

Review



Are We Closer to International Consensus on the Term 'Food Literacy'? A Systematic Scoping Review of Its Use in the Academic Literature (1998–2019)

Courtney Thompson ¹,*¹, Jean Adams ² and Helen Anna Vidgen ¹

- School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Victoria Park Road, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia; h.vidgen@qut.edu.au
- ² Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge CB2 0SL, UK; jma79@medschl.cam.ac.uk
- * Correspondence: c21.thompson@hdr.qut.edu.au

Abstract: (1) Background: The term 'food literacy' has gained momentum globally; however, a lack of clarity around its definition has resulted in inconsistencies in use of the term. Therefore, the objective was to conduct a systematic scoping review to describe the use, reach, application and definitions of the term 'food literacy' over time. (2) Methods: A search was conducted using the PRISMA-ScR guidelines in seven research databases without any date limitations up to 31 December 2019, searching simply for use of the term 'food literacy'. (3) Results: Five hundred and forty-nine studies were included. The term 'food literacy' was used once in 243 articles (44%) and mentioned by researchers working in 41 countries. Original research was the most common article type (n = 429, 78%). Food literacy was published across 72 In Cites disciplines, with 456 (83%) articles from the last 5 years. In articles about food literacy (n = 82, 15%), review articles were twice as prevalent compared to the total number of articles (n = 10, 12% vs. n = 32, 6%). Fifty-one different definitions of food literacy were cited. (4) Conclusions: 'Food literacy' has been used frequently and broadly across differing article types and disciplines in academic literature international agency is needed in order to progress the field.

Keywords: food literacy; systematic scoping review; definition; concepts; application

1. Introduction

While many aspects of the food system, such as availability, accessibility, price and affordability, have been explored and evaluated, there is a limited understanding of the relationship between these factors and people's food acquisition and consumption. The term 'food literacy' emerged in contemporary nutrition policies and plans as early as 1990 and in published literature from 2001 as the everyday skills, behaviour and knowledge needed by individuals to navigate the food environment and meet their nutrition and health needs [1–3].

The term 'food literacy' has been used by industry, public health nutrition organisations and policy-makers to encompass anything from food preparation to cooking skills, food science, household food production, food safety and food marketing [4]. It has gained momentum globally and been used to inform the development of questionnaires, observational studies and interventions by researchers in Australia [5], Italy [6], the Netherlands [7], South Africa [8], Switzerland [9], France [10], the United Kingdom (UK) [11] and the United States of America (USA) [12,13]. In 2017, Truman and colleagues [4] reported 38 novel definitions of food literacy. There are also numerous frameworks and models proposing relationships between food literacy and various food-related outcomes such as diet quality, nutrition behaviours, social connectedness and food security [14,15]. Despite food literacy



Citation: Thompson, C.; Adams, J.; Vidgen, H.A. Are We Closer to International Consensus on the Term 'Food Literacy'? A Systematic Scoping Review of Its Use in the Academic Literature (1998–2019). *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 2006. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu13062006

Academic Editor: Antoni Pons

Received: 13 April 2021 Accepted: 4 June 2021 Published: 10 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). having relevance across a broad range of countries and contexts, a lack of clarity around its definition, conceptualisation and operationalisation has resulted in inconsistencies in food literacy research. For example, current questionnaires developed to measure food literacy vary substantially, even among those citing the same definition and conceptualisation [16]. This lack of shared understanding of food literacy inhibits the synthesis of findings and limits the potential for leveraging food literacy to improve dietary intake and food security. Previous researchers have conducted reviews attempting to reach consensus on the definition and attributes of food literacy. However, limitations to Western countries [17], inclusion of a conceptualisation [4] or year of publication restrictions [18] means that the full breadth, reach and scope of the term 'food literacy' has yet to be explored.

Therefore, the present research goes beyond the existing works by looking broadly at the use of the term 'food literacy' throughout the whole peer-reviewed literature, regardless of context, to better understand its reach and application. Additionally, this article aimed to determine if 'food literacy' is a widely used and understood term to explore the potential for an internationally endorsed definition of food literacy. The objectives were to conduct a systematic scoping review to (1) describe frequency of the use and reach of the term 'food literacy', (2) identify changes in the use of the term over time and (3) describe how the term has been applied and defined within the literature.

2. Materials and Methods

This scoping review was planned and conducted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines [19].

2.1. Search Strategy

A systematic literature search was undertaken to identify peer-reviewed journal articles that used the term food literacy in their main text. The search term was simply 'food literacy' entered into the all fields or all articles search in the following databases: PubMed, ScienceDirect, Embase, Scopus, EBSCO (CINHAL + Medline), ProQuest and Google Scholar up to the 31st of December 2019. No date limitations were applied, and the term could appear anywhere in the text, excluding the reference list or footnotes.

2.2. Screening and Selection

Articles obtained from the search strategy were imported into EndNote [20], and duplicates were removed. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied at two stages of the review. In phase one, the abstract and title of the records were screened and excluded if they were: (1) not in English or a (2) book, (3) thesis or (4) not published in a peer-reviewed journal. In phase two, the full texts of articles were screened and excluded if: (1) they met the exclusion criteria of phase one, (2) the full text was not locally available or provided by authors via ResearchGate, (3) if the term 'food literacy' was not used and (4) if the term 'food literacy' was only found in the reference list or footnote of the article.

2.3. Data Extraction

To describe the frequency of use and reach of the term, the following data was extracted from each article:

(1). The number of times the term 'food literacy' appeared within the main text (i.e., title, abstract, body, tables and figures) was indicative of the authors' scale of understanding [21–24]. Neuendorf [25] proposed using variables to categorise the data, whereby Rossi and Macagno's [26] three-point scale of understanding was used: (i) weak understanding (articles that used the term 'food literacy' infrequently or inconsistently in varying contexts); (ii) acceptable understanding (articles that used the term 'food literacy' somewhat frequently, consistently and in relevant contexts) or (iii) strong understanding (articles that used the term 'food literacy' frequently and consistently in a relevant context) [21].

- (2). The country of affiliation of the first author of articles, categorised by: (i) continent and (ii) World Bank income group [27].
- (3). The article type, determined by the journal's classification of the type of article and categorised as: (i) original research, (ii) review articles, (iii) perspectives, (iv) short reports and communications, (v) case studies and (vi) unspecified.
- (4). The discipline, defined according to the journal's subject categories in In Cites, the Clarivate Analytics journal comparison database [28].

To describe the use of the term over time, the year of articles were extracted and cross-tabulated against the total number of articles, country of affiliation of the first authors of articles and the discipline of articles.

To describe how food literacy has been applied and defined, articles with a primary focus on food literacy, determined by the use of the term in the title, were collated and compared across article types [29]. The following information was also extracted:

- (1). Aims of the article, stated in either the abstract or introduction and categorised into:
 (i) expert opinion articles, (ii) definition articles, (iii) intervention/program articles,
 (iv) measurement articles and (v) observational articles.
- (2). The definition of food literacy, determined by either a direct quote or the first citation after the term 'food literacy' was first used, where differing versions of definitions attributed to the same source were combined. To compare the content of the most-cited definitions, a thematic analysis of the definitions conducted by Truman et al. [4] into the following six categories were reported here: (i) skills/behaviours (physical actions/abilities involving food), (ii) food/health choices (actions associated with informed choices around food use), (iii) culture (societal aspects of food), (iv) knowledge (ability to understand and seek information about food), (v) emotions (attitudes and motivation) and (vi) food systems (complexity of food systems, including environmental impact and food waste).

2.4. Data Analysis

All three authors independently reviewed the same random samples of 50 articles and applied the criteria in phases one and two. Discrepancies were discussed and the methods revised to reduce these. C.T. then extracted the data from all the articles using the revised methods. Data were charted and analysed using descriptive statistics.

3. Results

The search strategy identified 2086 unique records (see Figure 1). Five hundred and forty-nine articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in the subsequent analysis (see Supplementary Materials Table S1).

3.1. Frequency of Use and Reach of the Term

Refer to Table S1, tab 3.1a to 3.1d for the article analysis of this section.

The distribution of the frequency of the use of 'food literacy' within the articles was skewed (range 1–189, median = 2). The term 'food literacy' was used once in 243 of the 549 articles (44%) and between two and five times in 160 of the 549 articles (29%) (see Figure 2). Articles that suppressed the understanding of the construct by using the term infrequently, inconsistently and in varying contexts cited 'food literacy' between one and five times (n = 403, 73%). Some understanding of the construct and consistency in the frequency and relevance was identified in the articles that used the term between 6 and 43 times (n = 101, 18%), while the articles that were considered to improve understanding of the construct used the term more than 44 times in relevant and appropriate contexts (n = 45, 8%).

Nutrients 2021, 13, 2006



Figure 1. Prisma-ScR flow chart [30].

The term 'food literacy' was mentioned in academic literature by the first authors working in 41 countries (see Table A1) across all continents. The term was used most frequently by teams where the first author was located in Australia (n = 127), Canada (n = 116), the United States of America (n = 112), the United Kingdom (n = 37) and Italy (n = 18) (see Figure A1). Additionally, there was a spread of first authors from lower-middle income countries (n = 5), upper-middle income countries (n = 11) and high-income countries (n = 25) [27,31]. No first authors were working in low-income countries.

Original research was the most common article type (n = 429) and accounted for 78% of all the articles using the term 'food literacy'. There were 32 review articles published using the term 'food literacy' (6%); 27 perspectives, opinions or commentary pieces (5%) and 18 short reports or communications (3%). Case studies were the least common article type (n = 10, 2%). Thirty-three (6%) articles were not classified.



Figure 2. Frequency of the use of the term 'food literacy' within the articles containing the term (n = 549).

The term 'food literacy' was used in journals representing 72 of the 235 In Cites disciplines. These included agriculture, business, medicine, economics, education, environmental science, geography, hospitality, psychology/psychiatry, sociology and sports sciences. Overall, the term was used most frequently used in disciplines such as 'public, environmental and occupational health' and 'nutrition and dietetics' (see Table A2). The journals most frequently represented in these disciplines were *Nutrients* (n = 27), *Public Health Nutrition* (n = 16), *Appetite* (n = 16), *Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research* (n = 15) and *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* (n = 14).

3.2. Use of Term over Time

Refer to Table S1, tab 3.2a to 3.2d for the article analysis of this section.

The number of articles using the term 'food literacy' has increased over time (see Figure 3). Overall, 83% of articles using the term 'food literacy' occurred in the five years preceding this search (2015–2019).

The authors based in the USA were the first to publish on food literacy in 1998 (see Figure 4), with the authors based in North America the only continent represented between 1998 and 2004. The earliest article from a first author based in a non-English-speaking country, Italy, occurred in 2007, and the earliest article with a first author based in a low-middle income country came from Nigeria in 2011. There were no further works from first authors based in low-middle income countries until 2018.

The proportion of articles in each article type increased yearly, with the highest number of original research (n = 138), short reports (n = 7), review articles (n = 11), perspectives (n = 9) and case studies (n = 2) reported in 2019 (see Figure 5). Overall, 33 articles were 'not classified'.



Figure 3. Trends in articles published using the term 'food literacy' from 1998–2019 (n = 549).



Figure 4. Trends in the continents of the journal articles published using the term 'food literacy' from 1998–2019 (*n* = 549).



Figure 5. Trends in article types using the term 'food literacy' from 1998–2019 (n = 549).

The number of disciplines represented each year, with the most frequent disciplines highlighted, can be seen in Figure 6. The first article on food literacy from 1998 was in the discipline of 'cardiac and cardiovascular systems'. However, overall, the discipline of 'nutrition and dietetics' was the most highly represented (n = 136, 17%).



Figure 6. Trends in the disciplines of the articles published using the term 'food literacy' from 1998–2019 (n = 549).

3.3. Applications and Definitions of Food Literacy

Eighty-two articles (15%) used the term 'food literacy' in the title of the article. The number of times the term was used throughout these articles ranged from 6–189 (refer to Table S1 tab 3.3a to 3.3d for the article analysis of this section).

The distributions of article types between all the articles on food literacy (n = 549) and those primarily about food literacy (n = 82) were similar for most categories, with the exception of original research and review articles (see Table 1). The number of articles classified as original research was 13 percentage points less in articles primarily about food literacy compared to the total number of articles, while review articles were twice as prevalent in articles primarily about food literacy (12% vs. 6%).

Table 1. Article types of all articles (n = 549) in comparison to articles primarily focused on food literacy (n = 82).

Article Type	Food Literacy-Focused Articles $n = 82$ (%)	All Articles <i>n</i> = 549 (%)
Original research	53 (65)	429 (78)
Review article	10 (12)	32 (6)
Perspectives, opinions and commentaries	6 (7)	27 (5)
Short report and communications	4 (5)	18 (3)
Case study	2 (2)	10 (2)
Not classified	7 (9)	33 (6)

The aim of articles with a primary focus on food literacy can be seen in Table 2. Over half of articles primarily about food literacy were either opinion pieces or definition articles exclusively using experts as their research subjects (62%, n = 51), while 21% (n = 17) used participants exclusively from the general public. Observational and measurement articles had a combination of expert and general public involvement.

Table 2. A comparison of the aims of articles with a primary focus on food literacy.

Aim of Food Literacy Articles	Number of Articles in Each Category $n = 82$ (%)
Expert opinion articles ¹	27 (33)
Definition articles ²	24 (29)
Intervention/program articles ³	17 (21)
Measurement articles ⁴	9 (11)
Observational articles ⁵	5 (6)

¹ Conducted a study, usually with experts, or consulted the literature to inform future directions for food literacy research. ² Developed a definition of food literacy or conducted a review of the existing definitions. ³ Conducted a study and assessed the food literacy as part of a program/intervention. ⁴ Developed a food literacy questionnaire or conducted a review of existing questionnaires. ⁵ Cross-sectional data collection on food literacy.

The definitions used by articles when referencing food literacy are described in Table A3. Overall, there were 51 different definitions of food literacy cited by the authors of the 82 articles considered to be 'about' food literacy. The most frequently cited definition of food literacy was that of Vidgen and Gallegos (2014) [15] (n = 66, 41%). Other commonly cited definitions were Cullen et al. (2015) [18] with 12 citations (7%), Kolasa et al. (2001) [2] with 7 citations (4%) and Verlado (2015) [32] with 5 citations (3%). Thirty-three of the definitions were only cited once. Of the four definitions most frequently cited, none addressed all six categories of skills/behaviours, food/health choices, culture, knowledge, emotions and food systems (Table A3). The most comprehensive definitions met five categories [15,18], while the least only addressed two [2,32].

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to better understand the reach and application of the term 'food literacy' in order to progress the field. This study found that, while the term

'food literacy' has been used frequently and broadly throughout academic literature, there are inconsistencies in its application and definition.

4.1. Use of the Term

The term 'food literacy' is widely used and has been described in a variety of different research disciplines and plethora of contexts. This is not limited to just 'food', usually discussed in the context of nutrition and dietetics or public health, but also covers the 'literacy' aspect of the construct, within disciplines such as education, communication, literature, language and linguistics. Begley and Vidgen [3] indicated that this may reflect attempts by a range of food-related sectors to describe the totality of food and eating as opposed to focusing on the singular issue of maximising dietary quality for good health. The term has clearly resonated with researchers with a very wide range of interests, indicating some level of consensus that translates to interventional value.

The number of articles using the term 'food literacy' has increased over time. A substantial number of food literacy articles in the latter five years of our data collection period align with the emergence of key articles defining (2014–2017) [4,15,17,18], conceptualising (2016) [33] or measuring (2017 and 2018) [5–7,9] the construct. In 2016, Begley and Vidgen proposed that the interest in food literacy was driven by the increasing prevalence of diet-related disease and a recognition that contemporary nutrition science needs to look beyond the biological determinants [3]. While this is still the case, food literacy is now also discussed more broadly in the context of food environments and food security [7,17,34–36]. Additionally, the type of research on food literacy was increased across all categories over time. While the first few articles on food literacy were original research articles, subsequent initial articles were perspectives articles, and most reviews were published in the last six years. This progression is typical in fields of research, as review genres evaluate knowledge claims and draw on theories and methods to enhance their credibility [37].

The term 'food literacy' has been used in both national and international contexts, in both English and non-English-speaking countries of differing income statuses, highlighting the broad reach of the term. This is promising for international consensus of the construct. However, while the term appears to be used frequently throughout the literature, just under half of the articles included in this review cited the term 'food literacy' only once in the manuscript. According to Cukier and colleagues [21], the number of times a term appears provides insight into themes that dominate the discourse, and omissions that may suppress understanding. Given that majority of articles used the term so infrequently and, in some cases, just as a keyword suggests that it is often used as an indicator of a general topic area rather than a point of particular depth. This can make navigating food literacy literature particularly difficult and inefficient. Overall, widespread use of the term is not indicative of a shared understanding of the construct.

4.2. Consensus on Definitions and Conceptualisations

Twenty-four articles 'about' food literacy developed definitions or reviewed existing definitions of the construct, while 51 different definitions of food literacy were cited in the 82 articles 'about' food literacy.

While the Vidgen and Gallegos [15] definition was the most commonly cited, new definitions of food literacy are constantly emerging, encompassing broader conceptualisations of the term. These definitions are usually developed as a result of scoping or systematic reviews of the existing literature and expert consensus that tend to differ from the definitions developed in consultations with the general public. The literature-based definitions tend to be broader in scope, encompassing constructs such as food security and food environments. This requires the general public to meet higher levels of knowledge, skills or behaviours and have a more critical and active understanding of the food system in order to be considered 'food-literate', placing a higher onus on the individual [38].

In an international consensus study, Fingland et al. [38] found that, while some international researchers believe food literacy should extend beyond what is proposed in

the Vidgen and Gallegos [15] model, few disagree with the core domains and components of this conceptualisation (Figure A2). This provides a starting point for the development of international indicators of food literacy previously limited by inconsistent definitions and understandings of the construct across contexts.

We found no articles using the term 'food literacy' published by first authors based in low-income countries, which has been attributed to limited research budgets, low salaries, poor infrastructure and facilities and political instability [39]. However, since our search was conducted, articles from Ethiopia [40] and Uganda [41] have been published, further highlighting the relevance of the term.

Additionally, the recent COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the relevance of food literacy and the role it plays in: (i) planning, selecting and preparing healthy meals [42,43]; (ii) empowering individuals, households, communities or nations to navigate the complex food environments and protect diet quality through change [44,45] and (iii) manage the planning and preparation of food even when financial circumstances change, which may alleviate food insecurity [46].

Overall, this review found the term 'food literacy' widely used and understood, and there is agreement on a core conceptualisation. Therefore, international scholars across all income levels that have engaged in food literacy research, identified by Fingland et al.'s [38] work and the present review, could provide valuable insight into developing indicators of food literacy. The development of a definition and international indicators endorsed by a peak international agency would be integral in significantly advancing and progressing the field of food literacy. With previous constructs, such as food security [47,48] and sustainable healthy diets [49], a United Nations (UN) definition supported by an agreed set of principals has allowed for national and global systems and monitoring. Further to this, food systems monitoring by the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO) [50] has identified consumer behaviours as a driver of the food system; however, there have been no measures reported for assessing food acquisition, preparation, meal practices and storage: all key components of food literacy. Therefore, the development of measures to assess components of the food system also relies on progressing international consensus and indicators.

4.3. Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this research included adherence to the PRISMA-ScR guidelines and the broad scope of the review. The limitations include that this review was conducted up to 2019 and, therefore, did not describe the trajectory of food literacy during or post-COVID-19; however, a section was included to highlight relevant publications that have emerged since. The country of affiliation of the first author was not always the country where the research took place; this was a pragmatic decision due to the volume of articles coded but may particularly underrepresent countries where research is more often collaborative [39]. The article type was determined based on the journal's classification of the article; as a result, there may be misclassifications of some article types; however, this was for pragmatic reasons. The In Cites categories were used to determine the disciplines of the papers, however not all journals were in this database which limited the analysis in this study. Therefore, a more robust discipline or area of study categorisation is needed. The articles that were 'about' food literacy were identified by inclusion of the term in the title, and while other methods were piloted, they were not easily replicable. Finally, the articles were requested from ResearchGate if they were not locally available; however, this, combined with the articles restricted to the English language, may have limited the generalisability of our findings.

5. Conclusions

This was the first comprehensive scoping review of the use of the term 'food literacy' within the English-language peer-reviewed literature. In total, 549 peer-reviewed journal articles, published over 21 years, were identified that used the term 'food literacy'. The

term has been used frequently and broadly throughout the literature over time, though there are inconsistencies in its application and definition. Agreement on a standardised definition of food literacy endorsed by a peak international agency is needed in order to progress the field.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/nu13062006/s1: Table S1: All articles, journals and analysis.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, H.A.V.; methodology, C.T., J.A. and H.A.V.; writing—original draft preparation, C.T.; writing—review and editing, J.A. and H.A.V. and supervision, H.A.V. and J.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: C.T. was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship and the King and Amy O'Malley Trust postgraduate scholarship, which funded the cost of this manuscript. J.A. was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (grant number MC_UU_00006/7) and the Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), a UKCRC-funded Centre of Public Health Research Excellence. The funding for CEDAR from Cancer Research UK, British Heart Foundation, Economic and Social Research Council (grant number ES/G007462/1), Medical Research Council (grant number MR/K023187/1), National Institute for Health Research and Wellcome Trust (grant number 087636/Z/08/Z) is gratefully acknowledged.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are openly available in the Supplementary Material Table S1 associated with this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Continent	Country	Number of Articles	Income ¹
	Canada	116	HIC
	United States of America	112	HIC
North America	Mexico	1	UMIC
	Jamaica	1	UMIC
South America	Brazil	5	UMIC
	United Kingdom	37	HIC
	Italy	18	HIC
	Denmark	10	HIC
	Germany	10	HIC
	Netherlands	10	HIC
	Switzerland	10	HIC
	Portugal	9	HIC
_	Finland	6	HIC
Europe	France	6	HIC
	Turkey	6	UMIC
	Belgium	5	HIC
	Sweden	5	HIC
	Austria	3	HIC
	Norway	3	HIC
	Spain	3	HIC
	Croatia	1	HIC
	Romania	1	HIC

Table A1. Number of times the term 'food literacy' was cited in articles stratified by continent, country and income (*n* = 549).

Continent	Country	Number of Articles	Income ¹
	Iran	7	UMIC
	Japan	4	HIC
	India	3	LMIC
	Indonesia	3	UMIC
	Malaysia	3	UMIC
	Republic of Korea	3	HIC
Asia	Thailand	3	UMIC
A314	Russia	2	UMIC
	China	1	HIC
	Taiwan	1	HIC
	Hong Kong	1	HIC
	Vietnam	1	LMIC
	Lebanon	1	UMIC
	Philippines	1	LMIC
	South Africa	4	UMIC
Africa	Zimbabwe	1	LMIC
	Nigeria	1	LMIC
	Australia	127	HIC
Oceania	New Zealand	4	HIC

Table A1. Cont.

¹ HIC = high-income countries, UMIC = upper-middle income countries and LMIC = lower-middle income countries.



Figure A1. Country of affiliation of the first author for the articles using the term 'food literacy' (n = 549).

In Cites Journal Category ¹	Articles Affiliated with Journal Category
Public, environmental and occupational health	38
Nutrition and dietetics	31
Social sciences, general	26
Education and educational research	20
Business	19
Environmental sciences	11
Food science and technology	11
Environmental studies	8
Geography	8
Endocrinology and metabolism	7
Medicine, general and internal	7
Economics and business	6
Anthropology	5
Clinical medicine	5
Fconomics	5
Health care sciences and services	5
Management	5
Perchiatry	5
Sociology	5
A grigulture multidisginlingry	4
Agriculture, inundisciplinary	4
Agronomy	4
Development studies	4
Education, scientific disciplines	4
Regional and urban planning	4
Area studies	3
Biology and biochemistry	3
Cardiac and cardiovascular systems	3
Communication	3
Green and sustainable science and technology	3
Hospitality, leisure, sport and tourism	3
Nursing	3
Pediatrics	3
Psychology, multidisciplinary	3
Social sciences, interdisciplinary	3
Social sciences, biomedical	3
Social work	3
Agricultural economics and policy	2
Engineering, environmental	2
Entomology	2
Health policy and services	2
History and philosophy of science	2
Information science and library science	2
Integrative and complementary medicine	2
Multidisciplinary sciences	2
Political science	2
Psychology, clinical	2
Agricultural sciences	1
Behavioral sciences	1
Biotechnology and applied microbiology	1
Demography	1
Ethnic studies	1
Family studies	1
Forestry	1
Geriatrics and gerontology	1
Humanities, multidisciplinary	1
Language and linguistics	1
Law	1
Literature	1

Table A2. Disciplines of articles and number of articles associated with each category (n = 549).

1					
In Cites Journal Category ¹	Articles Affiliated with Journal Category				
Medical informatics	1				
Medicine, research and experimental	1				
Oncology	1				
Physiology	1				
Plant sciences	1				
Primary health care	1				
Psychology, applied	1				
Public administration	1				
Social issues	1				
Social science	1				
Sport sciences	1				
Urban studies	1				
Women's studies	1				

Table A2. Cont.

¹ Data reported in this table is from SCIE, SSCI and ESCI combined In Cites [28] categories, com-bined.

Authors	Year	Times Cited	Definitions	Skills/ Behaviours	Food/ Health Choices	Culture	Knowledge	Emotions	Food Systems
Vidgen and Gallegos [15,33,44,51,52]	2011, 2012, 2014, 2016	66	"The scaffolding that empowers individuals, house-holds, communities or nations to protect diet quality through change and strengthen dietary resilience over time. It is composed of a collection of inter-related knowledge, skills and behaviours required to plan, manage, select, prepare and eat food to meet needs and determine intake." "Food literacy is the ability of an individual to	X	х		x	х	x
Cullen et al. [18]	2015	12	understand food in a way that they develop a positive relationship with it, including food skills and practices across the lifespan in order to navigate, engage, and participate within a complex food system. It's the ability to make decisions to support the achievement of personal health and a sustainable food system considering environmental, social, economic, cultural, and political	х	x	X		x	x
Kolasa et al. [2]	2001	7	"The capacity of an individual to obtain, interpret and understand basic food and nutrition information and services as well as the competence to use that information and services in ways that are health enhancing." "The importance of linking nutrition information		X		Х		
Velardo [32]	2015	5	with people's practical use of food to meet		Х		х		
Azevedo Perry et al. [17]	2017	4	"Food literacy highlights interconnectivity among food, health and the environment, while fostering a greater understanding of food beyond traditional nutrition recommendations and cookery lessons." "Food literacy was seen mainly as an individual's						
Fordyce-Voorham [53]	2011	4	ability to read, understand, and act upon labels on fresh, frozen, canned, frozen (sic), processed, and takeout food."						
Krause et al. [54]	2016	4	"This term encompasses nutritional knowledge, food skills, the ability to communicate about nutritional issues and to critically reflect on one's eating behavior and the effects of consumption decisions."						
Desjardins et al. [55]	2013	3	"A set of skills and attributes that help people sustain the daily preparation of healthy, tasty, affordable meals for themselves and their families. Food literacy builds resilience, because it includes food skills (techniques, knowledge and planning ability), the confidence to improvise and problem-solve, and the ability to access and share information."						
Krause et al. [9]	2018	3	"To apply information on food choices and critically reflect on the effect of food choice on						
Schnoegl et al. [56]	2006	3	"The ability to organize one's everyday nutrition in a self-determined, responsible and enjoyable way."						

Table A3. The definition cited by articles considered to be 'about' food literacy (n = 82).

17 of 24

Table A3. Cont.

Authors	Year	Times Cited	Definitions	Skills/ Behaviours	Food/ Health Choices	Culture	Knowledge	Emotions	Food Systems
Sumner [57]	2013	3	"Food literacy is the ability to "read the world" in terms of food, thereby recreating it and remaking ourselves. It involves a full-cycle understanding of food—where it is grown, how it is produced, who benefits and who loses when it is purchased, who can access it (and who can't), and where it goes when we are finished with it. It includes an appreciation of the cultural significance of food, the capacity to prepare healthy meals and make healthy decisions, and the recognition of the environmental, social, economic, cultural, and political implications						
Truman, Lane and Elliot [4]	2017	3	"The idea of proficiency in food related skills and knowledge."						
Bellotti [58]	2010	2	"A concept involving three main domains; food, nutrition and health; agriculture, environment and ecology; and social development and equity." "Food literacy entails both understanding nutrition						
Block et al. [59]	2011	2	information and acting on that knowledge in ways consistent with promoting nutrition goals and FWB [food well-being]."						
Home Economics Victoria [60]	2015	2	"Having the knowledge, skills and the capacity to source, prepare, cook, and share food in a sustainable manner to promote a healthy and balanced lifestyle. Food literacy is also about individuals understanding the role that food plays in communities and cultures." "Food literacy can be defined as individual's food related knowledge, attitudes, and skills. This broad						
Howard and Brichta [61]	2013	2	definition of food literacy incorporates household perception, assessment, and management of the risks associated with their food choices. Food literacy also includes an individual's understanding of how food is produced, processed, distributed, purchased, and wasted, as well as how to interpret claims made in food marketing and advertising."						
Palumbo et al. [6]	2017	2	"The enhancement of the individual psycho-physical well-being through appropriate food choices." "Functional food literacy: some basic factual						
Smith [62]	2009	2	information on untrition and healthy eating and food preparation skills." "Lifeworld food literacy- the lives experience of students and others in food production and preparation locally and globally" "Interactive/interpretive food literacy the development of personal / interpersonal skills in a supportive environment to explore meanings and significance of food" "Critical food literacy reflects the cognitive skills for evaluating and taking officiency indeviced and and the states"						
American Association for Health Education [63]	1995	1	"The capacity of an individual social and pointed action. "The capacity of an individual to obtain, interpret and understand basic health information and services and the competence to use such information and services in ways which are health enhancing."						

Table A3. Cont.

Authors	Year	Times Cited	Definitions	Skills/ Behaviours	Food/ Health Choices	Culture	Knowledge	Emotions	Food Systems
Canadian Agriculture and Food Museum [64]	2016	1	"(teaching) Children and families about keeping food nutritious and safe from farm to fork."						
Colatruglio and Slater [14]	2016	1	"Food literacy extends beyond nutritional recommendations and cookery lessons to foster important and vital connections between food, people, health and the environment on a theoretical as used lase practical level."						
Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Econstring [65]	2013	1	"A food literate community accessing safe, affordable and nutritious food."						
Department of Health [66]	2010	1	"The skills and confidence they need to budget for, plan and prepare meals and snacks." "Having awareness and knowledge of the dietary						
Department of Health [67]	2011	1	guidelines for good health, as well as skills in menu planning, budgeting, label reading, food selection and shopping, food storage, food preparation and cooking, food safety, and determining appropriate portion size."						
Engler-Stringer [68]	2010	1	"Examinations of grocery shopping practices, which would permit the gathering of important information on the topic of cooking practices; this would involve observing distances travelled, the time spent on food acquisition, and the thought and planning required for families to purchase the foods that become household meals." "He drew the distinction between high-income						
European Union Committee [69]	2011	1	countries, where food waste mainly occurred in the home and the food service sector, and low-income countries, where nearly all food waste happens in the farm and the food system. Incentives to modify behaviour, allied with education, or food literacy, were possible responses to the issue in high-income countries; targeting new knowledge, spreading best practice and supporting investment in the agri-food						
Food Literacy	2013	1	system were appropriate to low-income countries." "Impact of your food choices on your health, the environment and our community."						
Fordyce-Voorham [71]	2015	1	"Nutritional knowledge and hands-on food preparation and cooking."						
Glickman et al. [72]	2012	1	"Recommendation 5.3: Ensure food literacy, including skill development, in schools." "Capacity of an individual to obtain, interpret and						
Government of South Australia [73]	2010	1	understand basic food and nutrition information and services as well as the competence to use that information and available services that are health						
Kim and Lee [74]	2014	1	"The basic ability to manage one's own diet entirely, from food selection to nutrition management, healthcare, and preparation of a sustainable diet in consideration of the environment and others."						
Mikkelsen et al. [75]	2005	1	"To give students the skills to become discerning consumers in relation to food, its production and the impact on the environment."						

Table A3. Cont.

Authors	Year	Times Cited	Definitions	Skills/ Behaviours	Food/ Health Choices	Culture	Knowledge	Emotions	Food Systems
NHMRC [76]	2013	1	"Low levels of food literacy (as a possible barrier to						
	2010	-	compliance with recommendations)." "Young people learn to plan and prepare six						
Ontario Federation of	2016	1	nutritious, locally sources meals by the time they						
ngriculture [77]			are sixteen years old." "Information, knowledge, skille, relationships						
Ontario Food and	2017		capacity and environments to support healthy						
Group [78]	2017	1	eating and make healthy choices where [Ontarians]						
1			live, gather, work, learn and play." "The ability to collect and process relevant						
Palumbo [79]	2016	1	information to properly use food in a perspective of						
			enhanced physical and psychic well-being." "Bringing together interconnecting elements such						
Pendergast and	2012	1	as food skills, food culture and global food systems,						
Dewhurst [80]	2012	1	health related behaviours and environmental sustainability"						
Petrini, Furlan and	2007	1	"Good, clean and fair."						
Prime Minister's			"The same report refers to food literacy						
Science Engineering and	2010	1	contributing to food security through improving						
Public Health			the acceptability of foods."						
Association of Australia [83]	2009	1	"A need to ensure basic food literacy."						
Queensland Public			"Investigate options to develop and implement a						
Health Forum [84]	2009	1	state-wide initiative to increase food literacy and						
Parul et al [95]	2007	1	"Focuses on food and nutrition information to help						
Kawi et al. [65]	2007	1	individuals make appropriate eating decisions."						
			"Inere are some ongoing efforts to develop the "food literacy" of young consumers with regards to						
			choosing and preparing healthy (e.g., more fruit						
Reisch, Lorek and Bietz	2011	1	and vegetables) and sustainable (i.e., organic,						
[86]			regional, fair trade) food. As one element of a						
			to systematically train the sensory and taste						
			competences of school children."						
			"Critical engagement to understand food in our						
Renwick and Powell	2019	1	marketing of food represents a particular food						
[87]			system that generates inequity and is ecologically						
			unsustainable."						
D 1 [00]	2015		to see how these both inform and construct learning						
Kenwick [88]	2017	1	experiences as well as enable and enact health						
			"Knowing where our food comes from; knowing						
Stanton [89]	2009	1	what happens to it, how to cook it, and how to						
			"The ability to make healthy food choices by						
The Food Literacy Project [90]	2010	1	having the skills and knowledge necessary to buy,						
Vandenbroack			grow, and cook food."						
Goossens and	2007	1	"degree to which people are able to assess						
Clemens [91]			nutritional quality and provenance						

20 of 24

Table A3. Cont.

Authors	Year	Times Cited	Definitions	Skills/ Behaviours	Food/ Health Choices	Culture	Knowledge	Emotions	Food Systems
Winslow [92]	2012	1	" ability to locate and critically analyse information and arguments about America's varying relationships to food and food production, the political implications and environmental impact of industrialized farming, and the current re-emergence of the small farm and local food						
			movements as pieces of the effort to restructure and/or transform industrialized food systems into more sustainable systems." "Food literacy refers to the degree to which people are able to obtain, process, and understand basic						
Wiser Earth [93]	<i>lis</i> er Earth [93] 2007	1	information about food in order to make appropriate health decisions. Food literacy encompasses understanding labeling on food and knowledge of nutrition."						
Worsley [94]	2015	1	"Broader forms of food and nutrition education that enable people to put their knowledge into practice through the acquisition of food competencies, which is becoming known as food literacy."						

Definitions which were not the most-cited were not assessed against Truman et al. [4] six categories, and are therefore highlighted in grey.



Figure A2. Vidgen and Gallegos [15] conceptualisation of food literacy.

References

- United States Senate. Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1989: Hearing before the Committee on Labor and Human Resources; United States Senate: Washignton, DC, USA, 1990; Available online: https://books.google.co.jp/books/about/Nutrition_Labeling_and_ Education_Act_of.html?id=PWkdAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y (accessed on 3 December 2020).
- 2. Kolasa, K.M.; Peery, A.; Harris, N.G.; Shovelin, K. Food literacy partners program: A strategy to increase community food literacy. *Top Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *16*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 3. Begley, A.; Vidgen, H. An overview of the use of the term food literacy. In *Food Literacy: Key Concepts for Health and Education*, 1st ed.; Vidgen, H., Ed.; Routledge: London, UK, 2016; pp. 17–34.
- 4. Truman, E.; Lane, D.; Elliott, C. Defining food literacy: A scoping review. Appetite 2017, 116, 365–371. [CrossRef]
- 5. Begley, A.; Paynter, E.; Dhaliwal, S.S. Evaluation tool development for food literacy programs. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Palumbo, R.; Annarumma, C.; Adinolfi, P.; Vezzosi, S.; Troiano, E.; Catinello, G.; Manna, R. Crafting and applying a tool to assess food literacy: Findings from a pilot study. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *67*, 173–182. [CrossRef]
- Poelman, M.P.; Dijkstra, S.C.; Sponselee, H.; Kamphuis, C.B.M.; Battjes-Fries, M.C.E.; Gillebaart, M.; Seidell, J.C. Towards the measurement of food literacy with respect to healthy eating: The development and validation of the self perceived food literacy scale among an adult sample in the Netherlands. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 2018, 15, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Fisher, H.; Erasmus, A.C.; Viljoen, A. Developing a food literacy definition for South Africa. Afr. J. Hosp. Tour Leis 2019, 8, 1–22.
- 9. Krause, C.G.; Beer-Borst, S.; Sommerhalder, K.; Hayoz, S.; Abel, T. A short food literacy questionnaire (SFLQ) for adults: Findings from a Swiss validation study. *Appetite* **2018**, *120*, 275–280. [CrossRef]
- 10. Méjean, C.; Si Hassen, W.; Gojard, S.; Ducrot, P.; Lampuré, A.; Brug, H.; Lien, N.; Nicolaou, M.; Holdsworth, M.; Terragni, L.; et al. Social disparities in food preparation behaviours: A DEDIPAC study. *Nutr. J.* **2017**, *16*, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Hutchinson, J.; Watt, J.F.; Strachan, E.K.; Cade, J.E. Evaluation of the effectiveness of the Ministry of Food cooking programme on self-reported food consumption and confidence with cooking. *Public Health Nutr.* **2016**, *19*, 3417–3427. [CrossRef]
- 12. Lahne, J.; Wolfson, J.A.; Trubek, A. Development of the Cooking and Food Provisioning Action Scale (CAFPAS): A new measurement tool for individual cooking practice. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2017**, *62*, 96–105. [CrossRef]

- 13. Amuta-Jimenez, A.O.; Lo, C.; Talwar, D.; Khan, N.; Barry, A.E. Food label literacy and use among US adults diagnosed with cancer: Results from a national representative study. *J. Cancer Educ.* **2018**, *34*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 14. Colatruglio, S.; Slater, J. Challenges to acquiring and utilizing food literacy: Perceptions of young Canadian adults. *Can. Food Stud.* **2016**, *3*, 96–118. [CrossRef]
- 15. Vidgen, H.A.; Gallegos, D. Defining food literacy and its components. Appetite 2014, 76, 50–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lartey, A.; Hemrich, G.; Amoroso, L.; Remans, R.; Grace, D.; Albert, J.; Fischer, C.; Garnett, T. Influencing food environments for healthy diets. *Food Agric. Organ. United Nations* 2016, 154, 1–36.
- 17. Azevedo-Perry, E.; Thomas, H.; Samra, H.R.; Edmonstone, S.; Davidson, L.; Faulkner, A.; Petermann, L.; Manafo, E.; Kirkpatrick, S.I. Identifying attributes of food literacy: A scoping review. *Public Health Nutr.* **2017**, *20*, 2406–2415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Cullen, T.; Hatch, J.; Martin, W.; Higgins, J.W.; Sheppard, R. Food literacy: Definition and framework for action. *Can. J. Diet. Pract. Res.* 2015, *76*, 140–145. [CrossRef]
- 19. Tricco, A.C.; Lillie, E.; Zarin, W.; O'Brien, K.K.; Colquhoun, H.; Levac, D.; Moher, D.; Peters, M.D.; Horsley, T.; Weeks, L. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2018**, *169*, 467–473. [CrossRef]
- 20. Clarivate. EndNote. Available online: https://endnote.com (accessed on 3 December 2020).
- 21. Cukier, W.; Ngwenyama, O.; Bauer, R.; Middleton, C. A critical analysis of media discourse on information technology: Preliminary results of a proposed method for critical discourse analysis. *J. Inf. Syst.* **2009**, *19*, 175–196. [CrossRef]
- 22. Indulska, M.; Hovorka, D.S.; Recker, J. Quantitative approaches to content analysis: Identifying conceptual drift across publication outlets. *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.* 2012, 21, 49–69. [CrossRef]
- 23. Baumeister, R.F.; Vohs, K.D. Encyclopedia of Social Psychology; Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2007; Volume 1.
- 24. Sabharwal, M.; Levine, H.; D'Agostino, M. A conceptual content analysis of 75 years of diversity research in public administration. *Rev. Public Pers. Adm.* **2018**, *38*, 248–267. [CrossRef]
- 25. Neuendorf, K.A. Chapter 4 | Variables and Predictions. In *The Content Analysis Guidebook*, 1st ed.; Neuendorf, K.A., Ed.; Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2017.
- Rossi, M.G.; Macagno, F. Coding problematic understanding in patient–provider interactions. *Health Commun.* 2020, 35, 1487–1496. [CrossRef]
- 27. World Bank Country and Lending Groups. Available online: https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/90 6519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups (accessed on 3 December 2020).
- 28. Clarivate Analytics. In Cites Journal Citation Reports. Available online: https://jcr.clarivate.com/JCRLandingPageAction.action (accessed on 3 December 2020).
- 29. Borch, A.; Kjærnes, U. Food security and food insecurity in Europe: An analysis of the academic discourse (1975–2013). *Appetite* **2016**, *103*, 137–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G. The Prisma Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med.* **2009**, *6*, e1000097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. World Health Organisation. Health Statistics and Information Systems, Definition of Regional Groupings. Available online: https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/definition_regions/en/ (accessed on 3 December 2020).
- Velardo, S. The nuances of health literacy, nutrition literacy, and food literacy. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. 2015, 47, 385–389. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 33. Vidgen, H. Food Literacy: Key Concepts for Health and Education; Routledge: London, UK, 2016.
- 34. Rosas, R.; Pimenta, F.; Leal, I.; Schwarzer, R. FOODLIT-PRO: Food literacy domains, influential factors and determinants—A qualitative study. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 88. [CrossRef]
- 35. Slater, J.; Falkenberg, T.; Rutherford, J.; Colatruglio, S. Food literacy competencies: A conceptual framework for youth transitioning to adulthood. *Int. J. Consum. Stud.* **2018**, *42*, 547–556. [CrossRef]
- 36. Begley, A.; Paynter, E.; Butcher, L.M.; Dhaliwal, S.S. Examining the association between food literacy and food insecurity. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 445. [CrossRef]
- 37. Pelclová, J.; Lu, W.-L. *Persuasion in Public Discourse: Cognitive and Functional Perspectives*; John Benjamins Publishing Company: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 227–258.
- Fingland, D.; Thompson, C.; Vidgen, H. Measuring food literacy: Progressing the development of the International Food Literacy Survey using a content validity study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 1141. [CrossRef]
- 39. Acharya, K.P.; Pathak, S. Applied research in low-income countries: Why and how? Front. Res. Metr. Anal. 2019, 4, 3. [CrossRef]
- 40. Oluma, A.; Abadiga, M.; Mosisa, G.; Etafa, W.; Fekadu, G. Food Insecurity among People Living with HIV/AIDS on ART Follower at Public Hospitals of Western Ethiopia. *Int. J. Food Sci.* **2020**, 2020, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 41. Yiga, P.; Ogwok, P.; Achieng, J.; Auma, M.D.; Seghers, J.; Matthys, C. Determinants of dietary and physical activity behaviours among women of reproductive age in urban Uganda, a qualitative study. *Public Health Nutr.* **2020**, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- De Backer, C.; Teunissen, L.; Cuykx, I.; Decorte, P.; Pabian, S.; Gerritsen, S.; Matthys, C.; Al Sabbah, H.; Van Royen, K. Corona Cooking Survey Study Group. An evaluation of the COVID-19 pandemic and perceived social distancing policies in relation to planning, selecting, and preparing healthy meals: An observational study in 38 countries worldwide. *Front Nutr.* 2020, 7, 621726. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Poelman, M.P.; Gillebaart, M.; Schlinkert, C.; Dijkstra, S.C.; Derksen, E.; Mensink, F.; Hermans, R.C.; Aardening, P.; de Ridder, D.; de Vet, E. Eating behavior and food purchases during the COVID-19 lockdown: A cross-sectional study among adults in the Netherlands. *Appetite* 2021, 157, 105002. [CrossRef]
- 44. Vidgen, H.A. Food Literacy: What Is It and Does It Influence What We Eat? Ph.D. Thesis, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia, 2014.
- 45. Naja, F.; Hamadeh, R. Nutrition amid the COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-level framework for action. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2020**, *74*, 1117–1121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Owens, M.R.; Brito-Silva, F.; Kirkland, T.; Moore, C.E.; Davis, K.E.; Patterson, M.A.; Miketinas, D.C.; Tucker, W.J. Prevalence and social determinants of food insecurity among college students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nutrients* 2020, *12*, 2515. [CrossRef]
- 47. Food Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Report of the World Food Summit* 13–17 *November* 1996; Food and Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy, 1996.
- 48. Food Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Guidelines for National FIVIMS: Background and Principles;* Food and Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy, 2000.
- 49. World Health Organization. Sustainable Healthy Diets: Guiding Principles; Food Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy, 2019.
- 50. Fanzo, J.; Haddad, L.; McLaren, R.; Marshall, Q.; Davis, C.; Herforth, A.; Jones, A.; Beal, T.; Tschirley, D.; Bellows, A. The Food Systems Dashboard is a new tool to inform better food policy. *Nat. Food* **2020**, *1*, 243–246. [CrossRef]
- 51. Vidgen, H.A.; Gallegos, D. What Is Food Literacy and Does It Influence What We Eat: A Study of Australian Food Experts; Queensland University of Technology: Queensland, Australia, 2011.
- 52. Vidgen, H.A.; Gallegos, D. Defining Food Literacy, Its Components, Development and Relationship to Food Intake: A Case Study of Young People and Disadvantage; Queensland University of Technology: Queensland, Australia, 2012.
- 53. Fordyce-Voorham, S. Identification of essential food skills for skill-based healthful eating programs in secondary schools. *J. Nutr. Educ. Behav.* **2011**, 43, 116–122. [CrossRef]
- 54. Krause, C.; Sommerhalder, K.; Beer-Borst, S. Nutrition-specific health literacy: Development and testing of a multi-dimensional questionnaire. *Ernahr. Umsch.* 2016, *63*, 214–220.
- 55. Desjardins, E.; Azevedo, E.; Davidson, L.; Samra, R.; MacDonald, A.; Thomas, H.; Munoz, M.; King, B.; Maxwell, T.; Wong-McGraw, P.; et al. *Making Something Out of Nothing. Food Literacy among Youth, Young Pregnant Women and Young Parents Who are at Risk for Poor Health*; Public Health Ontario: Toronto, ON, Canada, 2013.
- 56. Schnoegl, S.; Zehetgruber, R.; Danninger, S.; Setzwein, M.; Wenk, R.; Freudenberg, M.; Müller, C.; Groeneveld, M. *Savoury Dishes for Adult Education and Counselling*; BEST Institut für Berufsbezogene Weiterbildung und Personaltraining: Vienna, Austria, 2006.
- 57. Sumner, J. Food literacy and adult education: Learning to read the world by eating. *Can. J. Stu. Adult Educ.* **2013**, *25*, 79–92.
- 58. Bellotti, B. Food literacy: Reconnecting the city with the country. Agric. Sci. 2010, 22, 29–34.
- 59. Block, L.G.; Grier, S.A.; Childers, T.L.; Davis, B.; Ebert, J.E.; Kumanyika, S.; Laczniak, R.N.; Machin, J.E.; Motley, C.M.; Peracchio, L. From nutrients to nurturance: A conceptual introduction to food well-being. *J. Public Policy Mark.* **2011**, *30*, 5–13. [CrossRef]
- 60. Home Economics Victoria. Health and Wellbeing. Available online: https://www.homeeconomics.com.au/about-us/health-and-wellbeing (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 61. Howard, A.; Brichta, J. What's to Eat? Improving Food Literacy in Canada. In Proceedings of the Conference Board of Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 28 October 2013.
- 62. Smith, M.G. Food or nutrition literacy?: What concept should guide home economics education. Int. J. Home Econ. 2009, 2, 48-64.
- 63. American Association for Health Education. *National Health Education Standards: Achieving Health Literacy*; 1604431547; Association for the Advancement of Health Education: Reston, VA, USA, 1995.
- 64. Candian Agriculture & Food Museum. Food Literacy Initiative. Available online: http://cafmuseum.techno-science.ca/en/whats-on/food-literacy-initiative.php (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 65. Department of Agriculture F.F. National Food Plan: Our Food Future; Commonwealth of Australia: Canberra, Australia, 2013.
- 66. Department of Health. *Priorities as at October 2010 to Support Eat Well Be Active Health Weight Strategy for South Australia 2006-2010;* Government of Western Australia: Perth, Australia, 2010.
- 67. Department of Health. *Expression of Interest DOHEO106/10: Development and Delivery of Healthy Promotion Campaigns and Programs in Western Australia;* Government of Western Australia: Perth, Australia, 2011.
- 68. Engler-Stringer, R. Food, cooking skills, and health: A literature review. *Can. J. Diet. Pract. Res.* **2010**, *71*, 141–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. European Union Committee. Innovation in EU Agriculture: 19th Report of Session 2010–12. Available online: http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201012/ldselect/ldeucom/171/171.pdf (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 70. The Food Literacy Center. Available online: http://foodliteracycenter.org/ (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- Fordyce-Voorham, S. Preliminary findings of a food literacy program evaluation using a food literacy model. *J. Home Econ.* 2015, 22, 2–12.
- 72. Glickman, D.; Parker, L.; Sim, L.; Del Valle Cook, H.; Miller, E. Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation; The National Academies Press: Washington, WA, USA, 2012.
- 73. Government of South Australia. *Part B: Bid Rules: Requirements and Specifications;* Government of South Australia: Adelaide, Australia, 2009.

- 74. Kim, J.-W.; Lee, E.-J. An evaluation of dietary habits and dietary life competency of elementary school parents. *Korean J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *31*, 162–174. [CrossRef]
- 75. Mikkelsen, B.E.; Rasmussen, V.B.; Young, I. The role of school food service in promoting healthy eating at school–A perspective from an ad hoc group on nutrition in schools, Council of Europe. *Food Serv. Technol.* **2005**, *5*, 7–15. [CrossRef]
- 76. National Health and Medical Research Council. Australian Dietary Guidelines. Available online: https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ adg (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 77. Ontario Federation of Agriculture. Six by Sixteen. Available online: http://www.sixbysixteen.me/ (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 78. Ontario Food and Nutrition Strategy Group. Ontario Food and Nutrition Strategy: A Comprehensive Evidence Informed Plan for Healthy Food and Food Systems in Ontario; Ontario Food and Nutrition Strategy Group: Toronto, ON, Canada, 2017.
- 79. Palumbo, R. Sustainability of well-being through literacy. The effects of food literacy on sustainability of well-being. *Agric. Agric. Sci. Procedia* **2016**, *8*, 99–106. [CrossRef]
- 80. Pendergast, D.; Dewhurst, Y. Home economics and food literacy: An international investigation. *Int. J. Home Econ.* **2012**, *5*, 245–263.
- 81. Petrini, C.; Furlan, C.; Hunt, J. Slow Food Nation: Why Our Food Should Be Good, Clean and Fair, 1st ed.; Rizzoli Ex Libri: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- 82. Prime Minister's Science Engineering and Innovation Council. *Australia and Food Security in a Changing World;* Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet: Canberra, Australia, 2010.
- 83. Public Health Association of Australia. *A Future for Food: Addressing Public Health, Sustainability and Equity from Paddock to Plate;* Public Health Association of Australia: Brisbane, Australia, 2009.
- 84. Queensland Public Health Forum. *Eat Well Queensland: Are We Half Way There Yet? Midpoint Implementation Review;* Queensland Public Health Forum: Brisbane, Australia, 2009.
- 85. Rawl, R.; Kolasa, K.M.; Lee, J.; Whetstone, L.M. A learn and serve nutrition program: The Food Literacy Partners Program. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. 2008, 40, 49–51. [CrossRef]
- 86. Reisch, L.; Lorek, S.; Bietz, S. Policy Instruments for Sustainable Food Consumption: CORPUS-Enhancing the Connectivity between Research and Policy-Making in Sustainable Consumption; European Commission: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2011.
- 87. Renwick, K.; Powell, L.J. Focusing on the Literacy in Food Literacy: Practice, community, and food sovereignty. *J. Fam. Consum. Sci.* **2019**, *111*, 24–30. [CrossRef]
- 88. Renwick, K. Critical health literacy in 3D. Front Educ. 2017, 2, 1-5. [CrossRef]
- 89. Stanton, R. Food Literacy and the Environment. Available online: http://www.abc.net.au/rn/foraradio/stories/2009/2561532 .htm (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 90. The Food Literacy Project. Available online: https://foodliteracyproject.org (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- Vandenbroeck, P.; Goossens, J.; Clemens, M. Tackling Obesities: Future Choices: Obesity System Atlas; Department of Innovation, Universities and Skills: London, UK, 2007.
- 92. Winslow, D. Food for Thought: Sustainability, Community-Engaged Teaching and Research and Critical Food Literacy. Ph.D. Thesis, Syracuse University, New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- 93. Wiser Earth. Food Literacy. Available online: www.wiserearth.org/aof/191 (accessed on 1 April 2021).
- 94. Worsley, A. From nutrients to food literacy. J. Home Econ. 2015, 22, 13–21.