# 1 Early transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in a southern hemisphere setting: Lima-Peru:

- 2 February 29<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.
- 3
- 4 César V. Munayco<sup>1#</sup>, Amna Tariq<sup>2\*#</sup>, Richard Rothenberg<sup>2</sup>, Gabriela G Soto-Cabezas<sup>1</sup>, Mary F.
- 5 Reyes<sup>1</sup>, Andree Valle<sup>1</sup>, Leonardo Rojas-Mezarina<sup>3</sup>, César Cabezas<sup>3</sup>, Manuel Loayza<sup>1</sup>, Peru
- 6 COVID-19 working group, Gerardo Chowell<sup>2</sup>
- 7 8

9

- <sup>#</sup> joint first authors
- 10 <sup>1</sup> Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Prevención y Control de Enfermedades, Peruvian Ministry
- 11 of Health, Lima, Peru.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Georgia State University,
- 13 Atlanta, GA, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Instituto Nacional de Salud, Peruvian Ministry of Health, Lima, Peru.
- 15
- 16 Peru COVID-19 working group

Nombre	Area	
Dante Castro Garro	Investigación Epidemiológica	
Kevin Martel Vasquez	Investigación Epidemiológica	
Edith Solis Castro	PREEC	
Isabel Sandoval Ordinola	PREEC	
Javier Masias Mimbela	PREEC	
Kely Meza Cornejo	PREEC	
Fabiola Caruajulca Quijano	PREEC	
Lenin La Torre Rosillo	PREEC	
Luis Ordoñez Ibarguen	PREEC	
Mario Vasquez Dominguez	PREEC	
Rommell Veintimilla Gonzalez	PREEC	
Seminario		
Martha Calderón Silva	PREEC	
Mayra Saavedra Dreyfus	PREEC	
Miguel Luna Pineda	PREEC	
Milagros Durand	PREEC	
Noemí Janampa	PREEC	
Jesus Chuquihuaccha	PREEC	
Susan Mateo Lizarbe	PREEC	
David Enriquez Cusi	PREEC	
Idania Mamani Pilco	PREEC	
Alex Jaramillo	PREEC	
Karina Vargas	PREEC	
Oswaldo Cabanillas	Alerta-Respuesta	
Juan Arrasco	Alerta-Respuesta	
María Vargas	Vigilancia en Salud Pública	

	Willy Ramos	Inteligencia sanitaria			
17					
18	*Corresponding author				
19					
20	Amna Tariq				
21	Department of Population Health Sciences				
22	Georgia State University School of Public Health				
23	Atlanta GA, 30303				
24	atariq1@student.gsu.edu				
25	Contact number: 470-985-6352				
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46 47					

# 48 Abstract

49 The COVID-19 pandemic that emerged in Wuhan China has generated substantial morbidity and 50 mortality impact around the world during the last four months. The daily trend in reported cases 51 has been rapidly rising in Latin America since March 2020 with the great majority of the cases 52 reported in Brazil followed by Peru as of April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Although Peru implemented a range of 53 social distancing measures soon after the confirmation of its first case on March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the daily 54 number of new COVID-19 cases continues to accumulate in this country. We assessed the early 55 COVID-19 transmission dynamics and the effect of social distancing interventions in Lima, Peru. 56 57 We estimated the reproduction number, R, during the early transmission phase in Lima from the

58 daily series of imported and autochthonous cases by the date of symptoms onset as of March 30<sup>th</sup>,

59 2020. We also assessed the effect of social distancing interventions in Lima by generating short-

60 term forecasts grounded on the early transmission dynamics before interventions were put in place.

61

Prior to the implementation of the social distancing measures in Lima, the local incidence curve by the date of symptoms onset displays near exponential growth dynamics with the mean scaling of growth parameter, p, estimated at 0.9 (95%CI: 0.9,1.0) and the reproduction number at 2.3 (95% CI: 2.0, 2.5). Our analysis indicates that school closures and other social distancing interventions have helped slow down the spread of the novel coronavirus, with the nearly exponential growth trend shifting to an approximately linear growth trend soon after the broad scale social distancing interventions were put in place by the government.

69

While the interventions appear to have slowed the transmission rate in Lima, the number of new
 COVID-19 cases continue to accumulate, highlighting the need to strengthen social distancing and
 active case finding efforts to mitigate disease transmission in the region.

73

# 74 Key words

COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Transmission potential; Short-term forecast; Reproduction number;
Generalized growth model

- 77
- 78

### 79 **1. Introduction**

80 The Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic that emerged in the city of Wuhan in China 81 in December 2019 has invaded nearly every nation of the world, becoming the most important 82 public health emergency of the last century after the 1918–1920 influenza pandemic (WHO, 2020). 83 In particular, the novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has an 84 ability to exert substantial severe disease and mortality burden especially affecting individuals 85 older than 60 years and those with prior health conditions including hypertension, cardiovascular disease, obesity and diabetes (Adler, 2020; Team, 2020). As of April 15th, 2020, the trajectory of 86 87 the pandemic varies significantly around the world ranging from relatively well contained 88 outbreaks in Thailand, Taiwan and Hong Kong to explosive epidemics characterized by initial 89 exponential growth periods in a few hotspots located in various countries around the world including the United States, Italy, Spain, UK, France, and Iran (Ebbs, 2020; Griffiths, 2020; 90 91 Minder, 2020).

92

93 By April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020, SARS-CoV-2 is generating local transmission in over 200 countries and over 94 2.2 million cases and 150 thousand deaths have been reported globally (WHO, 2020). The COVID-95 19 pandemic was confirmed to have reached Latin America in February 2020 with a gradual 96 expansion in the region until March 2020 when the COVID-19 incidence curve started to grow 97 more rapidly. The US, the country with the highest number of reported COVID-19 cases in the 98 world, has recorded 637,196 COVID-19 cases by April 15th, 2020. In Latin America, Brazil has 99 reported 28320 cases, the highest number of cases in the region followed by Peru with a total of 100 11475 cases (Worldometer, 2020).

101

102 Peru, a country located in western South America, reported its first imported case of COVID-19 103 in Lima, on March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2020, a Peruvian with recent travel history to France, Spain and Czech 104 Republic (Aquino & Garrison, 2020). By April 15th, 2020, a total of 11475 cases including 254 105 deaths have been reported by the Peruvian government. Lima, the capital of Peru has recorded 106 8412 cases, the highest number of cases within Peru (MOH, 2020). To respond to the growing 107 number of COVID-19 cases in the country, the government shuttered schools on March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020. 108 The next day, the government banned gatherings of more than 300 people and suspended all 109 international flights from Europe and Asia. On March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the government declared a

national emergency and closed country borders (Explorer, 2020). Subsequently, on March 17<sup>th</sup>,
2020 the president of Peru announced the beginning of community transmission of SARS-CoV-2
in the country, and ordered a curfew in the region on March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020 to avoid night time

- socializing to prevent disease transmission (Explorer, 2020; Writing, 2020).
- 114

115 In order to combat the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic in Lima, the capital and largest city of 116 Peru, estimates of the transmission potential of COVID-19 can guide the intensity of interventions 117 including the reproduction number, R, during the early transmission phase (Nishiura & Chowell, 118 2009, 2014). Moreover, using the epidemiological data and mathematical modeling, it is possible 119 to gauge the impact of control interventions including school closures and a national emergency 120 declaration in Lima by assessing short-term forecasts grounded on the trajectory of the epidemic 121 prior to the implementation of control interventions (Funk, Camacho, Kucharski, Eggo, & 122 Edmunds, 2018; Shanafelt, Jones, Lima, Perrings, & Chowell, 2018).

123

# 124 **2. Methods**

#### 125 **2.1. Data**

We analyzed the daily number of COVID-19 confirmed cases by date of symptoms onset in Lima, Peru by March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Individual-level case details including whether the case was locally acquired or imported were also made available from the Centro Nacional de Epidemiología Prevención y control de Enfermedades and the National Institute of Health of the Ministry of Health, Peru (Group, 2020). We also examined the daily testing rate and the positivity rate from the daily number of positive and negative PCR test results by the date of reporting until March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

133

### 134 **2.2. Early growth model**

We generate short-term forecasts in real time using the generalized growth model (GGM) that relies on two parameters and characterizes the early ascending phase of the epidemic allowing to capture a range of epidemic growth profiles including sub-exponential (polynomial) and exponential growth. GGM characterizes epidemic growth by estimating two parameters (i) the intrinsic growth rate, r and (ii) a dimensionless "deacceleration of growth" or "scaling of growth" parameter, p. The latter parameter modulates the epidemic growth patterns including the sub-

141 exponential growth (p<1) and exponential growth dynamics (p=1). The GGM model is given by</li>142 the following differential equation:

143  $\frac{\mathrm{d}C(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = C'(t) = \mathrm{r}C(t)^{\mathrm{p}}$ 

Where C'(t) describes case incidence over time t. The cumulative number of cases at time *t* is given by C(t) while *r* is a positive parameter denoting the growth rate (1/time) and  $p \in [0,1]$  is a "deceleration of growth" parameter (Chowell, 2017; Viboud, Simonsen, & Chowell, 2016).

147

#### 148 **2.3. Short term forecast to assess interventions**

149 We calibrate the GGM model to the daily case incidence by the date of symptoms onset for Lima.

150 We analyzed the time series data of confirmed cases by onset dates for Lima from February 29<sup>th</sup>,

151 2020 to March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Our model was calibrated using case series from February 29<sup>th</sup>–March

152 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020, prior to the implementation of national emergency in Lima.

153

The best fit model solution is estimated by using a non-linear least square fitting approach (full details provided in (Chowell, 2017)). This process searches for the set of model parameters that minimizes the sum of squared differences between the observed data  $y_{ti} = y_{t1}, y_{t2}, \dots, y_{tn}$  and the corresponding model solution given by  $f(t_i, \Theta)$ : where  $\Theta = (r, p)$  correspond to estimated set of parameters of the GGM model. Thus, the objective function for the best fit solution of  $f(t_i, \Theta)$  is given by

160

161

 $\widehat{\Theta}$ =arg min $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(t_i, \Theta) - y_{ti})^2$ 

162

The initial condition is fixed to the first observation in the data. Next, we derive uncertainty around the best fit model solution as well as the confidence intervals of the parameters utilizing a parametric bootstrapping approach assuming a Poisson error structure as described in ref (Chowell, 2017).

167

### 168 **2.4. Reproduction number from case incidence using the generalized-growth model**

169 Generally, the reproduction number, R, quantifies the average number of secondary cases per case

170 during the early ascending phase of an outbreak before the implementation of interventions or

171 behavior changes (Anderson & May, 1991; Chowell et al., 2015; Yan & Chowell, 2019). Estimates 172 of the effective R indicate if the disease transmission sustains (R>1) or the disease trend is 173 declining (R<1). Therefore, it is necessary to maintain R<1 to contain an outbreak. Here, we 174 estimate the reproduction number by characterizing the early growth phase (16 day) of local cases 175 using the generalized-growth model (Viboud et al., 2016) and modeling the generation interval of 176 SARS-CoV-2 assuming a gamma distribution with a mean of 4.41 days and a standard deviation 177 of 3.17 days (Nishiura, Linton, & Akhmetzhanov, 2020; You et al., 2020). We simulate the 178 progression of local incident cases by onset dates using the calibrated GGM model and account 179 for the daily series of imported cases into a renewal equation given as (Nishiura & Chowell, 2009, 180 2014; Paine et al., 2010):

181 
$$R_{t_{i}} = \frac{I_{i}}{\sum_{j=0}^{i} (I_{i-j} + \alpha J_{i-j})\rho_{i}}$$

We denote the local incidence at calendar time t<sub>i</sub> by I<sub>i</sub>, which is characterized using the 182 183 generalized-growth model, the imported cases at calendar time t<sub>i</sub> by J<sub>i</sub>, and the discretized 184 probability distribution of the generation interval by  $\rho_i$ . In this equation the numerator represents the total new cases I<sub>i</sub>, and the denominator represents the total number of cases that contribute to 185 the new cases I<sub>i</sub> at time t<sub>i</sub>. The relative contribution of imported cases to the secondary disease 186 187 transmission is represented by the parameter  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ . We perform a sensitivity analyses by 188 setting  $\alpha = 0.15$  and  $\alpha = 1.0$  to assess the relative contribution of imported cases to the secondary 189 disease transmission (Nishiura & Roberts, 2010). This is followed by the derivation of the 190 uncertainty bounds around the curve of R directly from the uncertainty associated with the 191 parameter estimates (r, p). We estimate R for 300 simulated curves assuming a Poisson error 192 structure (Chowell, 2017). This method to derive early estimates of the reproduction number, R, 193 has been employed in several prior studies as in refs (Chowell, 2017; Tariq et al., 2020).

194

#### 195 **3. Results**

#### 196 **3.1. COVID-19 testing and positivity rates**

Figure 1 shows the daily number of positive and negative laboratory test results and the positivity rate during the reporting period, March 4<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020. The total number of PCR tests performed for this time period were 11518 (1127 positive results and 10307 negative results). The average daily number of PCR tests performed in Lima was estimated at ~188 between March 4<sup>th</sup>–

March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 whereas the number of tests performed between March 16<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020 increased to ~617 tests per day, an increase of 228 % in the testing rates, perhaps reflecting an increase number of suspected cases with respiratory symptoms. The positivity rate (percentage of positive cases among the positive and negative cases) has ranged from 0.6-23.9 % between March 4<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

206

## 207 **3.2. Local and imported incidence trends**

The COVID-19 epidemic curve by the date of symptoms onset, stratified by the local and imported incidence case counts is shown in Figure 2. On average ~6 imported cases and ~162 local cases have been reported daily between March 16<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020 in Lima. A total of 2783 autochthonous cases and 151 imported cases have been reported in Lima as of March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

212

# 213 **3.3. Reproduction number, R**

214 We estimated the reproduction number from the epidemic's early growth phase comprising the 215 first 16 epidemic days prior to the implementation of social distancing interventions which includes the national emergency declaration on March 16<sup>th</sup> 2020. The local incidence curve by the 216 217 date of symptoms onset displays near exponential growth dynamics with the scaling of growth 218 parameter, p, estimated at 0.9 (95% CI: 0.9, 1.0) and the intrinsic growth rate, r, estimated at 0.3 219 (95% CI: 0.3, 0.5). The estimate of the reproduction number was estimated at 2.3 (95% CI: 2.0, 220 2.5) when  $\alpha = 0.15$  (Figure 3). When  $\alpha = 1.0$ , the reproduction number slightly decreases to 2.0 221 (95% CI: 1.7, 2.3) (Table 1).

222

# 223 **3.4.** Assessing the impact of social distancing interventions

In order to assess the impact of social distancing interventions in Lima, including school closures on March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and the declaration of national emergency on March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020, we generate a 20-day ahead forecast for Lima based on the daily incidence curve up until the declaration of the national emergency in Lima. The 16-day calibration period of the model yields an estimated growth rate, r, at 0.8 (95% CI: 0.6, 1.1) and a scaling of growth rate parameter, p, at 0.8 (95%CI: 0.7,0.9). The 20-day ahead forecast suggests that the effect of the school closure and the national emergency declaration slowed down the spread of the virus as shown in Figure 4. Indeed, the

scaling of growth parameter declined to 0.53 (95% CI: 0.48, 0.58), consistent with an
approximately linear incidence growth trend during the period affected by the intervention.

233

### 234 **4. Discussion**

235 Our estimate of the transmission potential in Lima for the first 16 days of the epidemic indicates 236 sustained local transmission in the region after accounting for multiple case importations with the 237 estimate of reproduction number, R, at ~2.3 (95% CI: 2.0, 2.5) which is comparable to estimates 238 of the reproduction number for China, Korea, and Iran that lie in the range of 1.5-7.1 (Hwang, 239 Park, Kim, Jung, & Kim, 2020; Mizumoto, Kagaya, & Chowell, 2020; Muniz-Rodriguez et al., 240 2020; Read, Bridgen, Cummings, Ho, & Jewell, 2020; Shim, Tariq, Choi, Lee, & Chowell, 2020; 241 Wu, Leung, & Leung, 2020). In contrast, a recent study on Singapore's COVID-19 transmission 242 reported a lower estimate of R at  $\sim 0.7$ , which has been explained as a result of the early 243 implementation of sweeping social distancing interventions (Tariq et al., 2020).

244

The initial scaling of growth parameter in Lima indicates a nearly exponential growth pattern, consistent with the early spread of the COVID-19 epidemic in Iran and the exponential growth pattern of COVID-19 displayed by the Chinese province of Hubei (Muniz-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Roosa et al., 2020). In comparison sub-exponential growth patterns of COVID-19 have been observed in Singapore ( $p\sim0.7$ ), Korea ( $p\sim0.76$ ) and other Chinese provinces excluding Hubei ( $p\sim0.67$ ) as described in recent studies (Roosa et al., 2020; Shim et al., 2020; Tariq et al., 2020).

252 Although Lima has been quick to take aggressive measures against COVID-19, Peru remains one 253 of the hardest hit countries in Latin America (Tegel, 2020). Despite the closure of country borders 254 on March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the number of imported cases in Lima has increased with an average of  $\sim 6$ 255 imported cases reported between March 16th-March 30th, 2020 compared to an average of ~4 256 imported cases per day before March 16th, 2020. However, the 20-day ahead forecast of our GGM 257 model calibrated to first 16 epidemic days suggest that the social distancing measures, including 258 closure of schools and the declaration of national emergency are slowing down the virus spread in 259 Lima. The scaling of growth parameter, p, was estimated at ~0.5 (95%CI: 0.5,0.6) after the 260 implementation of social distancing measures, consistent with a linear incidence growth trend. 261 However, the COVID-19 case incidence continues to accumulate despite the quarantine and

lockdowns in the region highlighting the need to enhance social distancing measures to furthercontain the outbreak.

264

The average positivity rate of COVID-19 in Lima was ~8.6% between March 4<sup>th</sup>–March 30<sup>th</sup>, 265 266 2020. This positivity rate for Lima, Peru, corresponds to the positivity rates derived from Denmark, 267 Germany and Canada (6-8%) (Meyer & C.Madrigal, 2020). In comparison countries like New 268 Zealand, South Korea and Australia have tested widely and exhibit lower positivity rates (2%) 269 whereas Italy and the US have shown much higher positivity rates (15-20%) for COVID-19 270 indicating suboptimal testing capacity in these countries (Meyer & C.Madrigal, 2020; Project, 271 2020). A recent study has shown that changes in testing rates over the course of the epidemic can 272 mask the epidemic growth rate resulting in biased epidemic trends (Omori, Mizumoto, & Chowell, 273 2020). Moreover, there is a substantial fraction of asymptomatic COVID-19 cases, which could 274 have underestimated the reproduction number derived from the daily incidence's growth trend of 275 symptomatic cases (Mizumoto, Kagaya, Zarebski, & Chowell, 2020; Wei et al., 2020). Our study 276 underscores the need for active contact tracing efforts that targets symptomatic and asymptomatic cases, rapid isolation of infectious individuals, quarantined contacts and strict social distancing 277 278 measures to curb the spread of the virus.

279

### 280 **5.** Conclusion

281 In this study we estimate the early transmission potential of SARS-CoV-2 in Lima, Peru. Our 282 current findings point to sustained transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in the early phase of the outbreak, 283 with our estimate of the mean reproduction number  $\sim 2.3$ . The COVID-19 epidemic in Lima 284 followed an early exponential growth trend, which slowed down and turned into an almost linear 285 growth trend ( $p\sim0.5$ ), which appears to be tied to broad scale social distancing interventions put in 286 place by the government. While the interventions appear to have slowed the transmission rate, the 287 number of new COVID-19 cases continue to accumulate, highlighting the need to continue social 288 distancing and active case finding efforts to mitigate disease transmission in the region.

- 289
- 290 List of abbreviations
- 291 COVID-19
- 292 SARS-CoV-2

293	PCR
294	
295	Conflict of Interest
296	The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
297	
298	Funding
299 300	G.C. is partially supported from NSF grants 1610429 and 1633381 and R01 GM 130900.
301	Author Contributions
302	A.T., C.M. and G.C. analyzed the data. A.T. and C.M. retrieved and managed data. A.T and G.C.
303	wrote the first draft of the manuscript. A.T. and G.C worked on subsequent versions of the
304	manuscript. All authors contributed to writing and interpretation of results. All authors read and
305	approved the final manuscript.
306	
307	Ethical approval
308	Data has been made available and approved for analysis by the Centro Nacional de Epidemiología
309	Prevención y control de Enfermedades (CDC Perú) and the National Institute of Health of the
310	Ministry of Health, Peru.
311	
312	
313	
314	
315	
316	
317	
318	
319	
320	
321	
322	
323	

### 324 **References**

- Adler, S. E. (2020, February 5). Why Coronaviruses hit older adults hardest. *AARP*. Retrieved
   from
   <u>https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.servicecoordinator.org/resource/resmgr/images/misc\_/coro</u>
- 328 <u>navirus 2020/aarp\_why\_coronaviruses\_hit\_o.pdf</u>
   329 Anderson, R. M., & May, R. M. (1991). *Infectious Diseases of Humans*. Oxford University
- Anderson, R. M., & May, R. M. (1991). *Infectious Diseases of Humans*. Oxford University
   Press.
- Aquino, M., & Garrison, C. (2020, March 6). Peru records first confirmed case of coronavirus,
   President Vizcarra says. *Rueters*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.reuters.com/article/us-</u>
   <u>health-coronavirus-peru/peru-records-first-confirmed-case-of-coronavirus-president-</u>
   <u>vizcarra-says-idUSKBN20T1S9</u>
- Chowell, G. (2017). Fitting dynamic models to epidemic outbreaks with quantified uncertainty:
   A primer for parameter uncertainty, identifiability, and forecasts. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 2(3), 379-398. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2017.08.001</u>
- Chowell, G., Abdirizak, F., Lee, S., Lee, J., Jung, E., Nishiura, H., & Viboud, C. (2015).
   Transmission characteristics of MERS and SARS in the healthcare setting: a comparative study. *BMC Medicine*, *13*(1), 210. doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0450-0
- Ebbs, W. (2020, March 20). U.S. Coronavirus Cases Explode. How Long Before the Hospital
   System Collapses? *CCN*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.ccn.com/us-coronavirus-cases-</u>
   <u>soar-past-11000/</u>
- Explorer, A. (2020, April 6). Coronavirus in Peru- the latest updates. Retrieved from
   <u>https://amazonas-explorer.com/is-there-coronavirus-in-</u>
   peru/#Timeline of coronavirus cases in Peru
- Funk, S., Camacho, A., Kucharski, A. J., Eggo, R. M., & Edmunds, W. J. (2018). Real-time
  forecasting of infectious disease dynamics with a stochastic semi-mechanistic model. *Epidemics*, 22, 56-61. doi:10.1016/j.epidem.2016.11.003
- Griffiths, J. (2020, April 5). Taiwan's coronavirus response is among the best globally. CNN.
   Retrieved from <u>https://www.cnn.com/2020/04/04/asia/taiwan-coronavirus-response-who-intl-hnk/index.html</u>
- 353 Group, C.-W. (2020). Data set for Lima.
- Hwang, J., Park, H., Kim, S.-H., Jung, J., & Kim, N. (2020). Basic and effective reproduction numbers of COVID-19 cases in South Korea excluding Sincheonji cases. *medRxiv*, 2020.2003.2019.20039347. doi:10.1101/2020.03.19.20039347
- Meyer, R., & C.Madrigal, A. (2020, April 16). A New Statistic Reveals Why America's COVID19 Numbers Are Flat. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from
- 359 <u>https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2020/04/us-coronavirus-outbreak-out-</u>
   360 <u>control-test-positivity-rate/610132/</u>
- Minder, R. (2020, April 7). Spain's Coronavirus Crisis Accelerated as Warnings Went
   Unheeded. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from
- 363 https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/07/world/europe/spain-coronavirus.html
- Mizumoto, K., Kagaya, K., & Chowell, G. (2020). Early epidemiological assessment of the
   transmission potential and virulence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan
   City: China, January-February, 2020. *medRxiv*, 2020.2002.2012.20022434.
   doi:10.1101/2020.02.12.20022434
- Mizumoto, K., Kagaya, K., Zarebski, A., & Chowell, G. (2020). Estimating the asymptomatic
   proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond

370	Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020. Euro Surveill, 25(10), 2000180.
371	doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.10.2000180
372	MOH. (2020). Sala Situacional COVID-19 Peru. Retrieved from
373	https://covid19.minsa.gob.pe/sala_situacional.asp
374	Muniz-Rodriguez, K., Fung, I. CH., Ferdosi, S. R., Ofori, S. K., Lee, Y., Tariq, A., & Chowell,
375	G. (2020). Transmission potential of COVID-19 in Iran. medRxiv,
376	2020.2003.2008.20030643. doi:10.1101/2020.03.08.20030643
377	Nishiura, H., & Chowell, G. (2009). The Effective Reproduction Number as a Prelude to
378	Statistical Estimation of Time-Dependent Epidemic Trends.
379	Nishiura, H., & Chowell, G. (2014). Early transmission dynamics of Ebola virus disease (EVD),
380	West Africa, March to August 2014. Euro Surveill, 19(36).
381	Nishiura, H., Linton, N. M., & Akhmetzhanov, A. R. (2020). Serial interval of novel coronavirus
382	(2019-nCoV) infections. medRxiv, 2020.2002.2003.20019497.
383	doi:10.1101/2020.02.03.20019497
384	Nishiura, H., & Roberts, M. G. (2010). Estimation of the reproduction number for 2009
385	pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in the presence of imported cases. Eurosurveillance,
386	15(29), 19622. doi:doi:https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.29.19622-en
387	Omori, R., Mizumoto, K., & Chowell, G. (2020). Changes in testing rates could mask the novel
388	coronavirus disease (COVID-19) growth rate. International Journal of Infectious
389	Diseases, 94, 116-118. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.021
390	Paine, S., Mercer, G., Kelly, P., Bandaranayake, D., Baker, M., Huang, Q., Hope, V. (2010).
391	Transmissibility of 2009 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in New Zealand: effective
392	reproduction number and influence of age, ethnicity and importations. Euro Surveill,
393	<i>15</i> (24).
394	Project, C. T. (2020). The COVID-19 tracking project. Retrieved from
395	https://covidtracking.com/about-project
396	Read, J. M., Bridgen, J. R., Cummings, D. A., Ho, A., & Jewell, C. P. (2020). Novel coronavirus
397	2019-nCoV: early estimation of epidemiological parameters and epidemic predictions.
398	medRxiv, 2020.2001.2023.20018549. doi:10.1101/2020.01.23.20018549
399	Roosa, K., Lee, Y., Luo, R., Kirpich, A., Rothenberg, R., Hyman, J. M., Chowell, G. (2020).
400	Real-time forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in China from February 5th to February
401	24th, 2020. Infectious Disease Modelling, 5, 256-263.
402	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.002</u>
403	Shanafelt, D. W., Jones, G., Lima, M., Perrings, C., & Chowell, G. (2018). Forecasting the 2001
404	Foot-and-Mouth Disease Epidemic in the UK. <i>EcoHealth</i> , 15(2), 338-347.
405	doi:10.1007/s10393-017-1293-2
406	Shim, E., Tariq, A., Choi, W., Lee, Y., & Chowell, G. (2020). Transmission potential and
407	severity of COVID-19 in South Korea. International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 93,
408	339-344. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.031
409	Tariq, A., Lee, Y., Roosa, K., Blumberg, S., Yan, P., Ma, S., & Chowell, G. (2020). Real-time
410	monitoring the transmission potential of COVID-19 in Singapore, March 2020. medRxiv,
411	2020.2002.2021.20026435. doi:10.1101/2020.02.21.20026435
412	Team, C. CR. (2020). Preliminary Estimates of the Prevalence of Selected Underlying Health
413	Conditions Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 — United States, February
414	12–March 28, 2020. Retrieved from MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020:

415	https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6913e2.htm?s_cid=mm6913e2_w#sugge
416	stedcitation
417	Tegel, S. (2020, April 17). Peru took early, aggressive measures against the coronavirus. It's still
418	suffering one of Latin America's largest outbreaks. The Washington Post. Retrieved from
419	https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/the_americas/peru-took-early-aggressive-
420	measures-against-the-coronavirus-its-still-suffering-one-of-latin-americas-largest-
421	outbreaks/2020/04/16/1cd783bc-8005-11ea-84c2-0792d8591911_story.html
422	Viboud, C., Simonsen, L., & Chowell, G. (2016). A generalized-growth model to characterize
423	the early ascending phase of infectious disease outbreaks. <i>Epidemics</i> , 15, 27-37.
424	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.01.002</u>
425	Wei, W. E., Li, Z., Chiew, C. J., Yong, S. E., Toh, M. P., & Lee, V. J. (2020). Presymptomatic
426	<i>Transmission of SARS-CoV-2</i> — <i>Singapore, January 23–March 16, 2020.</i> Retrieved from
427	https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6914e1.htm?s_cid=mm6914e1_w
428	WHO. (2020). Situation Reports Coronavirus. Retrieved from
429	https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports
430	Worldometer. (2020). COVID-19 coronavirus / cases. Retrieved from
431	https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-cases/
432	writing, M. (2020, March 15). Coronavirus in Peru: Government announces mandatory
433	duarantine for 15 days for coronavirus. <i>Gestion</i> . Retrieved from
434	<u>https://gestion.pe/peru/pointca/coronavirus-en-peru-gobierno-anuncia-cuarentena-</u>
435	Wu I T Loung K & Loung G M (2020) Now costing and for consting the notantial domestic
430	and international spread of the 2019 nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan. China: a
437	modelling study. The Lancet doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9
430	Van P & Chowell G (2019) Quantitative methods for infectious disease outbreak
440	investigations Springer
441	You C Deng Y Hu W Sun J Lin O Zhou F Zhou X -H (2020) Estimation of the
442	Time-Varving Reproduction Number of COVID-19 Outbreak in China. <i>medRxiv</i> .
443	2020.2002.2008.20021253. doi:10.1101/2020.02.08.20021253
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	
453	

Parameter	Estimated values at	Estimated values at $\alpha =$
	$\alpha = 1.0$	0.15
Reproduction number	2.0 (95% CI: 1.7,2.3)	2.3 (95%CI: 2.0,2.5)
Growth rate, r	0.3 (95%CI: 0.3,0.5)	
Scaling of growth parameter, p	0.9 (95%CI: 0.9,1.0)	

455 Table 1: Mean estimates and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals for the reproduction

- 456 number in Lima, growth rate and the scaling of growth parameter during the early growth phase
- 457 as of March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020

- 1.61





Figure 1: Laboratory results of COVID-19 tests in Lima as of March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Blue color represents the negative test results and the yellow color represents the positive test results. The orange solid line denotes the COVID-19 positivity rate in Lima.





496 Figure 2: Daily numbers of new local and imported confirmed COVID-19 cases in Lima by date

497 of symptoms onset as of March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

- - -



512 Figure 3 : The reproduction number derived from the early growth phase in the number of COVID-513 19 cases in Lima after adjusting for imported cases with  $\alpha = 0.15$  using the GGM model as 514 described in the text. The reproduction number based on the incidence curve by March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 515 was estimated at 2.3 (95% CI: 2.0, 2.5).





530

Figure 4: 20-day ahead forecast of the COVID-19 epidemic in Lima by calibrating the GGM model until March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (vertical dashed line). Blue circles correspond to the data points, the red solid line indicates the model's mean fit and the red dashed lines represent the 95% prediction interval. The vertical black dashed line represents the time of the start of the forecast period. The forecast (March 16<sup>th</sup>- March 30<sup>th</sup>) suggests that social distancing interventions have slowed down the transmission rate.

537