## Are we on the verge of a paradigm shift in transfusion decision-making?



Cécile Aubron<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Service de Médecine Intensive Réanimation, CHU de Brest, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France <sup>b</sup>School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

> The study primary outcome was the proportion of patients transfused with RBC after surgery up to hospital discharge or 28 days after surgery. Nine of the 62 (14.5%) patients in the interventional group required RBC transfusion by hospital discharge or day 28 after surgery compared to 19/61 (31.2%) in the controlled group (odds ratio 0.37 [95% CI, 0.15-0.91], p = 0.03),4 similar results were obtained when considering only anaemic patients at randomization and patients not transfused during surgery. There was more anaemic patients at day 28 and 3 months in the controlled group while the rate of sever adverse events were similar between groups. This pilot trial is original and interesting by many

> aspects. First, it considers the perioperative period as a continuum for anaemia management. The second interesting point is the use of a bundle of measures including IV iron, erythropoietin and RBC transfusion, to treat anaemia. Although, patient blood management (PBM) programs include multimodal approach for anaemia prevention and management, randomized trials on PBM are commonly and until recently investigating only one measure (i.e. transfusion, iron, erythropoietin or tranexamic acid) at a specific time (before, during or after surgery). Last and not the least, Marine Saour et al., have included ScvO<sub>2</sub> in the transfusion decision making process, when patients were hemodynamically stable. ScvO<sub>2</sub> is a surrogate of the oxygen extraction ratio and then of the oxygen reserve (i.e. the balance between VO2 and DO2). A cut off of 65% of ScvO<sub>2</sub> has been reported to predict a response to RBC transfusion in a non-bleeding and hemodynamically stable patients with a good positive predictive value of 85% and a good specificity of 88% (95%CI, 75.7-94.7) after cardiac surgery.5

> Two pilot trials have compared ScvO2 to Hb as a trigger for RBC transfusion after cardiac surgery.<sup>6,7</sup> However, in these studies, a Hb threshold of 9 g/dl was used while European guidelines strongly recommended a lower Hb threshold of 7.5 g/dl in this setting, questioning these studies findings.3 The trial by Marine Saour et al. has the specificity to integrate both ScvO<sub>2</sub> and Hb level in the transfusion decision making.

> Future large randomized trials should consider, as Marine Saour et al. did, anaemia as a continuum along the clinical course and a multimodal approach of anaemia management. They should also investigate the benefit of a more personalised transfusion strategy

Based on numerous trials that have reported the noninferiority of a restrictive transfusion strategy (low haemoglobin (Hb) threshold, usually between 7 and 8 g/dl) in comparison with a liberal transfusion strategy (high Hb threshold, usually between 9 and 10 g/dl) in terms of mortality and/or morbidity and a decrease in patients transfused and RBC transfused per patient, guidelines recommend a low haemoglobin threshold, unless specific conditions as acute coronary syndrome.<sup>2,3</sup> At the same time, experts agree that anaemia tolerance must be integrated in the transfusion decision making process.3 However, symptoms of anaemia in critically ill or post-surgical patients are not specific and most often impossible to discriminate from other reasons. The benefit of integrating physiological transfusion triggers as the ScvO2 in the transfusion decision process remains unknown and poorly explored in interventional studies. Physiological transfusion triggers aim to assess the balance between oxygen delivery (DO2) and oxygen consumption (VO2) that is likely to change along patient's clinical course.

The pilot randomised trial performed by Marine Saour et al., published in this issue of The Lancet Regional Health - Europe, compared two strategies of anaemia management in the perioperative setting of cardiac surgery from the day before surgery to hospital discharge or 28 days after surgery, in a University Hospital in France.4 Patients with a high risk of transfusion (TRUST score equal to or higher than 3) were randomised prior to surgery to receive either subcutaneous erythropoietin (600 IU/kg, maximum 40,000 U) associated to intravenous (IV) ferric carboxymaltose the day of surgery if their Hb was ≤13 g/dl, and after surgery RBC transfusion if Hb was ≤8 g/dl and ScvO<sub>2</sub> ≤ 65% or if Hb was <7 g/dl. If ScvO<sub>2</sub> was >65% and Hb was ≤8 g/dl, erythropoietin and IV iron could be administered if they had not been given in the previous 7 days. Patients who were randomised in the controlled group received RBC transfusion when Hb was ≤8 g/dl or 200 or 300 mg of IV iron sucrose if the Hb was  $\geq 8$  g/dl.

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.100966 \*Corresponding author. Service de médecine intensive réanimation, CHU de Brest, Boulevard Tanguy Prigent, Brest Cedex 29609, France. E-mail addresses: cecile.aubron@chu-brest.fr, cecile.aubron@mon-

© 2024 The Author, Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/bv-nc-nd/4.0/).





The Lancet Regional Health - Europe 2024;43: 101003 Published Online xxx https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.lanepe.2024.

## Comment

integrating physiological triggers when possible to avoid unnecessary RBC transfusion and optimise RBC administration to patients' needs. Such future trials should integrate in both groups what is already known to be beneficial. Indeed, we could question why patients in the controlled group of the trial by Marine Saour et al., did not receive any pre-operative anaemia treatment. Finally, future research must integrate long term and functional outcomes.

## Declaration of interests

CA gave lectures for MSD and CSL VIFOR.

## Pafarancas

 Carson JL, Stanworth SJ, Dennis JA, et al. Transfusion thresholds for guiding red blood cell transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2021;12(12):CD002042.

- Mueller MM, Van Remoortel H, Meybohm P, et al. Patient blood management: recommendations from the 2018 frankfurt consensus conference. JAMA. 2019;321(10):983–997.
- Vlaar AP, Oczkowski S, de Bruin S, et al. Transfusion strategies in non-bleeding critically ill adults: a clinical practice guideline from the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46(4):673–696.
- 4 Saour CB M, Zeroual N, Mourad M, et al. Impact of a bundle of care (intravenous iron, erythropoietin and transfusion metabolic adjustment) on post-operative transfusion incidence in cardiac surgery: a single-centre, randomised, open-label, parallel-group controlled pilot trial. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2024;43:100966. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.100966.
- 5 Zeroual N, Samarani G, Gallais J, et al. ScvO(2) changes after red-blood-cell transfusion for anaemia in cardiothoracic and vascular ICU patients: an observational study. Vox Sang. 2018;113(2):136–142.
- 6 Zeroual N, Blin C, Saour M, et al. Restrictive transfusion strategy after cardiac surgery. Anesthesiology. 2021;134(3):370–380.
- Fischer MO, Guinot PG, Debroczi S, et al. Individualised or liberal red blood cell transfusion after cardiac surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Anaesth. 2022;128(1):37–44.