Hospital pharmacist discharge care is independently associated with reduced risk of readmissions for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A propensitymatched cohort study

Joy Makari, BScPhm, PharmD; Joseph Dagenais, BEng, BSP, PharmD; Mina Tadrous, MS, PharmD, PhD; Sarah Jennings, BSc, BScPhm, PharmD; Israa Rahmaan, HBSc, BScPhm; Kaleen Hayes, PharmD, PhD

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause for hospitalization and death globally,¹ and the number of people affected by this disease is expected to more than double every 10 years.² Today, COPD places excessive stress on the health care system, as hospitalization rates exceed those of any other chronic disease, including angina, heart failure, diabetes or hypertension,³ and direct costs attributable to COPD in the past 10 years in Canada have exceeded \$1.5 billion.⁴ One in 5 patients hospitalized for COPD will require readmission within 30 days.¹ Hospital readmission is associated with a decline in lung function, lower quality of life and increased mortality risk for patients with COPD,⁵ yet up to 50% of readmissions are potentially preventable by health care provider interventions.⁵ Evidence-based, multidisciplinary interventions are needed to address the growing public health issue of long-term COPD management and hospital readmissions.

To address this issue, the Queensway Carleton Hospital instituted a quality assurance (QA) program for patients admitted with COPD. This program included pharmacist care where possible, which may allow us to evaluate the incremental effect of pharmacist care on top of the quality assurance (QA) program. Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to evaluate the impact of hospital pharmacist discharge care, including counselling, for COPD patients on 30-day readmission and emergency department (ED) visits when delivered as part of a hospital-wide QA program.

Methods

Study design

Using a retrospective cohort study design, we measured the effects of hospital pharmacist discharge care on 30-day ED visits and 30-day hospital readmissions for patients discharged between November 1, 2016, and March 15, 2020. As part of a hospital-wide, quality improvement initiative at Queensway Carleton Hospital, a 264-bed acute-care hospital in Ottawa, several interventions were made to usual care to reduce shortterm health care utilization among patients with COPD beginning in 2016. For every patient with COPD being discharged, the following standard interventions were applied: nursing checklists, telephone follow-up calls and a follow-up visit in the COPD nursing clinic, as required. Inpatient nurses provided initial inhaler teaching and reinforced inhaler technique throughout the duration of hospitalization. Charge nurses conducted a postdischarge follow-up phone call within 48 hours of discharge. The COPD clinic nurse reinforced education for patients on inhaler technique at follow-up appointments as well as through remote video consultation when needed. In addition to these full-time interventions, a part-time hospital

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions D0I:10.1177/17151635211061141



ALC, alternate level of care status—a patient occupying a bed in a facility who does not require the intensity of resources/services provided in that care setting (acute, chronic or complex continuing care, mental health or rehabilitation);³ COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PS, propensity score.

pharmacist medication discharge care initiative (2 to 5 days per week as dictated by department needs) was implemented (details of the intervention are outlined in Figure 2). All adult patients 35 years or older admitted to acute care with COPD requiring treatment beyond maintenance (identified at admission through pharmacist chart review) were eligible for hospital pharmacist discharge care. Both inpatient nurses as well as the COPD clinic nurse aided in identifying eligible patients for hospital pharmacist discharge care. Palliative or alternate levelof-care³ patients were excluded.

Eligible patients were provided with discharge counselling by a COPD pharmacist when the pharmacist was available, the pharmacist received adequate notice of the discharge (\geq 30 minutes prior) and the patient accepted counselling. Patients declining counselling or those discharged when the pharmacist was unavailable received usual care (i.e., all other discharge interventions). Hospital pharmacist discharge care included a medication review and reconciliation, a medication list outlining any changes to pharmacotherapy regimens, medication and nonpharmacologic (e.g., smoking cessation) counselling, inhaler teaching and instructions regarding when the patient should seek medical attention postdischarge. In addition, the pharmacist provided a follow-up call 1 to 2 weeks postdischarge. At the point of discharge or during telephone follow-up, pharmacists also offered patients referral to a local community-based paramedic program, enabling nonemergent postdischarge in-home wellness checks by a paramedic. Patient demographics, clinical information, counselling status, death data and acute-care outcomes were captured via chart review of our hospital's electronic health record (EHR) system. All patients who experienced a readmission also had an ED visit.

FIGURE 2 Overview of hospital pharmacist discharge care intervention

Hospital pharmacist COPD discharge care intervention included:

- 1. Medication reconciliation: Review discharge prescriptions, resolve discrepancies with prescriber
- 2. Comprehensive discharge counselling with patient and/or caregiver on discharge day or the day prior to discharge:
 - Prepare/provide patient-specific medication list outlining new, changed, and/or discontinued medications with counselling/follow-up points
 - o Inhaler teaching using teach-back method for all devices
 - When applicable, discussion regarding readiness for smoking cessation and counselling on nicotine replacement products
 - Review of COPD-specific education points:
 - Recognizing signs/symptoms of a COPD exacerbation and when to seek medical attention
 - Exacerbation prevention: recognizing and avoiding triggers, having up-to-date vaccinations
 - Techniques to manage breathlessness: pursed lip breathing; forward leaning positioning, resting
- 3. Offer referral to Community Paramedic Program for non-emergent, post discharge wellness check and complete referral form when patient consented.
- 4. Telephone follow-up with patient or caregiver within 1-2 weeks of discharge.

Standard nursing interventions included:

In-patient nurse:

- Identify eligible patients for hospital pharmacist discharge care and contact pharmacist
- Inhaler technique teaching and reinforcement throughout duration of hospital stay

Charge nurse:

Provide 24-48 hour post discharge telephone follow-up call to patients

COPD clinic nurse

- Identify eligible patients for hospital pharmacist discharge care
- Coordinate COPD clinic referrals and appointments; liaise with respirologist
- Reinforce inhaler technique and COPD-specific education points at follow-up
- Connect with patient and/or caregiver through remote video consultation to assess technique as well as provide further education as needed

Analysis

We evaluated outcomes in those patients who received the pharmacist COPD intervention compared to those who did not. To account for any differences in patient characteristics between groups, we used a logistic regression model with the following variables: sex, smoking status, home oxygen use, length of current hospitalization and comorbidities (e.g., asthma, renal impairment, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus). We matched noncounselled patients to counselled patients at a 1:1 ratio using a greedy matching algorithm without replacement within a 0.1 caliper of the logit propensity score.⁶ Characteristics of matched patients were compared using standardized mean differences (SMDs), with an SMD of 0.10 indicating no meaningful difference between groups.⁷

We used logistic regression models to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the effect of hospital pharmacist discharge care on outcomes. For any

statistically significant effect in logistic regression models, we used a log-linear regression model to calculate a risk difference (RD) and 95% CI. As a sensitivity analysis, any variable that remained imbalanced after matching was included in the regression models to determine if adjustment changed counselling's effect (>10%). We obtained approval from the Queensway Carleton Hospital Research Ethics Board to conduct this study (Study Approval 20-03). All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Pharmacists screened 954 patients to receive hospital pharmacist discharge care over 40 months, and 290 patients were excluded (Figure 1). Among the 664 eligible patients, 336 received hospital pharmacist discharge care and 328 did not, with 5 of these patients declining pharmacist counselling. In all, 273 patients who did not receive hospital pharmacist

RESEARCH BRIEF

TABLE 1 Characteristics of propensity score–matched patients (N = 546)

| Characteristic | Hospital pharmacist discharge care (<i>n</i> = 273) | Standard care (n = 273) | Standardized mean difference |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Age (mean, SD) | 74.7 (9.5) | 74.6 (10.8) | 0.00 |
| Male | 95 (34.8) | 107 (39.2) | 0.09 |
| Number of hospitalizations in past 6 months (mean, SD) | 0.50 (0.80) | 0.60 (1.0) | 0.09 |
| Length of hospitalization | | | |
| \leq 7 days | 161 (59.0) | 166 (60.8) | 0.04 |
| >7 days | 112 (41.0) | 107 (39.2) | 0.04 |
| COPD severity* | | | |
| Mild or moderate (FEV1 \geq 50% predicted) | 59 (21.6) | 56 (20.5) | 0.04 |
| Severe or very severe (FEV1 less than 50% predicted) | 135 (49.5) | 140 (51.3) | 0.04 |
| Missing/unknown | 79 (28.9) | 77 28.21 | 0.04 |
| Patient-reported smoking status—yes | 86 (31.5) | 85 (31.1) | 0.00 |
| Home oxygen use | 89 (32.6) | 85 (31.1) | 0.03 |
| Coronary artery disease | 55 (20.2) | 53 (19.4) | 0.02 |
| eGFR 30-60 mL/min | 60 (22.0) | 56 (20.5) | 0.03 |
| eGFR <30 mL/min | 12 (4.4) | 12 (4.4) | 0.00 |
| Heart failure | 39 (14.3) | 40 (14.7) | 0.01 |
| Hypertension | 162 (59.3) | 163 (59.7) | 0.00 |
| Dementia or cognitive impairment | 33 (12.1) | 35 (12.8) | 0.02 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 55 (20.2) | 62 (22.7) | 0.06 |
| Atrial fibrillation or aflutter | 55 (20.2) | 52 (19.1) | 0.03 |
| Asthma | 21 (7.7) | 28 (10.3) | 0.09 |
| Body mass index category* | | | 0.14 |
| Underweight | 52 (19.1) | 7 (2.6) | |
| Normal | 81 (29.7) | 41 (15.0) | |
| Overweight | 56 (20.5) | 84 (30.8) | |
| Obese (class I, II or III) | 71 (26.0) | 68 (24.9) | |
| Missing/unknown | 13 (4.8) | 73 (26.7) | |
| Emergency department visit within 30 days (outcome) | 61 (22.3) | 68 (24.9) | |
| Rehospitalization/readmission within 30 days (outcome) | 31 (11.4) | 50 (18.3) | |

Values are presented as number (%) unless otherwise indicated.

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

^{*}Not included in the propensity score model due to >5% patients missing information.

TABLE 2 Effect of hospital pharmacist discharge care on 30-day readmissions and emergency department visits

| Outcome | Hospital pharmacist discharge care (N = 273) N (%) | Standard care (N = 273) N (%) | Odds ratio (OR) 95% confidence interval (CI) | p-value |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---------|
| Emergency department visit within 30 days | 61 (22.3) | 68 (24.9) | OR 0.60 [95% Cl 0.37 to 0.97] | P=0.48 |
| Rehospitalization / readmission within 30 days | 31 (11.4) | 50 (18.3) | OR 0.57 [95% Cl 0.35 to 0.93] | P=0.02 |

discharge care were propensity score matched to 273 patients who received hospital pharmacist discharge care, for a total of 546 patients in the final analysis. Characteristics were well balanced between patients receiving hospital pharmacist discharge care and those who did not, except body mass index (BMI) category (SMD 0.14, Table 1). Characteristics of patients who were not matched are presented in Appendix 1 (available at www.cpjournal.ca).

After discharge, 129 patients (24%) experienced an ED visit and 81 patients (15%) experienced a hospital readmission within 30 days. Hospital pharmacist discharge care was associated with a significant decrease in readmissions (OR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.35-0.93; p = 0.02), Table 2. This effect translated into a 7% decreased absolute risk of 30-day readmissions for patients who received discharge care from hospital pharmacists vs patients who did not (95% CI, 1.0%-12.9% decreased risk). Hospital pharmacist discharge care did not have a significant effect on 30-day ED visits (OR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.58-1.29; p = 0.48). For the sensitivity analysis, we included BMI category in the logistic regression model for the 460 patients with this information available and found no substantial change in results for readmissions (OR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.37-0.97).

Discussion

We conducted a single-centre, propensity score–matched cohort study and found that hospital pharmacist discharge care, on top of a hospital discharge program for patients with COPD, was associated with a reduced risk of 30-day hospital readmissions independent of other interventions. The absolute risk reduction of 7% for readmissions translates to a 30-day number needed to treat of 15 patients receiving discharge care to prevent 1 readmission. While prior studies have demonstrated relative reductions in readmissions for patients with COPD receiving pharmacist counselling or pharmacist discharge care,⁸⁻¹⁰ this is the first study to use propensity score matching to examine the additive absolute effect of hospital pharmacist discharge care on top of other standardized care improvements. Hospital pharmacist discharge care had no effect on 30-day ED visits, perhaps because patients were counselled to seek medical attention at the first sign or symptom of an exacerbation. An increase in ED visits at earlier exacerbation stages among hospital pharmacist discharge care patients may have contributed to the observed reduction in readmissions.

Our findings are from a single Canadian hospital, potentially limiting generalizability, yet the rate of readmission among the usual-care group (18%) was similar to that of other populations.⁴ In addition, we were restricted to readmission data specific to our institution and may not have captured acute-care use in other systems. However, we expect that counselled patients would be more likely to seek care at our hospital, reducing the intervention's observed effect. Although we employed propensity score matching using many prognostic characteristics, missing information such as socioeconomic factors may be related to readmission. Finally, randomization in future study designs will help confirm the causality of our results.

In summary, hospital pharmacist discharge care for patients with COPD appeared to reduce the odds of 30-day readmissions by 43%. Multicentre, randomized studies will determine whether these results are replicable and generalizable on a broader scale.

From the Queensway Carleton Hospital (Makari, Dagenais, Jennings, Rahmaan), Ottawa; Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy (Tadrous) and the Dalla Lana School of Public Health (Hayes), University of Toronto, Toronto; Women's College Hospital (Tadrous), Toronto, Ontario. Contact joy.makari@alum.utoronto.ca.

Declaration of conflicting interests: No author has reported a conflict of interest.

Funding: There is no funding or grant associated with this research letter. Kaleen Hayes is supported by a CIHR Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarships Doctoral Award (GSD-16412), the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Cross-disciplinary Training (DSECT) Program and the Ontario Drug Policy Research Network (ODPRN).

RESEARCH BRIEF

Author Contributions: JM has full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. Conceptualization: JM, JD; investigation: JM, JD, SJ, IR; writing—original manuscript: JM, KH; writing—review and editing: JM, JD, MT, SJ, IR, KH; methodology: JM, KH; formal Analysis: KH; resources/funding acquisition: JD.

ORCID iD: Kaleen Hayes D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1122-0071

References

1. Shah T, Press V, Huisingh-Scheetz M, White S. COPD readmissions: addressing COPD in the era of value-based health care. *Chest* 2016;150(4):916-26.

 World Health Organization. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) fact sheet. Dec 1, 2017. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-(copd) (accessed Nov. 9, 2020).
Canadian Institute for Health Information. *Inpatient hospitalization, surgery* and newborn statistics, 2018-2019. Ottawa, ON: CIHI; 2020.

4. Mittmann N, Kuramoto L, Seung SJ, Haddon JM, Bradley-Kennedy C, Fitzgerald JM. The cost of moderate and severe COPD exacerbations to the Canadian healthcare system. *Respir Med* 2008;102(3):413-21.

5. Freedman N. Reducing COPD readmissions: strategies for the pulmonologist to improve outcomes. *Chest* 2019;156(4):802-7.

6. Austin PC. Optimal caliper widths for propensity-score matching when estimating differences in means and differences in proportions in observational studies. *Pharm Stat* 2011;10(2):150-61. 7. Austin PC. Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. *Stat Med* 2009;28(25):3083-107.

8. Arnold ME, Buys L, Fullas F. Impact of pharmacist intervention in conjunction with outpatient physician follow-up visits after hospital discharge on readmission rate. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2015;72(11, Suppl 1):S36-S42.

9. Bae-Shaaw YH, Eom H, Chun RF, Fox DS. Real-world evidence on impact of a pharmacist-led transitional care program on 30- and 90-day readmissions after acute care episodes. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2020;77(7): 535-45.

10. Gentene AJ, Guido MR, Woolf B, et al. Multidisciplinary team utilizing pharmacists in multimodal, bundled care reduce chronic obstructive pulmonary disease hospital readmission rates. *J Pharm Pract* 2021;34(1): 110-16.