

Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for cancer pain in children with osteosarcoma

A protocol of systematic review

Tian-Shu Wang, MM^a, Shou-Feng Wang, MM^b, Wei-dong Song, MB^c, Zhao-chen Tang, MB^d, Wei Wei, MM^{a,*}, Guan-kai Wang, MB^e

Abstract

Background: This systematic review will assess the effectiveness and safety neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) for cancer pain (CP) in children with osteosarcoma.

Methods: This systematic review protocol will retrieve the following electronic databases from inception to June 1 in Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, Scopus, CNKI, and VIP database. Manual head-searching of reference lists and conference proceedings will be performed to further examine the articles of interest. No restrictions will be applied to language and publication status. We will utilize a 3-stage approach to scan titles, abstracts, and full-text studies against all eligibility criteria, and collect data from included trials. Study quality will be evaluated by the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool. If possible, we will narratively summarize study results and carry out meta-analysis.

Results: This study will recapitulate the present high quality trials to appraise the effectiveness and safety of NMES for CP in children with osteosarcoma.

Conclusion: The findings of this study will present evidence to determine whether NMES is effective and safe for CP in children with osteosarcoma.

Abbreviations: CP = cancer pain, NMES = neuromuscular electrical stimulation, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: cancer pain, effectiveness, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, osteosarcoma

1. Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a very common pleomorphic tumor among pediatric and adolescent population,^[1–3] which accounts for about 2.4% of all pediatric cancers.^[1] It is characterized by the presence of malignant mesenchymal cells produced in any bone

stroma, especially in the long bones, including arms and legs.^[4–6] It is often manifests as localized bone pain and swelling.^[7–9] It has been estimated that the incidence of osteosarcoma is 2 to 3 cases/million/y among general population.^[10,11] However, its annual incidence varies 8 to 11 individuals/million/y in children and adolescents.^[10,11] Although the quality of life in patients with osteosarcoma has significantly enhanced over the past few decades, its etiology is still unclear.^[12–14] Previous studies found that several multiple factors may be responsible for this disorder, including genetics, epidemiology, and environment.^[15]

Studies suggested that neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) is utilized to treat cancer pain (CP) in children with osteosarcoma.^[16–18] However, no systematic review has explored its effectiveness and safety for CP in children with osteosarcoma. Thus, this systematic review will firstly investigate the effectiveness and safety of NMES for CP in children with osteosarcoma.

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

This systematic review protocol was registered on INPLASY202060054. It is designed based on the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocol Statement.^[19,20]

2.2. Eligibility criteria

2.2.1. Types of studies. We will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that assessed the effectiveness and safety of NMES

Study registration: INPLASY202060054.

T-sW and S-fW have contributed equally to this study.

This study is partly supported by the Heilongjiang Provincial Health and Family Planning Research Project (2018-300).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

^a Second Ward of Orthopedics Department, ^b First Ward of Orthopedics Department, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, ^c Department of Orthopedics, Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, ^d School of Clinical Medicine, Jiamusi University, ^e Department of Orthopedics, Graduate School of Jiamusi University, Jiamusi, China.

* Correspondence: Wei Wei, Second Ward of Orthopedics Department, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, No. 348 Dexiang St, Xiangyang District, Jiamusi, Heilongjiang, 154002, China (e-mail: weiw198006@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang TS, Wang SF, Song Wd, Tang Zc, Wei W, Wang Gk. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for cancer pain in children with osteosarcoma: a protocol of systematic review. *Medicine* 2020;99:30(e21311).

Received: 14 June 2020 / Accepted: 17 June 2020

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000021311>

for CP in children with osteosarcoma. We will exclude other studies, such as non-clinical trial, uncontrolled trials, and non-RCTs.

2.2.2. Types of interventions. Experimental group: all patients received any types of NMES.

Control group: all patients received any interventions, but not any forms of NMES.

2.2.3. Types of patients. Participants (under 18 years old) with confirmed CP in children with osteosarcoma will be included without restrictions to ethnicity, sex, and characteristics of osteosarcoma.

2.2.4. Types of outcome measurements. The primary outcome is pain intensity, as assessed by any pain scales in the reported trials.

The secondary outcomes are frequency of rescue analgesic utilization, cumulative anesthetic drug administration, quality of life, and adverse events.

2.3. Data sources and search

The following electronic databases will be systematically retrieved from inception to June 1 in Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, Scopus, CNKI, and VIP database. We will also carry out manual head-searching of reference lists and conference proceedings to avoid missing potential articles. The search strategy will not restrict to any language and publication status. The proposed MEDLINE search strategy with details is created (Table 1). The similar search

strategy will be adapted to the other electronic databases. The search strategy will be carried out in conjunction with a research librarian who is an expert in systematic reviews. Additionally, we will carry out head-searching of reference lists and conference proceedings.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

2.4.1. Study selection. All searched citations will be managed by Endnote X7, and we will exclude all duplicates. Two authors will independently check titles and abstracts of all records, and we will remove all irrelevant ones. Then, we will read full-text of potential articles to further determine whether they fulfill all eligibility criteria. During the study selection, rationale for all excluded studies will be recorded. Any divergences will be solved by a senior author for reconciliation, and a final conclusion will be reached. A flow chart will be developed to exert the process of study selection at different stages.

2.4.2. Data collection. Two authors will independently collect data using a previously defined data collection form. Any disagreements will be solved by a third author through discussion. The collected data include descriptive information (e.g., study reference, study objective, trial design, title, first author, and geographic location), study population (e.g., diagnostic criteria, inclusion and exclusion criteria, demographic characteristics, and sample size), study methods (e.g., randomization details, blind, and concealment), intervention details (e.g., dosage, duration, and deliver methods), outcome indicators, follow-up information, study results, findings, and conflict of interest.

2.4.3. Missing data dealing with. Whenever insufficient or missing data exists, we will contact original authors to obtain that. If such data cannot be obtained, we will carry out data analysis based on the available data collected from included trials.

2.4.4. Risk of bias assessment. Two authors will independently examine risk of bias using Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool.^[21] It covers 7 aspects, and each one is divided into 3 levels: low risk of bias, unclear risk of bias, and high risk of bias. Any confusion will be cleared up by a third author through discussion.

2.4.5. Subgroup analysis. Subgroup analysis will be carried out to check the possible sources that may cause significant heterogeneity according to the different study information, participant characteristics, details of intervention and control, and study quality.

2.4.6. Sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis will be performed to test the robustness of study findings by removing low quality studies.

2.4.7. Reporting bias. Reporting bias will be undertaken using funnel plot and Egger regression test when over 10 eligible trials are included.^[22,23]

2.5. Data synthesis

We will carry out RevMan V.5.3 software (Cochrane Community, London, UK) using statistical analysis. All continuous data will be estimated as mean difference (MD) or standardized MD with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All dichotomous data will be estimated as risk ratio with 95% CIs. Statistical heterogeneity

Table 1
Search strategy for MEDLINE.

Number	Search terms
1	osteosarcoma
2	osteogenic sarcoma
3	bone cancer
4	immature bone
5	bone pain
6	cancer pain
7	children
8	young adult
9	pediatric
10	Or/1–9
11	neuromuscular electrical stimulation
12	electrical stimulation
13	electroacupuncture
14	NMES
15	electrical impulses
16	Or/11–15
17	randomized controlled trial
18	controlled trial
19	clinical trial
20	randomly
21	random
22	blind
23	concealment
24	allocation
25	trial
26	study
27	Or/17–26
28	10 and 16 and 27

NMES = neuromuscular electrical stimulation.

will be examined using I^2 statistics. It is defined as follows: $I^2 \leq 50\%$ exerts acceptable heterogeneity, and we will use a fixed-effect model. $I^2 > 50\%$ means significant heterogeneity, and we will utilize a random-effect model. Meta-analysis will be conducted when the eligible trials are sufficiently homogenous in terms of study design, patient characteristics, details of interventions and controls, and outcome indicators. If meta-analysis is inappropriate, we will report study results by descriptive analysis.

2.5.1. Quality of evidence. Two authors will examine quality of evidence for each outcome using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.^[24] Any conflicts will be resolved by a third author through consultation.

2.6. Dissemination and ethics

The results of this study will be published through a peer-reviewed journal. This study will not obtain individual participant data, thus, no ethic approval is required.

3. Discussion

Osteosarcoma is a very common cancer in pediatric population.^[1–3] It accompanies a severe CP in such patients. Previous studies suggested that NMES is utilized for CP in children with osteosarcoma. However, no systematic review investigated the effectiveness and safety of NMES for CP in children with osteosarcoma. Thus, the present systematic review will firstly explore this topic. The findings of this study will summarize high quality trials to assess the effectiveness and safety of NMES for CP in children with osteosarcoma, which may benefit both clinical practice and future research.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei Wei, Guan-kai Wang.

Data curation: Tian-shu Wang, Zhao-chen Tang, Wei Wei.

Formal analysis: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei-dong Song, Wei Wei, Guan-kai Wang.

Investigation: Wei Wei.

Methodology: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Zhao-chen Tang, Guan-kai Wang.

Project administration: Wei Wei.

Resources: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei-dong Song, Zhao-chen Tang, Guan-kai Wang.

Software: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei-dong Song, Zhao-chen Tang, Guan-kai Wang.

Supervision: Wei Wei.

Validation: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Zhao-chen Tang, Wei Wei, Guan-kai Wang.

Visualization: Tian-shu Wang, Wei-dong Song, Wei Wei, Guan-kai Wang.

Writing – original draft: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei-dong Song, Zhao-chen Tang, Wei Wei.

Writing – review & editing: Tian-shu Wang, Shou-feng Wang, Wei Wei, Guan-kai Wang.

References

- [1] Ottaviani G, Jaffe N. The epidemiology of osteosarcoma. *Cancer Treat Res* 2009;152:3–13.
- [2] Kumar R, Kumar M, Malhotra K, et al. Primary osteosarcoma in the elderly revisited: current concepts in diagnosis and treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2018;20:13.
- [3] Harrison DJ, Geller DS, Gill JD, et al. Current and future therapeutic approaches for osteosarcoma. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2018;18:39–50.
- [4] Klein MJ, Siegal GP. Osteosarcoma: anatomic and histologic variants. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2006;125:555–81.
- [5] Messerschmitt PJ, Garcia RM, Abdul-Karim FW, et al. Osteosarcoma. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2009;17:515–27.
- [6] Craft AW. Osteosarcoma: the European Osteosarcoma Intergroup (EOI) perspective. *Cancer Treat Res* 2009;152:263–74.
- [7] Moore DD, Luu HH. Osteosarcoma. *Cancer Treat Res* 2014;162:65–92.
- [8] Anderson ME. Update on survival in osteosarcoma. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2016;47:283–92.
- [9] Meazza C, Scanagatta P. Metastatic osteosarcoma: a challenging multidisciplinary treatment. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2016;16:543–56.
- [10] Stiller CA, Desandes E, Danon SE, et al. Cancer incidence and survival in European adolescents (1978–1997)-report from the automated childhood cancer information system project. *Eur J Cancer* 2006;42:2006–18.
- [11] Nie Z, Peng H. Osteosarcoma in patients below 25 years of age-an observational study of incidence, metastasis, treatment and outcomes. *Oncol Lett* 2018;16:6502–14.
- [12] de Azevedo JWV, de Medeiros Fernandes TAA, Fernandes Jr JV, et al. Biology and pathogenesis of human osteosarcoma. *Oncol Lett* 2020;19:1099–116.
- [13] Picci P. Osteosarcoma (osteogenic sarcoma). *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2007;2:6.
- [14] Asnafi AA, Behzad MM, Ghanavat M, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in osteosarcoma: pathogenic effect and prognostic significance. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2019;106:63–77.
- [15] Hameed M, Mandelker D. Tumor syndromes predisposing to osteosarcoma. *Adv Anat Pathol* 2018;25:217–22.
- [16] Naegele RJ, Lipari J, Chakkalakal D, et al. Electric field stimulation of human osteosarcoma-derived cells: a dose-response study. *Cancer Biochem Biophys* 1991;12:95–101.
- [17] Smeester BA, Al-Gizawiy M, O'Brien EE, et al. The effect of electroacupuncture on osteosarcoma tumor growth and metastasis: analysis of different treatment regimens. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2013;2013:387169.
- [18] He C, Tang QX, Li YX, et al. Effectiveness of electroacupuncture for pain after osteosarcoma post surgery: a study protocol of systematic review of randomized controlled trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e17381.
- [19] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 2015;349:g7647.
- [20] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1.
- [21] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2011;343:d5928.
- [22] Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, et al. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2000;320:1574–7.
- [23] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629–34.
- [24] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924–6.