bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.06.611664; this version posted September 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

1 Title: Abnormal hyperactivity of specific striatal ensembles encodes distinct

2 dyskinetic behaviors revealed by high-resolution clustering

- 3
- 4 Authors: Cristina Alcacer^{1 2 3 * §}, Andreas Klaus¹ *, Marcelo Mendonça^{1 4}, Sara F.
- 5 Abalde¹, Maria Angela Cenci²[§] and Rui M. Costa^{1, 5, 6}[§]
- 6

7 Affiliations:

- 8 ¹ Neurobiology of Action, Champalimaud Research, Champalimaud Center for the
- 9 Unknown, Lisbon, Portugal;
- 10 ² Basal Ganglia Pathophysiology Unit, Dept. of Experiment Medical Science, Lund
- 11 University, Sweden;
- ¹² ³ Systems Biology Department, University of Alcalá, Madrid, Spain; Institute Ramón y
- 13 Cajal for Health Research (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain.
- ⁴ NOVA Medical School|Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa,
- 15 Lisbon, Portugal;
- ¹⁶ ⁵ Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Department of Neuroscience, Columbia
- 17 University, New York, NY, USA;
- ⁶ Allen Institute, Seattle, WA, USA
- 19
- 20 * Authors contributed equally
- 21 § Correspondence to: cristina.alcacer81@gmail.com;
- 22 rui.costa@alleninstitute.org;
- 23 angela.cenci_nilsson@med.lu.se

1 Abstract

2 L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia (LID) is a debilitating complication of dopamine 3 replacement therapy in Parkinson's disease and the most common hyperkinetic disorder of basal ganglia origin. Abnormal activity of striatal D1 and D2 spiny projection 4 5 neurons (SPNs) is critical for LID, yet the link between SPN activity patterns and specific dyskinetic movements remains unknown. To explore this, we developed a novel 6 7 method for clustering movements based on high-resolution motion sensors and video 8 recordings. In a mouse model of LID, this method identified two main dyskinesia types 9 and pathological rotations, all absent during normal behavior. Using single-cell 10 resolution imaging, we found that specific sets of both D1 and D2-SPNs were 11 abnormally active during these pathological movements. Under baseline conditions, the 12 same SPN sets were active during behaviors sharing physical features with LID 13 movements. These findings indicate that ensembles of behavior-encoding D1- and D2-14 SPNs form new combinations of hyperactive neurons mediating specific dyskinetic 15 movements.

16

17 Key words

L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia, striatal activity, calcium imaging, unsupervised behavioral
 clustering, inertial measurement units, accelerometer, freely-moving mouse behavior

1 Introduction

2 In Parkinson's disease (PD), the degeneration of dopaminergic neurons that project to 3 the striatum causes poverty and slowness of movement. Dopamine (DA) replacement therapy with L-DOPA is still the most effective treatment, but leads to a development of 4 5 abnormal involuntary movements in the majority of patients within a few years ¹. Although the involuntary movements are collectively referred to as L-DOPA-induced 6 7 dyskinesia (LID), they have variable clinical presentations, manifesting with different 8 combinations of fast hyperkinetic motions and dystonic features (sustained twisting movements and abnormal postures) in different body parts². In addition to being a 9 10 medically important problem, LID provides a study paradigm to unveil patterns of striatal 11 activity disrupting the control of movement sequences $^{3-6}$.

12 Movement control depends on the interplay of two main pathways originating 13 from two populations of striatal neurons. Spiny projection neurons (SPN) expressing DA 14 D1-receptor (D1-SPNs) project directly to the basal ganglia output nuclei forming the classically called 'direct pathway', while D2-receptor expressing SPNs (D2-SPNs) 15 influence basal ganglia output indirectly via intermediate nuclei ^{7,8}. Canonical models 16 postulate that direct and indirect pathways have opposite effects on movement: 17 18 activation of D1-SPN facilitates movement whereas activation of D2-SPN inhibits movement ^{9–11}. However, accumulating evidence suggests that both pathways increase 19 20 their activity at movement onset and that coordinated activation of D1- and D2-SPNs is required for proper action initiation ^{12–15}. The role of SPNs in LID has been studied using 21 22 parkinsonian rodent models treated with L-DOPA and developing axial, limb, and 23 orofacial abnormal involuntary movements (AIMs). The recording of striatal activity

during the expression of AIMs has revealed opposite changes in the average activity 1 levels of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs ^{5,6}. Moreover, SPN type-specific stimulations using 2 chemogenetic ⁹ or optogenetic methods ^{4,6,16} concordantly show that LID is aggravated 3 by increasing the activity of D1-SPNs and blunted by stimulating D2-SPNs. Taken 4 together, these studies have established a causal link between LID and a disrupted 5 6 interplay between direct and indirect pathway, with concomitant D1-SPN hyperactivity 7 and D2-SPN underactivity. However, this level of explanation cannot account for the phenomenological diversity and temporal structure of LID. Recent studies have shown 8 15 9 that specific ensembles of D1- and D2-SPNs encode specific behaviors 10 Consequently, different forms of LID may result from the specific activation of distinct 11 neuronal groups including both SPN populations. To answer this question, there is a 12 need to develop new methods enabling to monitor dyskinetic motions with high 13 precision. In both PD patients and animal models, the classification and quantification of 14 dyskinetic movements is based on rating scales that assign dyskinesia severity scores 15 to different body parts during monitoring periods of 1-2 minutes. Although these rating methods are well validated for translational research ^{17,18} they do not offer the temporal 16 17 resolution that would be necessary to resolve neuronal events accounting for the 18 different motor components that make up LID.

In this study, we present a new approach to automatically quantify dyskinetic movements with high temporal resolution in freely-moving mice, which enabled us to investigate in detail the striatal pathophysiology associated with LID. We developed a semi-supervised approach using unsupervised behavioral clustering based on inertial measurement units (IMUs) and video, combined with a supervised higher-order

clustering based on dyskinesia annotations. Using this approach, we were able to 1 2 capture different types of dyskinesia as well as the presence of pathological rotational 3 movements, ultimately enabling the classification of dyskinetic behavior with high 4 accuracy. In order to investigate whether and how the activity patterns of D1-SPN and 5 D2-SPN relate to specific dyskinesia types, we combined the usage of the IMUs with 6 calcium imaging in freely-behaving mice. We could therefore quantify the activity 7 patterns of D1 and D2-SPN during each dyskinesia cluster and the pathological 8 rotations. Our results show that specific patterns of abnormal involuntary movements 9 are encoded by specific ensembles of D1-SPNs and also D2-SPNs showing abnormally 10 high firing activity.

11

12 **Results**

13 L-DOPA increases body acceleration during movement in dyskinetic mice

To render mice hemiparkinsonian, we induced chronic nigrostriatal DA depletion by injecting 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) unilaterally into the medial forebrain bundle ⁹. As expected, 6-OHDA lesioned mice exhibited a nearly complete loss of DA fibers in the ipsilateral striatum (Figure S1A, STAR Methods). All lesioned mice treated with L-DOPA (6 mg/kg/day for 3-4 days) developed axial, limb and orofacial abnormal involuntary movements (AIMs), quantified using the classical dyskinesia severity scale ^{19,20} (Figure S1B). bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.06.611664; this version posted September 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

To study changes in motion patterns, we quantified mouse movements by 1 2 measuring high-resolution acceleration and angular velocity with head-mounted 3 wireless inertial measurement units (IMUs) and video recordings (Figure 1A, see STAR Methods). Measurements were performed in non-lesioned mice (referred to as intact, 4 Int, n = 12) and 6-OHDA lesioned mice (Les, n = 13). Mice were placed in an open field 5 6 arena, and baseline (BL) behavior was recorded for 10 min. After the BL recording, 7 mice were injected with VEH or L-DOPA (LD) and recorded for another 10 min at 20-30 8 min *post* injection (timeline in Figure 1A and STAR Methods).

9 We first quantified general movement parameters such as the percentage of time 10 the animals moved per session and their body acceleration (BA) captured with the IMUs 11 (Figure 1B). Upon initial inspection, we observed that the open field trajectories showed 12 different profiles between the four conditions (Figure S1C). In particular, movements 13 were confined to one side of the arena in the Les-LD group. Although having confined 14 movements, Les-LD mice showed a higher BA (see example traces of BA in Figure 1B). 15 The 6-OHDA lesion did not significantly alter the percentage of time moving (Figure 1B, 16 histogram to the left), but significantly reduced the acceleration while moving (STAR 17 Methods) (p < 0.05 for Les-VEH vs. Int-VEH, Figure 1B, histogram to the right). The administration of L-DOPA significantly raised both the percentage of time moving and 18 19 the acceleration when moving in the lesioned but not the intact animals (Figure 1B, 20 Acceleration when moving; p < 0.001 for Les-LD mice vs. both Int-LD and Les-VEH). 21 Taken together, the above data demonstrate that, while nigrostriatal DA denervation 22 induced bradykinesia, L-DOPA induced an excessive motor output in hemiparkinsonian 23 mice, raising both the percentage of time moving and the BA when moving. However,

with the metrics used, it was not possible to extract any information about the underlying
 movement characteristics.

3

4 Unsupervised clustering of motor features reveals new behavioral clusters in 5 dyskinetic mice

6 To analyze the behavior in more detail and with sub-second time resolution, we used unsupervised behavioral clustering of the IMUs and video data ^{15,21}. We extracted 7 8 specific features from the IMU and video that capture head and body posture and 9 motion in three dimensions. In particular, we used the following four movement features 10 as shown in the example traces of Figure 1C: (i) total BA which distinguishes movement 11 versus rest; (ii) gravitational acceleration along the antero-posterior axis (GA_{AP}), which 12 detects postural changes; (iii) head rotational movements along the dorso-ventral axis 13 obtained with the gyroscope (θ head, or angular velocity); and (iv) axial bending angle extracted from the video (θ axial), which is inversely related to the degree of upper body 14 torsion and correlates with the axial component of dyskinesia²², Figure 1D, STAR 15 16 Methods and Movie S1). Using a first cohort of mice (n = 12 Int, n = 13 Les, total of n = 1217 81 sessions), we created a library of the standard repertoire of the animal behavior in 18 our paradigm using the unsupervised clustering algorithm based on the acceleration 19 and video data (Figure S2A, and for details of the clustering see Figure S2A-D and 20 STAR Methods).

21 With the above-mentioned features, the clustering identified a total of 56 22 behavioral clusters (Figure 1E, G), which were well separated as shown by a ROC 23 analysis using a single threshold to separate clusters based on the EMD (earth mover's

distance) similarity (Figure 1F). From the 56 behavioral clusters, 7 were classified as 1 2 resting, corresponding to periods where the mice did not move, and 49 as moving 3 clusters (Figure 1G, STAR Methods). From the 49 moving clusters, we found 26 4 clusters present in Int-VEH, which we labeled as 'normal' behavior, and 23 clusters 5 absent in Int-VEH (see STAR Methods), which were considered as 'not normal' or 6 pathological behavior. Since Les-LD mice did not have periods of rest (see Figure 1B), 7 we included only the moving clusters for the further analyses to avoid the increase in 8 time moving as a confounding factor. A two-dimensional representation (t-SNE map) of 9 the moving clusters in space revealed a similar space representation of the clusters 10 obtained in Int-VEH, Int-LD and Les-VEH, with a lateralization of the behavioral 11 segments to the right of the map. This contrasted with the t-SNE map from Les-LD, 12 which showed that segments were lateralized to the left part of the map (Figure 1H).

13 We then guantified changes in the distribution of the moving clusters in each 14 group relative to their BL (Figure 11; note that no group differences were found at BL, 15 Figure S2E). Clusters associated with the intact condition (Int-VEH vs. Int-LD) showed a 16 similar change vs. BL, indicating no effect of L-DOPA treatment on the moving behavior 17 of intact mice. A very similar result was obtained from lesioned mice treated with vehicle 18 (see Les-VEH in Figure 11). In contrast, Les-LD animals showed a dramatic change in 19 cluster distribution (Figure 1I, p < 0.001 vs. the other 3 groups). While these analyses 20 indicate that clusters absent in Int-VEH (see Figure 1G) emerge specifically in Les-LD 21 mice, they do not reveal the changes of individual behavioral clusters in the dyskinetic 22 condition. Therefore, we next compared the percentage of time spent per cluster in 23 intact and lesioned mice after VEH and L-DOPA administration. To this end, we added

an additional cohort of n = 7 Int and n = 7 Les mice by unsupervised clustering of 1 2 individual recording sessions and by matching the resulting clusters to the library 3 (Figure S2B; see STAR Methods). As shown in Figure 1J, the lesion per se did not 4 cause a significant change in the percentage of time spent per cluster (see Int-VEH vs. Les-VEH; Figure 1J, left panel). Likewise, L-DOPA did not change the percentage of 5 6 time spent in each cluster in intact mice (see VEH vs. LD Intact, middle panel). In 7 contrast, L-DOPA caused a markedly different cluster configuration in lesioned mice, 8 with both downregulated and upregulated clusters, and even emerging ones (see VEH 9 vs. LD Lesioned, Figure 1J, right panel). In summary, our unsupervised clustering 10 approach revealed major changes in the behavioral structure of L-DOPA-treated mice, 11 and the emergence of motor motifs specifically associated with dyskinetic behaviours.

12

13 Behavioral clustering captures specific dyskinesias and pathological rotations

14 We next set out to determine how the changes in cluster distribution observed in Les-LD 15 mice related to the AIMs subtypes detected and guantified with classical rating 16 methods. To do so, we annotated two main types of AIMs well recognizable from the 17 videos, that is, axial dyskinesia (twisted postures of the trunk toward the side 18 contralateral to the lesion) and limb AIMs (fluttering movements of the forelimb contralateral to the lesion)^{19,20}. We annotated frame by frame the beginning and end of 19 20 axial and limb AIMs (Figure 2A, Movie S2 and see STAR Methods for details). Based on 21 the annotations, we obtained three possible combinations of dyskinesia types, i.e. axial 22 alone, limb alone and axial+limb dyskinesia (Figure 2A).

We then correlated each behavioral cluster with the three dyskinesia 1 2 combinations mentioned above and grouped the clusters accordingly. We found 3 clusters that were correlated specifically with one type of dyskinesia annotation. For example, a cluster significantly correlating with axial+limb annotations did not correlate 4 with axial alone or limb alone (Figure 2B). From the 49 moving clusters, 21 were 5 6 significantly correlated with the dyskinesia annotations: 9 were correlated with 7 axial+limb; 11 to axial alone and only 1 was correlated with limb alone (Figure 2C and 8 Figure S3A). Importantly, the time spent in these behavioral clusters was positively 9 correlated to the time spent in axial+limb or axial alone annotations, but not with limb 10 alone annotations (Figure S3B). The rest of the moving clusters (those not correlated to the dyskinesia annotations) included some that were present in Int-VEH mice (other N, 11 12 20 clusters) and others that were absent in Int-VEH, therefore considered pathological. 13 These had a strong component of contralateral head rotations and were termed 14 pathological rotations (path rot, 8 clusters (Figure 2C).

15 Importantly, the five cluster groups had composite behavioral signatures such that a single movement feature was not sufficient to differentiate between them (Figure 16 17 2D and Figure S3C, D; statistical comparisons in Table 1). For example, the two 18 rotational components (head and axial) carried distinct information for axial alone and 19 axial+limb dyskinesias (cf. blue and orange bars in Figure 2D). The axial+limb cluster 20 was the most static (having the lowest BA values) and the one with strongest axial 21 torsion (θ axial ~70 deg, Figure 2D, Figure S3C). However, the degree of head rotation 22 was comparable to that found in the other N cluster. Compared to axial+limb, axial 23 alone was more dynamic (higher BA) and combined strong head rotations (low θ head

1 values) with a high degree of upper body torsion (θ axial ~90 deg). Finally, the limb 2 cluster had low BA and GA, the lowest head rotation and low axial torsion (θ axial ~120 3 deg). The *path rot* cluster group was the most dynamic of all (highest average BA, 4 Figure 2D), while also showing the strongest head rotations (see large negative θ head 5 values in Figure 2D). Interestingly, the turning of the head appeared to occur while the 6 body was in a relatively straight position, as indicated by higher θ axial values compared 7 to both "*axial alone*" and "*axial+limb*" (p < 0.001 for both comparisons, Figure 2D).

8 Next, we wanted to investigate how specific the dyskinesia cluster groups 9 (axial+limb, axial alone, limb alone) were to the dyskinetic condition (Les-LD). We first 10 examined the percentage of time spent per cluster group for each condition (Figure 2E). As expected, intact mice (Int-VEH and Int-LD) spent the majority of the time in the other 11 12 N cluster group (60-70% of time during moving), and a similar result was obtained from 13 the Les-VEH group (Figure 2E). In contrast, Les-LD mice spent the majority of the time 14 in the dyskinesia and *path rot* cluster groups (Figure 2E). A group comparison of 15 percentage time spent/cluster revealed that axial+limb and axial alone clusters were 16 highly specific to the Les-LD cohort (Figure 2F). This was in stark contrast to the *limb* 17 alone cluster, which was highly expressed also in all other cohorts. We hypothesized that limb AIMs could share kinematic features with certain phases of a grooming 18 19 sequence (described by Berridge and Whishaw 1992) and therefore decided to 20 annotate grooming in a subset of intact mice to test this hypothesis. Indeed, the *limb* 21 alone cluster could predict grooming in intact mice with an accuracy of 73% (Figure 22 S4A). For this reason, and because *limb alone* dyskinesia was not very prevalent in the 23 Les-LD condition (3.1% of time during moving) and did not significantly correlate with

the limb AIM scores (Figure S4B), we decided to not further analyze this behavioral
 cluster in the remainder of this study.

3 The emergence of specific dyskinesia clusters should allow one to predict 4 different types of dyskinesias using our behavioral cluster analysis. In fact, a classifier 5 with a "leave-one-out cross-validation" approach (see STAR Methods for details) was 6 able to predict axial+limb and axial alone dyskinesias with an accuracy of 92% and 67%, respectively, based on the behavioral clusters (Figure 2G). While axial+limb and 7 8 axial alone clusters are correlated with the occurrence of axial+limb and axial alone 9 dyskinesias, respectively, it is not clear how these clusters relate to the classical 10 dyskinesia scores. We therefore tested the relationship of the dyskinesia clusters to 11 axial and limb AIMs by calculating the correlation of the time spent per individual 12 behavioral cluster to the AIM scores during the 10 min of recording. Indeed, overall, 13 axial+limb as well as axial alone clusters were positively correlated with the axial AIMs 14 and a subset of clusters had a significant positive correlation (Figure 2H, red points in 15 left panel), suggesting that some behavioral clusters only happen under more severe 16 AIMs. Furthermore, and as expected, axial+limb clusters were mainly positively 17 correlated with limb AIMs whereas axial alone clusters had overall negative correlations 18 (Figure 2H, right panel). On the contrary, the correlation between *limb alone*, *path rot* or 19 other N clusters and AIMs was much more variable, with the significant correlations 20 found only in negatively correlated clusters (Figure S4B). Taken together, these results 21 indicate that axial+limb and axial alone clusters are highly predictive of the occurrence 22 of axial+limb and axial alone dyskinesias.

23

L-DOPA oppositely modulates D1 and D2-SPNs activity in 6-OHDA lesioned but not intact mice

3 To determine how different dyskinetic behaviors are encoded by the striatum, we 4 performed calcium imaging in combination with our semi-supervised behavioral 5 clustering analysis. We used microendoscopic one-photon calcium imaging of GCamP6f, selectively expressed in D1-SPNs or D2-SPNs using D1-Cre and A2a-Cre 6 7 transgenic mice, respectively. After 6-OHDA lesion and virus injection, mice underwent 8 chronic GRIN (gradient index) lens implantation in the dorsolateral striatum (see extent 9 of viral transduction, lesion and lens placement in Figure 3A and STAR Methods). After 10 having a cleared and stable field of view of the striatum (Figure 3B), mice were placed 11 in an open field arena and recorded for 10 min before and after VEH/LD treatment, as in 12 *Timeline* of Figure 1A. Calcium dynamics from up to 300 neurons (Figure 3B) could be 13 recorded simultaneously and aligned with the behavioral clusters from the semi-14 supervised behavior analysis (STAR Methods, and Movie S3 and S4). Intracellular 15 calcium events per single neurons were detected using a constrained non-negative matrix factorization for microendoscopic data (CNMF-E; ^{15,24,25} see STAR Methods) and 16 spike events were extracted using the MLspike algorithm (²⁶, STAR Methods). 17

We first sought to investigate how D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs average calcium activity was modulated by L-DOPA in lesioned and intact mice, when the mice were moving or resting (STAR Methods). When comparing the D1-SPN event rate between groups during the moving periods, we found a significant decrease in the Les-VEH group (p < 0.01 vs. Int-VEH) and a significant increase after L-DOPA in lesioned but not intact mice (see example traces of D1-SPN calcium signal and the corresponding event

1 rates in Figure 3C). We then quantified the SPN activity during periods of rest. We could 2 not quantify the event rate in the Les-LD condition, since dyskinetic mice did not 3 sufficiently rest, being more than 98% of the time per session moving, as shown in 4 Figure 1B. We found no significant changes in D1-SPNs in intact mice after L-DOPA 5 when mice were at rest, with values similar to lesioned mice treated with VEH (Figure 6 3C). Next, we quantified the calcium event rate in D2-SPNs during movement, finding 7 no effect of L-DOPA in intact mice as opposed to a significant decrease in lesioned 8 mice (see example traces and quantification in Figure 3D; see also the ratio D1-9 SPN/D2-SPN activity in Figure S5A, and a summary Table in Figure S5B). Interestingly, 10 the D2-SPN activity during resting periods was very low in intact mice (both the Int-VEH 11 and Int-LD cohorts), but markedly high in the Les-VEH group (p < 0.001 for Les-VEH vs. 12 Int-VEH, Figure 3D). We also quantified the relative change in event rate from BL and 13 captured the opposite and significant modulation of SPNs by L-DOPA in lesioned mice 14 when moving (Figure S5C). No differences in the relative change in event rate were 15 found in resting periods between Int-VEH vs. Int-LD, and similar change was observed 16 in Les-VEH. Overall, these results demonstrate that L-DOPA oppositely modulates the 17 average activity of both SPN populations during movement in lesioned mice, increasing 18 the calcium event rate in D1-SPN while decreasing it in D2-SPNs. In addition, also the 19 number of active neurons was oppositely modulated by L-DOPA in the two SPN 20 populations. Thus, the number of active D1-SPNs increased while the number of active 21 D2-SPNs decreased in lesioned mice treated with L-DOPA (Figure 3E-F, p < 0.05 for 22 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH in both SPN populations; see the changes relative to BL in Figure

S5D, and the D1-SPN/D2-SPN active neuron ratio in Figure S5E), in line with a recent
 study from Maltese and colleagues ²⁷.

3 Finally, we quantified the spatial cross-correlation of calcium events between pairs of active neurons as in ¹⁵. In all experimental groups, the cross-correlation 4 between active SPN pairs declined sharply between 20 and 47 µm of intercellular 5 6 distance (Figure 3G-I, S5F-H). In both types of SPN, no significant difference in spatial 7 cross-correlation was found when comparing Int-VEH and Les-VEH (data not shown), indicating that the lesion per se did not affect the coactivity index in either SPN 8 9 population. However, when examining the effect of L-DOPA, we found that pairs of D1-10 SPNs significantly increased their coactivity while pairs of D2-SPNs significantly decreased their coactivity specifically at $\sim 30 \ \mu m$ of intercellular distance (Figure 3G), 11 12 and only in the lesioned cohort (see data from intact mice in Figure S5F). When 13 comparing the two SPN categories in the Les-LD group, a large difference in cross-14 correlation emerged at the same critical distance, where the coactivity of D1-SPN pairs 15 was approximately 5-fold larger than that of D2-SPN pairs (Figure 3H). No significant differences between SPN categories were found after VEH (Figure 3I), nor in intact 16 17 mice (Figure S5G and H). These findings suggest that the spatiotemporal activation 18 pattern of nearby D1 vs. D2 SPN ensembles changed substantially during LID, but only 19 at intercellular distances lower than 40 µm.

20

21 Specific SPN ensembles are hyperactive during dyskinesia

The above analyses confirm the expected opposite changes in SPN neuronal activity observed in lesioned mice developing dyskinesia on L-DOPA, as shown in previous

studies ⁴⁻⁶. However, the pattern of D1- vs. D2-SPN activity underlying specific 1 2 dyskinetic behaviors has thus far remained unexplored. We therefore set out to 3 determine how D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs encoded the behavioral clusters of dyskinetic 4 mice. As a first approach, we focused on the Les-LD condition and calculated the 5 average activity of both SPN populations in each behavioral cluster group, i.e. 6 axial+limb, axial alone, path rot and other N. We found no significant differences in the 7 average event rate of D1-SPNs or D2-SPNs between the different cluster groups 8 (Figure 4A). In all behavioral clusters, the ratio of event rates between D1-SPNs and 9 D2-SPNs was 1.5 to 3.5-fold larger than the average values measured in Int-LD mice 10 when moving (see hatched line in Figure 4A), with the largest increase seen in the axial 11 alone and path rot clusters (Figure 4A right panel). This data suggests that overall increases and decreases in D1-SPN and D2-SPN activities induced by L-DOPA are not 12 13 driven by specific behavioral cluster groups.

14 Although no differences were found in the average event rate of both SPNs 15 between behavioral clusters, we observed that specific sets of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs 16 showed a significant positive correlation between their activity and the behavioral 17 clusters (i.e., their activity increases during the corresponding behavior cluster). We 18 refer to those neurons as 'behavior-related neurons' (Figure 4B). In our field of view we 19 detected an average of 164 D1-SPNs and 176 iSPNs (all SPNs detected during both BL 20 and LD sessions). In the D1-SPN population, 21% of neurons were positively correlated 21 to axial+limb, 28% to axial alone, 40% to path rot, and 55% to other N cluster groups 22 during the LD condition. In contrast, the percentage of behavior-related D2-SPNs was 23 much smaller, with approximately 11, 8, 11 and 34% of D2-SPNs being positively

correlated to axial+limb, axial alone, path rot and other N, respectively. Interestingly, the 1 2 percentage of D2-SPNs associated with the other N cluster was at least 3-fold larger 3 than those associated with axial+limb, axial alone and path rot (p < 0.05 in all these 4 comparisons, Figure 4B). This data points to a larger recruitment of D2-SPNs during the 5 expression of relatively normal motions despite the ongoing effect of L-DOPA. The ratio 6 between behavior-related neurons in the D1-SPN and D2-SPN populations was strongly 7 biased towards D1-SPNs in each cluster, with the largest increase relative to Int-LD 8 values (> 2-fold) in axial alone and path rot (Figure 4B right panel).

9 Axial+limb and axial alone dyskinesia share some behavioral characteristics (i.e., 10 the axial component) that could be reflected in an overlap between the neuronal 11 ensembles related to the two types of dyskinesia (i.e., axial+limb vs. axial alone). We, 12 therefore, investigated the percentage of overlap between the two cluster groups, 13 corrected by the overlap in shuffled data that would be expected purely by chance if 14 there was no common set of neurons between the axial+limb and axial alone neuronal 15 groups. In addition, we compared the overlap between the neuronal groups associated 16 with the other behaviors observed during LD (Figure 4C). This analysis revealed that 17 the overlap between any of the behavior-related D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs during LD is not more than the one expected by chance (i.e., no significant positive increase from 0; 18 19 0% overlap corresponding to no shared neurons and 100% to a complete overlap 20 between the neuronal groups). In D1-SPNs, we found significant negative values, 21 indicating that between three behavior-related neuronal groups (axial+limb vs. path rot, axial+limb vs. other N and axial alone vs. other N) there is less overlap than would be 22 23 expected by chance. We calculated the percentage of this overlap and found that the

overlap across behavioral clusters ranged from 6 to 27% for D1-SPNs and from 4 to 7% for D2-SPNs. This data shows that the overlap between active neurons across behavioral clusters is not different from chance level, therefore indicating that the behavior-related neurons are specific to their cluster group. Accordingly, the activity of specific SPN ensembles was strongly modulated at the onset of dyskinetic and *path rot* behavioral events, shown by the example heatmaps of the individual SPN activity aligned to the start of the behavioral event (Figure 4E).

8 We next compared calcium event rates in these specific sets of SPNs in Les-LD 9 mice (Figure 4F). The behavior-related D1-SPNs showed an abnormally high activity in 10 all clusters when compared to the average rate in Int-LD or Les-LD (see dashed lines in 11 Figure 4F). The largest increase occurred in D1-SPNs tuned with the axial+limb and 12 axial alone clusters (see blue and orange bars in Figure 4F, left). Compared to the Les-13 LD condition (dark dashed line), behavior-related D2-SPNs also showed a significant 14 increase in event rate specifically during their associated cluster (Figure 4F right; 4G 15 shows the ratio between D1-SPN and D2-SPN's rate), in some cases exceeding the 16 average values measured in intact mice (see axial+limb and axial alone clusters in 17 Figure 4F right). Our data indicate that, while cluster-related SPNs fire also during other 18 behaviors, they show their maximal event rate during their specific behavioral cluster. 19 Overall, these results suggest that each type of dyskinetic behavior is encoded by a 20 specific subset of D1-SPNs firing at a frequency at least 2-fold larger than the average 21 D1-SPN activity rate in the Les-LD condition (~0.4 Hz), along with a smaller subset of 22 D2-SPNs showing an approximately 3-fold increase above the average D2-SPN activity 23 in lesioned animals, yet firing at a lower rate than the coactivated D1-SPNs (~0.18 Hz).

Previous studies have identified dyskinesia-specific D1-SPNs that are exclusively 1 activated during LID⁴. To gain better insight into the emergence of dyskinesia-specific 2 3 ensembles, we took advantage of our experimental paradigm that allowed for extracting the activity of dyskinesia-specific SPNs also during normal behavior. Under BL 4 condition, about 60% of SPNs were associated with clusters of motor features emerging 5 6 during normal movement (data not shown), in line with previous findings from intact mice ¹⁵. Next, we compared the overlap between the other N (BL) neurons and 7 8 dyskinesia-specific neurons in Les-LD (Figure 4H, where other N (BL) corresponds to 9 other N neurons during BL condition). We found a high overlap between both the 10 dyskinesia and path rot neuronal groups with the SPNs encoding for other N (BL) 11 clusters, amounting to 61% and 68% overlap on average for D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs, 12 respectively (Figure 4H). Although this overlap was statistically not different from 13 shuffled data (Figure 4I), these results indicate that the majority of SPNs associated 14 with dyskinesia and *path rot* encode for normal behaviors during non-dyskinetic periods. 15 We finally measured the neural activity of axial+limb, axial alone and path rot neurons 16 during BL, and found a similar event rate, comparable to that measured under BL 17 conditions in intact mice (Figure 4J).

The finding that the dyskinesia and *path rot* neurons were indeed active during *other N* behavioral clusters at BL, raised the question of whether *other N* behavioral clusters during which these neurons were active would show any behavioral similarity to the dyskinesia or *path rot* clusters. To address this question, we compared the EMD similarity of behavioural clusters recorded in the Les-LD condition vs. BL clusters during which the same neurons were active, considering *axial+limb*, *axial alone*, and *path rot*

SPNs (Figure S6A). Specifically, for each SPN group, we identified the dyskinesia/path 1 2 rot clusters to which they were tuned (Les-LD) and compared their EMD similarity to 3 other N clusters (Les-BL) during which the same neurons were positively modulated 4 ("pos mod") versus the remaining clusters in the other N group ("other"). Interestingly, 5 we observed that the EMD similarity between the axial+limb clusters and the "pos mod" 6 clusters was significantly higher for both SPNs than the one between the axial+limb 7 clusters and the "other" clusters in the other N category (Figure S6A). However, this significant difference was not observed in axial alone and path rot. These results 8 9 indicate that the behaviors encoded by the axial+limb neurons during BL are more 10 similar to axial+limb dyskinesia than the ones encoded by other neurons. In turn, this 11 suggests that the neurons showing largest activation during a given type of dyskinetic 12 motion encode for related forms of body movements during normal behaviors.

13

14 Discussion

15 We here present a new approach to automatically detect dyskinetic movements with sub-second resolution in freely-moving mice. We developed a semi-supervised 16 17 approach using unsupervised behavioral clustering based on inertial measurement units 18 and video, combined with a supervised group clustering based on dyskinesia 19 annotations. Using this approach, we were able to capture different types of dyskinesia 20 and other behaviors absent in intact mice classified as pathological rotations. In order to 21 investigate the interplay between D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs during specific dyskinesia 22 types, we combined the new behavioral method with SPN calcium imaging in freelybehaving mice. We could therefore quantify the activity patterns of D1-SPN and D2-SPN during each dyskinesia cluster. Our results show that the two dyskinesia types and the pathological rotations were encoded by highly specific sets of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs. These sets of SPNs emerge from combinations of D1 and D2-SPNs encoding normal behaviors under baseline conditions.

6 The present study introduces the first application of a non-invasive miniaturized 7 wireless IMU version for mice to quantify pathological movements. The unsupervised 8 behavioral clustering method was based on previous work developed by Klaus and collaborators ¹⁵, where the body movement of healthy mice in an open field arena was 9 10 monitored and clustered using wired IMUs and video data. As in Klaus and colleagues, 11 we used total BA and GA in the antero-posterior axis; however, diverging from their 12 approach, the head rotation parameter was taken from the IMUs and in particular from 13 the gyroscope component, rather than from the video. The addition of the fourth feature, the axial bending angle, was key to capture the axial component of dyskinesia ²². Using 14 15 the combination of these four features, the unsupervised behavioral clustering detected 16 significant changes in the cluster distribution of dyskinetic mice, evidenced by the 17 emergence of a new behavioral space represented in Figure 1H. Our approach enabled 18 us to prove that LID is not simply an acceleration or exaggeration of normal movements, 19 but involves the emergence of abnormal motor motifs that are not found in non-20 dyskinetic animals, whether intact or parkinsonian.

To establish the nature of the obtained behavioral clusters, we used the dyskinesia annotations to group the clusters into higher order groups. As a result, we obtained cluster groups corresponding to three specific dyskinesia types, i.e. axial

combined with limb, axial alone and limb alone. The latter was discarded from further 1 2 analyses due to a large degree of overlap with the kinematic properties of limb 3 movements during grooming in intact animals. Based on the combined use of four 4 relevant primary features, the behavioral signatures identified by the cluster groups 5 represent with high fidelity the posture-motion dynamics of dyskinetic mice exhibiting 6 axial and limb AIMs. For example, as dyskinetic mice develop limb AIMs, they stop locomoting to execute the characteristic fluttering movement of the contralateral 7 forelimb. This was reflected in the axial+limb cluster group by a decrease in the BA and 8 9 rotations, along with a position of the head closer to the floor (lower GA). When 10 dyskinetic mice develop axial AIMs without limb involvement, we would expect an 11 increase in BA and head rotation combined with a twisted, dystonic body posture (low 12 axial angle) and with the head raised towards a higher GA level. These features were 13 indeed observed in the axial alone clusters. In addition, the cluster expression of axial-14 *limb* and *axial alone* was significantly correlated with the corresponding AIMs scores. 15 These results show that our behavioral clustering method can detect and quantify 16 dyskinetic motor patterns with very high kinematic precision.

17 Interestingly, our clustering analysis detected an abnormal behavior that we 18 defined as pathological rotations (*path rot*). The *path rot* cluster group included 19 behavioral motifs that were not correlated to classical axial and/or limb AIMs, yet 20 pathological because totally absent in intact and Les-VEH mice. This cluster group was 21 characterized by pronounced head rotation (large head angle) occurring simultaneously 22 with high body acceleration. The axial bending angle was however comparable to that 23 measured in the *other N* cluster group. *Path rot* behavior most likely corresponds to an

initial phase of axial dyskinesia, which typically starts with a tight contralateral twisting of 1 2 the head preceding the torsion of the body and the stopping of forward body motions. 3 Contralateral rotations are frequently measured in unilateral models of PD-LID using 4 automated videotracking or rotary sensors with photobeam detectors. Measured in this way, contralateral rotations correlate poorly with LID ratings²⁸, and they are indeed 5 6 induced to a much larger degree by non-dyskinesiogenic treatments with DA agonists compared to L-DOPA ^{28,29}. In many circumstances, contralateral rotations have been 7 8 found to reflect therapeutic-like effects of antiparkinsonian or antidyskinetic treatments 9 (discussed in Cenci and Crossman 2018). Importantly, the high kinematic precision 10 offered by our method enabled us to isolate pathological components of the animal's 11 rotational behavior, where an abnormally tight turning of the head occurs while the 12 mouse is moving forward (high body acceleration).

13 In the second part of our study, we aimed at revealing the neural activity patterns of D1-SPN and D2-SPN during dyskinesia. As previously reported ^{5,6}, dyskinetic mice 14 exhibited an increased average D1-SPN activity and a reduced D2-SPN activity during 15 16 periods of movement. We also investigated whether SPNs would exhibit altered spatiotemporal activation patterns, as indicated by Parker and colleagues ⁵. Overall, 17 cross-correlations between pairs of active SPNs exhibited the same spatiotemporal 18 19 configuration in all experimental groups, with a sharp decline between 20 and 47 µm 20 and hardly any cross-correlation at intercellular distances larger than 100 µm. This 21 suggests that small groups of contiguous SPN fire together also under parkinsonian and dyskinetic conditions, presumably driven by the same excitatory input ³⁰, resembling the 22 situation reported in intact mice during movement ^{15,31}. At variance with the results of ⁵, 23

our data therefore indicate that the gross spatial organization of SPN activity is 1 2 maintained during LID, although the number of active neurons, their event rates, and 3 the D1-SPN/D2-SPN activity ratio are profoundly abnormal. Importantly, however, a marked difference between SPN types was found specifically in the Les-LD mice at 4 5 intercellular distances below 40 µm, with a larger co-activation of D1-SPNs compared to 6 D2-SPNs. Given that co-activity patterns of D1 and D2-SPNs were basically identical 7 under normal conditions (see Figure S5G-H), the highly significant divergence in cross-8 correlation between the two SPN types at short intercellular distances represents a 9 substantial change. This data points to an altered interplay between contiguous groups of D1- and D2-SPNs that should be equally coactive during movement ¹⁵. 10

11 For the first time, we could relate specific dyskinetic movements to the neural 12 activity of striatal ensembles with single-cell resolution. At first, we saw that average 13 calcium event rates of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs did not differ significantly between 14 behavioral clusters. This data indicated that the average increase in D1-SPN and 15 decrease in D2-SPN activity was not driven by a specific behavior, but also that the 16 average SPN activity per se could not explain the emergence of different types of 17 dyskinesia. Next, taking advantage of the single-cell resolution, we could identify specific non-overlapping groups of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs whose activity was 18 19 correlated to each behavioral cluster group. Although these specific sets of neurons 20 fired also under other conditions, their activity was maximal during their associated 21 behaviors. The sets of D1-SPNs linked with the two dyskinesia cluster groups had 22 doubled their activity compared to the average activity during the moving periods. 23 Although the entire D2-SPNs population showed very low calcium event rates in Les-

LD, the D2-SPN ensembles associated with dyskinesia or pathological rotation 1 2 exhibited markedly higher activity than the rest of the D2 population during the 3 expression of their corresponding behavioral clusters. This was particularly clear for D2 4 SPNs tuned to the axial+limb and axial alone clusters, which showed a 3-fold increase 5 in event rate compared to the average value found in Les-LD (and also higher than the 6 Int-LD average rate) during the expression of those specific behaviors. However, 7 despite showing a relatively high activity, these D2-SPN groups fired at an 8 approximately 2-fold lower rate than the D1-SPNs tuned to the same behavioral cluster. 9 The marked hyperactivity of certain groups of D1-SPN over the average of that population is likely to depend on a stronger excitatory drive as shown in ³⁰. Importantly, 10 11 we found that the majority of the SPNs associated with the dyskinesia and pathological 12 rotation clusters were also active during normal behaviors at baseline, suggesting that 13 their marked activity levels during LID might trigger a shift from normal to abnormal 14 motion patterns. Under baseline conditions, these neurons appear to encode for 15 behaviors that are physically similar to the type of dyskinesia to which they are tuned, 16 suggesting that the predetermined phenotype of hyperactive neurons determines which 17 pattern of dyskinesia will emerge on L-DOPA.

In summary, using a novel approach to quantify dyskinetic movements, our study unveils the underlying changes in D1-SPN and D2-SPN activity with unprecedented granularity. Using in vivo recordings with single-cell resolution, we not only confirm the existence of specific sets of D1-SPNs associated with dyskinetic motions, but also describe the presence of a small group of D2-SPNs that are disproportionately active in each dyskinesia cluster. The large disparities in event rates and cross-correlation found

between D1- and D2-SPNs indicate that, despite their relatively high activity levels, 1 2 dyskinesia-specific D2-SPNs fail to brake nearby hyperactive D1-SPNs via inhibitory axon collaterals. While confirming that an imbalance between D1- vs. D2-SPN activity in 3 favor of the former is a generic signature of LID, our results indicate that specific 4 5 combinations of abnormally active SPNs dictate the moment-to-moment expression of 6 particular LID features. Interestingly, the SPN ensembles tuned to specific dyskinetic motions appear to encode physically related normal movements under baseline 7 conditions. 8

1 METHODS

2 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

3 The study was performed in bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase under the control of the dopamine D1 receptor (D1-Cre. 4 5 Tg(Drd1a-cre) FK150Gsat/Mmucd; MMRRC #029178-UCD) for targeting of directpathway SPNs, and adenosine A2a receptor (A2a-Cre, B6.FVB(Cg)-Tg(Adora2acre) 6 KG139Gsat/Mmucd: MMRRC #036158-UCD) for targeting indirect-pathway SPNs. All 7 8 lines have been backcrossed onto C57BI6/J mice for at least 8 generations. 9 Experimental mice were 3 to 5-month-old males housed on a 12-hr light/dark cycle with 10 ad libitum access to food and water. All animal procedures were reviewed and 11 performed in accordance with the Champalimaud Center for the Unknown Ethics 12 committee guidelines and approved by the Portuguese Veterinary General Board 13 (Direcao Geral de Veterinária, Ref. No. 0421/000/000/2014). Sample size is detailed in the Results or figure legends. 14

15

16 METHOD DETAILS

17 **6-Hydroxydopamine lesion and virus injection**

6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) lesion and virus injection were performed in the same surgery. Surgeries were performed under isoflurane (1%-3%, plus oxygen at 1-1.5 //min) anaesthesia on a stereotactic frame (David Kopf Instruments, Model 962LS), with a mouse adaptor (David Kopf Instruments, Model 923-B Mouse Gas Anaesthesia Head

Holder). Throughout each surgery, mouse body temperature was maintained at 37°C 1 2 using an animal temperature controller (ATC1000, World Precision Instruments) and 3 afterwards, each mouse was allowed to recover from the anesthesia on a heating pad. After shaving and disinfecting the surgical area of the mouse head with 70% ethanol 4 and iodine, a small incision was made on the skin to allow for alignment of the skull and 5 6 drilling of the injection holes for 6-OHDA and virus injections. Chronic striatal DA denervation was produced through unilateral injection of 6-OHDA in the medial 7 8 forebrain bundle (MFB). The toxin 6-OHDA hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, Portugal) was 9 dissolved in 0.02% ice-cold L-ascorbic acid/saline (3.2 µg free-base 6-OHDA/µL), and 1 10 µL was injected into the MFB (coordinates: AP= - 0.7, ML= - 1.2, DV= - 4.7), using a 11 capillary attached to a Nanojet II Injector (Drummond Scientific, USA) at a rate of 4.6 nL 12 per pulse every 5 s. The capillary was left in place for 2 minutes before and 10 minutes 13 after the injection. After injecting the toxin, the capillary was replaced with a new one to 14 inject the virus, which was injected into the striatum ipsilateral to the lesion. Each animal 15 was then unilaterally injected with 600 nL of AAV5.CAG.Flex.GCaMP6f.WPRE.SV40 16 (University of Pennsylvania Vector Core) into the right dorsal striatum (AP= + 0.5, ML= -17 2.3, DV= 2.3). After the surgery, the wound was closed with tissue glue (Vetbond tissue adhesive, 3M, USA) and the animal received a subcutaneous injection of the analgesic 18 19 Carprofen (5 mg/kg s.c; 10 µL/10 g body weight). To prevent dehydration, mice received 20 a subcutaneous injection of sterile glucose-ringer acetate (0.6 mL) immediately after the 21 surgery. During the first 2 to 3 weeks post-surgery, mice received daily subcutaneous 22 injections of sterile glucose-ringer acetate solution (0.1 mL/10 g body weight) and 23 dietary supplementations, as necessary. In addition, mice were kept in a warming

cabinet at 27 °C to keep a constant body temperature avoiding hypothermia ⁹. Lesions
were also verified at the end of the study using tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)
immunohistochemistry ^{9,20}, and only mice with more than 90% TH depletion were
included in the study (Figure S1A).

5

6 Chronic lens implantation and inertial sensor holder placement

7 Following the same surgical procedures, 3 weeks after 6-OHDA and viral injections, a 8 gradient index (GRIN) lens (diameter: 1 mm, length: 4 mm; Inscopix) was implanted in 9 the right dorsolateral striatum directly above the viral injection site (AP= + 0.5, ML= -10 2.3, DV= 2.3), after carefully aspirating 1.8-2 mm of the overlying cortical tissue with a 11 30-gauge blunt needle. Care was taken to minimize bleeding before inserting the lens. 12 Once in place, the lens was secured to the skull using superglue and a self-curing 13 adhesive resin cement (Super-Bond C&B Kit, SUN MEDICAL CO., LTD., Japan). A 14 small screw was placed on the surface of the skull and a layer of resin surrounded the 15 lens and covered the screw to increase the lens bond to the skull and to minimize motion artifacts during imaging. To the self-curing adhesive resin cement, we added the 16 17 mixture of black Ortho-Jet powder and liquid acrylic resin (Lang Dental, USA) on top, and finally a tape was placed to protect the lens surface. One week after the GRIN lens 18 19 implantation, the microendoscope baseplate (nVistaHD, Inscopix) was attached to the 20 microendoscope, and placed at the best focal plane to observe neuronal structures and 21 blood vessels when present. Once the best focus was found, the baseplate was 22 secured with a first layer of self-curing adhesive resin cement and a second layer of 23 black cement to permanently secure the baseplate to the head cap prior to removing the

microscope and attaching a baseplate cover (Inscopix) to the baseplate. Once the baseplate was placed, a small connector or holder for the wireless IMU was cemented on the back of the baseplate. The imaging field of view was inspected and allowed to clear for several days prior to imaging and behavioral experiments. Mice were excluded prior to the collection of experimental data based on imaging quality due to bad focal plane, movement artifacts or a lack of cells.

7

8 **Drug treatment**

9 L-DOPA methyl ester (L-DOPA also called LD; 6 mg/kg; from Sigma Aldrich, Portugal) 10 and the peripheral DOPA decarboxylase inhibitor benserazide-HCI (12 mg/kg; from 11 Sigma Aldrich, Portugal) were dissolved in physiological saline (9 g/L NaCl). L-DOPA 12 was injected intraperitoneally with a volume of 10 mL/kg body weight. Vehicle (VEH) 13 solution corresponding to physiological saline (9 g/L NaCl) was used as control. VEH 14 was given for 2 consecutive days during which mice were recorded for imaging and 15 behavior, and the following day, L-DOPA was administered for 3-4 consecutive days 16 while recorded as well for imaging and behavior.

17

18 **Open-field experiments**

19 Experiments were conducted in a white open-field arena (40 cm X 40 cm) with a 20 transparent acrylic on the bottom and on the side, placed inside a sound-attenuating 21 chamber. A video camera (Flea3, Point Grey Research) was placed on the bottom of 22 the arena to record mouse behavior from the bottom at 30-40 frames per second (fps).

Before starting the experiments, mice were habituated for 2-3 days (1 hour per mouse 1 2 per day) to the head-mounted equipment by using a replica of the microendoscope with 3 the same weight (~ 2 g) and the actual wireless inertial sensor (~ 1.8 g). On the day of 4 the experiment, mice were lightly anesthetized with isoflurane to facilitate mounting (and 5 removal) of the microendoscope and the inertial sensor. Fifteen min after initial recovery 6 from anesthesia, mice were video-recorded and striatal activity and acceleration were 7 simultaneously recorded for 10 min, this period being referred to as baseline (BL). After 8 BL, mice were taken out of the arena, injected with the L-DOPA or VEH and placed in a 9 cage close to the arena. After a period of 20 min, at the peak of the L-DOPA²⁰, the 10 animals were placed back inside the open field arena and recorded/imaged for another 11 10 min (see *Timeline* Figure 1A). Note that the microendoscope and the inertial sensor 12 were left in place along the whole session, from the BL till the end of the L-DOPA/VEH 13 recording. Acceleration was recorded using head-mounted wireless inertial sensors with 14 a sampling rate of 200 Hz (for inertial sensor's details see next section). The inertial 15 sensor was secured to a connector or holder placed on the back of the 16 microendoscope, as described above, with a consistent alignment of its axes (see 17 Figure 1A). We note that a subset of mice was recorded with a different alignment and 18 axes were corrected in a pre-processing step before subsequent data analyses.

19

20 Wireless inertial measurement unit (IMU)

The wireless, custom-made inertial measurement units (IMU) (Champalimaud Hardware Platform; WEAR motion sensor system - <u>https://www.cf-hw.org/harp/wear</u>) delivers a self-centered 9-axis inertial sensor containing 3-axes for accelerometer, gyroscope and

magnetometer (the latest was not used in this study). The wireless IMUs are small and 1 2 light (~1.8 g) and can sample data up to 200 Hz, with a battery allowing to record up to 3 4 h of data. The IMUs are connected to the computer through a base station (HARP 4 design, designed by the Champalimaud Hardware Platform), which provides hardware-5 based synchronization. We used Bonsai visual reactive programming (Bonsai v2.4, 6 https://bonsai-rx.org/), which is compatible with the WEAR motion devices, to integrate and synchronize all the data coming from different sources such as the IMUs, the 7 8 camera recordings and the calcium imaging recordings. Time stamps from the IMU, the 9 video cameras and the microendoscope were then aligned using custom MATLAB 10 scripts.

11

12 One-photon calcium imaging

One-photon imaging of intracellular calcium activity was acquired at 20 fps using an nVista microendoscope [lens: 1mm diameter, 4mm length, 0.5 numerical aperture; excitation: blue light-emitting diode (LED); excitation filter: 475/10 nm, 0.24-0.6 mW/mm2; emission filter: 535/50 nm; Inscopix, Palo Alto, CA] and with LED power set at 20%–40%, and gain level 4. Resulting calcium movies, video and IMU data were analyzed as described below.

19

20 Measurement and quantification of body acceleration with the IMU

The percentage of time moving per session was calculated using the body acceleration (BA) component of the IMU, which accurately tracks animal movement and correlates

with pixel change in video measurements ^{15,21}. As shown by Alves da Silva and 1 2 colleagues, the average distribution of the logarithm of total BA was bimodal, with a very 3 low acceleration distribution corresponding to immobility periods and a high acceleration 4 distribution corresponding to periods of mobility. As done previously in the mentioned 5 studies, to separate between rest and moving periods, we put a threshold at the value 6 that separates the two peaks in the BA distribution. Using this threshold, which in our 7 case corresponded to 0.05 g ($\ln(0.05) = -3 \text{ a.u.}$, Figure S2C), we calculated the 8 percentage of time that the mice moved per session and the acceleration in the periods 9 where the mice were strictly moving (Figure 1B).

10

11 Abnormal Involuntary Movements (AIMs)

12 Three topographic subtypes of abnormal involuntary movements (AIMs; axial, limb and 13 orofacial) were rated for 1 min every 5 min for a total of 10 min following L-DOPA injection ^{9,19,20}. Axial AIMs are twisting movements or dystonic postures of neck and 14 15 upper body towards the side contralateral to the lesion; limb AIMs are circular or fluttering movements of the contralateral forelimb; orofacial AIMs include twitching of 16 17 facial muscles, jaw movements, and contralateral tongue protrusion. During the test, 18 every mouse was scored on a well-characterized severity scale that is based on the 19 duration and persistence of each dyskinetic behavior (0 = no dyskinesia; 1 = occasional20 signs of dyskinesia; 2 = frequent signs of dyskinesia, present for more than 50% of the 21 observation time; 3 = dyskinesia present during the entire observation time, but 22 interruptible by mild external stimuli; 4 = continuous dyskinesia, not interrupted by mild

external stimuli). L-DOPA, at a dose of 6 mg/kg, was administered for 3-4 days and AIM
 scores were rated each day/session at 20, 25 and 30 min post injection.

3

4 Manual annotations of dyskinesia

Manual annotations of dyskinetic movements induced by L-DOPA were made frame by 5 6 frame using video data recorded with a bottom camera. The annotations were 7 performed with the software Python video annotator (https://github.com/video-8 annotator/pythonvideoannotator), for a total of 10 min per mouse, a total of 13 mice and 9 1 or 2 days per mouse (depicted in Figure 2A and Movie S2). Only two types of AIMs 10 were annotated: axial and limb. The orofacial dyskinesia, although scored live (as 11 described above), was not possible to annotate due to not enough resolution (30-40Hz) 12 and angle view of the bottom camera. The criteria to detect the beginning and end of 13 specific axial AIMs was the following. Beginning of the annotation: i) torsion of the 14 neck/upper trunk by almost 90 degrees (bipedal position) and ii) contralateral (to the 15 lesion) forelimb off the floor and ipsilateral forepaw extended close to the floor; end: i) 4 paws on the floor, guadrupedal position and ii) small deviation of the neck/upper trunk of 16 17 less than 60 degrees. For the limb AIMs, it was as follows: the digits of the contralateral forelimb flexed and held in a fist and close to the snout was considered the beginning of 18 19 the annotation; the end of the annotation corresponded to the digits of the contralateral 20 forelimb extended and far from the snout.

Along the whole recording period, we obtained frames where axial or limb were present and frames where axial and limb appeared at the same time. Therefore, the following three combinations of annotations were considered for the analysis: *axial*

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.06.611664; this version posted September 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

alone, limb alone and axial+limb. We observed that limb alone did not occur very often,
 when present, limb was generally combined with axial (Pearson correlation between
 limb and axial AIMs shows a positive correlation, data not shown).

4

5 Video tracking and axial bending angle extraction

6 We used the video recordings of the bottom camera and DeepLabCut software 7 (https://github.com/DeepLabCut/DeepLabCut) to track 9 regions of interest: nose, tail 8 base and tail tip, left and right hind limb, left and right forelimbs, and, in order to extract 9 additional head posture details, the base and tip of the accelerometer antenna (depicted 10 in Figure 1D and Movie S1). The data set for the training of the network consisted of a 11 total of 2840 manually labeled frames selected from 81 sessions (n =12 Int, n =13 Les 12 mice; including VEH and LD together with their corresponding BL sessions). We used a 13 training and test fraction of 0.95 and 0.05, respectively. The trained network was used 14 to extract the positions of all regions of interest during the entire video session resulting in a set of time series for each region (i.e., x- and y-positions and the likelihood, which is 15 an indicator of the "guality" of the extracted position). In a post-processing step, for each 16 17 region of interest, we linearly interpolated the x- and y-time series if the likelihood was 18 less than 0.6.

Once we obtained the correct tracking of all regions of interest in all mice/all sessions, we proceeded to the extraction of the axial bending angle (θ axial) as described in ²². In short, the θ axial was defined as the angle generated from the intersection between 2 vectors emerging from the mid-point between the hindlimbs (*mid HL*) to the nose and to the tail base (Figure 1D).

Based on the X and Y coordinates for the nose (*Nose*(*xNose*, *yNose*), hindlimbs $L_HL(xL_HL, yL_HL), R_HL(xR_HL, yR_HL)$ and base *Tail*(*xTail*, *yTail*)), and the *mid_HL*, we calculated the v_{Nose} and v_{Tail} vectors as follow:

4
$$mid_{HL} = ((xR_HL + xL_HL)/2, (yR_HL + yL_HL)/2)$$

7

8 Then, to get the axial angle, θ axial, we calculated the cosine of the angle
9 between the *"nose" and "tail"* vectors:

$$cos(\theta) = (v_Nose \cdot v_Tail)/(|v_Nose| |v_Tail|)$$

where $v_Nose \cdot v_Tail$ is the dot product of the two vectors and $|v_Nose|$ and $|v_Tail|$ are their Euclidean lengths.

Using the trained DLC network, the axial bending angle was then calculated for this cohort of mice (n = 12 intact, n = 13 lesioned) for all n = 142 sessions and the entire 10 min recordings (in total 16.9 hours).

For another group of mice that were used for calcium imaging (D1-Cre: lesioned n = 3 and intact n = 4; A2a-Cre: lesioned n = 4 and intact n = 3), we only had videorecordings from a camera placed on top of the open field that did not allow us to use the tracking method described above. We therefore developed a custom Python script to track semi-automatically the 4 body parts needed to get θ axial, i.e. the nose, tail base, left HL and right HL. We annotated these body parts every 5 to 20 frames for a total of 10 min recordings per mouse and session (n = 7 lesioned mice with 2 days per mouse,
1 including VEH and LD; n = 7 intact mice with 2 days per mouse, LD). The calculation of 2 the θ axial in this group of mice was done as described above.

3

4 Unsupervised behavioral clustering

5 Behavior was guantified based on the time series of acceleration and gyroscope data obtained from the IMU and the bending angle obtained with video. In short, we 6 7 extracted features that capture head and body posture and motion in three dimensions. 8 In particular, we used the following features given by the sensors: (i) total body 9 acceleration (BA) to distinguish movement versus rest. (ii) gravitational acceleration 10 along the anterior-posterior axis (GA_{AP}) to extract postural changes such as those observed during rearing ¹⁵; (iii) gyroscope (or angular velocity) along the dorso-ventral 11 12 axis that infers the head rotational behavior (θ head) and (iv) axial bending angle (θ axial), to get a measure of the axial component of dyskinesia. Example traces of each 13 14 feature are shown in Figure 1C and S2A.

15 Total body acceleration was defined as the square root of the sum of the squares of the BA of each axis (BA= $\sqrt{(BA^2_{AP} + BA^2_{MI} + BA^2_{DV})}$), where $BA_{AP/MI/DV}$ denote the 16 body acceleration in the anteroposterior, mediolateral, and dorsoventral axis, 17 respectively, with respect to the animal's head. The individual BA components were 18 19 calculated by median-filtering the raw acceleration and gyroscope time series with a 20 7th-order one-dimensional median filter and by a subsequent Gaussian filter (type 21 *fspecial*), to remove noise peaks. Gravitational acceleration, GA, was obtained for each 22 axis by median and subsequent low-pass filtering (0.5 Hz cutoff, first-order Butterworth

1 filter and a *filtfilt* filter). We used GA_{AP} to quantify postural changes (i.e., vertical head 2 position) in the open field during resting, locomotion and rearing (as in ¹⁵.

Head angular velocity of the animal was obtained from the gyroscope component of the IMU data (processed as mentioned above). To capture rotational behavior, we used the dorsoventral axis and measured the cumulative head angle (θ head) from the beginning until the end of a head rotation for all rotations with a minimum of ±10 deg/sec. The value for each rotation was set to the estimated total rotation angle (Figure S2A).

9 The signal distribution of the above-mentioned features, BA, GA_{AP} , θ head and θ 10 axial of all mice and sessions, was used to define the thresholds for binning the 11 feature's time series (histogram of distributions and thresholds shown in Figure S2C). 12 The thresholds of all features were defined as follows. The threshold for BA was set to 13 separate moving and resting as described in *Measurement and quantification of body* 14 acceleration with the IMU. For GA_{AP}, two thresholds were defined (GA_{AP} thresholds (au) 15 = - 0.4 and 0) to capture vertical head movements including head down, head forward 16 and head up (including rearing). For the θ axial feature, one single threshold of 90 deg 17 was imposed, which corresponded to the 90 deg angle of the upper torso of the mice. Finally, for the θ head feature we used a threshold of ± 25 deg to detect smaller 18 19 rotations or head deviations and a higher threshold of ±165 deg to capture stronger (i.e., 20 pathological) rotations (see Figure S3). Here, positive and negative values indicate 21 right/ipsilateral and left/contralateral rotations, respectively. Note that the strong 22 pathological ipsilateral rotations never happened in this study.

With the time series of the features binned using the thresholds described above, 1 2 we did sliding windows of 250 ms with 80% overlap and compared them. For this, we used a similarity measure based on the so-called earth mover's distance (EMD)^{15,32}. 3 4 EMD calculates the pairwise similarity between consecutive sliding windows to get the 5 change-points. Change-points are defined as significant changes in acceleration data 6 corresponding to peaks detected above a threshold that was set to the average change-7 point value (0.005). The signal between two change-points, termed behavioral blocks or 8 behavioral segments, had an average duration of 1.04 s (minimum duration: 100 ms, 9 median duration: 550 ms). The obtained similarity measures were clustered using affinity propagation ^{15,32} on the EMD similarity of the behavioral blocks. Combined, for 10 11 all the 600 s long recordings, this resulted in a 45,571 × 45,571 similarity matrix. After 12 matching with the entire data set (see next section Library of mouse behavior and 13 *matching procedure*), the clustering revealed a total of 56 clusters, whose signatures 14 are shown in the *Cluster exemplars* matrix in Figure S2D. To measure the accuracy of 15 the clustering, we did a ROC analysis, which determines whether two behavioral segments belong to the same or to different behavioral clusters based on a single 16 17 threshold (Figure 1F). "True positive" corresponds to behavioral segments that were correctly classified as belonging to the same cluster whereas "false positive" 18 19 corresponds to the behavioral segments from the same cluster that were misclassified 20 as belonging to different clusters (n = 25 mice). Based on the probability of BA being 21 below the "moving" threshold (see Figure S2A, C and D), we defined 49 out of the 56 22 clusters as "moving" clusters (i.e., probability of resting < 0.5) and the remaining 7 23 clusters as "resting" (i.e., probability of resting > 0.5).

1 Library of mouse behavior and matching procedure

2 Due to the computational complexity of the clustering algorithm, we created a library of 3 mouse behavior by running the clustering for a subset of 81 sessions (n = 25 mice in 4 total; n = 12 Int, n = 13 Les; ~13 h of data) out of a total of 142 sessions. These included the four conditions, i.e., Int and Les, each treated with VEH and LD plus the BL 5 6 sessions and one to three days per animal and treatment. We defined clusters present in a condition if they occurred a minimum of 3 s per session (corresponding to 0.5% of 7 the session duration). Using this definition, we found 26 clusters present in Int-VEH and 8 9 23 clusters absent in Int-VEH (Figure 1G). As mentioned above, our entire data set 10 consisted of a total number of 142 sessions, which corresponded to 16.9 h of acceleration and video data from n = 39 mice. These additional sessions were clustered 11 12 on a session-by-session basis and matched to the library a posteriori using a matching 13 procedure based on the closest EMD. We confirmed the accuracy of this approach by 14 comparing the EMD similarity between original (library) and matched data with that of 15 shuffled data (Figure S2B, right panel).

16

17 Behavioral cluster groups

For each of the 49 moving clusters, we calculated the Pearson correlation for each mouse between the occurrence of the cluster and each of the 3 combinations of dyskinesia annotations (*axial+limb*, *axial alone* and *limb alone*) and considered a correlation to be significant if the p-value was smaller than 0.05 (Figure S3A, B). We found clusters that were positively correlated with specifically one type of dyskinesia annotation, such as cluster #20, which correlated to *axial alone* in 10 out of 13 mice

(Figure S3A), and others that were positively correlated with more than one type of 1 2 annotation, such as cluster #7, which was correlated to axial+limb and axial alone 3 annotations. We also found clusters that were negatively correlated to annotations (data 4 not shown). Next, we grouped behavioral clusters at the cohort level into the following 5 dyskinesia cluster groups: axial+limb, axial alone and limb alone. Due to the variability 6 of some of the dyskinetic behavior across mice, we used the following criteria: a cluster 7 must be significantly positively correlated to a combination of dyskinesia annotation in at 8 least two mice. If significant negative correlations were present in some mice, the 9 number of mice with significant positive correlations should be at least two more than 10 the number of mice with significant negative correlations. This resulted in 9 axial+limb 11 clusters, 11 axial alone clusters and one limb alone cluster (Figure 2C). In the remaining 12 moving clusters, we found 20 clusters that were present in Int-VEH and referred to them 13 as other N (N for "normal"). The remaining clusters appeared only in Les-LD and were 14 largely characterized by strong pathological rotations (*path rot*). We note that behavioral 15 clusters of the other N group also appeared in dyskinetic mice (Figure 2E, Les-LD). 16 Although the behavioral signature of the clusters in other N Int-VEH and Les-LD was 17 very similar, we observed differences in the probability of occurrence as shown in 18 Figure S4B.

19

20 Visualization of behavioral similarity in two dimensions

For the visualization of the behavioral segments in the two-dimensional space as done previously ¹⁵, we used the non-linear dimensionality reduction technique t-SNE (*tdistributed stochastic neighbor embedding*, ³³ (Figure 1H). In Figure 1H left, we show

the space representation of the VEH and LD sessions of the library ('All VEH & LD'), where each dot represents a behavioral segment and each color corresponds to a different cluster. On the right is the behavioral representation of each of the four conditions Int/VEH or LD and Les/VEH or LD. We used the matrix of pairwise EMD for all behavioral histograms (i.e., 300 ms time bins) as the input for the algorithm. We verified that the Euclidean distance in the two-dimensional projection appropriately reflected the true EMD (data not shown).

8

9 Classifier of axial+limb and axial alone dyskinesia

10 To evaluate the predictive power of the dyskinesia cluster groups, we trained a linear 11 support vector classifier that predicts the type of dyskinesia (i.e., annotation) based on the axial+limb and axial alone cluster groups. Specifically, we used a leave-one mouse-12 13 out cross-validation approach and a fraction of 0.8 and 0.2 for training and testing, 14 respectively. Importantly, data for training and testing was balanced by subsampling the 15 data repeatedly and calculating the average accuracy (n = 5000). The chance level 16 accuracy for shuffled data was calculated by random permutation of the classification 17 labels.

18

19 Calcium imaging processing and analysis

Calcium recordings were pre-processed in batches using Mosaic and the *Inscopix Data Processing Software library* with a custom Matlab script for spatial binning and motion
 correction. Background and neuropil contamination in the one-photon microendoscopic

1 data was corrected for using a constrained non-negative matrix factorization for 2 microendoscopic data (CNMF-E; ^{15,24,25}) and single-neuron footprints and their 3 corresponding activities, C_{raw} , were extracted from up to 318 neurons. In order to 4 compare neuronal activities between BL and treatment (i.e., VEH or LD), we matched 5 neuronal footprints within a session (i.e., when the microendoscope was kept in place) 6 using a combination of correlation matching of the spatial footprints and manual 7 curation.

8 To account for the decay dynamics of the genetically encoded calcium sensor (GCaMP6f), we quantified the event rate for each neuron by deconvolving the C_{raw} 9 10 activity traces using spike deconvolution. Since small fluctuations in the baseline 11 fluorescence can lead to spuriously detected spikes, we used the MLspike algorithm which explicitly models a time-varying baseline ³⁴. We used the following parameters for 12 the spike detection: $\tau_{decay} = 400$ ms, offset correction to obtain strictly positive C_{raw} 13 values, multiplicative drift estimation with drift parameter 0.2, drift baseline start 0 and 14 15 drift mean and standard deviation estimated for each trace by fitting a normal distribution to the distribution of offset-corrected C_{raw} . 16

For the quantification of the event rate (events/sec) during the resting periods, we used the following criteria for immobility: the mouse has to have a BA lower than the threshold 0.05 g, for at least 500 ms. In the Les-LD condition, the criteria of immobility was not attained, there were only a few periods in a few mice where the mice spent from 500 to 700 ms with a BA below 0.05 g.

For the calculation of the spatiotemporal correlations, we used the same approach as in ¹⁵ based on the Craw time series. In short, the Pearson correlation

1 coefficient of pairwise correlations together with the corresponding inter-neuronal 2 distances of the spatial footprints was calculated for all neuronal pairs within an imaging 3 session. The correlation values were binned into nine bins of logarithmically scaled 4 spatial distances (15-750 µm) and averaged over all neurons within an imaging session. 5 Spatiotemporal correlation plots represent the averages +/- SEM across the mice.

6

7 Grooming-related neurons

Since we obtained a behavioral cluster that captured grooming behavior in intact mice, we quantified the neural activity of SPNs during the *grooming* cluster group in Int-LD (Figure S6E). The average event rate was similar in D1-SPN and D2-SPN (around 0.1 Hz). We found a small percentage of *grooming*-related neurons (9-12 %), with values reached 0.35 Hz in both SPNs.

13

14 SPN activity during periods of non-dyskinesia

15 We were interested in comparing the striatal activity of intact mice under LD and Les-LD 16 mice during periods of non-dyskinesia. We thus guantified the average event rate, the 17 percentage of behavior-related neurons and its rate in intact mice treated with LD during 18 the other N cluster group and compared it to Les-LD mice also during the other N 19 (Figure S6B-D). While the average event rate in Int-LD was comparable between D1-20 SPN and D2-SPN (around 0.1 Hz, also comparable to the average rate in moving 21 periods Figure 3C and E), we observed a significant increase in D1-SPN in dyskinetic 22 mice compared to intact during other N behavior, while the activity was decreased in 1 D2-SPN in dyskinetic mice (Figure S6B). The percentage of *other N*-related D1-SPNs 2 was not significantly different between intact and dyskinetic mice, while it was 3 significantly decreased in D2-SPNs of Les-LD mice (Figure S6C). When quantifying the 4 neural activity of the *other N*-related neurons, we found that the D1-SPN in Int-LD had 5 an event rate close to 0.15 Hz, which was significantly lower than the rate in Les-LD. 6 *Other N*-related D2-SPNs however showed an activity rate of around 0.15 Hz in Int-LD, 7 not significantly different from Les-LD mice (Figure S6D).

8

9 Tissue preparation and immunohistochemistry

10 Twenty minutes after an intraperitoneal injection of VEH or LD (6 mg/kg), mice were 11 rapidly anesthetized with pentobarbital (500 mg/kg, i.p.; Sanofi-Aventis) and perfused 12 transcardially with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in saline phosphate buffer (PBS), pH 7.4. 13 Brains were postfixed overnight in the same solution and stored at 4°C. Thirty micron-14 thick sections were cut with a Vibratome (Leica vibratome VT1000) and stored at -20°C 15 in a solution containing 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 30% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, until they were processed for immunohistochemistry. The extent of 16 17 dopamine denervation was verified in each animal by immunohistochemical staining for TH, and the transfection efficiency of the GCamP6f-GFP viral constructs was verified 18 19 using an antibody that recognizes the fluorescent reporter protein GFP, according to the 20 following protocol for double immunofluorescence. Day 1. Free-floating sections were 21 rinsed in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 0.10 M Tris and 0.14 M NaCl, pH 7.4), incubated for 22 5 minutes in TBS containing 3% H₂O₂ and 10% methanol, and then rinsed again. After 23 15 min incubation in TBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100, sections were blocked in serum

solution, and then incubated overnight at 4°C in a mixture containing of a rabbit 1 polyclonal α GFP primary antibody (1:1000, GFP Polyclonal Antibody, Alexa Fluor[™] 2 3 488, Invitrogen (Molecular Probes) Cat#A-21311), and a rabbit polyclonal α TH antibody (1:1000, Rabbit anti-TH: Peel Freez Biological, Product code: P40101-150). Day 2. 4 5 Sections were rinsed in TBS and incubated for 45 min with the secondary antibody goat 6 Alexa 594-coupled (1:400; Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit, Jackson ImmunoResearch 7 Labs Cat#115-585-045). After final rinsing steps, sections were mounted in a polyvinyl 8 alcohol mounting medium (PVA-DABCO, Sigma Aldrich). Both placement of lens and 9 viral transduction were confirmed using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 fluorescence 10 microscope.

11

12 Quantification of striatal dopamine depletion

13 Densitometry analysis of TH immunostaining on regions of interest (ROI) in the dorsal 14 striatum were performed using the free software NIH ImageJ 1.43. Images were 15 digitized using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 microscope connected to a digital camera (Nikon DM1200F). Staining intensities were calibrated on optical density (O.D.) 16 17 standards provided by the software and the average O.D. in the ROI was calculated after background subtraction. Measurements were carried out in 6 rostro-caudal 18 19 sections per animal throughout the striatum. Values from the lesion side were 20 expressed as a percentage of the mean of the values from the intact contralateral side. 21 Animals were excluded a posteriori if the striatal TH depletion was lower than 90%.

22

1 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

2 Data analysis and statistics were performed on GraphPad Prism software, MATLAB 3 (MathWorks) and Python. The statistical tests used and the sample size of each analysis is stated in the figure legends. The analysis of variance was performed using 4 ANOVA (one-way or two-ways ANOVA, factorial or by repeated measures, as 5 appropriate) followed by Bonferroni's multiple-comparison test. For two-group 6 7 comparisons, we used two-tailed Student's t test (paired or unpaired as appropriate) or non-parametric (Mann-Whitney U or Wilcoxon signed-rank test), with Benjamini-8 9 Yekutieli procedure for multiple tests p-value correction. We used a bootstrap analysis, 10 where indicated, for the case where we compared our data to shuffled data. 11 Correlations were analyzed using Pearson correlation test, or the Spearman rank-order 12 correlation test.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Vitor Paixão for designing and providing a modified version of the unsupervised behavioral clustering as used in Klaus et al. (2017) with the introduction of the change-point calculations. We thank Joaquim Alves da Silva for technical advice on the usage of IMUs and technical support all along this project; Catarina Carvalho and Ana Vaz for mouse colony management and mouse post-operative care; all the members of the Costa laboratory for useful discussions and support; the Histopathology Platform, Scientific Software Platform of the Champalimaud Foundation for help; the members of the Champalimaud Hardware Platform, in particular, Paulo Carriço from the hardware section for his help on developing a rotating platform for calcium imaging experiments to overcome the rotations of dyskinetic mice and Artur Silva for hardware and software assistance on the usage of the IMUs/WEAR motion sensor system; Laurent Lachaux for help with building the experimental set-ups; and Ivo Marcelo for assistance with motion correction analysis. This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council, a grant co-funded by the Marie Skłodowska Curie Actions FP7 (GS-2015-0006X) granted to C.A.; the Swedish Research Council (2020-02696) to M.A.C.; the Swedish Brain Foundation (FO2022-0293) to M.A.C.; and the National Institute of Health funding (5U19NS104649) and the Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP-020551) through the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research (MJFF) to R.M.C.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.A. and M.A.C. conceived the project. C.A and R.M.C. designed the experiments. C.A., A.K. and R.M.C. conceptualized the analyses. A.K., S.F.A. and C.A. configured the features and thresholds for the unsupervised behavioral clustering algorithm. C.A. and A.K. performed the behavioral clustering. C.A. performed the surgeries, one-photon calcium imaging experiments, dyskinesia scoring and annotations, and histological analysis. A.K. and C.A. performed the DeepLapCut analysis. M.M. helped organized the data and performed the analyses of average calcium imaging. A.K. and C.A. performed all other analyses. C.A., A.K., M.A.C. and R.M.C. wrote the paper. R.M.C. and M.A.C. supervised and guided all aspects of the work.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional Information: Supplementary Information is available for this paper.

Code availability: MATLAB (MathWorks) codes used for data analysis are available from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Espay, A.J., Morgante, F., Merola, A., Fasano, A., Marsili, L., Fox, S.H., Bezard, E., Picconi, B., Calabresi, P., and Lang, A.E. (2018). Levodopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson disease: Current and evolving concepts. Ann. Neurol. *84*, 797–811. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25364.
- 2. Luguin, M.R., Scipioni, O., Vaamonde, J., Gershanik, O., and Obeso, J.A. (1992). Levodopa-induced dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease: Clinical and pharmacological classification. Mov. Disord. 7, 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870070204.
- Cenci, M.A., and Kumar, A. (2024). Cells, pathways, and models in dyskinesia research. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 84, 102833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2023.102833.
- Girasole, A.E., Lum, M.Y., Nathaniel, D., Bair-Marshall, C.J., Guenthner, C.J., Luo, L., Kreitzer, A.C., and Nelson, A.B. (2018). A Subpopulation of Striatal Neurons Mediates Levodopa-Induced Dyskinesia. Neuron 97, 787-795.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.017.
- Parker, J.G., Marshall, J.D., Ahanonu, B., Wu, Y.-W., Kim, T.H., Grewe, B.F., Zhang, Y., Li, J.Z., Ding, J.B., Ehlers, M.D., et al. (2018). Diametric neural ensemble dynamics in parkinsonian and dyskinetic states. Nature *557*, 177–182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0090-6.
- Ryan, M.B., Bair-Marshall, C., and Nelson, A.B. (2018). Aberrant Striatal Activity in Parkinsonism and Levodopa-Induced Dyskinesia. Cell Rep. 23, 3438-3446.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.059.

- Gong, S., Zheng, C., Doughty, M.L., Losos, K., Didkovsky, N., Schambra, U.B., Nowak, N.J., Joyner, A., Leblanc, G., Hatten, M.E., et al. (2003). A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. Nature 425, 917–925. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02033.
- Gerfen, C.R., Engber, T.M., Mahan, L.C., Susel, Z., Chase, T.N., Monsma, F.J., and Sibley, D.R. (1990). D₁ and D₂ Dopamine Receptor-regulated Gene Expression of Striatonigral and Striatopallidal Neurons. Science (80-.). 250, 1429–1432. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2147780.
- Alcacer, C., Andreoli, L., Sebastianutto, I., Jakobsson, J., Fieblinger, T., and Cenci, M.A. (2017). Chemogenetic stimulation of striatal projection neurons modulates responses to Parkinson's disease therapy. J. Clin. Invest. *127*, 720– 734. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI90132.
- Albin, R.L., Young, A.B., and Penney, J.B. (1989). The functional anatomy of basal ganglia disorders. Trends Neurosci. *12*, 366–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(89)90074-X.
- Kravitz, A. V., Freeze, B.S., Parker, P.R.L., Kay, K., Thwin, M.T., Deisseroth, K., and Kreitzer, A.C. (2010). Regulation of parkinsonian motor behaviours by optogenetic control of basal ganglia circuitry. Nature 466, 622–626. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09159.
- Tecuapetla, F., Matias, S., Dugue, G.P., Mainen, Z.F., and Costa, R.M. (2014).
 Balanced activity in basal ganglia projection pathways is critical for contraversive movements. Nat. Commun. *5*, 4315. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5315.
- 13. Markowitz, J.E., Gillis, W.F., Beron, C.C., Neufeld, S.Q., Robertson, K., Bhagat,

N.D., Peterson, R.E., Peterson, E., Hyun, M., Linderman, S.W., et al. (2018). The Striatum Organizes 3D Behavior via Moment-to-Moment Action Selection. Cell *174*, 44-58.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.019.

- Cui, G., Jun, S.B., Jin, X., Pham, M.D., Vogel, S.S., Lovinger, D.M., and Costa, R.M. (2013). Concurrent activation of striatal direct and indirect pathways during action initiation. Nature 494, 238–242. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11846.
- Klaus, A., Martins, G.J., Paixao, V.B., Zhou, P., Paninski, L., and Costa, R.M. (2017). The Spatiotemporal Organization of the Striatum Encodes Action Space. Neuron *95*, 1171-1180.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.08.015.
- Sáez, M., Keifman, E., Alberquilla, S., Coll, C., Reig, R., Murer, M.G., and Moratalla, R. (2023). D2 dopamine receptors and the striatopallidal pathway modulate L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in the mouse. Neurobiol. Dis. *186*, 106278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2023.106278.
- Cenci, M.A., and Crossman, A.R. (2018). Animal models of <scp>l</scp> -dopainduced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 33, 889–899. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27337.
- 18. Fox, S.H., and Brotchie, J.M. (2019). Viewpoint: Developing drugs for levodopainduced dyskinesia in <scp>PD</scp>: Lessons learnt, what does the future hold? Eur. J. Neurosci. *49*, 399–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14173.
- Lundblad, M., Picconi, B., Lindgren, H., and Cenci, M.A. (2004). A model of I-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in 6-hydroxydopamine lesioned mice: relation to motor and cellular parameters of nigrostriatal function. Neurobiol. Dis. *16*, 110–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2004.01.007.

- Francardo, V., Recchia, A., Popovic, N., Andersson, D., Nissbrandt, H., and Cenci, M.A. (2011). Impact of the lesion procedure on the profiles of motor impairment and molecular responsiveness to L-DOPA in the 6-hydroxydopamine mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol. Dis. *42*, 327–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2011.01.024.
- da Silva, J.A., Tecuapetla, F., Paixão, V., and Costa, R.M. (2018). Dopamine neuron activity before action initiation gates and invigorates future movements. Nature *554*, 244–248. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25457.
- Andreoli, L., Abbaszadeh, M., Cao, X., and Cenci, M.A. (2021). Distinct patterns of dyskinetic and dystonic features following D1 or D2 receptor stimulation in a mouse model of parkinsonism. Neurobiol. Dis. *157*, 105429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105429.
- Berridge, K., and Whishaw, I. (1992). Cortex, striatum and cerebellum: control of serial order in a grooming sequence. Exp. Brain Res. 90. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227239.
- Pnevmatikakis, E.A., Soudry, D., Gao, Y., Machado, T.A., Merel, J., Pfau, D., Reardon, T., Mu, Y., Lacefield, C., Yang, W., et al. (2016). Simultaneous Denoising, Deconvolution, and Demixing of Calcium Imaging Data. Neuron *89*, 285–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.037.
- Zhou, P., Resendez, S.L., Rodriguez-Romaguera, J., Jimenez, J.C., Neufeld, S.Q., Giovannucci, A., Friedrich, J., Pnevmatikakis, E.A., Stuber, G.D., Hen, R., et al. (2018). Efficient and accurate extraction of in vivo calcium signals from microendoscopic video data. Elife 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28728.

- Deneux, T., Kaszas, A., Szalay, G., Katona, G., Lakner, T., Grinvald, A., Rózsa, B., and Vanzetta, I. (2016). Accurate spike estimation from noisy calcium signals for ultrafast three-dimensional imaging of large neuronal populations in vivo. Nat. Commun. 7, 12190. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12190.
- 27. Maltese, M., March, J.R., Bashaw, A.G., and Tritsch, N.X. (2021). Dopamine differentially modulates the size of projection neuron ensembles in the intact and dopamine-depleted striatum. Elife *10*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.68041.
- Lundblad, M., Andersson, M., Winkler, C., Kirik, D., Wierup, N., and Cenci, M.A. (2002). Pharmacological validation of behavioural measures of akinesia and dyskinesia in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. Eur. J. Neurosci. *15*, 120–132. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0953-816x.2001.01843.x.
- Ravenscroft, P., Chalon, S., Brotchie, J.M., and Crossman, A.R. (2004). Ropinirole versus I-DOPA effects on striatal opioid peptide precursors in a rodent model of Parkinson's disease: implications for dyskinesia. Exp. Neurol. *185*, 36– 46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2003.09.001.
- Ryan, M.B., Girasole, A.E., Flores, A.J., Twedell, E.L., McGregor, M.M., Brakaj, R., Paletzki, R.F., Hnasko, T.S., Gerfen, C.R., and Nelson, A.B. (2024). Excessive firing of dyskinesia-associated striatal direct pathway neurons is gated by dopamine and excitatory synaptic input. Cell Rep. *43*, 114483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114483.
- Barbera, G., Liang, B., Zhang, L., Gerfen, C.R., Culurciello, E., Chen, R., Li, Y., and Lin, D.-T. (2016). Spatially Compact Neural Clusters in the Dorsal Striatum Encode Locomotion Relevant Information. Neuron *92*, 202–213.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.08.037.

- 32. Rubner, Y. (2000). The Earth Mover's Distance as a Metric for Image Retrieval. Int. J. Comput. Vis. *40*, 99–121. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026543900054.
- 33. Frey, B.J., and Dueck, D. (2007). Clustering by Passing Messages Between Data Points. Science (80-.). *315*, 972–976. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136800.
- 34. van der Maaten, L., and Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 9, 2579–2605.

Figures and figure legends:



Figure 1. Behavioral changes in dyskinetic mice revealed by unsupervised behavioral clustering

(A) Illustration of the microendoscope and the wireless inertial measurement unit (IMU) placed on top of the mouse head. On the right is shown the orientation of the 6 axes of the IMU: three axes for the acceleration (x = dorso-ventral; y = posterior-anterior; z = right-left) and three axes for the gyroscope which measures the rotational velocity around these axes, represented by the rotating arrows. Below is the timeline of the experimental design (STAR Methods) and on the bottom, the view of the open field arena from the side- and bottom-placed cameras (scale bar, 20 cm).

(B) Example traces of the body acceleration (BA) in the four conditions. Bar plot on the right shows the mean of the time moving as a percentage of the total time of the session \pm SEM (n = 11-13 mice). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 42)}$ = 18.27, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH; ###p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Int-LD. Right: Body acceleration (g) while moving \pm SEM (n = 11-13 mice). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 42)}$ = 17.56, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparison (g) while moving \pm SEM (n = 11-13 mice). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 42)}$ = 17.56, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Les-LD vs. Int-LD vs. Int-LD vs. Les-VEH; ### p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Int-LD vs. Int-VEH.

(C) Example traces of the four features used for the clustering: BA, GA_{AP} (gravitational acceleration along the antero-posterior axis), θ head (head angle, proxy of rotations) and θ axial (axial bending angle, proxy of axial AIMs; see STAR Methods).

(D) Video frames showing two mice (left from Int-VEH; right Les-LD) taken with the bottom camera. Shown are the 9 tracking points labeled using DeepLabCut software. The axial bending angle, θ axial, was calculated based on the hindlimbs (HL), the tail base and nose, as in ²² (see STAR Methods and Movie S1). Note that the Int-VEH

mouse has a flat angle (~180 deg) compared to the Les-LD mouse whose θ axial is ~40 deg.

(E) Behavioral clustering using BA, GA_{AP} , θ head and θ axial. Top: BA, GA_{AP} , θ head and θ axial time series. Bottom: corresponding behavioral clusters obtained by affinity propagation on similarity of discretized time series (STAR Methods).

(F) Resulting clusters are well separated as indicated by a ROC analysis using a single threshold on the Earth Mover Distances (EMD). *True positive* are two segments belonging to the same cluster; *false positive* are two clusters belonging to different clusters. Original accuracy (ACC) was compared to accuracy for shuffled clusters: paired t-test, t(24) = 166.3, p < 0.001 (n = 25 mice).

(G) Clustering resulted in a total of 56 clusters separated in two major groups: clusters corresponding to *rest* behavior (7 clusters) and to behavior when the animal is moving (*move*, 49 clusters). Move clusters were further subdivided into a group of clusters present in Int-VEH (26 clusters) and one absent in Int-VEH (23 clusters).

(H) Two-dimensional t-SNE representation of the cluster segments. Each dot represents a behavioral segment. On the left, space representation of the VEH and LD sessions of the library ('All VEH & LD'), each color being a different cluster. On the right, behavioral representation of the four conditions Int/VEH or LD and Les/VEH or LD. Note a very similar space representation of the segments obtained in Int-VEH, Int-LD and Les-VEH, in contrast with the ones from Les-LD.

(I) Change in the cluster distributions compared to baseline (BL). Bar plot represents the percentage of change \pm SEM (n = 10-13 mice). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 42)} = 43.82$,

p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Les VEH; $^{###}$ p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Int-LD.

(J) Left: percentage of time spent per cluster between Int-VEH and Les-VEH (Mann-Whitney U test for the 26 clusters present in Int-VEH; all p > 0.05 after Benjamini–Yekutieli post-hoc correction; n = 19 Int mice, n = 20 Les mice). Middle: percentage of time spent per cluster in Int-VEH versus Int-LD (Wilcoxon signed-rank test for all *moving* clusters; all p > 0.05 after Benjamini–Yekutieli post-hoc correction, n = 19 mice). Right: percentage of time spent per cluster in Les-VEH and Les-LD (Wilcoxon signed-rank test for all *moving* clusters; *p < 0.05 for 39 out of 49 clusters after Benjamini–Yekutieli post-hoc correction, n = 20 mice).





(A) Schematic representation of the frame-by-frame annotations of axial and limb dyskinesias. Three dyskinesia types were considered for the further analyses: *axial alone* (orange), *limb alone* (green) and *axial+limb* simultaneously (blue, see also Movie S1).

(B) Example cluster for one mouse that significantly correlated with the *axial+limb* annotation but not with *axial alone* or *limb alone*. Bootstrap analysis of $r_{annotation x cluster}$ to shuffled annotations (red closed circle: p < 0.001, red open circles: not significant, see STAR Methods for details).

(C) Left: summary of the behavioral cluster groups obtained after correlating the behavior cluster to the dyskinesia annotations in all n = 13 mice (see STAR Methods for details). Twenty-one clusters were significantly correlated with the dyskinesia annotations: 9 were correlated with *axial+limb*; 11 to *axial alone* and 1 was correlated with *limb alone*. Twenty-eight clusters were not correlated with any dyskinesia and were denoted as *other N* for 'normal' (n = 20 clusters) if the clusters were present in Int-VEH mice, and *pathological rotations* (*path rot*, n = 8) if the clusters were absent in Int-VEH.

(D) Feature characteristics of the cluster groups. Box and whiskers diagrams show the values of each of the 4 features (BA, GA, θ head and θ axial) corresponding to the 5 cluster groups. Values are means \pm SEM (n = 13 mice). Repeated measures 1-way ANOVA, for BA, $F_{(2.666, 31.99)} = 103.5$, p < 0.001; for GA, $F_{(1.504, 18.05)} = 21.55$, p < 0.001; for θ head, $F_{(1.259, 15.11)} = 80.40$, p < 0.001 and for θ axial, $F_{(2.025, 24.30)} = 217.4$, p < 0.001.

(E) Percentage of time spent per cluster group for each condition. Note that in Int-VEH, Int-LD and Les-VEH, mice spend the majority of their time (> 50%) in the *other N* cluster group and around 30% of the time in the *limb alone* cluster, which corresponds to grooming (see also Figure S2C). In Les-LD, mice show variable time spent in each of the 5 cluster groups.

(F) Detailed comparison of time spent per cluster group between Int-LD (gray) and Les-LD (red). Bar plots represent the percentage of time spent per cluster group, values are the mean \pm SEM (n = 10-13 mice). Two-way repeated measures ANOVA shows an effect of the *cluster groups*, $F_{(4,84)} = 39.44$, p < 0.001; no effect of the *lesion*, $F_{(1,21)} = 0.04$, p = 0.84; and an effect of the interaction, $F_{(4, 84)} = 26.11$, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 Int-LD vs. Les-LD.

(G) Accuracy of a support vector classifier for predicting the type of dyskinesia (annotation) based on the *axial+limb* and *axial alone* cluster groups (see STAR Methods for details). Classification accuracies were significantly different from shuffled data. Paired t-test, *axial+limb*: t(10) = 61.1, p < 0.001; *axial alone*: t(12) = 8.8, p < 0.001.

(H) Correlation between the AIM scores and the dyskinesia cluster groups *axial+limb* and *axial alone*. Each point represents a cluster. Spearman rank-order correlation, red points are clusters that are significantly correlated with the AIM scores, p < 0.001; gray points are non-significant correlations. Note that the axial AIMs are positively correlated to some of the clusters in both the *axial+limb* and *axial alone* groups. In contrast, and as expected, limb AIMs are correlated only to clusters in the *axial+limb* group but not in the *axial alone* group.

	Axial alone				Limbalone				Path rot				Other N			
Features	BA	GA	Θ_{head}	Θ_{axial}	BA	GA	Θ_{head}	Θ_{axial}	BA	GA	Θ_{head}	Θ_{axial}	BA	GA	Θ_{head}	Θ_{axial}
Axial+Limb	***	***	***	***	**	n.s.	*	***	***	***	***	***	***	n.s.	n.s.	***
Axial alone					***	***	***	***	***	n.s.	***	***	n.s.	n.s.	**	***
Limb alone									***	**	***	***	***	n.s.	***	n.s.
Path rot													***	**	***	***
Other N																

Table 1. Stats related to figure 2D.



Figure 3. SPNs average activity is oppositely modulated by L-DOPA in 6-OHDA lesioned mice

1

(A) Cartoon of a mouse head showing the micro-endoscope on top of the mouse head connected to a 1 mm GRIN lens placed in the dorso-lateral striatum and the wireless

IMU on the back of the micro-endoscope. On the right, coronal sections of two example mouse brains at the level of the striatum. Photomicrographs were acquired from A2a-Cre transgenic intact (A2a Int, top row) and 6-OHDA lesioned (A2a Les, bottom row) mice injected intrastriatally with the *AAV5-GCamP6f* viral vector. GFP expression (revealed with GFP antibody in green) in the dorso-lateral striatum shows the region of the striatum transduced with *AAV5-GCamP6f* viral vector, which is expressed below the 1 mm lens. TH (tyrosine hydroxylase, in red) shows the dopamine terminals in the striatum (note that A2a Les has a complete dopamine depletion shown by the lack of TH immunostaining in the right striatum). Merged photograph shows colocalization of GFP and TH showing the expression of *GCamP6f* in an intact (top) and a lesioned striatum (bottom) (scale bar: 1 mm).

(B) Field of view of the striatum (striatal F.O.V.) through the lens of a D1-Cre lesioned mouse treated with LD, corresponding to a maximum projection of 3000 frames of the video recording. Fluorescent calcium signal shows increased fluorescence in neuronal somas (example neurons depicted by the arrows) and lack of fluorescence of a blood vessel (shown by an asterisk). Right picture shows the same F.O.V. with the total number of neurons (283 neurons) detected with the CNMFe algorithm during both BL and LD sessions, shown as footprints or ROIs (regions of interest) colored in green (scales: 60 μm).

(C) Event rate of D1-SPNs in Int and Les mice treated with VEH and LD. On the left, example traces of n = 3 neurons of Int (gray, top row) and n = 3 neurons of Les (red, bottom row) mice (scale bar: 200 sec). First column corresponds to traces at BL and after VEH and the second column shows traces at BL and after LD (note that BL and

VEH/LD are separated by a dashed line). Bar graph represents the average of the event rates (events/s) per mouse and session when moving (move) \pm SEM (n = 3-7 mice per group and 6 to 18 sessions per group). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 18)} = 15.60$, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH; # p < 0.05 Les-LD vs. Int-LD; ##p < 0.005 Les-VEH vs. Int-VEH. The bar graph on the right shows the event rates when the mice are at rest. Note that the Les-LD group is not represented because Les-LD mice did not rest (see STAR Methods). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(2, 12)} = 1.889$, p = 0.1936 (see Movie S3 for D1-SPN calcium imaging aligned to the video camera recording).

(D) Event rate of D2-SPNs in Int and Les mice treated with VEH and LD. On the left, example traces of n = 3 neurons of Int and Les mice as in (C). Bar graph represents the average of event rates (events/s) per mouse and session \pm SEM (n = 6 mice per group and 8 to 14 sessions per group). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 20)} = 10.59$, p = 0.0002. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH; [#]p < 0.05 Les-LD vs. Int LD. Bar plot on the right shows the event rates when mice are at rest. Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(2, 15)} = 29.11$, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows the event rates when mice are at rest. Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(2, 15)} = 29.11$, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p

(E) Number of active (detected with CNMFe algorithm) D1-SPNs in Int and Les mice treated with VEH and LD when mice were moving. The plots show the number of active neurons \pm SEM (n = 3-7 mice per group and 6 to 18 sessions per group). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 19)}$ = 3.561, p = 0.0338. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows *p < 0.05 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH.

(F) Number of active D2-SPNs in Int and Les mice treated with VEH and LD. The plots show the number of active neurons \pm SEM (n = 6 mice per group and 8 to 14 sessions per group). Ordinary 1-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 20)} = 3.134$, p = 0.0484. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows *p < 0.05 Les-LD vs. Les-VEH.

(G) Spatiotemporal cross-correlation between pairs of active SPNs of Les mice after VEH vs. LD. For D1-SPNs, two-way repeated measures ANOVA shows an effect of the *distance*, $F_{(8,54)} = 195.9$, p < 0.001; no effect of the *treatment*, $F_{(1,54)} = 0.0088$, p = 0.9257; and an effect of the interaction, $F_{(8, 54)} = 2.421$, p = 0.0259. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows **p < 0.01 at 31 µm distance between VEH and LD (squared inset from 30 to 73µm). For D2-SPNs, two-way repeated measures ANOVA shows an effect of the *distance*, $F_{(8,45)} = 108.5$, p < 0.001; the *treatment*, $F_{(1,45)} = 8.651$, p = 0.0051; and the interaction, $F_{(8,45)} = 2.750$, p = 0.0146. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows **p < 0.001 at 31 µm distance between VEH and LD (squared inset from 30 to 73µm).

(H) Comparison of the spatiotemporal cross-correlation between pairs of active D1-SPNs vs. D2-SPNs of Les mice after LD. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA shows an effect of the *distance*, $F_{(8,88)} = 193.8$, p < 0.001; a smaller effect of the *treatment*, $F_{(1,11)} = 4.984$, p = 0.0473; and an effect of the interaction, $F_{(8,88)} = 4.741$, p < 0.001. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows ***p < 0.001 at 31 µm distance between D1-SPN and D2-SPN.

(I) Comparison of the spatiotemporal cross-correlation between pairs of active D1-SPNs vs. D2-SPNs of Les mice after VEH. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA shows an effect of the *distance*, $F_{(8,88)} = 246.5$, p < 0.0001; no effect of the *treatment*, $F_{(1,11)} =$

0.4845 , p = 0.5008; and an effect of the interaction, $F_{(8,88)}$ = 2.92, p < 0.01. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows no significant difference at any distance between D1-SPN and D2-SPN.



Figure 4. Specific sets of D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs are associated with the dyskinesia clusters

(A) Left: average event rate of all D1-SPNs and all D2-SPNs during each behavioral cluster group in Les-LD mice. The bar plots represent the mean \pm SEM (n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse) of D1-SPNs (green) and D2-SPNs (red) event rates (events/s) in *axial+limb*, *axial alone*, *path rot*, *other N* cluster groups. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA: SPN type, $F_{(1, 11)} = 49.31$, p < 0.001; *Cluster group*, $F_{(2.154, 23.69)} = 3.004$, p = 0.0654; interaction, $F_{(3, 33)} = 2.021$, p = 0.13. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows *p < 0.05 D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN in *axial+limb* cluster group; ***p < 0.001 D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN in *axial alone* and *path rot* cluster group, and **p < 0.01 D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN in *other N*. Right: ratio of the event rate in D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN per cluster group. Dashed line is the ratio between D1-SPN and D2-SPN average rate in Int-LD during move.

(B) Left: percentage of behavior-related D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs in Les-LD mice (a neuron is defined as behavior-related if it showed a significant positive correlation between its activity and the behavior, see STAR Methods). The bar plots represent the mean \pm SEM (n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse) of the percentage of neurons significantly modulated in *axial+limb*, *axial alone*, *path rot*, *and other N* cluster groups. Dashed line is the ratio between D1-SPN and D2-SPN's % of behavior-related neurons in Int-LD during *other N* cluster groups. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA: *SPN type*, F_(1, 11) = 61.44, p < 0.001; *Cluster group*, F_(1.650, 18.15) = 23.20, p < 0.001; interaction, F_(3, 33) = 2.253, p = 0.1005. Post hoc Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test shows: ***p < 0.001 D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN in *axial alone* and *path rot* cluster group; *p <

0.05 D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN in *other N* cluster group; in D2-SPN $^{#}p < 0.05 axial+limb$ vs. *other N*; $^{###}p < 0.001 axial alone vs.$ *other N* $; <math>^{##}p < 0.01 path rot vs. other N$. Right: ratio of the behavior-related D1-SPN over D2-SPN per cluster group. Dashed line represents no changes in the ratio.

(C) Percentage of shuffle-corrected overlap between behavior-related neuronal groups for D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs. The overlap was calculated as the number of neurons shared over the total number of neurons in the two respective behavioral cluster groups. This number varies between 0 (no shared neuron) and 100% (complete overlap). Shuffle corrected overlap is the overlap minus the shuffle overlap (see STAR Methods for details). Box and whiskers diagrams show the values for the overlap between all the different comparisons. Individual unpaired t-tests showed a significant difference (compared to 0), **p < 0.01: in *axial+limb* vs *path rot* and *axial+limb* vs *other N*; *p < 0.05: in *path rot vs other N*.

(D) Percentage of overlap between the different behavioral clusters in the original data (i.e., without shuffle correction). The bar plots represent the mean \pm SEM (n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse).

(E) Example heatmaps of individual D1-SPN (left) and D2-SPNs (right) aligned to the beginning of the behavioral events (at time point 0 s) for *axial+limb*, *axial alone* and *path rot* behavioral clusters. Note the increase in activity during the second after the start of the behavioral events, especially notable in D1-SPNs.

(F) Behavior-related D1-SPN and D2-SPN event rate during the different behavioral cluster groups. Each bar represents the average event rate \pm SEM (n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse) of the behavior-related neurons for each behavioral cluster group.

Black dashed line is the average rate in Les-LD during move, and the gray dashed line is the average rate in Int-LD during move. Note that the behavior-related D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs show the highest activity during their respective behavior. Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test was performed for each of the 4 cluster groups individually. Left: for D1-SPN, Kruskal-Wallis test showed significance for axial+limb (**p < 0.01), axial alone (**p < 0.01) and *path rot* (*p < 0.05) cluster groups, but not for *other N*. Post hoc Dunn's multiple comparison shows: in *axial+limb* cluster group, **p < 0.01 'axial+limb neurons' vs. 'path rot neurons' and *p < 0.05 vs. 'other N neurons'; in *axial alone* cluster group, **p < 0.01 'axial alone neurons' vs. 'other N neurons'; and in *path rot* cluster group, **p < 0.01 'path rot neurons' vs. 'axial+limb neurons'. Right: for D2-SPN, Kruskal-Wallis test showed significance for axial alone cluster group (**p < 0.01), but not for axial+limb (p = 0.05, n.s.), path rot or other N. Post hoc Dunn's multiple comparison shows: in axial+limb cluster group, *p < 0.05 'axial+limb neurons' vs. 'axial alone neurons'; in axial alone cluster group, **p < 0.01 'axial alone neurons' vs. 'axial+limb neurons' and *p < 0.05 vs. other N cluster group.

(G) Ratio of the event rate in D1-SPN vs. D2-SPN per cluster group. Dashed line is the ratio between D1-SPN and D2-SPN average rate in Int-LD during move.

(H) Percentage of overlap between *axial+limb*, *axial alone*, *path rot* SPNs under LD and *other N* SPNs during BL, using the original data, i.e.,without shuffle correction (shown are the mean \pm SEM; n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse).

(I) Percentage of overlap between the neuron groups in (H) with shuffle correction, calculated as in (C). Box and whiskers diagrams show the values for the overlap
between all the different comparisons. Individual unpaired t-tests showed no significant difference (compared to 0) in any of the compared groups.

(J) Behavior-related D1-SPNs and D2-SPNs event rate during *other N during BL* average event rate \pm SEM; (n = 6-7 mice, 2-3 sessions per mouse). Dashed line is the average rate in Int-BL for D1-SPN and D2-SPN.