RESEARCH ARTICLE



The effects of crizotinib in a transgenic *Drosophila* model expressing the human TPM4-ALK fusion gene or TPM4

Yoo Jin Kim^{1,2,*}, A-Ri Cho^{1,*}, Hee Jung Sul¹, Bohyun Kim¹, A-Young Kim³, Hyeong Su Kim⁴, Jong Bok Seo⁵, Youngho Koh^{3,‡} and Dae Young Zang^{1,4,‡}

ABSTRACT

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion events lead to constitutive activation of the ALK kinase domain, thereby functioning as oncogenic drivers. These fusion proteins have been identified in numerous cancers. Crizotinib, a small molecule inhibitor of c-Met and ALK, is a Food and Drug Administration-approved drug with reported efficacy in the treatment of cancer. Tropomyosins (TPMs) are a family of actin filament-binding proteins. Altered TPM expression has been found in a variety of human tumors. Inhibitors of cancer-associated TPMs and actin-targeting compounds have been developed, but anti-actin agents have cardiac and respiratory muscle toxicities. In this study, we investigated the sensitivities of human TPM4 (hTPM4), human ALK (hALK), and their fusion gene (hTPM4-hALK) to crizotinib by measuring the lifespan of transgenic Drosophila. Flies overexpressing hTPM4hALK, hTPM4 and hALK showed decreased lifespans compared with controls. Although crizotinib is an inhibitor of ALK, treatment with crizotinib significantly extended the lifespans of Drosophila expressing hTPM4 and hTPM4-hALK but had no effect on hALK-expressing flies. Autophosphorylation of ${\rm Tyr}^{1278}$ is necessary for full activation of the ALK domain. We confirmed that hTPM4-hALK was phosphorylated at Tyr¹²⁷⁸ in a ligand-independent manner, and hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies treated with crizotinib showed a decreased level of Tyr1278 phosphorylation compared with untreated hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies, with a greater decrease induced by 1 µM compared with 200 nM crizotinib. Taken together, the results suggest that crizotinib is effective for treating ALK-driven cancer and might be a new therapeutic drug, without cardiac or respiratory muscle toxic effects, for TPM4-expressing cancers.

KEY WORDS: Crizotinib, *Drosophila*, Cancer, Fusion gene (TPM4-ALK)

¹Hallym Translational Research Institute, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Anyang, 14066, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Molecular Medicine, Graduate School of Hallym University, Chuncheon, Gangwon-do, 24252, Republic of Korea. ³Department of Biomedical Gerontology, Ilsong Institute of Life Sciences, Hallym University, Anyang, Gyeonggi-do, 14066, Republic of Korea. ⁴Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University Medical Center, Hallym University College of Medicine, Anyang-si, Gyeonggi-do 14068, Republic of Korea. ⁵Korea Basic Research Institute Seoul Center, Seoul, 02855, Republic of Korea.

*The authors contributed equally to this work

[‡]Authors for correspondence (fhdzang@hallym.or.kr, fhdzang@gmail.com; kohyh@hallym.ac.kr)

Y.J.K., 0000-0003-1182-2854; A.-R.C., 0000-0001-6117-8687; H.J.S., 0000-0001-5305-5329; D.Y.Z., 0000-0002-2602-7848

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 25 April 2019; Accepted 26 May 2019

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is one of the most common cancers and is the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. A variety of genetic alterations in TP53, PIK3CA and KRAS have been identified, and many molecular targeted drugs have been evaluated for treatment of gastric cancer (Yang et al., 2014). Here, we assessed the effects of crizotinib using a *Drosophila* model. Because of its relatively short lifespan (approximately 2 months) and conservation of human disease-related genes, Drosophila melanogaster has been widely used as an in vivo tool for validation or discovery of effective cancer drugs (Sun et al., 2013; Yadav et al., 2016). Recent advances in molecular genomics have identified various oncogenic gene alteration, one of the most important types being the fusion of two genes (Parker and Zhang, 2013). Among various fusion genes involved in cancers, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) has been found fused to various genes in diverse cancers (Hallberg and Palmer, 2013). ALK is a receptor tyrosine kinase that was first identified as a fusion gene with nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1-ALK), via a 2;5 chromosomal translocation in anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (Morris et al., 1995). ALK functions as an oncogenic driver upon its gene amplification, introduction of point mutations and formation of fusion genes. A variety of ALK fusion genes resulting in constitutive activation of ALK, which is normally regulated by its extracellular ligand-binding domain in the fulllength molecule, have been identified in human cancers (Grande et al., 2011; Hallberg and Palmer, 2013). Tropomyosins (TPMs) constitute a family of actin filament-binding proteins that were first identified in studies of skeletal muscle contraction. Human cells contain four tropomyosins: TPM1, TPM2, TPM3 and TPM4. Altered tropomyosin expression has been found in a variety of human cancers, including breast, colon, lung, prostate, ovary and gastric cancers (Stehn et al., 2006; Choi et al., 2012). TPM3-ALK and TPM4-ALK, which are fusion proteins consisting of the N-terminus of TPM and the C-terminal kinase domain of ALK, have been reported in patients with inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors, with TPM3-ALK being the most frequently observed (Lawrence et al., 2000). Crizotinib is a small-molecule selective inhibitor of c-Met and ALK tyrosine kinases. It was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer and inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors (Butrynski et al., 2010; O'Bryant et al., 2013). In a previous study, we identified the TPM4-ALK fusion gene in gastric cancer tissues of Korean patients (unpublished). In the present study, we evaluated the sensitivity of the TPM4-ALK fusion gene to crizotinib, using transgenic Drosophila expressing the human TPM4 (hTPM4)-human ALK (hALK) fusion gene. We also assessed the sensitivities of the full-length hALK and hTPM4 genes to crizotinib in transgenic Drosophila. The results suggested that the hTPM4-hALK fusion gene is constitutively activated, resulting in early death of Drosophila. We also found that the

activity of hTPM4-hALK was inhibited by treatment with crizotinib.

RESULTS

Molecular characteristics of hTPM4, hALK and hTPM4-hALK and their and ectopic expression in *Drosophila*

In previous studies we characterized fusion proteins in the tumor tissues of gastric cancer patients. Among these selected fusion proteins, we found that the TPM4-ALK fusion protein is preferentially expressed in gastric cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. We subsequently identified the amino acid sequence of the TPM4-ALK fusion protein (unpublished), which showed that exon 8 of TPM4 is fused to exon 19 of ALK (Fig. 1A). To investigate whether the lifespan of *Drosophila* is sensitive to

crizotinib as mediated by hTPM4-hALK, we generated transgenic *Drosophila* expressing hTPM4, hALK and hTPM4-hALK. Expression of these genes in *Drosophila* was confirmed by realtime reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and western blot analysis. As shown in Fig. 1, the mRNA expression of hALK, hTPM4-hALK and hTPM4 was observed in two or more independent transgenic lines for each gene construct. Protein expression was confirmed by western blot analysis using antibodies specific to ALK and TPM4 (Fig. 1E,F).

Expression of hALK, hTPM4 and hTPM4-hALK decreased the lifespan of transgenic *Drosophila*

We evaluated whether overexpression of hTPM4, hALK or hTPM4hALK had an effect on lifespan in *Drosophila*. Survival analysis



Fig. 1. Generation of transgenic flies. (A) Schematic representation of the hTPM4-hALK fusion protein. The hTPM4-hALK fusion gene involves fusion of hTPM4 exon 8 to hALK exon 19, comprising the N-terminal domain of hTPM4 and C-terminal kinase domain of hALK. The (B–D) mRNA and (E,F) protein expression of hALK, hTPM4-hALK and hTPM4 in male flies overexpressing each of these genes was measured by qRT-PCR and western blot analysis, respectively.

using the Kaplan–Meier method showed that the lifespan of flies expressing hTPM4-hALK was shorter than that of w^{1118} control flies (hazard ratio [HR]: 17.11; P<0.001). The lifespan of flies expressing hALK (HR: 3.70; P<0.001) or hTPM4 (HR: 1.83; P<0.001) was significantly decreased compared with that of control flies (Fig. 2, Table 1). The mean lifespan of the flies expressing hTPM4-hALK was 15.24±0.85 days compared with 37.56±0.87 days for control flies. The maximum lifespan of the hTPM4-hALK transgenic flies was 24 days compared with 45 days for control flies. The mean lifespan of the hTPM4-hALK transgenic flies are 24 days compared with 45 days for control flies. The mean lifespan of the hTPM4-and hALK-expressing flies was 27.36±1.20 and 25.98±0.82 days, respectively (Table 2).

Crizotinib increased the lifespan of transgenic Drosophila expressing hTPM4-hALK

We first evaluated the toxicity induced by crizotinib in flies by treating w^{1118} flies with 200 nM or 1 µM crizotinib and measuring the lifespan of the flies (Fig. 3A). Crizotinib did not have any effect on the lifespan of the flies, indicating that crizotinib is not toxic at these concentrations. Next, to assess the effect of crizotinib on survival in the presence of hTPM4-hALK overexpression, we monitored the lifespans of transgenic flies treated with 200 nM or 1 µM crizotinib. Crizotinib increased the survival of flies expressing hTPM4-hALK at both 200 nM (HR: 0.618; P<0.05) and 1 µM (HR: 0.533; P<0.01) (Fig. 3B, Table 1). The mean lifespan of flies expressing hTPM4-hALK treated with 200 nM or 1 µM crizotinib was 18.06±1.31 or 19.98±1.25 days, respectively, compared with 15.24±0.85 days for the untreated hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies. The maximum lifespan of flies expressing hTPM4-hALK treated with 200 nM or 1 µM crizotinib was 33 or 30 days, respectively, compared with 24 days for the untreated hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies (Table 2). Taken together, the results suggested that crizotinib has an anti-cancer effect in transgenic Drosophila expressing hTPM4-hALK, as evidenced by an extension of lifespan.

Crizotinib increased the lifespan of transgenic *Drosophila* expressing hTPM4

We also evaluated the effect of crizotinib on the lifespan of transgenic flies expressing hTPM4. Crizotinib treatment increased the survival of hTPM4-overexpressing flies at 200 nM (HR: 0.556; P<0.01) and 1 μ M (HR: 0.523; P<0.01) (Fig. 3C, Table 1). The mean lifespan of hTPM4-expressing flies treated with 200 nM or 1 μ M crizotinib was 33.42±1.02 and 33.42±1.08 days, respectively, compared with 27.36±1.20 days for untreated hTPM4-expressing flies. The maximum lifespan of the hTPM4-expressing flies treated with 200 nM or 1 μ M crizotinib was 39 days (Table 2), the same as the untreated hTPM4-expressing flies.

Crizotinib failed to increase the lifespan of transgenic Drosophila expressing hALK

We investigated the effect of crizotinib on the lifespan of hALK transgenic flies expressing full-length wild-type hALK. Despite being an inhibitor of ALK, treatment with 200 nM or 1 μ M crizotinib had no effect on the lifespan of these flies (HR: 0.969; P=0.877; HR: 0.921; P=0.680) (Fig. 3D, Tables 1 and 2).

Crizotinib inhibits the constitutive activity of hTPM4-hALK in *Drosophila*

TPM3-ALK and TPM4-ALK are constitutively active oncogenes acting independently of ligands and tyrosine phosphorylation (Lawrence et al., 2000). Autophosphorylation of Tyr^{1278} is necessary for full activation of the ALK domain, as well as the transformation ability of ALK fusion proteins (Tartari et al., 2008).



Fig. 2. Lifespan analysis of transgenic flies grown on normal food. The hTPM4-hALK fusion transgenic male flies showed a significantly shorter lifespan compared with control w^{1118} flies when grown on standard food. The hALK- and hTPM4-overexpressing flies also showed decreased lifespans. Survival was more decreased in male than female flies. Log-rank analysis showed significant differences between the transgenic flies and w^{1118} flies. ***P<0.001.

Table 1. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals

Strain	No. flies	HR	95% CI	P-value						
Trial 1 standard fly medium										
W ¹¹¹⁸	50									
hTPM4-hALK	50	17.11	12.28–23.83	< 0.001						
hTPM4	50	1.83	1.38–2.44	< 0.001						
hALK	50	3.70	2.76-4.96	< 0.001						
Trial 2 TPM4-ALK										
hTPM4-hALK	50									
hTPM4-hALK 200 nM	50	0.618	0.412-0.927	< 0.05						
hTPM4-hALK 1 µM	50	0.533	0.355-0.800	< 0.01						
Trial 3 TPM4										
hTPM4	50									
hTPM4 200 nM	50	0.556	0.373-0.831	< 0.01						
hTPM4 1 μM	50	0.523	0.351-0.778	< 0.01						
Trial 4 ALK										
hALK	50									
hALK 200 nM	50	0.969	0.655-1.435	0.877						
hALK 1 μM	50	0.921	0.621-1.365	0.680						
hALK hALK 200 nM hALK 1 μM	50 50 50	0.969 0.921	0.655–1.435 0.621–1.365	0.877 0.680						

Therefore, we measured the level of phosphorylation at Tyr¹²⁷⁸ within the hTPM4-hALK fusion protein in transgenic *Drosophila* treated with crizotinib. As shown in Fig. 4A, hTPM4-hALK was phosphorylated at Tyr¹²⁷⁸ in a ligand-independent manner, and flies treated with crizotinib exhibited a decreased level of phosphorylated Tyr¹²⁷⁸ within hTPM4-hALK compared with untreated flies, and this effect was more pronounced with 1 μ M compared with 200 nM crizotinib (Fig. 4A,C). These results were consistent with the prolonged survival of hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies treated with crizotinib. Taken together, the results suggest that crizotinib increased the lifespan of *Drosophila* by inhibiting the constitutive activity of hTPM4-hALK.

DISCUSSION

Numerous genes and pathways associated with cancer have been identified, and molecular-targeted therapies have been developed

Table 2. Mean and maximum lifespans

Strain		Mean lifespan (days)	Max. lifespan (days)	Log-rank test <i>P</i> -value			
	No. flies			Versus A	Versus B	Versus C	Versus D
Trial1 standard fly mediu	m						
W ¹¹¹⁸ [A]	50	37.56±0.87	45	-	0	3.7e-9	0
hTPM4-ALK [B]	50	15.24±0.85	24	0	-	0	0
hTPM4 [C]	50	27.36±1.20	39	3.7e-9	0	-	0.0492
hALK [D]	50	25.98±0.82	33	0	0	0.0492	-
Trial 2 TPM4-ALK							
W ¹¹¹⁸ 200 nM [A]	50	33.24±1.18	42	-	0	0	0
hTPM4-ALK [B]	50	15.24±0.85	24	0	-	0.0329	0.0014
hTPM4-ALK 200 nM [C]	50	18.06±1.31	33	0	0.0329	-	0.3687
hTPM4-ALK 1 μM [D]	50	19.98±1.25	30	0	0.0014	0.3687	-
Trial 3 TPM4							
W ¹¹¹⁸ 200 nM [A]	50	33.24±1.18	42	-	0.0003	0.3576	0.5517
hTPM4 [B]	50	27.36±1.20	39	0.0003	-	0.0005	0.0003
hTPM4 200 nM [C]	50	33.42±1.02	39	0.3576	0.0005	-	0.8128
hTPM4 1 μM [D]	50	33.42±1.08	39	0.5517	0.0003	0.8128	-
Trial 4 ALK							
W ¹¹¹⁸ 200 nM [A]	50	33.24±1.18	42	-	4.8e-9	6.8e-9	2.3e-8
hALK [B]	50	25.98±0.82	33	4.8e-9	-	0.8443	0.5301
hALK 200 nM [C]	50	26.64±0.63	30	6.8e-9	0.8443	-	0.6156
hALK 1 µM [D]	50	26.70±0.73	33	2.3e-8	0.5301	0.6156	-



Fig. 3. The effect of crizotinib on the survival of control and transgenic flies. (A) The lifespan of w^{1118} male flies was similar between the low (200 nM) and high (1 μ M) doses of crizotinib. (B) Both 200 nM and 1 μ M crizotinib increased the lifespan of male flies expressing hTPM4-hALK. (C) Both 200 nM and 1 μ M crizotinib increased the lifespan of male flies expressing hTPM4. (D) Crizotinib had no effect on the lifespan of male flies expressing hALK.



Fig. 4. The effect of crizotinib on ALK phosphorylation of transgenic flies expressing hTPM4-hALK or hALK. Western blot analysis of the levels of total hALK and hALK phosphorylated at Tyr¹²⁷⁸ in flies overexpressing hTPM4-hALK or hALK. (A) hTPM4-hALK was phosphorylated at Tyr¹²⁷⁸ in a ligand-independent manner. The flies expressing hTPM4-hALK showed a decreased level of phosphorylated Tyr¹²⁷⁸ after crizotinib treatment compared with no treatment, with a greater decrease induced by 1 µM compared with 200 nM crizotinib. (B) Wild-type hALK was slightly phosphorylated. (C) Quantitation of the western blot results in A is represented graphically. NF, normal food.

using various model systems (Yadav et al., 2016; Geng et al., 2017). We analyzed the effects of crizotinib using *Drosophila* because of the numerous advantages of this model organism (Sun et al., 2013; Yadav et al., 2016). Crizotinib was originally developed as a selective c-MET inhibitor by Pfizer. However, after testing on a large panel of 120 kinases, it was found that crizotinib also potently inhibits ALK and induces apoptosis in anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (Christensen et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2007).

Previously, we identified the TPM4-ALK fusion protein in patients with gastric cancer (unpublished). To characterize the influence of ALK overexpression on the effect of crizotinib, we generated transgenic *Drosophila* overexpressing hALK, hTPM4, or hTPM4-hALK and analyzed the lifespan of these flies following crizotinib treatment.

Crizotinib dose-dependently extended the lifespan of hTPM4hALK-overexpressing flies. However, there was little effect on hALK-expressing flies, despite crizotinib being an inhibitor of ALK. These results were similar to those of Fang et al. (2014), who investigated the effect of crizotinib in a patient-derived xenograft model. Tumors harboring the HIP1-ALK fusion gene sensitively responded to crizotinib, whereas tumors harboring wild-type ALK did not. Because crizotinib inhibits ALK phosphorylation and cell proliferation (Ou, 2011), we investigated the level of phosphorylated (Tyr¹²⁷⁸) ALK using western blot analysis. Wildtype hALK was only slightly phosphorylated because of insufficient ligand stimulation, whereas hTPM4-hALK was phosphorylated in a ligand-independent manner (Fig. 4A,B). Furthermore, the increased survival of hTPM4-hALK-expressing flies was correlated with the decreased level of Tyr¹²⁷⁸ phosphorylation (Figs 3B and 4A). Taken together, our data suggest that wild-type hALK is resistant to crizotinib treatment.

We also generated hTPM4-expressing transgenic Drosophila as drug treatment controls. The survival of hTPM4-expressing flies was decreased compared with w^{1118} flies. Unexpectedly, although crizotinib is an inhibitor of ALK, the survival of hTPM4-expressing flies was rescued by crizotinib. The crizotinib-induced increase in survival was similar to that in w^{1118} flies and greater than that in untreated hTPM4-expressing flies (Fig. 3C, Table 1). Taken together, it is possible that crizotinib acts via hTPM4. Both decreased and increased TPM4 expression has been observed in human cancers, depending on the tumor type (Stehn et al., 2006). Inhibitors of cancerassociated TPMs, and actin-targeting compounds, have been developed to disrupt the actin cytoskeleton and actin polymerization in tumor cells (Bonello et al., 2009; Stehn et al., 2013). However, anti-actin drugs induce cardiac and respiratory muscle toxicities (Schweikart et al., 2013). Thus, novel TPM inhibitors that specifically target actin have been developed (Bonello et al., 2016; Currier et al., 2017). Further studies will be required to determine the mechanism by which crizotinib affects TPM4, to support the value of crizotinib as a therapeutic agent without cardiac and respiratory muscle toxicities.

In summary, this study is the first to assess the effects of crizotinib on the lifespan of *Drosophila* overexpressing hTPM4-hALK, hALK or hTPM4. Our results showed that crizotinib may be an effective treatment for cancers overexpressing hTPM4-hALK, and hTPM4 may be a crizotinib target gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetics and Drosophila growth conditions

The hTPM4, hALK and hTPM4-hALK genes were cloned into the pUAST germline transformation vectors (Rubin and Spradling, 1982), which were then co-injected with helper plasmids encoding the p-element into *Drosophila* embryos to create transgenic *Drosophila*. The transformants were selected and further characterized. The GAL4/UAS system was used to overexpress the target gene in tissues and cells, and Tubuline-GAL4 driver flies were used to express target genes. The flies were cultured on standard agar media at $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C under $60\pm1\%$ relative humidity.

Fly food formulation

All experimental files were reared on a standard *Drosophila* medium consisting of 1 1 dH₂O, 7.7 g agar, 62.4 g dried yeast, 40.8 g corn starch, 84.0 g glucose, 13.0 ml molasses and 12.5 ml mold inhibitor (DaeJung Chemicals & Metals, Seoul, Republic of Korea). Crizotinib (PF-02341066) was added to the feed at final concentrations of 200 nM or 1 μ M.

Western blot analysis

Cell lysates were obtained from approximately ten male or female flies by grinding in 300 μ l RIPA buffer supplemented with proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors. The lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, and protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method.

Samples containing $30-50 \ \mu g$ total protein were resolved on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk in 1× TBS-T and incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-TPM4 (1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA, USA), anti-ALK (1:250; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-pALK (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), and anti- α -tubulin (1:5000; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). The membrane was incubated at room temperature with secondary antibodies at the appropriate dilutions. Quantitation of the western blot results is represented graphically using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from five male or female flies using TRIzol reagent (Ambion, Waltham, MA, USA), and 1 µg total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the PrimeScriptTM RT Reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan). Each reaction mixture contained 5 µl SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio), 0.4 µl forward and reverse primers (10 pmol each), cDNA (1:6 dilution), and diethyl pyrocarbonate-water to reach a final volume of 10 µl. The mRNA levels of the target genes were normalized to that of the *Drosophila rp49* gene and were analyzed using the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method with Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The reactions were performed in duplicate. The following primers were used for PCR: 5'-TTG AGG AGG AGT TGG ACA GG-3' and 5'- GCT GCA TCT CCT GAA TCT CC-3' for hTPM4, 5'-GCA ACA TCA GCC TGA AGA CA-3' and 5'- GCC TGT TGA GAG ACC AGG AG-3' for hALK, and 5'- ACG GTT GCA AAA CTG GAA AA-3' and 5'-TTG GGG TTG TAG TCG GTC AT-3' for hTPM4-hALK.

Drug treatments and lifespan measurements

The method of measuring lifespan to determine the effects of genetic and non-genetic factors was described previously (Sun et al., 2013). A total of 100 flies (50 male and 50 female) were collected at 3 days after eclosion (ten flies per vial). The flies were kept at $31\pm1^{\circ}$ C for survival analysis. The surviving flies were transferred every 3 days to new vials containing the appropriate normal food or food spiked with crizotinib (200 nM or 1 μ M).

This practice was continued until all flies were dead. HRs and *P*-values were calculated using Kaplan–Meier survival analysis (Dinse and Lagakos, 1982). The HRs with 95% confidence intervals were compared using the log-rank test, and *P*-values were calculated (Mantel, 1966). The survival curves and statistical analyses were performed using the R program package (www.rproject.org) as described previously (Kim et al., 2015). The maximum lifespan was calculated as the average age of the top 10% longest-living flies (Lushchak et al., 2012).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Methodology: J.B.S., Y.K.; Formal analysis: Y.J.K., A.-R.C., H.S.K.; Investigation: Y.J.K., A.-R.C., H.J.S., B.K., A.-Y.K.; Writing - original draft: Y.J.K.; Writing - review & editing: A.-R.C., J.B.S., Y.K.; Supervision: D.Y.Z.

Funding

This research was supported by the National R&D Program for Cancer Control, Ministry of Health and Welfare [HA17C0054], the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety [awarded in 2018, 18183MFDS491] of the Republic of Korea, and the Hallym University Research Fund.

References

- Bonello, T. T., Stehn, J. R. and Gunning, P. W. (2009). New approaches to targeting the actin cytoskeleton for chemotherapy. *Future Med. Chem.* 1, 1311-1331. doi:10. 4155/fmc.09.99
- Bonello, T. T., Janco, M., Hook, J., Byun, A., Appaduray, M., Dedova, I., Hitchcock-DeGregori, S., Hardeman, E. C., Stehn, J. R., Böcking, T. et al. (2016). A small molecule inhibitor of tropomyosin dissociates actin binding from tropomyosin-directed regulation of actin dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 19816. doi:10. 1038/srep19816
- Butrynski, J. E., D'adamo, D. R., Hornick, J. L., Dal Cin, P., Antonescu, C. R., Jhanwar, S. C., Ladanyi, M., Capelletti, M., Rodig, S. J., Ramaiya, N. et al. (2010). Crizotinib in ALK-rearranged inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 1727-1733. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1007056
- Choi, C., Kim, D., Kim, S., Jeong, S., Song, E. and Helfman, D. M. (2012). From skeletal muscle to cancer: insights learned elucidating the function of tropomyosin. J. Struct. Biol. 177, 63-69. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2011.11.016
- Christensen, J. G., Zou, H. Y., Arango, M. E., Li, Q., Lee, J. H., McDonnell, S. R., Yamazaki, S., Alton, G. R., Mroczkowski, B. and Los, G. (2007). Cytoreductive antitumor activity of PF-2341066, a novel inhibitor of anaplastic lymphoma kinase and c-Met, in experimental models of anaplastic large-cell lymphoma. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 6, 3314-3322. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-07-0365
- Currier, M. A., Stehn, J. R., Swain, A., Chen, D., Hook, J., Eiffe, E., Heaton, A., Brown, D., Nartker, B. A., Eaves, D. W. et al. (2017). Identification of cancertargeted tropomyosin inhibitors and their synergy with microtubule drugs. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **16**, 1555-1565. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-16-0873
- Dinse, G. E. and Lagakos, S. W. (1982). Nonparametric estimation of lifetime and disease onset distributions from incomplete observations. *Biometrics* 38, 921-932. doi:10.2307/2529872
- Fang, D. D., Zhang, B., Gu, Q., Lira, M., Xu, Q., Sun, H., Qian, M., Sheng, W., Ozeck, M., Wang, Z. et al. (2014). HIP1-ALK, a novel ALK fusion variant that responds to crizotinib. J. Thorac. Oncol. 9, 285-294. doi:10.1097/JTO. 000000000000087
- Geng, F., Wang, Z., Yin, H., Yu, J. and Cao, B. (2017). Molecular targeted drugs and treatment of colorectal cancer: recent progress and future perspectives. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* 32, 149-160. doi:10.1089/cbr.2017.2210
- Grande, E., Bolos, M.-V. and Arriola, E. (2011). Targeting oncogenic ALK: a promising strategy for cancer treatment. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **10**, 569-579. doi:10. 1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0615
- Hallberg, B. and Palmer, R. H. (2013). Mechanistic insight into ALK receptor tyrosine kinase in human cancer biology. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 13, 685-700. doi:10. 1038/nrc3580
- Kim, A.-Y., Seo, J. B., Kim, W.-T., Choi, H. J., Kim, S.-Y., Morrow, G., Tanguay, R. M., Steller, H. and Koh, Y. H. (2015). The pathogenic human Torsin A in Drosophila activates the unfolded protein response and increases susceptibility to oxidative stress. *BMC Genomics* 16, 338. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1518-0
- Lawrence, B., Perez-Atayde, A., Hibbard, M. K., Rubin, B. P., Dal Cin, P., Pinkus, J. L., Pinkus, G. S., Xiao, S., Yi, E. S., Fletcher, C. D. et al. (2000). TPM3-ALK and TPM4-ALK oncogenes in inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors. *Am. J. Pathol.* 157, 377-384. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64550-6
- Lushchak, O. V., Gospodaryov, D. V., Rovenko, B. M., Glovyak, A. D., Yurkevych, I. S., Klyuba, V. P., Shcherbij, M. V. and Lushchak, V. I. (2012). Balance between macronutrients affects life span and functional senescence in

fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 67, 118-125. doi:10.1093/gerona/glr184

- Mantel, N. (1966). Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its consideration. *Cancer Chemother Rep.* **50**, 163-170.
- Morris, S. W., Kirstein, M. N., Valentine, M. B., Dittmer, K., Shapiro, D. N., Look, A. T. and Saltman, D. L. (1995). Fusion of a kinase gene, ALK, to a nucleolar protein gene, NPM, in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Science* 267, 316-317. doi:10. 1126/science.267.5196.316-b
- O'bryant, C. L., Wenger, S. D., Kim, M. and Thompson, L. A. (2013). Crizotinib: a new treatment option for ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 47, 189-197. doi:10.1345/aph.1R002
- Ou, S. H. (2011). Crizotinib: a novel and first-in-class multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor for the treatment of anaplastic lymphoma kinase rearranged non-small cell lung cancer and beyond. *Drug Des. Devel Ther.* 5, 471-485. doi:10.2147/ DDDT.S19045
- Parker, B. C. and Zhang, W. (2013). Fusion genes in solid tumors: an emerging target for cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Chin. J. Cancer* 32, 594-603. doi:10. 5732/cjc.013.10178
- Rubin, G. M. and Spradling, A. C. (1982). Genetic transformation of Drosophila with transposable element vectors. *Science* **218**, 348-353. doi:10.1126/science. 6289436
- Schweikart, K., Guo, L., Shuler, Z., Abrams, R., Chiao, E. T., Kolaja, K. L. and Davis, M. (2013). The effects of jaspamide on human cardiomyocyte function and cardiac ion channel activity. *Toxicol. In Vitro* 27, 745-751. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2012. 12.005
- Stehn, J. R., Schevzov, G., O'neill, G. M. and Gunning, P. W. (2006). Specialisation of the tropomyosin composition of actin filaments provides new

- potential targets for chemotherapy. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **6**, 245-256. doi:10. 2174/156800906776842948
- Stehn, J. R., Haass, N. K., Bonello, T., Desouza, M., Kottyan, G., Treutlein, H., Zeng, J., Nascimento, P. R., Sequeira, V. B., Butler, T. L. et al. (2013). A novel class of anticancer compounds targets the actin cytoskeleton in tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* 73, 5169-5182. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4501
- Sun, Y., Yolitz, J., Wang, C., Spangler, E., Zhan, M. and Zou, S. (2013). Aging studies in Drosophila melanogaster. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1048**, 77-93. doi:10.1007/ 978-1-62703-556-9_7
- Tartari, C. J., Gunby, R. H., Coluccia, A. M., Sottocornola, R., Cimbro, B., Scapozza, L., Donella-Deana, A., Pinna, L. A. and Gambacorti-Passerini, C. (2008). Characterization of some molecular mechanisms governing autoactivation of the catalytic domain of the anaplastic lymphoma kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 3743-3750. doi:10.1074/jbc.M706067200
- Yadav, A. K., Srikrishna, S. and Gupta, S. C. (2016). Cancer drug development using drosophila as an in vivo tool: from bedside to bench and back. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 37, 789-806. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2016.05.010
- Yang, W., Raufi, A. and Klempner, S. J. (2014). Targeted therapy for gastric cancer: molecular pathways and ongoing investigations. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1846, 232-237. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2014.05.003
- Zou, H. Y., Li, Q., Lee, J. H., Arango, M. E., Mcdonnell, S. R., Yamazaki, S., Koudriakova, T. B., Alton, G., Cui, J. J., Kung, P. P. et al. (2007). An orally available small-molecule inhibitor of c-Met, PF-2341066, exhibits cytoreductive antitumor efficacy through antiproliferative and antiangiogenic mechanisms. *Cancer Res.* 67, 4408-4417. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4443