

Bacterial Burden Declines But Neutrophil Infiltration and Ocular Tissue Damage Persist in Experimental *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Endophthalmitis

Susmita Das¹, Sukhvinder Singh¹ and Ashok Kumar^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences/Kresge Eye Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, United States, ² Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology, and Immunology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tomasz Prajsnar, Jagiellonian University, Poland

Reviewed by:

Gareth McVicker, Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom Md Huzzatul Mursalin, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, United States

> *Correspondence: Ashok Kumar akuma@med.wayne.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbes and Innate Immunity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

Received: 21 September 2021 Accepted: 25 October 2021 Published: 17 November 2021

Citation:

Das S, Singh S and Kumar A (2021) Bacterial Burden Declines But Neutrophil Infiltration and Ocular Tissue Damage Persist in Experimental Staphylococcus epidermidis Endophthalmitis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11:780648. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.780648 Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), including Staphylococcus (S) epidermidis, are responsible for ~70% of all post-surgical endophthalmitis, a potentially blinding eye infection. However, the pathobiology of CoNS endophthalmitis is limited to epidemiological and clinical case studies with few experimental studies. Here, we report both in vitro and in vivo models to study the pathobiology of S. epidermidis endophthalmitis in mice. We found that S. epidermidis is rapidly cleared from mouse eyes, and a relatively higher dose (i.e., 10⁷ CFU/eye) was needed to cause endophthalmitis. Our time-course study revealed that bacterial load peaked at 24 h postinfection followed by a gradual decline up to 72 h. A similar time-dependent decrease in levels of inflammatory mediators and Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression was also observed. In contrast, neutrophil infiltration continued to increase up to 72 h coinciding with significant retinal tissue damage and loss of visual function. In vitro, S. epidermidis induced the activation of various inflammatory signaling pathways (i.e., NFkB, ERK, and P38) and the production of both cytokines and chemokines in mouse BMDMs, human RPE, and retinal Muller glia. Altogether, we show that bacterial burden is reduced in S. epidermidis endophthalmitis, while tissue damage and visual function loss continue. Thus, our study provides new insights into the pathogenesis of CoNS endophthalmitis.

Keywords: eye, retina, endophthalmitis, Staphylococcus epidermidis, innate immunity, inflammation

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus (S) epidermidis is a Gram-positive bacteria, which commonly present on the skin as a part of its normal flora (Otto, 2009; Brown and Horswill, 2020). However, along with other coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), it remains a leading cause of nosocomial infections, in part, due to its inherent ability to acquire antibiotic resistance (May et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2018).

1

Earlier considered to be an innocuous microbe, *S. epidermidis* is now studied as an opportunistic pathogen and the cause behind multiple diseases, including life-threatening antibiotic-resistant infections (Otto, 2009; Cui et al., 2019). In the eye, *S. epidermidis* and other coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) have been reported to cause conjunctivitis, blepharitis, corneal ulcers, and endophthalmitis (Flores-Páez et al., 2015). In addition, CoNS are frequently recovered from aqueous and vitreous samples in postsurgical complications (Johnson et al., 1997; Priya et al., 2014; Teweldemedhin et al., 2017; Romanowski et al., 2021). One of the key virulence properties of *S. epidermidis* in causing ocular infections is attributed to its ability to create biofilms on intraocular and soft contact lenses (Faghri and Razavi, 2009; Konduri et al., 2021) as well as ocular prostheses (Moreno et al., 2020).

The severity of eye infections due to CoNS increases when the bacteria gain access to intraocular tissue, such as during endophthalmitis, a dreaded complication arising from postoperative or traumatic injuries, which can lead to vision loss (Giese and Mondino, 2001; Miller et al., 2019). The most common form of endophthalmitis is exogenous, which occurs when microbial organisms on/around the ocular surface, or from any external source, get inside the eye (Miller et al., 2019). However, microbes can enter the eye via hematogenous spread resulting in endogenous endophthalmitis (Gregory et al., 2007). Several bacterial and fungal pathogens have been reported to cause endophthalmitis; Staphylococcus species, particularly CoNS, such as S. epidermidis, accounts for almost 70% of all exogenous bacterial endophthalmitis (Smith et al., 1986; Kumar et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2019). On the skin, S. epidermidis not only is considered harmless but also exerts beneficiary roles in protecting the skin from infections by boosting innate immunity and outcompeting pathogenic bacteria (Naik et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2017). Similarly, S. epidermidis colonizing the healthy conjunctiva have been reported to have distinctive genotypic and phenotypic characteristics as compared to those causing ocular infections (Flores-Páez et al., 2015). However, S. epidermidis dwelling on conjunctiva and skin surrounding the eye can easily contaminate the medical devices used during ocular surgeries, allowing entry inside the eye (O'Day et al., 1982; Bode et al., 1985; Uçkay et al., 2009).

Despite the higher incidence of endophthalmitis due to *S. epidermidis* and other CoNS (Callegan et al., 2002; Lalitha et al., 2017; Malmin et al., 2021), our current understanding of the pathobiology and host–pathogen interactions during *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis is limited to a few previous studies in rat and rabbit models (Scorza and Berni, 1966; Pleyer et al., 1992; Ravindranath et al., 1997; Oguz et al., 2004; Kim and Kim, 2011). Moreover, most of these experimental models were utilized to test the therapeutic efficacy of antibiotics. Studies are lacking to examine the disease process, i.e., the initiation, progression, and eventual termination of the host's innate immune responses. This prompted us to carry out the current study with two main objectives: 1) to develop a mouse model of *S. epidermidis* with innate immune and retinal residential cells.

RESULTS

S. epidermidis Induces Endophthalmitis in B6 Mice at a Higher Infectious Dose

Because different pathogens cause experimental endophthalmitis at certain infectious doses (Gupta et al., 2019; Francis et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Livingston et al., 2021), we performed a doseresponse study beginning with an intravitreal injection of 5,000 cfu of S. epidermidis, a dose required for S. aureus endophthalmitis (Singh et al., 2021). However, this inoculum was rapidly cleared from the mouse eyes resulting in transient inflammation (data not shown). Afterward, eyes were challenged with three higher doses $(10^5, 10^6, \text{ and } 10^7 \text{ cfu})$ of *S. epidermidis*. Our data showed a dosedependent increase in corneal haze, anterior chamber opacity, and hypopyon formation at 24 h post-infection (Figure 1A). The ERG analysis revealed that all S. epidermidis infected mouse eyes have reduced amplitudes of a and b waves, indicating significant loss of retinal function in comparison to uninfected control eyes (Figures 1B, C). Eye challenged with 10⁷ cfu retained the least ERG response with flat wavefront. As expected, PBS injected control eyes did not show any bacteria growth, whereas the intraocular bacterial burden correlated with an infectious dose, i.e., the higher the injected inoculum, the more bacteria recovered from the eye (Figure 1D). Interestingly, we noticed that out of six eves, three eves in 10^5 cfu, two eves in 10^6 cfu, and one eve in 10^7 cfu groups had lower bacterial burden than the injected inoculum. The assessment of inflammatory mediators showed that S. epidermidis induced the production of inflammatory cytokines (IL1- β , IL-6, TNF- α) and chemokines (CXCL-1 and CXCL-2) in mouse eyes (Figure 1E). However, significant elevation was detected in eyes infected with 10⁷ cfu followed by 10⁶ cfu but not by 10^5 cfu. These results indicate that S. epidermidis dose is a key determinant in the pathogenesis of endophthalmitis.

S. epidermidis Infected Eyes Exhibit Pathology and Impaired Retinal Function

Our dose-response study revealed that 10^7 cfu of *S. epidermidis* causes a significant decline in retinal function and induces persistent inflammation in mouse eyes. Therefore, we decided to use a dose of 10⁷ cfu/eye to determine temporal changes during S. epidermidis endophthalmitis via assessment of the disease progression up to 72 h. Our data showed that infected eyes had visible opacity and corneal haze at 24 h, which subsequently reduced at 48- and 72-h time points (Figure 2A). In contrast, the histological analysis revealed time-dependent retinal tissue damage, with increased retinal folds and heavy cellular infiltrates in the vitreous cavity (Figure 2B). This coincided with a significant reduction in retinal function assessed by ERG analysis (Figure 2C). The amplitudes of both *a* and *b* waves were significantly decreased at all time points, i.e., 24, 48, and 72 h post-infection (Figure 2D). Relatively, the b wave amplitudes were lower than *a* wave, indicating impairment of photoreceptor functions. Interestingly, bacterial burden estimation revealed a time-dependent decrease in the viable bacterial count, indicating enhanced bacterial clearance (Figure 2E). To ensure whether the observed reduction in



bacterial burden is not due to *S. epidermidis* growth defect, we performed an *ex vivo* growth curve using human vitreous. Our data showed that similar to enriched bacterial culture media, *S. epidermidis* exhibited classical log and lag phases of bacterial growth in the vitreous, indicating the unlikelihood of defective bacterial growth (**Figure S1**).

S. epidermidis Induces Inflammatory Responses and PMN Infiltration in the Eye

One of the hallmarks of bacterial infection is the induction of inflammatory response (Kumar et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2020; Francis et al., 2020). To determine innate immune response during S. epidermidis endophthalmitis, we assessed the expression of key inflammatory mediators in infected eyes. Our data showed that S. epidermidis induced the expressions of inflammatory cytokines (IL1- β , IL-6, and TNF- α) as well as chemokines (CXCL1 and CXCL2), at both mRNA (Figure 3A) and protein (Figure 3B) levels. The time-course study revealed that inflammation peaked at 24 h post-infection followed by a significant decline at 48- and 72-h time points. We also noticed that while inflammatory cytokines were drastically reduced at 48 and 72 h, protein levels of the chemokines CXCL1 and CXCL2 were still higher at these time points. Since chemokines play a key role in the recruitment of immune cells, we performed flow cytometry to measure neutrophil infiltration during S. epidermidis endophthalmitis. Our data showed a gradual, timedependent increase in PMN infiltration in *S. epidermidis* infected eyes (**Figure 4A**), as evidenced by increased percentages of CD11b⁺ Ly6G⁺ at all time points (**Figure 4B**). Together, these results indicate the induction of an innate inflammatory response in *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis.

S. epidermidis Induces TLR Expression and Activation of Inflammatory Signaling

Upon pathogen invasion, host cells engage TLRs for pathogen recognition and to initiate innate immune responses (Pandey et al., 2013). As our prior studies have implicated the essential role of TLRs in retinal innate defense during endophthalmitis (Kumar et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2014; Talreja et al., 2015), we evaluated their expression in this study. Our data showed that S. epidermidis induced the expression of Tlr2, Tlr4, Tlr6, and Tlr9, the main TLRs involved in bacterial recognition. Time-course analysis revealed the highest expression of all TLRs at 24 h postinfection with a subsequent decline at 48 and 72 h (Figure 5). After pathogen recognition, TLRs activate an inflammatory signaling cascade involving NF-kB and MAPKs (e.g., ERK, P38) resulting in the production of inflammatory mediators. S. epidermidis infection resulted in I κ B- α phosphorylation, which was detectable and peaked in mouse retinal lysate at 24 h, followed by a slow decline at 48 h. Accompanying the increase in IKB- α phosphorylation, IKB- α degradation was more prominent at 24 h. A similar time-dependent increase was



(****) p<0.0001; ns, nonsignificant.

observed in the phosphorylation of P38 and ERK in retinal tissue (**Figure 6A**). We also assessed the activation of these pathways in retinal Muller glia and RPE, which were shown to play roles in retinal innate defense during intraocular infection (Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014; Singh et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2017). Our data showed that *S. epidermidis* induced the activation of NF-kB, P38, and ERK signaling in Muller glia (**Figure 6B**) and RPE (**Figure 6C**) as evidenced by increased phosphorylation at both 30- and 90-min time points. Altogether, these results indicate that both retina and retinal cells directly respond to *S. epidermidis* and activate TLR-mediated inflammatory signaling pathways.

S. epidermidis Induces the Production of Inflammatory Mediators in Retinal Cells

Next, we assessed the biological relevance of the induced signaling pathways; we determined the effect of *S. epidermidis* on the expression and secretion of inflammatory cytokines. We observed that human Muller glia challenged with *S. epidermidis* had increased expression of IL-1 β and TNF- α both at the mRNA (**Figure 7A**) and protein (**Figure 7B**) levels. Moreover, the response was found to be time-dependent with a relative increase at the 6-h time point. Human RPE cells also exhibited a similar pattern of IL-1 β and TNF- α mRNA (**Figure 7C**) and protein (**Figure 7D**) expression in

response to *S. epidermidis* infection. PMNs and monocytes/ macrophages are also major infiltrating innate immune cells during ocular infections (Singh et al., 2021); therefore, we decided to assess the inflammatory response of mouse BMDMs. To this end, our data showed that *S. epidermidis* induced robust *Il-6* and *Cxcl1* mRNA (**Figure 7E**) and protein expressions (**Figure 7F**) in cultured BMDMs. The induced expression of other inflammatory cytokines such as *Il-1β* and *Tnf-α* both at mRNA and proteins was also detected in BMDMs (**Figure S2**). It should be noted that there was a differential response based on cell types, with BMDMs expressing much higher levels of inflammatory mediators compared to Muller glia and RPE. These results suggest that retinal cells possess the ability to respond to *S. epidermidis* infection by secreting inflammatory mediators.

DISCUSSION

S. epidermidis and other coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* (CoNS) are the most commonly recovered bacterial species from endophthalmitis patients (Miller et al., 2019; Malmin et al., 2021). They are also key constituents of the human microflora and usually colonizing moist areas, thereby considered as



p<0.0001; ns, nonsignificant.

opportunistic pathogens (Kloos and Musselwhite, 1975; Grice et al., 2009). Today, CoNS represent one of the major nosocomial pathogens impacting human health (Rogers et al., 2009; Becker et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2017). In the eye, *S. epidermidis* colonizes the conjunctiva (Schleifer and Kloos, 1975). Therefore, during ocular surgeries, *S. epidermidis* can enter inside the eye through contaminated surgical devices and result in endophthalmitis (Lowy, 1998; Durand, 2013). Although most clinical studies have reported *S. epidermidis* to cause less severe endophthalmitis as compared to *S. aureus* (Pichi et al., 2014), few experimental studies have been conducted to understand its pathobiology (Pleyer et al., 1992; Ravindranath et al., 1995; Ravindranath et al., 1997). Because the eye is an immune privilege organ, it could provide a conducive milieu for opportunistic pathogens. Moreover, the treatment of CoNS infections is becoming more challenging due to the emergence of multidrug resistance among large proportions of ocular isolates (Asbell et al., 2015; Asbell et al., 2020). Therefore, it is crucial to understand the host–pathogen interactions during ocular CoNS infections. In this study, using *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental models, we provide mechanistic insights into the pathogenesis of *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis (**Figure 8**). Most importantly, our study shows that while the bacterial burden gradually declines in the infected eye, neutrophil infiltration and retinal tissue damage continue until 72 h, resulting in significant vision loss. Collectively, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to elucidate the innate immune responses and pathobiology of *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis in a murine model.







FIGURE 5 | *S. epidermidis* induces Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression in mouse retina. C57BL/6 mice eyes (n = 4 eyes each time point) were infected with *S. epidermidis* (10⁷ cfu/eye) by intravitreal injections, and the retina tissue was harvested at the indicated time points. Eyes with PBS injection harvested at 72 h p.i. were used as control. Infected and control (C) retinal tissues were used for RNA isolation and subjected to qPCR for various Toll-like receptors (*Tlr* 2, 4, 6, 9). The data are presented as fold changes in comparison with uninfected controls. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test, (*) p<0.01 (****) p<0.0001.

In healthy individuals, S. epidermidis is considered a low virulence pathogen as compared to S. aureus (Pichi et al., 2014). However, in immunocompromised individuals, it possesses the greatest pathogenic potential among CoNS (Giese and Mondino, 2001; Paharik and Horswill, 2016). Although S. epidermidis pathogenesis has been extensively studied in the context of foreign body infections (Uckay et al., 2009), specifically the biofilm formation, little is known about its virulence factors responsible for causing ocular infections. Thus, to establish endophthalmitis, we performed a dose-response study by intravitreal injections of S. epidermidis. We found that bacterial inoculum in the range of 10^5 to 10^7 cfu/eve induced an inflammatory response and opacity within 24 h with 10⁷ cfu/eye dose causing increased disease severity. This observation indicates that unlike S. aureus, which induces endophthalmitis at 5,000 cfu/ eye (Talreja et al., 2015), S. epidermidis needed a much higher inoculum. This is also consistent with prior studies in rat and rabbit models, where authors reported that a certain threshold of inoculum was needed to overcome immune responses and for persistent infection (Pleyer et al., 1992; Maxwell et al., 1993; Ravindranath et al., 1995). However, when we performed a time-dependent study using 10⁷ cfu/eye dose of S. epidermidis, we found that bacterial burden was only slightly increased at 24-h time followed by a rapid decline at later time points. In our prior studies with S. aureus, we showed that bacteria continue to grow inside the eye from 24 to 72 h (Shamsuddin and Kumar, 2011;

Kumar et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2021); therefore, we used the same timeline. However, future studies, with the early time points (6 and 12 h) might be helpful to dissect intraocular proliferation of *S. epidermidis*. In *ex vivo*, we found that *S. epidermidis* grew in the human vitreous up to 24 h; however, the growth rate was lower than enriched bacterial growth media. The observed differences are likely due to lesser availability of nutrients in the vitreous rather than defect in bacteria growth.

The gross eye exam revealed the clearance of anterior chamber opacity at 48 and 72 h, indicating a recovery. These results suggest that mouse eye/vitreous can kill *S. epidermidis*, a phenomenon known as spontaneous sterilization discovered by Meredith et al. in a rabbit model (Meredith et al., 1990). However, our use of a mouse model provides the added advantage of versatility, which is needed to properly study the pathogenesis of *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis *via* the wide availability of genetic and immunological tools. Similar to our prior study in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis (Singh et al., 2020), we did not observe any significant difference in the pathogenesis of *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis in male *versus* female mice, indicating the applicability of our findings across genders.

Once inside the vitreous, retinal cells recognize pathogens *via* pattern recognition receptors such as TLRs (Singh and Kumar, 2015) and elicit inflammatory signaling pathways (Kumar and Shamsuddin, 2012; Pandey et al., 2013). Our data show that intravitreal inoculation of *S. epidermidis* initiated retinal innate



FIGURE 6 | *S. epidermidis* induces inflammatory signaling in mouse retina and cultured human retinal cells. Retinal tissues were harvested from *S. epidermidis* (10⁷ cfu/eye) infected eyes (n = 6 eyes, 2 eyes were pooled into one sample) at the indicated time points. **(A)** The activations of inflammatory signaling proteins were assessed by western blot using specific anti-I_KB- α (phospho- and total), anti-ERK (phospho- and total), and anti-P38 (phospho- and total) antibodies, with β -actin as a loading control. In another experiment, cultured human retinal Muller glial cells **(B)** (MIO-M1 cell line) and retinal pigment epithelial cells **(C)** (ARPE-19 cell line) were infected with *S. epidermidis* (MOI of 10) for 30 and 90 min. Cell lysates were probed for indicated phospho- and total proteins. In all experiments, band intensities were quantified by using the Image Studio software and presented as the relative band intensity of phospho/total vs. β -actin. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test **(A-C)** (*) p<0.05 (**) p<0.01; ns, nonsignificant.



FIGURE 7 | S. epidermidis induces inflammatory responses in cultured mouse BMDMs and human retinal cells. (**A**, **B**) Human retinal Muller glial cells (MIO-M1 cell line) (**C**, **D**) human retinal pigment epithelial cells (ARPE-19 cells), and (**E**, **F**) mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were infected with S. epidermidis (MOI of 10) for 4 or 6 h. At indicated time points, cells were harvested for qPCR analysis of inflammatory cytokines/chemokines (**A**, **C**, **E**), and culture supernatants were used for ELISA to quantify their protein levels (**B**, **D**, **F**). Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test (**A**–**D**) and t-test (**E**, **F**) (*) p<0.05 (**) p<0.01 (***) p<0.001 (****) p<0.001.

immune response by inducing the expression of several TLRs, followed by induction of NF κ B and MAPK signaling with increased phosphorylation of I κ B α , ERK, and P38 proteins in mouse retinal tissue. Because the retina is a complex tissue, to determine the contribution of retinal cell types in innate response to *S. epidermidis, in vitro* studies were performed using retinal Muller glia and RPE, representing retinal

residential cells (Strauss, 2005; Kumar et al., 2013; Linehan and Fitzgerald, 2015). We found that both Muller glia and RPE exhibited the activation of these signaling pathways within 30 min of *S. epidermidis* exposure, indicating their role in orchestrating an innate response during endophthalmitis. We previously reported that retinal cells respond to bacterial virulence factors *via* TLRs (Shamsuddin and Kumar, 2011;





Kumar and Shamsuddin, 2012; Kumar et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2014). Moreover, Muller glia cells were found to participate in clearance of *S. aureus* by secreting antimicrobial peptides (Shamsuddin and Kumar, 2011) and the production of both ROS and NO, and by phagocytosis (Singh et al., 2014). Hence, we speculate similar antimicrobial mechanisms exhibited by Muller glia during *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis.

The eye is protected from systemic circulation due to the presence of blood-retinal barrier (BRB), which is essential in maintaining visual function. It is composed of two major cell types: the endothelial cell, which constitutes inner BRB, and a single layer of RPE cells forming the outer barriers (Singh et al., 2019). Both these cells play a critical role in controlling the infiltration of immune cells during retinal infection, including endophthalmitis (Coburn et al., 2016). Because of their intimate contact with choroid and other retinal cell types (e.g., photoreceptors), RPE senses the presence of pathogenic stimuli coming from both choroid as well as from vitreous. Thus, RPE plays a pivotal role in eliciting innate immune responses via activation of microbial sensors (TLRs, NOD-like receptors, NLR), cytokine/chemokine production, and an array of complement components to combat retinal infections (Detrick and Hooks, 2010). Inside the retina, the activated RPE cells interact with microglia, thereby acting as an inflammatory signal to upregulate microglial cells to secrete cytokines (Kumar et al., 2004). Furthermore, RPE cells constitutively express AMPs, and its expression is regulated during pathogen insults to protect the blood-retinal barrier (Liu et al., 2021). Studies have also shown that iNOS triggered by secreted cytokines in RPE against Staphylococcus infections mediates anti-inflammatory effects that, in turn, prevent IDO-1-dependent tissue damage (Spekker-Bosker et al., 2019). Our data show the activation of inflammatory signaling and the production of inflammatory mediators by RPE in response to S. epidermidis infection indicate their role in the activation of an innate immune response.

Neutrophils are the primary infiltrating cells of the vitreous during endophthalmitis (Miller et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2021). Although neutrophil deficiency reduces ocular inflammation, it comes with the price of increased bacterial proliferation in the eye (Talreja et al., 2014; Talreja et al., 2015). Thus, a fine balance is needed to have sufficient PMN infiltration to kill pathogens without causing collateral ocular tissue damage. Our data show that S. epidermidis burden is decreased in infected eyes as time progresses coinciding with increased PMN infiltration. Thus, PMNs are likely to be responsible for enhanced bacterial clearance of S. epidermidis in later stages of infection. As neutrophils are the first responder during infection, we found that their depletion exacerbates bacterial and fungal endophthalmitis (Talreja et al., 2014; Gupta et al., 2019), indicating an indispensable role. We anticipate that in neutropenic mice, S. epidermidis might grow more; however, whether inflammation or disease outcome be better or worse needs further investigation.

Our *in vitro* study using *S. epidermidis* infected mouse BMDMs also showed secreted inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Thus, both PMNs and monocyte/macrophages, while eradicating bacteria, contribute to ocular inflammation. The influx of these phagocytic cells into the eye is facilitated due to BRB breakdown and the production of inflammatory mediators in endophthalmitis. We found that the levels of IL-6, TNF- α , and IL-1 β cytokines peaked at 24 h followed by a steep decline. However, the decrease in protein levels of chemokines, CXCL1, and CXCL2 was more gradual. We believe that these chemokines might be responsible for continued infiltration of PMNs. In addition to chemokines, induced expression of Eselectin and ICAM-1 cells has been implicated in the infiltration of PMNs during endophthalmitis (Giese et al., 2000). The expression of these adhesion molecules is predominantly observed on endothelial cells in the iris, ciliary body, and choroid, indicating that these are the main sites for cellular infiltration into the eye in endophthalmitis. We observed that while bacterial burden and inflammatory cytokines subsided in S. epidermidis endophthalmitis, histological analysis revealed significant damage to the retina culminating in a reduced ERG response. These findings have clinical implications in endophthalmitis caused by typically low virulence pathogen because the clarity of vitreous is often used as an endpoint in laboratory diagnosis to terminate antimicrobial therapy. However, our study indicates that inflammation persists despite declining bacterial numbers. Similarly, in bacterial meningitis, antibiotic treatment has been shown to increase inflammation and tissue destruction due to the release of bacterial cell wall components (Quagliarello and Scheld, 1992). Thus, we propose to use adjunct anti-inflammatory therapeutics with antibiotics for the treatment of bacterial endophthalmitis (Francis et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2021).

In conclusion, our findings suggest that although *S. epidermidis* was able to establish endophthalmitis in mice at a relatively higher inoculum, it elicited significant inflammation and mediated retinal tissue damage in the eye. Further studies are needed to determine precise mechanisms underlying increased PMN infiltration to better understand the pathobiology of *S. epidermidis* endophthalmitis, which would aid in the development of therapeutic modalities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial Strain and Growth Conditions

The bacterial strain used in this study is a coagulase-negative strain, *S. epidermidis*, identifiable as ATCC12228. The strain was routinely cultured in tryptic soy medium (TSA or TSB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 37°C for all the experiments. For *in vitro* and *in vivo* infection experiments, overnight-grown bacteria were rinsed and diluted in 1X PBS to achieve the desired colony forming units (cfu). For *S. epidermidis* growth curve analysis, 180 μ l of homogenized human vitreous, Muller Hinton broth (MHB), or TSB was added to each well of a microtiter plate and 20 μ l of bacterial suspension (5x10⁴ cfu/ml). After 6, 12, 24, and 48 h from each well, 20- μ l aliquots were obtained and serially diluted to determine the bacterial count on tryptic soy agar (TSA).

Retinal Cell Culture and Maintenance

The human retinal pigment epithelial cell line ARPE-19 was maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM F-12), whereas the human retinal Muller glia cell line MIO-M1 was cultured in DMEM GlutaMAX, both with supplementation of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotic solution at 37°C in 5% CO₂. However, prior to infection, the cells were cultured in serum and antibiotic-free media and infected with *S. epidermidis* (MOI, 10:1) for various time points. The cells were used for extraction of RNA or proteins, whereas cell-free culture media were collected for cytokine ELISA.

Isolation of Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages

The isolation of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) was done from C57BL/6 mice as described earlier (Singh et al., 2021). Briefly, 6-8 weeks old mice were euthanized, and bone marrows were extracted from their tibias and femurs with RPMI-1640 media containing 10% FBS and 0.2 mM EDTA, maintaining an aseptic condition inside a BSL-2 cabinet. Cells were centrifuged at 400 x g for 5 min at 4°C, followed by the lysis of RBCs in differential NaCl solutions. The cells were then rinsed with the media and transferred to a Petri dish containing an RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% antibiotic, and 10 ng/ml macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) to allow macrophage differentiation. Cells were maintained in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C. After 6 days post isolation, BMDMs were seeded in six-well plates and challenged with S. epidermidis (MOI, 10:1). The cells were used for the extraction of RNA or proteins, whereas culture media were collected for cytokine ELISA.

Cytokine ELISA

After infection, the culture supernatants from *in vitro* experiments were collected, and the levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF α , CXCL1, and CXCL2 were determined by ELISA using commercially available kits as described previously (Talreja et al., 2015). ELISA was performed as per the manufacturer's instructions (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN). For *in vivo* cytokine estimation, the whole eyes were enucleated, homogenized in 1X PBS by beating against stainless-steel beads in a Tissue lyser (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and centrifuged, and the lysates were subjected to ELISA as mentioned above. It is pertinent to note that, before performing ELISA, protein estimation was done using the BCA method to ensure that equal protein concentrations were used for each sample.

RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis, and qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells or mouse retina using a TRIzol reagent as per the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Next, cDNA was synthesized using 1 μ g of the isolated RNA using a Maxima first-strand cDNA synthesis kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). The cDNA was then subjected to qRT-PCR on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using gene-specific PCR primers synthesized from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA) with a PCR condition of initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 45 s), annealing (60°C, 1 min), and extension (72°C, 45 s), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The data were analyzed as a comparative $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method and were presented corresponding to the fold-change differences in gene expression in test samples with respect to control.

Animal Housing and Use

Both male and female C57BL/6 (B6) mice (age, 6–8 weeks) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and were housed in a restricted access DLAR facility at the Kresge Eye Institute, maintained in a 12:12 light/dark cycle, and fed with LabDiet rodent chow (Labdiet; Pico Laboratory, St. Louis, MO, USA) and water *ad libitum*. Both male and female mice, around 8 weeks of age, were used. Mice were treated in compliance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Wayne State University under protocol # IACUC-19-03-1012.

Patient Vitreous Collection

Human vitreous samples were collected under sterile conditions from patients undergoing vitrectomy and had signed a preoperative informed consent to use the excised vitreous fluid for basic and clinical research. The protocol and study design were approved by the Wayne State University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. Collected samples were stored in -80°C until further use.

Induction of Bacterial Endophthalmitis

Bacterial endophthalmitis was induced in B6 mice by intravitreal injection with specified doses of S. epidermidis. As per our IACUC approved protocol, only the eye of each mouse can be injected with either sterile PBS (serving as control) or bacteria. To obtain a 10⁷ inoculum, we concentrated 10 ml of bacterial culture equivalent to 1 O.D (~108 cfu/ml) by pelleting and resuspending in 200 µl of PBS. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine, and intravitreal injections of PBS or bacteria (2-µl volume) were performed using a 34-gauge needle under a microscope. This procedure is routinely performed in the lab and reported in our several studies (Kumar et al., 2010; Talreja et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2021). Disease progression was monitored using slit-lamp examination and testing retinal function using electroretinogram (ERG). Following the desired time point post-infection, enucleated eyes were subjected to bacterial burden estimation, cytokine/chemokine ELISA, polymorphonuclear neutrophil (PMN) infiltration, and histopathology, as described in the following sections.

Bacterial Burden Estimation

Bacterial densities in infected eyes of WT mice were assessed using the standard serial dilution and the bacterial plate count method. At the indicated time points, the eyes were enucleated and homogenized in sterile 1X PBS in a tissue lyser (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), followed by serial dilution and plating on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates. Results were expressed as mean \pm SD number of colony-forming units (cfu)/eye.

PMN Infiltration

Flow cytometry was performed to estimate the infiltration of neutrophils in infected eyes as described earlier (Talreja et al., 2015). In brief, the retinas from euthanized mice were isolated and digested with Accumax (Millipore) for 10 min at 37°C, with intermittent mixing using a 22-gauge needle and a syringe. Next, to obtain a single-cell suspension, the lysate was filtered through a 40-µm cell strainer (BD Falcon, San Jose, CA, USA). The cells were then incubated with Fc Block (BD Biosciences) for 30 min, followed by three times washing with PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA). For staining the cells, phycoerythrin (PE)-Cy5-conjugated CD45, Ly6G-FITC, and CD11b-APC antibodies (BD Biosciences) were used to incubate the cells for 30 min in the dark. Following incubation, cells were washed and suspended in sheath fluid. The stained cells were acquired on the Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) at the NEI P30 immunology core at the Kresge Eye Institute. Data were analyzed using the manufacturer's software.

Retinal Function Testing

Scotopic electroretinography (ERG) was done to evaluate retinal function in *S. epidermidis* induced endophthalmitis as described previously (Francis et al., 2020). Briefly, following overnight dark adaptation, ERGs were recorded in control and infected mice eyes using the Celeris ERG system (Diagnosis LLC, Lowell, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The ERG awave was measured as an amplitude between the ERG baseline and the first negative peak, and the ERG b-wave was measured as an amplitude between the first positive peak. Data were analyzed with respect to placebo control eyes.

Ocular Histology

Mice were euthanized and eyes were enucleated, fixed in 4% formalin for histopathological analysis. The embedding, sectioning, and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of the tissues were done by Excalibur Pathology, Inc. (Oklahoma City, OK, USA). The slides were further scanned under the PathScan Enabler IV (Meyer Instruments, Inc., Houston, TX, USA) to obtain images.

Western Blotting

Following infection, proteins were extracted from cultured cells after being washed with 1X PBS and lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails. Retinal tissues from two eyes were pooled in RIPA buffer and sonicated, and lysates were obtained after centrifugation. Total protein concentration was detected using a Micro BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific,

Rockford, IL). For western blot, the samples were run on SDS polyacrylamide gels and electrotransferred to 0.45-µm nitrocellulose membranes using a wet blot transfer. The membranes were then treated with 5% skim milk in TBST (20 mM Tris HCl [pH 7.6], 0.15 M sodium chloride, and 0.5% Tween 20) for 1 h at RT and further incubated with respective primary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, USA or Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) as per the manufacturer's protocol for overnight on a rocker at 4°C. After washing thrice with TBST, the membranes were further treated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated appropriate secondary antibodies (antimouse or anti-rabbit Ig) for 2 h. Following three TBST washes, the blots were developed with a Super Signal West Femto chemiluminescent substrate kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). To generate quantitative data, immunodetected protein band intensities were measured using the Image Studio software (LI-COR Biosciences, NE, USA).

Statistical Analysis

All the assays were performed independently three times in biological triplicates, and graphs were plotted showing mean \pm standard deviation. The data were analyzed using either Student's t-tests or ANOVA with the help of GraphPad Prism version 8.1 (Graph Pad, CA, USA). A confidence interval of 95% was maintained for all experimental values. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Wayne State University under protocol # IACUC-19-03-1012.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SD, SS, and AK conceived the project and designed the experiments. SD and SS performed experiments and analyzed the data. AK contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. SD and AK wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by NIH grants R01EY026964 and R01EY027381. Our research is also supported in part by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness (RPB) to

the Kresge Eye Institute/Department of Ophthalmology, Visual, and Anatomical Sciences. The immunology core is supported by an NEI center grant P30EY004068.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Robert Wright for the critical editing of the final manuscript. The funders had no role in study

REFERENCES

- Asbell, P. A., Sanfilippo, C. M., Pillar, C. M., DeCory, H. H., Sahm, D. F., and Morris, T. W. (2015). Antibiotic Resistance Among Ocular Pathogens in the United States: Five-Year Results From the Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring in Ocular Microorganisms (ARMOR) Surveillance Study. JAMA Ophthalmol. 133, 1445–1454. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.3888
- Asbell, P. A., Sanfilippo, C. M., Sahm, D. F., and DeCory, H. H. (2020). Trends in Antibiotic Resistance Among Ocular Microorganisms in the United States From 2009 to 2018. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 138, 439–450. doi: 10.1001/ jamaophthalmol.2020.0155
- Becker, K., Heilmann, C., and Peters, G. (2014). Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 27, 870–926. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00109-13
- Bode, D. D.Jr., Gelender, H., and Forster, R. K. (1985). A Retrospective Review of Endophthalmitis Due to Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 69, 915–919. doi: 10.1136/bjo.69.12.915
- Brown, M. M., and Horswill, A. R. (2020). Staphylococcus Epidermidis-Skin Friend or Foe? *PloS Pathog.* 16, e1009026. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009026
- Callegan, M. C., Engelbert, M., Parke, D. W., BD, J., and Gilmore, M. S. (2002). Bacterial Endophthalmitis: Epidemiology, Therapeutics, and Bacterium-Host Interactions. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 111–124. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.1.111-124.2002
- Coburn, P. S., Wiskur, B. J., Miller, F. C., LaGrow, A. L., Astley, R. A., Elliott, M. H., et al. (2016). Bloodstream-To-Eye Infections Are Facilitated by Outer Blood-Retinal Barrier Dysfunction. *PloS One* 11, e0154560. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0154560
- Cui, J., Liang, Z., Mo, Z., and Zhang, J. (2019). The Species Distribution, Antimicrobial Resistance and Risk Factors for Poor Outcome of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci Bacteraemia in China. Antimicrobial Resistance Infection Control 8, 65. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0523-5
- Detrick, B., and Hooks, J. J. (2010). Immune Regulation in the Retina. *Immunol. Res.* 47, 153–161. doi: 10.1007/s12026-009-8146-1
- Durand, M. L. (2013). Endophthalmitis. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 19, 227–234. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12118
- Faghri, J., and Razavi, M. R. (2009). Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci Isolated From Ocular Wound Infections After Laser Refractive Surgery: Attachment to and Accumulation on Soft Contact Lenses. *Eye Contact Lens* 35, 81–87. doi: 10.1097/ICL.0b013e318199b044
- Flores-Páez, L. A., Zenteno, J. C., Alcántar-Curiel, M. D., Vargas-Mendoza, C. F., Rodríguez-Martínez, S., Cancino-Diaz, M. E., et al. (2015). Molecular and Phenotypic Characterization of Staphylococcus Epidermidis Isolates From Healthy Conjunctiva and a Comparative Analysis With Isolates From Ocular Infection. *PloS One* 10, e0135964. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135964
- Francis, R., Singh, P. K., Singh, S., Giri, S., and Kumar, A. (2020). Glycolytic Inhibitor 2-Deoxyglucose Suppresses Inflammatory Response in Innate Immune Cells and Experimental Staphylococcal Endophthalmitis. *Exp. Eye Res.* 197, 108079. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2020.108079
- Giese, M. J., and Mondino, B. J. (2001). Experimental Staphylococcal Endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmologica* 215, 321–336. doi: 10.1159/000050882
- Giese, M. J., Shum, D. C., Rayner, S. A., Mondino, B. J., and Berliner, J. A. (2000). Adhesion Molecule Expression in a Rat Model of Staphylococcus Aureus Endophthalmitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 41, 145–153.
- Gregory, M., Callegan, M. C., and Gilmore, M. S. (2007). Role of Bacterial and Host Factors in Infectious Endophthalmitis. *Chem. Immunol. Allergy* 92, 266– 275. doi: 10.1159/000099277

design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2021. 780648/full#supplementary-material

- Grice, E. A., Kong, H. H., Conlan, S., Deming, C. B., Davis, J., Young, A. C., et al. (2009). Topographical and Temporal Diversity of the Human Skin Microbiome. *Science* 324, 1190–1192. doi: 10.1126/science.1171700
- Gupta, N., Singh, P. K., Revankar, S. G., Chandrasekar, P. H., and Kumar, A. (2019). Pathobiology of Aspergillus Fumigatus Endophthalmitis in Immunocompetent and Immunocompromised Mice. *Microorganisms* 7, 297. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms7090297
- Johnson, M. W., Doft, B. H., Kelsey, S. F., Barza, M., Wilson, L. A., Barr, C. C., et al. (1997). The Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study. Relationship Between Clinical Presentation and Microbiologic Spectrum. *Ophthalmology* 104, 261–272. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(97)30326-1
- Kim, J. Y., and Kim, S. Y. (2011). Prophylactic Effect of Intravenous Moxifloxacin in a Rabbit Model of Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 52, 1742–1747. doi: 10.1167/iovs.10-5231
- Kloos, W. E., and Musselwhite, M. S. (1975). Distribution and Persistence of Staphylococcus and Micrococcus Species and Other Aerobic Bacteria on Human Skin. Appl. Microbiol. 30, 381–385. doi: 10.1128/am.30.3.381-395.1975
- Konduri, R., Saiabhilash, C. R., and Shivaji, S. (2021). Biofilm-Forming Potential of Ocular Fluid Staphylococcus Aureus and Staphylococcus Epidermidis on Ex Vivo Human Corneas From Attachment to Dispersal Phase. *Microorganisms* 9 (6), 1124. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9061124
- Kumar, A., Giri, S., and Kumar, A. (2016). 5-Aminoimidazole-4-Carboxamide Ribonucleoside-Mediated Adenosine Monophosphate-Activated Protein Kinase Activation Induces Protective Innate Responses in Bacterial Endophthalmitis. *Cell Microbiol.* 18, 1815–1830. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12625
- Kumar, M. V., Nagineni, C. N., Chin, M. S., Hooks, J. J., and Detrick, B. (2004). Innate Immunity in the Retina: Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) Signaling in Human Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells. J. Neuroimmunol. 153, 7–15. doi: 10.1016/ j.jneuroim.2004.04.018
- Kumar, A., Pandey, R. K., Miller, L. J., Singh, P. K., and Kanwar, M. (2013). Muller Glia in Retinal Innate Immunity: A Perspective on Their Roles in Endophthalmitis. *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* 33, 119–135. doi: 10.1615/ CritRevImmunol.2013006618
- Kumar, A., and Shamsuddin, N. (2012). Retinal Muller Glia Initiate Innate Response to Infectious Stimuli via Toll-Like Receptor Signaling. PloS One 7, e29830. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029830
- Kumar, A., Singh, C. N., Glybina, I. V., Mahmoud, T. H., and Yu, F.-S. X. (2010). Toll-Like Receptor 2 Ligand—Induced Protection Against Bacterial Endophthalmitis. J. Infect. Dis. 201, 255–263. doi: 10.1086/649589
- Kumar, A., Singh, P. K., Zhang, K., and Kumar, A. (2020). Toll-Like Receptor 2 (TLR2) Engages Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress Sensor IRE1α to Regulate Retinal Innate Responses in Staphylococcus Aureus Endophthalmitis. *FASEB J.* 34, 13826–13838. doi: 10.1096/fj.202001393R
- Lalitha, P., Sengupta, S., Ravindran, R. D., Sharma, S., Joseph, J., Ambiya, V., et al. (2017). A Literature Review and Update on the Incidence and Microbiology Spectrum of Postcataract Surgery Endophthalmitis Over Past Two Decades in India. *Indian J. Ophthalmol.* 65, 673–677. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_509_17
- Lee, J. Y. H., Monk, I. R., Gonçalves da Silva, A., Seemann, T., Chua, K. Y. L., Kearns, A., et al. (2018). Global Spread of Three Multidrug-Resistant Lineages of Staphylococcus Epidermidis. *Nat. Microbiol.* 3, 1175–1185. doi: 10.1038/ s41564-018-0230-7
- Lenkowski, J., and Raymond, P. (2014). Muller Glia: Stem Cells for Generation and Regeneration of Retinal Neurons in Teleost Fish. *Prog. Retinal Eye Res.* 40, 94– 123. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2013.12.007

- Linehan, E., and Fitzgerald, D. C. (2015). Ageing and the Immune System: Focus on Macrophages. *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol. (Bp)* 5, 14–24. doi: 10.1556/ EuJMI-D-14-00035
- Liu, J., Yi, C., Ming, W., Tang, M., Tang, X., Luo, C., et al. (2021). Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells Express Antimicrobial Peptide Lysozyme - A Novel Mechanism of Innate Immune Defense of the Blood-Retina Barrier. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 62, 21. doi: 10.1167/iovs.62.7.21
- Livingston, E. T., Mursalin, M. H., Coburn, P. S., Astley, R., Miller, F. C., Amayem, O., et al. (2021). Immune Inhibitor A Metalloproteases Contribute to Virulence in Bacillus Endophthalmitis. *Infect. Immun.* 89 (10), e0020121. doi: 10.1128/ iai.00201-21:Iai0020121
- Lowy, F. D. (1998). Staphylococcus Aureus Infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 339, 520–532. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199808203390806
- Malmin, A., Syre, H., Ushakova, A., Utheim, T. P., and Forsaa, V. A. (2021). Twenty Years of Endophthalmitis: Incidence, Aetiology and Clinical Outcome. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 99, e62–e69. doi: 10.1111/aos.14511
- Maxwell, D. P. Jr., Brent, B. D., Orillac, R., Baber, W. B., and Mayeux, P. A. (1993).
 A Natural History Study of Experimental Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis. *Curr. Eye Res.* 12, 907–912. doi: 10.3109/ 02713689309020397
- May, L., Klein, E. Y., Rothman, R. E., and Laxminarayan, R. (2014). Trends in Antibiotic Resistance in Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci in the United States, 1999 to 2012. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 1404–1409. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01908-13
- Meredith, T. A., Trabelsi, A., Miller, M. J., Aguilar, E., and Wilson, L. A. (1990). Spontaneous Sterilization in Experimental Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 31, 181–186.
- Miller, F. C., Coburn, P. S., Huzzatul, M. M., LaGrow, A. L., Livingston, E., and Callegan, M. C. (2019). Targets of Immunomodulation in Bacterial Endophthalmitis. *Prog. Retin Eye Res.* 73, 100763. doi: 10.1016/ j.preteyeres.2019.05.004
- Moreno, A., dos Santos, D. M., Lamartine de Moraes Melo Neto, C., Luiz de Melo Moreno, A., de Magalhães Bertoz, A. P., and Goiato, M. C. (2020). *In Vitro* Evaluation of the Effect of Different Disinfectants on the Biofilm of Staphylococcus Epidermidis and Staphylococcus Aureus Formed on Acrylic Ocular Prostheses. *PloS One* 15, e0240116. doi: 10.1371/journal.kpone.0240116
- Naik, S., Bouladoux, N., Linehan, J. L., Han, S. J., Harrison, O. J., Wilhelm, C., et al. (2015). Commensal-Dendritic-Cell Interaction Specifies a Unique Protective Skin Immune Signature. *Nature* 520, 104–108. doi: 10.1038/ nature14052
- Nguyen, T. H., Park, M. D., and Otto, M. (2017). Host Response to Staphylococcus Epidermidis Colonization and Infections. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 7, 90. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00090
- O'Day, D. M., Jones, D. B., Patrinely, J., and Elliott, J. H. (1982). Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis. Visual Outcome Following Noninvasive Therapy. *Ophthalmology* 89, 354–360. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(82)34792-2
- Oguz, H., Zeyrek, F. Y., Ozardali, I., Oguz, E., and Gurkan, T. (2004). Intravitreal Taurolidine Against Experimental Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis in Rabbits. *Curr. Eye Res.* 28, 225–232. doi: 10.1076/ ceyr.28.4.225.27833
- Otto, M. (2009). Staphylococcus Epidermidis-the 'Accidental' Pathogen. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 555–567. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2182
- Paharik, A. E., and Horswill, A. R. (2016). The Staphylococcal Biofilm: Adhesins, Regulation, and Host Response. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 4 (2), VMBF-0022-2015. doi: 10.1128/9781555819286.ch19
- Pandey, R. K., Yu, F. S., and Kumar, A. (2013). Targeting Toll-Like Receptor Signaling as a Novel Approach to Prevent Ocular Infectious Diseases. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 138, 609–619.
- Pichi, F., Nucci, P., Baynes, K., Carrai, P., Srivastava, S. K., and Lowder, C. Y. (2014). Acute and Chronic Staphylococcus Epidermidis Post-Operative Endophthalmitis: The Importance of Biofilm Production. *Int. Ophthalmol.* 34, 1267–1270. doi: 10.1007/s10792-014-0011-0
- Pleyer, U., Mondino, B. J., Adamu, S. A., Pitchekian-Halabi, H., Engstrom, R. E., and Glasgow, B. J. (1992). Immune Response to Staphylococcus Epidermidis-Induced Endophthalmitis in a Rabbit Model. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.* 33, 2650–2663.
- Priya, R., Mythili, A., Singh, Y. R., Sreekumar, H., Manikandan, P., Panneerselvam, K., et al. (2014). Virulence, Speciation and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Ocular

Coagualase Negative Staphylococci (CoNS). J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 8, DC33-DC37. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2014/7867.4395

- Quagliarello, V., and Scheld, W. M. (1992). Bacterial Meningitis: Pathogenesis, Pathophysiology, and Progress. *N Engl. J. Med.* 327, 864–872. doi: 10.1056/ NEJM199209173271208
- Ravindranath, R. M. H., Hasan, S. A., and Mondino, B. J. B. (1997). Immunopathologic Features of Staphylococcus Epidermidis-Induced Endophthalmitis in the Rat. *Curr. Eye Res.* 16, 1036–1043. doi: 10.1076/ ceyr.16.10.1036.9015
- Ravindranath, R. M., Mondino, B. J., Adamu, S. A., Pitchekian-Halabi, H., Hasan, S. A., and Glasgow, B. J. (1995). Immunopathologic Features of Staphylococcus Aureus Endophthalmitis in the Rat. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 36, 2482– 2491.
- Rogers, K. L., Fey, P. D., and Rupp, M. E. (2009). Coagulase-Negative Staphylococcal Infections. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* 23, 73–98. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2008.10.001
- Romanowski, J. E., Nayyar, S. V., Romanowski, E. G., Jhanji, V., Shanks, R. M. Q., and Kowalski, R. P. (2021). Speciation and Antibiotic Susceptibilities of Coagulase Negative Staphylococci Isolated From Ocular Infections. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 10 (6), 721. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10060721
- Schleifer, K. H., and Kloos, W. E. (1975). Isolation and Characterization of Staphylococci From Human Skin I. Amended Descriptions of Staphylococcus Epidermidis and Staphylococcus Saprophyticus and Descriptions of Three New Species: Staphylococcus Cohnii, Staphylococcus Haemolyticus, and Staphylococcus Xylosus. Int. J. Systematic Evolutionary Microbiol. 25, 50–61. doi: 10.1099/00207713-25-1-50
- Scorza, P., and Berni, M. (1966). Physiological Melituria in the Full-Term Newborn During the First Months of Life. Investigations With Thin-Layer Partition Chromatography. Boll Soc. Ital Biol. Sper 42, 521–525.
- Shamsuddin, N., and Kumar, A. (2011). TLR2 Mediates the Innate Response of Retinal Muller Glia to Staphylococcus Aureus. J. Immunol. 186, 7089–7097. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1100565
- Singh, S., Farr, D., and Kumar, A. (2019). Erratum: Singh, S. Et al. Ocular Manifestations of Emerging Flaviviruses and the Blood–Retinal Barrier. *Viruses* 10, 530. doi: 10.3390/v11050475
- Singh, P. K., Guest, J.-M., Kanwar, M., Boss, J., Gao, N., Juzych, M. S., et al. (2017). Zika Virus Infects Cells Lining the Blood-Retinal Barrier and Causes Chorioretinal Atrophy in Mouse Eyes. *JCI Insight* 2 (4), e92340. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.92340
- Singh, P. K., and Kumar, A. (2015). Retinal Photoreceptor Expresses Toll-Like Receptors (TLRs) and Elicits Innate Responses Following TLR Ligand and Bacterial Challenge. *PloS One* 10, e0119541. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119541
- Singh, P. K., Shiha, M. J., and Kumar, A. (2014). Antibacterial Responses of Retinal Müller Glia: Production of Antimicrobial Peptides, Oxidative Burst and Phagocytosis. J. Neuroinflamm. 11, 33. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-11-33
- Singh, S., Singh, P. K., Jha, A., Naik, P., Joseph, J., Giri, S., et al. (2021). Integrative Metabolomics and Transcriptomics Identifies Itaconate as an Adjunct Therapy to Treat Ocular Bacterial Infection. *Cell Rep. Med.* 2, 100277. doi: 10.1016/ j.xcrm.2021.100277
- Singh, P. K., Singh, S., Wright, R. E.3rd, Rattan, R., and Kumar, A. (2020). Aging, But Not Sex and Genetic Diversity, Impacts the Pathobiology of Bacterial Endophthalmitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 61, 5. doi: 10.1167/iovs.61.14.5
- Smith, M. A., Sorenson, J. A., Lowy, F. D., Shakin, J. L., Harrison, W., and Jakobiec, F. A. (1986). Treatment of Experimental Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Epidermidis Endophthalmitis With Intravitreal Vancomycin. *Ophthalmology* 93, 1328–1335. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(86)33579-6
- Spekker-Bosker, K., Ufermann, C. M., Oldenburg, M., Daubener, W., and Eller, S. K. (2019). Interplay Between IDO1 and iNOS in Human Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* 208, 811–824. doi: 10.1007/s00430-019-00627-4
- Strauss, O. (2005). The Retinal Pigment Epithelium in Visual Function. *Physiol. Rev.* 85, 845–881. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00021.2004
- Talreja, D., Kaye, K. S., Yu, F. S., Walia, S. K., and Kumar, A. (2014). Pathogenicity of Ocular Isolates of Acinetobacter Baumannii in a Mouse Model of Bacterial Endophthalmitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 55, 2392–2402. doi: 10.1167/ iovs.13-13401
- Talreja, D., Singh, P. K., and Kumar, A. (2015). *In Vivo* Role of TLR2 and MyD88 Signaling in Eliciting Innate Immune Responses in Staphylococcal

EndophthalmitisRole of TLR2 and MyD88 in Bacterial Endophthalmitis. Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci. 56, 1719–1732. doi: 10.1167/iovs.14-16087

- Teweldemedhin, M., Gebreyesus, H., Atsbaha, A. H., Asgedom, S. W., and Saravanan, M. (2017). Bacterial Profile of Ocular Infections: A Systematic Review. BMC Ophthalmol. 17, 212. doi: 10.1186/s12886-017-0612-2
- Uçkay, I., Pittet, D., Vaudaux, P., Sax, H., Lew, D., and Waldvogel, F. (2009). Foreign Body Infections Due to Staphylococcus Epidermidis. Ann. Med. 41, 109–119. doi: 10.1080/07853890802337045

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Das, Singh and Kumar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.