10.1097/TXD.00000000001498.)

¹ Central and Northern Renal and Transplantation Service, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia.

S.J. participated in data collection and the writing of the letter. R.C. participated in synthesis and the overview of the structure of the letter.