

Host Restriction Factors Modulating HIV Latency and Replication in Macrophages

Isabel Pagani¹, Pietro Demela², Silvia Ghezzi¹, Elisa Vicenzi¹, Massimo Pizzato³ and Guido Poli^{2,4,*}

- ¹ Viral Pathogenesis and Biosafety Unit, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina n. 58, 20132 Milano, Italy; pagani.isabel@hsr.it (I.P.); ghezzi.silvia@hsr.it (S.G.); vicenzi.elisa@hsr.it (E.V.)
- ² Human Immuno-Virology Unit, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina n. 58, 20132 Milano, Italy; pietro.demela@fht.org
- ³ Department of Cellular, Computational and Integrative Biology, University of Trento, 38123 Trento, Italy; massimo.pizzato@unitn.it
- ⁴ School of Medicine, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Via Olgettina n. 58, 20132 Milano, Italy
- * Correspondence: poli.guido@hsr.it; Tel.: +39-02-2643-4909

Abstract: In addition to CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, myeloid cells and, particularly, differentiated macrophages are targets of human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) infection via the interaction of gp120Env with CD4 and CCR5 or CXCR4. Both T cells and macrophages support virus replication, although with substantial differences. In contrast to activated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, HIV-1 replication in macrophages occurs in nondividing cells and it is characterized by the virtual absence of cytopathicity both in vitro and in vivo. These general features should be considered in evaluating the role of cell-associated restriction factors aiming at preventing or curtailing virus replication in macrophages and T cells, particularly in the context of designing strategies to tackle the viral reservoir in infected individuals receiving combination antiretroviral therapy. In this regard, we will here also discuss a model of reversible HIV-1 latency in primary human macrophages and the role of host factors determining the restriction or reactivation of virus replication in these cells.

Keywords: HIV; macrophages; MDM; restriction factors; transcription factors; macrophage polarization

1. Introduction on Macrophages as Targets of HIV Replication

The *Lentiviridae* genus of retroviruses is known to target mononuclear phagocytes for their replication, although they can also infect other cell types [1]. In the case of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), the selection of CD4 as a primary entry receptor has evolutionarily determined an expansion of its cell tropism for a major subset of T lymphocytes with "helper" function. The consequence of HIV replication in CD4⁺ T cells is their depletion in association with a profound cytopathic effect, including the formation of large syncytia in vitro. In vivo, HIV infection results in the progressive depletion of CD4⁺ T cells, leading to a state of profound immunodeficiency known as acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) with the emergence of opportunistic infections and peculiar types of cancer resulting in the death of >95% of infected individuals if combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) is not administered; conversely, HIV infection of tissue macrophages neither causes their depletion in vitro nor in vivo, perhaps reflecting the coevolution between lentiviruses and myeloid cells [2,3].

HIV infection of macrophages has been largely studied in vitro upon the differentiation of human peripheral blood monocytes into differentiated cells (i.e., monocyte-derived macrophages, MDM). Although MDM have been largely interpreted as a surrogate model of the physiological differentiation pathway of myeloid cells, it has been recently demonstrated that it represents only an "emergency" pathway of cell recruitment into inflamed tissues. Indeed, most tissue-resident macrophages (TRM) derive from primordial embryonic structures and seed the peripheral tissues before the development of the vessel



Citation: Pagani, I.; Demela, P.; Ghezzi, S.; Vicenzi, E.; Pizzato, M.; Poli, G. Host Restriction Factors Modulating HIV Latency and Replication in Macrophages. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 3021. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms23063021

Academic Editors: Maria Grazia Romanelli and Greta Forlani

Received: 20 November 2021 Accepted: 7 March 2022 Published: 11 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). system, blood and bone marrow [4,5], although there are exceptions, such as gut-associated macrophages of bone marrow origin [6] and, perhaps, lung interstitial macrophages [7]. TRM play a role in tissues and organs as scavenger cells removing apoptotic bodies and maintain themselves through the release of cytokines inducing their slow homeostatic turnover [4,5]. However, in the case of infection or inflammation, circulating monocytes are recruited in the damaged tissue in response to chemokines and other chemotactic factors released by the site of infection and rapidly differentiate into MDM, therefore mixing with TRM in the orchestration of the local inflammatory, anti-microbial response.

There is robust evidence that TRM are targets of HIV infection in vivo, as highlighted particularly in the central nervous system (CNS) in which microglia, upon infection in the absence of cART, drives the development of a deadly encephalitis associated with a clinical condition known as AIDS-associated dementia [8]. HIV infection of macrophages has been also shown in other tissues and organs, as reviewed in [9] and reproduced in relevant animal models such as non-human primates (NHP) experimentally infected with the simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) [10] and immunodeficient mice reconstituted with human progenitor cells before HIV infection [11].

Thus, with the caveat that in vitro infection of MDM might not accurately reflect all features of TRM, some of the main similarities and differences between CD4⁺ T cell and MDM infection can be summarized as in Table 1.

	CD4 ⁺ T Cells	Macrophages	Refs.	Notes
Entry receptors	CD4, CCR5, CXCR4	CD4, CCR5, CXCR4	[2]	Although macrophages express CXCR4 productive infection is usually associated with HIV CCR5 use
Cell proliferation	Yes	No	[12,13]	
Cytopathic effect, cell depletion in vitro	Yes	No	[9]	
Cytopathic effect, cell depletion in vivo	Yes	No	[2,9]	CD4 T cell depletion in vivo is likely the result of different processes in addition to virus-induced cytopathicity
Main pathogenetic consequence	Profound immunodeficiency, opportunistic infections, cancer	Tissue pathology, brain infection (encephalitis)	[2,14]	
Virus budding and release	Plasma membrane only	Plasma membrane and VCC	[15,16]	VCC are defined as invaginations of the plasma membrane connected or not to the cell surface and the extracellular environment
Role as viral reservoirs in cART-treated individuals	Well-demonstrated in the case of latently infected "resting memory" cells	Strong evidence in support of TRM	[17–20]	TRM are credited with a longer $\frac{1}{2}$ life than MDM

2. Host Cell Restriction Factors, HIV Infection and Replication

There is abundant evidence of a complex network of restriction factors (RF) already expressed in many cell types in the absence of an infection, thereby providing a state of "intrinsic immunity" [21]. A general feature is their upregulation by interferons (IFNs) that are generated in response to viral infection or vaccination. In the case of HIV, the first clearcut evidence of "intrinsic immunity" came by studying cell lines with either "permissive" or "nonpermissive" phenotypes in terms of virus infection and replication. Permissive cell lines lacked expression of an intracellular gatekeeper belonging to the apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing catalytic polypeptide (APOBEC) family [22], namely, APOBEC3G (A3G), a cytidine deaminase targeted to proteasomal degradation by the virion-associated accessory protein Vif. In the case of viruses lacking Vif expression, A3G expressed by host cells interferes with the process of reverse transcription of the genomic viral RNA into DNA by converting the cytosines present in the minus strand into uracils, thereby resulting in the accumulation of G-to-A mutations in the plus strand. This seminal discovery inspired the paradigm that restriction factors are usually counteracted by specific viral genes as a result of a long coevolution process [23].

In the case of HIV, and of retroviruses in general, the goal of restriction factors is best achieved when acting before the integration of proviral DNA into host cell chromosomes in order to curtail the number of persistently infected cells unaffected by cART that contribute to the establishment of the so-called "viral reservoir", nowadays a major obstacle in HIV eradication [2,24]. Once proviral integration has occurred, however, certain transcription factors could be also considered operationally as "restriction factors" by favoring latent vs. productive infection, as later discussed. Finally, additional restriction factors have been shown to target late steps in the viral life cycle, therefore affecting viral spreading to target cells, as in the case of BST-2/Tetherin, as later discussed.

An overall list of the main restriction factors relevant to HIV infection of macrophages and T cells and their main mechanism of action (when identified) is visualized in Figure 1 and summarized in Table 2.



Figure 1. Restriction factors interfering with HIV-1 infection of macrophages. PIC: Pre-Integration Complex. See Table 2 for details.

Restriction Factor	HIV Life Cycle Step Affected	Mechanism of Action	Counteracting Viral Protein	Key Refs	Notes
SERINC3/5	Viral entry	Prevention of virion-cell fusion	Nef	[25,26]	
IFITM1, 2, 3	Viral entry	Incorporation into nascent HIV-1 virions and prevention of cell fusion	Vpr	[27]	
CH25H	Viral entry	Prevention of virion-cell fusion		[28,29]	
STING	Post-entry events	Induction of the IFN response	Vpr, Vpx	[30–32]	HIV-2 only
REAF	Early post-entry events	Unclear/unknown	Vpr	[33]	
TRIM5α	Early post-entry events	Degradation of the incoming viral capsid		[23,34–37]	Human TRIM5α prevents animal lentivirus infection, whereas cyclophilin A prevents its binding to HIV in human cells
APOBEC3 members	Reverse transcription	C to A hypermutation	Vif	[23]	
Tet2	Reverse transcription	Cytosine demethylation	Vpr	[38]	
SAMHD1	Reverse transcription	Depletion of dNTP pool	Vpx	[39,40]	SAMHD1 gene is involved in the Aicardi Goutières Syndrome
TREX-1	Reverse transcription	prevention of IFN induction		[41,42]	TREX1 gene is involved in the Aicardi Goutières Syndrome
IFI16*	Reverse transcription	Induction of IFN response		[43]	IFN-inducible protein 16 interacts with single stranded HIV DNA
Mx2/MxB	Post-reverse transcription	Interaction with PIC		[44-46]	PIC: Pre-Integration Complex
TRIM22	Integrated provirus	Transcriptional repression		[47,48]	Inhibition mediated by interference with Sp1
NF-kB1 (p50) homodimers	Integrated provirus	Transcriptional repression		[49,50]	NF-kB1 can form heterodimers with C-terminally truncated STAT5 to repress proviral transcription
p21/Waf1	Integrated provirus	Transcriptional repression		[51,52]	
CIITA	Integrated provirus	Transcriptional repression		[53,54]	Class II transactivator, also repressed HTLV-1/2 Tax transcriptional activity
HUSH Complex	Integrated provirus	Transcriptional repression	Vpx, Vpr	[55,56]	HUman Silencing Hub

Table 2. Main restriction factors and other inhibitory molecules curtailing HIV infection or replication in human macrophages and T cells.

Table 2. Cont.

Restriction Factor	HIV Life Cycle Step Affected	Mechanism of Action	Counteracting Viral Protein	Key Refs	Notes
BST- 2/Tetherin	Budding and virion release	Prevention of virion release from plasma membrane	Vpu (Nef)	[57–60]	IFN-α stimulation upregulates BST-2/tetherin expression. In addition, Tetherin can trigger NF-kB activation after binding of Vpu-defective HIV
GBP-5	Budding and virion release	Prevention of envelope incorporation into virions	Vpu	[61]	
MARCH1, 2 and 8	Budding and virion release	Prevention of envelope incorporation into virions		[62-64]	
Mannose Receptor	Budding and virion release	Prevention of envelope incorporation into virions	VpR, Nef	[65,66]	

3. Viral Proteins Counteracting Restriction Factors

Among other accessory genes encoded by HIV, Vpr, a virion-associated protein, was characterized as a relevant factor to allow for efficient virus replication in macrophages [67,68]. Its mechanism of action has been debated for several years and likely does not rely on a single modality. Experimental evidence supports an active role of Vpr as a transcriptional booster of provirus expression potentially involving its interaction with the intracellular glucocorticoid receptor [69] followed by its translocation from cytoplasm to the cell nucleus and leading to cell cycle arrest in the G_2/M phase [70–72]. The importance of Vpr in HIV pathogenesis is supported by in vivo studies in NHP [73] and in HIV-1+ long-term nonprogressors (LTNP) infected with Vpr-defective viruses [74] as well as by a rare case of human infection in a laboratory setting [75]. It has also been suggested that Vpr could cooperate with Vif in the interaction with A3G leading to its proteasomal degradation [76]. Another target of Vpr potentially relevant for HIV infection of macrophages is Tet2 (ten eleven translocation 2), a member of the family of DNA dioxygenase that leads to cytosine demethylation [77]. Vpr-dependent degradation of Tet2 has been associated with an increased secretion of interleukin-6 (IL-6) by HIV-infected MDM enhancing virus replication by acting in an autocrine/paracrine fashion [78]. In addition, Tet2 upregulates the expression of IFN-induced transmembrane protein 3 (IFITM3), restricting virus replication in cooperation with IFTIM2 [38]. Vpr has been shown to interfere with the transcription of type 1 IFNs [79,80] as well as with the recently described RNA-associated early-stage antiviral factor (REAF) acting on early steps of the virus life cycle both in cell lines [81] and in primary human MDM [33]. Finally, Vpr was shown to interfere with karyopherinmediated import of NF-kB and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) and inhibited cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP)-dependent expression of cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), thereby impairing the innate immune response to the incoming infection [82].

In addition to A3G, other members of the APOBEC family have shown similar anti-HIV activity, with particular regard to A3C, A3D, A3F and A3H, as reviewed in [83]. Like A3G, A3F and A3H (but not other members) are also inhibited by Vif [84]. However, A3G has been reported to restrict HIV-1 to a greater extent than A3F and A3DE in both human primary CD4⁺ T Cells and MDM [84]. Although A3A was not initially included among those, we [85] and others [86,87] have reported its potential role as a restriction factor for HIV-1 infection in monocytes and macrophages, as later discussed. Of interest, the antibody (Ab)-mediated downregulation of endogenously released chemokine CCL2/Monocyte Chemotactic Protein-1 (MCP-1) leads to an IFN-independent upregulation of A3A expression [88]. In the same study, CCL2 downregulation led to an NF-KB mediated upregulation of the microRNA miR-155 that modulated the expression of several genes, including chemokines and their receptors [88].

A peculiar property of macrophages is their long survival in culture in a nonproliferating state (whereas, in vivo, tissue-resident macrophages, TRM, undergo a slow homeostatic proliferation driven by cytokines secreted in an autocrine fashion in order to persist indefinitely in the host [4,5]) caused by a physiological arrest of their cell cycle. This aspect of their biology has been recently revisited for its relevance to HIV infection and the role of restriction factors, with particular regard to SAMHD1 (SAM domain and HD domaincontaining protein 1), a hydrolase processing deoxynucleotides triphosphates (dNTPs) physiologically involved in DNA repair mechanisms [13,89]. SAMHD1 acts by depleting the pool of dNTPs, the "building blocks" necessary for the reverse transcription process to synthesize viral DNA before its integration into the host cell genome. Vpx is an accessory protein of HIV-2 (that is not, however, present in the HIV-1 genome) that targets SAMHD1 for proteasomal degradation, thus allowing for efficient virus replication [39,40]. As HIV-1 is devoid of Vpx, it has been highly debated whether a similar mechanism would be carried out by other accessory viral proteins. In this regard, Ferreira and colleagues have reported that, although in the absence of cell division [13], macrophages in the G_0 phase express p21/Waf1 (previously shown to represent a negative regulator of virus replication in macrophages [51]) together with high levels of SAMHD1, thereby resulting in a highly restricted state for virus replication. A switch to G₁ has been associated with the downregulation of p21/Waf1, increased expression of cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) and inactivation of SAMHD1 by phosphorylation, leading to increased dNTP levels and the unleashing of virus replication. This pathway was reverted by genotoxic stress and response to "danger signals" that induced a back-transition from G_1 to G_0 , as reviewed in [13]. The inhibitory activity of SAMHD1 on HIV-1 replication has been also reported in the case of the infection of resting CD4⁺ T lymphocytes [90].

A well-described feature of HIV-1 replication in macrophages is the lack of induction of a robust type 1 IFN response upon infection, likely explained by the activity of the TREX1 exonuclease [42,91]. TREX1 downregulates the synthesis of IFN triggered by HIV-1 infection in both CD4⁺ T cells and macrophages; HIV-1 DNA accumulates in the cytoplasm in cells in which *TREX1* is inhibited by RNA-mediated interference, although a modest induction of IFN-induced genes (ISG) can be observed upon infection [92,93]. In the absence of a specific cytosolic sensor, it has been hypothesized that the interaction with HIV-1 virions with the plasma membrane would be sufficient to trigger a canonical activation of a stimulator of interferon genes (STING)-dependent pathway involving IRF-3 [94,95]. This pathway is activated by the transfer of cGAMP from HIV Env-expressing cells to macrophages, thereby inducing a STING-dependent IFN response protective against infection [96]. In addition, a second wave of ISG expression has been reported to occur a few days after infection following proviral integration and synthesis of the viral regulatory protein Tat, as reviewed in [97].

The IFN-inducible human myxovirus resistance protein B or 2 (MxB/Mx2), related but distinct from MxA, has been recently described as a restriction factor for HIV-1 infection by acting after the completion of the reverse transcription process but before proviral integration, likely through interaction with the capsid-cyclophilin A complex [44,45,98,99]. Recently, Mx2/MxB expression was required for the restrictive activity of SAMHD1, again HIV, but not SIV, in the monocytic cell line THP-1 and in primary human MDM [100]. The antiviral activity of MxB/Mx2 has been also reported in the SupT1 CD4⁺ T cell line, as reviewed [101].

Once integrated as proviral DNA, the expression of the HIV genome falls under the control of both viral and host factors influencing its transcription, RNA splicing, the export of viral mRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, translation into viral proteins and their assembly with full-length viral RNA at the plasma membrane to generate new progeny virions. The role of negative regulators of proviral transcription (Table 2) will be discussed later.

The last steps of the viral life cycle, namely, the budding and release of new virions, are targets of modulation by several RF, as earlier described in the case of Tetherin/BST-2, an IFN-inducible tetraspanin that keeps the virions stuck at the cell surface without being released; its action is counteracted by the viral accessory protein Vpu that promotes its degradation [59,102]. In macrophages, Tetherin inhibits HIV release by retaining nascent particles in assembly compartments, named "virus containing compartments (VCC)" and can also restrict the transmission of HIV by intercellular contacts between macrophages and T cells [103]. In addition to IFN, the viral accessory protein Nef has been reported to increase the levels of Tetherin expression in macrophages [57]. Conversely, the role of Thetherin in T cell infection is more controversial, as discussed [104].

Proteins of the IFITM family (in particular, IFITM1, 2 and 3) interfere with the release of new virions by insertion into the Env of nascent virions, thereby impairing cell fusion in models of cell-to-cell viral spreading [105,106]. Of interest, their restriction of virus replication has been reported to be particularly effective in macrophages in comparison to T cells [107].

Two recently identified restriction factors, membrane-associated RING-CH 8 (MARCH8) and guanylate binding protein-5 (GBP-5), expressed in macrophages, target HIV-1 gp120 Env, therefore playing a role in the final phases of the budding and release of new progeny virions [61,63]. Members of the MARCH family of E3-ubiquitin ligases are under the control of IFN and were previously shown to downregulate several host cell proteins from the plasma membrane, thereby limiting the levels of HIV-1 Env incorporation into budding virions; therefore, this results in decreased infectivity. In particular, MARCH8 is endogenously expressed by MDM and dendritic cells, whereas its KO results in a significant boost of virion infectivity [63]. The CRISPR-mediated depletion of MARCH8 in MDM, the cells of which exhibit high endogenous expression of this E3 ligase, markedly enhanced the infectivity of the viruses produced from these cells [62]. Similarly, MARCH1 and MARCH2 likely interfere with Env incorporation into virions [64], as reviewed in [97]. It is currently unknown whether similar activities are exerted in CD4⁺ T cells.

Serinc3 and Serinc5 are host molecules that decrease virion infectivity by interfering with the fusogenic properties of HIV-1 Env glycoproteins, whereas the accessory viral protein Nef prevents their incorporation into nascent virions by acting on the plasma membrane [25,26]. Their effect has been mostly studied in cell lines; however, although they seem to be dispensable for virus replication in activated CD4⁺ T cells, they are particularly effective in primary MDM, although with significant inter-donor variability [108]. Serinc5 expression is upregulated during the differentiation of monocytes into MDM [109] and its incorporation into virions has been linked to the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), interlukin-6 (IL-6) and others, an effect that was also prevented by Nef [108]. Of interest, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines by MDM was stimulated by Serinc5 in synergy with the CCR5-antagonist Maraviroc (that prevents infection by blocking virions bound to CD4 on the plasma membrane) [110]. Indeed, Serinc5-expressing virions showed a greater susceptibility to inhibition by either Maraviroc or anti-HIV neutralizing Abs [111]. Thus, in addition to its direct antiviral effect, Serinc5 incorporation into virions may serve as "danger signal" expressed by infected cells finalized to counteract the infection. In contrast, virion incorporation of Serinc5 only moderately impaired virion infectivity in activated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes and it was not associated with altered innate immune recognition [108].

A restriction factor that lately emerged as being capable of influencing the infectious capacity of HIV is the IFN-inducible cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H), an enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of oxysterols, mediators of several processes, including inflammation and immune activation [28,29]. In addition to HIV, this ER-associated enzyme can limit the infectivity of several enveloped viruses, including Ebola, Influenza A viruses and poliovirus, an RNA virus lacking the envelope as reviewed in [112]. Overall, oxysterols

are believed to restrict viral infections at the levels of cell entry by interfering with the fusion of the virion-target cell membranes, as discussed in [112]. The negative effect of CH25H on HIV-1 replication was observed in both MDM and IL-2 stimulated PBMC infected in vitro [113], a condition in which both T cells and differentiating monocytes support HIV-1 replication [114]. No information on the potential effect of CH25H on HIV-1 infection of human CD4⁺ T cells is currently available, although the original description of its antiviral activity was reported against mitogen-stimulated primary lymphocytes of monkeys infected with SIV [115].

The mannose receptor (MR) expressed by macrophages plays a fundamental role as an extracellular sensor of bacterial and fungal invasion by promoting their phagocytosis. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* countermeasures include the synthesis of lipoarabinomannan that binds to and downregulates the MR leading to the inhibition of a protective inflammatory response, as reviewed in [116]. In addition, the MR can serve as an entry receptor for Dengue virus and can also interact with HIV-1 virions that may facilitate trans-infection of CD4⁺ T lymphocytes [117] like other cell surface molecules, including dendritic cell-specific ICAM-grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN) [118] and the integrin $\alpha 4\beta7$ [119]. Interestingly, MR is downregulated by the HIV-1 accessory proteins Vpr [65] and Nef [66]. As the MR destabilizes gp120 Env expression on the MDM cell surface, the Vpr dual targeting of MR and IFITM3 may ultimately favor the capacity of infected MDM to spread the infection, as discussed in [65].

A synthetic view of main restriction factors affecting virus replication in macrophages is shown in Figure 1.

4. Pharmacological Targeting of HIV Proteins

Given their important pathogenetic role by counteracting host cell RF, HIV accessory proteins have become natural targets for the development of pharmacological agents that could synergize with cART or become relevant for "HIV Cure"-related research [120]. Although an in-depth discussion of this topic is beyond the scope of the present articles, some key information is summarized in Table 3.

HIV Protein	Correlated RF	Pharmacologic Inhibitor	Key References
Tat	n.a.	Didehydro-Cortistatin A	[121]
Rev	n.a.	Several molecules	[122]
Nef	SerinC3/C5	Several molecules	[123]
Vpu	BST-2/Tetherin	Several molecules	[124]
Vif	APOBEC family members		[125]
Vpr	Several (see Table 1)		[126,127]
Vpx	SAMHD1		[128]

Table 3. Pharmacological targeting of HIV accessory proteins.

Several inhibitors are being studied in the perspective of potentiating antigen presentation to CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes by reverting Nef-induced downregulation of MHC class I, as discussed [123]. Vif inhibition of the cytidine deaminase activity of APOBEC family members has been selected as a target of pharmacological screening by mutagenizing these restriction factors [125]. In consideration of the multiple levels of Vpr interference with cell function, including metabolism and cell cycle regulation, there has been an active search of inhibitors without thus far succeeding in the identification of specific candidates that could be tested in a clinical setting, as discussed [126,127]. Concerning BST-2/Tetherin, a high-throughput screening has identified candidate inhibitors capable of interfering with Vpu-mediated inhibition [124]. In the case of HIV-2-restricted Vpx, midostaurin was identified as possessing both pro- and anti-viral effects in macrophages by, on the one hand, blocking the cell cycle in the G₂/M phase, thereby preventing SAMHD1 phosphorylation and activation; on the other hand, in the absence of SAMHD1, midostaurin showed enhancing effects on proviral transcription and viral replication [128].

In addition to accessory genes, the regulatory proteins Tat and Rev are also being targeted for drug development. Didehydro-Cortistatin A (dCA) is a prototypic agent used in "HIV Cure" strategies of "block and lock" by interfering with Tat-dependent proviral transcription as opposed to the most exployted "shock/kick and kill" to tackle the viral reservoir resistant to cART [24,121]. In the case of Rev, an extensive high throughput screening has identified different types of inhibitors, including agents interfering with the protein itself, or with its interaction with Rev-regulatory regions (RRE), or with Rev interaction with the nuclear Exportin 1, as reviewed in [122].

5. Macrophage Polarization to a Pro-Inflammatory Mode: A Model of HIV-1 Restriction

In addition to a profound revisitation of macrophage ontogenesis, their biology has been reinterpreted beyond the classical view of a cell responding to pro-inflammatory signals according to a simple "on-off" modality. An "M1/M2" paradigm of functional polarization was generated in analogy to the well-defined Th1/Th2 established modality of diversification of CD4⁺ T helper cells. Classically activated M1 macrophages are induced by pro-inflammatory signals, including bacterial endotoxin and cytokines such as IFN- γ and TNF- α , whereas M2 macrophages are "alternatively activated" by anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory signals such as IL-4, IL-10 and others [129]. M1 macrophages contribute to the inflammatory process and, in general, exert anti-microbial and anti-cancer effects, whereas M2 macrophage polarization displays anti-inflammatory effects together with tissue-regenerating activities, including neoangiogenesis, for which they are usually considered a functional condition favoring cancer growth while exerting variable effects in terms of antimicrobial activities, as reviewed in [129]. Of note is the fact that, unlike Th1/Th2 lymphocytes, macrophage polarization is a transient condition with cells returning to their basal state a few days after the polarizing signals have been withdrawn [130]. M1 polarization has been reported to be associated with the modulation of drug efflux transporters [131] that might bear consequences in terms of susceptibility to anti-HIV agents. This dichotomous view has been tempered by considering "M1" and "M2" as the two extremes of a spectrum of functional profiles [132].

We have originally investigated the implications of M1/M2 polarization for HIV-1 infection of primary human MDM by preincubating them with IFN- γ and TNF- α or with IL-4, in order to induce M1 or M2 polarization, respectively; the cytokines were then removed before infection [130]. Quite unexpectedly, both M1 and M2 polarization led to reduced levels of virus replication, although with different profiles. M1-MDM showed a more robust inhibition of virus replication (ca. 90% vs. control, unpolarized cells), whereas M2-MDM decreased HIV-1 production by ca. 50% although M2-induced inhibition of virus production lasted longer than that caused by M1 signals that vanished ca. 3 days after the removal of the cytokines [130,133]. M1 polarization was associated with a profound downregulation of CD4 from the plasma membrane together with an upregulated secretion of some CCR5-binding chemokines. Therefore, we initially proposed that M1 polarization induced a potent, yet partial restriction of viral entry, as supported by the quantitative analysis of cell-associated HIV DNA [130]. Concerning M2-MDM, we further demonstrated a significant role of DC-SIGN in the restricted patterns observed [118]. These general profiles of HIV replication containment have been independently confirmed by other investigators [134].

The M1 restriction was also observed bypassing the cell entry step using a VSV-G pseudotyped virus [85], suggesting a model whereby M1 polarization imposes different "hurdles" to virus replication both at the levels of viral entry and at one or more post-entry steps [133]. A clear-cut upregulation of APOBEC-3A (A3A) in M1, but not in M2, MDM that returned to the levels of monocytes was observed [85]. This observation, together with independent reports [86,87], suggests that A3A could be involved in the overall restricted profile of HIV-1 replication typical of M1-MDM (Figure 2A).

Monocyte

Differentiation





Figure 2. M1-MDM: a model of HIV-1 restriction suitable to be exploited as model of reversible HIV-1 latency. **(A)** Differentiation of circulating monocytes into MDM leads to their productive infection by HIV-1 ("permissive phenotype"), whereas their polarization into M1 cells induces a significant reduction in virus replication ("restricted phenotype"). Further stimulation of M1-MDM by different proinflammatory signals leads to an even greater reduction in their capacity to support virus replication approaching a state of proviral latency. **(B)** Incubation of restimulated M1-MDM with either T cell blasts, T regulatory cells or with selected pharmacological agents leads to reversal of proviral latency in MDM and viral spreading.

6. Exploiting M1 Polarization to Generate a Model of Reversible HIV-1 Latency in Primary MDM

We next observed a very reproducible pattern whereby repolarization with M1cytokines of HIV-1 infected M1-MDM (an experimental condition that we defined as "M1²-MDM") drove virus replication to nearly undetectable levels (in the absence of cytopathic effects) (Figure 2B). This quasi-silent pattern of HIV expression was not correlated with the lack of activation of STAT1 and NF-kB in these cells, whereas other factors known to act as repressors of proviral transcription, namely, TRIM22 and CIITA, were also upregulated [53].

TRIM22, also known as Staf50, is an ISG whose expression is profoundly upregulated by IFN stimulation of different cell types [47]. Our group described it as the key factor differentiating U937 cell clones with a restrictive phenotype in terms of supporting HIV-1 replication ("Minus clones") in comparison to those fully permissive clones ("Plus clones") [48]. TRIM22 does not possess a DNA-binding domain and acts indirectly by preventing the binding of Sp1, a positive transcription factor constitutively expressed by many cell types, to the core promoter of the HIV-1 provirus [135].

Class II transactivator (CIITA) was originally described to be the key transcription factor for MHC Class II antigen expression under the control of IFN- γ , as reviewed [56]. It was then demonstrated to play a significant role in the inhibition of both HTLV-1/HTLV-2 transcription, whereas it competed with the HIV regulatory protein Tat for binding to P-Tefb (a complex formed by Cyclin t1 and CDK9), resulting in the downregulation of proviral transcription and expression also in monocytic cells [136].

Independently of our studies, other investigators have exploited the hypothesis that the functional polarization of macrophages into M1 or M2 cells might bear implications for their susceptibility to HIV infection and/or restriction of viral replication. Schlaepfer and colleagues reported that M1-MDM show an increased expression of several toll-like receptors (TLR), whereas their stimulation by TLR ligands, particularly in the case of TLR2, 3, 4 and 5, leads to a further restriction of HIV replication occurring mostly before proviral integration and independently of IFN- α expression [134]. In the same study, TLR stimulation lead to the upregulation of several RF in M1-MDM [134]. Furthermore, a restrictive phenotype analogous to the M1 condition was described to occur upon exposure of MDM to commensal bacteria that lead to a latent infection driven by a type I IFN response [137].

Cocultivation of M1²-MDM with allogeneic PBMC stimulated by the mitogen phytohemagglutinin (PHA), or incubation with their culture supernatant, potently reverted virus infection into a productive one [53]. Rescue of HIV replication in M1 and M2 MDM has been independently reported upon cell-to-cell contact with CD4⁺ T regulatory cells [138].

Wong and colleagues [139] developed an M1/M2-MDM infection model based on the use of an infectious virus expressing the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) observing, on the one hand, that infection leads to a spontaneous state of latency in some cells and, on the other hand, confirming the overall partial restriction of virus replication that we had earlier reported [130]. Of interest, they observed that bryostatin, a pharmacologic agent activating protein kinase C, and vorinostat, an inhibitor of histone deacetylases (HDAC), but not panobinostat, another pan-HDAC inhibitor [140], were able to reverse the restriction in latently infected MDM. In addition, they observed that M2 polarization had a divergent effect on HIV-1 infection of MDM in that it caused inhibition of the initial virus replication, but it enhanced effect viral reactivation in latently infected cells [139].

Thus, restimulation of M1-MDM with different signals represents a robust model to investigate which factors can affect, either positively or negatively, HIV-1 latency and expression in myeloid cells (Figure 2B).

TRM displaying a mix M1/M2 phenotype, and not CD4⁺ T cells, have been shown to represent the viral reservoir in urethral tissue of HIV-infected men receiving cART and undergoing gender reassortment [17], whereas the existence of M1-polarized macrophages exhibiting a restricted profile compatible with our in vitro observations was demonstrated in vivo in the case of decidual macrophages [141].

7. Conclusions

HIV-1 infection of CD4⁺ cells primarily involves a prominent subset of T lymphocytes and myeloid cells, the latter of which encompass very different cell types, such as myeloid dendritic cells, circulating monocytes, eventually extravasating to become MDM in inflammatory conditions, and TRM that acquire very distinctive features according to the anatomical site (from Kupffer cells in the liver to the microglia in the CNS) [4,5]. Although the prominent role of latently infected CD4⁺ T cells in establishing and diversifying the HIV reservoir of cells carrying replication-competent proviruses has been well characterized, growing evidence indicates that myeloid cells, and TRM in particular, could also contribute significantly to this unsolved issue preventing the eradication of HIV-1 infection from cART-treated individuals. The identification of specific extracellular and intracellular factors influencing the susceptibility of TRM to becoming targets of either latent or productive infection could be a crucial goal in order to define effective strategies aiming at the curtailment of the HIV-1 reservoir or at its definitive silencing.

Author Contributions: G.P. designed the overall structure of the review and contributed extensively to the writing; I.P., S.G., E.V. and M.P. contributed to the literature analysis concerning restriction factors and to the writing of the manuscript; P.D. contributed to the optimization of the M1-MDM model of HIV restriction and drew the related figures. All authors reviewed and concurred with the hypotheses and interpretations of this article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This article contains a description of original results funded in part by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (PRIN project 2017TYTWZ3 and funded in part by the Italian Ministry of Health (project RF-2019-12369226) to G.P.

Acknowledgments: We wish to thank previous collaborators, and particularly Anna Kajaste-Rudnitski, on the characterization of the restriction factor TRIM22 and Francesca Graziano for the optimization of the M1-MDM model of HIV-1 restriction.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- De Pablo-Maiso, L.; Doménech, A.; Echeverría, I.; Gómez-Arrebola, C.; De Andrés, D.; Rosati, S.; Gómez-Lucia, E.; Reina, R. Prospects in Innate Immune Responses as Potential Control Strategies against Non-Primate Lentiviruses. *Viruses* 2018, 10, 435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deeks, S.G.; Autran, B.; Berkhout, B.; Benkirane, M.; Cairns, S.; Chomont, N.; Chun, T.W.; Churchill, M.; Di Mascio, M.; Katlama, C.; et al. Towards an HIV cure: A global scientific strategy. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2012, 12, 607–614. [PubMed]
- Cohn, L.B.; Chomont, N.; Deeks, S.G. The Biology of the HIV-1 Latent Reservoir and Implications for Cure Strategies. *Cell Host Microbe* 2020, 27, 519–530. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perdiguero, E.G.; Geissmann, F. The development and maintenance of resident macrophages. *Nat. Immunol.* 2015, 17, 2–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Hoeffel, G.; Ginhoux, F. Fetal monocytes and the origins of tissue-resident macrophages. Cell. Immunol. 2018, 330, 5–15. [CrossRef]
- 6. Yip, J.L.; Balasuriya, G.K.; Spencer, S.J.; Hill-Yardin, E.L. The Role of Intestinal Macrophages in Gastrointestinal Homeostasis: Heterogeneity and Implications in Disease. *Cell. Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2021**, *12*, 1701–1718. [CrossRef]
- Shi, T.; Denney, L.; An, H.; Ho, L.; Zheng, Y. Alveolar and lung interstitial macrophages: Definitions, functions, and roles in lung fibrosis. J. Leukoc. Biol. 2020, 110, 107–114. [CrossRef]
- 8. Brew, B.J.; Barnes, S.L. The impact of HIV central nervous system persistence on pathogenesis. *AIDS* **2019**, *33*, S113–S121. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hendricks, C.M.; Cordeiro, T.; Gomes, A.P.; Stevenson, M. The Interplay of HIV-1 and Macrophages in Viral Persistence. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, 12, 646447. [CrossRef]
- Beck, S.E.; Queen, S.E.; Pate, K.A.M.; Mangus, L.M.; Abreu, C.M.; Gama, L.; Witwer, K.; Adams, R.J.; Zink, M.C.; Clements, J.E.; et al. An SIV/macaque model targeted to study HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. *J. Neurovirol.* 2017, 24, 204–212. [CrossRef]
- Honeycutt, J.B.; Thayer, W.O.; Baker, C.E.; Ribeiro, R.M.; Lada, S.M.; Cao, Y.; Cleary, R.A.; Hudgens, M.G.; Richman, D.D.; Garcia, J.V. HIV persistence in tissue macrophages of humanized myeloid-only mice during antiretroviral therapy. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 638–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Hume, D.A.; Summers, K.M.; Rehli, M. Transcriptional Regulation and Macrophage Differentiation. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2016**, *4*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ferreira, I.A.T.M.; Porterfield, J.Z.; Gupta, R.K.; Mlcochova, P. Cell Cycle Regulation in Macrophages and Susceptibility to HIV-1. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Chen, Z.; Zhong, D.; Li, G. The role of microglia in viral encephalitis: A review. J. Neuroinflamm. 2019, 16, 76. [CrossRef]
- 15. Tan, J.; Sattentau, Q.J. The HIV-1-containing macrophage compartment: A perfect cellular niche? *Trends Microbiol.* **2013**, *21*, 405–412. [CrossRef]
- 16. Graziano, F.; Vicenzi, E.; Poli, G. The ATP/P2X7 axis in human immunodeficiency virus infection of macrophages. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *47*, 46–52. [CrossRef]
- Ganor, Y.; Real, F.; Sennepin, A.; Dutertre, C.-A.; Prevedel, L.; Xu, L.; Tudor, D.; Charmeteau, B.; Couedel-Courteille, A.; Marion, S.; et al. HIV-1 reservoirs in urethral macrophages of patients under suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2019, 4, 633–644. [CrossRef]
- 18. Costiniuk, C.T.; Jenabian, M.-A. The lungs as anatomical reservoirs of HIV infection. Rev. Med. Virol. 2013, 24, 35–54. [CrossRef]

- Lamers, S.L.; Rose, R.; Maidji, E.; Agsalda-Garcia, M.; Nolan, D.J.; Fogel, G.B.; Salemi, M.; Garcia, D.L.; Bracci, P.; Yong, W.; et al. HIV DNA Is Frequently Present within Pathologic Tissues Evaluated at Autopsy from Combined Antiretroviral Therapy-Treated Patients with Undetectable Viral Loads. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 8968–8983. [CrossRef]
- Veenhuis, R.T.; Abreu, C.M.; Shirk, E.N.; Gama, L.; Clements, J.E. HIV replication and latency in monocytes and macrophages. Semin. Immunol. 2021, 51, 101472. [CrossRef]
- Chintala, K.; Mohareer, K.; Banerjee, S. Dodging the Host Interferon-Stimulated Gene Mediated Innate Immunity by HIV-1: A Brief Update on Intrinsic Mechanisms and Counter-Mechanisms. *Front. Immunol.* 2021, 12, 716927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sheehy, A.M.; Gaddis, N.; Choi, J.D.; Malim, M. Isolation of a human gene that inhibits HIV-1 infection and is suppressed by the viral Vif protein. *Nature* 2002, 418, 646–650. [CrossRef]
- 23. Malim, M.H.; Bieniasz, P.D. HIV Restriction Factors and Mechanisms of Evasion. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2012**, 2, a006940. [CrossRef]
- Deeks, S.G.; Archin, N.; Cannon, P.; Collins, S.; Jones, R.B.; de Jong, M.A.W.P.; Lambotte, O.; Lamplough, R.; Ndung'U, T.; Sugarman, J.; et al. Research priorities for an HIV cure: International AIDS Society Global Scientific Strategy 2021. *Nat. Med.* 2021, 27, 2085–2098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rosa, A.; Chande, A.; Ziglio, S.; De Sanctis, V.; Bertorelli, R.; Goh, S.L.; McCauley, S.M.; Nowosielska, A.; Antonarakis, S.; Luban, J.; et al. HIV-1 Nef promotes infection by excluding SERINC5 from virion incorporation. *Nature* 2015, 526, 212–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Usami, Y.; Wu, Y.; Göttlinger, H.G. SERINC3 and SERINC5 restrict HIV-1 infectivity and are counteracted by Nef. *Nature* 2015, 526, 218–223. [CrossRef]
- 27. Compton, A.A.; Bruel, T.; Porrot, F.; Mallet, A.; Sachse, M.; Euvrard, M.; Liang, C.; Casartelli, N.; Schwartz, O. IFITM Proteins Incorporated into HIV-1 Virions Impair Viral Fusion and Spread. *Cell Host Microbe* **2014**, *16*, 736–747. [CrossRef]
- Liu, S.-Y.; Aliyari, R.; Chikere, K.; Li, G.; Marsden, M.D.; Smith, J.K.; Pernet, O.; Guo, H.; Nusbaum, R.; Zack, J.A.; et al. Interferon-Inducible Cholesterol-25-Hydroxylase Broadly Inhibits Viral Entry by Production of 25-Hydroxycholesterol. *Immunity* 2012, 38, 92–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Blanc, M.; Hsieh, W.Y.; Robertson, K.A.; Kropp, K.A.; Forster, T.; Shui, G.; Lacaze, P.; Watterson, S.; Griffiths, S.J.; Spann, N.J.; et al. The Transcription Factor STAT-1 Couples Macrophage Synthesis of 25-Hydroxycholesterol to the Interferon Antiviral Response. *Immunity* 2013, *38*, 106–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Fabryova, H.; Strebel, K. Vpr and Its Cellular Interaction Partners: R We There Yet? Cells 2019, 8, 1310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Trotard, M.; Tsopoulidis, N.; Tibroni, N.; Willemsen, J.; Binder, M.; Ruggieri, A.; Fackler, O.T. Sensing of HIV-1 Infection in Tzm-bl Cells with Reconstituted Expression of STING. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 2064–2076. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Su, J.; Rui, Y.; Lou, M.; Yin, L.; Xiong, H.; Zhou, Z.; Shen, S.; Chen, T.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, N. HIV-2/SIV Vpx targets a novel functional domain of STING to selectively inhibit cGAS-STING-mediated NF-kappaB signalling. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2019, 4, 2552–2564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gibbons, J.M.; Marno, K.M.; Pike, R.; Lee, W.-Y.; Jones, C.E.; Ogunkolade, B.W.; Pardieu, C.; Bryan, A.; Fu, R.M.; Warnes, G.; et al. HIV-1 Accessory Protein Vpr Interacts with REAF/RPRD2 To Mitigate Its Antiviral Activity. J. Virol. 2020, 94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cloherty, A.; Rader, A.; Compeer, B.; Ribeiro, C. Human TRIM5α: Autophagy Connects Cell-Intrinsic HIV-1 Restriction and Innate Immune Sensor Functioning. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, K.; Dauphin, A.; Komurlu, S.; McCauley, S.M.; Yurkovetskiy, L.; Carbone, C.; Diehl, W.E.; De Castillia, C.S.; Campbell, E.M.; Luban, J. Cyclophilin A protects HIV-1 from restriction by human TRIM5α. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2019, *4*, 2044–2051. [CrossRef]
- Selyutina, A.; Persaud, M.; Simons, L.M.; Bulnes-Ramos, A.; Buffone, C.; Martinez-Lopez, A.; Scoca, V.; Di Nunzio, F.; Hiatt, J.; Marson, A.; et al. Cyclophilin A Prevents HIV-1 Restriction in Lymphocytes by Blocking Human TRIM5α Binding to the Viral Core. *Cell Rep.* 2020, 30, 3766–3777. [CrossRef]
- Colomer-Lluch, M.; Ruiz, A.; Moris, A.; Prado, J.G. Restriction Factors: From Intrinsic Viral Restriction to Shaping Cellular Immunity Against HIV-1. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 2876. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Q.; Su, L. Vpr Enhances HIV-1 Env Processing and Virion Infectivity in Macrophages by Modulating TET2-Dependent IFITM3 Expression. *mBio* 2019, 10. [CrossRef]
- Hrecka, K.; Hao, C.; Gierszewska, M.; Swanson, S.K.; Kesik-Brodacka, M.; Srivastava, S.; Florens, L.; Washburn, M.; Skowronski, J. Vpx relieves inhibition of HIV-1 infection of macrophages mediated by the SAMHD1 protein. *Nature* 2011, 474, 658–661. [CrossRef]
- Laguette, N.; Sobhian, B.; Casartelli, N.; Ringeard, M.; Chable-Bessia, C.; Ségéral, E.; Yatim, A.; Emiliani, S.; Schwartz, O.; Benkirane, M. SAMHD1 is the dendritic- and myeloid-cell-specific HIV-1 restriction factor counteracted by Vpx. *Nature* 2011, 474, 654–657. [CrossRef]
- Wheeler, L.A.; Trifonova, R.T.; Vrbanac, V.; Barteneva, N.S.; Liu, X.; Bollman, B.; Onofrey, L.; Mulik, S.; Ranjbar, S.; Luster, A.D.; et al. TREX1 Knockdown Induces an Interferon Response to HIV that Delays Viral Infection in Humanized Mice. *Cell Rep.* 2016, 15, 1715–1727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yan, N.; Regalado-Magdos, A.D.; Stiggelbout, B.; Lee-Kirsch, M.A.; Lieberman, J. The cytosolic exonuclease TREX1 inhibits the innate immune response to human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Nat. Immunol.* 2010, *11*, 1005–1013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Jakobsen, M.R.; Bak, R.O.; Andersen, A.; Berg, R.K.; Jensen, S.B.; Jin, T.; Laustsen, A.; Hansen, K.; Østergaard, L.; Fitzgerald, K.A.; et al. PNAS Plus: From the Cover: IFI16 senses DNA forms of the lentiviral replication cycle and controls HIV-1 replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, E4571–E4580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Goujon, C.; Moncorge, O.; Bauby, H.; Doyle, T.; Ward, C.C.; Schaller, T.; Hue, S.; Barclay, W.; Schulz, R.; Malim, M.H. Human MX2 is an interferon-induced post-entry inhibitor of HIV-1 infection. *Nature* **2013**, *502*, 559–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kane, M.; Yadav, S.S.; Bitzegeio, J.; Kutluay, S.B.; Zang, T.; Wilson, S.; Schoggins, J.W.; Rice, C.M.; Yamashita, M.; Hatziioannou, T.; et al. MX2 is an interferon-induced inhibitor of HIV-1 infection. *Nature* 2013, 502, 563–566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chai, K.; Wang, Z.; Pan, Q.; Tan, J.; Qiao, W.; Liang, C. Effect of Different Nuclear Localization Signals on the Subcellular Localization and Anti-HIV-1 Function of the MxB Protein. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, *12*, 1241. [CrossRef]
- 47. Pagani, I.; Poli, G.; Vicenzi, E. TRIM22. A Multitasking Antiviral Factor. Cells 2021, 10, 1864. [CrossRef]
- Kajaste-Rudnitski, A.; Marelli, S.S.; Pultrone, C.; Pertel, T.; Uchil, P.D.; Mechti, N.; Mothes, W.; Poli, G.; Luban, J.; Vicenzi, E. TRIM22 inhibits HIV-1 transcription independently of its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, Tat, and NF-κB-responsive long terminal repeat elements. *J. Virol.* 2011, *85*, 5183–5196. [CrossRef]
- 49. Montano, M.A.; Kripke, K.; Norina, C.D.; Achacoso, P.; Herzenberg, L.A.; Roy, A.L.; Nolan, G.P. NF-kappa B homodimer binding within the HIV-1 initiator region and interactions with TFII-I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, 93, 12376–12381. [CrossRef]
- Della Chiara, G.; Crotti, A.; Liboi, E.; Giacca, M.; Poli, G.; Lusic, M. Negative regulation of HIV-1 transcription by a heterodimeric NF-kappaB1/p50 and C-terminally truncated STAT5 complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2011, 410, 933–943. [CrossRef]
- Allouch, A.; David, A.; Amie, S.M.; Lahouassa, H.; Chartier, L.; Margottin-Goguet, F.; Barré-Sinoussi, F.; Kim, B.; Sáez-Cirión, A.; Pancino, G. p21-mediated RNR2 repression restricts HIV-1 replication in macrophages by inhibiting dNTP biosynthesis pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2013, 110, E3997–E4006. [CrossRef]
- Valle-Casuso, J.C.; Allouch, A.; David, A.; Lenzi, G.M.; Studdard, L.; Barré-Sinoussi, F.; Müller-Trutwin, M.; Kim, B.; Pancino, G.; Sáez-Cirión, A. p21 Restricts HIV-1 in Monocyte-Derived Dendritic Cells through the Reduction of Deoxynucleoside Triphosphate Biosynthesis and Regulation of SAMHD1 Antiviral Activity. J. Virol. 2017, 91, e01324-17. [CrossRef]
- Graziano, F.; Aimola, G.; Forlani, G.; Turrini, F.; Accolla, R.S.; Vicenzi, E.; Poli, G. Reversible Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type-1 Latency in Primary Human Monocyte-Derived Macrophages Induced by Sustained M1 Polarization. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 14249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Forlani, G.; Shallak, M.; Ramia, E.; Tedeschi, A.; Accolla, R.S. Restriction factors in human retrovirus infections and the unprecedented case of CIITA as link of intrinsic and adaptive immunity against HTLV-1. *Retrovirology* 2019, 16, 34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chougui, G.; Munir-Matloob, S.; Matkovic, R.; Martin, M.M.; Morel, M.; Lahouassa, H.; LeDuc, M.; Ramirez, B.C.; Etienne, L.; Margottin-Goguet, F. HIV-2/SIV viral protein X counteracts HUSH repressor complex. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2018, *3*, 891–897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nodder, S.B.; Gummuluru, S. Illuminating the Role of Vpr in HIV Infection of Myeloid Cells. Front. Immunol. 2019, 10, 1606. [CrossRef]
- Chu, H.; Wang, J.-J.; Qi, M.; Yoon, J.-J.; Chen, X.; Wen, X.; Hammonds, J.; Ding, L.; Spearman, P. Tetherin/BST-2 Is Essential for the Formation of the Intracellular Virus-Containing Compartment in HIV-Infected Macrophages. *Cell Host Microbe* 2012, 12, 360–372. [CrossRef]
- 58. Giese, S.; Lawrence, S.P.; Mazzon, M.; Nijmeijer, B.M.; Marsh, M. The Nef Protein of the Macrophage Tropic HIV-1 Strain AD8 Counteracts Human BST-2/Tetherin. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 459. [CrossRef]
- Van Damme, N.; Goff, D.; Katsura, C.; Jorgenson, R.L.; Mitchell, R.; Johnson, M.C.; Stephens, E.B.; Guatelli, J. The Interferon-Induced Protein BST-2 Restricts HIV-1 Release and Is Downregulated from the Cell Surface by the Viral Vpu Protein. *Cell Host Microbe* 2008, *3*, 245–252. [CrossRef]
- Galao, R.P.; Le Tortorec, A.; Pickering, S.; Kueck, T.; Neil, S.J. Innate sensing of HIV-1 assembly by Tetherin induces NFkappaBdependent proinflammatory responses. *Cell Host Microbe* 2012, *12*, 633–644. [CrossRef]
- Krapp, C.; Hotter, D.; Gawanbacht, A.; McLaren, P.J.; Kluge, S.F.; Stürzel, C.M.; Mack, K.; Reith, E.; Engelhart, S.; Ciuffi, A.; et al. Guanylate Binding Protein (GBP) 5 Is an Interferon-Inducible Inhibitor of HIV-1 Infectivity. *Cell Host Microbe* 2016, 19, 504–514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Tada, T.; Zhang, Y.; Fujita, H.; Tokunaga, K. MARCH8: The tie that binds to viruses. FEBS J. 2021, in press. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Tada, T.; Zhang, Y.; Koyama, T.; Tobiume, M.; Tsunetsugu-Yokota, Y.; Yamaoka, S.; Fujita, H.; Tokunaga, K. MARCH8 inhibits HIV-1 infection by reducing virion incorporation of envelope glycoproteins. *Nat. Med.* **2015**, *21*, 1502–1507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, Y.; Tada, T.; Ozono, S.; Yao, W.; Tanaka, M.; Yamaoka, S.; Kishigami, S.; Fujita, H.; Tokunaga, K. Membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) 1 and 2 are MARCH family members that inhibit HIV-1 infection. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2019, 294, 3397–3405. [CrossRef]
- 65. Lubow, J.; Virgilio, M.C.; Merlino, M.; Collins, D.R.; Mashiba, M.; Peterson, B.G.; Lukic, Z.; Painter, M.M.; Gomez-Rivera, F.; Terry, V.; et al. Mannose receptor is an HIV restriction factor counteracted by Vpr in macrophages. *eLife* **2020**, *9*, e51035. [CrossRef]
- 66. Vigerust, D.J.; Egan, B.S.; Shepherd, V.L. HIV-1 Nef mediates post-translational down-regulation and redistribution of the mannose receptor. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2005, 77, 522–534. [CrossRef]

- Balliet, J.W.; Kolson, D.L.; Eiger, G.; Kim, F.M.; McGann, K.A.; Srinivasan, A.; Collman, R. Distinct Effects in Primary Macrophages and Lymphocytes of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Accessory Genes vpr, vpu, and nef: Mutational Analysis of a Primary HIV-1 Isolate. *Virology* 1994, 200, 623–631. [CrossRef]
- 68. Mashiba, M.; Collins, D.R.; Terry, V.H.; Collins, K.L. Vpr overcomes macrophage-specific restriction of HIV-1 Env expression and virion production. *Cell Host Microbe* 2014, *16*, 722–735. [CrossRef]
- 69. Muthumani, K.; Choo, A.Y.; Zong, W.X.; Madesh, M.; Hwang, D.S.; Premkumar, A.; Thieu, K.P.; Emmanuel, J.; Kumar, S.; Thompson, C.B.; et al. The HIV-1 Vpr and glucocorticoid receptor complex is a gain-of-function interaction that prevents the nuclear localization of PARP-1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2006**, *8*, 170–179. [CrossRef]
- 70. Jowett, J.B.; Planelles, V.; Poon, B.; Shah, N.P.; Chen, M.L.; Chen, I.S. The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vpr gene arrests infected T cells in the G2 + M phase of the cell cycle. *J. Virol.* **1995**, *69*, 6304–6313. [CrossRef]
- Vodicka, M.A.; Koepp, D.M.; Silver, P.A.; Emerman, M. HIV-1 Vpr interacts with the nuclear transport pathway to promote macrophage infection. *Genes Dev.* 1998, 12, 175–185. [CrossRef]
- 72. Goh, W.C.; Rogel, M.E.; Kinsey, C.M.; Michael, S.F.; Fultz, P.N.; Nowak, M.A.; Hahn, B.; Emerman, M. HIV-1 Vpr increases viral expression by manipulation of the cell cycle: A mechanism for selection of Vpr in vivo. *Nat. Med.* 1998, 4, 65–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lang, S.M.; Weeger, M.; Stahl-Hennig, C.; Coulibaly, C.; Hunsmann, G.; Muller, J.; Muller-Hermelink, H.; Fuchs, D.; Wachter, H.; Daniel, M.M.; et al. Importance of vpr for infection of rhesus monkeys with simian immunodeficiency virus. *J. Virol.* 1993, 67, 902–912. [CrossRef]
- 74. Hadi, K.; Walker, L.A.; Guha, D.; Murali, R.; Watkins, S.; Tarwater, P.; Srinivasan, A.; Ayyavoo, V. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Vpr polymorphisms associated with progressor and nonprogressor individuals alter Vpr-associated functions. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2014, 95, 700–711. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Beaumont, T.; van Nuenen, A.; Broersen, S.; Blattner, W.A.; Lukashov, V.V.; Schuitemaker, H. Reversal of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 IIIB to a Neutralization-Resistant Phenotype in an Accidentally Infected Laboratory Worker with a Progressive Clinical Course. *J. Virol.* 2001, *75*, 2246–2252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, D.; Wang, Y.; Tokunaga, K.; Huang, F.; Sun, B.; Yang, R. The HIV-1 accessory protein Vpr induces the degradation of the anti-HIV-1 agent APOBEC3G through a VprBP-mediated proteasomal pathway. *Virus Res.* 2015, 195, 25–34. [CrossRef]
- 77. An, J.; Rao, A.; Ko, M. TET family dioxygenases and DNA demethylation in stem cells and cancers. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2017**, *49*, e323. [CrossRef]
- 78. Lv, L.; Wang, Q.; Xu, Y.; Tsao, L.-C.; Nakagawa, T.; Guo, H.; Su, L.; Xiong, Y. Vpr Targets TET2 for Degradation by CRL4VprBP E3 Ligase to Sustain IL-6 Expression and Enhance HIV-1 Replication. *Mol. Cell* **2018**, *70*, 961–970.e5. [CrossRef]
- 79. Doehle, B.P.; Hladik, F.; McNevin, J.P.; McElrath, M.J.; Gale, M. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Mediates Global Disruption of Innate Antiviral Signaling and Immune Defenses within Infected Cells. J. Virol. 2009, 83, 10395–10405. [CrossRef]
- Laguette, N.; Bregnard, C.; Hue, P.; Basbous, J.; Yatim, A.; Larroque, M.; Kirchhoff, F.; Constantinou, A.; Sobhian, B.; Benkirane, M. Premature activation of the SLX4 complex by Vpr promotes G2/M arrest and escape from innate immune sensing. *Cell* 2014, 156, 134–145. [CrossRef]
- 81. Marno, K.M.; Ogunkolade, B.W.; Pade, C.; Oliveira, N.M.; O'Sullivan, E.; McKnight, A. Novel restriction factor RNA-associated early-stage anti-viral factor (REAF) inhibits human and simian immunodeficiency viruses. *Retrovirology* **2014**, *11*, 3. [CrossRef]
- Khan, H.; Sumner, R.P.; Rasaiyaah, J.; Tan, C.P.; Rodriguez-Plata, M.T.; Van Tulleken, C.; Fink, D.; Zuliani-Alvarez, L.; Thorne, L.; Stirling, D.; et al. HIV-1 Vpr antagonizes innate immune activation by targeting karyopherin-mediated NF-kappaB/IRF3 nuclear transport. *Elife* 2020, *9*, e60821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Azimi, F.C.; Lee, J.E. Structural perspectives on HIV-1 Vif and APOBEC3 restriction factor interactions. *Protein Sci.* 2019, 29, 391–406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chaipan, C.; Smith, J.L.; Hu, W.-S.; Pathak, V.K. APOBEC3G Restricts HIV-1 to a Greater Extent than APOBEC3F and APOBEC3DE in Human Primary CD4+ T Cells and Macrophages. J. Virol. 2012, 87, 444–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cassetta, L.; Kajaste-Rudnitski, A.; Coradin, T.; Saba, E.; Della Chiara, G.; Barbagallo, M.; Graziano, F.; Alfano, M.; Cassol, E.; Vicenzi, E.; et al. M1 polarization of human monocyte-derived macrophages restricts pre and postintegration steps of HIV-1 replication. *AIDS* 2013, 27, 1847–1856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Peng, G.; Greenwell-Wild, T.; Nares, S.; Jin, W.; Lei, K.J.; Rangel, Z.G.; Munson, P.J.; Wahl, S.M. Myeloid differentiation and susceptibility to HIV-1 are linked to APOBEC3 expression. *Blood* 2007, *110*, 393–400. [CrossRef]
- 87. Berger, G.; Durand, S.; Fargier, G.; Nguyen, X.-N.; Cordeil, S.; Bouaziz, S.; Muriaux, D.; Darlix, J.-L.; Cimarelli, A. APOBEC3A Is a Specific Inhibitor of the Early Phases of HIV-1 Infection in Myeloid Cells. *PLoS Pathog.* **2011**, *7*, e1002221. [CrossRef]
- Covino, D.A.; Kaczor-Urbanowicz, K.E.; Lu, J.; Chiantore, M.V.; Fiorucci, G.; Vescio, M.F.; Catapano, L.; Purificato, C.; Galluzzo, C.M.; Amici, R.; et al. Transcriptome Profiling of Human Monocyte-Derived Macrophages Upon CCL2 Neutralization Reveals an Association Between Activation of Innate Immune Pathways and Restriction of HIV-1 Gene Expression. *Front. Immunol.* 2020, 11, 2129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Akimova, E.; Gassner, F.J.; Schubert, M.; Rebhandl, S.; Arzt, C.; Rauscher, S.; Tober, V.; Zaborsky, N.; Greil, R.; Geisberger, R. SAMHD1 restrains aberrant nucleotide insertions at repair junctions generated by DNA end joining. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, 49, 2598–2608. [CrossRef]
- 90. Wu, L. SAMHD1: A new contributor to HIV-1 restriction in resting CD4+T-cells. Retrovirology 2012, 9, 88. [CrossRef]

- 91. Gao, D.; Wu, J.; Wu, Y.-T.; Du, F.; Aroh, C.; Yan, N.; Sun, L.; Chen, Z.J. Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Is an Innate Immune Sensor of HIV and Other Retroviruses. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 903–906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Woelk, C.H.; Ottones, F.; Plotkin, C.R.; Du, P.; Royer, C.D.; Rought, S.E.; Lozach, J.; Sasik, R.; Kornbluth, R.S.; Richman, D.D.; et al. Interferon gene expression following HIV type 1 infection of monocyte-derived macrophages. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retrovir.* 2004, 20, 1210–1222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nasr, N.; Maddocks, S.; Turville, S.G.; Harman, A.N.; Woolger, N.; Helbig, K.J.; Wilkinson, J.; Bye, C.R.; Wright, T.K.; Rambukwelle, D.; et al. HIV-1 infection of human macrophages directly induces viperin which inhibits viral production. *Blood* 2012, 120, 778–788. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Noyce, R.S.; Taylor, K.; Ciechonska, M.; Collins, S.E.; Duncan, R.; Mossman, K.L. Membrane Perturbation Elicits an IRF3-Dependent, Interferon-Independent Antiviral Response. J. Virol. 2011, 85, 10926–10931. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Holm, C.; Jensen, S.B.; Jakobsen, M.R.; Cheshenko, N.; Horan, K.A.; Moeller, H.B.; Gonzalez-Dosal, R.; Rasmussen, S.B.; Christensen, M.H.; O Yarovinsky, T.; et al. Virus-cell fusion as a trigger of innate immunity dependent on the adaptor STING. *Nat. Immunol.* 2012, 13, 737–743. [CrossRef]
- Xu, S.; Ducroux, A.; Ponnurangam, A.; Vieyres, G.; Franz, S.; Musken, M.; Zillinger, T.; Malassa, A.; Ewald, E.; Hornung, V.; et al. cGAS-Mediated Innate Immunity Spreads Intercellularly through HIV-1 Env-Induced Membrane Fusion Sites. *Cell Host Microbe* 2016, 20, 443–457. [CrossRef]
- 97. Rodrigues, V.; Ruffin, N.; San-Roman, M.; Benaroch, P. Myeloid Cell Interaction with HIV: A Complex Relationship. *Front. Immunol.* **2017**, *8*, 1698. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Z.; Pan, Q.; Ding, S.; Qian, J.; Xu, F.; Zhou, J.; Cen, S.; Guo, F.; Liang, C. The Interferon-Inducible MxB Protein Inhibits HIV-1 Infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 2013, 14, 398–410. [CrossRef]
- 99. A Matreyek, K.; Wang, W.; Serrao, E.; Singh, P.K.; Levin, H.L.; Engelman, A. Host and viral determinants for MxB restriction of HIV-1 infection. *Retrovirology* **2014**, *11*, 90. [CrossRef]
- 100. Buffone, C.; Kutzner, J.; Opp, S.; Martinez-Lopez, A.; Selyutina, A.; Coggings, S.A.; Studdard, L.R.; Ding, L.; Kim, B.; Spearman, P.; et al. The ability of SAMHD1 to block HIV-1 but not SIV requires expression of MxB. *Virology* **2019**, *531*, 260–268. [CrossRef]
- Bhargava, A.; Lahaye, X.; Manel, N. Let me in: Control of HIV nuclear entry at the nuclear envelope. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2018, 40, 59–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Neil, S.; Zang, T.; Bieniasz, P.D. Tetherin inhibits retrovirus release and is antagonized by HIV-1 Vpu. *Nature* 2008, 451, 425–430. [CrossRef]
- Giese, S.; Marsh, M. Tetherin Can Restrict Cell-Free and Cell-Cell Transmission of HIV from Primary Macrophages to T Cells. PLoS Pathog. 2014, 10, e1004189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Kuhl, B.D.; Sloan, R.D.; Donahue, D.A.; Liang, C.; Wainberg, M.A. Vpu-mediated tetherin antagonism of ongoing HIV-1 infection in CD4+ T-cells is not directly related to the extent of tetherin cell surface downmodulation. *Virology* 2011, 417, 353–361. [CrossRef]
- 105. Lu, J.; Pan, Q.; Rong, L.; He, W.; Liu, S.L.; Liang, C. The IFITM proteins inhibit HIV-1 infection. J. Virol. 2011, 85, 2126–2137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Jia, R.; Pan, Q.; Ding, S.; Rong, L.; Liu, S.-L.; Geng, Y.; Qiao, W.; Liang, C. The N-Terminal Region of IFITM3 Modulates Its Antiviral Activity by Regulating IFITM3 Cellular Localization. J. Virol. 2012, 86, 13697–13707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Tartour, K.; Appourchaux, R.; Gaillard, J.; Nguyen, X.-N.; Durand, S.; Turpin, J.; Beaumont, E.; Roch, E.; Berger, G.; Mahieux, R.; et al. IFITM proteins are incorporated onto HIV-1 virion particles and negatively imprint their infectivity. *Retrovirology* 2014, 11, 103. [CrossRef]
- Pierini, V.; Gallucci, L.; Stürzel, C.M.; Kirchhoff, F.; Fackler, O.T. SERINC5 Can Enhance Proinflammatory Cytokine Production by Primary Human Myeloid Cells in Response to Challenge with HIV-1 Particles. J. Virol. 2021, 95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zutz, A.; Schölz, C.; Schneider, S.; Pierini, V.; Münchhoff, M.; Sutter, K.; Wittmann, G.; Dittmer, U.; Draenert, R.; Bogner, J.R.; et al. SERINC5 Is an Unconventional HIV Restriction Factor That Is Upregulated during Myeloid Cell Differentiation. *J. Innate Immun.* 2020, 12, 399–409. [CrossRef]
- Guo, J.; Wu, Y. Chemokine Receptor CCR5 Antagonist Maraviroc: Medicinal Chemistry and Clinical Applications. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2014, 14, 1504–1514. [CrossRef]
- 111. Beitari, S.; Ding, S.; Pan, Q.; Finzi, A.; Liang, C. Effect of HIV-1 Env on SERINC5 Antagonism. J. Virol. 2017, 91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Azevedo-Pereira, J.M.; Canhão, P.; Calado, M.; Santos-Costa, Q.; Barroca, P. Inhibition of HIV Replication by Host Cellular Factors. In *Trends in Basic and Therapeutic Options in HIV Infection—Towards a Functional Cure*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2015.
- 113. Saulle, I.; Ibba, S.V.; Vittori, C.; Fenizia, C.; Mercurio, V.; Vichi, F.; Caputo, S.L.; Trabattoni, D.; Clerici, M.; Biasin, M. Sterol metabolism modulates susceptibility to HIV-1 Infection. *AIDS* **2020**, *34*, 1593–1602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Kinter, A.L.; Poli, G.; Fox, L.; Hardy, E.; Fauci, A.S. HIV replication in IL-2-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells is driven in an autocrine/paracrine manner by endogenous cytokines. *J. Immunol.* **1995**, *154*.
- Wu, T.; Ma, F.; Ma, X.; Jia, W.; Pan, E.; Cheng, G.; Chen, L.; Sun, C. Regulating Innate and Adaptive Immunity for Controlling SIV Infection by 25-Hydroxycholesterol. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, *9*, 2686. [CrossRef]
- Turner, J.; Torrelles, J.B. Mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan in Mycobacterium tuberculosis pathogenesis. *Pathog. Dis.* 2018, 76, fty026. [CrossRef]

- 117. Sung, P.-S.; Hsieh, S.-L. CLEC2 and CLEC5A: Pathogenic Host Factors in Acute Viral Infections. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 2867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Cassol, E.; Cassetta, L.; Rizzi, C.; Gabuzda, D.; Alfano, M.; Poli, G. Dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3 grabbing nonintegrin mediates HIV-1 infection of and transmission by M2a-polarized macrophages in vitro. *AIDS* **2013**, *27*, 707–716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Cicala, C.; Arthos, J.; Fauci, A.S. Role of T-cell trafficking in the pathogenesis of HIV disease. *Curr. Opin. HIV AIDS* **2019**, *14*, 115–120. [CrossRef]
- Richter, S.N.; Frasson, I.; Palu, G. Strategies for inhibiting function of HIV-1 accessory proteins: A necessary route to AIDS therapy? *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2009, 16, 267–286. [CrossRef]
- 121. Mori, L.; Valente, S.T. Cure and Long-Term Remission Strategies. Methods Mol. Biol. 2022, 2407, 391–428. [CrossRef]
- 122. Cao, Y.; Liu, X.; De Clercq, E. Cessation of HIV-1 transcription by inhibiting regulatory protein Rev-mediated RNA transport. *Curr. HIV Res.* 2009, 7, 101–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Dekaban, G.A.; Dikeakos, J.D. HIV-I Nef inhibitors: A novel class of HIV-specific immune adjuvants in support of a cure. *AIDS Res. Ther.* **2017**, *14*, 53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Li, B.; Dong, X.; Zhang, W.; Chen, T.; Yu, B.; Zhao, W.; Yang, Y.; Wang, X.; Hu, Q.; Wang, X. High-Throughput NanoBiT-Based Screening for Inhibitors of HIV-1 Vpu and Host BST-2 Protein Interaction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 9308. [CrossRef]
- 125. Ikeda, T.; Yue, Y.; Shimizu, R.; Nasser, H. Potential Utilization of APOBEC3-Mediated Mutagenesis for an HIV-1 Functional Cure. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 686357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. González, M.E. The HIV-1 Vpr Protein: A Multifaceted Target for Therapeutic Intervention. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, *18*, 126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Caballero, R.E.; Dong, S.X.M.; Gajanayaka, N.; Ali, H.; Cassol, E.; Cameron, W.D.; Korneluk, R.; Tremblay, M.J.; Angel, J.B.; Kumar, A. Role of RIPK1 in SMAC mimetics-induced apoptosis in primary human HIV-infected macrophages. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, *11*, 22901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Garcia-Vidal, E.; Badia, R.; Pujantell, M.; Castellví, M.; Felip, E.; Clotet, B.; Riveira-Muñoz, E.; Ballana, E.; Esté, J.A. Dual effect of the broad spectrum kinase inhibitor midostaurin in acute and latent HIV-1 infection. *Antivir. Res.* 2019, 168, 18–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Locati, M.; Curtale, G.; Mantovani, A. Diversity, Mechanisms, and Significance of Macrophage Plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis.* 2020, *15*, 123–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 130. Cassol, E.; Cassetta, L.; Rizzi, C.; Alfano, M.; Poli, G. M1 and M2a Polarization of Human Monocyte-Derived Macrophages Inhibits HIV-1 Replication by Distinct Mechanisms. *J. Immunol.* **2009**, *182*, 6237–6246. [CrossRef]
- 131. He, H.; Buckley, M.; Britton, B.; Mu, Y.; Warner, K.; Kumar, S.; Cory, T.J. Polarized macrophage subsets differentially express the drug efflux transporters MRP1 and BCRP, resulting in altered HIV production. *Antivir. Chem. Chemother.* **2018**, *26*. [CrossRef]
- Murray, P.J.; Allen, J.E.; Biswas, S.K.; Fisher, E.A.; Gilroy, D.W.; Goerdt, S.; Gordon, S.; Hamilton, J.A.; Ivashkiv, L.B.; Lawrence, T.; et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: Nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity* 2014, 41, 14–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Cassol, E.; Cassetta, L.; Alfano, M.; Poli, G. Macrophage polarization and HIV-1 infection. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **2009**, *87*, 599–608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schlaepfer, E.; Rochat, M.-A.; Duo, L.; Speck, R.F. Triggering TLR2, -3, -4, -5, and -8 Reinforces the Restrictive Nature of M1- and M2-Polarized Macrophages to HIV. J. Virol. 2014, 88, 9769–9781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Turrini, F.F.; Marelli, S.S.; A Kajaste-Rudnitski, A.; Lusic, M.M.; Van Lint, C.; Das, A.T.; Harwig, A.; Berkhout, B.; Vicenzi, E. HIV-1 transcriptional silencing caused by TRIM22 inhibition of Sp1 binding to the viral promoter. *Retrovirology* 2015, 12, 104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eddine, F.B.N.; Forlani, G.; Lombardo, L.; Tedeschi, A.; Tosi, G.; Accolla, R.S. CIITA-driven MHC class II expressing tumor cells can efficiently prime naive CD4+ TH cells in vivo and vaccinate the host against parental MHC-II-negative tumor cells. *OncoImmunology* 2016, 6, e1261777. [CrossRef]
- 137. Viglianti, G.A.; Planelles, V.; Hanley, T.M. Interactions with Commensal and Pathogenic Bacteria Induce HIV-1 Latency in Macrophages through Altered Transcription Factor Recruitment to the LTR. J. Virol. 2021, 95, e02141-20. [CrossRef]
- Robinson, T.O.; Zhang, M.; Ochsenbauer, C.; Smythies, L.E.; Cron, R.Q. CD4 regulatory T cells augment HIV-1 expression of polarized M1 and M2 monocyte derived macrophages. *Virology* 2017, 504, 79–87. [CrossRef]
- 139. Wong, M.E.; Johnson, C.J.; Hearps, A.C.; Jaworowski, A. Development of a Novel In Vitro Primary Human Monocyte-Derived Macrophage Model To Study Reactivation of HIV-1 Transcription. *J. Virol.* **2021**, *95*, JVI0022721. [CrossRef]
- Bondarev, A.D.; Attwood, M.M.; Jonsson, J.; Chubarev, V.N.; Tarasov, V.V.; Schiöth, H.B. Recent developments of HDAC inhibitors: Emerging indications and novel molecules. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2021, *87*, 4577–4597. [CrossRef]
- El Costa, H.; Quillay, H.; Marlin, R.; Cannou, C.; Duriez, M.; Benjelloun, F.; de Truchis, C.; Rahmati, M.; Ighil, J.; Barré-Sinoussi, F.; et al. The local environment orchestrates mucosal decidual macrophage differentiation and substantially inhibits HIV-1 replication. *Mucosal Immunol.* 2016, 9, 634–646. [CrossRef]