The *slo-1* BK potassium channel interacts genetically with *pmr-1* secretory pathway calcium ATPase during *C. elegans* embryonic cell migration

Michellie Thurman¹, Haonan Sun¹, Sam Kubica¹ and Vida Praitis^{1§}

¹Grinnell College, Grinnell IA, 50112 USA

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: praitis@grinnell.edu

Genotype	% viable 25C	St. Err.	N	Grouping*
slo-1(eg142)	95.4	1.6	33	Α
slo-1(kez8)	91.6	2.6	41	А
slo-1(rg432) him-5(e1490)	72.4	3.0	23	В
Suppression				
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(kez8)	67.0	2.2	99	В
pmr-1(ru5)	3.9	0.7	70	Е
Maternal inheritance				
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(kez8) X pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(+) male				
F1 male and hermaphrodite progeny	70.7	4.3	15	В
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(kez8; kez8/+ or +/+) F2**	25.7	5.4	10	C/D
F3 progeny	23.1	5.4	10	C/D
Complementation Analysis				
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(rg432) him-5(e1490)	40.3	5.1	26	С
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(kez8/eg142) F1	39.2	1.8	49	С
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(kez8/+) F1	15.5	1.3	94	D
pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(eg142)	6.5	2.5	8	D/E

Table 1. kez8 is a maternal-effect suppressor of pmr-1(ru5ts) lethality and an allele of slo-1: *samples that do not share a letter are significantly different. One-way ANOVA with Tukey comparison p<0.01 (Minitab). ** Chi square analysis of F2 from the cross showed expected ratios for each genotype, with individuals producing viable progeny at frequencies similar to both parental and heterozygous genotypes; p>0.05.

Description

Calcium signaling is known to play a critical role in cell migration (Ridley *et al.*, 2003; Wei *et al.*., 2012). In *C. elegans*, embryos with disruptions in the secretory pathway calcium ATPase gene *pmr-1* show defects in cell migration that result in lethal phenotypes. Previous work has shown that *pmr-1(lof)* phenotypes can be suppressed by changes in the activity of the calcium channels IP3-receptor/ITR-1 and ryanodine receptor/UNC-68, indicating that cell migration defects are the results of changes in calcium homeostasis (Praitis *et al.*, 2013). To identify additional genes important for cell migration during embryogenesis, we performed a genetic screen to isolate additional suppressors of the *pmr-1(ru5)* strain, reasoning that disruption of cell migration due to changes in *pmr-1* activity could be suppressed by commensurate changes in other genes that regulate calcium levels or signaling. In this screen, we identified the *kez8* allele. We confirmed that the *kez8* allele suppresses the *pmr-1(ru5)* mutant phenotype by examining the percentage of viable progeny produced by *pmr-1(ru5); kez8* strains when grown under restrictive conditions. The viability of the *pmr-1(ru5); kez8* strain is 67%, significantly higher than the 3.9% viability observed in the *pmr-1(ru5)* control strain at 25C (Table 1; p<0.01).

Genetic inheritance analysis shows that maternal and not zygotic genotype is crucial for *kez8* suppression of embryonic lethality in *pmr-1(ru5)* strains. *pmr-1(ru5)* hermaphrodites homozygous for the *kez8* suppressor produced viable progeny

1/14/2021 - Open Access

at similar rates whether they were allowed to self-fertilize or were crossed to *pmr-1(ru5)* males that did not carry a suppressor allele (Table 1; p<0.01). F1 *pmr-1(ru5)*; *kez8/+* hermaphrodites from this cross produced some viable F2 progeny at rates not dissimilar to what would be expected if the allele was acting zygotically (Table 1). If zygotic genotype was critical to F2 survival, we'd predict that individuals homozygous for the *kez8* allele would be over-represented in the F2 population, and these F2 hermaphrodites would produce F3 progeny with viability rates similar to the parental strain. However, when the surviving F2 progeny were allowed to reproduce, the viability of their progeny was significantly lower than the parental strain (Table 1; P<0.01). When we examined the F3 viability rates from F2 individuals, they represented each of the predicted genotypic classes (*kez8, kez8/+* or +; ChiSquare, p > 0.05), indicating that zygotic genotype did not affect F2 survival. These results are consistent with a recessive maternal-effect *kez8* suppressor that restores viability to *pmr-1(ru5)* embryos.

Mapping, sequencing, and complementation analysis shows kez8 is an allele of slo-1. We used a whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and mapping strategy to locate the suppressor to the right end of LG V, which was independently confirmed with traditional mapping. Our best candidate for the causative mutation was a single base change, from A to T, in an intron of slo-1 which maps to position 18.5 Mbp, within ~0.5 Mbp of the highest likelihood map position based on WGS analysis. The mutation was confirmed independently in pmr-1(ru5); kez8 strains by PCR amplification and sequencing and is identical to a known slo-1 allele rg432. Complementation analysis with the slo-1(eg142) nonsense allele indicates kez8 is allelic, as pmr-1(ru5); kez8/eg142 hermaphrodites produce viable progeny at levels that are significantly higher than pmr-1(ru5); kez8/+ controls (Table 1; p<0.01). However, pmr-1(ru5) strains homozygous for eg142 are not suppressed (Table 1; compare pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(eg142) to pmr-1(ru5); p=1.0) indicating that the unique nature of the kez8 allele is important for suppression. Neither the kez8 nor eg142 alleles show significant embryonic lethality in the wild type background (Table 1). To further support our identification of kez8 as an allele of slo-1, we crossed pmr-1(ru5) to a strain homozygous for slo-1(rg432), which has the same genetic change in slo-1 as kez8 but also carries a linked him-5(e1490) allele necessary for the cross but with some associated embryonic lethality in the wild type background (Hodgkin *et al.*, 1979; Table 1). pmr-1(ru5); slo-1(rg432) him-5(e1490) hermaphrodites show significant suppression of the pmr-1(ru5) embryonic lethal phenotype (Table 1; p<0.01), consistent with our interpretation that kez8 is an allele of slo-1.

The *slo-1(rg432*) allele was identified as a suppressor of a male spicule protraction constitutive phenotype (PrC) caused by disruptions in the *unc-103 and egl-2* EAG-like potassium channel genes. The *slo-1(rg432)* allele has a significant impact on mRNA expression levels for *slo-1* splice variants in both males and hermaphrodites and reduces the expression levels of other genes that regulate cell excitability in males, including *unc-103* and *egl-2* (LeBoeuf & Garcia, 2012). Our analysis of the *pmr-1(ru5); kez8* strain indicates that the *kez8* allele also negatively impacts male mating. Using *him-14*(dsRNA), which generates a small number of male progeny that can be subsequently crossed to maintain males in a given strain (Fay, 2006), we obtained *pmr-1(ru5); kez8 males*. However, unlike in the parental *pmr-1(ru5)* strain or in other strains undergoing *him-14*(dsRNA) treatment, we were unable to establish stable male lines in the *pmr-1(ru5); kez8* strain, indicating that the *kez8* allele is associated with low male mating efficiency.

SLO-1 is a BK-type calcium-sensitive potassium channel with established roles in *C. elegans* in regulating neurotransmitter release, ethanol intoxication and male mating behavior (Salkoff *et al.*., 2005; LeBoeuf & Garcia, 2012). Our results reveal a new role for *slo-1* in *C. elegans* embryonic cell migration. Given that *slo-1(kez8)*, as well as alleles of other genes identified as genetic interactors of *pmr-1* including IP3-receptor/*itr-1* and ryanodine receptor/*unc-68*, also impact male mating behavior (Garcia *et al.* 2003; Gower *et al.* 2005; LeBoeuf & Garcia, 2012; Praitis *et al.* 2013), a set of similar genes may be involved in both processes. A simple model for the interaction between PMR-1 and SLO-1 is that elevated cytosolic calcium predicted in *pmr-1(lof)* mutants disrupts the normal regulation of BK channel activity during migration, which is suppressed in the *kez8/rg432* mutants because of changes in overall or isoform-specific *slo-1* expression levels (LeBoeuf & Garcia, 2012). The finding that changes in *slo-1* activity impact embryonic cell migration in *C. elegans* is consistent with previously identified roles for SLO/BK-type channels in the migration and invasive properties of glioblastomas (Catacuzzeno *et al.*, 2015; Ge *et al..*, 2014).

Methods

Request a detailed protocol

We performed a forward genetic screen to identify recessive suppressors of the *pmr-1(ru5)* strain (Praitis *et al.*., 2013) using a MosI transposon mutagenesis protocol (Boulin & Bessereau, 2007). As we could not identify the MosI insertion site, suppressors were mapped using a modified whole genome sequencing (WGS) mapping strategy (Minevich *et al.*, 2012) where we backcrossed suppressor lines to CB4856 and then used polymorphisms in the Illumina sequenced DNA to locate and identify the causative mutation. The mapped location and sequence change were independently verified using conventional mapping strategies and PCR amplification followed by sequencing. We determined the number of viable progeny produced by single hermaphrodites for all strains, as previously described (Praitis *et al.*, 2013). We used conventional approaches for complementation and inheritance analysis (Brenner, 1974; Fay, 2006; Fay, 2013).

Request a detailed protocol.

1/14/2021 - Open Access

Reagents

We used the following strains: N2, AZ5 *pmr-1(ru5)* I, CG925 *slo1(rg432) him-5(e1490)* V, OH441 *otIs45* V, SK4005 *zdIs45* I, BZ142 *slo-1(eg142)* V, CB2065 *dpy-11(e224) unc-76(e911)* V, GRN83 *pmr-1(ru5) I; kez8*, GRN171 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN414 *pmr-1(ru5); zdIs45 I; slo-1(eg142)* V. Lab generated strains were backcrossed to N2 4 to 6 times. For whole genome sequencing and mapping analysis, lab-generated strains were backcrossed 4 to 6 times to strains with a CB4856 background: GRN229 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN230 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN231 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN232 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN293 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I, GRN236 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I; *kez8*, GRN366 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I; *kez8*, GRN366 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I; *kez8*, GRN366 *pmr-1(ru5) zdIs5* I; *kez8*, GRN406 *kez8*, and GRN407 *kez8* were derived from parents with CB4856 and N2 backgrounds. All of the GRN strains are from the Praitis lab, as is AZ5. The CG925 strain is from the Garcia lab. Other strains were obtained from the CGC.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure (P40 OD010440), and the Garcia lab for providing strains.

References

Boulin T, Bessereau JL. 2007. Mos1-mediated insertional mutagenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Protoc 2: 1276-87. PMID: 17546024.

Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71-94. PMID: 4366476.

Catacuzzeno L, Caramia M, Sforna L, Belia S, Guglielmi L, D'Adamo MC, Pessia M, Franciolini F. 2015. Reconciling the discrepancies on the involvement of large-conductance Ca(2+)-activated K channels in glioblastoma cell migration. Front Cell Neurosci 9: 152. PMID: 25941475.

Fay D. 2006. Genetic mapping and manipulation: chapter 1--Introduction and basics. WormBook : 1-12. PMID: 18050463.

Fay DS. 2013. Classical genetic methods. WormBook : 1-58. PMID: 24395816.

Garcia LR, Sternberg PW. 2003. Caenorhabditis elegans UNC-103 ERG-like potassium channel regulates contractile behaviors of sex muscles in males before and during mating. J Neurosci 23: 2696-705. PMID: 12684455.

Ge L, Hoa NT, Wilson Z, Arismendi-Morillo G, Kong XT, Tajhya RB, Beeton C, Jadus MR. 2014. Big Potassium (BK) ion channels in biology, disease and possible targets for cancer immunotherapy. Int Immunopharmacol 22: 427-43. PMID: 25027630.

Gower NJ, Walker DS, Baylis HA. 2005. Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate signaling regulates mating behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans males. Mol Biol Cell 16: 3978-86. PMID: 15958491.

Hodgkin J, Horvitz HR, Brenner S. 1979. Nondisjunction Mutants of the Nematode CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS. Genetics 91: 67-94. PMID: 17248881.

LeBoeuf B, Garcia LR. 2012. Cell excitability necessary for male mating behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans is coordinated by interactions between big current and ether-a-go-go family K(+) channels. Genetics 190: 1025-41. PMID: 22174070.

Praitis V, Simske J, Kniss S, Mandt R, Imlay L, Feddersen C, Miller MB, Mushi J, Liszewski W, Weinstein R, Chakravorty A, Ha DG, Schacht Farrell A, Sullivan-Wilson A, Stock T. 2013. The secretory pathway calcium ATPase PMR-1/SPCA1 has essential roles in cell migration during Caenorhabditis elegans embryonic development. PLoS Genet 9: e1003506. PMID: 23696750.

Ridley AJ, Schwartz MA, Burridge K, Firtel RA, Ginsberg MH, Borisy G, Parsons JT, Horwitz AR. 2003. Cell migration: integrating signals from front to back. Science 302: 1704-9. PMID: 14657486.

Salkoff L, Wei AD, Baban B, Butler A, Fawcett G, Ferreira G, Santi CM. 2005. Potassium channels in C. elegans. WormBook : 1-15. PMID: 18050399.

Wei C, Wang X, Zheng M, Cheng H. 2012. Calcium gradients underlying cell migration. Curr Opin Cell Biol 24: 254-61. PMID: 22196933.

Funding: We thank Grinnell College for its support of this research through the Support for Faculty Scholarship fund and the Grinnell College MAP program.

Author Contributions: Michellie Thurman: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review and editing. Haonan Sun: Formal analysis, Writing - review and editing, Investigation. Sam Kubica: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review and editing. Vida Praitis: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing.

1/14/2021 - Open Access

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received November 13, 2020 Revision received December 23, 2020 Accepted January 10, 2021 Published January 14, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Thurman, M; Sun, H; Kubica, S; Praitis, V (2021). The *slo-1* BK potassium channel interacts genetically with *pmr-1* secretory pathway calcium ATPase during *C. elegans* embryonic cell migration. microPublication Biology. https://doi.org/10.17912/micropub.biology.000351