

[LETTERS TO THE EDITOR]

The Authors' Reply to "A Bone Marrow Biopsy Is Mandatory for a Diagnosis of Essential Thrombocythemia"

Key words: essential thrombocythemia, bone marrow biopsy

(Intern Med 58: 1195, 2019) (DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.1406-18)

The Authors Reply We greatly appreciate the valuable comment by Kobayashi et al. As mentioned, the bone marrow analysis is essential for the diagnosis of essential thrombocythemia (ET). Our short report did not intend to imply that a bone marrow biopsy is not essential for the diagnosis of ET (1). The patient was clinically diagnosed with ET and was treated with anagrelide by a hematologist before the onset of stroke. Given the limited space afforded by "PICTURES IN CLINICAL MEDICINE," we expressed this

as follows, "The examinations suggested not secondary but essential thrombocythemia, although bone marrow aspiration was not performed."

The authors state that they have no Conflict of Interest (COI).

Yuji Kato, Yuito Nagamine, Takeshi Hayashi and Masaki Takao

Reference

 Kato Y, Nagamine Y, Hayashi T, Takao M. Extending carotid artery thrombus associated with thrombocytosis. Intern Med 57: 2437-2438, 2018.

The Internal Medicine is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Department of Neurology and Cerebrovascular Medicine, Saitama Medical University International Medical Center, Japan Received: April 18, 2018; Accepted: July 8, 2018; Advance Publication by J-STAGE: January 10, 2019 Correspondence to Dr. Yuji Kato, yujik@saitama-med.ac.jp

© 2019 The Japanese Society of Internal Medicine. Intern Med 58: 1195, 2019