



# Metformin use and post-exposure incident tuberculosis: a nationwide tuberculosis-contact cohort study in Taiwan

To the Editor:

Patients with diabetes mellitus are susceptible to active tuberculosis (TB) and latent TB infection (LTBI) [1–3]. Close contact with patients with infectious TB is associated with an increased risk of having coprevalent TB or developing incident TB [4, 5]. However, information on the burden of incident TB in contacts with underlying diabetes is limited. Considering post-exposure TB in patients with diabetes, metformin has demonstrated anti-TB effects in preclinical studies and association with a low LTBI prevalence in a cross-sectional survey [6, 7]. Despite metformin's wide use and correlation with a lower TB risk in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) [8], the impact of metformin use during TB exposure on subsequent TB risk has not been thoroughly investigated. We aimed to obtain the rate of post-exposure incident TB, but not coprevalent TB, in T2D patients and evaluate whether TB risk can be modified by metformin use during the TB-exposure period.

This retrospective cohort study in TB contacts used the National Health Insurance Research Database (with approval from Taipei City Hospital: TCHIRB-10704109-W), in a manner as previously used by YEN *et al.* [9]. This database includes longitudinal claims data of >23 million beneficiaries in Taiwan and has contributed to numerous validated reports [4, 8]. We identified TB contacts by diagnostic International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision, clinical modification (ICD-9-CM) code of V01.1 plus chest radiography orders in outpatient records from 2008 to 2014, when TB-contact investigation is mandatory in Taiwan [4]. The index date was the date of coding of V01.1. To minimise confounding variables, we excluded subjects with a prior TB history, preventive therapy for LTBI, type 1 diabetes and those with chronic kidney disease, in who metformin is contradicted [8].

We defined T2D patients by the presence of 250.x0 and 250.x2 ICD-9 codes (one inpatient or more than three outpatient records in the 6 months before and after the index date) [8]. Using the defined daily dose (DDD) of metformin [8], *i.e.* 2000 mg, we defined a T2D subject as having metformin use during TB exposure (T2D-useMet) if the cumulative DDD of metformin was >30 within 6 months before the index date; the others were T2D without metformin (T2D-nonMet). The outcome, after excluding subjects with coprevalent TB occurring within 6 months, was post-exposure incident TB developing after the initial 6 months [5]. We identified TB by codes 010–018 plus prescription of anti-TB drugs in all subjects until December 2016, death or 3 years [8]. We recorded potential confounders including diabetes severity as indicated by the adapted diabetes complication severity index (aDCSI) score (table 1) [8]. We used SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) for data extraction and analysis, and Cox regression analysis to assess predictors for incident TB.

We identified 234 373 adult TB contacts aged >20 years during 2008–2014. We excluded 3308 (1.4%) participants with prior TB, 1414 (0.6%) with coprevalent TB within 6 months after the index dates and



@ERSpublications

**This TB contact cohort study showed that the risk of incident TB, not coprevalent TB, was highest in the diabetes group without metformin use during TB exposure, followed by the nondiabetes population, and was lowest in the diabetes group with metformin use** <https://bit.ly/3fpJyF0>

**Cite this article as:** Pan S-W, Feng J-Y, Yen Y-F, *et al.* Metformin use and post-exposure incident tuberculosis: a nationwide tuberculosis-contact cohort study in Taiwan. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; 6: 00050-2020 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00050-2020>].

Copyright ©ERS 2020. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.



TABLE 1 Demographic data of the whole cohort and analysis of the risk factors for post-exposure incident tuberculosis (TB) in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D)

Variables	TB contact cohort	Nondiabetes participants	T2D participants		Cox analysis of risk factors for TB in T2D population			
			Non-metformin	Metformin use	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
					HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
<b>Subjects n</b>	205 797	185 189	9851	10 757				
<b>Age years</b>	46.24±15.17	44.90±14.44	59.10±16.74	57.49±15.70	1.01 (1.00–1.02)	0.088	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.455
<b>Male sex</b>	106 301 (51.65%)	94 523 (51.04%)	5719 (58.06%)	6059 (56.33%)	1.70 (1.19–2.43)	0.004	1.71 (1.18–2.47)	0.005
<b>Income level</b>								
Low	39 865 (19.37%)	34 088 (18.41%)	2970 (30.15%)	2807 (26.09%)	1.00		1.00	
Intermediate	112 053 (54.45%)	101 464 (54.79%)	4832 (49.05%)	5757 (53.52%)	0.84 (0.58–1.22)	0.372	1.01 (0.68–1.51)	0.942
High	53 879 (26.18%)	49 637 (26.80%)	2049 (20.80%)	2193 (20.39%)	0.69 (0.42–1.13)	0.141	0.89 (0.52–1.52)	0.669
<b>Urbanisation</b>								
Urban	114 124 (55.45%)	103 798 (56.05%)	4951 (50.26%)	5375 (49.97%)	1.00		1.00	
Suburban	70 748 (34.38%)	63 307 (34.19%)	3529 (35.82%)	3912 (36.37%)	1.16 (0.80–1.69)	0.441	1.11 (0.75–1.63)	0.601
Rural	20 925 (10.17%)	18 084 (9.77%)	1371 (13.92%)	1470 (13.67%)	1.99 (1.30–3.06)	0.002	1.83 (1.17–2.88)	0.008
<b>Comorbidities</b>								
COPD or asthma	24 763 (12.03%)	19 316 (10.43%)	3039 (30.85%)	2408 (22.39%)	1.49 (1.05–2.12)	0.027	1.24 (0.85–1.80)	0.261
Malignancy	6 712 (3.26%)	5 080 (2.74%)	869 (8.82%)	763 (7.09%)	1.19 (0.66–2.15)	0.565	1.03 (0.57–1.89)	0.913
Liver cirrhosis	1 966 (0.96%)	1 281 (0.69%)	396 (4.02%)	289 (2.69%)	2.81 (1.52–5.20)	0.001	2.00 (1.02–3.92)	0.042
Alcoholism	1 498 (0.73%)	1 151 (0.62%)	214 (2.17%)	133 (1.24%)	3.24 (1.52–6.94)	0.002	1.94 (0.84–4.47)	0.119
Autoimmune disease	5 508 (2.68%)	4 450 (2.40%)	532 (5.40%)	526 (4.89%)	0.80 (0.35–1.81)	0.594 <sup>#</sup>	0.72 (0.32–1.65)	0.440 <sup>#</sup>
AIDS <sup>#</sup>	234	164 (0.09%)	8 (0.08%)	4				
Organ Transplant <sup>#</sup>		48 (0.03%)	10 (0.10%)					
<b>Steroid use<sup>¶</sup></b>	1 408 (0.68%)	1 093 (0.59%)	172 (1.75%)	143 (1.33%)	2.25 (0.83–6.09)	0.110	1.88 (0.69–5.12)	0.220
<b>Statin use<sup>¶</sup></b>	9 653 (4.69%)	4 256 (2.30%)	1 639 (16.64%)	3 758 (34.94%)	0.66 (0.44–1.00)	0.049	0.77 (0.51–1.18)	0.236
<b>Insulin use<sup>¶</sup></b>	1 747 (0.85%)	5 (0.00%)	808 (8.20%)	934 (8.68%)	1.16 (0.66–2.05)	0.612	1.11 (0.62–2.00)	0.723
<b>aDCSI score</b>	0.64±1.24	0.46±0.96	2.27±2.04	2.30±1.96	1.06 (0.98–1.15)	0.153	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	0.542
<b>Follow-up duration years</b>	2.93±0.35	2.94±0.30	2.71±0.68	2.83±0.51				
<b>Incident TB events</b>	912 (0.44%)	771 (0.42%)	82 (0.83%)	59 (0.55%)				
<b>T2D status on TB exposure</b>								
T2D non-metformin	9851 (4.78%)				1.00		1.00	
T2D metformin use	10 757 (5.22%)				0.63 (0.45–0.88)	0.007	0.69 (0.49–0.98)	0.036

Data are presented as n (%) or mean±SD, unless otherwise stated. HR: hazard ratio; aDCSI: adapted diabetes complication severity index. <sup>#</sup>: because the numbers of AIDS and organ transplant patients were too small to be publicly disclosed in the table, the two factors were combined into autoimmune disease for the univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. <sup>¶</sup>: use of a comedication during TB exposure period is defined as taking a drug for >30 cumulative defined daily doses within 6 months before the index date.

6161 (2.6%) receiving isoniazid preventive therapy for LTBI. After excluding subjects with chronic kidney disease and/or type 1 diabetes (n=5172) and T2D developed during 3-year follow-up (n=12521), we finally included 205797 participants for the analysis: 185189 (90%) nondiabetes, 9851 (4.8%) T2D-nonMet and 10757 (5.2%) T2D-useMet subjects. Compared with the nondiabetes group, the T2D groups were older and had more comorbidities and comedications, including statins. After a mean±SD follow-up of 2.93±0.35 years, we observed 912 (0.44%) incident TB events: 771 (0.42%), 82 (0.83%) and 59 (0.55%) in the nondiabetes, T2D-nonMet and T2D-useMet groups (p<0.001), respectively. The corresponding incidences of TB were 1.4, 3.1 and 1.9 cases per 1000 person-years in the three groups (table 1). The Kaplan–Meier curves of probabilities of incident TB after the initial post-exposure 6 months for the three groups were significant different (log-rank p<0.001).

In the T2D population, metformin use during TB exposure significantly predicted incident TB in univariate analysis (p=0.007). Notably, T2D-useMet was negatively associated with TB after adjustment by cofactors with univariate p<0.05 (adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) 0.69, 95% CI 0.49–0.98; p=0.037). In the fully adjusted model, T2D-useMet remained correlated with lower risk for TB development (aHR 0.69, 95% CI 0.49–0.98; p=0.036) (table 1). Furthermore, compared with T2D-nonMet subjects, T2D-useMet subjects receiving a high dose of metformin had further declined risks of TB: aHR 0.87 (95% CI 0.56–1.37, p=0.558) in the subgroup receiving 30–90 DDD and 0.59 (95% CI 0.39–0.90, p=0.013) in that taking >90 DDD within 6 months before the index date. While incorporating nondiabetes data into the analysis, we found that TB risk was highest in the T2D-nonMet group (aHR 1.41, 95% CI 1.01–1.97; p=0.045), followed by the nondiabetes (aHR 1.15, 95% CI 0.86–1.52; p=0.342), and was lowest in T2D-useMet (reference) groups after adjusting for age, sex, income, residence, all comorbidities, steroid and insulin use (all factors with univariate p<0.05 in the whole-population analysis; statins not included due to p=0.917 in the univariate analysis).

In summary, our diabetes subjects with metformin use during TB exposure had a 31% lower risk of incident TB than those without and a similar risk to the controls without diabetes. In another TB contact study (5846 matched trios), LEE *et al.* [10] reported that, compared with diabetes without metformin, TB risk was 27% lower in matched metformin users taking ≥90 DDD within 1 year (aHR 0.73) and lowest in healthy contacts without any comorbidities (aHR 0.42). We think that their overtly healthy controls and accounting for coprevalent TB as the outcome may explain the deviation in their results from ours. Our study excluded coprevalent TB and found only 0.44% of contacts developed incident TB within 3 years. This finding is consistent with the result of the Amsterdam study: 0.39% incident TB events within 5 years [3]. Importantly, we present a dose–response association between metformin and incident TB. Our robust result suggests that metformin may modify the risk of TB disease in patients with diabetes who have been exposed to TB patients [7].

Regarding limitations, we lacked data on smoking, exposure types or LTBI status of the contacts and the sputum smear status of the index cases [5]. Second, because metformin might be used before TB exposure throughout the following years, whether metformin acts to prevent initial infection or reactivation from LTBI remains unknown.

In conclusion, we found, in patients with diabetes taking metformin, a decreased risk of post-exposure incident TB, in a low level similar to that in subjects without diabetes. These results may provide rationales for researching metformin's potential in preventive therapy after TB exposure.

**Sheng-Wei Pan**<sup>1,2,3</sup>, **Jia-Yih Feng**<sup>1,2,4</sup>, **Yung-Feng Yen**<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, **Fan-Yi Chuang**<sup>1</sup>, **Hsiang-Shi Shen**<sup>1</sup>, **Vincent Yi-Fong Su**<sup>2,6,7</sup>, **Pei-Hung Chuang**<sup>8</sup>, **Yu-Jiun Chan**<sup>3,9,10</sup> and **Wei-Juin Su**<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Public Health, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>5</sup>Section of Infectious Diseases, Taipei City Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>6</sup>Dept of Health Care Management, National Taipei University of Nursing and Health Sciences, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>7</sup>Dept of Internal Medicine, Taipei City Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>8</sup>Taipei Association of Health and Welfare Data Science, Taiwan. <sup>9</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Dept of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>10</sup>Division of Microbiology, Dept of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

Correspondence: Wei-Juin Su, Dept of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, No. 201, Sec. 2, Shih-Pai Rd., Taipei 11217, Taiwan. E-mail: wjsu.mail@gmail.com

Received: 30 Jan 2020 | Accepted after revision: 28 April 2020

Author contributions: S-W. Pan, J-Y. Feng, Y-J. Chan and V.Y-F. Su conceived and designed this study. S-W. Pan, Y-F. Yen and P-H. Chuang acquired and analysed the data, and had full access to all of the data. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results of this study. S-W. Pan, J-Y. Feng, Y-J. Chan and W-J. Su contributed to drafting the manuscript. Y-F. Yen and W-J. Su are the supervisors of the paper and responsible for the integrity of the work as a whole.

Support statement: S-W. Pan is supported by grants from Taipei Veterans General Hospital (grant numbers V108B-039 and V109C-053) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST 107-2314-B-075 -057 and 108-2314-B-075-001). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

## References

- 1 Jeon CY, Murray MB. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of active tuberculosis: a systematic review of 13 observational studies. *PLoS Med* 2008; 5: e152.
- 2 Lee MR, Huang YP, Kuo YT, *et al.* Diabetes mellitus and latent tuberculosis infection: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64: 719–727.
- 3 Jackson C, Southern J, Lalvani A, *et al.* Diabetes mellitus and latent tuberculosis infection: baseline analysis of a large UK cohort. *Thorax* 2019; 74: 91–94.
- 4 Pan SW, Yen YF, Feng JY, *et al.* The risk of depressive disorder among contacts of tuberculosis patients in a TB-endemic area: a population-based cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015; 94: e1870.
- 5 Sloot R, van der Loeff MF S, Kouw PM, *et al.* Risk of tuberculosis after recent exposure. A 10-year follow-up study of contacts in Amsterdam. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 190: 1044–1052.
- 6 Singhal A, Jie L, Kumar P, *et al.* Metformin as adjunct antituberculosis therapy. *Sci Transl Med* 2014; 6: 263ra159.
- 7 Magee MJ, Salindri AD, Kornfeld H, *et al.* Reduced prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection in diabetes patients using metformin and statins. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1801695.
- 8 Pan SW, Yen YF, Kou YR, *et al.* The risk of TB in patients with type 2 diabetes initiating metformin *vs* sulfonylurea treatment. *Chest* 2018; 153: 1347–1357.
- 9 Yen YF, Feng JY, Yi-Fong Su V, *et al.* Human immunodeficiency virus infection increases the risk of incident uveitis among people living with HIV/AIDS. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2018; 79: 149–157.
- 10 Lee MC, Lee CH, Lee MR, *et al.* Impact of metformin use among tuberculosis close contacts with diabetes mellitus in a nationwide cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2019; 19: 936.