

The Causal Association Between Obesity and Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study

Yi Lin, Xiaomin Zhu, Wangdu Luo, Bingcai Jiang, Qianyi Lin, Min Tang, Xiangji Li and Lin Xie*

Department of Ophthalmology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jian-Huan Chen, Jiangnan University, China

Reviewed by:

Marie-Hélène Roy-Gagnon, University of Ottawa, Canada Kei Hang Katie Chan, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

> *Correspondence: Lin Xie

xielin@hospital.cqmu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Applied Genetic Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 14 December 2021 Accepted: 14 March 2022 Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Lin Y, Zhu X, Luo W, Jiang B, Lin Q, Tang M, Li X and Xie L (2022) The Causal Association Between Obesity and Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. Front. Genet. 13:835524. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.835524 The correlation between obesity and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) has not yet been fully established. The aim of this study was to investigate the causal relationship between obesity and POAG by a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) study. In this study, body mass index (BMI), an index to evaluate general obesity, and waist and hip circumference, indices to evaluate abdominal obesity, were selected as exposures in MR analysis. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were chosen as instrumental variables (IVs). Summary data from genome-wide association studies (GWASs) based on a European ancestry by Locke et al., with regard to BMI, and Shungin et al., with regard to waist and hip circumference, were used. Genetic predictors of POAG were obtained from public GWAS summary data. To assess the causal effect of obesity on POAG, the inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method was used as the primary method, and other methods, such as MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode, were also used as complementary analyses. Finally, we performed Cochran's Q statistic to assess heterogeneity, and sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the reliability and stability of the MR results. MR analysis showed that BMI has a positive effect on the risk of POAG, with 1 standard deviation (SD) increase in BMI; the risk of POAG increases by approximately 90.9% [OR = 1.909; 95% CI= (1.225, 2.975); p = 0.0042)] (analyzed by IVW); there were no heterogeneity and pleiotropy in the result; and waist circumference also had a positive effect on the risk of POAG [OR = 2.319; 95% CI= (1.071, 5.018); p = 0.033)] analyzed by weighted median. As hip circumference increases, with 1 SD increase in hip circumference, the risk of POAG increases by approximately 119% [OR = 2.199; 95% CI= (1.306, 3.703); p = 0.00305)] estimated by IVW, there were not heterogeneity and pleiotropy as for the result. Our study for the first time confirms that obesity might increase the risk of POAG using two-sample MR analysis. These results might provide guidance on the prevention and treatment of POAG.

Keywords: primary open-angle glaucoma, body mass index, waist circumference, hip circumference, Mendelian randomization

INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is a leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide and is mostly characterized by the progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and their axons (Chang and Goldberg, 2012). Among them, primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is the most common type of glaucoma, affecting nearly 80 million people worldwide (Petriti et al., 2021). At present, its pathogenesis has not been fully elucidated, but it is well known that multifactorial events are involved in the development of POAG (Lichter, 2003; Jonas et al., 2017). In addition to pathologically high intraocular pressure (IOP) (A. Sommer et al., 1991), numerous risk factors, including genetic factors (Rong et al., 2016), systemic diseases (Wostyn et al., 2017), and environmental factors (B. Fan et al., 2004; Kountouras et al., 2008), have been found to play an role in the pathogenesis of POAG. As a complicated multifactor disease, clinical symptoms are usually not obvious at an early stage, and visual function is irreversibly damaged at onset (Gauthier & Liu, 2016). Therefore, it is of great significance to actively explore the risk factors for POAG development, which might promote earlier detection and reduce its incidence.

Obesity is a major global public health problem, and its incidence is on the rise in many countries (Y. Y. Wu et al., 2017). According to World Health Organization statistics, the prevalence of obesity (body mass index (BMI) \ge 30 kg/m²) in the United States is expected to soar to 50.7% by 2030 (Finkelstein et al., 2012). Similarly, the rates of obesity in Europe and Asia have increased exponentially in the last decade (Whitton et al., 2017). Studies have shown that obesity has an extensive influence on human health and is a risk factor for diabetes, coronary heart disease, hypertension, and other diseases (Nagarajan et al., 2017). Currently, there is little research on the correlation between obesity and POAG, and it is still controversial whether obesity represents a risk factor for POAG. In a prospective cohort study in Korea, people with BMI \geq 30 kg/m² were more likely to develop POAG than those with BMI of 18.5-22.9 kg/m² (Jung et al., 2019). Consistent with this result, a population-based study in the United States reported that obesity (BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) was significantly correlated with the prevalence of glaucoma (Ko et al., 2016). In contrast, based on a population study in central India, a lower BMI was associated with a higher prevalence of glaucoma (Nangia et al., 2013). These controversial conclusions may be caused by different races, the existence of confounders, and biases in previous studies. Moreover, the genetic aspects might also have a role in the pathogenesis. Thus, the association between BMI and POAG remains unclear, and further research is warranted.

In traditional retrospective studies, confounders and biases cannot be eliminated, and the time sequence of exposure and outcome is often confused, which often leads to inconsistent and controversial results (Talari & Goyal, 2020). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are time-consuming and require a large number of staff and finances; moreover, it is often not ethical to conduct an RCT, so they are quite difficult to perform (Serra-Aracil et al., 2020). To address the aforementioned issues, Katan et al. (1986) introduced the concept of Mendelian randomization (MR) in 1986. MR is an epidemiological approach for investigating whether a causal effect exists between exposures and outcomes by using genetic variants as instrumental variables (IVs) (Yeung & Schooling, 2021). This approach uses genetic variants that depend on strongly associated single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from genome-wide association studies (GWASs) and makes use of the random classification of alleles during gametogenesis, which is similar to randomized clinical trials (Qu et al., 2021). As a hot research tool in recent years, MR is not affected by common confounding factors, and the causal sequence is reasonable (Burgess et al., 2019; Skrivankova et al., 2021), which has unique advantages for judging causal inference between exposure factors and outcomes (Zhang et al., 2021).

The aim of this study was to explore the causal effect of obesity on POAG by two-sample Mendelian randomization. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to explore the causal effect of obesity on POAG by Mendelian randomization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

In the present work, obesity-associated indices, including BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference, were chosen as exposures (**Figure 1**). We chose SNPs associated with exposure as IVs from GWAS summary datasets based on individuals of a European ancestry. The GWAS summary data published by Locke et al. (2015) with regard to BMI and Shungin et al. (2015) with regard to waist and hip circumference were adopted. Genetic predictors of POAG were obtained from public GWAS summary data (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/datasets), which were based on 1,824 POAG cases and 93,036 controls of individuals of a European descent. Consequently, SNPs were screened, and various statistical methods were used to assess the causal effects of obesity on the risk of POAG.

Selection of Genetic Instrumental Variables

To evaluate the causal relationship between obesity (BMI, waist, circumference, and hip circumference) and POAG, SNPs were selected according to the following criteria: 1) SNPs highly associated with obesity and significant according to GWAS $(p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8})$; 2) SNPs independent of each other to avoid biases caused by linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 < 0.0001$ /clumping, distance >5,000 kb; SNPs were extracted as IVs for further analysis); or 3) IVs could have an impact on the outcome only through exposure. In a two-sample MR, it is necessary to ensure that the affected allele of IVs in exposure and outcome between different databases corresponds to the same allele. Thus, allele frequency information was used to harmonize the data. The F statistics of each SNP is usually used to judge whether there were weak IVs. *F* statistics = R^2 (n-k-1)/k (1-R²), where R^2 is variance of exposure explained by selected instrumental variables, n is the sample size, and k is the number of instrumental variables (F. Wu et al., 2020). When the *F* statistics ≥ 10 , it is generally considered that there were no biases caused by weak IVs. The details of the SNPs used in this study are provided in Supplementary File S1.

Statistical Analysis

The standard inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method was applied to primarily analyze the causal relationships between obesity (BMI, waist, and hip circumference) and POAG (Brumpton et al., 2020; Park et al., 2021). This method calculated the Wald ratio of each SNP to assess the causal effects of each SNP on outcome, and finally, the inverse variances of SNPs were used as weights for meta-analysis to evaluate the combined causal effect. Furthermore, MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode were also used to evaluate the causal relationships between obesity (BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference) and POAG. The MR-Egger method is used to assess whether genetic variants have pleiotropic effects on the outcome and also to obtain a consistent estimate of the causal effect (Burgess and Thompson, 2017). The weighted median is used to obtain valid estimates if at least 50% of the weight comes from valid variants (Luo et al., 2020). At last, sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the stability and reliability of MR results, including heterogeneity tested by Cochran's Q test and I^2 statistics (a *p*-value of <0.05 or an I^2 value of >50% were regarded as significant heterogeneity), pleiotropy tested by using the MR-Egger intercept, and sensitivity by using the leave-one-out test.

All statistical analyses were performed in R software (version 3.6.1) with the R package "Two sample MR" (version 0.5.6) (Hemani G et al., 2018). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Moreover, the Benjamini–Hochberg method was used to obtain the adjusted *P* values. Since publicly available summary data were used in this study, the ethical approval was not required.

RESULTS

Instrumental Variable Selection

To perform the MR analysis, significant and independent SNPs were extracted, and those with an *F-statistics* < 10 were excluded. Finally, a total of 31 BMI-related SNPs (the mean of *F*-statistics was 89.22), 33 waist circumference-related SNPs (the mean of *F*-statistics was 49.37), and 24 hip circumference-related SNPs (the mean of *F*-statistics was 68.78) were selected for two-sample MR analysis. All these SNPs are listed in **Supplementary File S1**.

The Causal Relationship Between Body Mass Index and Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma

The causal association between BMI and POAG assessed by twosample MR analysis is summarized in **Figure 2**. As the results showed, BMI had a positive effect on the risk of POAG, with 1 SD increase in BMI, and the risk of POAG increased by approximately 90.9% [OR = 1.909; 95% CI= (1.225, 2.975); p = 0.0042; adjusted P = 0.0065] according to the IVW method. The weighted median [OR = 2.294; 95% CI= (1.184, 4.442); p = 0.0138; adjusted P = 0.027] also showed a similar causal relationship between BMI and POAG. The sensitivity analysis showed that there were no heterogeneities (Q-value =



FIGURE 1 | Diagram of two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. Assumption 1: The SNPs should be associated with POAG. Assumption 2: The selected SNPs should be independent of confounders. Assumption 3: The SNPs should affect POAG only via obesity rather than via a direct correlation.

24.20; p = 0.72; $I^2 = 11.96\%$) and no directional pleiotropies (MR-Egger intercept = -8.255×10^{-4} ; SE = 0.0151; p = 0.957). Moreover, the leave-one-out test showed that the MR results were not significantly affected by single SNP leave-out (**Figure 3**), indicating that the results were reliable and stable.

The Causal Relationship Between Waist Circumference and Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma

We further investigated the relationship between waist circumference and the risk of POAG by MR analysis (Figure 2). The results showed that there was a null causal effect of waist circumference on the risk of POAG [OR = 1.647; 95% CI= (0.671, 4.045); p = 0.276; adjusted P= 0.228)] analyzed by using the IVW method, while the weighted median showed a positive effect [OR = 2.319; 95% CI= (1.02, 5.25); p =0.044; adjusted P = 0.0438)]. Since there was heterogeneity (Q-value = 15.89; p = 0.036) and a lack of directional pleiotropy (MR-Egger intercept = -0.0759; SE = 0.063; p = 0.271), according to Nazarzadeh et al. (2020), MR results analyzed by weighted median were adopted, indicating that the waist circumference has a positive effect on the risk of POAG. With 1 SD increase in hip circumference, the risk of POAG increased by approximately 132%. The leave-one-out test is illustrated in Figure 4.

The Causal Relationship Between Hip Circumference and Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma

Finally, we explored the causal relationship between hip circumference and POAG. The MR results are shown in **Figure 2**. The results of IVW showed that hip circumference had a positive effect on the risk of POAG, with 1 SD increase in hip circumference, and the risk of POAG increased by approximately 119% [OR = 2.199; 95% CI= (1.306, 3.703); p = 0.00305; adjusted P = 0.00645)]. The weighted median results also confirmed this relationship [OR = 2.470; 95% CI= (1.167, 5.230);

			Standarized Coefficient	
Exposure	Outcome		(95%CI)	P Value
BMI	POAG			
MR-egger			1.95(0.76-5.03)	0.176
Weighted median			2.29(1.15-4.57)	0.018
IVW			1.91(1.23-2.98)	0.004
Simple mode			0.97(0.27-3.46)	0.970
Weighted mode			2.31(1.07-4.96)	0.040
Waist circumference				
MR-egger			→ 7.76(0.55-109.88)	0.181
Weighted median			2.32(1.02-5.25)	0.044
IVW		-	1.65(0.67-4.05)	0.276
Simple mode			0.71(0.14–3.64)	0.694
Weighted mode			2.52(1.02-6.24)	0.087
Hip circumference				
MR-egger			1.84(0.40-8.45)	0.443
Weighted median			2.47(1.17-5.23)	0.018
IVW			2.20(1.31-3.70)	0.003
Simple mode			0.86(0.16-4.64)	0.860
Weighted mode	_		2.95(1.04-8.33)	0.053
	0 1	6 Odds ratio		

FIGURE 2 | Summary of Mendelian randomization analysis results of the cause of obesity on POAG. BMI: body mass index; IVW: inverse variance weighted; POAG: primary open-angle glaucoma; MR: Mendelian randomization.



randomization.



p = 0.018; adjusted P = 0.027)]. To assess the stability and reliability of these results, a sensitivity analysis was performed. The heterogeneity test showed that there were no heterogeneities (Q-value = 27.957; p = 0.217 and I² = 31.5%), and the directional pleiotropies tested by using the MR–Egger intercept also showed that there were no pleiotropies (MR–Egger intercept = 6.417×10^{-3} ; SE = 0.0261; p = 0.808). Finally, the leave-one-out test indicated that the MR results were stable and were not significantly affected by single SNP leave-out (**Figure 5**).

DISCUSSION

Primary open-angle glaucoma is the most common type of glaucoma (Yuan et al., 2013). The early clinical manifestations are almost insidious, and visual function may be irreversibly damaged at onset (Song et al., 2018). Therefore, proactively identifying the risk factors for POAG has become the central point of POAG prevention. With the improvement of living conditions and changes in diet and lifestyles, overweight and obesity have become health issues that cannot be ignored and that pose a threat to the growth of adolescents globally (Reddy & Natarajan, 2011). However, whether obesity is a risk factor for POAG is still unclear; thus, it is important to clarify the relationship between obesity and POAG, which could provide guidance on the prevention and treatment of POAG in people with obesity.

Generally, obesity can be classified as general obesity, which is judged by BMI, and abdominal obesity, which is judged by waist circumference, hip circumference, or waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) ("Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation"; World Health Organization, 2000). It is widely acknowledged that obesity is a risk factor for many diseases, such as coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, hypertension, and diabetes (I. Sommer et al., 2020). To date, there are limited studies with regard to the relationship between obesity and POAG. The study performed by Wise et al. (2011) with 416,171 person-years of follow-up showed that BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio were significantly associated with POAG. Many other studies have also confirmed this conclusion. According to the available data, high intraocular pressure is a clear risk factor for glaucoma. Mori et al. (2000), who studied the relationship between obesity and intraocular pressure by cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses in Japan, showed that with the increase in BMI, the intraocular pressure also increased significantly. However, conversely, a study performed by Na et al. (2020) showed that being underweight was significantly related to an increased risk of POAG, with approximately 9.8 and 27.8% in individuals with and without diabetes, respectively. The causal relationship between obesity and POAG is still controversial and might be attributed to the differences in the selected cohort, different definitions or categories of diseases, or differences in follow-up periods. Thus,



the correlation between obesity and POAG has not yet been fully established, and many previous studies were retrospective studies in which confounders could not be eliminated, so more studies should be performed, and much evidence should be collected to clarify this relationship.

In traditional observational epidemiological studies, confounders often interfere with the results, which makes explanation of etiology unreliable. the Mendelian randomization is an epidemiological research design and data analysis based on Mendelian independent distribution law, which is an unbiased estimation of causal effects (Shiose and Kawase, 1986; Smith and Ebrahim, 2003; Howe et al., 2020). In addition, the MR model of aggregated data takes GWAS based on a large sample as the dataset. Compared with models with small samples based on individual data, the acceptance of large samples greatly improves the inspection performance (Q. Fan et al., 2017; Lawlor et al., 2008). In this study, regardless of whether the choice of IVs was related to exposure or POAG as the outcome, the data used were from published large-scale GWAS data, and the results were more accurate, reliable, and convincing. In the present work, to comprehensively assess the causal effect of obesity on POAG, BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference were selected as exposures to perform MR analysis. Our study demonstrated that BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference have positive effects on the risk of POAG {[OR = 1.940; 95% CI= (1.249, 3.013); p = 0.00317)], [OR = 2.319; 95% CI=(1.071, 5.018); p = 0.033)], and [OR = 2.199; 95% CI=(1.306, 3.703); p = 0.00305)], respectively}. The causal relationships between BMI, hip circumference, and POAG were primarily analyzed by using the IVW method, and the sensitivity analysis revealed that there were no heterogeneities or pleiotropies. However, the causal association between waist circumference and POAG assessed by IVW suggested a null result. Since there was pleiotropy presence, the results analyzed by weighted median were adopted according to a previous study and showed a positive effect on POAG. This might be attributed to the database used in this study, and this result should be interpreted cautiously.

At present, there are some hypotheses about the mechanisms of how obesity increases the risk of POAG. On the one hand, too much adipose tissue might increase the pressure of the scleral vein and blood viscosity, improve the outflow resistance in the scleral vein, and cause a decrease in intraocular blood perfusion (Bulpitt et al., 1975). On the other hand, endocrine disorders in obese patients could lead to an increase in androgen, making the oxidative stress level in the body significantly higher than that in normal people; this, in turn, increases the possibility of oxidative damage in the outflow system of aqueous humor, such as trabecular meshwork, and blocks the outflow channel of aqueous humor, which significantly increases the risk of POAG (Oh et al., n. d.; Zarei et al., 2017). Our study has some strengths: 1) We first assessed the causal relationship between obesity and POAG by MR analysis, in which confounders and biases could be largely eliminated. 2) BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference were chosen as exposures to perform two-sample MR, which could comprehensively evaluate these causal effects. There were also some limitations in this study: 1) The two-sample MR analysis was based on a European ancestry, and this relationship might change in individuals of other ancestries; a two-sample MR analysis of individuals of at least one other ancestry should also be performed. 2) This study, which uses only summary statistics for MR analysis, could make only a preliminary judgment on the causal relationship between obesity and POAG, and the specific mechanisms of how obesity increases the risk of POAG still need further research.

In conclusion, our principal findings revealed that genetically predicted BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference were related to the risk of POAG. To our knowledge, the present study performed a two-sample MR study for the first time to investigate the causal effect of obesity on POAG. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to investigate the causal effect of obesity on POAG, and our results further confirmed that obesity could increase the risk of POAG, which might provide guidance on the prevention and treatment of POAG.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Brumpton, B., Sanderson, E., Heilbron, K., Hartwig, F. P., Harrison, S., Vie, G. Å., et al. (2020). Avoiding Dynastic, Assortative Mating, and Population Stratification Biases in Mendelian Randomization through Within-Family Analyses. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 3519. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17117-4
- Bulpitt, C. J., Hodes, C., and Everitt, M. G. (1975). Intraocular Pressure and Systemic Blood Pressure in the Elderly. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 59 (12), 717–720. doi:10.1136/bjo.59.12.717
- Burgess, S., Davey Smith, G., Davies, N. M., Dudbridge, F., Gill, D., Glymour, M. M., et al. (2019). Guidelines for Performing Mendelian Randomization Investigations. *Wellcome Open Res.* 4, 186. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres. 15555.2
- Burgess, S., and Thompson, S. G. (2017). Interpreting Findings from Mendelian Randomization Using the MR-Egger Method. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 32 (5), 377–389. doi:10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x
- Chang, E. E., and Goldberg, J. L. (2012). Glaucoma 2.0: Neuroprotection, Neuroregeneration, Neuroenhancement. *Ophthalmology* 119 (5), 979–986. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.11.003
- Davey Smith, G., and Ebrahim, S. (2003). 'Mendelian Randomization': Can Genetic Epidemiology Contribute to Understanding Environmental Determinants of Disease?*. Int. J. Epidemiol. 32 (1), 1–22. doi:10.1093/ije/dyg070
- Fan, B. J., Leung, Y. F., Wang, N., Lam, S. C., Liu, Y., Tam, O. S., et al. (2004). Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors for Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma. *Chin. Med. J. (Engl)* 117 (5), 706–710. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2004. 05.116
- Fan, Q., Maranville, J. C., Fritsche, L., Sim, X., Cheung, C. M. G., Chen, L. J., et al. (2017). HDL-cholesterol Levels and Risk of Age-Related Macular Degeneration:

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, and data collection and analysis were performed by YL, XM, WL, and BJ. The first draft of the manuscript was written by YL, XZ, and WL and revised by QL, MT, XL, and LX. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

The research work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos 81470629 and 81670860; Chongqing, China) and Chongqing Natural Research Foundation (No. cstc 2018jcyjAX0034; Chongqing, China).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.835524/ full#supplementary-material

a Multiethnic Genetic Study Using Mendelian Randomization. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 46 (6), 1891–1902. doi:10.1093/ije/dyx189

- Finkelstein, E. A., Khavjou, O. A., Thompson, H., Trogdon, J. G., Pan, L., Sherry, B., et al. (2012). Obesity and Severe Obesity Forecasts through 2030. Am. J. Prev. Med. 42 (6), 563–570. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2011.10.026
- Gauthier, A. C., and Liu, J. (2016). Neurodegeneration and Neuroprotection in Glaucoma. Yale J. Biol. Med. 89 (1), 73–79.
- Howe, L. D., Kanayalal, R., Harrison, S., Beaumont, R. N., Davies, A. R., Frayling, T. M., et al. (2020). Effects of Body Mass Index on Relationship Status, Social Contact and Socio-Economic Position: Mendelian Randomization and Within-Sibling Study in UK Biobank. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 49 (4), 1173–1184. doi:10.1093/ije/dyz240
- Jonas, J. B., Aung, T., Bourne, R. R., Bron, A. M., Ritch, R., and Panda-Jonas, S. (2017). Glaucoma. *The Lancet* 390 (10108), 2183–2193. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31469-1
- Jung, Y., Han, K., Park, H.-Y. L., Lee, S. H., and Park, C. K. (2020). Metabolic Health, Obesity, and the Risk of Developing Open-Angle Glaucoma: Metabolically Healthy Obese Patients versus Metabolically Unhealthy but Normal Weight Patients. *Diabetes Metab. J.* 44, 414–425. doi:10.4093/dmj. 2019.0048
- Katan, M. (1986). Apoupoprotein E Isoforms, Serum Cholesterol, and Cancer. *The Lancet* 327 (8479), 507–508. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(86)92972-7
- Ko, F., Boland, M. V., Gupta, P., Gadkaree, S. K., Vitale, S., Guallar, E., et al. (2016). Diabetes, Triglyceride Levels, and Other Risk Factors for Glaucoma in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2008. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 57 (4), 2152–2157. doi:10.1167/ iovs.15-18373
- Kountouras, J., Zavos, C., Grigoriadis, N., Deretzi, G., Katsinelos, P., and Tzilves, D. (2008). *Helicobacter pylori* Infection as an Environmental Familial Clustering

Risk Factor for Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma. *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 36 (3), 296–297. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9071.2008.01729.x

- Lawlor, D. A., Harbord, R. M., Sterne, J. A. C., Timpson, N., and Davey Smith, G. (2008). Mendelian Randomization: Using Genes as Instruments for Making Causal Inferences in Epidemiology. *Statist. Med.* 27 (8), 1133–1163. doi:10. 1002/sim.3034
- Lichter, P. R. (2003). Glaucoma Clinical Trials and What They Mean for Our Patients. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 136 (1), 136–145. doi:10.1016/s0002-9394(03)00143-0
- Locke, A. E., Kahali, B., Berndt, S. I., Justice, A. E., Pers, T. H., Day, F. R., et al. (2015). Genetic Studies of Body Mass Index Yield New Insights for Obesity Biology. *Nature* 518 (7538), 197–206. doi:10.1038/nature14177
- Luo, S., Au Yeung, S. L., Zuber, V., Burgess, S., and Schooling, C. M. (2020). Impact of Genetically Predicted Red Blood Cell Traits on Venous Thromboembolism: Multivariable Mendelian Randomization Study Using UK Biobank. *Jaha* 9 (14), e016771. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.016771
- Mori, K., Ando, F., Nomura, H., Sato, Y., and Shimokata, H. (2000). Relationship Between Intraocular Pressure and Obesity in Japan. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 29 (4), 661–666. doi:10.1093/ije/29.4.661
- Na, K.-S., Kim, J.-H., Paik, J.-S., Cho, W.-K., Ha, M., Park, Y.-G., et al. (2020). Underweight Increases the Risk of Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma in Diabetes Patients. *Medicine* 99 (10), e19285. doi:10.1097/MD.000000000019285
- Nagarajan, R., Carpenter, C. L., Lee, C. C., Michael, N., Sarma, M. K., Souza, R., et al. (2017). Assessment of Lipid and Metabolite Changes in Obese Calf Muscle Using Multi-Echo Echo-Planar Correlated Spectroscopic Imaging. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 17338. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17529-1
- Nangia, V., Jonas, J. B., Matin, A., Bhojwani, K., Sinha, A., Kulkarni, M., et al. (2013). Prevalence and Associated Factors of Glaucoma in Rural Central India. The Central India Eye and Medical Study. *PLoS One* 8 (9), e76434. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0076434
- Nazarzadeh, M., Pinho-Gomes, A.-C., Bidel, Z., Dehghan, A., Canoy, D., Hassaine, A., et al. (2020). Plasma Lipids and Risk of Aortic Valve Stenosis: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Eur. Heart J.* 41 (40), 3913–3920. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ ehaa070
- Oh, S. W., Lee, S., Park, C., and Kim, D. J. (2005). Elevated Intraocular Pressure Is Associated with Insulin Resistance and Metabolic Syndrome. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* 21 (5), 434–440. doi:10.1002/dmrr.529
- Park, S., Lee, S., Kim, Y., Cho, S., Kim, K., Kim, Y. C., et al. (2021). A Mendelian Randomization Study Found Causal Linkage Between Telomere Attrition and Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int.* 100 (5), 1063–1070. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2021.06.041
- Petriti, B., Williams, P. A., Lascaratos, G., Chau, K.-Y., and Garway-Heath, D. F. (2021). Neuroprotection in Glaucoma: NAD+/NADH Redox State as a Potential Biomarker and Therapeutic Target. *Cells* 10 (6), 1402. doi:10.3390/ cells10061402
- Qu, Z., Yang, F., Hong, J., Wang, W., Li, S., Jiang, G., et al. (2021). Causal Relationship of Serum Nutritional Factors with Osteoarthritis: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Rheumatology (United Kingdom)* 60 (5), 2383–2390. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keaa622
- Reddy, M. A., and Natarajan, R. (2011). Epigenetic Mechanisms in Diabetic Vascular Complications. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 90 (3), 421–429. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvr024
- Rong, S. S., Tang, F. Y., Chu, W. K., Ma, L., Yam, J. C. S., Tang, S. M., et al. (2016). Genetic Associations of Primary Angle-Closure Disease. *Ophthalmology* 123 (6), 1211–1221. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.12.027
- Serra-Aracil, X., Pascua-Sol, M., Badia-Closa, J., Navarro-Soto, S., Navarro Soto, S., Sánchez Santos, R., et al. (2020). How to Start and Develop a Multicenter, Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Cirugía Española (English Edition)*, 98 (3), 119–126. doi:10.1016/j.ciresp.2019.11.012
- Shiose, Y., and Kawase, Y. (1986). A New Approach to Stratified Normal Intraocular Pressure in a General Population. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 101 (6), 714–721. doi:10.1016/0002-9394(86)90776-2
- Shungin, D., Winkler, T. W., Winkler, T. W., Croteau-Chonka, D. C., Ferreira, T., Locke, A. E., et al. (2015). New Genetic Loci Link Adipose and Insulin Biology to Body Fat Distribution. *Nature* 518 (7538), 187–196. doi:10.1038/ nature14132
- Skrivankova, V. W., Richmond, R. C., Woolf, B. A. R., Davies, N. M., Swanson, S. A., VanderWeele, T. J., et al. (2021). Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Using Mendelian Randomisation (STROBE-MR): Explanation and Elaboration. *Bmj* 375, n2233. doi:10.1136/ bmj.n2233

- Sommer, A., Tielsch, J. M., Katz, J., Quigley, H. A., Gottsch, J. D., Javitt, J., et al. (19911960). Relationship Between Intraocular Pressure and Primary Open Angle Glaucoma Among White and Black Americans. Arch. Ophthalmol. 109 (8), 1090–1095. doi:10.1001/archopht.1991.01080080050026
- Sommer, I., Teufer, B., Szelag, M., Nussbaumer-Streit, B., Titscher, V., Klerings, I., et al. (2020). The Performance of Anthropometric Tools to Determine Obesity: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 12699. doi:10.1038/ s41598-020-69498-7
- Song, X.-Y., Puyang, Z., Chen, A.-H., Zhao, J., Li, X.-J., Chen, Y.-Y., et al. (2018). Diffusion Tensor Imaging Detects Microstructural Differences of Visual Pathway in Patients with Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma and Ocular Hypertension. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12, 426. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2018.00426
- Talari, K., and Goyal, M. (2020). Retrospective Studies Utility and Caveats. J. R. Coll. Physicians Edinb. 50 (4), 398–402. doi:10.4997/JRCPE.2020.409
- Whitton, C., Ho, J., Tay, Z., Rebello, S., Lu, Y., Ong, C., et al. (2017). Relative Validity and Reproducibility of a Food Frequency Questionnaire for Assessing Dietary Intakes in a Multi-Ethnic Asian Population Using 24-h Dietary Recalls and Biomarkers. *Nutrients* 9 (10), 1059. doi:10.3390/ nu9101059
- Wise, L. A., Rosenberg, L., Radin, R. G., Mattox, C., Yang, E. B., Palmer, J. R., et al. (2011). A Prospective Study of Diabetes, Lifestyle Factors, and Glaucoma Among African-American Women. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 21 (6), 430–439. doi:10. 1016/j.annepidem.2011.03.006
- World Health Organization (2000). Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. Technical Report Series, 894, i–xii. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1–253.
- Wostyn, P., De Groot, V., Van Dam, D., Audenaert, K., Killer, H. E., and De Deyn, P. P. (2017). Alzheimer's Disease and Glaucoma: Can Glymphatic System Dysfunction Underlie Their Comorbidity? *Acta Ophthalmol.* 95 (3), e244–e245. doi:10.1111/aos.13068
- Wu, F., Huang, Y., Hu, J., and Shao, Z. (2020). Mendelian Randomization Study of Telomere Length and Bone Mineral Density. Aging 13 (2), 2015–2030. doi:10. 18632/aging.202197
- Wu, Y. Y., Lye, S., and Briollais, L. (2017). The Role of Early Life Growth Development, the FTO Gene and Exclusive Breastfeeding on Child BMI Trajectories. Int. J. Epidemiol. 46 (5), 1512–1522. doi:10.1093/ije/dyx081
- Yeung, C. H. C., and Schooling, C. M. (2021). Systemic Inflammatory Regulators and Risk of Alzheimer's Disease: A Bidirectional Mendelian-Randomization Study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 50 (3), 829–840. doi:10.1093/ije/dyaa241
- Yuan, Y., Call, M. K., Yuan, Y., Zhang, Y., Fischesser, K., Liu, C.-Y., et al. (2013). Dexamethasone Induces Cross-Linked Actin Networks in Trabecular Meshwork Cells through Noncanonical Wnt Signaling. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 54 (10), 6502–6509. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-12447
- Zarei, R., Anvari, P., Eslami, Y., Fakhraie, G., Mohammadi, M., Jamali, A., et al. (2017). Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer Thickness Is Reduced in Metabolic Syndrome. *Diabet. Med.* 34 (8), 1061–1066. doi:10.1111/dme.13369
- Zhang, K., Dong, S.-S., Guo, Y., Tang, S.-H., Wu, H., Yao, S., et al. (2021). Causal Associations Between Blood Lipids and COVID-19 Risk: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *Atvb* 41, 2802–2810. doi:10.1161/atvbaha. 121.316324

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Lin, Zhu, Luo, Jiang, Lin, Tang, Li and Xie. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.