

# Shutdown corner, a large deletion mutant isolated from a haploid mutagenesis screen in zebrafish

Macaulie A. Casey (**b**), <sup>1</sup> Jonathon T. Hill (**b**), <sup>2</sup> Kazuyuki Hoshijima (**b**), <sup>1</sup> Chase D. Bryan (**b**), <sup>3</sup> Suzanna L. Gribble (**b**), <sup>4</sup> J. Thomas Brown (**b**), <sup>5</sup> Chi-Bin Chien, <sup>6</sup> H. Joseph Yost (**b**), <sup>6</sup> and Kristen M. Kwan (**b**), <sup>1</sup> $^{*}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37203, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

\*Corresponding author: Department of Human Genetics, EIHG 5100, University of Utah, 15 North 2030 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. Email: kmkwan@genetics.utah.edu

#### Abstract

Morphogenesis, the formation of three-dimensional organ structures, requires precise coupling of genetic regulation and complex cell behaviors. The genetic networks governing many morphogenetic systems, including that of the embryonic eye, are poorly understood. In zebrafish, several forward genetic screens have sought to identify factors regulating eye development. These screens often look for eye defects at stages after the optic cup is formed and when retinal neurogenesis is under way. This approach can make it difficult to identify mutants specific for morphogenesis, as opposed to neurogenesis. To this end, we carried out a forward genetic, small-scale haploid mutagenesis screen in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) to identify factors that govern optic cup morphogenesis. We screened ~100 genomes and isolated *shutdown corner (sco)*, a mutant that exhibits multiple tissue defects and harbors a ~10-Mb deletion that encompasses 89 annotated genes. Using a combination of live imaging and antibody staining, we found cell proliferation, cell death, and tissue patterning defects in the *sco* optic cup. We also observed other phenotypes, including paralysis, neuromuscular defects, and ocular vasculature defects. To date, the largest deletion mutants reported in zebrafish are engineered using CRISPR-Cas9 and are less than 300 kb. Because of the number of genes within the deletion interval, *shutdown corner [Df(Chr05:sco)<sup>207</sup>]* could be a useful resource to the zebrafish community, as it may be helpful for gene mapping, understanding genetic interactions, or studying many genes lost in the mutant.

Keywords: zebrafish; deletion; haploid screen; optic cup morphogenesis; locomotion; muscle; vasculature

# Introduction

The formation of three-dimensional organ structures, for which structure and function are inextricably tied, requires precise coordination of cell movements and genetic regulation. Disrupting these processes can impair morphogenesis, leading to perturbed morphology and potential functional defects. The embryonic eye is an excellent morphogenetic model, as disruptions to optic cup formation can lead to visual deficits independent of neurogenesis. Optic cup morphogenesis is well-conserved across vertebrates; studies from salamander to mouse have uncovered many cell behaviors that shape the optic cup (Fuhrmann 2010; Sinn and Wittbrodt 2013; Kwan 2014; Bazin-Lopez et al. 2015; Martinez-Morales et al. 2017; Cavodeassi 2018; Casey et al. 2021). However, the genetic network which directs these behaviors remains poorly understood.

Zebrafish as a model system offers many advantages for studying early eye morphogenesis. The optic cup forms rapidly between 12 and 24 hours post fertilization (hpf); rapid development and optical clarity enable live imaging to visualize cell movements in vivo (Picker et al. 2009; KWan et al. 2012; Heermann et al. 2015; Nicolás-Pérez et al. 2016; Sidhaye and Norden 2017). Zebrafish are also a powerful genetic system, with both haploid and diploid genetic screening strategies (Walker 1999; Westerfield 2000; Patton and Zon 2001). Forward genetic screens have uncovered a wealth of genes governing embryogenesis (Driever et al. 1996; Haffter et al. 1996). Several of these screens examined the eye and assessed gross morphology from 1 to 5 days postfertilization (dpf), but many phenotypes were classified as "small eyes," which could arise from a variety of developmental defects.

Screens specific for eye development have examined a number of developmental processes. Visual behavior and axon pathfinding were assayed at 5 dpf, after retinal neurogenesis (Brockerhoff et al. 1995; Baier et al. 1996; Karlstrom et al. 1996; Neuhauss et al. 1999). Earlier stages were also examined, uncovering defects in eye field induction (Heisenberg et al. 1996) or general abnormalities in eye and lens development (Driever et al. 1996; Haffter et al. 1996; Malicki et al. 1996; Fadool et al. 1997;

Received: August 27, 2021. Accepted: December 15, 2021

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gross et al. 2005). Still others identified pigment defects (Rawls et al. 2003), or specific defects in eye morphogenesis, like coloboma (Lee et al. 2012). However, no prior eye development screens have focused specifically on the process of optic cup morphogenesis, where primary phenotyping would occur at 24 hpf.

To identify genes specifically involved in optic cup morphogenesis, we undertook a small-scale, haploid mutagenesis screen in zebrafish. We isolated one mutant, *shutdown comer* (sco), which exhibits a novel eye phenotype among other defects. Combining bulk RNA-sequencing and computational analysis, we determined sco harbors a ~10-Mb deletion on chromosome 5 encompassing 89 annotated genes. Here, we characterize various phenotypes in sco mutants and report the list of deleted genes. Deletions of this size are uncommon in zebrafish; to date, only engineered deletions under 300 kb have been reported (Hoshijima et al. 2019; Kim and Zhang 2020; Tromp et al. 2021). The extent of deleted genes in *shutdown comer* [Df(Chr05:sco)<sup>z207</sup>] may make this mutant a useful resource for the zebrafish community.

# Materials and methods

# Zebrafish husbandry and transgenic lines

All zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) husbandry was performed under standard conditions in accordance with the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Protocol approval (Protocol # 21-01007). Embryos (AB strain) were raised 28.5–29.5°C and staged according to time post fertilization and morphology (Kimmel et al. 1995). When necessary, melanization was prevented with the treatment of 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (Sigma-Aldrich, P7629). Transgenic alleles used were:  $Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX)^{z200}$  (Gordon et al. 2018);  $Tg(kdrl:mCherryras)^{s896}$  (Chi et al. 2008);  $Tg(-8.0cldnb:lyn-EGFP)^{zf106}$  (Haas and Gilmour 2006).

For genotyping, genomic DNA was extracted from single embryos or adult fins, incubated in 0.05 M NaOH at 95°C for 30 min, then neutralized in 1 M Tris. The *Df*(*Chr*05:sco)<sup>z207</sup> locus was identified by PCR, using primers either flanking or positioned within the deletion interval (Fig. 6d): SCO\_F: 5'-CAGTGTGTGTTACTGCTTACACAACA ATG-3'; WT\_R: 5'-GCACTTTTCTACTCACAACACTTGTTTTTGA AG-3'; MUT\_R: 5'-GGGAAATATTTGGCAAAGAATCAAATTTTCAAA GCC-3'. The wild-type amplicon is 774 bp and the sco amplicon is 497 bp, while heterozygotes yield two bands. "Mutants" were confirmed homozygous sco mutants, while "wild-type siblings" were confirmed homozygous wild-type or heterozygous carriers.

#### Haploid mutagenesis screen

For mutagenesis, F<sub>0</sub> males were generated by fasting AB males and then placing them in 3mM ENU (N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea; Sigma-Aldrich, N3385) for 1h. This treatment was repeated weekly for 3-6 weeks; then, the fish were allowed to recover for 4 weeks before crossing them (protocol based on Mullins et al. 1994; Solnica-Krezel et al. 1994). For in vitro fertilization and production of haploids: wild-type TL males were anesthetized in tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich, E10521; 400 mg/100 ml, diluted further into 100 ml system water) and squeezed to cause sperm release. Sperm were collected in ice cold Hank's solution (recipes in The Zebrafish Book, Westerfield 2000) and subjected to UV crosslinking using a Stratalinker. Female F<sub>1</sub>s were anesthetized in tricaine and squeezed for eggs in a 35-mm plastic Petri dish. Eggs were fertilized with the UV-treated sperm by adding the sperm suspension in Hank's solution to the eggs, followed by system water (Streisinger et al. 1981; Westerfield 2000; Kroeger et al. 2014). Typically, ~75% of the eggs were fertilized. Haploidization was assessed at 24 hpf based on presence of haploid phenotypes, including impaired axis extension and duplicated otic vesicle (Westerfield 2000). Phenotypic screening for defective optic cup morphogenesis was performed at 24 hpf, via examination on an Olympus SZX16 dissecting stereomicroscope.

#### Bulk RNA-sequencing and MMAPPR analysis

Total RNA was harvested from pools of sibling and mutant embryos (30 embryos each), at 3 dpf. The embryonic phenotype was identified at 24 hpf using the eye phenotype, and further confirmed at 3 dpf evaluating embryos for loss of locomotion. TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026) was used for RNA isolation, according to the manufacturer's standard protocol (1ml TRIzol for 30 embryos). Samples were sequenced through the University of Utah High-Throughput and Bioinformatic Analysis Shared Resource. The resulting sequences were aligned to the zebrafish genome (GRCz11) using hisat2 version 2.1 (Kim et al. 2015). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms were identified and allele frequencies compared between phenotypic and wild-type pools using MMAPPR version 0.83 (Hill et al. 2013) with default settings. As MMAPPR identified linkage peaks surrounding a gap in the data, we suspected a large deletion. This was confirmed by comparing raw read counts between pools. Reads were aligned and assigned to genes using the Rsubread package (Liao et al. 2019). Resulting counts were then fitted by loess regression (span = 0.03) and plotted to compare read coverage between the wild-type pool and the phenotypic pool.

#### Breakpoint mapping

The putative deletion identified by MMAPPR was first confirmed using PCR of genomic DNA for regions within and outside of the predicted deletion interval. Because RNAseq revealed transcripts that were affected by the deletion, PCR of genomic DNA, based on zebrafish genome assemblies, was used to "walk" closer to each end of the breakpoint until the breakpoint could be amplified and TOPO-TA cloned (Invitrogen, 450071). Once cloned, the precise breakpoint was identified using Sanger sequencing.

The list of deleted genes reported in Table 1 was exported from Ensembl using BioMart. The dataset included zebrafish genes from the GRCz11 genome build, located in the range of Chr5:42469846–51532989; only genes with a ZFIN ID were exported. For the user's ease, we exported the gene stable ID, gene name, gene type, gene synonym, and gene description.

#### Antibody staining

Embryos were fixed at the indicated stage in 4% PFA for 1-2h at room temperature, permeabilized in PBST (PBS+0.5% Triton X-100) and blocked in PBST+2% bovine serum albumin at room temperature. Antibodies were diluted in PBST + 2% BSA and incubated overnight at 4°C or at room temperature for 4h. Samples were washed in PBST for 2h between antibody applications and cleared overnight in 70% glycerol. Primary antibodies used and their concentrations were as follows: antiphospho-Histone H3 (1:200, Abcam, ab14955); antiactive Caspase-3 (1:700, BD Pharmingen, 559565); anti-Pax2a (1:200, GeneTex, GTX128127); anti-F59 (1:10, DSHB); anti-Znp-1 (1:200, DSHB); anti-Zn-5 (1:200, DSHB); anti-SV-2 (1:200, DSHB); anti-GFP (1:200, Invitrogen, A10262); Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated Phalloidin (1:500, Invitrogen, A12380); and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated α-bungarotoxin (10 µg/ml, Invitrogen, B13422). Secondary antibodies used were as follows: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat antirabbit (Invitrogen, A11008); Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat antimouse (Invitrogen, A11001); Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat

Table 1. Annotated genes in the shutdown corner deletion interval.

Gene stable ID	Gene name	Gene type	Strand	Gene description	Gene synonym
ENSDARG00000099531	pimr58	protein_coding	1	Pim proto-oncogene, serine/threo- nine kinase, related 58 [source:	si:ch211-207c6.9
ENSDARG0000004830	<u>flot2a</u>	protein_coding	-1	ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060526-96] flotillin 2a [source: NCBI gene; Acc:	fb48a04
ENSDARG00000100662	<u>cxcl11.1</u>	protein_coding	-1	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 11, duplicate 1 [source: NCBI gene;	CXCL-chr5d
ENSDARG00000075163	cxcl20	protein_coding	-1	Acc: 798892] chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 20 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-	cxcl-c5c
ENSDARG00000029692	<u>rufy3</u>	protein_coding	1	RUN and FYVE domain containing 3 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-	im:7148884
ENSDARG00000053021	<u>grsf1</u>	protein_coding	-1	G-rich RNA sequence binding fac- tor 1 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	wu:fb62c04
ENSDARG0000009169	<u>mob1ba</u>	protein_coding	1	GENE-060825-196] MOB kinase activator 1Ba [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-040426- 910]	mats2
ENSDARG0000003808	aqp3a	protein_coding	1	aquaporin 3a [source: ZFIN; Acc:	wu:fa95h06
ENSDARG00000103167	<u>si:dkey-245n4.2</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:dkey-245n4.2 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	
ENSDARG00000010248	<u>wdr54</u>	protein_coding	1	ZDB-GEINE-141210-236J WD repeat domain 54 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-040801- 151]	zgc:100930
ENSDARG00000016868	<u>rhobtb4</u>	protein_coding	1	Rho related BTB domain containing 4 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 060215_11]	rhobtb2a
ENSDARG0000032482	<u>si:dkey-40c11.2</u>	protein_coding	1	si:dkey-40c11.2 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	cb540
ENSDARG0000031345	RTKN	protein_coding	-1	si:dkey-40c11.1 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	
ENSDARG00000026925	<u>nos2a</u>	protein_coding	1	nitric oxide synthase 2a, inducible [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-	inducible nitric oxide synthase a
ENSDARG00000018494	<u>smn1</u>	protein_coding	-1	survival of motor neuron 1, telo- meric [source: NCBI gene; Acc:	fa12d01
ENSDARG0000067777	<u>zgc:158640</u>	protein_coding	1	2gc:158640 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	si:dkey-57m14.2
ENSDARG00000017571	mccc2	protein_coding	-1	2 (beta) [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	si:dkey-57m14.1
ENSDARG00000102375	<u>si:ch211-204c21.1</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:ch211-204c21.1 [source: ZFIN;	sb:cb458
ENSDARG00000053091	DAB2	protein_coding	-1	ACC: ZDB-GENE-030429-35] si:ch211-204c21.1 [source: ZFIN;	sb:cb458
ENSDARG00000095369	<u>zgc:112966</u>	protein_coding	1	ACC: ZDB-GENE-030429-35] zgc:112966 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	
ENSDARG00000075126	TMEM8B	protein_coding	1	si:dkey-84j12.1 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	
ENSDARG0000094625	<u>si:dkey-84j12.1</u>	protein_coding	1	si:dkey-84j12.1 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	
ENSDARG0000094268	<u>si:ch73-337l15.2</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:ch73-337115.2 [source: ZFIN; Acc:	im:7136138
ENSDARG00000013250	tars1	protein_coding	1	threonyl-tRNA synthetase 1 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-	Tars
ENSDARG00000077298	<u>gas1a</u>	protein_coding	-1	growth arrest-specific 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-050302-	id:ibd5013
ENSDARG00000060093	<u>dapk1</u>	protein_coding	1	death-associated protein kinase 1 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-	si:ch211-66i11.1
ENSDARG0000007836	<u>ctsla</u>	protein_coding	1	cathepsin La [source: ZFIN; Acc:	cb143
ENSDARG00000012366	<u>fbp2</u>	protein_coding	-1	fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 2 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 040822-23]	zgc:101083

Table 1. (continued)					
Gene stable ID	Gene name	Gene type	Strand	Gene description	Gene synonym
ENSDARG00000021366	<u>fbp1a</u>	protein_coding	-1	fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 030131-7171]	fbp1l
ENSDARG00000060102	<u>kank1a</u>	protein_coding	1	KN motif and ankyrin repeat domains 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc:	ankrd15
ENSDARG0000007349	<u>dmrt1</u>	protein_coding	1	doublesex and mab-3 related tran- scription factor 1 [source: NCBI	zgc:136676
ENSDARG00000035290	<u>dmrt3a</u>	protein_coding	1	gene; Acc: 402923] doublesex and mab-3 related tran- scription factor 3a [source: NCBI	Dmrt3
ENSDARG00000015072	<u>dmrt2a</u>	protein_coding	1	gene; Acc: 450035] doublesex and mab-3 related tran- scription factor 2a [source: ZFIN;	dmrt2
ENSDARG0000008904	<u>smarca2</u>	protein_coding	1	Acc: ZDB-GENE-990621-/] SWI/SNF related, matrix associ- ated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, mem- ber 2 [source: ZEIN: Acc: ZDB-	wu:fa56c07
ENSDARG0000060127	<u>adamts3</u>	protein_coding	1	GENE-030131-5964] ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 3 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 110223-1]	
ENSDARG00000089310	gc	protein_coding	1	GC vitamin D binding protein [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 040718-307]	dbp
ENSDARG00000013730	<u>slc4a4a</u>	protein_coding	-1	solute carrier family 4 member 4a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 066526 274]	id:ibd2520
ENSDARG0000067795	ifngr2	protein_coding	-1	[source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 030121 5999]	crfb6
ENSDARG00000059963	polk	protein_coding	1	polymerase (DNA directed) kappa [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 066526 137]	si:ch211-254o18.3
ENSDARG00000025866	<u>ankdd1b</u>	protein_coding	1	ankyrin repeat and death domain containing 1B [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-CENE-060526-136]	si:ch211-254o18.2
ENSDARG00000059982	<u>poc5</u>	protein_coding	-1	POC5 centriolar protein homolog (Chlamydomonas) [source: ZFIN;	si:ch211-254o18.1
ENSDARG00000059997	<u>sv2ca</u>	protein_coding	1	synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2Ca [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 066526-233]	si:dkey-18p14.1
ENSDARG00000060010	iqgap2	protein_coding	1	IQ motif containing GTPase activat- ing protein 2 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-030131-2878]	fc20f09
ENSDARG00000090524	<u>f2rl2</u>	protein_coding	-1	coagulation factor II (thrombin) re- ceptor-like 2 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENF-110127-4]	par3
ENSDARG00000060012	<u>f2r</u>	protein_coding	1	coagulation factor II (thrombin) re- ceptor [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB- GENE-060526-30]	PAR1-5A
ENSDARG00000057395	<u>si:ch211-130m23.3</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:ch211-130m23.3 [source: ZFIN;	
ENSDARG0000053159	<u>zgc:110626</u>	protein_coding	1	zgc:110626 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	im:7138190
ENSDARG00000095136	<u>si:ch211-130m23.2</u>	protein_coding	1	GENE-050417-447] si:ch211-130m23.2 [source: ZFIN;	
ENSDARG00000098013	<u>si:ch211-130m23.5</u>	protein_coding	-1	Acc: ZDB-GENE-060531-13] si:ch211-130m23.5 [source: ZFIN;	
ENSDARG00000103515	vcana	protein_coding	1	Acc: ZDB-GENE-131121-298] versican a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	br146
ENSDARG00000089769	<u>hapln1a</u>	protein_coding	-1	GENE-011023-1] hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc:	crtl1
ENSDARG0000093413	<u>edil3a</u>	protein_coding	-1	EGF-like repeats and discoidin I- like domains 3a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060503-366]	edil3
ENSDARG0000093413	<u>edil3a</u>	protein_coding	-1	EGF-like repeats and discoidin I- like domains 3a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060503-366]	si:dkey-84i7.1

Table 1. (continued)						
Gene stable ID	Gene name	Gene type	Strand	Gene description	Gene synonym	
ENSDARG00000104537	<u>cox7c</u>	protein_coding	-1	cytochrome c oxidase subunit 7C [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 030131-8062]	wu:fj49c05	
ENSDARG00000035535	<u>rasala</u>	protein_coding	1	RAS p21 protein activator (GTPase activating protein) 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-030131- 4694]	fd52c05	
ENSDARG0000007657	<u>ccnh</u>	protein_coding	-1	cyclin H [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB- GENE-050320-13]	zgc:114132	
ENSDARG00000055989	tmem161b	protein_coding	-1	transmembrane protein 161B [source: NCBI gene: Acc: 406680]	id:ibd2207	
ENSDARG0000009418	mef2cb	protein_coding	-1	[source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 040901-7]	si:ch211-202e12.2	
ENSDARG00000059689	mblac2	protein_coding	-1	metallo-beta-lactamase domain containing 2 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB_CENE_081104_313]	si:dkey-147l19.3	
ENSDARG00000024687	polr3g	protein_coding	1	polymerase (RNA) III (DNA di- rected) polypeptide G [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-081104- 312]	si:dkey-147l19.2	
ENSDARG00000024693	<u>lysmd3</u>	protein_coding	-1	LysM, putative peptidoglycan-bind- ing, domain containing 3 [source: NCBI gene: Acc: 415194]	cb462	
ENSDARG00000021137	<u>adgrv1</u>	protein_coding	1	adhesion G protein-coupled recep- tor V1 [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 415105]	gpr98	
ENSDARG00000052690	<u>arrdc3a</u>	protein_coding	-1	arrestin domain containing 3a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 030131-2913]	arrdc3	
ENSDARG0000067664	<u>si:dkey-172m14.2</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:dkey-172m14.2 [source: ZFIN;		
ENSDARG00000052693	<u>si:dkey-172m14.1</u>	processed_transcript	-1	Acc: ZDB-GENE-060526-222] si:dkey-172m14.1 [source: ZFIN;		
ENSDARG00000052695	<u>nr2f1a</u>	protein_coding	1	nuclear receptor subfamily 2, group F, member 1a [source:	COUP(VI)	
ENSDARG00000052697	<u>fam172a</u>	protein_coding	-1	NCBI gene; Acc: 30418] family with sequence similarity 172 member A [source: NCBI	si:dkey-172f14.1	
ENSDARG00000077072	<u>si:ch73-280o22.2</u>	protein_coding	-1	si:ch73-280o22.2 [source: ZFIN; Acc: 7DB-GENE-141216-272]	gb:eb924149	
ENSDARG00000102118	<u>slf1</u>	protein_coding	1	SMC5-SMC6 complex localization factor 1 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-	si:ch73-280o22.1	
ENSDARG00000076404	<u>mctp1a</u>	protein_coding	-1	multiple C2 domains, transmem- brane 1a [source: ZFIN; Acc:		
ENSDARG00000079697	<u>zgc:194908</u>	protein_coding	-1	zgc:194908 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB- GENF-081022-164]	zgc:194925	
ENSDARG00000035193	<u>fam81b</u>	protein_coding	1	family with sequence similarity 81 member B [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-130530-586]	zmp:0000000583	
ENSDARG00000074314	<u>ttc37</u>	protein_coding	-1	tetratricopeptide repeat domain 37 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 110125-2]		
ENSDARG00000059711	<u>nol6</u>	protein_coding	1	nucleolar protein 6 (RNA-associ- ated) [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB- GENE-030131-6294]	wu:fi43b02	
ENSDARG00000059714	arsk	protein_coding	1	arylsulfatase family, member K [source: NCBI gene: Acc: 562412]	zgc:153019	
ENSDARG0000024325	<u>cert1a</u>	protein_coding	1	ceramide transporter 1a [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 796455]	col4a3bp	
ENSDARG00000052734	<u>hmgcra</u>	protein_coding	-1	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase a [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-040401-2]	hmgcr1	
ENSDARG00000052731	ankrd31	protein_coding	1	ankyrin repeat domain 31 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-050417- 473]	zgc:113046	
ENSDARG00000035198	<u>gcnt4a</u>	protein_coding	1	glucosaminyl (N-acetyl) transfer- ase 4a [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 324510]	c2gnt3	

Table 1. (continued)						
Gene stable ID	Gene name	Gene type	Strand	Gene description	Gene synonym	
ENSDARG00000059719	<u>fam169aa</u>	protein_coding	1	family with sequence similarity 169 member Aa [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060825-117]	im:7150681	
ENSDARG00000078250	<u>zgc:194398</u>	protein_coding	1	zgc:194398 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB- GENE-081022-111]		
ENSDARG0000067670	pomt1	protein_coding	1	protein-O-mannosyltransferase 1 [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 569769]	zgc:153731	
ENSDARG00000032372	<u>ccdc180</u>	protein_coding	-1	coiled-coil domain containing 180 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE- 130530-582]	zmp:0000000579	
ENSDARG00000067672	<u>card9</u>	protein_coding	-1	caspase recruitment domain fam- ily, member 9 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060531-94]	si:dkey-1o2.6	
ENSDARG00000067673	<u>snapc4</u>	protein_coding	-1	small nuclear RNA activating com- plex, polypeptide 4 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-030131-5794]	fi18h02	
ENSDARG00000095515	<u>entr1</u>	protein_coding	-1	endosome associated trafficking regulator 1 [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 795251]	sdccag3	
ENSDARG00000059722	<u>ubac1</u>	protein_coding	1	UBA domain containing 1 [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-030131- 9372]	fj67a11	
ENSDARG00000063276	<u>msh3</u>	protein_coding	1	mutS homolog 3 (E. coli) [source: ZFIN; Acc: ZDB-GENE-060526- 307]	si:dkey-56d12.1	
ENSDARG0000002816	rasgrf2b	protein_coding	1	Ras protein-specific guanine nucle- otide-releasing factor 2b [source: NCBI gene; Acc: 553520]	rasgrf2	

antichicken (Invitrogen, A11039); Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat antirabbit (Invitrogen, A11011); and Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat antimouse (Invitrogen, A11004), all used 1:200. TO-PRO-3 iodide ( $1\mu$ M, Invitrogen, T3605) was used to detect nuclei.

#### RNA synthesis and injection

Capped RNA was synthesized using a NotI (NEB, R3189)linearized pCS2 template (pCS2-EGFP-CAAX) and the mMessage mMachine SP6 kit (Invitrogen, AM1340). RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74104) and ethanol precipitated. Around 150–250 pg of RNA was microinjected into 1-cell stage embryos.

#### Imaging

For live or fixed whole-mount confocal imaging, embryos were embedded in 1.6% low-melt agarose (in E3 or PBS, respectively) in Pelco glass-bottom dishes (Ted Pella, 14027). Images were acquired using Zeiss LSM710 or LSM880 laser-scanning confocal microscopes using a  $40 \times$  water-immersion objective (1.2 NA) and a  $2.1 \,\mu$ m z-step (voxel size of  $0.52 \,\mu$ m  $\times 0.52 \,\mu$ m  $\times 2.1 \,\mu$ m). One eye or one side of the trunk was imaged per embryo. Bright-field images and 3 dpf touch-response movies were acquired on an Olympus SZX16 stereomicroscope with a UC90 camera. Histological sections were imaged on an Olympus CX41 microscope with a DP25 camera using a  $40 \times$  objective.

#### Histology

Histology was performed using a previously published protocol (Nuckels and Gross 2007). Embryos were euthanized in tricaine (400 mg/100 ml, diluted further in E3) and fixed at 5 dpf in a solution of 4% formaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, and 3% glucose in 0.1 M cacodylic buffer at 4°C. Tails were dissected prior to fixation to genotype embryos. Samples were subsequently fixed in 1%  $OsO_4$  at 4°C and dehydrated, then placed in LR White resin, and

serial sectioned at  $1\,\mu\text{m}.$  Samples were stained with toluidine blue.

#### Penetrance of mutant phenotypes

Embryos were screened under a dissecting stereomicroscope at 24 hpf for a visible lens; those without a visible lens were considered phenotypically mutant and separated for additional phenotyping. Embryos imaged under confocal microscope were used to quantify the penetrance of the optic cup defect, defined as the absence of space between the lens and retina; all other phenotypes were assayed under dissecting stereomicroscope. Paralysis was assayed at 3 dpf by touching the trunk/tail of each embryo with forceps; those that were touch-responsive (either twitched or swam away) were considered motile and not paralyzed. Heart edema and slowed heartbeat were assayed at 3 and 4 dpf. All embryos were assessed for heart edema (swelling around the heart), which was evident by 3 dpf and worsened by 4 dpf. Heart edema was found to only be present in genotypically mutant embryos. The heartbeat was counted in a 15-s interval for several embryos with edema (n = 7; range of beats/15 s: 6-23, mean = 18) or without edema (n = 4; range of beats/15 s: 40–49, mean = 43). All phenotyped embryos were then genotyped, and penetrance was calculated by dividing the number of genotyped sco mutants that presented with a given phenotype by the total number of sco mutants genotyped.

#### Image analysis

Images were processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012), and 3D renderings were generated using FluoRender (Wan et al. 2012). Rendered images had the ectoderm digitally erased in Fiji prior to 3D visualization. Image quantifications were performed prior to genotyping, and one eye or one side of the trunk was imaged per embryo.

# Quantification of activated caspase-3 and phospho-histone H3

Cells were tallied through the entire volume of the eye at 24 and 72 hpf in each 3D dataset using Fiji's multipoint tool to label cells and avoid double counting. Only antibody-positive cells in the retina were quantified. A total of 24 hpf embryos were mounted dorsally, and 72 hpf embryos were mounted ventrally.

#### Quantification of Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP) and Pax2a

Images were laterally oriented in FluoRender and distal tissue was cropped away to visualize the mediolateral lens midpoint. A screenshot was captured in FluoRender and guantified in Fiji using the angle measurement tool, as schematized (Fig. 2, c and j). For Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP), the rays of the angle were drawn at the nasal (anterior) margin of the retina and bordering the furthest Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP)-positive region. The embryos used in this experiment were double transgenic, in which the entire optic cup was labeled with Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX); only Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP)expressing cells were double GFP+. This area was markedly brighter compared to the rest of the retina expressing only Tq(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX), and the rays of this angle were drawn to encompass the brightest GFP+ domain. For Pax2a, the rays of the angle were drawn to encompass the temporal-most and nasalmost positive regions of antibody staining. The vertex for both measurements was positioned at the lens center. Angle measurements were performed twice and the median values were comparable for each round of analysis.

# Quantification of retinal ganglion cell density

Using histological sections of 5 dpf embryos imaged at 40X magnification, the number of nuclei in the retinal ganglion cell layer (GCL) was counted in a 35  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> region of the central retina; this area represented about ~one-third to one-half of the entire GCL in mutants. The mean and range were reported for sibling (*n* = 2) and mutant (*n* = 3) samples.

# Quantification of Zn-5 (retinal GCL thickness)

The full volume of the 5 dpf larval eye was imaged ventrally and a single z-slice at the lens-midpoint was quantified. In Fiji, the width of the zn-5+ layer and the width of the total retina (as reported by nuclear TO-PRO-3 staining) were measured in three places, near the lateral edges of the nasal and temporal retina, and at the nasal-temporal midpoint of the retina, as schematized (Fig. 2, o and p). For GCL thickness, the three positions measured were averaged and reported for each embryo. For normalized GCL width (GCL: retina width), the width of the zn-5+ layer was divided by the total width of the retina, as measured at each location. GCL: retina width ratios were then averaged for each embryo.

# Quantification of F59 (slow muscle fibers) and trunk vasculature

All trunk images were acquired at an anterior-posterior position dorsal to the yolk extension. For F59 (slow muscle fiber), the average length-to-displacement metric followed Chagovetz et al. (2019). Briefly, muscle fibers (8–14 fibers) in the same somite, imaged dorsal to the yolk extension, were measured from end-toend using the straight-line tool (for displacement) and the segmented line tool (for length). A length-to-displacement ratio (length divided by displacement) was calculated for each fiber and then averaged for each embryo.

#### Quantification of znp-1 (motor neuron) axon length

All trunk images were acquired at an anterior-posterior position dorsal to the yolk extension. Data were 3D rendered in FluoRender, and the image was cut away to reveal caudal primary (CaP) motor neurons. A screenshot was captured in FluoRender and imported into Fiji, where the length of 3 CaP motor neurons was measured per embryo, as was the height of the trunk. Measurements were taken using the straight-line tool. The average motor neuron axon length was calculated per embryo and divided by the trunk height to yield a ratio.

#### Quantification of SV-2 and $\alpha$ -bungarotoxin colocalization

All trunk images were acquired at an anterior-posterior position dorsal to the yolk extension. Colocalization was performed on one side of the trunk: at 48 hpf, this included 12–24 z-slices and at 72 hpf, 14–32 z-slices, depending upon the embryo. Using the Coloc 2 macro in Fiji with a Costes threshold, a region that encompassed the trunk was drawn for each embryo, and the Pearson's R<sup>2</sup> colocalization coefficient between the two channels was reported for the specified region.

#### Quantification of superficial ocular vasculature

Images were laterally oriented in FluoRender and the number of dorsal ocular vessels (those in the upper half of the eye) were counted per embryo, as schematized (Fig. 5e).

# Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed and graphed in RStudio with the exception of estimation statistics (Fig. 4e). Statistical significance was determined using Welch's t-test, from which a P-value of 95% or greater was considered significant. Box-and-Whisker plots were generated using the ggplot2 package. The band inside the box is the median. The upper and low "hinges" correspond to the first and third quartiles. The upper whisker extends from the upper hinge to the highest value within  $(1.5 \times IQR)$ , where IQR is the inter-quartile range. The lower whisker extends from the lower hinge to the lowest value within (1.5  $\times$  IQR). Data points outside of the ends of the whiskers are outliers. Estimation statistics were performed using the online platform https://www.estimationstats.com/#/ (Accessed: 2021 December 28), which output a Gardner–Altman estimation plot (Fig. 4e) (Ho et al. 2019). Five thousand bootstrap samples were taken; the confidence interval (CI) was bias-corrected and accelerated. The P-value reported was calculated from a two-sided permutation t-test, which tests the likelihood of observing the effect size, if the null hypothesis of zero difference is true. For each permutation Pvalue, 5000 reshuffles of the control and test labels were performed.

# Results

# Isolation of the shutdown corner mutant

To identify novel factors governing optic cup morphogenesis, we undertook a small-scale haploid mutagenesis screen (Fig. 1a). A haploid approach is beneficial in that it saves both time and space, and given that the optic cup forms early in development, at 24 hpf, we avoided many defects associated with haploid embryos (Westerfield 2000). Briefly, we mated ENU-treated wild-type  $F_0$  males to untreated females; eggs were collected from the subsequent  $F_1$  females and activated with UV-irradiated sperm to generate the haploid generation. Haploid embryos were screened under a stereomicroscope at 24 hpf to identify gross morphological optic cup defects. If a phenotype of interest was identified, the  $F_1$  mother was outcrossed to wild-type males, and the



**Fig. 1.** *Shutdown corner*, isolated in a haploid screen, exhibits a gross morphological defect of the optic cup. a) Haploid mutagenesis screen strategy. b, d) A defect in optic cup morphology is visible at 24 hpf. Lateral view of sibling b) and sco mutant d) diploid embryos under dissecting stereomicroscope. Zoomed views of sibling b') and sco d') lens regions (*arrowheads*), in which the lens is difficult to discern in the sco mutant. c, e) sco mutants exhibit heart edema (*asterisk*) and die around 5 dpf. f, g) Optic cup morphogenesis in live-imaged, membrane-labeled samples: a lens forms in sibling f) and sco mutants g) and is envrapped by the developing retina in both. Dorsal view, single confocal section of 24 hpf *Tg*(*bactin2:EGFP-CAAX*) embryos. *Arrows* indicate the separation f) or apparent close association g) between the lens and neural retina. The sco mutant 24 hpf optic cup h), 3 dpf paralysis i), and 3 dpf heart edema/slowed heartbeat j) phenotypes are highly penetrant when screened on confocal or stereomicroscope. (h: sib = 0%, sco = 96.3%). A, anterior; L, lateral; le, lens; M, medial; nr, neural retina; P, posterior. Scale bar: b, b', d, d': 170 µm; c, e: 310 µm; and f, g: 50 µm.

subsequent  $F_2$  generation was then incrossed to determine if diploid  $F_3$  offspring recapitulated the haploid phenotype.

In total, we screened ~100 genomes and isolated one phenotype of interest that was reproduced in diploid embryos, reported here as shutdown corner (sco) (Fig. 1, b-h). In wild-type siblings, the lens was positioned centrally in the retina and could be resolved under stereomicroscope at 24 hpf (Fig. 1, band b', arrowhead). In contrast, in sco mutants, the lens appeared absent under stereomicroscope at this stage (Fig. 1, dand d', arrowhead). Using the Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX) transgene to visualize cell membranes, embryos were live-imaged at cellular resolution via confocal microscopy (Gordon et al. 2018). Doing so revealed that the lens was present in sco mutants and was enwrapped by the retina; both tissues appeared to have a grossly normal morphology (Fig. 1, fg). Notably, a space was present between the retina and the lens in wild-type siblings (Fig. 1f, arrow), yet this space was absent in sco mutants (Fig. 1g, arrow). This novel phenotype was fully penetrant at 24 hpf in sco mutants (Fig. 1h). The close association between the lens and retina reminded us of a defensive cornerback in American (gridiron) football. An outstanding cornerback, who allows no separation between themself and the wide receiver they cover, is known as a "shutdown corner."

In addition, sco mutants exhibited multiple tissue defects, including paralysis that was apparent by 3 dpf and was ~80% penetrant (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). Nearly all mutants (96%) developed heart edema (Fig. 1e, *asterisk*) and had a slow heartbeat by 3 dpf (Fig. 1j, and see *Materials and Methods* for details), and the homozygous mutation conferred lethality around 5 dpf (Fig. 1, c and e). Heterozygous carriers were adult viable and fertile.

#### Optic cup patterning, retinal development, and cell proliferation and death defects arise in sco mutant eyes

Given the unusual sco eye phenotype, we first asked whether optic cup development occurred normally by assaying



**Fig. 2.** Optic cup patterning is partially altered and retinal defects arise in *shutdown corner*. a–c) Expression of Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP), which labels the nasal (anterior) hemisphere of the optic cup at 24 hpf. 3D-rendered, lateral view of Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP);Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX) embryos. a, b) *Magenta lines* demarcate Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP)-positive region. c) Quantification of Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP)-positive domain per embryo. d–j) Antibody staining for pax2a, a ventral marker, at 24 hpf. 3D-rendered, lateral views. Pax2a (d, g; *green*), cell membranes (e, h; *grayscale*, EGFP-CAAX), merge f, i). *Magenta lines* f, i] demarcate pax2a-positive region. j) Quantification of the pax2a-positive domain per embryo. k, l) 5 dpf histological sections stained with toluidine blue (imaged at 40x, sections at similar depth based on presence of optic nerve; sibling n = 2, sco n = 3) with zoomed views of the retina (k', 1'). *Arrows*, optic nerve; *bracket*, photoreceptor outer segments k'); *asterisk*, missing photoreceptor outer segments l'); *arrowhead*, potential cell death 1'). m–p) RGCs (zn-5 staining) at 5 dpf. Ventral view, single confocal section from 3D datasets of antibody-stained samples. o, p) Quantification of GCL thickness, presented as the raw width o) and the width normalized to the total width of the retina p). Width measurements were taken at three places in each retina, at a nasal, temporal, and nasal-temporal midpoint; each point represents the average GCL width (raw or normalized) per embryo. ON, optic nerve; ONL, outer nuclear/photoreceptor layer; *INL*, inner nuclear layer; *IPL*, inner plexiform layer; *GCL*, ganglion cell layer; *RGC*, retinal ganglion cell; A, anterior; D, dorsal; L, lateral; M, medial; ON, optic nerve; P, osterior; V, ventral. Scale bar, 50 µm.

anteroposterior (nasal-temporal) and dorsoventral patterning. Defects in either could indicate perturbations in cell signaling and/or cell movements. The transgenic line Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP) labels the anterior/nasal hemisphere of the optic cup (Picker et al. 2009), thus we imaged sco mutant and wild-type sibling Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP);Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX) optic cups at 24 hpf (Fig. 2, a-c). The Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX) transgene enabled us to

visualize the whole optic cup and provided spatial context for the *Tg(cldnb:lyn-EGFP)*-positive domain. We quantified the domain of the optic cup expressing *cldnb:lyn-EGFP* as an angle measurement, where each ray was drawn at the two margins of the double GFP-positive domain (Fig. 2c, schematic). This metric revealed no significant difference in the size of the anterior/nasal hemisphere between wild-type siblings and *sco* mutants (Fig. 2c), indicating

that anteroposterior (nasal-temporal) patterning was preserved. To assess dorsoventral patterning, we performed antibody staining against pax2a, an established ventral marker (Fig. 2, d–j) (Lee et al. 2008; Sedykh et al. 2017). We quantified the optic cup domain with pax2a-positive cells by again using an angle measurement, where each ray was drawn to encompass the pax2apositive region (Fig. 2j, schematic). There was a significant increase in the pax2a-positive domain in the sco mutant optic cup (Fig. 2j), indicating that dorsoventral patterning, unlike anteroposterior patterning, was disturbed in sco mutants.

The cells that comprise the 24 hpf optic cup retinal domain begin to differentiate into neuronal cell types ~30 hpf, first with retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) in the ventronasal aspect of the optic cup. Because the pax2a-positive domain in sco was expanded, we were curious if this early dorsoventral patterning defect translated into later retinal defects. We carried out histology to assay retinal lamination at 5 dpf (Fig. 2, k and l), the latest stage we can successfully rear mutants. The lens appeared free of irregularities, indicating that cataracts did not form by this stage. Retinal lamination also appeared to occur normally, with identifiable and organized retinal layers (Fig. 2, k' andl'), including the GCL, inner plexiform layer (IPL), inner nuclear layer (INL), and outer nuclear (photoreceptor) layer (ONL). However, the inner and outer nuclear layers appeared thinner in sco mutants and, while they were present, photoreceptors appeared to lack outer segments (compare Fig. 2k', bracket, to Fig. 2l', asterisk). The sco mutant eye as a whole appeared smaller and there was evidence of potential cell death at this stage (Fig. 21', arrowhead). It was observed that the RGC layer in particular exhibited an irregular shape and appeared more densely packed. We examined cell density by counting the number of nuclei within a  $35 \mu m^2$  central region of the GCL and found that cell packing was increased in sco mutants [means: sibling=21 (range: 20-21); mutant=36 (range: 29-43)]. We also carried out antibody staining using zn-5 to specifically label and examine the RGC population (Fig. 2, mp). While the robust labeling of zn-5 indicated that differentiation was intact, the thickness of the GCL was significantly reduced in mutants (Fig. 20). Because the sco mutant eye was visibly smaller, we normalized GCL width to the total width of the retina; this analysis revealed GCL thickness was subtly but still significantly decreased in mutants (Fig. 2p; means: sibling=0.39; mutant = 0.34). These data suggest that the optic cup patterning defect in sco did not manifest in failure of retinal lamination and/or differentiation, although it is also clear that the sco retina is abnormal in other ways.

Because we captured potential incidences of cell death in the 5 dpf sco mutant retina, we sought to determine whether cell proliferation or cell death was perturbed in the sco retina at optic cup stage (24 hpf), and at 72 hpf, when retinal cell types are differentiating but before sco mutants die. Using an activated caspase-3 antibody (Fig. 3, a-n), which labels apoptotic cells, we observed increased apoptotic cell death in sco mutant retinas at both 24 and 72 hpf compared to wild-type siblings (Fig. 3, d-f,j-m, arrowheads). At 72 hpf, the cell death was mostly in the inner nuclear layer in wild-type siblings. In sco mutants, cell death was increased in both the inner and outer nuclear layers, but not the GCL (Fig. 3n). Using a phospho-histone H3 antibody, which detects cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, we also found a decrease in cell proliferation in sco mutant retinas compared to wild-type siblings (Fig. 3, o-aa). Our observation of increased cell death at both 24 and 72 hpf is consistent with the possible cell death found via histology at 5 dpf (Fig. 21'). Furthermore, the small size of the 5 dpf sco mutant eye could possibly be explained

by these observations of increased cell death and decreased proliferation. Additional study would be necessary to test this and to rule out other potential mechanisms.

These data demonstrate that while optic cup morphology and retinal organization were maintained in sco, specific aspects of eye development were disrupted: proliferation was decreased, and apoptotic cell death was increased. The wider pax2a-positive domain also indicates that either cell signaling and patterning defects are present in sco mutants, or the cell movements underlying optic cup formation are altered, or a combination of both. Specific retinal layers, including RGCs, were affected in sco mutants as well, although it is unclear if that can be explained by the cell death and cell proliferation phenotypes, or patterning alterations, or both.

#### sco mutants are paralyzed prior to death

In conjunction with our work characterizing the eye, we observed that sco mutants have heart edema and some are slow or fail to hatch (data not shown). When swirled in a Petri dish, wild-type larvae swam to the periphery, yet 3 dpf mutants pooled in the middle of the dish. By 3 dpf, sco mutants also stopped responding to touch (Supplementary Movies 1 and 2) and appeared paralyzed; this phenotype is ~80% penetrant (Fig. 1i). To try to determine the cellular basis of this phenotype, we carried out antibody staining for slow muscle fibers (Fig. 4, a-d) and motor neurons (Fig. 4, f-i). Because some sco mutants moved prior to 3 dpf (data not shown), we assayed for differences between 48 hpf (2 dpf) and 72 hpf (3 dpf). Staining for slow muscle fibers using the F59 antibody to label myosin heavy chain revealed that slow muscles initially appeared identical between wild-type siblings and sco mutants at 48 hpf (Fig. 4, a and b); however, by 72 hpf, these fibers developed an abnormally wavy morphology in sco mutants compared to wild-type siblings (Fig. 4, c and d). We quantified this waviness as a length-to-displacement ratio, where a value of 1.0 corresponds to a flat line (Fig. 4e) (Chagovetz et al. 2019). Wildtype siblings clustered near a perfectly straight line (mean = 1.00), while sco mutant embryos had a mild, but statistically significant greater length-to-displacement ratio [unpaired mean difference between sibling and sco mutants = 0.0133 (95% CI: 0.00188, 0.0252); P = 0.02]. Although the difference in these ratios appeared quantitatively small, similar quantitative differences were observed in other paralyzed zebrafish mutants (Chagovetz et al. 2019).

Staining with znp-1, an antibody against synaptotagmin-2, allowed us to examine differences in motor neuron development at these stages (Fig. 4, f-i). At 48 hpf, caudal primary (CaP) motor neuron axons were present and had a similar shape between genotypes at each stage, and there were no ectopic branches or truncated axons evident in mutants. However, when CaP axon length was measured and normalized to trunk height (Fig. 4j), axons were found to be to proportionally shorter at 72 hpf compared to wild-type siblings (Fig. 4, h-j). Given this defect in motor neurons, we also determined the integrity of neuromuscular junctions by assessing the colocalization between pre- and postsynaptic proteins (Fig. 4, k-w). In a normal functioning neuromuscular junction, there should be a close association between these proteins (Lupa and Hall 1989; Boon et al. 2009). We performed antibody staining against the presynaptic protein, synaptic vesicle protein 2 (SV-2), and postsynaptic acetylcholine receptors, as labeled by  $\alpha$ -bungarotoxin ( $\alpha$ BTX). There was strong staining against both proteins in siblings and sco mutants, but we found sco mutants had significantly reduced colocalization of SV-2 and αBTX at both 48 and 72 hpf (Fig. 4w), indicating a neuromuscular junction



**Fig. 3.** Cell death and proliferation are affected in the *shutdown corner* retina. a–n) Activated caspase-3-positive cells at 24 hpf a–f) and 72 hpf g–l) in siblings and sco mutants. Dorsal (24 hpf) or ventral (72 hpf) view, single confocal section from 3D datasets of antibody-stained *Tg(bactin2:EGFP-CAAX)* samples. Activated caspase-3 (a, d, g, j; green), cell membranes (b, e, h, k; *grayscale*, EGFP-CAAX), merge (c, f, i, l). *Arrowheads* (a, c, d, f, g, i, j, l), activated caspase-3 positive cells in the retina. m) Quantification of cells positive for activated caspase-3 in the retina, per embryo. n) Quantification of cells positive for activated caspase-3 in the retina, per embryo. n) Quantification of cells positive for activated caspase-3 at 72 hpf in each retinal layer, per embryo. o–aa) Phospho-histone H3 (pHH3)-positive cells at 24 hpf o–t) and 72 hpf u–z) in siblings and sco mutants. Dorsal (24 hpf) or ventral (72 hpf) view, single confocal section from 3D datasets of antibody-stained samples. pHH3 (o, r, u, x; green), nuclei (p, s, v, y; grayscale, TO-PRO-3), and merge (q, t, w, z). aa) Quantification of cells positive for pHH3 in the retina, per embryo. *GCL*, ganglion cell layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; A, anterior; L, lateral; M, medial; P, posterior. Scale bar, 50 µm.



**Fig. 4.** Shutdown corner has defective slow muscle fibers, motor neurons, and neuromuscular junctions. Slow muscle fibers (F59 staining) at 48 hpf a, b) and 72 hpf c, d) in siblings and sco mutants. e) Quantification of slow muscle fiber length-to-displacement ratio at 72 hpf presented as a Gardner-Altman estimation plot. Left dot plots, each data point represents an average of 8–14 fibers per single embryo measured as schematized. Right bootstrap sampling distribution, the mean difference between siblings and mutants is 0.0133 (95% CI: 0.00188, 0.0252). Mean difference depicted as a dot; 95% CI indicated by ends of vertical error bar reflects the effect size. f–j) Motor neurons (znp-1 staining) at 48 f, g) and 72 hpf h, i) in siblings and sco mutants. j) Quantification of motor neuron axon length normalized to trunk height. Three axons were measured per embryo; each point represents the average length ratio per embryo. k–w) Presynaptic terminals (SV-2 staining) and postsynaptic terminals ( $\alpha$ -bungarotoxin or  $\alpha$ BTX staining) at 48 hpf k–p) and 72 hpf q–v). SV-2 (k, n, q, t; magenta),  $\alpha$ BTX (l, o, r, u; green), merge m, p, s, v). w) Quantification of SV-2 and  $\alpha$ BTX colocalization at 48 and 72 hpf for one side of the trunk, per embryo. All images are 3D rendered, lateral views of the trunk region, dorsal to the yolk extension. Sample size (n) in images. A, anterior; CaP, caudal primary motor neuron; D, dorsal; P, posterior; V, ventral. Scale bar, 50 µm.



**Fig. 5.** Ocular vasculature but not trunk vasculature is disrupted in *shutdown corner*. Ocular a, c) and trunk b, d) vasculature at 48 hpf [grayscale, *Tg(kdrl:mCherry-ras)*]. 3D renderings, lateral views. a', c') Hyaloid network; superficial vasculature cropped away in FluoRender. c) *Arrowheads* indicate ectopic branches; *asterisk* indicates a morphologically abnormal vessel. e) Quantification of the number of superficial ocular vessels in the dorsal half of the eye at 48 hpf. Dashed line in schematic demarcates the dorsal (D) and ventral (V) halves of the eye. Sample size (*n*) in images. a–d) Arrows pair labels with corresponding vessels. *le*, lens; DCV, dorsal ciliary vein; NCA, nasal ciliary artery; OV, optic vein; DLAV, dorsal longitudinal anastomosing vessel; *aISV*, arterial intersegmental vessel; A, anterior; P, posterior; D, dorsal; V, ventral. Scale bar, 50 µm.

defect was present by 48 hpf and persisted through 72 hpf. These data suggest sco mutants may exhibit a neuromuscular defect earlier than 72 hpf that is more subtle than paralysis. Considering these results together, previous work has shown that myofibril organization is dependent upon muscle contraction (Brennan et al. 2005), thus the sco mutant paralysis phenotype may be primarily attributed to a neuromuscular junction and/or primary motor neuron defect that also manifested in less organized slow muscle fibers. Additional functional analysis may reveal further nuances within the sco mutant paralysis phenotype.

#### Vasculature is disrupted in the sco mutant eye

Our phenotypic analysis primarily focused on the eye and the trunk, and we became curious if we could detect defects in other tissues in these regions. To this end, we evaluated vasculature development in both the eye and trunk at 48 hpf (Fig. 5, a-d). The ocular vasculature was present but aberrant. Hyaloid vasculature was intact (Fig. 5, a' and c'), as well as the optic vein (OV), and vessels that comprise the superficial vasculature (Fig. 5, a and c), including the dorsal ciliary vein (DCV) and nasal ciliary artery (NCA). However, in sco mutants, there were ectopic superficial branches (Fig. 5c, arrowheads) and some superficial vessels had an abnormal morphology (Fig. 5c, asterisk). Most mutants (n = 24/29) had 1-2 more dorsal vessels than wild-type siblings (Fig. 5e). In contrast to the eye, we found that trunk vasculature (Fig. 5, b and d) appeared normal in sco mutants, with key vessels present, including the dorsal longitudinal anastomosing vessel (DLAV), arterial intersegmental vessel (aISV), and the dorsal aorta (DA). In total, in sco mutants, major vessels in the trunk and the eye formed by 48 hpf, but aberrances arose specifically in the superficial ocular vasculature.

# Shutdown corner is a 10-Mb deletion on chromosome 5

To identify the sco genetic lesion, we utilized the program MMAPPR, an RNA-sequencing-based platform designed to identify putative mutated loci from samples pooled by phenotype (Hill et al. 2013). We phenotyped embryos as wild-type siblings or sco mutants based on their 24 hpf optic cup phenotype, and confirmed the phenotyping by examining the 3 dpf paralysis phenotype. We performed RNA-sequencing at 3 dpf and input these results into the MMAPPR pipeline. We anticipated MMAPPR to indicate a sharp peak, suggestive of a mutation at a single locus, but instead observed a broad feature on chromosome 5 (Fig. 6a, top). Looking more closely at chromosome 5 (Fig. 6a, bottom), MMAPPR showed an absence of data between  $\sim$ 40 and 50 Mb (Fig. 6b). Further analysis of the RNA-seq data confirmed that this was due to a complete lack of RNA-sequencing reads aligned to this region of the genome specifically in the mutant pool; reads were present for this region in the sibling pool. Together, these data suggested that sco carries a deletion on chromosome 5. This was confirmed by PCR of genomic regions inside and outside of the predicted deletion interval. To precisely identify the deleted region, we performed a series of PCR experiments on genomic DNA to "walk" closer to the breakpoint until the breakpoint was successfully cloned and the exact breakpoint identified by Sanger sequencing (Fig. 6c, sequencing shown is from wild-type sibling and sco mutant embryos).

The deletion spans the interval of chr5:42,469,846–51,532,989, in the region initially suggested by MMAPPR [Fig. 6, a (bottom) and b] and encompasses 89 annotated genes (Table 1). Therefore, we refer to this mutant line as *Df*(*Chr05:sco*)<sup>*z207*</sup>. Similar to how the mutation was eventually identified, genomic PCR using primers positioned inside and outside of the deletion can be used to genotype sco mutants or heterozygous and homozygous wild-type siblings (Fig. 6d). To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that MMAPPR can successfully identify large deletions. Furthermore, *shutdown corner* is a large chromosomal deletion, few of which have been reported in zebrafish.

# Discussion

We describe here a haploid screen and isolation of a  $\sim$ 10-Mb deletion mutant, shutdown corner [Df(Chr05:sco)<sup>z207</sup>], which exhibits



**Fig. 6.** Shutdown corner harbors a large deletion on chromosome 5. a) Top, Genome wide Euclidean distance scores, fit with a local regression (LOESS) curve and raised to the fourth power to decrease noise. Note the large feature on chromosome 5. Bottom, Raw Euclidean distance scores for chromosome 5; note the gap between ~40 and 50 Mb (shaded region). b) Comparison of RNA-sequencing read counts, fit with a local regression, between wild-type sibling (gray) and sco mutants (blue). There is a dramatic decrease in mapped reads in the ~40–50 Mb region. c) Sanger sequencing of a wild-type sibling and sco mutant confirms the shutdown corner breakpoint. d) Schematic of genotyping primers and image of PCR products from genomic DNA (gDNA); using the three primers, the wild-type amplicon is 774 bp and the sco amplicon is 497 bp; heterozygotes have both a wild-type and sco mutant amplicon. Reference band sizes in ladder are annotated. Bp, base pair.

multiple tissue defects. Although sco was originally isolated for its unusual 24 hpf optic cup defect, other phenotypes, including paralysis and heart edema, were readily observed. We have reported here various phenotypes in sco mutants, and we anticipate other defects may become apparent with more thorough and focused analyses.

Several eye phenotypes of interest were recovered in sco, including an apparent lack of space between the lens and retina, a defect in the superficial ocular vasculature, impaired dorsalventral patterning, and altered GCL thickness and cell density. Space between the lens and retina has been observed across vertebrates (Hunt 1961; Silver and Wakely 1974; Jackson 1976; McAvoy 1980), but the precise functional significance of this separation, and its loss, is unknown. Interestingly, the ocular hyaloid vasculature that develops behind the lens formed normally by 48 hpf in sco; rather, the superficial vasculature developed ectopic branches and some vessels exhibited an abnormal morphology. It seems formation and/or maintenance of the lens-retina space is dispensable for lens development, as the lens was morphologically normal by 5 dpf, but an effect on retinal development cannot be ruled out. Expansion of the ventral domain of the sco optic cup may also partially underlie the GCL thickness and cell density defects, as RGCs initiate differentiation in the ventronasal region of the eye soon after optic cup stage. Whether the increased ventral domain in sco relates to the RGC development defects is unclear, although it is intriguing to consider how an early defect in morphogenetic cell movement and/or cell signaling events might impair subsequent RGC development. Ultimately, the many developmental programs underlying eye development must be integrated to yield a functional organ, and specific defects in one or multiple processes could directly and/or indirectly impair other subsequent events. The sco mutant eye raises questions not only about how cells within the retina develop and differentiate, but also about the reciprocal interactions between the retina and extrinsic tissues like the lens and vasculature.

As a first approach to assessing the genes lost within the interval, we carried out gene ontological analysis to determine if there was any enrichment in biological process, molecular function, or cellular localization within our 89 gene list. Using the PANTHER Classification System (Mi et al. 2019), we tested for enrichment using Fisher's exact test and corrected for false discovery rate, which revealed no significant enrichment (data not shown). This was not surprising, as gene clusters have only been reported in zebrafish for the major histocompatibility complex and HoxA (HoxAa and HoxAb) (Howe et al. 2013). When individually examined, several genes within the deletion interval could explain some of the phenotypes we observed. The photoreceptor defect in sco may be explained by loss of adqrv1 and/or poc5, two genes implicated in the degenerative condition retinitis pigmentosa (Ebermann et al. 2010; Weisz Hubshman et al. 2018). Knockout of the gene rasa1 was shown to impair mouse retinal vasculature, suggesting it could be involved in the ocular vasculature phenotype (Chen et al. 2019). The sco paralysis phenotype could be tied to loss of gas1a, a factor involved in skeletal myogenesis (Leem et al. 2011), and/or smn1, which is associated with skeletal muscle atrophy, caused by progressive loss of motor neurons (Fallini et al. 2012; Hao Le et al. 2017). Neuromuscular junction defects, specifically decreased colocalization of pre- and postsynaptic proteins, were also found in zygotic smn1 zebrafish mutants, indicating this gene may underlie the sco paralysis phenotype (Boon et al. 2009). Interestingly, maternal-zygotic smn1 zebrafish mutants exhibited a more severe phenotype, with truncated motor neurons that developed fewer branches (Hao et al. 2013), underscoring a potential contribution of maternally supplied smn1 in sco. Several factors in the deletion interval are expressed in the heart and may contribute to the slow heartbeat observed in sco mutants. Mutations in vcan are embryonic lethal in mouse and medaka due to impaired heart development (Mjaatvedt et al. 1998; Mittal et al. 2019), and another factor, mef2cb, has also been associated with heart defects in zebrafish (Hinits et al. 2012). Although we did not evaluate it in this study, edema can also indicate kidney dysfunction, and knockdown of the gene iqgap2 was reported to impair zebrafish glomerular formation and result in heart edema (Sugano et al. 2015). Despite these intriguing connections, individual contribution of any one gene to the sco phenotype would be difficult to parse within the scope of this study. Synergism between genes must also be considered, as should the potential loss of regulatory elements that act on genes outside of the deletion interval. Maternal deposition of transcripts and/or proteins may modulate all of these genetic processes as well.

Despite these caveats, we believe that *shutdown corner* may be of interest and use to the zebrafish community at large, potentially as a starting point for other studies. Large deletions have a history of utility in systems like *Drosophila*, where significant work has gone into generating a series of deletion mutants (Ryder et al. 2007; Wright et al. 2010; Cook et al. 2012). These mutants have aided gene mapping efforts and enabled studies into genetic interactions. An analogous resource in zebrafish does not exist and, to the best of our knowledge, *shutdown corner* is the largest deletion reported to date. Advances in CRISPR-based mutagenesis have made generating deletions a feasible goal, but currently the largest reported engineered deletion is less than 300kb in size (HoshijiMa et al. 2019; Kim and Zhang 2020; Tromp et al. 2021).

In addition, reverse genetics in zebrafish using engineered putative loss-of-function mutants have commonly failed to present with phenotypes, often in contrast to previously observed morpholino-mediated knockdown phenotypes (Kok et al. 2015; Stainier et al. 2017). It was demonstrated that compensatory mechanisms may be in place that mask loss-of-function phenotypes, in zebrafish as well as other model systems (Rossi et al. 2015; Lalonde et al. 2017; El-Brolosy et al. 2019; Ma et al. 2019). To avoid triggering this machinery, the zebrafish field is moving toward mutagenesis strategies that ablate transcription. Because the  $\sim$ 10-Mb interval on chromosome 5 in sco is entirely lost, we anticipate that all 89 annotated genes in the interval are a complete loss-of-function and, as they are not transcribed, do not trigger compensatory mechanisms. We have shown that shutdown corner exhibits many phenotypes which require additional study, and we anticipate other defects may be captured through further analysis. Therefore, sco may be useful to researchers who are mapping a mutation, interested in understanding genetic interactions, and/or wishing to obtain an initial assessment of whether a gene in the interval may be involved in their biology of interest.

It is also surprising that we isolated a ~10-Mb deletion mutant from an ENU-based screen. ENU is best known for inducing point mutations, which raises the possibility that the mutation may have existed in the background of our fish population. Despite the small-scale nature of our screen, our successful identification of a mutant with an unusual optic cup defect underscores the need to carry out screens specific to optic cup morphogenesis and suggests that other phenotypes may be identified with further screening. Our combination of a haploid mutagenesis screen with contemporary computational methods led to our identification of a novel mutant, *shutdown corner*  $[Df(Chr05:sco)^{z207}]$ , a ~10-Mb deletion mutant, which shows potential for this method's utility in the zebrafish community.

#### **Data availability**

The data underlying this article are available in the Sequence Read Archive at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra (Accessed: 2021 December 29) and can be accessed with PRJNA790108.

Supplemental material is available at G3 online.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Angie Serrano for providing the *Tg(kdrl:mCherry-ras)* line; Rich Dorsky, David Grunwald and Alex Chagovetz, Jude Rosenthal, and Brant Nikolaus for providing experimental advice and support; Allie Graham for providing guidance with computational analyses; and the University of Utah Centralized Zebrafish Animal Resource (CZAR) for zebrafish husbandry and equipment. They are grateful to Nancy Chandler, who performed histology at the Electron Microscopy Core Laboratory. RNA-sequencing was performed through the High-Throughput and Bioinformatic Analysis Shared Resource at the Huntsman Cancer Institute; Sanger sequencing was performed at the DNA Sequencing Core Facility; oligonucleotides were synthesized by the DNA/Peptide Core Facility, all at the University of Utah. Many thanks to members of the Kwan lab and Chien lab past and present for useful discussion and insight.

#### Funding

This work was supported by a University of Utah Genetics Training Grant (National Institutes of Health, T32 GM007464 to MAC), University of Utah Developmental Biology Training Grant (National Institutes of Health T32 HD007491 to CDB), and the National Eye Institute/National Institutes of Health (R01 EY025378 and R01 EY025780 to KMK). JTH and HJY were supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (UM1 HL098160 to HJY).

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None declared.

# Literature cited

- Baier H, Klostermann S, Trowe T, Karlstrom RO, Nusslein-Volhard C, Bonhoeffer F. Genetic dissection of the retinotectal projection. Development. 1996;123(1):415–425.
- Bazin-Lopez N, Valdivia LE, Wilson SW, Gestri G. Watching eyes take shape. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2015;32:73–79.
- Boon K-L, Xiao S, Mcwhorter ML, Donn T, Wolf-Saxon E, Bohnsack MT, Moens CB, Beattie CE. Zebrafish survival motor neuron mutants exhibit presynaptic neuromuscular junction defects. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18(19):3615–3625.
- Brennan C, Mangoli M, Dyer CEF, Ashworth R. Acetylcholine and calcium signalling regulates muscle fibre formation in the zebrafish embryo. J Cell Sci. 2005;118(Pt 22):5181–5190.
- Brockerhoff SE, Hurley JB, Janssen-Bienhold U, Neuhauss SC, Driever W, Dowling JE. A behavioral screen for isolating zebrafish mutants with visual system defects. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995;92(23):10545–10549.
- Casey MA, Lusk S, Kwan KM. Build me up optic cup: intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms of vertebrate eye morphogenesis. Dev Biol. 2021;476:128–136.
- Cavodeassi F. Dynamic tissue rearrangements during vertebrate eye morphogenesis: insights from fish models. J Dev Biol. 2018;6(1):4.
- Chagovetz AA, Klatt Shaw D, Ritchie E, Hoshijima K, Grunwald DJ. Interactions among ryanodine receptor isotypes contribute to muscle fiber type development and function. Dis Model Mech. 2019;13(2):dmm038844.
- Chen D, Teng JM, North PE, Lapinski PE, King PD. Rasa1-dependent cellular export of collagen iv controls blood and lymphatic vascular development. J Clin Invest. 2019;129(9):3545–3561.

- Chi NC, Shaw RM, De Val S, Kang G, Jan LY, Black BL, Stainier DY. Foxn4 directly regulates tbx2b expression and atrioventricular canal formation. Genes Dev. 2008;22(6):734–739.
- Cook RK, Christensen SJ, Deal JA, Coburn RA, Deal ME, Gresens JM, Kaufman TC, Cook KR. The generation of chromosomal deletions to provide extensive coverage and subdivision of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. Genome Biol. 2012;13(3):R21.
- Driever W, Solnica-Krezel L, Schier AF, Neuhauss SC, Malicki J, Stemple DL, Stainier DY, Zwartkruis F, Abdelilah S, Rangini Z, et al. A genetic screen for mutations affecting embryogenesis in zebrafish. Development. 1996;123(1):37–46.
- Ebermann I, Phillips JB, Liebau MC, Koenekoop RK, Schermer B, Lopez I, Schäfer E, Roux A-F, Dafinger C, Bernd A, et al. PDZD7 is a modifier of retinal disease and a contributor to digenic usher syndrome. J Clin Invest. 2010;120(6):1812–1823.
- El-Brolosy MA, Kontarakis Z, Rossi A, Kuenne C, Gunther S, Fukuda N, Kikhi K, Boezio GLM, Takacs CM, Lai SL, *et al.* Genetic compensation triggered by mutant mRNA degradation. Nature. 2019; 568(7751):193–197.
- Fadool JM, Brockerhoff SE, Hyatt GA, Dowling JE. Mutations affecting eye morphology in the developing zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Dev Genet. 1997;20(3):288–295.
- Fallini C, Bassell GJ, Rossoll W. Spinal muscular atrophy: the role of SMN in axonal mRNA regulation. Brain Res. 2012;1462:81–92.
- Fuhrmann S. Eye morphogenesis and patterning of the optic vesicle. In: Ross L. Cagan, Thomas A. Reh, editors. Current Topics in Developmental Biology. San Diego, CA, USA: Elsevier; 2010. p. 61–84.
- Gordon HB, Lusk S, Carney KR, Wirick EO, Murray BF, Kwan KM. Hedgehog signaling regulates cell motility and optic fissure and stalk formation during vertebrate eye morphogenesis. Development. 2018;145(22):dev165068.
- Gross JM, Perkins BD, Amsterdam A, Egaña A, Darland T, Matsui JI, Sciascia S, Hopkins N, Dowling JE. Identification of zebrafish insertional mutants with defects in visual system development and function. Genetics. 2005;170(1):245–261.
- Haas P, Gilmour D. Chemokine signaling mediates self-organizing tissue migration in the zebrafish lateral line. Dev Cell. 2006;10(5): 673–680.
- Haffter P, Granato M, Brand M, Mullins MC, Hammerschmidt M, Kane DA, Odenthal J, van Eeden FJ, Jiang YJ, Heisenberg CP, *et al.* The identification of genes with unique and essential functions in the development of the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. Development. 1996;123(1):1–36.
- Hao Le T, Duy PQ, An M, Talbot J, Iyer CC, Wolman M, Beattie CE. HuD and the survival motor neuron protein interact in motoneurons and are essential for motoneuron development, function, and mRNA regulation. J Neurosci. 2017;37(48):11559–11571.
- Hao Le T, Duy PQ, Jontes JD, Wolman M, Granato M, Beattie CE. Temporal requirement for SMN in motoneuron development. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;22(13):2612–2625.
- Heermann S, Schütz L, Lemke S, Krieglstein K, Wittbrodt J. Eye morphogenesis driven by epithelial flow into the optic cup facilitated by modulation of bone morphogenetic protein. eLife. 2015;4: e05216.
- Heisenberg CP, Brand M, Jiang YJ, Warga RM, Beuchle D, Van Eeden FJ, Furutani-Seiki M, Granato M, Haffter P, Hammerschmidt M, et al. Genes involved in forebrain development in the zebrafish, Danio rerio. Development. 1996;123(1):191–203.
- Hill JT, Demarest BL, Bisgrove BW, Gorsi B, Su Y-C, Yost HJ. MMAPPR: mutation mapping analysis pipeline for pooled RNA-seq. Genome Res. 2013;23(4):687–697.

- Hinits Y, Pan L, Walker C, Dowd J, Moens CB, Hughes SM. Zebrafish Mef2ca and Mef2cb are essential for both first and second heart field cardiomyocyte differentiation. Dev Biol. 2012;369(2): 199–210.
- Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A. Moving beyond p values: data analysis with estimation graphics. Nat Methods. 2019;16(7):565–566.
- Hoshijima K, Jurynec MJ, Klatt Shaw D, Jacobi AM, Behlke MA, Grunwald DJ. Highly efficient crispr-cas9-based methods for generating deletion mutations and f0 embryos that lack gene function in zebrafish. Dev Cell. 2019;51(5):645–657.e644.
- Howe K, Clark MD, Torroja CF, Torrance J, Berthelot C, Muffato M, Collins JE, Humphray S, Mclaren K, Matthews L, et al. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature. 2013;496(7446):498–503.
- Hunt HH. A study of the fine structure of the optic vesicle and lens placode of the chick embryo during induction. Dev Biol. 1961;3: 175–209.
- Jackson CG. Prenatal development of the eye in the golden hamster. Am J Anat. 1976;146(3):303–321.
- Karlstrom RO, Trowe T, Klostermann S, Baier H, Brand M, Crawford AD, Grunewald B, Haffter P, Hoffmann H, Meyer SU, et al. Zebrafish mutations affecting retinotectal axon pathfinding. Development. 1996;123(1):427–438.
- Kim BH, Zhang G. Generating stable knockout zebrafish lines by deleting large chromosomal fragments using multiple gRNAs. G3 (Bethesda). 2020;10(3):1029–1037.
- Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–360.
- Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. Dev Dyn. 1995;203(3): 253–310.
- Kok FO, Shin M, Ni C-W, Gupta A, Grosse AS, van Impel A, Kirchmaier BC, Peterson-Maduro J, Kourkoulis G, Male I, et al. Reverse genetic screening reveals poor correlation between morpholino-induced and mutant phenotypes in zebrafish. Dev Cell. 2015;32(1):97–108.
- Kroeger PT, Jr, Poureetezadi SJ, McKee R, Jou J, Miceli R, Wingert RA. Production of haploid zebrafish embryos by in vitro fertilization. J Vis Exp. 2014;(89):51708.
- Kwan KM. Coming into focus: the role of extracellular matrix in vertebrate optic cup morphogenesis. Dev Dyn. 2014;243(10): 1242–1248.
- Kwan KM, Otsuna H, Kidokoro H, Carney KR, Saijoh Y, Chien C-B. A complex choreography of cell movements shapes the vertebrate eye. Development. 2012;139(2):359–372.
- Lalonde S, Stone OA, Lessard S, Lavertu A, Desjardins J, Beaudoin M, Rivas M, Stainier DYR, Lettre G. Frameshift indels introduced by genome editing can lead to in-frame exon skipping. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0178700.
- Lee J, Cox BD, Daly CMS, Lee C, Nuckels RJ, Tittle RK, Uribe RA, Gross JM. An ENU mutagenesis screen in zebrafish for visual system mutants identifies a novel splice-acceptor site mutation inpatched2 that results in Colobomas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53(13):8214–8221.
- Lee J, Willer JR, Willer GB, Smith K, Gregg RG, Gross JM. Zebrafish blowout provides genetic evidence for patched1-mediated negative regulation of hedgehog signaling within the proximal optic vesicle of the vertebrate eye. Dev Biol. 2008;319(1):10–22.
- Leem Y-E, Han J-W, Lee H-J, Ha H-L, Kwon Y-L, Ho S-M, Kim B-G, Tran P, Bae G-U, Kang J-S. Gas1 cooperates with Cdo and promotes myogenic differentiation via activation of p38MAPK. Cell Signal. 2011;23(12):2021–2029.

- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(8):e47.
- Lupa MT, Hall ZW. Progressing restriction of synaptic vesicle protein to the nerve terminal during development of the neuromuscular junction. J Neurosci. 1989;9(11):3937–3945.
- Ma Z, Zhu P, Shi H, Guo L, Zhang Q, Chen Y, Chen S, Zhang Z, Peng J, Chen J. PTC-bearing mRNA elicits a genetic compensation response via Upf3a and COMPASS components. Nature. 2019; 568(7751):259–263.
- Malicki J, Neuhauss SC, Schier AF, Solnica-Krezel L, Stemple DL, Stainier DY, Abdelilah S, Zwartkruis F, Rangini Z, Driever W. Mutations affecting development of the zebrafish retina. Development. 1996;123(1):263–273.
- Martinez-Morales J-R, Cavodeassi F, Bovolenta P. Coordinated morphogenetic mechanisms shape the vertebrate eye. Front Neurosci. 2017;11:721.
- McAvoy JW. Cytoplasmic processes interconnect lens placode and optic vesicle during eye morphogenesis. Exp Eye Res. 1980;31(5): 527–534.
- Mi H, Muruganujan A, Huang X, Ebert D, Mills C, Guo X, Thomas PD. Protocol update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the panther classification system (v.14.0). Nat Protoc. 2019;14(3):703–721.
- Mittal N, Yoon SH, Enomoto H, Hiroshi M, Shimizu A, Kawakami A, Fujita M, Watanabe H, Fukuda K, Makino S. Versican is crucial for the initiation of cardiovascular lumen development in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):9475.
- Mjaatvedt CH, Yamamura H, Capehart AA, Turner D, Markwald RR. The Cspg2gene, disrupted in the hdf mutant, is required for right cardiac chamber and endocardial cushion formation. Dev Biol. 1998;202(1):56–66.
- Mullins MC, Hammerschmidt M, Haffter P, Nusslein-Volhard C. Large-scale mutagenesis in the zebrafish: in search of genes controlling development in a vertebrate. Curr Biol. 1994;4(3):189–202.
- Neuhauss SCF, Biehlmaier O, Seeliger MW, Das T, Kohler K, Harris WA, Baier H. Genetic disorders of vision revealed by a behavioral screen of 400 essential loci in zebrafish. J Neurosci. 1999;19(19): 8603–8615.
- Nicolás-Pérez M, Kuchling F, Letelier J, Polvillo R, Wittbrodt J, Martínez-Morales JR. Analysis of cellular behavior and cytoskeletal dynamics reveal a constriction mechanism driving optic cup morphogenesis. eLife. 2016;5:e15797.
- Nuckels RJ, Gross JM. Histological preparation of embryonic and adult zebrafish eyes. CSH Protoc. 2007;2007:pdb prot4846.
- Patton EE, Zon LI. The art and design of genetic screens: zebrafish. Nat Rev Genet. 2001;2(12):956–966.
- Picker A, Cavodeassi F, Machate A, Bernauer S, Hans S, Abe G, Kawakami K, Wilson SW, Brand M. Dynamic coupling of pattern formation and morphogenesis in the developing vertebrate retina. PLoS Biol. 2009;7(10):e1000214.
- Rawls JF, Frieda MR, McAdow AR, Gross JP, Clayton CM, Heyen CK, Johnson SL. Coupled mutagenesis screens and genetic mapping in zebrafish. Genetics. 2003;163(3):997–1009.
- Rossi A, Kontarakis Z, Gerri C, Nolte H, Hölper S, Krüger M, Stainier DYR. Genetic compensation induced by deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. Nature. 2015;524(7564):230–233.

- Ryder E, Ashburner M, Bautista-Llacer R, Drummond J, Webster J, Johnson G, Morley T, Chan YS, Blows F, Coulson D, et al. The DrosDel deletion collection: a Drosophila genomewide chromosomal deficiency resource. Genetics. 2007;177(1):615–629.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):676–682.
- Sedykh I, Yoon B, Roberson L, Moskvin O, Dewey CN, Grinblat Y. Zebrafish zic2 controls formation of periocular neural crest and choroid fissure morphogenesis. Dev Biol. 2017;429(1):92–104.
- Sidhaye J, Norden C. Concerted action of neuroepithelial basal shrinkage and active epithelial migration ensures efficient optic cup morphogenesis. eLife. 2017;6:e22689.
- Silver PH, Wakely J. Fine structure, origin and fate of extracellular materials in the interspace between the presumptive lens and presumptive retina of the chick embryo. J Anat. 1974;118(Pt 1): 19–31.
- Sinn R, Wittbrodt J. An eye on eye development. Mech Dev. 2013; 130(6–8):347–358.
- Solnica-Krezel L, Schier AF, Driever W. Efficient recovery of ENUinduced mutations from the zebrafish germline. Genetics. 1994; 136(4):1401–1420.
- Stainier DYR, Raz E, Lawson ND, Ekker SC, Burdine RD, Eisen JS, Ingham PW, Schulte-Merker S, Yelon D, Weinstein BM, et al. Guidelines for morpholino use in zebrafish. PLoS Genet. 2017; 13(10):e1007000.
- Streisinger G, Walker C, Dower N, Knauber D, Singer F. Production of clones of homozygous diploid zebra fish (Brachydanio rerio). Nature. 1981;291(5813):293–296.
- Sugano Y, Lindenmeyer MT, Auberger I, Ziegler U, Segerer S, Cohen CD, Neuhauss SCF, Loffing J. The Rho-GTPase binding protein IQGAP2 is required for the glomerular filtration barrier. Kidney Int. 2015;88(5):1047–1056.
- Tromp A, Robinson K, Hall TE, Mowry B, Giacomotto J. Pipeline for generating stable large genomic deletions in zebrafish, from small domains to whole gene excisions. G3 (Bethesda) 2021; 11(12):jkab321.
- Walker C. Haploid screens and gamma-ray mutagenesis. Methods Cell Biol. 1999;60:43–70.
- Wan Y, Otsuna H, Chien CB, Hansen C. Fluorender: an application of 2d image space methods for 3d and 4d confocal microscopy data visualization in neurobiology research. IEEE Pac Vis Symp. 2012; 201–208.
- Weisz Hubshman M, Broekman S, Van Wijk E, Cremers F, Abu-Diab A, Khateb S, Tzur S, Lagovsky I, Smirin-Yosef P, Sharon D, et al. Whole-exome sequencing reveals POC5 as a novel gene associated with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27(4):614–624.
- Westerfield M. The zebrafish book. In: A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish (Danio rerio). Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press; 2000.
- Wright AP, Fox AN, Johnson KG, Zinn K. Systematic screening of drosophila deficiency mutations for embryonic phenotypes and orphan receptor ligands. PLoS One. 2010;5(8):e12288.

Communicating editor: M. Ramaswami