SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 04 November 2016 Accepted: 17 March 2017 Published: 19 April 2017

Identification and characterization of miRNAs in two closely related C₄ and C₃ species of Cleome by highthroughput sequencing

Shuangcheng Gao^{1,*}, Wei Zhao^{2,*}, Xiang Li², Qingbo You², Xinjie Shen², Wei Guo², Shihua Wang¹, Guoan Shi¹, Zheng Liu³ & Yongqing Jiao²

Cleome gynandra and Cleome hassleriana, which are C_4 and C_3 plants, respectively, are two species of Cleome. The close genetic relationship between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* provides advantages for discovering the differences in leaf development and physiological processes between C_3 and C_4 plants. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of important regulators of various biological processes. In this study, we investigate the differences in the characteristics of miRNAs between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* using high-throughput sequencing technology. In total, 94 and 102 known miRNAs were identified in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, respectively, of which 3 were specific for *C. gynandra* and 10 were specific for *C. hassleriana*. Ninety-one common miRNAs were identified in both species. In addition, 4 novel miRNAs were detected, including three in *C. gynandra* and three in *C. hassleriana*. Of these miRNAs, 67 were significantly differentially expressed between these two species and were involved in extensive biological processes, such as glycol-metabolism and photosynthesis. Our study not only provided resources for *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* research but also provided useful clues for the understanding of the roles of miRNAs in the alterations of biological processes in leaf tissues during the evolution of the C_4 pathway.

 C_4 photosynthesis, which is a complex biological trait that enables plants to accumulate biomass at a much faster rate, has evolved independently multiple times within the angiosperms^{1,2}. Considering that C_4 crops have greater water and nitrogen use efficiency and photosynthesis efficiency than C_3 crops, it has been suggested that integrating the characteristics of the C_4 pathway into C_3 crops could be used to increase yield^{3,4}. However, other than the basic biochemistry, we still have a very limited understanding of C_4 photosynthesis. Particularly, the genetic basis associated with the alterations in the cell biology and development of the C_4 leaf is poorly understood⁵. Thus, the application of integrating the C_4 pathway into C_3 crops to increase yield remains restricted.

The Cleome species belongs to the family Cleomaceae, which was formerly Capparaceae⁶. Capparaceae was referred to as a sister to Brassicaceae and possessed a number of C_4 species⁷. The genus Cleome contains a phylogenetic progression from C_3 to C_4 photosynthesis, which provides the potential to understanding the genetic changes between the C_4 and C_3 photosynthetic pathways during the evolutionary process⁷. *Cleome gynandra* (also known as *Gynandropsis gynandra*) and *Cleome hassleriana* (also known as *Tarenaya hassleriana*) are two typical species of Cleome. *C. gynandra* is a C_4 photosynthesis species, while *C. hassleriana* is a C_3 species. Phylogenetically, both *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* are near relatives of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, which is a well-known C_3 model plant^{5,7}. *C. gynandra*, *C. hassleriana* and *A. thaliana* have high sequence similarities⁸. Approximately 70% of the RNA-seq reads of *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* matched approximately 55.3% of the genes in the *A. thaliana* reference⁸. Therefore, the vast molecular data and well-annotated genome resources available for *A. thaliana* can be applied to *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*. Moreover, these two species have small

¹College of Agriculture, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan Province, 471003, P. R. China. ²Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Oil Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, Oil Crops Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, 430062, P. R. China. ³College of Life Sciences, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei Province, 071002, P. R. China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.J. (email: jiaoyongqing@caas.cn) or Z.L. (email: liuzhengxp@yeah.net)

| | Cleome gynandra | | Cleome hassleriana | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| RNA class | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total |
| Raw reads | 6,698,595 | 100 | 7,177,444 | 100 |
| 3′ adapter and length filter | 1,859,667 | 27.76 | 2,120,400 | 29.54 |
| rRNA | 2,917,851 | 43.56 | 3,139,295 | 43.74 |
| snoRNA | 562 | 0.01 | 1,419 | 0.02 |
| tRNA | 117,536 | 1.75 | 209,775 | 2.92 |
| Repeats | 41,425 | 0.62 | 19,347 | 0.27 |
| Unannotated reads | 1,761,554 | 26.30 | 1,687,208 | 23.51 |

Table 1. Summary of the small RNA sequencing datasets.

statures and short life cycles, are self-fertile and produce a large amount of seed⁶. These advantages make *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* potential C_4 and C_3 models for identifying the differences in leaf development and physiological processes between C_3 and C_4 plants.

MicroRNAs are a class of 18–24 nucleotide (nt) small non-coding endogenous RNAs that are capable of regulating gene expression at the post-transcriptional level^{9–12}. Research regarding *A. thaliana*, *Oryza sativa* and maize showed that plant miRNAs played important roles in regulating plant development^{13,14}. For example, miR165 directs the cleavage of *REV* to regulate leaf morphogenesis¹⁵; miR319 regulates leaf senescence by controlling the *TCP* transcription factors^{10,16}; and miR166 targets *Leafbladeless1* (*LBL1*) to influence the specification of the adaxial/upper leaf surface¹⁷. The identification and characterization of miRNAs would provide helpful information for understanding the biological processes in plants.

Due to the merits of *Cleome gynandra* and *Cleome hassleriana* in studying the genetic basis of the differences in the cell biology and development between C_4 and C_3 plants, we used high-throughput sequencing technology to identify and characterize the miRNAs in the leaf tissues of these two species to gain some insights into the molecular changes that occur during the evolution of C_3 plants to C_4 plants. Thus, a number of known and novel miRNAs were detected in the two species, and the expression patterns of these miRNAs were profiled. By comparing our data with published data from *Arabidopsis*, the potential functions of these miRNAs were also investigated. Our study not only provided resources for studying *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* but also provided useful clues for the understanding of the roles of the miRNAs in the differences in the biological processes in leaf tissues during the evolution of the C_4 pathway.

Results

Overview of small RNA sequencing data. To identify the miRNAs in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, two small RNA libraries were constructed for the fully expanded leaves (approximately 5 cm in length) of these two species and then sequenced by an Illumina HiSeq 2500 analyzer. In total, 6,698,595 and 7,177,444 raw reads were obtained (Table 1). The raw reads were further cleaned and trimmed by removing the low-quality reads and sequences that were either smaller than 18 nt or longer than 30 nt. Then, the clean reads were aligned with the Silva, GtRNAdb, Rfam and Repbase databases to filter the ribosomal RNA (rRNA), transfer RNA (tRNA), small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) and the repeats, which in total, accounted for approximately 45.32% and 46.68% of the clean reads from the two libraries. Finally, a total of 1,761,554 and 1,687,208 clean unannotated reads were obtained for the two libraries (Table 1).

We investigated the length distribution of the small RNA reads (Fig. 1a and b). The most abundant small RNA in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* was 21 nt in length, accounting for 55.78% and 60.58% of total sRNA reads, respectively. The second most abundant small RNA in *C. gynandra* was 20 nt, representing 10.91% of the total sRNA reads. In comparison, the second most abundant small RNA in *C. hassleriana* was 29 nt, representing 9.81% of total sRNA reads (Supplementary Table 1).

Identification of known miRNAs in *C. gynandra* **and** *C. hassleriana*. *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* are members of *Cleome*, which is the most closely related genus to *Arabidopsis thaliana*^{5,7}. Previous studies showed that *C. gynandra*, *C. hassleriana* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* had high sequence similarities⁸. We could use the well-annotated genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to identify the miRNAs and further investigate their expression in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*¹⁸.

The high-quality unannotated sRNA reads, which ranged from 18 to 30 nt, were aligned against the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. The mapped reads were further aligned with miRBase21.0 to identify the known miRNAs. In total, 94 and 102 known miRNAs were identified in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, respectively, which were members of 32 plant conserved families (Table 2). Ninety-one known miRNAs were commonly identified in both *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* (Table 2). Among these miRNA families, MIR156 contained the most abundant members, including nine members in *C. hassleriana* and eight members in *C. gynandra*. The second most abundant was the MIR166 family, which contained eight members in *C. hassleriana* and seven members in *C. gynandra*. Certain miRNA families were only detected in one species. For example, MIR397 and MIR828 were specific to *C. hassleriana*, while MIR161 and MIR8175 were specific to *C. gynandra* (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Based on the number of sequencing reads, the miRNA families displayed a significantly varied abundance (Table 2). The miRNA families MIR159, MIR164, MIR166, MIR167 and MIR168 showed a higher expression level in both *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*. MIR156, MIR157, MIR390, MIR393 and MIR396 also showed





Figure 1. Length distribution and abundance of the small RNA sequences in *Cleome gynandra* and *Cleome hassleriana*. Percentage (line) and reads number (bar) of redundant (**a**) and mapped unique (**b**) sequences of 18–30 nt length for each sequenced library.

an average expression level of more than 1,000 TPM in both species. In contrast, certain miRNA families, such as MIR161, MIR170, MIR171, MIR395, MIR397 and MIR399, were observed to have a low expression level (Table 2).

Identification of novel miRNAs in *C. gynandra* **and** *C. hassleriana*. To investigate the novel miR-NAs in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, the unannotated sRNA sequences were used to predict novel miRNA by exploring the hairpin structures based on comparisons with the genome sequence of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the Dicer cleavage site and the minimum free energy using miREvo and mirdeep2 software. Finally, only four novel miRNA candidates were identified and temporarily named in the format of Cleome-novel-miR-number (Table 3). Cleome_novel_miR1 and Cleome_novel_miR2 were detected in both *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*. These novel miRNAs showed a relatively low expression level in both species (Table 3).

Differentially expressed miRNAs between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*. In total, sixty-seven differentially expressed miRNAs were identified between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, 21 of which were down-regulated and 46 were up-regulated in *C. gynandra* compared with those in *C. hassleriana* (Fig. 2a and b).

Among these miRNAs, some showed drastically changed expression patterns. For example, the expression levels of two members of the miR165 family, Cleome-miR165a-3p and Cleome-miR165b, were both reduced nearly ten-fold in *C. gynandra* compared with those in *C. hassleriana* (Supplementary Table 2); Cleome-miR159c had a greater than ten-fold higher expression level in *C. gynandra* than that in *C. hassleriana* (Supplementary Table 2); Cleome-miR159c had a greater than ten-fold higher expressed in a species-specific manner. For example, Cleome_novel_miR3, Cleome-miR8175 and Cleome-miR169c were only detected in *C. gynandra*, while Cleome-miR169a-3p, Cleome-miR397a, Cleome_novel_miR4, Cleome-miR398b-3p and Cleome-miR398c-3p were only expressed in *C. hassleriana* (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 2). Some miRNAs that belong to the same miRNA family exhibited different expression patterns. For example, three members of the MIR395 family, Cleome-miR395a, Cleome-miR395b, Cleome-miR395c and Cleome-miR395f, were down-regulated (Supplementary Table 2).

We identified 194 putative target genes for the differentially expressed miRNAs that were identified in our study (Supplementary Table 3). Külahoglu *et al.* (2014) had investigated the dynamic changes in the gene expression levels during leaf development between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* by high-throughput sequencing¹⁹. We analyzed these publicly available RNA-seq datasets to explore the expression levels of our putative target genes. In total, 51 of the 194 genes were found to be differentially expressed between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, 38 of which had opposite miRNAs expression patterns between these two species (Supplementary Table 4). These 38 target genes were found to be the targets of 8 conserved and 1 putative novel miRNA families, including Cleome-miR156a-5p, Cleome-miR160a-5p, Cleome-miR164a, Cleome-miR171b-3p, Cleome-miR319c, Cleome-miR395a, Cleome-miR396a-5p and Cleome_novel_miR1 (Supplementary Table 4).

Function analysis of the identified miRNAs. To investigate the roles of the miRNAs in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, the putative target homolog genes of these miRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* were predicted and analyzed using miRNA family assignment. Two hundred twenty-eight putative target genes were predicted for 68 miRNAs in *C. gynandra*. In addition, two hundred twenty-three target genes were predicted for 72 miRNAs in *C. hassleriana* (Table 4). Of the known miRNAs, the MIR156 family could target the most abundant genes, which has 15 putative target genes. The second most abundant family was the MIR161 family, which could match 13 putative target genes. Notably, the novel miRNAs, cleome_novel_miR1 and Cleome_novel_miR3 were predicted to have target genes. Notably, the novel miRNA, Cleome_novel_miR1, could match 129 putative target genes (Supplementary Table 3). No target genes were found for the remaining 29 miRNAs in *C. gynandra* and 33 miRNAs in *C. hassleriana*.

| | | | | ТРМ | |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| miRNA family | miRNA members | Reference miRNA of Arabidopsis | miRNA sequence | Cleome gynandra | Cleome hassleriana |
| | Cleome-miR156a-5p | ath-miR156a-5p | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 1791.13 | 361.33 |
| | Cleome-miR156b-5p | ath-miR156b-5p | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 1787.50 | 359.85 |
| | Cleome-miR156c-5p | ath-miR156c-5p | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 1791.13 | 361.33 |
| | Cleome-miR156d-5p | ath-miR156d-5p | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 2103.58 | 352.48 |
| MIR156 | Cleome-miR156e | ath-miR156e | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 1776.60 | 352.48 |
| | Cleome-miR156f-5p | ath-miR156f-5p | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 1776.60 | 352.48 |
| | Cleome-miR156g | ath-miR156g | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | 25.43 | 8.85 |
| | Cleome-miR156h | ath-miR156h | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | 0 | 7.374 |
| | Cleome-miR156j | ath-miR156j | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGAGCAC | 3.63 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR157a-3p | ath-miR157a-3p | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | 0 | 1.4748 |
| MIR157 | Cleome-miR157a-5p | ath-miR157a-5p | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | 1733.00 | 5276.87 |
| | Cleome-miR157b-3p | ath-miR157b-3p | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | 0 | 1.4748 |
| | Cleome-miR157b-5p | ath-miR157b-5p | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | 1733.00 | 5276.87 |
| | Cleome-miR157c-5p | ath-miB157c-5p | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | 1827.46 | 5980 35 |
| | Cleome-miR157d | ath-miR157d | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | 316.08 | 511.76 |
| | Cleome-miR159a | ath-miR159a | | 12091.05 | 20914.26 |
| MIR159 | Cleome-miR159h-3n | ath-miR159h-3n | | 2368.80 | 2325 77 |
| WII(15) | Cleome miP159c | ath miP159c | | 6081.85 | 556.00 |
| | Clearne miR160a 2n | ath miR160a 2n | | 048.25 | 42.77 |
| | Cleome miR160a-5p | ath miR160a-5p | UCCUCCUCCUCUCUCAUA | 1251 52 | 42.77 |
| MIR160 | Cleoma miR160h | ath miR160b | UGCCUGGCUCCCUGUAUGCCA | 1331.32 | 162.22 |
| | Cleome-miR1600 | ath-miR160b | UGCCUGGCUCCCUGUAUGCCA | 12/1.59 | 162.23 |
| MID161 | Cleome-miR160c-5p | ath-miR160c-5p | | 1351.52 | 168.13 |
| MIKI6I | Cleome-mik161.2 | | | 3.63 | 0 |
| | Cleome-miR162a-3p | ath-miR162a-3p | UCGAUAAACCUCUGCAUCCAG | 715.73 | 541.25 |
| MIR162 | Cleome-miR162a-5p | ath-miR162a-5p | | 276.12 | 79.64 |
| | Cleome-miR162b-3p | ath-miR162b-3p | UCGAUAAACCUCUGCAUCCAG | 715.73 | 541.25 |
| | Cleome-miR162b-5p | ath-miR162b-5p | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | 257.95 | 79.64 |
| MIR164 | Cleome-miR164a | ath-miR164a | UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA | 4781.19 | 9599.53 |
| | Cleome-miR164b-5p | ath-miR164b-5p | UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA | 4879.29 | 9729.31 |
| | Cleome-miR164c-5p | ath-miR164c-5p | UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCG | 105.36 | 126.83 |
| MIR165 | Cleome-miR165a-3p | ath-miR165a-3p | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUCCCCC | 279.75 | 2572.07 |
| | Cleome-miR165b | ath-miR165b | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUCCCCC | 279.75 | 2567.64 |
| | Cleome-miR166a-3p | ath-miR166a-3p | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 122665.26 | 119371.02 |
| | Cleome-miR166b-3p | ath-miR166b-3p | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 122483.61 | 119376.92 |
| | Cleome-miR166c | ath-miR166c | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 125281.11 | 136788.52 |
| MIR166 | Cleome-miR166d | ath-miR166d | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 125281.11 | 136794.41 |
| | Cleome-miR166e-3p | ath-miR166e-3p | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 122549.00 | 119472.79 |
| | Cleome-miR166e-5p | ath-miR166e-5p | GGAAUGUUGUCUGGCACGAGG | 0 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR166f | ath-miR166f | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 122545.37 | 119475.74 |
| | Cleome-miR166g | ath-miR166g | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC | 125346.51 | 136890.28 |
| | Cleome-miR167a-5p | ath-miR167a-5p | UGAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUA | 22623.48 | 5222.30 |
| MIR167 | Cleome-miR167b | ath-miR167b | UGAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUA | 22568.98 | 5214.92 |
| | Cleome-miR167c-5p | ath-miR167c-5p | UAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUUG | 14.53 | 4.42 |
| | Cleome-miR167d | ath-miR167d | UGAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUGG | 4490.54 | 1278.66 |
| MIR168 | Cleome-miR168a-3p | ath-miR168a-3p | CCCGCCUUGCAUCAACUGAAU | 406.91 | 622.37 |
| | Cleome-miR168a-5p | ath-miR168a-5p | UCGCUUGGUGCAGGUCGGGAA | 2786.61 | 6180.92 |
| | Cleome-miR168b-5p | ath-miR168b-5p | UCGCUUGGUGCAGGUCGGGAA | 2862.90 | 6310.71 |
| | Cleome-miR169a-3p | ath-miR169a-3p | GGCAAGUUGUCCUUGGCUAC | 0 | 8.85 |
| | Cleome-miR169a-5p | ath-miR169a-5p | CAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCGA | 3.63 | 8.85 |
| | Cleome-miR169b-5p | ath-miR169b-5p | CAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCGG | 174.39 | 8.85 |
| MID160 | Cleome-miR169c | ath-miR169c | CAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCGG | 130.79 | 0 |
| MIR169 | Cleome-miR169d | ath-miR169d | UGAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCG | 0 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR169e | ath-miR169e | UGAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCG | 0 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR169f-5p | ath-miR169f-5p | UGAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCG | 47.23 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR169g-5p | ath-miR169g-5p | UGAGCCAAGGAUGACUUGCCG | 43.60 | 1.47 |
| Continued | · | | | | |

| | | | | TPM | |
|---|--------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| miRNA family | miRNA members | Reference miRNA of Arabidopsis | miRNA sequence | Cleome gynandra | Cleome hassleriana |
| MIR170 | Cleome-miR170-5p | ath-miR170-5p | UAUUGGCCUGGUUCACUCAGA | 72.66 | 58.99 |
| | Cleome-miR171a-3p | ath-miR171a-3p | UGAUUGAGCCGCGCCAAUAUC | 3.63 | 1.47 |
| | Cleome-miR171a-5p | ath-miR171a-5p | UAUUGGCCUGGUUCACUCAGA | 69.03 | 58.99 |
| MIR171 | Cleome-miR171b-3p | ath-miR171b-3p | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | 112.63 | 39.82 |
| | Cleome-miR171c-3p | ath-miR171c-3p | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | 112.63 | 39.82 |
| | Cleome-miR171c-5p | ath-miR171c-5p | AGAUAUUGGUGCGGUUCAAUC | 36.33 | 28.02 |
| | Cleome-miR172a | ath-miR172a | AGAAUCUUGAUGAUGCUGCAU | 846.52 | 886.36 |
| | Cleome-miR172b-3p | ath-miR172b-3p | AGAAUCUUGAUGAUGCUGCAU | 846.52 | 886.36 |
| MIR172 | Cleome-miR172c | ath-miR172c | AGAAUCUUGAUGAUGCUGCAG | 14.53 | 10.32 |
| | Cleome-miR172d-3p | ath-miR172d-3p | AGAAUCUUGAUGAUGCUGCAG | 14.53 | 8.85 |
| | Cleome-miR172e-3p | ath-miR172e-3p | GGAAUCUUGAUGAUGCUGCAU | 3.63 | 5.90 |
| | Cleome-miR2111a-3p | ath-miR2111a-3p | GUCCUCGGGAUGCGGAUUACC | 14.53 | 1.47 |
| MIR2111 | Cleome-miR2111a-5p | ath-miR2111a-5p | UAAUCUGCAUCCUGAGGUUUA | 65.40 | 7.37 |
| MIR171 MIR172 MIR2111 MIR319 MIR390 MIR393 MIR394 MIR395 MIR395 MIR395 MIR395 | Cleome-miR2111b-5p | ath-miR2111b-5p | UAAUCUGCAUCCUGAGGUUUA | 61.76 | 4.42 |
| | Cleome-miR319a | ath-miR319a | UUGGACUGAAGGGAGCUCCCU | 185.29 | 1.47 |
| MIR319 | Cleome-miR319b | ath-miR319b | UUGGACUGAAGGGAGCUCCCU | 207.09 | 1.47 |
| MIR319 | Cleome-miR319c | ath-miR319c | UUGGACUGAAGGGAGCUCCUU | 18.17 | 47.19 |
| | Cleome-miR390a-3p | ath-miR390a-3p | CGCUAUCCAUCCUGAGUUUCA | 21.80 | 10.32 |
| MIR390 | Cleome-miR390a-5p | ath-miR390a-5p | AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCGCC | 1892.86 | 1259.49 |
| | Cleome-miR390b-5p | ath-miR390b-5p | AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCGCC | 1892.86 | 1259.49 |
| | Cleome-miR393a-5p | ath-miR393a-5p | UCCAAAGGGAUCGCAUUGAUCC | 1169.87 | 373.13 |
| MIR393 | Cleome-miR393b-5p | ath-miR393b-5p | UCCAAAGGGAUCGCAUUGAUCC | 1169.87 | 373.13 |
| MIR394 | Cleome-miR394a | ath-miR394a | UUGGCAUUCUGUCCACCUCC | 461.41 | 168.13 |
| | Cleome-miR394b-5p | ath-miR394b-5p | UUGGCAUUCUGUCCACCUCC | 461.41 | 168.13 |
| | Cleome-miR395a | ath-miR395a | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | 21.80 | 4.42 |
| | Cleome-miR395b | ath-miR395b | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGGGACUC | 29.07 | 159.28 |
| | Cleome-miR395c | ath-miR395c | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGGGACUC | 29.07 | 159.28 |
| MIR395 | Cleome-miR395d | ath-miR395d | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | 21.80 | 4.42 |
| | Cleome-miR395e | ath-miR395e | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | 21.80 | 4.42 |
| | Cleome-miR395f | ath-miR395f | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGGGACUC | 29.07 | 159.28 |
| | Cleome-miR396a-3p | ath-miR396a-3p | GUUCAAUAAAGCUGUGGGAAG | 1071.77 | 446.87 |
| MIDDOC | Cleome-miR396a-5p | ath-miR396a-5p | UUCCACAGCUUUCUUGAACUG | 5649.51 | 2558.79 |
| MIR396 | Cleome-miR396b-3p | ath-miR396b-3p | GCUCAAGAAAGCUGUGGGAAA | 203.46 | 337.73 |
| | Cleome-miR396b-5p | ath-miR396b-5p | UUCCACAGCUUUCUUGAACUU | 1594.94 | 1402.54 |
| MIR397 | Cleome-miR397a | ath-miR397a | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | 0 | 10.32 |
| | Cleome-miR398a-3p | ath-miR398a-3p | UGUGUUCUCAGGUCACCCCUU | 101.73 | 42.77 |
| MIR398 | Cleome-miR398b-3p | ath-miR398b-3p | UGUGUUCUCAGGUCACCCCUG | 0 | 197.62 |
| | Cleome-miR398c-3p | ath-miR398c-3p | UGUGUUCUCAGGUCACCCCUG | 0 | 197.62 |
| | Cleome-miR399b | ath-miR399b | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | 18.17 | 30.97 |
| MIR399 | Cleome-miR399c-3p | ath-miR399c-3p | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | 21.80 | 26.55 |
| | Cleome-miR399d | ath-miR399d | UGCCAAAGGAGAUUUGCCCCG | 7.27 | 17.70 |
| | Cleome-miR399f | ath-miR399f | UGCCAAAGGAGAUUUGCCCGG | 18.17 | 11.80 |
| MIR403 | Cleome-miR403-3p | ath-miR403-3p | UUAGAUUCACGCACAAACUCG | 980.94 | 2153.22 |
| MIR408 | Cleome-miR408-3p | ath-miR408-3p | AUGCACUGCCUCUUCCCUGGC | 18.17 | 1588.37 |
| MIR8175 | Cleome-miR8175 | ath-miR8175 | GAUCCCCGGCAACGGCGCCA | 10.90 | 0 |
| MIR824 | Cleome-miR824-5p | 5p ath-miR824-5p UAGACCAUUUGUGAGAAGGGA | | 1860.16 | 920.28 |
| MIR827 | Cleome-miR827 | ath-miR827 | UUAGAUGACCAUCAACAAACU | 559.50 | 244.82 |
| MIR828 | Cleome-miR828 | ath-miR828 | UCUUGCUUAAAUGAGUAUUCCA | 0 | 1.47 |
| MIDOEO | Cleome-miR858a | ath-miR858a | UUUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUU | 185.29 | 535.36 |
| IVIIN030 | Cleome-miR858b | ath-miR858b | UUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUUG | 610.37 | 536.83 |

Table 2. Known miRNAs identified in Cleome gynandra and Cleome hassleriana.

GO categories and KEGG pathway analyses were performed for the differentially expressed miRNAs between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* based on the annotations of their putative target homolog genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. For the biological processes, the enriched GO terms included cellular process, single-organism process, metabolic process, biological regulation, response to stimulus, etc. For the molecular function, these target genes





| | | ТРМ | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| miRNA name | miRNA sequence | Cleome gynandra | Cleome hassleriana |
| Cleome_novel_miR1 | uuuccuucuuguugc | 69.03 | 14.75 |
| Cleome_novel_miR2 | uucguccccacagacggcgcca | 374.21 | 97.34 |
| Cleome_novel_miR3 | cgauccccggcaacggugcca | 7.27 | 0 |
| Cleome_novel_miR4 | acaggugguggaacaaauaugagu | 0 | 16.22 |

Table 3. Novel miRNA candidates identified in Cleome gynandra and Cleome hassleriana.

| Species | Number of all miRNAs | Number of miRNAs with Target genes | Number of Target genes |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Cleome gynandra | 97 | 68 | 228 |
| Cleome hassleriana | 105 | 72 | 223 |
| Total | 109 | 76 | 238 |

 Table 4.
 Summary of the putative target genes of the miRNAs in Cleome gynandra and Cleome hassleriana.

were mainly enriched in binding, catalytic activity, and nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity. For the cell component category, the target genes were mainly involved in cell, cell part and organelle part (Fig. 3a). The KEGG pathway analysis showed that these target genes were enriched in twenty pathways, including pathways for





Figure 3. GO categories and KEGG pathway analysis for the putative target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs in *Cleome gynandra* and *Cleome hassleriana*. (a) GO categories for the putative target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs involved in biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions. (b) KEGG pathway analysis for the putative target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs involved in processes.

plant hormone signal transduction, ribosome, starch and sucrose metabolism, nitrogen metabolism, porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism, and sulfur metabolism (Fig. 3b).

Quantitative real-time PCR of miRNAs. To confirm the expression patterns of the differentially expressed miRNAs that were obtained from the sequencing analysis, we confirmed the expression levels of fourteen miRNAs using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and compared the differences in their expression levels between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* (Fig. 4). The results showed that 13 of the14 miRNAs had expression patterns that were similar to those of the sequencing data, which indicated that our sequencing data were reliable.

Discussion

In this study, we used two closely related C_4 and C_3 species, *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, to explore the genetic difference between C_4 and C_3 plants using high-throughput sequencing technology. Two small RNA libraries were constructed and sequenced. The obtained small RNA sequences were between 18–29 nt in length. Generally, the 21 nt small RNAs represent typical, mature miRNAs in plants. The most abundant small RNAs in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* were 21 nt in length, accounting for 55.78% and 60.58% of the total small RNAs, respectively. This result was consistent with that in the maize leaf²⁰. The second most abundant sRNA in *C. gynandra* was 20 nt in length, while the second most abundant sRNA in *C. hassleriana* was 29 nt in length (Table S2). In some plants,



Figure 4. Quantitative analysis of the fourteen miRNAs levels by poly-A tail extension qRT-PCR in the leaf of *Cleome gynandra* and *Cleome hassleriana*. U6 was used as the internal control. The line represents the fold change of the expression level by sRNA-seq.

- - - - -

such as soybeans, peanuts, sweet oranges and rice, the lengths of the most and second most abundant small RNAs were 24 nt and 21 nt, respectively^{21–25}. The different length distributions of the small RNAs indicate the different characteristics of the small RNAs among various plant species.

MiRNAs are important post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression and play important roles in biological processes, including plant development^{10,15,17,26–28}. Since the first plant miRNAs were reported in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in 2002, various miRNAs have been identified²⁹. For example, Zhao *et al.* (2010) reported 75 conserved miRNAs and 14 novel miRNAs in peanuts²¹; Kang *et al.* (2012) detected 125 and 127 known miRNAs in maize seeds and leaves, respectively²⁰; and Wang *et al.* (2015) discovered 95 known miRNAs and 23 novel miRNA candidates in cotton seeds³⁰. Here, in our study, 94 known and 3 novel miRNAs were identified in *C. gynandra*, and 102 known and 3 novel miRNAs were identified in *C. hassleriana.* Ninety-one known and 2 novel miRNAs were commonly detected in both species. Our study is the first to report the identification of miRNAs in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana*, which are valuable additions to the plant miRNA kingdom.

Some miRNAs in our study showed species-specific expression patterns. For example, Cleome-miR161.2 was present only in *C. gynandra*, and Cleome-miR397a was present only in *C. hassleriana* (Table 2). MiR161 has two forms, miR161.1 and miR161.2, which target *PPR-P* and regulate cytoplasmic male sterility^{31–33}. OsmiR397 targets the laccase-like gene *OsLAC* and affects grain size and panicle branching³⁴. The roles of these species-specific miRNAs in the development and function of leaf tissues in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* need to be investigated in the future.

In this study, most of the miRNAs, approximately 61.5%, were differentially expressed between C. gynandra and C. hassleriana. Of these miRNAs, some were reported to participate in the cell biology and development process of the leaf. For example, the MIR165 family directly cleaves the adaxial identity genes REV (AT5G60690) and PHB (AT2G34710) and was involved in the process of leaf morphogenesis^{15,35}. In our study, the expression levels of two members of the MIR165 family, Cleome-miR165a-3p and Cleome-miR165b, were both reduced nearly ten-fold in C. gynandra (Supplementary Table 2). This expression pattern might be associated with the specified morphogenesis of the C_4 leaf in C. gynandra. miR164 mediates the cleavage of NAC1 (AT1G56010) and NAC2 (AT5G39610), which were involved in the processes of lateral root emergence and aging-induced cell death and leaf senescence, respectively^{36,37}. The over-expression of ath-miR164a repressed the EIN3-induced early senescence phenotypes in Arabidopsis thaliana³⁸. Whether miR164 still functions as an aging regulator or has a new function in the C_4 pathway needs to be further investigated. We also found that some miRNAs that belong to the same miRNA family have different expression patterns between C. gynandra and C. hassleriana. For example, the Cleome-miR395a/d/e was significantly up-regulated, while Cleome-miR395b/c/f was significantly down-regulated in C. gynandra compared with those in C. hassleriana (Supplementary Table 2). The target genes of miR395 include GUN5 (AT5G13630), APS1 (AT3G22890) and AST68 (AT5G10180), which were reported to be involved in chlorophyll synthesis, the abscisic acid (ABA) pathway, and the sulfate metabolism pathway³⁸⁻⁴⁰. The diverse expression patterns of miRNAs suggested an orchestrated temporal and spatial regulation of the gene expression levels in various biological processes between these two species at the post-transcriptional level. One hundred ninety-four putative target genes were predicted for the identified miRNAs in our study (Supplementary Table 3). Among them, 38 were confirmed to have expression patterns that were opposite to those of the miRNAs in the publicly available data¹⁹, which indicated that these 38 genes might be regulated by miRNAs (Supplementary Table 4). We investigated the expression patterns of the putative target genes mentioned above and found that the target of miR164, NAC1 (AT1G56010), and the two targets of miR395, APS1 (AT3G22890) and AST68 (AT5G10180), were among the 38 genes that had expression patterns that were opposite to those of the miRNAs in the published data (Supplementary Table 4). However, the two targets of miR165, REV (AT5G60690) and PHB (AT2G34710), one target of miR164, NAC2 (AT5G39610), and one target of miR395, GUN5 (AT5G13630), had no such expression patterns. The miRNA-mediated gene regulation is complicated, which means that it might not cause the down-regulation of the target genes, e.g., translational inhibition⁴¹. In addition, different leaf samples and growth conditions were applied in the previous and our experiments. These differences might be the reasons why large numbers of target genes were not found to be differentially expressed or have opposite miRNAs expression patterns. To obtain more reliable results, small RNAome, transcriptome and degradome sequencings must be simultaneously conducted using the same leaf samples in the future.

The functions of differentially expressed miRNAs were investigated according to the annotation information for *Arabidopsis* (Table 4). However, there were still 29 miRNAs in *C. gynandra* that were not predicted to have target genes. These miRNAs might target species-specific genes, which could be validated in the future when the genome sequence and gene annotation of *C. gynandra* are available.

In this study, we explored the differences in the characteristics of miRNAs between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* using high-throughput sequencing technology. In addition to the identified known and novel miRNAs, the differentially expressed miRNAs and their functions were investigated in these two species. Because two different species were used, some of the observed differences in the miRNAs may be due to the differences in the genetic backgrounds rather than the differences between C_3 and C_4 photosynthesis. Future studies about the functions of these differentially expressed miRNAs will be helpful to clarify the question above and confirm the roles of these miRNAs in the process of photosynthesis. Our study not only provides a foundation for the further elucidation of miRNA function in *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* but is also helpful for scientists to obtain some insights into the alteration in molecular pathways during the evolution of the C_3 pathways to C_4 pathways.

Methods

Plant material and RNA isolation. *C. gynandra* (also known as *Gynandropsis gynandra*) and *C. hassleriana* (also known as *Tarenaya hassleriana*) were grown in a standard potting mix in a 25 °C greenhouse under a 16:8 (light: dark) photoperiod. The fully expanded leaves (approximately 5 cm in length) were collected, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. The total RNA was isolated from the leaves using TRIzol reagent (Life Technology, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The total RNA concentration and purity were assayed with a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). The RNA integrity was assessed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer Lab-on-Chip system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Small RNA fractions of 10–40 nucleotides were isolated from the total RNA pool with a Novex 15% TBE-urea gel (Invitrogen, USA).

Library construction and high-throughput sequencing of small RNAs. The small RNA (sRNA) sequencing libraries were generated using the NEBNex Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were quantified on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Waldbroon, Germany) system using DNA High Sensitivity Chips and were pooled so that each index-tagged sample was present in equimolar amounts. The clustering of the pooled index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using TruSeq SR Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumia, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After the cluster generation, the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform, and 50 bp single-end reads were generated. The dataset has been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; accession number SRR5298259, SRR5298260).

Data processing. The raw data (raw reads) were first processed through custom scripts. In this step, clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing the reads that contained ploy-N, 3'adapter and insert tags, and low-quality reads from the raw data. The remaining unique reads were mapped to the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome sequence by Bowtie2⁴². Then, the mapped sRNA reads were aligned with the Silva, GtRNAdb, Rfam and Repbase databases by Bowtie2 to remove the reads that originated from repeat sequences, rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, and snoRNA. The remaining clean unannotated reads could be used to detect the known and novel miRNAs.

The mapped clean unannotated sRNA reads were further used to identify the known miRNA with alignment against miRBase21.0 (http://www.mirbase.org/), mirdeep2⁴³ and srna-tools-cli software. Matched sequences with no more than two mismatches were considered known miRNAs. The available software miREvo⁴⁴ and mirdeep2 were integrated to predict novel miRNA through exploring the hairpin structure, the Dicer cleavage site and the minimum free energy of the small RNA unannotated reads.

Expression and function of miRNAs. The MiRNA expression levels were estimated for each sample through the following steps: First, the sRNA sequences were mapped back onto the precursor sequence, the counts of the reads for each miRNA were obtained from the mapping results, and the TPM (transcripts per million) values of each miRNA were calculated⁴⁵. The differential expression analysis of the miRNAs between the *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* samples was performed using the DEseq⁴⁶ R package. The P-value was adjusted using the q-value⁴⁷. FDR \leq 0.05 and $|\log 2(foldchange)| \geq 1$ were set as the threshold for significant differential expression by default.

The prediction of the putative target genes of the miRNAs was performed by psRobot_tar in psRobot⁴⁸ for plants based on a comparison with the annotations of *Arabidopsis* genome. A Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was used on the putative target genes of the miRNAs with the GOseq R packages based on the Wallenius non-central hyper-geometric distribution⁴⁹. The KOBAS⁵⁰ software was used to test the statistical enrichment of the putative target genes of the miRNAs in the KEGG pathways (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/)⁵¹.

Differential gene expression profiling. The dataset of the publicly available RNA-seq data for the leaves of *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* was downloaded from NCBI (accession number: SRP036637 and SRP036837)¹⁹. The differential expression analysis of genes between *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* was performed using the DEGseq R package⁵². The P-value was adjusted using the q-value⁴⁷. FDR \leq 0.01 and $|log2(foldchange)| \geq 1$ were set as the threshold for significant differential expression by default.

Quantitative real-time PCR. To confirm the predicted results, qRT-PCR for miRNAs detection was performed to examine their expression. Fourteen miRNAs were randomly selected for the qRT-PCR assays in the samples of *C. gynandra* and *C. hassleriana* by Platinum SYBR Green-based qPCR (Invitrogen, USA) with the High-Specificity miRNA QuantiMir RT Kit (RA610A-1, System Biosciences) on the ViiATM 7 Dx platform (ABI, USA). Amplified primers for all miRNAs were designed according to Varkonyi-Gasic *et al.*⁵³. The miRNA-specific forward primers of the 14 selected miRNAs and the internal control U6 are listed in the supplementary table (Supplementary Table 5). The qRT-PCR procedure was as follows: 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 30 s, and a final step at 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C 1 min and 95 °C for 15 s. After the qRT-PCR amplification, the melting curve and amplification curve were examined to evaluate the specific amplification. The relative expression levels of the miRNAs were analyzed by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta ct}$ method, and U6 was used as the internal control. All qRT-PCR reactions were assayed in triplicates.

References

- 1. Hatch, M. D. C4 photosynthesis: a unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy, and ultrastructure. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 895, 81–106 (1987).
- 2. Sage, R. F. The evolution of C4 photosynthesis. *New Phytologist* 161, 341–370 (2004).
- 3. Mitchell, P. L. & Sheehy, J. E. Supercharging rice photosynthesis to increase yield. New Phytol 171, 688-693 (2006).
- 4. Hibberd, J. M., Sheehy, J. E. & Langdale, J. A. Using C4 photosynthesis to increase the yield of rice: rationale and feasibility. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol* 11, 228–231 (2008).
- Brown, N. J., Parsley, K. & Hibberd, J. M. The future of C4 research: maize, Flaveria or Cleome? Trends in Plant Science 10, 215–221 (2005).
- Rathore, N. S., Rathore, N. & Shekhawat, N. S. In vitro propagation and micromorphological studies of Cleome gynandra: a C4 model plant closely related to Arabidopsis thaliana. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 35, 2691–2698 (2013).
- 7. Marshall, D. M. *et al.* Cleome, a genus closely related to Arabidopsis, contains species spanning a developmental progression from C₃ to C₄ photosynthesis. *Plant J* **51**, 886–896 (2007).
- 8. Brautigam, A. *et al.* An mRNA blueprint for C4 photosynthesis derived from comparative transcriptomics of closely related C3 and C4 species. *Plant Physiol* **155**, 142–156 (2011).
- 9. Bartel, D. P. MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. Cell 136, 215-233 (2009).
- 10. Palatnik, J. F. et al. Control of leaf morphogenesis by microRNAs. Nature 425, 257-263 (2003).
- 11. Brodersen, P. et al. Widespread Translational Inhibition by Plant miRNAs and siRNAs. Science 320, 1185-1190 (2008).
- 12. Lanet, E. et al. Biochemical Evidence for Translational Repression by Arabidopsis MicroRNAs. Plant Cell 21, 1762–1768 (2009).
- 13. Jover-Gil, S., Candela, H., Ponce, M. R., Candela, H. & Ponce, M. R. Plant microRNAs and development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 49, 733-744 (2005).
- 14. Budak, H. & Akpinar, B. A. Plant miRNAs: biogenesis, organization and origins. Funct Integr Genomics 15, 523-531 (2015).
- 15. Yu, L., Yu, X., Shen, R. & He, Y. HYL1 gene maintains venation and polarity of leaves. Planta 221, 231-42 (2005).
- 16. Schwab, R. et al. Specific effects of microRNAs on the plant transcriptome. Dev Cell 8, 517-527 (2005)
- 17. Juarez, M., Twigg, R. W. & Timmermans, M. C. P. Specification of adaxial cell fate during maize leaf development. *Development* 131, 4533–4544 (2004).
- Swarbreck, D. et al. The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): gene structure and function annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 36, D1009–D1014 (2008).
- Külahoglu C. et al. Comparative Transcriptome Atlases Reveal Altered Gene Expression Modules between Two Cleomaceae C₃ and C₄ Plant Species. Plant Cell 26, 3243–3260 (2014).
- Kang, M. M., Zhao, Q., Zhu, D. Y. & Yu, J. J. Characterization of microRNAs expression during maize seed development. BMC Genomics 13, 360; 10.1186/1471-2164-13-360 (2012).
- Zhao, C. Z. et al. Deep sequencing identifies novel and conserved microRNAs in peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.). BMC Plant Biol 10, 3; 10.1186/1471-2229-10-3 (2010).
- Song, Q. X. *et al.* Identification of miRNAs and their target genes in developing soybean seeds by deep sequencing. *BMC Plant Biol* 11, 5; 10.1186/1471-2229-11-5 (2011).
- Yi, R. et al. Identification and expression analysis of microRNAs at the grain filling stage in rice (Oryza sativa L.) via deep sequencing. PLoS One 8, e57863; 10.1371/journal.pone.0057863 (2013).
- 24. Li, T. *et al.* Identification and analysis of seven H2O2-responsive miRNAs and 32 new miRNAs in the seedlings of rice (Oryza sativa L. ssp. indica). *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, 2821–2833 (2011).
- Liu, Y. et al. Genome-wide comparison of microRNAs and their targeted transcripts among leaf, flower and fruit of sweet orange. BMC Genomics 15, 695; 10.1186/1471-2164-15-695 (2014).
- Lauter, N., Kampani, A., Carlson, S., Goebel, M. & Moose, S. P. microRNA 172 down-regulates glossy15 to promote vegetative phase change in maize. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 102, 9412–9417 (2005).
- Mallory, A. C., Dugas, D. U., Bartel, D. P. & Bartel, B. MicroRNA regulation of NAC-domain targets is required for proper formation and separation of adjacent embryonic vegetative, and floral organs. *Curr Biol* 14, 1035–1046 (2004a).
- Mallory, A. C. *et al.* MicroRNA control of PHABULOSA in leaf development: importance of pairing to the microRNA 5' region. *EMBO J* 23, 3356–3364 (2004b).
- 29. Reinhart, B. J., Weinstein, E. G., Rhoades, M. W., Bartel, B. & Bartel, D. P. MicroRNAs in plants. Genes Dev 16, 1616–1626 (2002).
- Wang, Y., Ding, Y., Yu, D., Xue, W. & Liu, J. High-throughput sequencing-based genome-wide identification of microRNAs expressed in developing cotton seeds. Sci China Life Sci 58, 778–786 (2015).
- 31. Rhoades, M. W. et al. Prediction of plant microRNA targets. Cell 110, 513-520 (2002).
- 32. Desloire, S. *et al.* Identification of the fertility restoration locus, Rfo, in radish, as a member of the pentatricopeptide-repeat protein family. *EMBO Rep* **4**, 588–594 (2003).
- Chase, C. D. Cytoplasmic male sterility: A window to the world of plant mitochondrial-nuclear interactions. Trends Genet 23, 81–90 (2007).
- Zhang, Y. C. et al. Overexpression of microRNA OsmiR397 improves rice yield by increasing grain size and promoting panicle branching. Nat Biotechnol 31, 848–852 (2013).
- 35. McConnell, J. R. Role of PHABULOSA and PHAVOLUTA in determining radial patterning in shoots. Nature 411, 709-713 (2001).
- 36. Kim, J. H. *et al.* Trifurcate Feed-Forward Regulation of Age-Dependent Cell Death Involving miR164 in Arabidopsis. *Science* **323**, 1053–1057 (2009).
- Guo, H. S., Xie, Q., Fei, J. F. & Chua, N. H. MicroRNA directs mRNA cleavage of the transcription factor NAC1 to downregulate auxin signals for arabidopsis lateral root development. *Plant Cell* 17, 1376–1386 (2005).
- Li, Z., Peng, J., Wen, X. & Guo, H. Ethylene-insensitive3 is a senescence-associated gene that accelerates age-dependent leaf senescence by directly repressing miR164 transcription in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25, 3311–3328 (2013).

- Lin, L. L. et al. Identification of microRNA 395a in 24-epibrassinolide-regulated root growth of Arabidopsis thaliana using microRNA arrays. Int J Mol Sci 14, 14270–14286 (2013).
- 40. Liang, G., Yang, F. & Yu, D. MicroRNA395 mediates regulation of sulfate accumulation and allocation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 62, 1046–1057 (2010).
- 41. Rogers, K. & Chen, X. Biogenesis, turnover, and mode of action of plant microRNAs. Plant Cell 25, 2383-99 (2013)
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* 10, 1–10 (2009).
- Friedlander, M. R., Mackowiak, S. D., Li, N., Chen, W. & Rajewsky, N. miRDeep2 accurately identifies known and hundreds of novel microRNA genes in seven animal clades. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, 37–52 (2011).
- 44. Wen, M., Shen, Y., Shi, S. & Tang, T. miREvo: An Integrative microRNA Evolutionary Analysis Platform for Next-generation Sequencing Experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13, 2–10 (2012).
- Zhou, L. *et al.* Integrated profiling of microRNAs and mRNAs: microRNAs located on Xq27.3 associate with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *PLoS One* 5, e15224; 10.1371/journal.pone.0015224 (2010).
- Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol* 11, R106; 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106 (2010).
- 47. Storey, J. D. The positive false discovery rate: A Bayesian interpretation and the q-value. Annals of Statistics 31, 2013–2035 (2003).
- Wu, H. J., Ma, Y. K., Chen, T., Wang, M. & Wang, X. J. PsRobot: a web-based plantsmall RNA meta-analysis toolbox. Nucleic Acids Res 40, W22–W28 (2012).
- Young, M. D., Wakefield, M. J., Smyth, G. K. & Oshlack, A. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. Genome Biology 11, R14; 10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14 (2010).
- Mao, X., Cai, T., Olyarchuk, J. G. & Wei, L. Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. *Bioinformatics* 21, 3787–3793 (2005).
- 51. Kanehisa, M. et al. KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. Nucleic Acids Res 36, D480-484 (2008).
- Wang, L., Feng, Z., Wang, X., Wang, X. & Zhang, X. DEGseq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNAseq data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 136–138 (2010).
- Varkonyigasic, E., Wu, R., Wood, M., Walton, E. F. & Hellens, R. P. Protocol: a highly sensitive RT-PCR method for detection and quantification of microRNAs. *Plant Methods* 3, 367–376 (2007).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 31371654, 31522042, 31501655 and 31501334], the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (C2015201176), the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars by State Education Ministry of China (2011–1139), the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, and the Wuhan Chenguang Plan (2015070404010193).

Author Contributions

S.G., W.Z. and Z.L. carried out the data analysis. W.Z. and Y.J wrote and revised the manuscript. X.L., Q.Y., X.S., W.G., S.W. and G.S. helped to analyze the data. Y.J. and Z.L conceived and coordinated the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Gao, S. *et al.* Identification and characterization of miRNAs in two closely related C_4 and C_3 species of Cleome by high-throughput sequencing. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46552; doi: 10.1038/srep46552 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017