SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 09 March 2015 Accepted: 18 November 2015 Published: 22 January 2016

OPEN The clinicopathological significance of FHIT hypermethylation in non-small cell lung cancer, a meta-analysis and literature review

Wei Yan¹, Ning Xu², Xiang Han¹, Xiao-ming Zhou³ & Bei He¹

Emerging evidence indicates that FHIT is a candidate tumor suppressor in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, the correlation between FHIT hypermethylation and clinicopathological characteristics of NSCLC remains unclear. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to quantitatively evaluate the effects of FHIT hypermethylation on the incidence of NSCLC and clinicopathological characteristics. Final analysis of 1717 NSCLC patients from 16 eligible studies was performed. FHIT hypermethylation was found to be significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissue, the pooled OR from 8 studies including 735 NSCLC and 708 normal lung tissue, OR = 5.45, 95% CI = 2.15–13.79, p = 0.0003. FHIT hypermethylation was also correlated with sex status, smoking status, as well as pathological types. We did not find that FHIT hypermethylation was correlated with the differentiated types or clinical stages in NSCLC patients. However, patients with FHIT hypermethylation had a lower survival rate than those without, HR = 1.73, 95% CI = 1.10–2.71, p = 0.02. The results of this metaanalysis suggest that FHIT hypermethylation is associated with an increased risk and worsen survival in NSCLC patients. FHIT hypermethylation, which induces the inactivation of FHIT gene, plays an important role in the carcinogenesis and clinical outcome and may serve as a potential drug target of NSCLC.

Lung cancer is the most frequent cause of cancer-related death in many countries, including China^{1,2}. Lung cancers consist of two major histological types, small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) and non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC); the latter consists of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma (AC), large cell carcinoma and others. NSCLC accounts for approximately 85% of all lung cancers, and there are approximately 80% of NSCLC cases in advanced stage where the prognosis remains poor³. Therefore, investigation of the mechanism of initiation, progression, and identification of prognostic markers is still needed for the selection of patients with NSCLC in order to provide better individualized treatment. Epigenetic modification of gene expression plays an important role in carcinogenesis. Aberrant methylation of CpG dinucleotides is a commonly observed epigenetic modification in human cancer⁴⁻⁶. Thus, the analysis of specific gene promoter methylation as a tool for diagnosis of tumors or its use as prognostic marker has been widely used for many different cancers including NSCLC⁷

Fragile histidine triad protein (FHIT), also known as Bis (5'-adenosyl)-triphosphatase, is one of the histidine triad gene family members and is an enzyme encoded by the FHIT gene^{8,9}. Previous reports showed that FHIT was inactivated by the loss of heterozygosity and methylation in cancer cells, which indicated that FHIT is a tumor suppressor protein^{10,11}. Its precise function has been intensively studied in several tumors by the upregulation of inducing cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, inhibition of cell proliferation and by increasing its sensitivity to DNA damaging agents^{12–14}. Lack of protein expression of *FHIT* by promoter methylation (hypermethylation) has been found to play an important role in lung alveolar differentiation regulation and epithelial tumorigenesis¹⁵⁻¹⁸. Although previous studies indicated that inactivation of the FHIT is mainly induced by hypermethylation of FHIT gene, the reported rates of FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC were remarkably diverse. Moreover, whether it is associated with the incidence and clinical characteristics of NSCLC remains unclear. The variety of the study

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, 100191, China. ²Department of Rheumatology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, 100191, China. ³Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, 110004, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.H. (email: Beihe01@yeah.net)



Figure 1. Flow chart of study selection.

.....

Study	Language	Selection	Comparability	Exposure	Total score
Zöchbauer-Müller, et al. 200119	English	3	2	3	8
Hsu, et al. 2007 ²⁰	English	3	2	3	8
Yanagawa, et al. 2011 ²¹	English	3	2	3	8
Song, et al. 2011 ²²	Chinese	3	2	2	7
Li, et al. 2010 ²³	English	3	2	3	8
Li, et al. 2009 ²⁴	Chinese	3	2	2	7
Verri, et al. 200916	English	3	2	3	8
Yanagawa, et al. 2007 ²⁵	English	3	2	2	7
Kim, et al. 2007 ²⁶	English	3	2	2	7
Kim, et al. 2006 ²⁷	English	3	2	3	8
Nakata, et al. 200528	English	3	2	3	8
Iliopoulos, et al. 2005 ²⁹	English	3	2	3	8
Tomizawa, et al. 200430	English	3	1	3	7
Tzao, et al. 2004 ³¹	English	3	2	3	8
Kim, et al. 2004 ³²	English	3	2	2	7
Maruyama, et al. 200433	English	3	2	3	8

Table 1. Quality assessment according to the Newcastle-Ottawa scale of the included studies.

.....

results underpin the need for assessing the evidence of the relationship between *FHIT* inactivation and NSCLC. Hence, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to quantitatively evaluate the effects of *FHIT* hypermethylation on the incidence and clinical characteristics of NSCLC.

Results

Identification of relevant studies. Fifty eight publications were identified by the search method as described above. Forty two of those were excluded due to laboratory studies, non-original articles (review), or studies irrelevant to the current analysis. Eventually, there were sixteen studies included in final meta-analy-sis^{16,19-33} as shown in Fig. 1. We used Cohen's kappa statistic to measure the agreement in the most important step for selecting eligible studies between two researchers and showed kappa value 0.76, indicating substantial observer agreement. Of the sixteen studies, ten scored 8 points, six scored 7 points. Hence, the studies were of a relatively high quality (Table 1).

Study characteristics. Sixteen studies published from 2001 to 2011 were eligible for meta-analysis. A total of 1717 NSCLC patients from China, South Korea, Japan, Italy, and USA was enrolled. Their basic characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

The correlation of FHIT hypermethylation with clinicopathological features.

1. The inactivation of FHIT through hypermethylation in NSCLC.

Study	Country	Patients	Methods	Primary Aim	Methylation site	FHIT expression
Zöchbauer-Müller, et al. 2001 ¹⁹	United States	107	Methylation specific PCR (MSP)/ Northern blot analysis	Determine the correlation of protein and hypermethylation status of <i>FHIT</i> in lung and breast cancer	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Hsu, <i>et al.</i> 2007 ²⁰	China	63	MSP	Determine the frequency of six genes' hypermethylation in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Yanagawa, <i>et al.</i> 2011 ²¹	Japan	62	MSP	Determine the methylation status of Multiple genes in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Song, <i>et al.</i> 2011 ²²	China	78	MSP/RT-PCR	Aims to determine the methylation status of five tumor suppressor in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Li, <i>et al.</i> 2010 ²³	China	123	MSP	Determine the methylation status of <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Li, et al. 2009 ²⁴	China	52	MSP/RT-PCR	Explore the effects of CpG island methylation on protein and mRNA expression of FHIT in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Verri, <i>et al.</i> 2009 ¹⁶	Italy	187	MSP/Immuno- histochemistry	Determine the inactivation of <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Yanagawa, et al. 2007 ²⁵	Japan	101	MSP	Determine the methylation status of ten genes in pathogenesis of NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Kim, et al. 2007 ²⁶	South Korea	99	MSP	Determine methylation patterns of eight tumor suppressor gene in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Kim, et al. 2006 ²⁷	South Korea	335	MSP	The methylation profile of 5 genes for NSCLC were analyzed and correlated with clinical data	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Nakata, <i>et al.</i> 2005 ²⁸	Japan	139	MSP/Immuno- histochemistry	Determine the inactivation of <i>CDH1</i> , <i>p16</i> and <i>FHIT</i> in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Iliopoulos, et al. 2005 ²⁹	United States	24	MSP/Immuno- histochemistry	Determine the inactivation of <i>FHIT</i> and <i>WWOX</i> in lung, breast and bladder cancer	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Tomizawa, et al. 2004 ³⁰	Japan	54	MSP	Investigate the clinicopathological significance of aberrant methylation of $RAR\beta 2$, $RASSF1A$ and $FHIT$ in NSCLC patients	Promoter, CpG islands	_
Tzao, <i>et al.</i> 2004 ³¹	China	44	MSP/RT-PCR	Examines protein, mRNA expression, and hypermethylation of the <i>FHIT</i> gene in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	+
Kim, et al. 2004 ³²	South Korea	125	MSP	Determine the clinicopathological and prognostic significance of <i>FHIT</i> methylation in NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	-
Maruyama, <i>et al.</i> 2004 ³³	United States	124	MSP	Determine the correlation between the aberrant promoter methylation of multiple genes and survival in patients with NSCLC	Promoter, CpG islands	_

Table 2. Basic characteristics of the included studies.

.....

We first determined that *FHIT* hypermethylation was significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissues. The pooled OR from 8 studies including 735 NSCLC and 708 normal lung tissues, is shown in Fig. 2A (OR = 5.45, 95% CI = 2.15-13.79, p = 0.0003), indicating that *FHIT* inactivation through hypermethylation plays an important role in the carcinogenesis of NSCLC. Since the heterogeneity is very high (I² = 84%), we deleted one study (Verri 2009)¹⁶, re-calculated the pooled OR from remaining 7 studies and shown in Fig. 2B. I² dramatically reduced to 14%, indicating that the heterogeneity is very low.

- 2. Relationship between the frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation and sex status. Next, we determined whether *FHIT* hypermethylation rate was correlated with sex status. The pooled OR from 7 studies included 722 males and 290 females' NSCLC, as shown in Fig. 3 (OR = 1.38, 95% CI = 1.02-1.87, p = 0.04), that indicate that *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with sex status in which it is higher in male than in female.
- 3. Relationship between the frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation and smoking status. Then, we determined whether *FHIT* hypermethylation rate was correlated with smoking status. The pooled OR from 9 studies including 268 and 809 NSCLC with and without smoking history is shown in Fig. 4 (OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.51–0.93, p = 0.02), indicates that *FHIT* hypermethylation is correlated with smoking status in NSCLC patients.
- 4. Relationship between the frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation and pathological types. We also determined whether *FHIT* hypermethylation was correlated with pathological types. The pooled OR from 8 studies including 490 squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and 494 adenocarcinoma (AD), is shown in Fig. 5 (OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.14–1.95, p = 0.004), which indicates that *FHIT* hypermethylation plays a more important role in the pathogenesis of SCC.
- 5. The role of *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC progression. We analyzed 366 NSCLC patients pooled from 3 studies to assess whether the aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC was associated with the differentiated status. As shown in Fig. 6A, aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation is not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately or highly differentiated NSCLC, OR = 1.30, 95% CI = 0.80–2.09, p = 0.29. In addition, aberrant *FHIT*

Α

	NSCL	.С	Normal lung t	Normal lung tissue		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Hsu 2007	24	63	5	36	15.2%	3.82 [1.31, 11.15]			_
lliopoulos 2005	9	24	2	24	11.8%	6.60 [1.25, 34.95]			
Li 2009	20	52	4	52	14.7%	7.50 [2.34, 24.00]			
Li 2010	42	123	0	105	7.0%	110.03 [6.67, 1814.87]		-	 ►
Song 2011	0	78	0	78		Not estimable			
Verri 2009	67	187	68	208	18.3%	1.15 [0.76, 1.74]			
Yanagawa 2007	34	101	7	101	16.3%	6.81 [2.85, 16.29]			
Zöchbauer-Müller 2001	40	107	9	104	16.7%	6.30 [2.87, 13.85]			_
Total (95% CI)		735		708	100.0%	5.45 [2.15, 13.79]			
Total events	236		95						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.18	B; Chi ² = 3	38.46, 0	if = 6 (P < 0.000	001); I ² =	84%				10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = :	3.58 (P =	0.0003)				0.01 0.1	NCCLC Normalk	10 100
								NSCLC Normali	ang ussue



Figure 2. The pooled OR from 8 studies included 735 NSCLC and 708 normal lung tissues, I2 = 84%; OR = 5.45, 95% CI = 2.15-13.79, p = 0.0003. (A) The pooled OR from 7 studies included 548 NSCLC and 500 normal lung tissues, $I^2 = 14\%$; OR = 8.08, 95% CI = 5.24-12.47, p < 0.00001 (B).

	Male	9	Fema	le		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Kim 2004	44	155	24	99	28.8%	1.24 [0.70, 2.21]			
Kim 2007	30	80	4	19	5.5%	2.25 [0.68, 7.41]			
Li 2010	37	104	5	19	7.5%	1.55 [0.52, 4.63]			
Nakata 2005	92	160	34	64	28.3%	1.19 [0.67, 2.14]			
Tomizawa 2004	30	77	13	43	14.0%	1.47 [0.66, 3.26]			
Tzao 2004	25	74	3	17	4.4%	2.38 [0.63, 9.06]		+	
Yanagawa 2007	25	72	9	29	11.5%	1.18 [0.47, 2.98]			
Total (95% CI)		722		290	100.0%	1.38 [1.02, 1.87]		◆	
Total events	283		92						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.83, df = 6 (P = 0.93); I ² = 0%									100
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.10 (P = 0.04)								U.I I IU Male Female	100

Figure 3. The pooled OR from 7 studies included 722 males and 290 females' NSCLC, OR = 1.38, 95% CI = 1.02–1.87, p = 0.04, which indicates that FHIT hypermethylation is correlated with sex status in NSCLC patients.

hypermethylation is also not significantly higher in advanced NSCLC (III & IV) than that in early staged NSCLC (I & II), OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.77–1.41, p = 0.79, Fig. 6B. These results suggest that *FHIT* hypermethylation may not play an important role in NSCLC progression and different stages.

- 6. *FHIT* hypermethylation as a prognostic factor for NSCLC.
 - There are 4 studies estimating the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and overall survival (OS) in NSCLC patients. The pooled HR for OS shows that *FHIT* hypermethylation is associated with worsen survival in NSCLC patients as shown in Fig. 7 (HR = 1.73, 95% CI = 1.10–2.71, p = 0.02).
- 7. Sensitivity analyses and publication bias.

A sensitivity analysis, in which one study was removed at a time, was conducted to assess the result stability. In the case of relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC and in normal lung tissue, the overall OR are in the range from 3.82 (95% CI: 1.31–11.15 to 110.03 (95% CI: 6.167–1814.87). The pooled ORs and HRs are not significantly changed, indicating the stability of our analyses. The funnel plots are largely symmetric, (Fig. 8A–G)

	Smoker		Neve	r	Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Kim 2004	4	20	64	234	7.9%	0.66 [0.21, 2.06]			
Kim 2007	4	20	30	79	9.5%	0.41 [0.12, 1.34]			
Li 2009	10	23	21	29	10.3%	0.29 [0.09, 0.93]			
Li 2010	11	32	31	91	10.4%	1.01 [0.43, 2.37]			
Nakata 2005	32	65	81	140	25.5%	0.71 [0.39, 1.28]			
Tomizawa 2004	13	29	21	61	7.3%	1.55 [0.63, 3.82]		+	
Tzao 2004	7	25	21	66	8.1%	0.83 [0.30, 2.30]			
Yanagawa 2007	7	28	27	73	11.0%	0.57 [0.21, 1.51]			
Yanagawa 2011	6	26	16	36	10.1%	0.38 [0.12, 1.15]			
Total (95% CI)		268		809	100.0%	0.69 [0.51, 0.93]		•	
Total events	94		312						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	8.14, df=	8 (P =	0.42); l ² =	: 2%					100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.41	(P = 0.0)2)				0.01	Smoker Never	100

Figure 4. 1077 NSCLC patients with the smoking status pooled in 9 studies. Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation is correlated with the smoking status in NSCLC patients, =0.69, 95% CI = 0.51–0.93, p = 0.02.

	Squamous cell carci	Adenocarc	inoma	Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Kim 2004	40	125	24	93	21.6%	1.35 [0.74, 2.46]	
Kim 2007	23	61	11	38	9.7%	1.49 [0.62, 3.55]	
Li 2009	22	30	9	22	3.2%	3.97 [1.23, 12.84]	
Li 2010	25	68	13	41	11.8%	1.25 [0.55, 2.85]	
Nakata 2005	50	78	64	126	20.2%	1.73 [0.97, 3.09]	-
Tomizawa 2004	18	45	24	72	12.8%	1.33 [0.62, 2.89]	
Tzao 2004	18	44	10	40	7.1%	2.08 [0.82, 5.29]	
Yanagawa 2007	12	39	22	62	13.6%	0.81 [0.34, 1.90]	
Total (95% CI)		490		494	100.0%	1.49 [1.14, 1.95]	•
Total events	208		177				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.74, df = 7 (P = 0.57);	l² = 0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.89 (P = 0.004)						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
	, , ,					Saua	amous cell carcinoma 🛛 Adenocarcinoma

Figure 5. The pooled OR from 8 studies included 490 squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and 494 adenocarcinoma (AD), OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.14–1.95, p = 0.004, indicates that FHIT hypermethylation plays more important role in the pathogenesis of SCC.

suggesting there are no publication biases in the meta-analysis of *FHIT* hypermethylation and clinicopathological features.

Discussion

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have become increasingly important in biomedical science. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (the PRISMA) are recommended to authorize the readers to access the strengths and weaknesses of the study.

Interpretation of results and comparison with other studies. The *FHIT* gene locates the most common fragile site in the human genome, FRA3B (3p14.2), in which undergoes genomic rearrangement, biallelic loss, and cytogenetic abnormalities in tumors^{8,34,35}. FHIT is genetically or epigentically altered in many primary and advanced carcinomas. Inactivation of *FHIT* by promoter hypermethylation plays an important role in tumorigenesis in several types of tumors including NSCLC^{27,36–44}. To date, there have been some studies describing the methylation status of *FHIT* in NSCLC; however, the roles of methylation of *FHIT* in NSCLC and clinical significance have not been thoroughly investigated. We conducted the meta-analysis to determine the correlation between *FHIT* hypermethylation and clinicopathological characteristics in NSCLC. Analysis of the pooled data showed that (1) NSCLC has a higher hypermethylation than normal lung tissue; (2) *FHIT* hypermethylation is correlated with sex status in which it is higher in male than in female. (3) *FHIT* hypermethylation is correlated with pathological types and plays a more important role in the pathogenesis of SCC. (5) *FHIT* hypermethylation is not significantly higher in



Figure 6. 366 NSCLC patients were pooled from 3 studies to assess whether the aberrant FHIT hypermethylation in NSCLC was associated the differentiated status. Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation is not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately and highly differentiated NSCLC, OR = 1.30, 95% CI = 0.80–2.09, p = 0.29 (A). Aberrant *FHIT* hypermethylation is also not significantly higher in advanced NSCLC (III & IV) than that in early staged NSCLC (I & II), OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.77–1.41, p = 0.79 (B).



Figure 7. Four studies included were investigated for the relationship between overall survival (OS) and FHIT hypermethylation. The pooled HR for OS shows that *FHIT* hypermethylation is associated with worse survival in NSCLC (HR = 1.73, 95% CI = 1.10-2.71, p = 0.02).

poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately or highly differentiated NSCLC. In addition, *FHIT* hypermethylation is also not significantly higher in advanced NSCLC (III & IV) than that in early staged NSCLC (I & II). (6) The pooled HR for OS shows that *FHIT* hypermethylation is associated with worsen survival in NSCLC patients. The cumulative evidence in our study is now conclusive that the *FHIT* gene promoter hypermethylation is associated with lung cancer formation and development, male gender, smoking behavior, and worse survival. In a meta-analyses of the gene methylation versus the cigarette smoking in NSCLC patients by Huang *et al.*⁴⁵, *FHIT* methylation was found to be significantly associated with the smoking behavior, which support our conclusions. However, unavailability of meta-analysis or systemic review on other particular outcomes such as NSCLC initiation and development, gender and survival status makes it impossible to compare our results with other similar studies. The results suggest a potential role of *FHIT* methylation analysis in diagnosis and prognosis of lung cancer in clinical settings. Epigenetic alteration, particularly aberrant DNA methylation, is one of the best-characterized epigenetic modifications that contribute to tumor initiation and progression^{5,6}. FHIT



Figure 8. The funnel plots are largely symmetric, which suggests that there are no publication biases in the meta-analysis of FHIT hypermethylation and clinicopathological features. The funnel plot from 8 studies comparing NSCLC and normal lung tissue (**A**). The funnel plot from 7 studies determined the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and the sex status in NSCLC patients (**B**). The funnel plot from 9 studies determined the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and the sex status in NSCLC patients (**B**). The funnel plot from 9 studies determined the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and the smoking status in NSCLC patients (**C**). The funnel plot from 8 studies comparing *FHIT* hypermethylation between squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and adnocarnoma (AD) (**D**). The funnel plot from 3 studies determined *FHIT* hypermethylation in different differentiated NSCLC (**E**). The funnel plot from 7 studies determined *FHIT* hypermethylation in different staged NSCLC (**F**). The funnel plot from 4 studies determined the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and overall survival (OS) in NSCLC (**G**).

is thought to affect cellular function and behavior largely through its signaling properties. FHIT also activates caspase-8 and caspase-2, which causes the release of cytochrome c and finally induces apoptosis⁴⁶. FHIT and p53, the two most commonly altered tumor suppressor genes, might rely on common mediators and crosstalk among these proteins in regulation of growth-related pathways; thus, the inactivation of both genes results in prominent deregulation of cell proliferation and tumor progression in lung cancer⁴⁷. Huang *et al.* showed that 7 hypermethylated genes including *FHIT* were significantly associated with the smoking behavior in NSCLC patients⁴⁵. The difference about the result may be due to the different selected number of studies. They selected only 5 studied which included 518 patients. Our studies searched 9 studies which included 1077 patients. A number of studies showed that inactivation of FHIT can cause tumor aberrant progression and link to clinicopathological character-istics^{27,48–51}. Therefore, FHIT can be considered as a tumor suppressor, and its inactivation could contribute tumor progression and poor prognosis. Although only four studies evaluated the relationship between overall survival and *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC, they showed very similar results^{25,27,28,32}. Based on this meta-analysis, the pooled HR for OS showed that *FHIT* hypermethylation was associated with worsen survival in NSCLC patients, HR = 1.73, 95% CI = 1.10–2.71, p = 0.02. Therefore, we may consider that *FHIT* hypermethylation in NSCLC

Strengths and limitations of evidence. In the comparison cancer and normal lung tissue, the heterogeneity is very high $(I^2 = 84\%)$, thus we deleted one study (Verri 2009)¹⁶, re-calculated the pooled OR from remaining 7 studies and shown in Fig. 2B. I² dramatically reduced to 14%, indicating that the heterogeneity is very low. The reason of their results were total different from other studies is not clear, they could have used inappropriate primers and methylation specific PCR (MSP) condition in detection of *FHIT* hypermethylation.

Consistent results were shown in sensitivity analyses, and no evidence of publication bias was found. This study has several potential limitations. First, the possibility of information and selection biases and unidentified confounders could not be completely excluded because all of the included studies were observational. Second, the searching strategy was restricted to articles published in English. Articles with potentially high-quality data

that were published in other languages were not included because of the anticipated difficulties in obtaining accurate medical translation. Hence, cautions should be taken when our findings are interpreted among the general populations.

Research and Clinical implications. The results from the current study demonstrate that the hypermethylation rate of *FHIT* gene promoter in NSCLC is significantly higher than that in the normal lung tissues, indicating that *FHIT* promoter hypermethylation is common in NSCLC. Since changes in *FHIT* promoter hypermethylation are reversible, drug treatment through demethylation may be useful to delay carcinogenesis and progression and to improve prognosis. Lung cancer cell clones carrying conditional FHIT transgenes showed significant suppression of xenograft tumor growth, suggesting that treatments to restore endogenous FHIT expression in lung cancers would result in decreased tumorigenicity¹⁷. In addition, injection of 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (AZA) and trichostatin A (TSA) in nude mice with established H1299 tumors showed suppressed growth of small tumors without apparent toxicity, and responding tumors showed restoration of FHIT¹⁷. These preclinical studies show the therapeutic potential of restoration of tumor suppressor expression through epigenetic modulation. This approach may bring new direction and hope for cancer treatment through gene-targeted therapy.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis shows that NSCLC had a higher *FHIT* hypermethylation than normal lung tissue, higher in male than in female, higher in non-smoker than in smoker, and higher in SCC than in AD. In addition, *FHIT* hypermethylation is associated with an increased risk and worsen survival in NSCLC. Further large-scale studies, especially multi-center and well-matched cohort research, will provide more insight into the role of *FHIT* in the prognosis and clinical implementation of NSCLC patients.

Material and Methods

Information sources. *Key database searched, data extraction and methodological assessment.* We searched Pubmed, Embase, and ISI web of knowledge to identify studies from May 1, 1998 to March 1, 2014 using the search terms: "lung" and "cancer or tumor or neoplasm or carcinoma", "methylation", and "FHIT or Fragile histidine triad protein or Bis (5′-adenosyl)-triphosphatase". We also searched manually for the reference lists of the retrieved articles and reviews for additional articles.

Although our search did not have language limits initially, for the full-text reading and final evaluation we only performed the review of the studies published in English language. After excluding non-relevant and/or redundant publications from different databases, the remaining papers were evaluated in the full text version for in- and exclusion criteria and for relevant articles in the reference lists. All searched data were retrieved. Authors' bibliographies and references of selected studies were also searched for other relevant studies. The most complete study was chosen to avoid duplication if same patient populations were reported in several publications.

Two authors (WY, NX) independently reviewed and extracted data from eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus with a third author (BH). The following information was recorded for each study: the first author name, year of publication, sample source, number of cases, clinicopathological parameters, cancer TNM (tumor node metastasis) stage, methylation detection method, methylation rate and/or expression, and follow up. Data for study characteristics and clinical responses were summarized and organized into a table format. Heterogeneity of investigation was evaluated to determine whether the data of the various studies could be analyzed for a meta-analysis.

For the methodological evaluation of the studies, three investigators (XZ, XH and BH) read through each publication independently, and they assessed and scored studies according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)⁵², which was developed to assess the quality of nonrandomised studies with its design, content and ease of use directed to the task of incorporating the quality assessments in the interpretation of our meta-analytic results (Table 1). The three readers provided the quality scores and compared them, and then they reached a consensus value for each item.

Eligibility criteria. Criteria that an eligible study has to meet were as follows: (1) *FHIT* hypermethylation evaluated in the primary NSCLC tissues, (2) researches revealed the relationship between *FHIT* hypermethylation and NSCLC clinicopathological parameters and prognosis, (3) *FHIT* hypermethylation examined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), (4) studies provided sufficient information to estimate hazard ratio (HR) about overall survival (OS) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) letters, reviews, case reports, conference abstracts, editorials, expert opinion, (2) all publications regarding *in vitro/ex vivo* studies, cell lines and human xenografts were also excluded.

Risk of bias. Publication bias was assessed by using a method reported by Egger *et al.*⁵³. We also explored reasons for statistical heterogeneity using meta-regression, subgroup analysis, and sensitivity analysis. The analysis of meta-regression and publication bias was performed by using STATA version 10.0. Cohen's kappa statistic was used to measure the agreement among the most important step for selecting eligible studies between two researchers. The kappa values were interpreted as follows: <0.2, poor observer agreement; 0.2-0.4, fair observer agreement; 0.4-0.6, moderate observer agreement; 0.6-0.8, substantial observer agreement; and 0.8-1.0, good observer agreement⁵⁴.

Statistical analysis: Analysis was conducted using the STATA 12.0 (Stata Corporation, TX, USA) and Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). The pooled frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated. The frequency of *FHIT* hypermethylation was compared in different tumor characteristics. Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated with Cochran's Q test⁵⁵ and the I^2 statistic^{56,57}. When heterogeneity was not an issue (I^2 values <50%), a fixed effect model was used to calculate parameters. If there was substantial heterogeneity (I^2 values \geq 50%), a random-effects model was used to pool

data and attempt to identify potential sources of heterogeneity based on subgroup analyses. The pooled OR was estimated for the association between *FHIT* hypermethylation and clinicopathological features. *P* values tailed less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

References

- 1. Siegel, R., Naishadham, D. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 62, 10–29 (2012).
- 2. Guo, P., Huang, Z. L., Yu, P. & Li, K. Trends in cancer mortality in China: an update. Ann Oncol 23, 2755–2762 (2012).
- Ramalingam, S. & Belani, C. Systemic chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: recent advances and future directions. Oncologist 13 Suppl 1, 5–13, doi: 13/suppl_1/5 (2008).
- Ghavifekr Fakhr, M., Farshdousti Hagh, M., Shanehbandi, D. & Baradaran, B. DNA Methylation Pattern as Important Epigenetic Criterion in Cancer. Genet Res Int 2013, 317569 (2013).
- 5. Delpu, Y., Cordelier, P., Cho, W. C. & Torrisani, J. DNA methylation and cancer diagnosis. Int J Mol Sci 14, 15029–15058 (2013).
- Ma, X., Wang, Y. W., Zhang, M. Q. & Gazdar, A. F. DNA methylation data analysis and its application to cancer research. *Epigenomics* 5, 301–316, doi: 10.2217/epi.13.26 (2013).
- Fleischhacker, M., Dietrich, D., Liebenberg, V., Field, J. K. & Schmidt, B. The role of DNA methylation as biomarkers in the clinical management of lung cancer. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 7, 363–383 (2013).
- Ohta, M. et al. The FHIT gene, spanning the chromosome 3p14.2 fragile site and renal carcinoma-associated t(3;8) breakpoint, is abnormal in digestive tract cancers. Cell 84, 587–597 (1996).
- 9. Pekarsky, Y. et al. Nitrilase and Fhit homologs are encoded as fusion proteins in Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95, 8744–8749 (1998).
- Hassan, M. I., Naiyer, A. & Ahmad, F. Fragile histidine triad protein: structure, function, and its association with tumorogenesis. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 136, 333–350 (2010).
- 11. Pekarsky, Y., Palamarchuk, A., Huebner, K. & Croce, C. M. FHIT as tumor suppressor: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Biol Ther* 1, 232–236 (2002).
- Huang, Q. et al. Fragile Histidine Triad (FHIT) Suppresses Proliferation and Promotes Apoptosis in Cholangiocarcinoma Cells by Blocking PI3K-Akt Pathway. Scientific WorldJournal 2014, 179698 (2014).
- Rimessi, A. *et al.* Intramitochondrial calcium regulation by the FHIT gene product sensitizes to apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106, 12753–12758 (2009).
- 14. Trapasso, F. *et al.* Fhit interaction with ferredoxin reductase triggers generation of reactive oxygen species and apoptosis of cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* 283, 13736–13744 (2008).
- 15. Tan, S. *et al.* Quantitative assessment of lung cancer associated with genes methylation in the peripheral blood. *Exp Lung Res* **39**, 182–190 (2013).
- Verri, C. et al. Fragile histidine triad gene inactivation in lung cancer: the European Early Lung Cancer project. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 179, 396–401 (2009).
- 17. Cantor, J. P. et al. Epigenetic modulation of endogenous tumor suppressor expression in lung cancer xenografts suppresses tumorigenicity. Int J Cancer 120, 24–31 (2007).
- 18. Han, S. Y. *et al.* CpG methylation in the Fhit regulatory region: relation to Fhit expression in murine tumors. *Oncogene* 23, 3990–3998 (2004).
- Zochbauer-Muller, S. et al. 5' CpG island methylation of the FHIT gene is correlated with loss of gene expression in lung and breast cancer. Cancer Res 61, 3581–3585 (2001).
- Hsu, H. S. *et al.* Characterization of a multiple epigenetic marker panel for lung cancer detection and risk assessment in plasma. *Cancer* 110, 2019–2026 (2007).
- 21. Yanagawa, N. et al. Inverse correlation between EGFR mutation and FHIT, RASSF1A and RUNX3 methylation in lung adenocarcinoma: relation with smoking status. *Anticancer Res* **31**, 1211–1214 (2011).
- Song, H., Yi, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, R. & Chen, L. [DNA methylation of tumor suppressor genes located on chromosome 3p in nonsmall cell lung cancer]. *Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi* 14, 233–238 (2011).
- Li, W., Deng, J., Jiang, P. & Tang, J. Association of 5'-CpG island hypermethylation of the FHIT gene with lung cancer in southerncentral Chinese population. *Cancer Biol Ther* 10, 997–1000 (2010).
- Li, H., Zhang, W., Li, W. & Yin, C. [Effects of Methylation of FHIT Gene on it's Protein and mRNA Expression in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer.]. Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi 12, 760–764 (2009).
- Yanagawa, N. et al. Promoter hypermethylation of RASSF1A and RUNX3 genes as an independent prognostic prediction marker in surgically resected non-small cell lung cancers. Lung Cancer 58, 131–138 (2007).
- Kim, D. S. et al. Aberrant DNA methylation profiles of non-small cell lung cancers in a Korean population. Lung Cancer 58, 1–6 (2007).
- Kim, J. S. et al. Cohypermethylation of p16 and FHIT promoters as a prognostic factor of recurrence in surgically resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 66, 4049–4054 (2006).
- Nakata, S. *et al.* The methylation status and protein expression of CDH1, p16(INK4A), and fragile histidine triad in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: epigenetic silencing, clinical features, and prognostic significance. *Cancer* 106, 2190–2199 (2006).
- Iliopoulos, D. et al. Fragile genes as biomarkers: epigenetic control of WWOX and FHIT in lung, breast and bladder cancer. Oncogene 24, 1625–1633 (2005).
- Tomizawa, Y. et al. Clinicopathological significance of aberrant methylation of RARbeta2 at 3p24, RASSF1A at 3p21.3, and FHIT at 3p14.2 in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 46, 305–312 (2004).
- Tzao, C., Tsai, H. Y., Chen, J. T., Chen, C. Y. & Wang, Y. C. 5'CpG island hypermethylation and aberrant transcript splicing both contribute to the inactivation of the FHIT gene in resected non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 40, 2175–2183 (2004).
- Kim, J. S. et al. Aberrant methylation of the FHIT gene in chronic smokers with early stage squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. Carcinogenesis 25, 2165–2171 (2004).
- Maruyama, R., Sugio, K., Yoshino, I., Maehara, Y. & Gazdar, A. F. Hypermethylation of FHIT as a prognostic marker in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 100, 1472–1477 (2004).
- Romero, I. et al. The tumour suppressor Fhit positively regulates MHC class I expression on cancer cells. J Pathol 227, 367–379 (2012).
- 35. Pichiorri, F. et al. Fhit tumor suppressor: guardian of the preneoplastic genome. Future Oncol 4, 815-824 (2008).
- Jeong, Y. J. et al. Promoter methylation status of the FHIT gene and Fhit expression: association with HER2/neu status in breast cancer patients. Oncol Rep 30, 2270–2278 (2013).
- Al-Temaimi, R. A., Jacob, S., Al-Ali, W., Thomas, D. A. & Al-Mulla, F. Reduced FHIT expression is associated with mismatch repair deficient and high CpG island methylator phenotype colorectal cancer. J Histochem Cytochem 61, 627–638 (2013).
- Banzai, C. *et al.* Promoter methylation of DAPK1, FHIT, MGMT, and CDKN2A genes in cervical carcinoma. *Int J Clin Oncol* 19, 127–132 (2014).
- Paluszczak, J., Misiak, P., Wierzbicka, M., Wozniak, A. & Baer-Dubowska, W. Frequent hypermethylation of DAPK, RARbeta, MGMT, RASSF1A and FHIT in laryngeal squamous cell carcinomas and adjacent normal mucosa. Oral Oncol 47, 104–107 (2011).

- 40. Yin, D. T. et al. Association of the promoter methylation and protein expression of Fragile Histidine Triad (FHIT) gene with the progression of differentiated thyroid carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 3, 482–491 (2010).
- Yanagawa, N., Osakabe, M., Hayashi, M., Tamura, G. & Motoyama, T. Frequent epigenetic silencing of the FHIT gene in penile squamous cell carcinomas. Virchows Arch 452, 377–382 (2008).
- 42. Lee, E. J. et al. Aberrant methylation of Fragile Histidine Triad gene is associated with poor prognosis in early stage esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Eur J Cancer 42, 972–980 (2006).
- Zheng, S. et al. Hypermethylation of the 5' CpG island of the FHIT gene is associated with hyperdiploid and translocation-negative subtypes of pediatric leukemia. Cancer Res 64, 2000–2006 (2004).
- 44. Cecener, G. et al. The promoter hypermethylation status of GATA6, MGMT, and FHIT in glioblastoma. Cell Mol Neurobiol 32, 237-244 (2012).
- 45. Huang, T. et al. Meta-analyses of gene methylation and smoking behavior in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Sci Rep 5, 8897, doi: srep08897 (2015).
- 46. Wali, A. FHIT: doubts are clear now. Scientific WorldJournal 10, 1142-1151 (2010).
- Andriani, F. et al. Inactivation of both FHIT and p53 cooperate in deregulating proliferation-related pathways in lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 7, 631–642 (2012).
- Takada, S. *et al.* Methylation status of fragile histidine triad (FHIT) gene and its clinical impact on prognosis of patients with multiple myeloma. *Eur J Haematol* 75, 505–510 (2005).
- Wu, Q., Shi, H., Suo, Z. & Nesland, J. M. 5' CpG island methylation of the FHIT gene is associated with reduced protein expression and higher clinical stage in cervical carcinomas. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 27, 417–422 (2003).
- 50. Shimada, Y. *et al.* Loss of fragile histidine triad gene expression is associated with progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, but not with the patient's prognosis and smoking history. *Cancer* **89**, 5–11 (2000).
- Haroun, R. A. et al. Assessment of the Prognostic Value of Methylation Status and Expression Levels of FHIT, GSTP1 and p16 in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer in Egyptian Patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 15, 4281–4287 (2014).
- Stang, A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in metaanalyses. Eur J Epidemiol 25, 603–605, doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z [doi] (2010).
- 53. Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**, 629–634 (1997).
- Hsu, C. Y., Ho, D. M., Yang, C. F. & Chiang, H. Interobserver reproducibility of MIB-1 labeling index in astrocytic tumors using different counting methods. *Mod Pathol* 16, 951–957, doi: 10.1097/01.MP.0000084631.64279.BC (2003).
- 55. DerSimonian, R. & Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7, 177–188, doi: 0197-2456(86)90046-2 (1986).
- Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J. & Altman, D. G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 327, 557–560, doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557 (2003).
- 57. DerSimonian, R. Meta-analysis in the design and monitoring of clinical trials. *Stat Med* **15**, 1237–1248; discussion 1249-1252, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19960630)15:12<1237::AID-SIM301>3.0.CO;2-N (1996).

Author Contributions

W.Y. and B.H. participated in the design of the study and identify related studies, as well as drafted the manuscript. W.Y., N.X., X.H. and X.Z. reviewed and extracted data from eligible studies. W.Y., X.H. and B.H. participated in the search the study and performed the statistical analysis.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Yan, W. *et al.* The clinicopathological significance of FHIT hypermethylation in nonsmall cell lung cancer, a meta-analysis and literature review. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 19303; doi: 10.1038/srep19303 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/