Chronic Stress in Adolescents and Its Neurobiological and Psychopathological Consequences: An RDoC Perspective

Chronic Stress Volume 1: 1–22 © The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/2470547017715645 journals.sagepub.com/home/css

CHRONIC

SAGE

Chandni Sheth^{1,2}, Erin McGlade^{1,2,3}, and Deborah Yurgelun-Todd^{1,2,3}

Abstract

The Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative provides a strategy for classifying psychopathology based on behavioral dimensions and neurobiological measures. Neurodevelopment is an orthogonal dimension in the current RDoC framework; however, it has not yet been fully incorporated into the RDoC approach. A combination of both a neurodevelopmental and RDoC approach offers a multidimensional perspective for understanding the emergence of psychopathology during development. Environmental influence (e.g., stress) has a profound impact on the risk for development of psychiatric illnesses. It has been shown that chronic stress interacts with the developing brain, producing significant changes in neural circuits that eventually increase the susceptibility for development of psychiatric disorders. This review highlights effects of chronic stress on the adolescent brain, as adolescence is a period characterized by a combination of significant brain alterations, high levels of stress, and emergence of psychopathology. The literature synthesized in this review suggests that chronic stress-induced changes in neurobiology and behavioral constructs underlie the shared vulnerability across a number of disorders in adolescence. The review particularly focuses on depression and substance use disorders; however, a similar argument can also be made for other psychopathologies, including anxiety disorders. The summarized findings underscore the need for a framework to integrate neurobiological findings from disparate psychiatric disorders and to target transdiagnostic mechanisms across disorders.

Keywords

stress, adolescents, RDoC, prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, anhedonia

Received 2 February 2017; Revised 26 May 2017; Accepted 26 May 2017

Introduction

Stress has significant negative effects on the physical and mental health of Americans, irrespective of gender, race, and age.¹ Stress occurs when mental, emotional, and/or physical demands increase beyond the regulatory capacity of an organism,² and the impact on an organism may differ depending on the frequency, magnitude, and duration of the stress. While moderate levels of stress can be adaptive, stress persisting for long periods can have negative consequences on the well-being of the organism.³ Several preclinical and clinical studies have shown that chronic stress produces alterations in gray and white matter of the brain, affecting healthy neural communication through changes in brain circuits.^{4–6} Furthermore, chronic stress has been associated with several psychiatric illnesses, such as depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), substance use disorder (SUD), and personality disorders.^{4,7,8} The impact of chronic stress on psychopathology is so important that it is included as a construct in the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) matrix, an initiative introduced by the

Corresponding author:

Deborah Yurgelun-Todd, 383 Colorow Dr., Salt Lake City, UT 84108, USA. Email: Deborah.Yurgelun-Todd@hsc.utah.edu

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

 ²Diagnostic Neuroimaging, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
 ³George E. Wahlen Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, VA
 VISN 19 Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center (MIRREC), Salt Lake City, UT, USA

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) to bridge the gap between basic neuroscience and psychiatry.⁹

In this review, we provide an RDoC perspective on the neurobiological consequences of chronic stress in adolescence with an overall objective of identifying neurobiological and behavioral commonalities that cross diagnostic categories. A bottom-up approach is taken starting with neural circuits as they relate to behavioral syndromes. First, we describe the effects of chronic stress on neurotransmission, neural substrates, and circuits. Second, effects of stress on behavioral constructs, specifically anhedonia, are summarized. We note that anhedonia is a phenotype that is observed in several psychiatric illnesses¹⁰ and is a widespread phenomenon in adolescence.¹¹ We subsequently provide evidence suggesting that the same corticolimbic circuits modified by stress may underlie stress-induced anhedonia, thus providing a link between biology and behavior. We include studies that examine effects of diverse temporal patterns of the stressor, which will help elucidate the precise nature of behavioral and neurobiological changes and whether the timing of the stressor produces differential outcomes (Figure 1). Finally, we propose a heuristic model demonstrating chronic stress-induced abnormalities in circuits and behaviors that are shared across psychiatric disorders in adolescence. We specifically focus on depression and SUD, given the extensive comorbidity and similar underlying neurobiological mechanisms.^{12,13}

RDoC: Sustained Threat Construct

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) is a system designed to provide a clinical framework for signs and symptoms of psychiatric diagnoses. While development and use of the DSM has improved the reliability of clinical diagnosis, it is not without limitations.^{14,15} One of the critical challenges facing diagnosis of psychiatric disorders is the lack of cohesion between presenting symptoms and the underlying pathophysiology and dysfunction in brain circuits. The absence of identified neural mechanisms may impact the development of novel therapeutic agents in psychiatry, as neural mechanisms underlying symptoms are not well characterized and often overlap across diagnostic categories. To address this problem, the RDoC initiative by NIMH was introduced to help identify fundamental aspects of behaviors and circuits that may span multiple disorders with the ultimate goal of providing focal treatment targets for psychiatric patient populations.¹⁶

The RDoC matrix includes five domains reflecting major systems of cognition, emotion, motivation, and



Figure 1. Timeline of developmental stages in humans and rodents and diverse temporal patterns of chronic stress. Lightning bolt indicates timing of stress and red vertical lines indicate timing of assessment. Description for each row is provided on the right.

social behavior: negative valence systems; positive valence systems; cognitive systems; social processing; and arousal and regulatory systems. Each domain is further divided into lower level constructs representing specific dimensions of behaviors. Units of analysis include genes, molecules, cells, circuits, physiology, behaviors, self-reports, and paradigms. The current review focuses on analyzing circuits and behaviors utilizing the sustained threat construct (representative of chronic stress). NIMH defines the sustained threat construct as "an aversive emotional state caused by prolonged exposure to internal and/or external condition(s), state(s), or stimuli that are adaptive to escape or avoid." Furthermore, the review will consider developmental trajectories across adolescence as a critical element of the RDoC matrix, which may enhance the neurobiological-based understanding of psychiatric illnesses as evolving neurodevelopmental patterns.17,18

Chronic Stress and Adolescence: Key Definitions

Adolescence is defined as the period between childhood and adulthood, beginning with the onset of puberty and characterized by changes in hormonal levels and consequent physical, psychological, and social changes. Adolescence roughly corresponds to the period between 10 and 19 years,¹⁹ though there is evidence that adolescence may extend up to 25 years of age.²⁰ In rodents, this developmental stage is defined as the period between 35 and 60 days of age.²¹ In humans, adolescence is accompanied by increased exposure to stressors^{22,23} as well as behavioral changes and emergence of psychiatric illnesses.^{24,25} Further, there is a strong association between chronic stress and psychopathology in adolescence, with stress linked to depression, anxiety, and other internalizing and externalizing problems.²⁶⁻²⁸ For the purpose of clarity, this review will focus solely on chronic stress represented by the "sustained threat" construct in the RDoC matrix. As mentioned above, this is defined as "environmental events or chronic conditions that objectively threaten the physical and/or psychological well-being of an individual."²⁶ There are number of sources of chronic stress in adolescence. These include but are not limited to poverty and economic hardship,²⁹ personal or parental chronic illness,^{30,31} and chronic maltreatment, abuse, and neglect.32

Vulnerability During Adolescence

Using a wide range of methodologies, investigators have reported that adolescence is a vulnerable period for stress, predisposing adolescents to stress-related psychopathologies. This section summarizes neuroanatomical and neuroendocrine evidence from animal and human studies, which may account for susceptibility to stress-induced neural dysfunction during adolescence.

Dynamic changes occur in the brain throughout the course of development. There is an overproduction of synapses early in development followed by substantial synaptic loss and pruning.³³ Due to the extensive synaptic and cellular remodeling, adolescence represents a time of developmental neuroplasticity, wherein circuits are sculpted by the environment and are malleable to experience, aiding the transition to adulthood.^{34–36} Adolescence also has been associated with diminished plasticity in circuits underlying behavioral domains that show deficits in adolescence (e.g., vmPFC in fear extinction), suggesting that the direction of change in plasticity may be region-specific.³⁷ Since fear extinction is important for recovery from stress, these results highlight delayed recovery from stress exposure in adolescents.

Further, structural and functional reorganization in limbic and cortical structures occurs during adolescence.^{38–43} Animal and human studies have demonstrated increases in amygdala and hippocampal volumes in the early stages of puberty.44-50 The region that is most dynamic during adolescence is the prefrontal cortex (PFC), which increases in volume from childhood to early puberty and undergoes thinning and synaptic pruning throughout adolescence and young adulthood.^{38,41,43} Based on rodent models^{51,52} and human imaging studies,^{53–55} a number of researchers^{56–60} have proposed a neurobiological model characterized by an imbalance between the bottom-up limbic and prefrontal top-down circuits during adolescence. According to this model, the limbic regions functionally mature earlier than cortical regions during adolescence, as opposed to childhood where both systems are developing and adulthood where both systems are mature.^{56,61,62} The discordant development of limbic and cortical systems may explain some of the psychological and behavioral changes seen during adolescence, including emotion dysregulation, risk-taking, and increased sensitivity to rewards.⁶³⁻⁶⁶ Together, these studies suggest that adolescence is a sensitive period characterized by dynamic changes, wherein environmental factors such as chronic stress can alter neural systems,67 especially those that are still developing.68,69

In addition to the neuroanatomical changes mentioned above, there are significant neuroendocrine changes that occur during this developmental period.⁷⁰ The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is a major neuroendocrine system that is activated in response to stress and has been shown to initiate the stress response. In response to stress, the corticotropinreleasing factor (CRF) is produced in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN).⁷¹ CRF then acts in the anterior pituitary to release the adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), which is released into circulation.⁷² ACTH acts on the adrenal cortex, where it induces the secretion of glucocorticoids. Glucocorticoids are the effectors of the HPA axis and produce physiological changes in response to stress.⁷³ While the acute stress response is adaptive, prolonged stress can cause dysregulation of the HPA axis leading to abnormal glucocorticoid levels.⁷⁴ Importantly, glucocorticoids can cross the blood-brain barrier, act on receptors, and regulate genes involved in neurogenesis, neuroplasticity, and neurotransmission in the PFC, hippocampus, and amygdala.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁹ Furthermore, the PFC has higher levels of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA in adolescence than at any other period in development, suggesting that the PFC may be sensitive to glucocorticoid regulation⁸⁰ and hence susceptible to stress-induced changes in adolescence.⁸¹ Concordantly, increased glucocorticoid levels in response to early stress modulate the connectivity between the PFC and amygdala. This altered connectivity is associated with enhanced vulnerability to internalizing disorders in adolescence, suggesting that the association between stress and psychopathology may be mediated in part by the interaction of the HPA axis with developing brain circuits.⁸² Interestingly, animal studies of the HPA axis have shown that the stress response is significantly different in adolescent compared to adult rats,⁶ with adolescent rats demonstrating prolonged release of glucocorticoids in response to several stressors.^{83–86} Further, adolescent rats showed potentiated release of stress hormones in response to repeated stress in contrast to adult rats, which showed a habituated stress response.⁸⁷ Chronic-foot-shock stress in adolescence caused HPA axis dysregulation in adulthood, which may underlie the associated abnormal behaviors.⁸⁸ Human adolescents also have demonstrated increased basal and stress-induced HPA activity.⁸⁹ The above evidence suggests that elevated stress-induced HPA axis reactivity in adolescents may affect the architecture of the brain. Thus, the continued maturation of stress-responsive brain regions and increased stresshormonal responses may serve as converging factors in making adolescence a particularly vulnerable period for stress-induced neural dysfunction and psychopathology.

In the following sections, we discuss the effects of chronic stress on different brain structures during adolescence. We specifically focus on the PFC, amygdala, and hippocampus for the following reasons: (1) these regions show significant modifications in response to stress in both animal and human models due to high glucocorticoid receptor expression (see below); (2) the top-down PFC control on subcortical limbic structures like the amygdala and hippocampus undergoes changes during adolescence and underlies characteristic adolescent behaviors; and (3) the concerted activity of the PFC, amygdala, and hippocampus subserves reward and limbic-related functions, including reward sensitivity and fear regulation. $^{90-94}$

Effects of Chronic Stress on the PFC

The PFC often is considered a single brain region; however, it is composed of subregions that differ in cytoarchitecture, connectivity, and functional properties. A number of excellent reviews on this topic are available.^{95,96} The dorsolateral (dl) and ventrolateral (vl) PFC are considered executive regions of the PFC, with the dlPFC involved in working memory, response selection, cognitive flexibility, and abstract reasoning.^{97–99} The vlPFC plays a role in stimulus selection, task-switching, reversal learning, and shortand long-term memory.^{100–102} The ventromedial (vm) PFC is a critical node in the reward system consistently linked to reward outcome and subjective pleasure.^{103,104} Relevant to the focus on the sustained threat construct, several studies have demonstrated a prominent role for the vmPFC in fear extinction.^{105,106} Further, the vmPFC is important for emotion regulation,107 decision-making, and social function,^{108,109} showing considerable overlap in function with the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). The OFC is one of the last regions to fully develop in the human brain and has been implicated in inhibition and self-regulation of social-emotional behavior.^{110,111} Although the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) is not traditionally considered to be part of the PFC, this region is included in the review given its connections to the PFC and limbic regions and its role in cognitive control of emotion regulation.¹¹² Despite the described regional specialization, there are shared functions among PFC areas. Broadly, the dlPFC and vlPFC are important for executive functioning while the OFC, vmPFC, and ACC are important for reward and emotion regulation. Figure 2 demonstrates PFC subregions and connectivity with the subcortical limbic regions.

Rodent studies have demonstrated that chronic restraint stress restricted to the adolescent period induced dendritic atrophy in PFC neurons in adolescent males and females.¹¹³ Moreover, markers of cortical synaptic plasticity have been significantly reduced following social isolation in adolescence.^{114,115} After the cessation of isolation stress, the changes in synaptic plasticity persisted for longer in adolescents compared to adults.¹¹⁶ Together, these studies suggest that chronic stress causes structural changes in the adolescent rodent PFC.

Human studies investigating the effects of chronic stress on the PFC during this developmental period have reported similar results. For example, a diffusion-tensor imaging (DTI) study in previously institutionalized participants (average institutionalization time = 29.2 months) showed white matter abnormalities in the PFC, as well as deficits in white matter tracts connecting the



Figure 2. Corticolimbic circuits critical for executive function, reward processing, and emotion regulation.

temporal cortex and PFC, during adolescence.¹¹⁷ Higher cumulative life stress, maltreatment, and physical abuse in adolescents all have been associated with smaller PFC volumes, specifically the vmPFC, ACC, and OFC regions.^{111,118,119} Physically abused adolescents (mean age = 12) showed reduced OFC volumes as compared to controls,¹¹¹ although the duration of the physical abuse in this study was unclear. Further, portions of the PFC were smaller in adolescents who reported higher cumulative stress during their lifetime, which was associated with poor executive functioning.¹¹⁸ Together, these studies suggest that chronic stress throughout development^{111,118} as well as stress restricted to early postnatal years¹¹⁷ may significantly alter PFC structure and volume measured during adolescence. The structural modifications of the PFC may have functional implications such as reduced top-down control of emotion regulation.

Effects of Chronic Stress on the Amygdala and Hippocampus

The amygdala and hippocampus are important nodes in the limbic system and are critical for processing emotion, motivation, and memory. The amygdala plays an important role in identifying emotional significance¹²⁰ and is involved in associative learning, fear conditioning, and generating behavioral responses.^{121,122} The hippocampus has been associated with learning, declarative and spatial memory functions^{123,124} as well as mood regulation.¹²⁵ Furthermore, both these structures play pivotal roles in coordinating behavioral, emotional, and endocrine responses to stress.^{126,127} Animal studies investigating the effects of chronic stress on the amygdala and

hippocampus during adolescence have consistently observed significant effects. For example, chronic restraint stress during early puberty has been shown to increase dendritic length but decrease spine density in the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala (BLA) in rats, which correlated with stress-induced changes in fear generalization.¹²⁸ Social isolation in adolescent rats increased intrinsic excitability of BLA pyramidal neurons, which may be the neurobiological mechanism underlying increased anxiety during adulthood.¹²⁹ Further, postnatal stress caused persistent elevation of c-Fos in the BLA and deficits in fear regulation across development such that mice exposed to stress restricted to the preweaning period were unable to suppress fear responses when assessed during adolescence and early adulthood.¹³⁰ Together, these studies reinforce the notion that exposure to stress during infancy¹³⁰ and adolescence^{128,129} alters amygdala structure and function, the effects of which are evident during adolescence as well as later in life.

The hippocampus also has been shown to play a salient role in response to stress. In animal studies, morphological investigations showed reductions in dendritic branching in the hippocampus of adolescent male and female rats after prolonged restraint stress during adolescence.¹¹³ Further, chronic variable physical stress during the peripubertal-juvenile period in rats slowed the increase in hippocampal volume from late adolescence to early adulthood.⁴⁹ Together, these studies suggest that chronic stress during adolescence may profoundly affect the hippocampus during the same developmental period. Adolescent stress also may produce changes that are evident during adulthood. For example, a history of chronic restraint and social instability stress during adolescence decreased neurogenesis in adult female rats but increased neurogenesis in adult male rats.¹³¹⁻¹³³ A recent study demonstrated that stress during infancy caused accelerated hippocampal behavioral development as well as rapid decline of neuronal proliferation and differentiation markers in the hippocampus in adolescence, indicative of precocious maturation.¹³⁴ This study indicates that chronic stress during postnatal life may cause an accelerated trajectory of hippocampal development in adolescence. Although a causal link has not been established, these stress-induced changes in hippocampal structure and function may be related to the learning and memory deficits observed in adulthood.^{132,133} Some caution is warranted in translating adolescent rat studies to adolescent humans especially involving the hippocampus since the developmental trajectory of the hippocampus in the two species is somewhat different.⁵ For example, a longitudinal study in humans showed that total hippocampal volume from age 4 to 25 measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) remained unchanged bilaterally,^{40,135} while in a rodent study, immunohistochemical analysis showed that neurogenesis in the hippocampus continued into adulthood.¹³⁶

Although rodent studies have consistently shown alterations in amygdala and hippocampus following stress in adolescence, human imaging studies have been contradictory with meta-analyses revealing inconsistent findings.^{137,138} A morphometric study in 10-year-old children exposed to continuous poor maternal care, related to maternal depressive symptomatology showed an increase in amygdala volume compared to children who were not exposed to this stress.¹³⁹ In agreement, previously institutionalized children who were adopted (average age of adoption = 24 months) had higher amygdala volumes compared to controls, when scanned during childhood to early adolescence.¹⁴⁰ Interestingly, the length of stay in the orphanage was positively associated with amygdala volume, suggesting that the duration of the stress may have significant bearing on the severity of the outcome. In addition to these structural findings, a functional MRI (fMRI) study in preadolescents showed that adverse rearing conditions during the postnatal period was associated with atypically high amygdala activation in response to fearful faces and distracter stimuli.^{130,141} Thus, stress during infancy has been shown to modify amygdalar structure and function when evaluated several years after the stressor. Further, stressors experienced later in development can also significantly impact the amygdala. For example, in a study of healthy adolescents, the total number of stressors after the age of 4 was positively correlated with amygdalar activation in response to emotional faces.¹⁴² Moreover, in a study of male adolescents, lower perceived socioeconomic status (SES) during childhood and adolescence predicted fearful faces.¹⁴³ higher amygdala activation to

Thus, stress exposure in childhood and adolescence may also shape amygdala function. These studies were in contrast to morphometric studies reporting smaller amygdala volumes^{144,145} or no differences.^{146,147} in individuals who had suffered childhood maltreatment and were scanned during adolescence. Differences in duration and the timing of the stressor may have contributed to these divergent findings underscoring the need for documenting the precise details of stress exposure in human studies for meaningful interpretation of neurobiological findings.

Neuroimaging studies with young adolescents from low SES households and those with a history of physical abuse showed lower hippocampal volume than nonabused controls.^{145,148} On the other hand, children and adolescents with maltreatment-related PTSD showed no change in hippocampal volumes as compared to healthy controls.^{149,150} However, a structural MRI study in adult females who experienced childhood sexual abuse revealed hippocampal shrinkage.¹⁵¹ According to recent studies, there is some consensus that the effects of early developmental stress on the hippocampus may be masked during childhood and may not become evident until late adolescence and adulthood.^{139,140,152} Thus, the effects of stress in early developmental periods on the hippocampus appear to be crucially dependent on the developmental age when the neuroimaging measures are performed.

Effects of Chronic Stress on Corticolimbic Pathways

The amygdala and hippocampus have strong bidirec-tional projections with the PFC.^{153,154} Given that stress profoundly impacts these individual brain regions, it is expected that stress would alter the crosstalk between these areas. For example, adolescents with a history of childhood maltreatment or trauma exhibited weaker resting state functional connectivity (rs-fc) between PFC regions (specifically the vmPFC and ACC) and limbic regions, including the amygdala and hippocampus.^{155–157} Specifically, a history of childhood maltreatment altered ACC-amygdala and ACC-hippocampal resting-state connectivity in adolescent females; however, adolescent males showed deficits only in ACC-hippocampal connectivity, which may account for increased internalizing symptoms observed in female adolescents.¹⁵⁶ The results from a longitudinal study by Burghy et al. lend further support to the hypothesis that altered PFC-amygdala connectivity may underlie future problems with emotion regulation. Specifically, stress experienced at the age of 4 was associated with increased cortisol in childhood, which predicted lower amygdala-vmPFC functional connectivity 14 years later in females. Further, amygdalavmPFC connectivity was associated with depressive symptoms.⁸² Additional validation for the role of aberrant corticolimbic connectivity comes from a recent longitudinal study by Barch et al. This study showed that poverty in early childhood is associated with abnormal hippocampal/amygdala functional connectivity with the PFC, which is further linked to negative mood symptoms.¹⁵⁸ Overall, these studies provide evidence that stress during development may increase the likelihood of internalizing problems during adolescence, which may be mediated by abnormal corticolimbic connectivity.

Adolescents exposed to chronic stress also have demonstrated aberrant connectivity in corticolimbic networks while performing behavioral tasks. For example, while viewing negative faces, children and adolescents exposed to adverse rearing conditions during infancy displayed a mature pattern of amygdala-prefrontal connectivity (negatively coupled) as compared to controls that showed an immature pattern (positively coupled).¹⁵⁹ In agreement, during an aversive learning paradigm, previously institutionalized children and adolescents showed adult-like patterns of amygdala-vmPFC functional connectivity, suggesting that early life stress may accelerate development of the prefrontal-amygdala pathway.¹⁶⁰ Further, during an emotional-conflict task, adolescents with a history of childhood trauma failed to dampen dlPFC activity and engage amygdala-cingulate inhibitory circuitry, indicative of poor affect regulatory function.¹⁶¹ In conclusion, chronic stress during development causes morphological, structural, and functional changes in the PFC, hippocampus, and amygdala at a substrate and circuit level, which may have behavioral implications for emotion, affect, and fear regulation given the importance of corticolimbic circuits in these functions.

Effects of Chronic Stress on Neurochemical and Metabolic Profile

Chronic stress causes significant changes in neurotransmission and neural metabolites, which may provide a molecular basis for emergence of psychopathology. For example, chronic unpredictable stress in adolescence resulted in long-lasting changes in monoamine levels evident in adulthood in mice. Specifically, serotonin (5-HT) levels in the cortex, hippocampus, and hypothalamus decreased and norepinephrine (NE) levels in the hippocampus and hypothalamus decreased after chronic stress.¹⁶² A number of studies have consistently shown changes in the dopaminergic system in the PFC of adult rats, such as reduced basal dopamine (DA), decreased expression of D2 receptors, increased dopamine transporter (DAT) binding, in response to chronic stress during adolescence.^{163–165} Positron emission tomography (PET) is useful in probing functional neurochemistry in humans; however, PET studies in chronic stress-exposed adolescents are scarce. Adults with trauma exposure during adolescence showed reduced 5-HT1B receptor expression in the ACC and amygdala as compared to healthy controls, irrespective of PTSD diagnosis, suggesting that early chronic stress can produce long-lasting dysregulation of the 5-HT system.¹⁶⁶ Dysregulation of the monoaminergic system in response to chronic stress experienced during adolescence has important implications for stress-induced psychopathology given the central role of monoaminergic neurotransmission in mood disorders and SUDs.¹²

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) has been used increasingly in preclinical and clinical studies to investigate in vivo neurochemistry. Rats exposed to maternal stress showed reduced N-acetylaspartate (NAA) levels in the PFC during adolescence, suggesting reduced neuronal integrity and viability.¹⁶⁷ Interestingly, a stress-induced reduction in prefrontal glutamate levels was observed in female but not male adolescent rats. This evidence has significant clinical implications for three reasons. First, reduced NAA has been observed in human with treatment-resistant depression.¹⁶⁸ adolescents Second, dysregulated glutamatergic neurotransmission has been implicated in the pathophysiology of stressrelated neuropsychiatric disorders in preclinical and clinical studies.169-171 Lastly, females have higher rates of depression,¹⁷² and the fact that they show reduced glutamate levels in response to stress while males do not highlights sex-specific neurobiological vulnerabilities for depression. Human MRS results are in agreement with those observed in rodent studies. Adolescents and children with PTSD secondary to maltreatment showed reduced NAA levels in the ACC.¹⁷³ Together, these studies suggest long-lasting and widespread stress-induced changes in neurotransmitter and metabolite levels, which may contribute to psychopathology in adolescence and adulthood. Thus, stress interacts with all levels of units of analysis from molecules to circuits in the RDoC matrix (Tables 1 and 2).

Impact of Chronic Stress on Behavior: Focus on Anhedonia

The neurobiological effects of stress delineated so far are bound to have behavioral ramifications given that circuits affected by stress modulate different aspects of behavior. We focus specifically on anhedonia due to its association with chronic stress and prevalence in adolescence.^{10,11} Moreover, anhedonia is characterized by dysregulation of circuits involving the PFC, amygdala, and hippocampus, regions that are notably affected by chronic stress (for review, see Sternat and Katzman¹⁷⁴), and implicated in reward processing. However, a similar case can be made for other behaviors like fear extinction.

Anhedonia, defined as the state of reduced ability to experience feelings of pleasure in response to previously pleasurable or rewarding stimuli, is associated with a number of neuropsychiatric disorders including

Reference	Subjects	Stress duration	Stressor	Results
lsgor et al. ⁴⁹	M Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 28-56	Chronic variable social and physical stress	Reduction in hippocampal volumetric growth Spatial memory deficits after chronic
			. ,	variable social stress
Eiland et al. ¹¹³	M and F Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 20-41	Chronic restraint stress	Dendritic simplification in M and F rats in hippocampus and PFC Amygdalar hypertrophy in M and F rats Increased anhedonia in M and F rats
Leussis et al. ¹¹⁴	M and F Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 30-35	Social isolation stress	Reduction in synaptic plasticity proteins in PFC, hippocampus, amygdala in M and F rats
				Increased depression-like behavior in M and F rats, increased anxiety only in F rats
Padival et al. ¹²⁸	M Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 32-40	Restraint stress	Increased dendritic length, reduced spine number, reduced spine density in amygdala Increased fear generalization
Rau et al. ¹²⁹	M Long-Evans rats	PND 28-upto testing	Social isolation stress	Increased excitability of basolateral amygdala neurons
Barha et al. ¹³¹	M and F Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 30-52	Repeated restraint stress	Reduced neurogenesis and proliferation in the dentate gyrus of F rats in adulthood
McCormick et al. ¹³²	F Long-Evans rats	PND 30-45	Social instability stress	Reduced hippocampal proliferation Spatial memory deficits in adulthood
McCormick et al. ¹³³	M Long-Evans rats	PND 30-45	Social instability stress	Increased hippocampal proliferation No spatial memory deficits in adulthood
de Lima et al. ¹⁶²	M Balb/c mice	PND 28-38	Chronic unpredictable stress	Decreased 5-HT activity in hippocam- pus, hypothalamus, and cortex in adulthood
				Decreased NE activity in hypothalamus and hippocampus in adulthood Increased DA turnover in cortex in adulthood
Novick et al. ¹⁶³	M Sprague Dawley rats	PND 35-40	Social defeat stress	Increased DAT binding in PFC in adult- hood
				Increased D1 receptor binding in dorsal striatum in adulthood
Watt et al. ¹⁶⁴	M Sprague-Dawley rats	PND 35-40	Social defeat stress	Increased DA in PFC in adulthood Increased 5-HT and NE in dentate gyrus in adulthood
Wright et al. ¹⁶⁵	M and F Long-Evans rats	5 exposures from PND 40–48	Predator odor (psychological stress)	Decreased NE in raphe in adulthood Decreased D2 receptors in PFC in adulthood (not analyzed by gender)
Zhang et al. ¹⁶⁷	M and F Sprague-Dawley rats	Maternal separation	PND I-14	Decreased NAA and glutamine in M and F rats in adolescence Decreased glutamate in F rats in adolescence

Table 1. Effects of chronic stress on the adolescent brain in animal studies.

M: male; F: female; PND: post-natal day; PFC: prefrontal cortex; 5-HT: serotonin; NE: norepinephrine; DA: dopamine; DAT: dopamine transporter; NAA: N-acetylaspartate.

Reference	Subjects	Age of stress	Stressor	Results
Burghy et al. ⁸²	Adolescent M and F (mean age = 18.4)	lst year after birth	Maternal stress	In females only, greater stress predicted increased childhood cortisol levels, which, in turn, predicted decreased amygdala-vmPFC fcMRI 14 years later. For females, amygdala-vmPFC fcMRI was inversely correlated with concurrent anxious symptoms, but positively associated with depressive symptoms
Hanson et al. ¹¹¹	11–12 yo M and F	Unclear	Physical abuse	Reduced OFC volumes Reduction in OFC volumes predicted behavioral problems
Hanson et al. ¹¹⁷	9–14 yo M and F	Time spent in institutional care = 29 (16.6) months	Early neglect (institutionalized setting)	Reduced FA in a number of white matter tracts: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, corticospinal tract, cingulum, anterior corona radiata
Hanson et al. ¹¹⁸	10–12 yo M and F	Unclear	Chronic stress	Cumulative life stress correlated with reduced PFC volumes
Morey et al. ¹¹⁹	7–16 yo M and F	Unclear	Chronic maltreatment	Greater amygdala and hippocampal volumes
Lupien et al. ¹³⁹	10 yo M and F	From birth to time of testing	Poor maternal care (maternal depressive score)	Greater amygdala but no change in hippocampal volume Higher maternal depressive score predicted greater amygdala volume in children
Tottenham et al. ¹⁴⁰	7–12 yo M and F	Time spent in institutional care = 23.9 (15.1) months	Early neglect (institutionalized setting)	Number of months in orphanage care was positively correlated with amygdala volume Subjects in orphanage care showed deficits in emotion regulation
Tottenham et al. ¹⁴¹	8–11 yo M and F	Time spent in institutional care = 15 (10) months	Early neglect (institutionalized setting)	Increased amygdala activation to fearful faces in PI children No change in vmPFC activity in response to fearful faces in PI subjects as opposed to controls who show decrease in activity
Ganzel et al. ¹⁴²	10–15 yo M and F	After age 4	Varied (see manuscript for details)	Positive association between number of stressors and amygdala reactivity to emotional faces (fear vs. calm)
Edmiston et al. ¹⁴⁴	12–17 yo M and F	Childhood	Maltreatment (self-reported)	Reduced gray matter volumes in the corticostriatal limbic system (more potent deficits in females)
Hanson et al. ¹⁴⁵	9–15 yo M and F	Unclear	Early neglect, low SES, physical abuse	Smaller amygdala and hippocampal volume
Gianaros et al. ¹⁴³	18–21 yo M and F	Unclear	Low SES (low perception of parental standing)	Increased amygdala reactivity to threatening faces
Hanson et al. ¹⁴⁸	4–18 yo M and F	Unclear	Low SES	Lower hippocampal gray matter density (volume)

 Table 2. Effects of chronic stress on the adolescent brain in human studies.

(continued)

Table 2. Continued

Reference	Subjects	Age of stress	Stressor	Results
Nooner et al. ¹⁵⁵	13–17 yo M and F	Unclear	Death of parent, sibling, serious car accident, physical and sexual abuse	Reduced rs-fc between amygdala and frontoparietal regions
Herringa et al. ¹⁵⁶	18 yo M and F	Childhood	Maltreatment (self-reported)	Lower ACC-amygdala and ACC-hippocampus rs-fc in F and ACC-hippocampal rs-fc in M
Barch et al. ¹⁵⁸	3–6 yo M and F (at baseline, followed for 12 years)	Unclear (likely ongoing)	Childhood poverty	Poverty was associated with abnormal amygdala and hippocampal connect- ivity, which mediated the link between poverty and childhood depressive symptoms
Gee et al. ¹⁵⁹	7–17 yo M and F	Time spent in institutional care = 2–72 months	Early neglect (institutionalized setting)	Pl subjects showed a mature pattern of vmPFC-amygdala connectivity as opposed to controls who showed an immature pattern
Silvers et al. ¹⁶⁰	7–17 yo M and F	Time spent in institutional care = 3–120 months	Early neglect (institutionalized setting)	PI subjects showed a mature pattern of prefrontal-amygdala and prefrontal- hippocampal functional connectivity during an aversive learning paradigm
Marusak et al. ¹⁶¹	9–16 yo M and F (dominantly F and African-American)	Childhood	Trauma (type unclear)	Increased amygdala reactivity during an emotional-conflict task Absence of ACC-amygdala connectivity during an emotional-conflict task
Murrough et al. ¹⁶⁶	19–54 yo M and F	Adolescent	Severe trauma	Severe trauma was associated with reduced 5-HTIB receptor binding in amygdala, ACC, and caudate
De Bellis et al. ¹⁷³	4–15 yo M and F with PTSD secondary to maltreatment	Unclear	Maltreatment	Reduced NAA/Cre in ACC

M: male; F: female; yo: year-old; vmPFC: ventromedial prefrontal cortex; fcMRI: functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging; OFC: orbitofrontal cortex; FA: fractional anisotropy; PFC: prefrontal cortex; PI: previously institutionalized; SES: socioeconomic status; rs-FC: resting state functional connectivity; ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; NAA: N-acetylaspartate.

depression and SUD.^{174–176} Anhedonia is reflective of deficits in reward processing and has been shown to be associated with chronic stress.¹⁷⁷ Chronic mild stress in adult animals caused reduced intake of palatable liquids (anhedonic behavior), which lasted up to one month after cessation of the stress.^{178,179} Chronic social stress and early maternal deprivation also have been associated with anhedonia-like behaviors in adolescent and adult rodents,^{180–183} suggesting that chronic stress is associated with anhedonia across different stages of the lifespan, at least in rodent models.

Human studies have linked stress to anhedonia in adolescents. For example, sexual abuse between the ages of 7 and 12 was associated with behavioral and neural deficits in reward learning, one of the features of anhedonia in adulthood.¹⁸⁴ Further, young adults with maltreatment during childhood reported elevated anhedonia and rated rewarding stimuli less positively.¹⁸⁵ Similarly, adolescents who suffered childhood maltreatment exhibited elevated anhedonic symptoms.^{186,187} Another study in adolescents demonstrated that perceived stress interacts with genotype to influence reward responsiveness, a core component of anhedonia.¹⁸⁸ Blunted responsiveness to rewarding stimuli may increase the risk for depression and compensatory reward-seeking may increase the risk for maladaptive behaviors like substance abuse.¹⁸⁹ These studies suggest that chronic stress in adolescents causes anhedonia, which may confer vulnerability for psychopathologies.

Neurobiological Substrates of Anhedonia

Stress-induced dysregulation in corticolimbic circuits may drive stress-induced anhedonia, described in the previous section. Indeed, studies investigating the neurobiological

basis of anhedonia have consistently found involvement of the vmPFC, the OFC, and the ACC, regions critical for emotion regulation.¹⁷⁵ For instance, a fMRI study by Harvey et al.¹⁹⁰ reported a positive correlation between anhedonia severity and vmPFC activity while processing positive stimuli in non-clinical adult subjects. Further support for involvement of the ACC in anhedonia comes from a recent study in depressed adolescents reporting that anhedonia severity is associated with alterations in intrinsic functional connectivity between the striatum and the ACC.¹⁹¹ In a MRS study of adolescents, anhedonia severity was negatively correlated with GABA in the ACC,¹⁹² suggesting that dysfunctional GABAergic transmission in the ACC may contribute to anhedonia severity. Reduced responsiveness of the amygdala to positive stimuli is associated with anhedonic symptoms in adult depressed patients,¹⁹³ which may be related to its importance in attributing salience to environmental stimuli and production of affective states. The hippocampus also has been linked to stress-induced anhedonia. For example, anhedonia in adolescence associated with postnatal maternal separation is linked to altered hippocampal transmission.¹⁹⁴ Overall, these studies suggest that aberrant corticolimbic crosstalk may provide a neurobiological basis for stress-induced anhedonia.

From a neurotransmitter perspective, anhedonia is associated with dysfunction in DA transmission,175 which is consistent with the central role that DA plays in the reward system.¹⁹⁵ The mesocorticolimbic pathway drives approach behaviors and mediates positive reinforcement and learning.¹⁹⁶ Further, glutamate is a critical metabolite in hedonic processing with activation of glutamate receptors shortening the reaction time to stimuli predictive of reward.¹⁹⁷ 5-HT modulates DA release and hence also has been shown to play a regulatory role in reward processing.¹⁹⁸ These studies suggest that stress-induced dysfunction in DA, glutamate, and 5-HT transmission may be underlying neurochemical mechanisms (although not exclusive) in stress-induced anhedonia. Thus, the effects of stress on neural structures and neurotransmission may cause dysregulation of the reward circuit leading to anhedonia. This is in line with the fundamental tenet of RDoC that states that there exists a relationship between biology (e.g., circuits) and behaviors.

Cutting Across Diagnostic Boundaries: Depression and SUD Risk in Adolescence Share Neurobiological and Behavioral Commonalities

Adolescence is a time in development wherein a number of psychiatric illnesses emerge.²⁴ Depression and SUD are highly prevalent in adolescence and frequently present comorbidity, with the presence of both diagnoses related to more serious consequences, such as higher risk of suicide, greater impairment, and poorer prognosis than either diagnosis alone.^{13, 199–203} The high comorbidity of depression and SUD raises the important question of whether the two disorders are different symptomatic expressions of similar neurobiological abnormalities.^{4,12} An important bridging construct between depression and SUD is the preeminent role of chronic stress in the development of these disorders.⁴ The following sections elucidate the transdiagnostic biobehavioral processes in depression and SUD, considering chronic stress as an important environmental vulnerability factor, with an overall goal of identifying homogenous targets for treatment intervention.

Corticolimbic Circuit Dysfunction in Depression and SUD

Aberrant corticolimbic connectivity, measured by DTI and fMRI techniques, has been consistently linked to depression and SUD in adolescence. For example, a DTI study in depressed adolescents showed reduced fractional anisotropy (FA) in the uncinate fasciculus (UF), which connects the ACC and OFC to amygdala, implying reduced structural connectivity between these regions.²⁰⁴ Further, the rs-fc between amygdala, and vmPFC and dlPFC was reduced in adolescent depression.²⁰⁵ Together, these studies suggest that reduced corticalamygdala structural and functional connectivity may be the pathophysiology underlying adolescent depression. In addition, the rs-fc between the amygdala and several other regions (e.g., hippocampus, brainstem) is reduced in adolescent depression.²⁰⁶ With regard to the role of hippocampus, adolescent depression was associated with lower hippocampal volumes as well as reduced connectivity between the PFC and hippocampus.²⁰⁷⁻²⁰⁹ For example, using a combination of DTI and rs-fMRI techniques, Geng et al.²⁰⁹ showed compromised structural and functional PFC-hippocampus connectivity in firstepisode medication naïve adolescent depression. Further, adolescent depression is also characterized by aberrant functional crosstalk of the PFC with the striatum, a critical limbic region for reward processing. For instance, a task-based fMRI study showed altered activation of different PFC regions, including ACC and OFC and the striatum in response to reward in depressed adolescents.²¹⁰ Finally, depressed adolescents exhibited altered task-based and rs-fc between different cortical regions.^{211–213} We note that resting state and task-based connectivity studies have reported increased as well as decreased corticolimbic connectivity in adolescent depression. It is hypothesized that decreased connectivity could reflect ineffective recruitment of the PFC to manage amygdala activity while increased connectivity may reflect prolonged and persistent experience of negative emotion.²¹⁴ Together, these studies implicate altered corticolimbic crosstalk in adolescent depression.

Neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that corticolimbic dysregulation can increase risk for SUD. Studies that investigate neurobiological vulnerability factors in high-risk drug-naïve youth to eliminate the potential confounds of effects of drugs of abuse on brain function are of particular importance. Smaller OFC volumes at age 12 predicted initiation of cannabis use by age 16, suggesting structural abnormalities in the PFC, specifically the OFC, are a risk factor for initiation of cannabis use.²¹⁵ Concordantly, thinner and smaller prefrontal regions, particularly the dlPFC, were associated with future binge drinking in adolescents.²¹⁶ Reduced amygdala volume in adolescents was observed with a family history of alcohol dependence, implying that smaller amygdala volume could be a pre-existing risk factor for alcohol abuse.²¹⁷⁻²²⁰ Lower hippocampal volumes were consistently observed in adolescents with alcohol use disorder;^{221,222} however, high-risk (HR) alcohol-naïve adolescent males demonstrated larger hippocampal volumes than controls.²²³ These results suggest that lower hippocampal volume may be a consequence of heavy alcohol use rather than a risk factor that predates alcohol use. In addition to these volumetric findings, DTI and rsfMRI studies showed altered white matter integrity and rs-fc between the OFC and ventral striatum in HR substance-naïve adolescents.^{224–226} Task-based fMRI studies demonstrated altered patterns of activation in the corticostriatal network during risky decision-making and reward receipt in HR adolescents.^{227,228} Specifically, HR adolescents showed increased striatum, ACC and vmPFC activation with increasing risk of negative outcome compared to low-risk adolescents.²²⁷ Elevated activation of these regions was also observed during anticipation of reward-receipt in HR adolescents.²²⁸ In addition, abnormalities in activation of key PFC regions have been seen during facial emotion processing and response inhibition in HR adolescents.^{229,230} Further, adolescents at HR for SUD by virtue of having one parent diagnosed with SUD exhibited left amygdala hyperactivity in response to viewing affect-laden faces, indicative of emotion dysregulation.²³¹ In conclusion, there is converging evidence to support a role for abnormalities in corticolimbic networks, such as the PFC-amygdala, PFC-hippocampus, PFC-striatum, as a transnosological mechanism underlying depression and risk for SUD in adolescents.

From a neurochemical perspective, there are similar alterations in several neurotransmitters that are associated with depression and substance use (for review, see Marko et al.¹²). For example, it has been hypothesized that reduced 5-HT levels mediate depression, which is also seen during withdrawal from several drugs of

abuse. Dysfunction in DA transmission has been associated with both depression and substance use, with some arguing that low DA levels in depression drive individuals to "self-medicate" drugs of abuse. Further, it has been suggested that glial-cell-mediated glutamatergic dysfunction may contribute to the comorbidity.²³²

Anhedonia in Depression and Substance Use

Anhedonia is considered a core symptom, and a major risk factor and potential trait marker, for depression.²³³ In adolescents, anhedonia is considered to be an indicator of poor prognosis because it is associated with longer time to remission and fewer depression-free days.²³⁴ Anhedonia also has been associated with initiation and escalation of substance use in adolescents. Adolescents low in hedonic capacity were over two-and-a-half times more likely to have smoked a cigarette in the past month at age 15 and showed a 90% increase in smoking escalation over the next 18 months compared with adolescents with high hedonic capacity.^{235,236} Interestingly, in adolescents who had never smoked, those with higher anhedonia reported greater expectancies that smoking caused pleasure, suggesting that anticipated pleasure from smoking in anhedonic non-smoker adolescents may confer an initiation risk.²³⁷ Although there is evidence that anhedonia was positively associated with lifetime psychostimulant use and transition to dependence in adults,²³⁸ whether the association between anhedonia and psychostimulant use holds true in adolescents needs to be investigated. Together, these studies provide evidence that anhedonia is a common behavior observed in depression and initiation and escalation of substance use in adolescents.

Discussion

Future Directions

Despite substantial extant literature on the effects of chronic stress, additional investigations are needed to characterize the impact of stress during adolescence. First, the sex difference in stress-induced vulnerability to psychopathology during this developmental period requires further study. Interestingly, females who have been exposed to developmental stress are more likely to develop depression than males.¹⁷² Further, females are twice as likely as males to develop depression and also have higher levels of anxiety; this difference does not emerge until puberty, suggesting that sex differences in psychopathology may play an important role in adolescence.²³⁹ There also are sex differences with regard to the timing and trajectory of development of corticolimbic circuits during adolescence (for review, see Hammerslag and Gulley²⁴⁰). The complex interactions between sex and



Figure 3. A model showing interactions of chronic stress (environment) with neurobiology and behavior in adolescence, which may increase risk for comorbid disorders such as depression and SUD.

development may be an important factor contributing to the sex differences in stress-induced psychopathologies during adolescence. Second, further research into the stress-generation and diathesis-stress theories, which suggest that pre-existing individual vulnerabilities may predispose a person to a stress-induced psychopathology,²⁴¹ is vital. Third, the contribution of gene-environment interactions to stress-induced psychopathology needs to be investigated. For example, a recent study in adults showed that perceived chronic stress interacts with genotype to increase susceptibility of stress-induced psychopathology.²⁴² Future studies investigating factors influencing adolescent vulnerability to stress should include sex and genetic predispositions, which will help clarify differences in disease vulnerability. Further, most of the studies investigating effects of chronic stress are cross-sectional in design. Alterations in neurobiology reported due to chronic stress may have existed prior to the onset of stress, reflecting a risk/vulnerability factor rather than a consequence of stress. A longitudinal study design may reveal interactions with temporal changes in brain connectivity, metabolite concentration, and other brain-based measures, which have been shown to change with developmental stage.

An important consideration while interpreting the effects of stress on neurobiology is the heterogeneity of stress, especially in human studies. Stress effects may be different depending on the nature and timing of the stressor. Some of the divergent findings in human studies can be attributed to the uncontrollability of the temporal parameters of the stressor. For example, human studies include temporally diverse types of stress: chronic stress throughout development, stress limited to infancy or childhood, or chronic stress during adolescence, each of which may have differential effects on brain development (Figure 1).^{189,243,244} Further, difference in the nature of the stressor (e.g., abuse vs. poverty) and whether the stressor is present during the window of assessment are also important considerations. One study investigating whether brain regions showed differential vulnerability depending on the age of onset for chronic stress revealed that sexual abuse between the ages of 3-5 was associated

with reductions in hippocampal volume, whereas sexual abuse between the ages of 14–16 was associated with reductions in PFC volume,²⁴⁵ suggesting that stress-induced changes in different neural substrates may be aggravated or buffered depending on the timing of stress. Future human studies should investigate whether brain structures have different developmental windows (infancy vs. childhood vs. adolescence) during which they are most susceptible to influences of chronic stress (Tables 1 and 2).

Heuristic Model

Based on the findings described above, a heuristic model is suggested that appears to underlie a number of stressinduced changes (Figure 3). The model posits that dysregulation of corticolimbic circuits along with deficits in DA, 5-HT, and glutamate transmission may underlie independent diagnostic entities in adolescence. Alterations of the same biological circuitry contribute to transnosological behavioral domains, such as anhedonia that comprise distinct DSM diagnoses, depression and SUD. The model is complicated by inclusion of environmental and developmental influences, each of which may have bidirectional complex interactions with neural circuits, behavior, and diagnosis. Overall, this model proposes that chronic stress during development has profound effects on the ontogeny of neural circuits, both at an anatomical and molecular level. Stress-induced modifications in key brain networks may then cause dimensional changes in behavioral domains ultimately increasing the risk of psychopathologies. Using an example of depression and SUD, we hypothesize that seemingly distinct disorders may have similar neurobiological and behavioral signatures. Hence, treating the disorder only on the basis of the presenting signs and symptoms may not be adequate. Instead new approaches will need to target the underlying neurobiological pathophysiology. Based on the model, we propose that interventions that amend dysregulated corticolimbic circuits and rescue anhedonic behaviors may provide better prognostic outcomes in adolescents suffering from depression and SUD. Finally, chronic stress and development interact with all units of analysis of the RDoC matrix affecting molecules, circuits and behaviors. In light of this interaction, integration of environment and development in the RDoC matrix will strengthen the framework and enable a better understanding of their influence on the emergence of psychopathology.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research is supported by the Utah Science Technology and Research Initiative (USTAR); the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Consortium U01DA041134; and the Department of Veterans Affairs Rocky Mountain Network Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC). The views in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official policy or position of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

References

- 1. American Psychology Association. Stress in America. Paying with our health. 2015. Web. 22 September 2015.
- Malter Cohen M, Tottenham N, Casey BJ. Translational developmental studies of stress on brain and behavior: implications for adolescent mental health and illness? *Neuroscience*. 2013; 249: 53–62.
- Shonkoff JP, Boyce WT, McEwen BS. Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities: building a new framework for health promotion and disease prevention. JAMA. 2009; 301(21): 2252–2259.
- Brady KT, Sinha R. Co-occurring mental and substance use disorders: the neurobiological effects of chronic stress. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005; 162(8): 1483–1493.
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2009; 10(6): 434–445.
- Eiland L, Romeo RD. Stress and the developing adolescent brain. *Neuroscience*. 2013; 249: 162–171.
- Kim EY, Miklowitz DJ, Biuckians A, Mullen K. Life stress and the course of early-onset bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord. 2007; 99(1–3): 37–44.
- Dvir Y, Ford J, Hill M, Frazier J. Childhood maltreatment, emotional dysregulation, and psychiatric comorbidities. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2014; 22(3): 149–161.
- Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, et al. Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010; 167(7): 748–751.
- Bradley KA, Case JA, Freed RD, Stern ER, Gabbay V. Neural correlates of RDoC reward constructs in adolescents with diverse psychiatric symptoms: A Reward Flanker Task pilot study. J Affect Disord 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.11.042.
- Bennik EC, Nederhof E, Ormel J, Oldehinkel AJ. Anhedonia and depressed mood in adolescence: course, stability, and reciprocal relation in the TRAILS study. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014; 23(7): 579–586.
- Markou A, Kosten TR, Koob GF. Neurobiological similarities in depression and drug dependence: a self-medication hypothesis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1998; 18(3): 135–174.
- 13. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Lynskey MT. Prevalence and comorbidity of DSM-III-R diagnoses in a birth cohort of

15 year olds. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1993; 32(6): 1127–1134.

- Hyman SE. Can neuroscience be integrated into the DSM-V? Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007; 8(9): 725–732.
- Regier DA, Narrow WE, Kuhl EA, Kupfer DJ. The conceptual development of DSM-V. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009; 166(6): 645–650.
- Cuthbert BN, Insel TR. Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: the seven pillars of RDoC. *BMC Med.* 2013; 11: 126.
- Casey BJ, Oliveri ME, Insel T. A neurodevelopmental perspective on the research domain criteria (RDoC) framework. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2014; 76(5): 350–353.
- Lupien SJ, Sasseville M, François N, et al. The DSM5/ RDoC debate on the future of mental health research: implication for studies on human stress and presentation of the signature bank. *Stress.* 2017; 20(1): 95–111.
- Age limits and adolescents. *Paediatr Child Health.* 2003; 8(9): 577.
- 20. Arnone JM. Adolescents may be older than we think: today 25 is the new 18, or is it? *Int J Celiac Dis.* 2014; 2(2): 47–48.
- Andersen SL, Thompson AT, Rutstein M, Hostetter JC, Teicher MH. Dopamine receptor pruning in prefrontal cortex during the periadolescent period in rats. *Synapse*. 2000; 37(2): 167–169.
- Larson R, Ham M. Stress and "storm and stress" in early adolescence: the relationship of negative events with dysphoric affect. *Dev Psychol.* 1993; 29(1): 130–140.
- Seidman E, Allen L, Aber JL, Mitchell C, Feinman J. The impact of school transitions in early adolescence on the selfsystem and perceived social context of poor urban youth. *Child Dev.* 1994; 65(2 Spec No): 507–522.
- Paus T, Keshavan M, Giedd JN. Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during adolescence? *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008; 9(12): 947–957.
- Hankin BL, Abramson LY, Moffitt TE, Silva PA, McGee R, Angell KE. Development of depression from preadolescence to young adulthood: emerging gender differences in a 10-year longitudinal study. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 1998; 107(1): 128–140.
- Grant KE, Compas BE, Thurm AE, McMahon SD, Gipson PY. Stressors and child and adolescent psychopathology: measurement issues and prospective effects. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.* 2004; 33(2): 412–425.
- Turner RJ, Lloyd DA. Stress burden and the lifetime incidence of psychiatric disorder in young adults: racial and ethnic contrasts. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004; 61(5): 481–488.
- Juster RP, Bizik G, Picard M, et al. A transdisciplinary perspective of chronic stress in relation to psychopathology throughout life span development. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2011; 23(3): 725–776.
- McLoyd VC. Socioeconomic disadvantage and child development. Am Psychol. 1998; 53(2): 185–204.
- Worsham NL, Compas BE, Ey S. Children's coping with parental illness. In: Wolchik SA, Sandler IN (eds) *Handbook of Children's Coping: Linking Theory and Intervention*. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1997, pp.195–213.

- Kliewer W. Children's coping with chronic illness. In: Wolchik SA, Sandler IN (eds) *Handbook of Children's Coping: Linking Theory and Intervention*. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1997, pp.275–300.
- Manly JT, Cicchetti D, Barnett D. The impact of subtype, frequency, chronicity, and severity of child maltreatment on social competence and behavior problems. *Dev Psychopathol.* 1994; 6(1): 121–143.
- Huttenlocher PR. Synaptic density in human frontal cortex – developmental changes and effects of aging. *Brain Res.* 1979; 163(2): 195–205.
- 34. Gan WB, Kwon E, Feng G, Sanes JR, Lichtman JW. Synaptic dynamism measured over minutes to months: age-dependent decline in an autonomic ganglion. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003; 6(9): 956–960.
- Zuo Y, Lin A, Chang P, Gan WB. Development of longterm dendritic spine stability in diverse regions of cerebral cortex. *Neuron*. 2005; 46(2): 181–189.
- Grutzendler J, Kasthuri N, Gan WB. Long-term dendritic spine stability in the adult cortex. *Nature*. 2002; 420(6917): 812–816.
- Pattwell SS, Duhoux S, Hartley CA, et al. Altered fear learning across development in both mouse and human. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109(40): 16318–16323.
- Giedd JN, Blumenthal J, Jeffries NO, et al. Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal MRI study. *Nat Neurosci.* 1999; 2(10): 861–863.
- Giedd JN, Rapoport JL. Structural MRI of pediatric brain development: what have we learned and where are we going? *Neuron*. 2010; 67(5): 728–734.
- Giedd JN, Vaituzis AC, Hamburger SD, et al. Quantitative MRI of the temporal lobe, amygdala, and hippocampus in normal human development: ages 4-18 years. *J Comp Neurol.* 1996; 366(2): 223–230.
- Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, et al. Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101(21): 8174–8179.
- 42. Paus T, Zijdenbos A, Worsley K, et al. Structural maturation of neural pathways in children and adolescents: in vivo study. *Science*. 1999; 283(5409): 1908–1911.
- Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Holmes CJ, Jernigan TL, Toga AW. In vivo evidence for post-adolescent brain maturation in frontal and striatal regions. *Nat Neurosci.* 1999; 2(10): 859–861.
- Meyer G, Ferres-Torres R, Mas M. The effects of puberty and castration on hippocampal dendritic spines of mice. A Golgi study. *Brain Res* 1978; 155(1): 108–112.
- 45. Payne C, Machado CJ, Bliwise NG, Bachevalier J. Maturation of the hippocampal formation and amygdala in Macaca mulatta: a volumetric magnetic resonance imaging study. *Hippocampus*. 2010; 20(8): 922–935.
- Romeo RD, Sisk CL. Pubertal and seasonal plasticity in the amygdala. *Brain Res.* 2001; 889(1–2): 71–77.
- Andersen SL, Teicher MH. Delayed effects of early stress on hippocampal development. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29(11): 1988–1993.

- Cooke BM, Jordan CL, Breedlove SM. Pubertal growth of the medial amygdala delayed by short photoperiods in the Siberian hamster, Phodopus sungorus. *Horm Behav.* 2007; 52(3): 283–288.
- 49. Isgor C, Kabbaj M, Akil H, Watson SJ. Delayed effects of chronic variable stress during peripubertal-juvenile period on hippocampal morphology and on cognitive and stress axis functions in rats. *Hippocampus*. 2004; 14(5): 636–648.
- Tottenham N, Sheridan MA. A review of adversity, the amygdala and the hippocampus: a consideration of developmental timing. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2009; 3: 68.
- Laviola G, Adriani W, Terranova ML, Gerra G. Psychobiological risk factors for vulnerability to psychostimulants in human adolescents and animal models. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 1999; 23(7): 993–1010.
- Spear LP. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2000; 24(4): 417–463.
- Ernst M, Nelson EE, Jazbec S. Amygdala and nucleus accumbens in responses to receipt and omission of gains in adults and adolescents. *Neuroimage*. 2005; 25(4): 1279–1291.
- Galvan A, Hare T, Voss H, Glover G, Casey BJ. Risktaking and the adolescent brain: who is at risk? *Dev Sci.* 2007; 10(2): F8–F14.
- Galvan A, Hare TA, Parra CE. Earlier development of the accumbens relative to orbitofrontal cortex might underlie risk-taking behavior in adolescents. *J Neurosci.* 2006; 26(25): 6885–6892.
- Casey BJ, Jones RM, Hare TA. The adolescent brain. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2008; 1124: 111–126.
- Chambers RA, Taylor JR, Potenza MN. Developmental neurocircuitry of motivation in adolescence: a critical period of addiction vulnerability. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(6): 1041–1052.
- Crews F, He J, Hodge C. Adolescent cortical development: a critical period of vulnerability for addiction. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2007; 86(2): 189–199.
- Cunningham MG, Bhattacharyya S, Benes FM. Amygdalocortical sprouting continues into early adulthood: implications for the development of normal and abnormal function during adolescence. *J Comp Neurol.* 2002; 453(2): 116–130.
- Ernst M, Fudge JL. A developmental neurobiological model of motivated behavior: anatomy, connectivity and ontogeny of the triadic nodes. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2009; 33(3): 367–382.
- Casey B, Jones RM, Somerville LH. Braking and accelerating of the adolescent brain. J Res Adolesc. 2011; 21(1): 21–33.
- Killgore WD, Yurgelun-Todd DA. Unconscious processing of facial affect in children and adolescents. *Soc Neurosci*. 2007; 2(1): 28–47.
- Blakemore SJ, Choudhury S. Development of the adolescent brain: implications for executive function and social cognition. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2006; 47(3–4): 296–312.
- Ernst M, Mueller SC. The adolescent brain: insights from functional neuroimaging research. *Dev Neurobiol*. 2008; 68(6): 729–743.

- Casey BJ, Duhoux S, Malter Cohen M. Adolescence: what do transmission, transition, and translation have to do with it? *Neuron*. 2010; 67(5): 749–760.
- 66. Yurgelun-Todd D. Emotional and cognitive changes during adolescence. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2007; 17(2): 251–257.
- Masten AS, Cicchetti D. Developmental cascades. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2010; 22(3): 491–495.
- Cohen RA, Grieve S, Hoth KF, et al. Early life stress and morphometry of the adult anterior cingulate cortex and caudate nuclei. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006; 59(10): 975–982.
- Kolb B, Gibb R. Brain plasticity and behaviour in the developing brain. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011; 20(4): 265–276.
- Ojeda SR, Lomniczi A, Mastronardi C. Minireview: the neuroendocrine regulation of puberty: is the time ripe for a systems biology approach? *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147(3): 1166–1174.
- Vale W, Spiess J, Rivier C, Rivier J. Characterization of a 41-residue ovine hypothalamic peptide that stimulates secretion of corticotropin and beta-endorphin. *Science*. 1981; 213(4514): 1394–1397.
- Rivier C, Vale W. Modulation of stress-induced ACTH release by corticotropin-releasing factor, catecholamines and vasopressin. *Nature*. 1983; 305(5932): 325–327.
- Munck A, Guyre PM, Holbrook NJ. Physiological functions of glucocorticoids in stress and their relation to pharmacological actions. *Endocr Rev.* 1984; 5(1): 25–44.
- Glaser D. Child abuse and neglect and the brain—a review. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2000; 41(1): 97–116.
- 75. Gunnar M, Quevedo K. The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2007; 58: 145–173.
- Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE, Lind RW. Regulation of multiple peptides in CRF parvocellular neurosecretory neurons: implications for the stress response. *Prog Brain Res.* 1986; 68: 169–190.
- Fuxe K, Diaz R, Cintra A, et al. On the role of glucocorticoid receptors in brain plasticity. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 1996; 16(2): 239–258.
- Cintra A, Bhatnagar M, Chadi G, et al. Glial and neuronal glucocorticoid receptor immunoreactive cell populations in developing, adult, and aging brain. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1994; 746: 42–61; discussion 61–63.
- De Nicola M, Ferrini M, Gonzalez SL, et al. Regulation of gene expression by corticoid hormones in the brain and spinal cord. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 1998; 65(1–6): 253–272.
- Perlman WR, Webster MJ, Herman MM, Kleinman JE, Weickert CS. Age-related differences in glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels in the human brain. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2007; 28(3): 447–458.
- McEwen BS. Glucocorticoids, depression, and mood disorders: structural remodeling in the brain. *Metabolism*. 2005; 54(5 Suppl 1): 20–23.
- Burghy CA, Stodola DE, Ruttle PL, et al. Developmental pathways to amygdala-prefrontal function and internalizing symptoms in adolescence. *Nat Neurosci.* 2012; 15(12): 1736–1741.
- 83. Vazquez DM, Akil H. Pituitary-adrenal response to ether vapor in the weanling animal: characterization of the

inhibitory effect of glucocorticoids on adrenocorticotropin secretion. *Pediatr Res.* 1993; 34(5): 646–653.

- Romeo RD, Karatsoreos IN, McEwen BS. Pubertal maturation and time of day differentially affect behavioral and neuroendocrine responses following an acute stressor. *Horm Behav.* 2006; 50(3): 463–468.
- Romeo RD, Lee SJ, Chhua N, McPherson CR, McEwen BS. Testosterone cannot activate an adult-like stress response in prepubertal male rats. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2004; 79(3): 125–132.
- Romeo RD, Lee SJ, McEwen BS. Differential stress reactivity in intact and ovariectomized prepubertal and adult female rats. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2004; 80(6): 387–393.
- Romeo RD, Bellani R, Karatsoreos IN, et al. Stress history and pubertal development interact to shape hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis plasticity. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147(4): 1664–1674.
- Li C, Liu Y, Yin S. Long-term effects of early adolescent stress: dysregulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and central corticotropin releasing factor receptor 1 expression in adult male rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2015; 288: 39–49.
- Gunnar MR, Wewerka S, Frenn K, Long JD, Griggs C. Developmental changes in hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal activity over the transition to adolescence: normative changes and associations with puberty. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2009; 21(1): 69–85.
- Kalisch R, Korenfeld E, Stephan KE, Weiskopf N, Seymour B, Dolan RJ. Context-dependent human extinction memory is mediated by a ventromedial prefrontal and hippocampal network. *J Neurosci*. 2006; 26(37): 9503–9511.
- Nair HP, Berndt JD, Barrett D, Gonzalez-Lima F. Metabolic mapping of brain regions associated with behavioral extinction in preweanling rats. *Brain Res.* 2001; 903(1– 2): 141–153.
- Morgan MA, LeDoux JE. Contribution of ventrolateral prefrontal cortex to the acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear in rats. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 1999; 72(3): 244–251.
- Phelps EA, Delgado MR, Nearing KI, LeDoux JE. Extinction learning in humans: role of the amygdala and vmPFC. *Neuron*. 2004; 43(6): 897–905.
- Ferenczi FA, Zalocusky KA, Liston C, et al. Prefrontal cortical regulation of brainwide circuit dynamics and reward-related behavior. *Science*. 2016; 351(6268): aac9698.
- Ramnani N, Owen AM. Anterior prefrontal cortex: insights into function from anatomy and neuroimaging. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2004; 5(3): 184–194.
- 96. Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 2001; 24: 167–202.
- Arnsten AF, Jin LE. Molecular influences on working memory circuits in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* 2014; 122: 211–231.
- Barbey AK, Koenigs M, Grafman J. Dorsolateral prefrontal contributions to human working memory. *Cortex*. 2013; 49(5): 1195–1205.
- 99. Hadland KA, Rushworth MF, Passingham RE, Jahanshahi M, Rothwell JC. Interference with performance of a response selection task that has no working memory component: an rTMS comparison of the dorsolateral prefrontal

and medial frontal cortex. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2001; 13(8): 1097–1108.

- Cools R, Clark L, Owen AM, Robbins TW. Defining the neural mechanisms of probabilistic reversal learning using event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. J Neurosci. 2002; 22(11): 4563–4567.
- 101. Dove A, Pollmann S, Schubert T, Wiggins CJ, von Cramon DY. Prefrontal cortex activation in task switching: an event-related fMRI study. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res.* 2000; 9(1): 103–109.
- 102. Petrides M. Frontal lobes and behaviour. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 1994; 4(2): 207–211.
- 103. Liu X, Hairston J, Schrier M, Fan J. Common and distinct networks underlying reward valence and processing stages: a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2011; 35(5): 1219–1236.
- 104. Knutson B, Fong GW, Bennett SM, Adams CM, Hommer D. A region of mesial prefrontal cortex tracks monetarily rewarding outcomes: characterization with rapid eventrelated fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2003; 18(2): 263–272.
- Lebron K, Milad MR, Quirk GJ. Delayed recall of fear extinction in rats with lesions of ventral medial prefrontal cortex. *Learn Mem.* 2004; 11(5): 544–548.
- 106. Milad MR, Wright CI, Orr SP, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ, Rauch SL. Recall of fear extinction in humans activates the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in concert. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007; 62(5): 446–454.
- 107. Hu C, Jiang X. An emotion regulation role of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in moral judgment. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2014; 8: 873.
- Koenigs M, Tranel D. Irrational economic decisionmaking after ventromedial prefrontal damage: evidence from the Ultimatum Game. J Neurosci. 2007; 27(4): 951–956.
- Bechara A, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. Deciding advantageously before knowing the advantageous strategy. *Science*. 1997; 275(5304): 1293–1295.
- 110. Bachevalier J, Loveland KA. The orbitofrontal-amygdala circuit and self-regulation of social-emotional behavior in autism. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2006; 30(1): 97–117.
- 111. Hanson JL, Chung MK, Avants BB, et al. Early stress is associated with alterations in the orbitofrontal cortex: a tensor-based morphometry investigation of brain structure and behavioral risk. *J Neurosci.* 2010; 30(22): 7466–7472.
- 112. Stevens FL, Hurley RA, Taber KH. Anterior cingulate cortex: unique role in cognition and emotion. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2011; 23(2): 121–125.
- 113. Eiland L, Ramroop J, Hill MN, Manley J, McEwen BS. Chronic juvenile stress produces corticolimbic dendritic architectural remodeling and modulates emotional behavior in male and female rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2012; 37(1): 39–47.
- 114. Leussis MP, Andersen SL. Is adolescence a sensitive period for depression? Behavioral and neuroanatomical findings from a social stress model. *Synapse*. 2008; 62(1): 22–30.
- 115. Leussis MP, Lawson K, Stone K, Andersen SL. The enduring effects of an adolescent social stressor on synaptic density, part II: poststress reversal of synaptic loss in

the cortex by adinazolam and MK-801. *Synapse*. 2008; 62(3): 185–192.

- 116. Radley JJ, Rocher AB, Janssen WG, Hof PR, McEwen BS, Morrison JH. Reversibility of apical dendritic retraction in the rat medial prefrontal cortex following repeated stress. *Exp Neurol.* 2005; 196(1): 199–203.
- 117. Hanson JL, Adluru N, Chung MK, Alexander AL, Davidson RJ, Pollak SD. Early neglect is associated with alterations in white matter integrity and cognitive functioning. *Child Dev.* 2013; 84(5): 1566–1578.
- 118. Hanson JL, Chung MK, Avants BB, et al. Structural variations in prefrontal cortex mediate the relationship between early childhood stress and spatial working memory. *J Neurosci.* 2012; 32(23): 7917–7925.
- 119. Morey RA, Haswell CC, Hooper SR, De Bellis MD. Amygdala, hippocampus, and ventral medial prefrontal cortex volumes differ in maltreated youth with and without chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2016; 41(3): 791–801.
- Phan KL, Wager T, Taylor SF, Liberzon I. Functional neuroanatomy of emotion: a meta-analysis of emotion activation studies in PET and fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2002; 16(2): 331–348.
- 121. Phillips ML, Medford N, Young AW, et al. Time courses of left and right amygdalar responses to fearful facial expressions. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2001; 12(4): 193–202.
- 122. Roy AK, Shehzad Z, Margulies DS, et al. Functional connectivity of the human amygdala using resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2009; 45(2): 614–626.
- 123. Bohbot VD, Iaria G, Petrides M. Hippocampal function and spatial memory: evidence from functional neuroimaging in healthy participants and performance of patients with medial temporal lobe resections. *Neuropsychology*. 2004; 18(3): 418–425.
- 124. Cohen NJ, Eichenbaum H. The theory that wouldn't die: a critical look at the spatial mapping theory of hippocampal function. *Hippocampus*. 1991; 1(3): 265–268.
- 125. Price JL, Drevets WC. Neural circuits underlying the pathophysiology of mood disorders. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2012; 16(1): 61–71.
- 126. Phelps EA, LeDoux JE. Contributions of the amygdala to emotion processing: from animal models to human behavior. *Neuron*. 2005; 48(2): 175–187.
- 127. Fanselow MS, Dong HW. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? *Neuron*. 2010; 65(1): 7–19.
- 128. Padival MA, Blume SR, Vantrease JE, Rosenkranz JA. Qualitatively different effect of repeated stress during adolescence on principal neuron morphology across lateral and basal nuclei of the rat amygdala. *Neuroscience*. 2015; 291: 128–145.
- 129. Rau AR, Chappell AM, Butler TR, Ariwodola OJ, Weiner JL. Increased basolateral amygdala pyramidal cell excitability may contribute to the anxiogenic phenotype induced by chronic early-life stress. J Neurosci. 2015; 35(26): 9730–9740.
- Malter Cohen M, Jing D, Yang RR, Tottenham N, Lee FS, Casey BJ. Early-life stress has persistent effects on amygdala function and development in mice and

humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(45): 18274–18278.

- 131. Barha CK, Brummelte S, Lieblich SE, Galea LA. Chronic restraint stress in adolescence differentially influences hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function and adult hippocampal neurogenesis in male and female rats. *Hippocampus*. 2011; 21(11): 1216–1227.
- 132. McCormick CM, Nixon F, Thomas C, Lowie B, Dyck J. Hippocampal cell proliferation and spatial memory performance after social instability stress in adolescence in female rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2010; 208(1): 23–29.
- 133. McCormick CM, Thomas CM, Sheridan CS, Nixon F, Flynn JA, Mathews IZ. Social instability stress in adolescent male rats alters hippocampal neurogenesis and produces deficits in spatial location memory in adulthood. *Hippocampus*. 2012; 22(6): 1300–1312.
- 134. Bath KG, Manzano-Nieves G, Goodwill H. Early life stress accelerates behavioral and neural maturation of the hippocampus in male mice. *Horm Behav.* 2016; 82: 64–71.
- 135. Gogtay n, Nugent TF 3rd, Herman DH, et al. Dynamic mapping of normal human hippocampal development. *Hippocampus*. 2006; 16(8): 664–672.
- 136. van Praag H, Shubert T, Zhao C, Gage FH. Exercise enhances learning and hippocampal neurogenesis in aged mice. J Neurosci. 2005; 25(38): 8680–8685.
- 137. Karl A, Schaefer M, Malta LS, Dörfel D, Rohleder N, Werner A. A meta-analysis of structural brain abnormalities in PTSD. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2006; 30(7): 1004–1031.
- Woon FL, Hedges DW. Hippocampal and amygdala volumes in children and adults with childhood maltreatmentrelated posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *Hippocampus*. 2008; 18(8): 729–736.
- 139. Lupien SJ, Parent S, Evans AC, et al. Larger amygdala but no change in hippocampal volume in 10-year-old children exposed to maternal depressive symptomatology since birth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011; 108(34): 14324–14329.
- 140. Tottenham T, Hare TA, Quinn BT, et al. Prolonged institutional rearing is associated with atypically larger amygdala volume and difficulties in emotion regulation. *Dev Sci.* 2010; 13(1): 46.
- 141. Tottenham N, Hare TA, Millner A, Gilhooly T, Zevin JD, Casey BJ. Elevated amygdala response to faces following early deprivation. *Dev Sci.* 2011; 14(2): 190–204.
- 142. Ganzel BL, Kim P, Gilmore H, Tottenham N, Temple E. Stress and the healthy adolescent brain: evidence for the neural embedding of life events. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2013; 25(4 Pt 1): 879–889.
- 143. Gianaros PJ, Horenstein JA, Hariri AR, et al. Potential neural embedding of parental social standing. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* 2008; 3(2): 91–96.
- 144. Edmiston EE, Wang F, Mazure CM, et al. Corticostriatallimbic gray matter morphology in adolescents with selfreported exposure to childhood maltreatment. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2011; 165(12): 1069–1077.
- 145. Hanson JL, Nacewicz BM, Sutterer MJ, et al. Behavioral problems after early life stress: contributions of the

hippocampus and amygdala. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015; 77(4): 314–323.

- 146. De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS, Shifflett H, et al. Brain structures in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder: a sociodemographically matched study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2002; 52(11): 1066–1078.
- 147. De Bellis MD, Hall J, Boring AM, Frustaci K, Moritz G. A pilot longitudinal study of hippocampal volumes in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 50(4): 305–309.
- 148. Hanson JL, Chandra A, Wolfe BL, Pollak SD. Association between income and the hippocampus. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(5): e18712.
- Carrion VG, Weems CF, Eliez S, et al. Attenuation of frontal asymmetry in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 50(12): 943–951.
- De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS, Clark DB, et al. A.E. Bennett Research Award. Developmental traumatology, part II: brain development. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1999; 45(10): 1271–1284.
- 151. Bremner JD, Vythilingam M, Vermetten E, et al. MRI and PET study of deficits in hippocampal structure and function in women with childhood sexual abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(5): 924–932.
- 152. Rao H, Betancourt L, Giannetta JM, et al. Early parental care is important for hippocampal maturation: evidence from brain morphology in humans. *Neuroimage*. 2010; 49(1): 1144–1150.
- 153. Barbas H, Saha S, Rempel-Clower N, Ghashghaei T. Serial pathways from primate prefrontal cortex to autonomic areas may influence emotional expression. *BMC Neurosci.* 2003; 4: : 25.
- 154. Navawongse R, Eichenbaum H. Distinct pathways for rule-based retrieval and spatial mapping of memory representations in hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2013; 33(3): 1002–1013.
- 155. Nooner KB, Mennes M, Brown S, et al. Relationship of trauma symptoms to amygdala-based functional brain changes in adolescents. *J Trauma Stress.* 2013; 26(6): 784–787.
- 156. Herringa RJ, Birn RM, Ruttle PL, et al. Childhood maltreatment is associated with altered fear circuitry and increased internalizing symptoms by late adolescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013; 110(47): 19119–19124.
- 157. Thomason ME, Marusak HA, Tocco MA, Vila AM, McGarragle O, Rosenberg DR. Altered amygdala connectivity in urban youth exposed to trauma. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* 2015; 10(11): 1460–1468.
- 158. Barch D, Pagliaccio D1, Belden A, et al. Effect of hippocampal and amygdala connectivity on the relationship between preschool poverty and school-age depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016; 173(6): 625–634.
- 159. Gee DG, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Flannery J, et al. Early developmental emergence of human amygdala-prefrontal connectivity after maternal deprivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013; 110(39): 15638–15643.
- 160. Silvers JA, Lumian DS, Gabard-Durnam L, et al. previous institutionalization is followed by broader amygdala-hip-pocampal-PFC network connectivity during aversive

learning in human development. J Neurosci. 2016; 36(24): : 6420–6430.

- Marusak HA, Martin KR, Etkin A, Thomason ME. Childhood trauma exposure disrupts the automatic regulation of emotional processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2015; 40(5): : 1250–1258.
- 162. de Lima AP, Sandini TM, Reis-Silva TM, Massoco CO. Long-lasting monoaminergic and behavioral dysfunctions in a mice model of socio-environmental stress during adolescence. *Behav Brain Res.* 2017; 317: 132–140.
- 163. Novik AM, Forster GL, Tejani-Butt SM, Watt MJ. Adolescent social defeat alters markers of adult dopaminergic function. *Brain Res Bull.* 2011; 86(1–2): : 123–128.
- 164. Watt MJ, Burke AR, Renner KJ, Forster GL. Adolescent male rats exposed to social defeat exhibit altered anxiety behavior and limbic monoamines as adults. *Behav Neurosci.* 2009; 123(3): 564–576.
- 165. Wright LD, Hebert KE, Perrot-Sinal TS. Periadolescent stress exposure exerts long-term effects on adult stress responding and expression of prefrontal dopamine receptors in male and female rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2008; 33(2): 130–142.
- 166. Murrough JW, Czermak C, Henry S, et al. The effect of early trauma exposure on serotonin type 1B receptor expression revealed by reduced selective radioligand binding. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011; 68(9): 892–900.
- 167. Zhang J, Abdallah CG, Chen Y, et al. Behavioral deficits, abnormal corticosterone, and reduced prefrontal metabolites of adolescent rats subject to early life stress. *Neurosci Lett.* 2013; 545: 132–137.
- Lefebvre D, Langevin LM, Jaworska N, et al. A pilot study of hippocampal N-acetyl-aspartate in youth with treatment resistant major depression. J Affect Disord. 2017; 207: 110–113.
- 169. Roceri M, Hendriks W, Racagni G, Ellenbroek BA, Riva MA. Early maternal deprivation reduces the expression of BDNF and NMDA receptor subunits in rat hippocampus. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2002; 7(6): : 609–616.
- Martisova E, Solas M, Horrillo I, et al. Long lasting effects of early-life stress on glutamatergic/GABAergic circuitry in the rat hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62(5–6): 1944–1953.
- 171. Sanacora G, Treccani G, Popoli M. Towards a glutamate hypothesis of depression: an emerging frontier of neuropsychopharmacology for mood disorders. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62(1): 63–77.
- 172. Kessler RC. Epidemiology of women and depression. J Affect Disord. 2003; 74(1): : 5–13.
- 173. De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS, Spencer S, Hall J. N-Acetylaspartate concentration in the anterior cingulate of maltreated children and adolescents with PTSD. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000; 157(7): 1175–1177.
- 174. Sternat T, Katzman MA. Neurobiology of hedonic tone: the relationship between treatment-resistant depression, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and substance abuse. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2016; 12: 2149–2164.
- 175. Gorwood P. Neurobiological mechanisms of anhedonia. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2008; 10(3): 291–299.
- 176. Snaith RP. Identifying depression: the significance of anhedonia. *Hosp Pract (Off Ed)*. 1993; 28(9a): 55–60.

- 177. Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2014; 10: 393–423.
- 178. Bekris S, Antoniou K, Daskas S, Papadopoulou-Daifoti Z. Behavioural and neurochemical effects induced by chronic mild stress applied to two different rat strains. *Behav Brain Res.* 2005; 161(1): 45–59.
- 179. Elizalde N, Gil-Bea FJ, Ramírez MJ, et al. Long-lasting behavioral effects and recognition memory deficit induced by chronic mild stress in mice: effect of antidepressant treatment. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2008; 199(1): 1–14.
- 180. Rygula R, Abumaria N, Havemann-Reinecke U, et al. Pharmacological validation of a chronic social stress model of depression in rats: effects of reboxetine, haloperidol and diazepam. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2008; 19(3): 183–196.
- 181. Rüedi-Bettschen D, Pedersen EM, Feldon J, Pryce CR. Early deprivation under specific conditions leads to reduced interest in reward in adulthood in Wistar rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2005; 156(2): 297–310.
- 182. Iñiguez SD, Riggs LM, Nieto SJ, et al. Social defeat stress induces a depression-like phenotype in adolescent male c57BL/6 mice. *Stress.* 2014; 17(3): 247–255.
- 183. Resende LS, Amaral CE, Soares RB, et al. Social stress in adolescents induces depression and brain-region-specific modulation of the transcription factor MAX. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2016; 6(10): e914.
- 184. Pechtel P, Pizzagalli DA. Disrupted reinforcement learning and maladaptive behavior in women with a history of childhood sexual abuse: a high-density eventrelated potential study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013; 70(5): 499–507.
- 185. Dillon DG, Holmes AJ, Birk JL, Brooks N, Lyons-Ruth K, Pizzagalli DA. Childhood adversity is associated with left basal ganglia dysfunction during reward anticipation in adulthood. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 66(3): 206–213.
- 186. Lumley MN, Harkness KL. Specificity in the relations among childhood adversity, early maladaptive schemas, and symptom profiles in adolescent depression. *Cogn Ther Res.* 2007; 31(5): 639–657.
- 187. Wechsler-Zimring A, Kearney CA. Posttraumatic stress and related symptoms among neglected and physically and sexually maltreated adolescents. *J Trauma Stress*. 2011; 24(5): 601–604.
- 188. Nikolova Y, Bogdan R, Pizzagalli DA. Perception of a naturalistic stressor interacts with 5-HTTLPR/rs25531 genotype and gender to impact reward responsiveness. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2012; 65(1): 45–54.
- Pechtel P, Pizzagalli DA. Effects of early life stress on cognitive and affective function: an integrated review of human literature. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2011; 214(1): 55–70.
- 190. Harvey PO, Pruessner J, Czechowska Y, Lepage M. Individual differences in trait anhedonia: a structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging study in non-clinical subjects. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2007; 12(8): 703, 767–775.
- 191. Gabbay V, Ely BA, Li Q, et al. Striatum-based circuitry of adolescent depression and anhedonia. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2013; 52(6): 628–641. e13.

- 192. Gabbay V, Mao X, Klein RG, et al. Anterior cingulate cortex gamma-aminobutyric acid in depressed adolescents: relationship to anhedonia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012; 69(2): 139–149.
- 193. Stuhrmann A, Dohm K, Kugel H, et al. Mood-congruent amygdala responses to subliminally presented facial expressions in major depression: associations with anhedonia. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2013; 38(4): 249–258.
- 194. Yang L, Xu T, Zhang K, et al. The essential role of hippocampal alpha6 subunit-containing GABAA receptors in maternal separation stress-induced adolescent depressive behaviors. *Behav Brain Res.* 2016; 313: 135–143.
- 195. Wise RA. Neurobiology of addiction. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 1996; 6(2): 243–251.
- Schultz W. Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. J Neurophysiol. 1998; 80(1): 1–27.
- 197. Hauber W, Bohn I, Giertler C. NMDA, but not dopamine D(2), receptors in the rat nucleus accumbens areinvolved in guidance of instrumental behavior by stimuli predicting reward magnitude. *J Neurosci.* 2000; 20(16): 6282–6288.
- Yan QS. Activation of 5-HT2A/2C receptors within the nucleus accumbens increases local dopaminergic transmission. *Brain Res Bull.* 2000; 51(1): 75–81.
- 199. Costello EJ, Erkanli A, Federman E, Angold A. Development of psychiatric comorbidity with substance abuse in adolescents: effects of timing and sex. *J Clin Child Psychol.* 1999; 28(3): 298–311.
- 200. Costello EJ, Mustillo S, Erkanli A, Keeler G, Angold A. Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003; 60(8): 837–844.
- 201. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, McLaughlin KA, et al. Lifetime co-morbidity of DSM-IV disorders in the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Psychol Med.* 2012; 42(9): 1997–2010.
- 202. Rao U, Ryan ND, Dahl RE, et al. Factors associated with the development of substance use disorder in depressed adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999; 38(9): 1109–1117.
- Beauchaine TP, McNulty T. Comorbidities and continuities as ontogenic processes: toward a developmental spectrum model of externalizing psychopathology. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2013; 25(4 Pt 2): 1505–1528.
- 204. LeWinn KZ, Connolly CG, Wu J, et al. White matter correlates of adolescent depression: structural evidence for frontolimbic disconnectivity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014; 53(8): 899–909, 909.e1–e7.
- 205. Connolly CG, Ho TC, Blom EH, et al. Resting-state functional connectivity of the amygdala and longitudinal changes in depression severity in adolescent depression. J Affect Disord. 2017; 207: 86–94.
- 206. Cullen KR, Westlund MK, Klimes-Dougan B, et al. Abnormal amygdala resting-state functional connectivity in adolescent depression. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014; 71(10): 1138–1147.
- 207. Rao U, Chen LA, Bidesi AS, Shad MU, Thomas MA, Hammen CL. Hippocampal changes associated with early-life adversity and vulnerability to depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(4): 357–364.

- 208. MacMaster FP, Kusumakar V. Hippocampal volume in early onset depression. *BMC Med.* 2004; 2: 2.
- 209. Geng H, Wu F, Kong L, et al. Disrupted structural and functional connectivity in prefrontal-hippocampus circuitry in first-episode medication-naive adolescent depression. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(2): e0148345.
- Forbes EE, Christopher May J, Siegle GJ, et al. Rewardrelated decision-making in pediatric major depressive disorder: an fMRI study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2006; 47(10): 1031–1040.
- 211. Strikwerda-Brown C, Davey CG, Whittle S, et al. Mapping the relationship between subgenual cingulate cortex functional connectivity and depressive symptoms across adolescence. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* 2015; 10(7): 961–968.
- 212. Sheline YI, Barch DM, Price JL, et al. The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106(6): 1942–1947.
- Cullen KR, Gee DG, Klimes-Dougan B, et al. A preliminary study of functional connectivity in comorbid adolescent depression. *Neurosci Lett.* 2009; 460(3): 227–231.
- 214. Macdonald AN, Goines KB, Novacek DM, Walker EF. Prefrontal mechanisms of comorbidity from a transdiagnostic and ontogenic perspective. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2016; 28(4pt1): 1147–1175.
- 215. Cheetham A, Allen NB, Whittle S, Simmons JG, Yücel M, Lubman DI. Orbitofrontal volumes in early adolescence predict initiation of cannabis use: a 4-year longitudinal and prospective study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012; 71(8): 684–692.
- 216. Brumback TY, Worley M, Nguyen-Louie TT, Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Tapert SF. Neural predictors of alcohol use and psychopathology symptoms in adolescents. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2016; 28(4pt1): 1209–1216.
- 217. Hill SY, De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS, et al. Right amygdala volume in adolescent and young adult offspring from families at high risk for developing alcoholism. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 49(11): 894–905.
- 218. Hill SY, Tessner K, Wang S, Carter H, McDermott M. Temperament at 5 years of age predicts amygdala and orbitofrontal volume in the right hemisphere in adolescence. *Psychiatry Res.* 2010; 182(1): 14–21.
- 219. Hill SY, Wang S, Carter H, McDermott MD, Zezza N, Stiffler S. Amygdala volume in offspring from multiplex for alcohol dependence families: the moderating influence of childhood environment and 5-HTTLPR variation. J Alcohol Drug Depend. 2013; Suppl 1: pii: 001.
- 220. Benegal V, Antony G, Venkatasubramanian G, Jayakumar PN. Gray matter volume abnormalities and externalizing symptoms in subjects at high risk for alcohol dependence. *Addict Biol.* 2007; 12(1): 122–132.
- 221. De Bellis MD, Clark DB, Beers SR, et al. Hippocampal volume in adolescent-onset alcohol use disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000; 157(5): 737–744.
- 222. Nagel BJ, Schweinsburg AD, Phan V, Tapert SF. Reduced hippocampal volume among adolescents with alcohol use disorders without psychiatric comorbidity. *Psychiatry Res.* 2005; 139(3): 181–190.
- 223. Hanson KL, Medina KL, Nagel BJ, Spadoni AD, Gorlick A, Tapert SF. Hippocampal volumes in adolescents with

and without a family history of alcoholism. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2010; 36(3): 161–167.

- 224. Squeglia LM, Sorg SF, Jacobus J, Brumback T, Taylor CT, Tapert SF. Structural connectivity of neural reward networks in youth at risk for substance use disorders. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2015; 232(13): 2217–2226.
- 225. Wetherill RR, Bava S, Thompson WK, et al. Frontoparietal connectivity in substance-naive youth with and without a family history of alcoholism. *Brain Res.* 2012; 1432: 66–73.
- 226. Cservenka A, Casimo K, Fair DA, Nagel BJ. Resting state functional connectivity of the nucleus accumbens in youth with a family history of alcoholism. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014; 221(3): 210–219.
- 227. Hulvershorn LA, Hummer TA, Fukunaga R, et al. Neural activation during risky decision-making in youth at high risk for substance use disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015; 233(2): 102–111.
- Stice E, Yokum S. Brain reward region responsivity of adolescents with and without parental substance use disorders. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2014; 28(3): 805–815.
- 229. Hulvershorn LA, Finn P, Hummer TA, et al. Cortical activation deficits during facial emotion processing in youth at high risk for the development of substance use disorders. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013; 131(3): 230–237.
- 230. Mahmood OM, Goldenberg D, Thayer R, Migliorini R, Simmons AN, Tapert SF. Adolescents' fMRI activation to a response inhibition task predicts future substance use. *Addict Behav.* 2013; 38(1): 1435–1441.
- 231. Thatcher DL, Pajtek S, Tarter R, Long EC, Clark DB. Amygdala activation and emotional processing in adolescents at risk for substance use disorders. *J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse*. 2014; 23(3): 200–204.
- 232. Niciu MJ, Henter ID, Sanacora G, Zarate CA Jr. Glial abnormalities in substance use disorders and depression: does shared glutamatergic dysfunction contribute to comorbidity? *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2014; 15(1): 2–16.
- 233. Loas G. Vulnerability to depression: a model centered on anhedonia. J Affect Disord. 1996; 41(1): 39–53.
- 234. McMakin DL, Olino TM, Porta G, et al. Anhedonia predicts poorer recovery among youth with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment-resistant depression. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2012; 51(4): 404–411.
- 235. Audrain-McGovern J, Rodriguez D, Leventhal AM, Cuevas J, Rodgers K, Sass J. Where is the pleasure in that? Low hedonic capacity predicts smoking onset and escalation. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2012; 14(10): 1187–1196.
- 236. Leventhal AM, Ray LA, Rhee SH, Unger JB. Genetic and environmental influences on the association between depressive symptom dimensions and smoking initiation among Chinese adolescent twins. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2012; 14(5): 559–568.
- 237. Stone MD, Leventhal A. Associations between anhedonia and smoking risk factors in never-smokers. In: Annual Scientific Meeting of the Society for Nicotine and Tobacco Research; February 5–8, 2014; Seattle, WA.
- 238. Leventhal AM, Brightman M, Ameringer KJ, et al. Anhedonia associated with stimulant use and dependence in a population-based sample of American adults. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2010; 18(6): 562–569.

- 239. Bangasser DA, Valentino RJ. Sex differences in stressrelated psychiatric disorders: neurobiological perspectives. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* 2014; 35(3): 303–319.
- 240. Hammerslag LR, Gulley JM. Sex differences in behavior and neural development and their role in adolescent vulnerability to substance use. *Behav Brain Res.* 2016; 298(Pt A): 15–26.
- 241. Auerbach RP, Admon R, Pizzagalli DA. Adolescent depression: stress and reward dysfunction. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2014; 22(3): 139–148.
- 242. Chang CC, et al. Serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT2A) gene promoter variant interacts with chronic perceived stress to modulate resting parasympathetic activity in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016; 76: 119–126.
- 243. Tottenham N, Galvan A. Stress and the adolescent brain: amygdala-prefrontal cortex circuitry and ventral striatum as developmental targets. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2016; 70: 217–227.
- 244. Gee DG, Casey BJ. The impact of developmental timing for stress and recovery. *Neurobiol Stress*. 2015; 1: 184–194.
- 245. Andersen SL, Tomada A, Vincow ES, Valente E, Polcari A, Teicher MH. Preliminary evidence for sensitive periods in the effect of childhood sexual abuse on regional brain development. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2008; 20(3): 292–301.