Advance Access Publication on February 20, 2020 doi:10.1093/humrep/dez281

human reproduction **ORIGINAL ARTICLE Infertility**

School performance in children born after ICSI

Emma Norrman^{1,*}, Max Petzold², Christina Bergh^{3,†}, and Ulla-Britt Wennerholm^{1,†}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska University Hospital/Östra, Gothenburg, Sweden ²School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Institute of Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Reproductive Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

*Correspondence address. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska University Hospital/Östra, SE-416 85 Gothenburg, Sweden; E-mail: emma.norrman@vgregion.se

Submitted on June 16, 2019; resubmitted on November 22, 2019; editorial decision on December 3, 2019

STUDY QUESTION: Do children conceived after ICSI have similar school performance as children born after IVF?

SUMMARY ANSWER: Children born after ICSI have similar school performance compared to children born after IVF.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: Studies concerning the cognitive skills of children born after ICSI have shown diverging results.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: This nationwide, register-based cohort study included all singleton children born after ICSI (n = 6953), IVF (n = 11713) or spontaneous conception (SC) (n = 2022995), in Sweden between 1985 and 2006.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: Singleton children born after ICSI were identified in national IVF registers, crosslinked with the Medical Birth Register (MBR), the National Patient Register (NPR) and the Swedish Cause of Death Register (CDR) for characteristics and medical outcomes. Data on school performance, parental education and other parental characteristics were obtained through cross-linking to the National School Registry and to Statistics Sweden. The main control group, which consisted of children born after IVF, was identified in the national IVF registries while the second control group, consisting of children born after SC, was identified from the MBR. Simple and multivariable linear regression was used for analysis of continuous variables, and logistic regression was used for the analysis of binary outcomes. Adjustments were made for sex, year of birth, maternal smoking during pregnancy, parental age, parity, parental region of birth, parental level of education and frozen embryo transfer.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: In the adjusted analyses, there was no significant difference between ICSI and IVF children for total score (adjusted odds ratios (AORs) 1.03; 95% CI -0.22 to 2.28; P = 0.11), specific subjects, qualifying for secondary school (AOR 1.02; 95% CI 0.82–1.26; P = 0.87) or poor school performance (AOR 0.92; 95% CI 0.75–1.14; P = 0.47). In the third grade, children born after ICSI had a significantly lower chance of passing all of the subtests in Mathematics (AOR 0.89; 0.83–0.96; P = 0.02) and Swedish (AOR 0.92; 0.85–0.99; P = 0.02) compared to children born after SC. When cross-linking children with missing data on school performances (2.1% for ICSI, 2.0% for IVF and 2.3% for SC) with the Cerebral Palsy Follow-up Register (CPUP) for cerebral palsy, 2.7% of ICSI children, 5.7% of IVF children and 1.7% of SC children without registered education were found. When cross-linking children with missing data on school performances with the NPR for mental retardation, 29.9% of ICSI children, 32.6% of IVF children and 35.0% of SC children with missing data were registered under such a diagnosis.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: The main limitation was that test scores were missing in a small percentage in both ICSI and IVF children. Although we were able to cross-link this subpopulation with the CPUP and the NPR, these diagnoses only partly explained the missing scores. Other limitations were unmeasured and unknown possible confounders, such as information about infertility diagnoses and indication for ICSI, were not available.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS: These findings are important to most countries where IVF and ICSI are used since there may be differences in choice of procedure. In recent years, there has been an increasing trend towards using ICSI not only for treatment of male infertility but also when the sperm quality is normal. Our results indicate that the school performance of children born after ICSI is reassuring.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): Financial support was received through Sahlgrenska University Hospital (ALFGBG – 70 940), Hjalmar Svensson Research Foundation and Nordforsk, project number 71 450. None of the authors declare any conflict of interest.

[†]Shared last authorship

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology.

TRIAL REGISTRATION NUMBER: N/A

Key words: ART / ICSI / children / outcomes / academic performance

Introduction

The use of ART has increased significantly during the past decades with more than 390 000 children born worldwide annually (Adamson *et al.*, 2018) and the total number of ART children now exceeding 7 million (Adamson *et al.*, 2018). It is well known that ART is associated with an increased risk of perinatal complications, including preterm birth (PTB), low birthweight (LBW) and small for gestational age (SGA) (Helmerhorst *et al.*, 2004; Jackson *et al.*, 2004; McDonald *et al.*, 2009; Pandey *et al.*, 2012; Pinborg *et al.*, 2013). In spontaneously conceived children, studies have shown that PTB and LBW may affect cognitive function negatively (Bhutta *et al.*, 2002; Shenkin *et al.*, 2004).

In 1992, ICSI was introduced (Palermo *et al.*, 1992). ICSI allows treatment for male-factor infertility and can be separated into ICSI with ejaculated sperm or ICSI with non-ejaculated sperm (surgically extracted sperms). ICSI bypasses the natural selection of sperm, can potentially damage the oocyte and may contaminate the cytoplasm of the oocyte with culture media when the sperm is inserted, and these mechanisms have led to a concern about the health and cognitive development of ICSI children (Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2004).

Studies investigating the cognitive function of ICSI children have shown diverging results (Leslie et al., 2003; Place and Englert, 2003; Bonduelle et al., 2005; Ponjaert-Kristoffersen et al., 2005; Knoester et al., 2008; Goldbeck et al., 2009; Mains et al., 2010; Sandin et al., 2013; Kissin et al., 2015; Punamaki et al., 2015; Rumbold et al., 2017; Spangmose et al., 2017). Additionally, many of the studies have suffered from methodological problems, as summarized in a systematic review (Rumbold et al., 2017). This systematic review identified three studies of high quality, reporting comparison between IVF and ICSI. One study reported a small increase in the risk of mental retardation among ICSI children in a subanalysis (Sandin et al., 2013). Two other studies found no significant difference in intelligence quotient (IQ) between ICSI and IVF children (Knoester et al., 2008; Leslie et al., 2003). In addition, no differences in school performance between children born after ICSI compared to children born after IVF were found in a Danish national registry-based cohort study (Spangmose et al. 2017). In this study, we used school performance as an outcome measure of cognitive development since earlier studies have shown a strong correlation between IQ and school performance (Naglieri and Bornstein, 2003).

We established a uniquely large cohort of ICSI children by combining high-quality Swedish registers. The cohort made it possible to explore the school performance in children conceived after ICSI compared to those conceived after standard IVF, which was the primary aim of the study. A secondary aim was to compare the school performance in ICSI children with children born after spontaneous conception (SC). Further, a comparison was made between children born after ICSI with non-ejaculated sperm and children born after ICSI with ejaculated sperm.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

This was a population-based retrospective study including all singletons born after ART and all singletons born after SC, between 1985 and 2006 in Sweden. All children in the study were identified by their personal identification number (PIN), a unique number allocated to each person in Sweden at birth or immigration. Using this number, we were able to cross-link and retrieve information from the following six registers: The Medical Birth Register IVF (MBR/IVF), The Swedish National Quality Register of Assisted Reproduction (Q-IVF), the Swedish Medical Birth Register (MBR), the Swedish National Patient Register (NPR), the Swedish Cause of Death Register (CDR) and the National School Register. Information on type of pregnancy (ART versus SC) and ART technique was obtained from the two IVF registers. Maternal age, parity, birth characteristics and stillbirth/live birth information was obtained from the MBR. Information about mental retardation was obtained from the NPR. Information about deaths was obtained from the CDR. Outcome data (school performance) were obtained from the National School Register. In addition to these registers, the Longitudinal Integration Database for Health Insurance and Labour Market Studies (LISA) and Multi-Generation Register at Statistics Sweden provided information on emigration and parental sociodemographic background factors. Finally, the Cerebral Palsy Follow-up Register (CPUP) provided information about cerebral palsy. After cross-linking, unique serial numbers separate from the national PIN-codes were given to all participants, providing full anonymity.

Linkage errors occurred in 2–3% between the IVF registries and MBR. Reasons for these errors were wrong PIN, change of PIN, Swedish women moving abroad and not delivering in Sweden, etc. (Ludvigsson *et al.*, 2009).

MBR/IVF and Q-IVF

Since the birth of the first IVF child in Sweden in 1982, and until 2006, data on all assisted reproductive treatments were reported to the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare. Between 1982 and 2006, only clustered data were reported for IVF cycles. In addition, at three times during this period, in collaboration with The Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare, data concerning deliveries after IVF with full identification were collected for research reasons. For the purpose of this study and since this file is stored at MBR, it was decided to name this file MBR/IVF. Thus, full identifiable data concerning all deliveries were available for the whole study period. In 2007, the National Quality Register for Assisted Reproduction (Q-IVF) was established and funded by the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (SKL). This register includes results of all IVF cycles that started in Sweden and has full patient identification, i.e. PIN codes. All IVF clinics, public as well as private, report treatment characteristics and results to Q-IVF, and the results are public and

posted on the Q-IVF website (www.qivf.se). All patients are informed about the Q-IVF and may choose not to have their data included, although this is very rare.

MBR

The MBR was established in 1973 and covers nearly all births (99%) in Sweden with very few exceptions (Cnattingius *et al.*, 1990). It contains data on pregnancy, delivery and the neonatal period. Standardized medical records are kept at all antenatal clinics, delivery wards and paediatric examinations of the newborns. The quality of MBR has been evaluated three times, in 1976, in 1988 (Cnattingius *et al.*, 1990) and in 2001 (www.socialstryrelsen.se/publikationer2002/2002-112-4). The register is considered to have a high degree of validity.

NPR

The NPR is nationwide and includes diagnoses on all patients admitted to in-hospital care in Sweden since 1987. From 2001, the NPR has also covered diagnoses on patients at outpatient visits treated by both private and public caregivers. Primary care is not yet covered in the NPR (Ludvigsson *et al.*, 2011). In the present study, we used the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 9 codes during the period 1987–1996 and ICD 10 codes from 1997 for mental disability (ICD 9 codes 317, 318 and 319; ICD 10 codes F 71, 72, 73, 78 and 79).

CDR

The CDR includes all Swedish citizens who have died since 1952, either in the country or abroad, and who were registered in Sweden at the time of death (www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/dodsorsaksregistret).

National School Register

The National School Register is administered jointly by the Swedish School Authority (Skolverket) and Statistics Sweden. The database encompasses information on individual educational achievements (grades by subject as well as summary scores) for all students graduating from ninth grade in public schools since 1988. Non-public schools, which comprise fewer than 5% of all Swedish schools, have been included since 1993. The database also contains information about national tests. In third grade, the tests started in 2010. The quality of the data in the National School Register is high and summary statistics are published regularly (http://www.skolverket.se).

Statistics Sweden

Statistics Sweden is the central authority for recording statistics on all Swedish citizens. The LISA contains information such as highest educational level, socioeconomic class, disposable income, immigration and emigration. The Swedish Multi-Generation Register includes all individuals born in Sweden since 1932, registered as living in Sweden at some point since 1960, and their parents (http://www.scb.se).

CPUP

The CPUP has been a national quality register in Sweden since 2005. Since 2011, the register includes not only information about children 0–18 years, but also adults with cerebral palsy (www.socialstyrelsen. se). The CPUP contains information about diagnoses, drugs, in-hospital care and specialized outpatient care. According to a report from the National Board of Health and Welfare in 2015, the register covered 90% of children with cerebral palsy, born between 2002 and 2009 (www.socialstyrelsen.se).

Study population

During the time period I January 1985 and 31 December 2015, 3 228 529 children (stillbirths excluded) were born in Sweden. The reason for not including children born 1982–1984 was that very few ART children were born during these years (only seven) and the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare had some hesitation to provide us with the total birth cohorts during the whole period. We therefore decided to exclude these 3 years.

We excluded 87 838 multiples, 10 585 infant deaths, 4244 deaths during adolescence, 92 241 emigrated children, 941 067 who were too young (born after 2006) and 45 951 children who had no registration at the Swedish School Authority. Furthermore, 4942 children had a registered education, but information about total mean score in ninth and third grades was missing. Therefore, they were also excluded. In total, 1 186 868 children were therefore excluded.

Consequently, 2041 661 children were included in the study (Fig. 1). They represent two separate cohorts: all singletons registered with the Swedish School Authority in the ninth grade and for children too young to have completed 9 years in school, all singletons registered with the Swedish School Authority in the third grade.

Exposure

We classified mode of conception as ART or SC. ART was further classified into IVF or ICSI. Additionally, ICSI was classified according to the source of sperm, ejaculated or non-ejaculated sperm.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was school performance after the completion of 9 years in regular Swedish school, based on a mean total score of 16 subjects (0–320), and percentiles were used for confirmation. Secondary outcomes were the mean school grade (0–20) of specific subjects (Mathematics, Swedish, English and Physical Education), 'qualified to enter secondary school' (approved in Mathematics, Swedish and English) and 'poor school performance' (total score < 160). Lastly, for the children too young to have completed 9 years in school, we obtained results of national tests from the third grade.

In Sweden, children start school in the fall of the year of their seventh birthday. The education of children up to adolescence is compulsory, and primary school is 9 years in total. In the third, sixth and ninth grade, all students do national tests. The national tests in the third grade started in 2010.

In the ninth grade, during the study period, each student receives a total school score based on 16 subjects. The scores are set relative to the performance for each year, which makes the relative performance comparable over time. Each subject has a score between 0 and 20; thus, the total score could range from 0 to 320. For Mathematics, Swedish, English and Physical Education the score is between 0 and 20. Mean school scores per study group were calculated. Since the distribution of school grades was skewed towards higher scores, school scores were categorized in percentiles, i.e. all subjects were ranked according to school grades from 1 to 100. This allowed comparison between birth cohorts without interference from changes in grading system (Lambe et al., 2006; Svensson et al., 2011; Ahlsson et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2016). Between 1997 and 2012, the grade system consisted of four different grades (fail = 0 points, pass = 10 points, pass with



distinction = 15 points and pass with special distinction = 20 points). From 2013, the grade system consisted of six different grades (F=0 points, E=10 points, D=12.5 points, C=15 points, B=17.5 points and A=20 points). F meant fail; E to A were all passes. In total, 1196025 (SC 1192111 and ART 3914) out of 1509046 (SC 1500709 and ART 8337) children in this study used the first system described. It was not possible to separate between 'fail' or 'not participated' as both groups of students received a total score

of 0 points. To qualify for secondary school, a passing grade in the core subjects of Mathematics, Swedish and English is required. We therefore also assessed the percent of students who passed in these three subjects. Furthermore, we assessed 'poor school performance' that we defined as a total score below 160, i.e. the mean grade of the individual was below 'pass' (Lambe *et al.*, 2006).

Finally, we obtained results from national tests in third grade. These tests contain seven or eight subtests in Mathematics and Swedish. To pass the national tests, passing all the subtests are required; thus, we assessed the number of children who passed all subtests in Mathematics and Swedish.

Covariates

Covariates of interest, identified in the literature and associated with school performance, (Ahlsson et al., 2015; Svensson et al., 2011) were collected from the registers and used in all regression analyses. Child characteristics were sex and year of birth (continuous variable). Pregnancy characteristics included maternal age (≤24, 25-34, 35-44, \geq 45 years), parity (1, 2, 3, 4, \geq 5), paternal age (\leq 24, 25–34, 35–44, \geq 45 years) at the birth of the child and maternal smoking during pregnancy (yes or no). Family characteristics were region of birth of the parents (Sweden, Nordic outside Sweden, European outside Nordic Region, African origin, Asian origin, other origin) and parental education (≤ 9 , 10–12, higher education <3, higher education >3 years). We also adjusted for frozen embryo transfer in the comparisons between the ART groups to account for the increasing use of cryopreservation in ART. In an additional analysis, we adjusted for vanishing twin to account for the increasing use of single embryo transfer. We did not control for Apgar score, birth defects, birth weight, gestational age, induced births or complicated births as these are potential causal pathway characteristics.

Ethical approval

Permission was given from the Regional Ethical Committee at the University of Gothenburg (Dnr 214-12, T422-12, T516-15, T233-16, T300-17, T1144-17, T121-18).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were given by mean and SD for continuous variables, measured in scores and percentiles, and by number (n) and percent for categorical variables. Simple and multivariable linear regression was used for the analysis of percentiles, and logistic regression was used for the analysis of binary outcomes. A fixed set of covariates was selected based on medical knowledge. Crude and adjusted mean differences and 95% CI in percentiles of school grades and odds ratio (OR) and adjusted OR (AOR) with 95% CI for qualified to enter secondary school and poor school performance are presented. No adjustment for the same mother giving birth more than once was performed. This was motivated by small cluster sizes, normally only 1–3 children per mother, and having a very large number of women, which lowers the effect of intra-cluster correlation on the standard errors. Thus, multilevel models were not selected to avoid instabilities in the estimation process. Significance level was set to 5%. Analyses were conducted using STATA Version 15.1.

Results

In total, 2041 661 children were included in the study (Fig. 1). Of these, 18 666 children were born after ART (including 35 children who were born after oocyte donation) and 2022 995 children were born after SC. In the main analysis, children born after ICSI (n = 6953) were compared with children born after IVF (11713). In a secondary analysis, ICSI children (n = 6953) were compared with children born after sC (n = 2022 995). Finally, children born after non-ejaculated ICSI (n = 462) were compared with children born after ejaculated ICSI (n = 6491).

The ninth grade

Mean maternal age was 33.2 years for ICSI mothers and 34.3 years for IVF mothers. Higher education \geq 3 years and high socioeconomic class characterized 30.3% and 42.7% of ICSI mothers and 29.0% and 42.5% of IVF mothers, respectively. Smoking occurred in 7.4% of ICSI mothers whereas the corresponding figure for IVF mothers was 13.4%. Children born after ICSI and IVF had similar risks of PTB, very PTB, LBW and very LBW (Table I).

In the crude analyses, children born after ICSI had significantly higher total mean scores and scores in the specific subjects compared to children born after IVF (Table III). ICSI children also had a significantly lower risk of poor performance compared to children born after IVF (Table IV). After adjustment, however, there was no significant difference between ICSI and IVF children neither for total score nor for specific subjects. The adjusted mean difference (percentiles) for total score was 1.03 (95% CI -0.22 to 2.28; P=0.11) (Table III). Furthermore, there was no difference between the groups regarding qualifying for secondary school (AOR 1.02; 95% CI 0.82-1.26; P=0.87) or poor school performance (AOR 0.92; 95% CI 0.75-1.14; P=0.47) (Table IV). Figure 2 illustrates regression coefficients for covariates in the adjusted analyses for total scores (percentiles). Thus, Fig. 2 shows the contribution of each covariate, independent of the other variables.

When comparing ICSI children with SC children, crude mean total score and mean scores for specific subjects in ninth grade were significantly higher (Table III). Furthermore, ICSI children had a significantly higher proportion of children qualifying for secondary school and a significantly lower proportion of children with poor school performance than children born after SC (Table IV). In the adjusted analyses, however, there were no significant differences between the two groups in any of the adjusted analyses (Tables III and IV).

Children born after ICSI with non-ejaculated sperm had significantly lower results in Swedish (adjusted mean difference [percentiles] -5.07; 95% CI -9.41 to -0.74; P = 0.02) and English (adjusted mean difference [percentiles] -5.53; 95% CI -10.10 to -0.96; P = 0.02) (Table III) and a significantly higher risk of poor school performance than children born after ICSI with ejaculated sperm (AOR 1.87; 95% CI 1.01-3.45; P = 0.045) (Table IV). There were no significant differences between the groups regarding mean total score, mean scores in other specific subjects or qualifying for secondary school.

The third grade

Mean maternal age was 33.5 years for ICSI mothers and 34.5 years for IVF mothers. Higher education \geq 3 years characterized 37.7% of ICSI mothers and 43.7% of IVF mothers. Smoking occurred in 4.4% of ICSI

 Table I Sociodemographic and perinatal characteristics of children (with registered education) in ninth grade and their parents.

Characteristics	All ICSI N = 257 I	Ejaculated ICSI	Nen disculated ICSI		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	N = 257 I		Non-ejaculated ICSI	IVF	SC
<u> </u>		N = 2440	N = 131	N = 5766	N = I 500 709
Child					
Calendar year at birth*, n (%)					
1985–1990	I (0.0)	I (0.0)	0 (0.0)	425 (7.4)	594 983 (39.7)
1991–1996	513(20.0)	500(20.5)	13(9.9)	2976(51.6)	598519(39.9)
1997–2001	2057(80.0)	1939(79.5)	118(90.1)	2365(41.0)	307 204(20.5)
2002–2006	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	3(0.0)
Birthweight, n (%)					
Low birth weight < 2500 g	158(6.2)	154(6.4)	4(3.1)	419(7.3)	45 428(3.0)
Very low birth weight $<$ 1500 g	34(1.3)	33(1.4)	l (0.8)	89(1.6)	6005(0.4)
Missing	13(0.5)	13(0.5)	0(0.0)	22(0.4)	2989(0.2)
Gestational age, n (%)					
Post term, ≥42 wk.	213(8.3)	203(8.3)	10(7.6)	379(6.6)	107017(7.1)
Term, 37 + 0-41 + 6 wk.	2133(83.0)	2027(83.1)	106(80.9)	4826(83.8)	3 972 (88.)
Preterm birth, <37 wk	223(8.7)	208(8.5)	15(11.4)	552(9.6)	71 777(4.8)
Very preterm birth, < 32 wk.	35(1.4)	33(1.36)	2(1.5)	92(1.6)	7512(0.5)
Extremely preterm birth, < 28 wk.	9(0.4)	8(0.3)	I (0.8)	21(0.4)	1511(0.1)
Missing	2(0.1)	2(0.1)	0(0.0)	9(0.2)	2194(0.1)
Birth defects, n (%)	107(4.2)	103(4.2)	4(3.1)	239(4.1)	51413(3.4)
Apgar score at 5 min		. ,		. ,	. ,
0–3	4(0.2)	4(0.2)	0(0.0)	18(0.3)	3023(0.2)
4–6	36(1.4)	33(1.4)	3(2.3)	49(0.9)	9463(0.6)
Missing	16(0.6)	15(0.6)	l (0.8)	48(0.8)	20919(1.4)
Maternal					
Age at birth, years mean (SD)	33.2 (4.1)	33.3 (4.1)	32.6 (4.0)	34.3 (3.7)	28.9(5.1)
Parity*	()			()	()
1	2015(78.4)	1924(78.9)	91(69.5)	4339(75.3)	617410(41.1)
2	477(18.6)	442(18.1)	35(26.7)	1191(20.7)	541 608(36.1)
3	55(2.1)	51(2.1)	4(3.1)	180(3.1)	238 464(15.9)
4	21(0.8)	20(0.8)	1(0.8)	37(0.6)	7 532(4.8)
>5	3(0.1)	3(0.1)	0(0.0)	19(0.3)	31 695(2.1)
— Smoking during pregnancy (yes), n (%)	175(7.4)	170(7.6)	5(4.1)	721(13.4)	302 626(21.5)
Missing	206(8.0)	196(8.0)	10(7.6)	374(6.5)	93 048(6.2)
Region of birth. n (%)				()	~ /
Sweden	2265(88.1)	2153(88.2)	112(85.5)	5138(89.1)	305 89(87.0)
Nordic outside Sweden	64(2.5)	64(2.6)	0(0.0)	187(3.2)	49 285(3.3)
Furopean outside Nordic Region	127(4.9)	118(4.8)	9(6.9)	246(4.3)	61 298(4.1)
African origin	13(0.5)	11(0.5)	2(1.5)	26(0.5)	15 195(1.0)
Asian origin	88(3.4)	81(3.3)	7(5 3)	8(2,1)	53 698(3 6)
Other	14(0.5)	13(0.5)	(0.8)	50(0.9)	15955(1.1)
Missing	0(0,0)	0(0,0)	0(0 0)	1(0,0)	89(0,0)

			Singletons <i>n</i> = 1 509 046		
Characteristics	All ICSI	Ejaculated ICSI	Non-ejaculated ICSI	IVF	SC
	N = 2571	N = 2440	N = 131	N = 5766	N = I 500 709
Educational level, n (%)					
≤9 y	118(4.6)	107(4.4)	(8.4)	363(6.3)	147519(9.8)
10–12 y	1144(44.5)	1079(44.5)	65(49.6)	2586(44.9)	752 643(50.2)
Higher education <3 y	529(20.6)	506(20.8)	23(17.6)	1140(19.8)	239 376(16.0)
Higher education \geq 3 y	778(30.3)	746(30.6)	32(24.4)	1672(29.0)	359 372(24.0)
Missing	2(0.0)	2(0.0)	0(0.0)	5(0.1)	1799(0.1)
Highest socioeconomic level during sch	ool period of child				
Low class	949(40.3)	889(39.8)	60(48.8)	2083(41.2)	653 608(50.3)
Middle class	402(17.1)	383(17.1)	19(15.5)	824(16.3)	180 529(13.9)
High class	1007(42.7)	963(43.I)	44(35.8)	2150(42.5)	464 355(35.8)
Missing	213(8.2)	205(8.4)	8(6.1)	709(12.3)	202217(13.5)
Paternal					
Age at birth, years, mean (SD)	36.4(5.7)	36.4(5.7)	37.2(6.3)	36.4(5.2)	31.8(6.0)
Region of birth, n (%)					
Sweden	2234(86.9)	2130(87.3)	104(79.4)	5185(90.0)	I 288 277(86.2)
Nordic outside Sweden	76(3.0)	76(3.1)	0(0.0)	153(2.7)	43 781 (2.9)
European outside Nordic Region	148(5.8)	132(5.4)	16(12.2)	231(4.0)	70314(4.7)
African origin	10(0.4)	9(0.4)	l (0.8)	36(0.6)	20319(1.4)
Asian origin	89(3.5)	80(3.3)	9(6.9)	113(2.0)	54019(3.6)
Other	13(0.5)	12(0.5)	l (0.8)	45(0.8)	18056(1.2)
Missing	0(0.0)	l (0.0)	0(0.0)	3(0.1)	5943(0.4)
Highest educational level, n (%)					
≤9 y	297(11.6)	277(11.4)	20(15.3)	775(13.5)	250 007(16.8)
10–12 y	1233(48.1)	1167(50.0)	66(50.4)	2677(46.5)	785 479(52.7)
Higher education <3 y	421(16.4)	401(16.5)	20(15.3)	983(17.1)	211237(14.2)
Higher education \geq 3 y	614(23.9)	589(24.2)	25(19.1)	1318(22.9)	242,22(16.3)
Missing	0(0.0)	6(0.2)	0(0.0)	13(0.2)	11264(0.8)
Parents together					
Parental disposable income, mean (SD) during school period of child	597950(431231)	597 700(432662)	602 607(405200)	548815(446288)	456 69(274040)

Table I Continued.

ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in-vitro fertilization; SC, spontaneous conception; SD, standard deviation; wk, week; n, number

 $^{\ast}\mbox{No}$ missing data for calendar year at birth and parity

mothers and 4.2% of IVF mothers. Children born after ICSI and IVF had similar risks of PTB, very PTB, LBW and very LBW (Table II).

There was no significant difference between children born after ICSI and IVF regarding passing all national subtests in mathematics (AOR 0.98; 95% CI 0.89–1.08; P = 0.71) and Swedish (AOR 0.96 1.0; 95% CI 0.87–1.07; P = 0.49) (Table V). Compared with children born after SC, ICSI children in the third grade had a significantly higher chance of passing all the subtests in mathematics and Swedish in the crude analyses (Table V). In the adjusted analyses, however, children born after ICSI had a significantly lower chance of passing all the subtests in Mathematics (AOR 0.89; 0.83–0.96; P = 0.002) and Swedish (AOR 0.92; 0.85–0.99; P = 0.02) compared to children born after SC (Table V).

There was no significant difference between children born after ICSI with non-ejaculated sperm compared with children born after

ICSI with ejaculated sperm in the national tests in third grade (Table V).

Since the occurrence of vanishing twin, a phenomenon when two embryos implant but only one child is born, has been found to negatively affect neonatal outcome in the surviving singleton (Pinborg et al., 2005; Sazonova et al., 2011), we adjusted also for this variable. Adding vanishing twin as a possible confounder however changed the AOR and 95% Cl only marginally (data not shown).

Analysis of children with no registered education

There were 45951 children without registered education by the Swedish School Authority (Fig. 1). The sociodemographic and perinatal

Study D							
CSI (ref non-ICSI) CSI				_			
ex (ref male) emale						_	
∕laternal age (ref 25-34 years) ⁄laternal age <25 years ⁄laternal age 35-44 years ⁄laternal age >=45 years	← ←	•					
'aternal age (ref 25-34 years) 'aternal age <25 years 'aternal age 35-44 years 'aternal age >=45 years	←		•		-		
laternal region of birth (ref Sweden) lordic uropé frica sia Nher							
Paternal region of birth (ref Sweden) lordic Europé frica sia Dther							
Parity (ref no siblings) Dhe sibling Wo siblings Three siblings =4 siblings		• •					
moking (ref no smoking) moking			_				
′ear of birth child (year) Child age				•			
ducational level maternal (ref 10-12 years of schoo =9 years ligher edu<3 years ligher edu>=3 years	bl)	-			_ 	- -	
ducational level paternal (ref 10-12 years of schoo =9 years ligher edu<3 years ligher edu>=3 years))						<u> </u>
rozen (ref not frozen) rozen			_	+			
Pre-term birth (ref full term) 259 days				•			
BW (ref >=2500g) BW				+			



.

characteristics of the children without registered education and their parents are shown in Table VI. Of the children born after ICSI, 147 (2.1%) had no registered education. The corresponding figures

for children born after IVF and SC were 230 (2.0%) and 45 574 (2.3%), respectively. When crosslinking the children without registered education with the CPUP, 4 (2.7%) of ICSI children, 13 (5.7%) of IVF

 Table II Sociodemographic and perinatal characteristics of children (with registered education) in third grade and their parents.

			Singletons n = 5	32615	
Characteristics	All ICSI N = 4382	Ejaculated ICSI N = 405 I	Non-ejaculated ICSI N = 331	IVF N = 5947	Spontaneous conception N = 522 286
Child					
Calendar year at birth*, n (%)					
1997–2001**	526 (12.0)	485 (12.0)	41 (12.4)	637 (10.7)	82 58 (5.7)
2002–2006	3856 (88.0)	3566 (88.0)	290 (87.6)	5310 (89.3)	440 128 (84.3)
Birth weight, n (%)					
Low birth weight <2500 g	207 (4.7)	197 (4.9)	10 (3.0)	326 (5.5)	15019 (2.9)
Very low birth weight < 1500 g	40 (0.9)	36 (0.9)	4 (1.2)	66 (1.1)	2340 (0.5)
Missing	11 (0.3)	9 (0.2)	2 (0.6)	21 (0.4)	1397 (0.3)
Gestational age, n (%)					
Post term, \geq 42 wk.	339 (7.7)	302 (7.5)	37 (11.2)	432 (7.3)	38 758 (7.4)
Term, 37–41 wk.	3755 (85.7)	3480 (86.0)	275 (83.1)	5052 (85.0)	458 475 (87.8)
Preterm birth, <37 wk.	286 (6.5)	267 (6.6)	19 (5.7)	461 (7.8)	24718 (4.2)
Very preterm birth, <32 wk.	44 (1.0)	40 (1.0)	4 (1.2)	79 (1.3)	2990 (0.4)
Extremely preterm birth <28 wk	14 (0.3)	13 (0.3)	I (0.3)	24 (0.4)	816 (0.2)
Missing	2 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	335 (0.1)
Birth defects, n (%)	185 (4.2)	173 (4.3)	12 (3.6)	263 (4.4)	10210 (2.0)
Apgar score at 5 min					
0–3	II (0.3)	11 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	11 (0.2)	712 (0.1)
4–6	50 (1.2)	47 (1.2)	3 (0.9)	63 (1.1)	4060 (0.8)
Missing	19 (0.4)	16 (0.4)	3 (0.9)	34 (0.6)	3042 (0.6)
Maternal					
Age at birth, years mean (SD)	33.5 (4.1)	33.6 (4.1)	33.1 (4.2)	34.5 (4.0)	30.5 (5.0)
Parity*					
1	3182 (72.6)	2953 (72.9)	229 (69.2)	4475 (75.3)	230 401 (44.1)
2	948 (21.6)	870 (21.5)	78 (23.6)	1191 (20.0)	190 655 (36.5)
3	209 (4.8)	191 (4.7)	18 (5.4)	198 (3.3)	70 787 (13.6)
4	30 (0.7)	24 (0.6)	6 (1.8)	65 (1.1)	20 050 (3.8)
≥5	13 (0.3)	13 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	18 (0.3)	10 393 (2.0)
Smoking during pregnancy (yes), n (%)	183 (4.4)	166 (4.4)	17 (5.5)	233 (4.2)	46 661 (9.5)
Missing	258 (5.9)	239 (5.9)	19 (6.7)	441 (7.4)	29 330 (5.6)
Region of birth, n (%)					
Sweden	3785 (86.4)	3503 (86.5)	282 (85.2)	5193 (87.3)	433 765 (83.1)
Nordic outside Sweden	84 (1.9)	80 (2.0)	4 (1.2)	115 (1.9)	8466 (1.6)
European outside Nordic Region	234 (5.3)	220 (5.4)	14 (4.2)	256 (4.3)	27 993 (5.4)
African origin	32 (0.7)	25 (0.6)	7 (2.1)	34 (0.6)	9707 (1.9)
Asian origin	209 (4.8)	188 (4.6)	21 (6.3)	283 (4.8)	35 036 (6.7)
Other	38 (0.9)	35 (0.9)	3 (0.9)	66 (1.1)	7212 (1.4)
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	107 (0.0)
Educational level, n (%)					
\leq 9 y	170 (3.9)	152 (3.8)	18 (5.4)	202 (3.4)	39 795 (7.6)
10–12 y	1797 (41.0)	1652 (40.8)	145 (43.8)	2157 (36.3)	218217 (41.9)
Higher education <3y	760 (17.4)	707 (17.5)	53 (16.0)	986 (16.6)	74 292 (14.3)
Higher education \geq 3 y	1653 (37.7)	1538 (38.0)	115 (34.7)	2598 (43.7)	188 894 (36.2)
Missing	2 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.1)	1088 (0.2)

(Continued)

Table II Continued.

			Singletons n = 5	32615	
Characteristics	All ICSI N = 4382	Ejaculated ICSI N = 405 I	Non-ejaculated ICSI N = 33 I	IVF N = 5947	Spontaneous conception N = 522 286
Highest socioeconomic level during so	chool period of child				
Low class	1620 (39.7)	1482 (39.3)	138 (45.0)	1892 (34.2)	213 559 (45.2)
Middle class	642 (15.7)	603 (16.0)	39 (12.7)	914 (16.5)	66 655 (14.1)
High class	1819 (44.6)	1689 (44.8)	130 (42.4)	2723 (49.3)	192014 (40.7)
Missing	301 (6.9)	277 (6.8)	24 (7.3)	418 (7.0)	50 058 (9.6)
Paternal					
Age at birth, years, mean (SD)	36.6 (5.7)	36.5 (5.6)	38.8 (7.1)	36.5 (5.2)	33.3 (6.0)
Region of birth, n (%)					
Sweden	3816 (87.2)	3550 (87.7)	266 (80.6)	5267 (88.6)	429 392 (82.6)
Nordic outside Sweden	92 (2.1)	83 (2.1)	9 (2.7)	95 (1.6)	8897 (1.7)
European outside Nordic Region	233 (5.3)	211 (5.2)	22 (6.7)	273 (4.6)	31 074 (6.0)
African origin	35 (0.8)	26 (0.6)	9 (2.7)	38 (0.6)	390 (2.2)
Asian origin	176 (4.0)	157 (3.9)	19 (5.8)	217 (3.7)	31 037 (6.0)
Other	24 (0.6)	19 (0.5)	5 (1.5)	54 (0.9)	8284 (1.6)
Missing	6 (0.1)	5 (0.1)	I (0.3)	3 (0.1)	2212 (0.4)
Highest educational level, n (%)					
≤ 9 y	339 (7.8)	300 (7.4)	39 (11.9)	402 (6.8)	56210 (10.8)
10–12 y	2108 (48.2)	1923 (47.6)	185 (56.2)	2626 (44.2)	263 535 (50.8)
Higher education <3 y	766 (17.5)	727 (18.0)	39 (11.9)	4 (9.2)	78 822 (15.2)
Higher education \geq 3 y	1160 (26.5)	1094 (27.1)	66 (20.1)	1770 (29.8)	120 137 (23.2)
Missing	9 (0.2)	7 (0.2)	2 (0.6)	8 (0.1)	3582 (0.7)
Parents together					
Parental disposable income, mean (SD) during school period of child	728364.1 (1901207)	736389.2 (1972670)	630148.4 (466, 418)	744693.4 (994906)	640706.3 (1187861)

ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in-vitro fertilization; SC, spontaneous conception; SD, standard deviation; wk, week; n, number

*No missing data for calendar year at birth and parity

** Children, who ought to have a grade in ninth grade but for some reason did not, who were found in third grade, where included in this cohort

children and 795 (1.7%) of children born after SC were diagnosed with cerebral palsy. When crosslinking children without registered education with the NPR using ICD 9 and ICD 10 codes for mental disability, 44 (29.9%) of the children born after ICSI, 75 (32.6%) of the children born after IVF and 16 285 (35.0%) of the children born after SC were registered under one of these diagnoses. The PTB and LBW rates were high in the group of children without registered education particularly among the ART children (Table VI).

Discussion

This large population-based cohort study examined 6953 children born after ICSI and 11713 children born after IVF to explore whether there were any differences in school performance between the two groups. The main finding was that children born after ICSI had similar school performance compared to children born after IVF. Additionally, this study compared school performance of children born after ICSI with children born after SC. After adjustment, there was a small difference in favour of the SC children in the national tests in third grade. This difference may well be the results of unmeasured confounders since there are many differences between ICSI and SC parents that may not be captured in the covariates. In the ninth grade, there was no difference between these groups. Children born after non-ejaculated ICSI achieved significantly lower scores in the specific subjects Swedish and English and had a significantly higher risk of poor performance compared to children born after ejaculated ICSI.

Several studies, both SRs and large observational studies have been published concerning neurodevelopment and cognitive function in ART children. Most of these studies have found reassuring results for ART children, both IVF and ICSI, compared to children conceived spontaneously (Bay et al., 2013a,b; Spangmose et al., 2017; Catford et al., 2018). A few studies have observed some negative results for ICSI children. A systematic review from Australia found reassuring results for IVF children and in most studies, also for ICSI children, although with some divergent results (Rumbold et al., 2017). A large registry study from Sweden (Sandin et al., 2013) found no overall risk of mental retardation or autistic disorders in ART singletons compared to spontaneously conceived singletons in the main analysis, but a small increase in mental retardation in a subanalysis of ICSI singletons, compared to IVF, being borderline significant for fresh ICSI and significant for

scores and	
200 I. Total	
ו 1985 and	
rn betweel	
on (SC), bo	
s conceptio	
pontaneou	
I, IVF and s	
n after ICS	
letons bor	Ċ.
de for sing	cal Educatior
n ninth gra	sh and Physi
formance i	nglish, Swedi
School peri	thematics, Ei
Table III	scores in Ma

Na31 Constrained Na101 Constrained Na101 All CSI ve IV Na101 All CSI ve IV All National (SS CU) All CSI ve IV All Nati		All ICSI	Ejaculated	Non-	IVF 	SC			Mean differ	ence in percent.	iles		
Cruch mean Adjusteri (\$\$C10) Curch		N = 2571	ICSI N = 2440	Ejaculated ICSI N = I3I	N = 5766	N = 1 500 709	AILICS	l vs IVF	AILICS	l vs SC	Non- ICSI vs E	Ejaculated jaculated ICSI	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							Crude mean difference (95% Cl) p-value	Adjusted ^a mean difference (95% Cl) p-value	Crude mean difference (95% Cl) p-value	Adjusted ^b mean difference (95% CI) p-value	Crude mean difference (95% CI) p-value	Adjusted ^a mean difference (95% CI) p-value	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total score*, all subj	ects, all years, 200	0-2016										
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mean score (SD)	235.4 (55.1)	236.0 (54.3)	224.5 (67.8)	228.1 (58.1)	209.7 (63.9)							
Mathematics all years, 2000-2016 Nean score 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 0.06	Mean percentile	63.1	63.3	58.6	59.0	50.2	4.03 (2.77 to 5.29) <0.001	1.03 (-0.22 to 2.28) 0.11	12.84 (11.81 to 13.87) <0.001	0.52 (-0.45 to 1.50) 0.29	-4.68 (-9.90 to 0.53) 0.08	-3.01 (-7.25 to 1.22) 0.16	
	Mathematics** , all y	ears, 2000–2016											
	Mean score	13.5	13.5	13.3	13.2	12.1							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(SD)	(4.5)	(4.5)	(4.9)	(4.7)	(4.8)							
Swedish*, all years, 2000–2016 Mean score $[45]$ $[47]$ $[43]$ $[33]$ $[41]$ $[128]$ Mean score $[45]$ $[43]$	Mean percentile	63.5	63.5	62.2	60.2	53.2	3.31 (2.02 to 4.61) <0.001	0.98 (-0.36 to 2.31) 0.15	10.27 (9.21 to 11.34) <0.001	0.60 (-0.42 to 1.62) 0.25	-1.35 (-5.51 to 3.78) 0.60	0.96 (-3.58 to 5.51) 0.68	
	Swedish** , all years,	2000-2016											
	Mean score	14.5	14.5	13.0	14.1	12.8							
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	(SD)	(4.4)	(4.4)	(5.5)	(4.3)	(4.9)							
English**, all Years, 2000–2016 Mean score [5.5] [5.6] [4.1] (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.1) (4.2) (5.3) (5.1) 5.50 4.27 (0.38) 14.42 (0.055) -6.46 -5.53 Mean percentile 69.4 69.7 63.3 65.1 55.0 4.27 (0.38) 14.42 (0.055) -6.46 -5.53 Mean percentile 69.4 69.7 63.3 65.1 55.0 $(2.97 \text{ to } 5.57)$ $(-0.977 \text{ to } 1.73)$ $(13.35 \text{ to } 15.49)$ $(-0.127 \text{ to } 2.03)$ $(-11.76 \text{ to } -11.5)$ $(-10.10 \text{ to } -1.5)$ Physical education**, all years, 2000–2016 Mean score 14.9 14.5 14.5 (3.5) $(-0.97 \text{ to } 1.73)$ $(13.35 \text{ to } 15.49)$ $(-0.12 \text{ to } 2.03)$ $(-11.76 \text{ to } -11.5)$ $(-10.10 \text{ to } 1.50)$ Physical education**, all years, all years, and yeard (4.5) (4.4) (5.3) (4.8)	Mean percentile	65.5	65.9	58.1	6.19	53.8	3.60 (2.30 to 4.90) <0.001	0.60 (-0.71 to 1.83) 0.39	1.7 (0.63 to 2.78) <0.00	0.66 (-0.34 to 1.65) 0.20	-7.74 (-13.12 to -2.36) 0.005	-5.07 (-9.41 to -0.74) 0.02	
Mean score 15.5 15.6 14.4 15.0 13.5 (SD) (4.1) (4.2) (4.3) (4.8) (5.1) 55.0 -5.50 -5.53 -6.46 -5.53 -6.46 -5.53 -6.001 0.02	English** , all years, 2	000-2016											
Name percentile 69.7 69.7 63.3 65.1 55.0 4.27 0.38 14.42 0.95 -6.46 -5.33 Physical education*, all years, 2000–2016Ram score $(2.97 to 5.57)$ $(-0.97 to 1.73)$ $(13.35 to 15.49)$ $(-0.12 to 2.03)$ $(-11.76 to -1.15)$ $(-10.10 to -0.02)$ Physical education*, all years, 2000–2016Ram score (4.9) 14.5 14.5 14.5 13.6 Physical education*, all years, 2000–2016Ram score (4.9) (5.3) (4.8) (5.3) $(2.97 to 5.5.3)$ $(-0.97 to 1.8)$ $(-0.12 to 2.03)$ $(-11.76 to -1.15)$ $(-10.10 to -0.02)$ Physical education*, all years, 2000–2016 (4.9) (4.5) (4.4) (5.3) (4.8) (5.3) $(-1.97 to 4.07)$ $(-0.12 to 2.03)$ $(-11.76 to -1.15)$ $(-10.10 to -0.02)$ Physical education** (4.5) (4.4) (5.3) (4.8) (5.3) $(-1.91 to 1.89)$ $(-6.81 to 9.01)$ (-0.47) $(-3.64 to -3.06)$ Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 55.2 (2.73) $(-1.01 to 1.89)$ $(-0.68 to 1.63)$ $(-5.91 to 4.97)$ $(-3.63 to 6.06)$ Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 55.2 (2.73) $(-1.01 to 1.89)$ $(-2.91 to 4.97)$ $(-3.63 to 6.06)$ Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 55.2 $(-1.01 to 1.89)$ $(-2.91 to 4.97)$ $(-3.63 to 6.06)$ Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 <th< td=""><td>Mean score (SD)</td><td>15.5 (4.1)</td><td>15.6 (4.1)</td><td>14.4 (4.9)</td><td>15.0 (4.3)</td><td>13.5 (4.8)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Mean score (SD)	15.5 (4.1)	15.6 (4.1)	14.4 (4.9)	15.0 (4.3)	13.5 (4.8)							
(2.97 to 5.57) (-0.97 to 1.73) (13.35 to 15.49) (-0.12 to 2.03) (-11.76 to -1.15) (-10.10 to -0.002) Physical education**, all years, 2000–2016 Mean score 14.9 14.5 14.5 14.5 13.6 Nean score 14.9 14.9 14.5 14.5 13.6 Mean score 14.9 14.9 (5.3) (4.8) (5.3) (2.94 to 8.9) 0.047 0.047 -0.47 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 5.3 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.477 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 63.7 61.4 5.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.477 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.477 (-3.63 to 6.20) Mean percentile 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 (-3.61 to 4.977) (-3.65 to 6.20) 0.61 (Soft co -320 <td colspass<="" td=""><td>Mean percentile</td><td>69.4</td><td>69.7</td><td>63.3</td><td>65.1</td><td>55.0</td><td>4.27</td><td>0.38</td><td>14.42</td><td>0.95</td><td>-6.46</td><td>-5.53</td></td>	<td>Mean percentile</td> <td>69.4</td> <td>69.7</td> <td>63.3</td> <td>65.1</td> <td>55.0</td> <td>4.27</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>14.42</td> <td>0.95</td> <td>-6.46</td> <td>-5.53</td>	Mean percentile	69.4	69.7	63.3	65.1	55.0	4.27	0.38	14.42	0.95	-6.46	-5.53
Physical education**, all years, 2000–2016 Mean score 14.9 14.5 15.3 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.47 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.47 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.47 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.497 (-3.63 to 6. 0.61 ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection: IVF, in-vitro fertilization; SC, spontaneous conception; SD standard deviation 0.55 <0.001							(2.97 to 5.57) <0.001	(-0.97 to 1.73) 0.58	(13.35 to 15.49) <0.001	(-0.12 to 2.03) 0.08	(-11.76 to -1.15) 0.02	(-10.10 to -0.96) 0.02	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Physical education**	, all years, 2000–2	016										
Mean percentile 64.1 6.1 6.3.7 61.4 5.6.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.47 1.26 Mean percentile 64.1 63.7 61.4 56.2 2.73 0.44 7.91 0.47 -0.47 1.26 Image: Second conception 0.55 61.01 to 1.89) (6.81 to 9.01) (-5.91 to 4.97) (-3.63 to 6.16) Image: Concentine 0.55 <0.001	Mean score (SD)	14.9 (4.5)	14.9 (4.4)	14.5 (5.3)	14.5 (4.8)	13.6 (5.3)							
ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in-vitro fertilization; SC, spontaneous conception; SD standard deviation *total score 0–320 ***score 0–20 (not pass = 10 p, pass with distinction = 15 p, pass with special distinction = 20 p)	Mean percentile	64. l	64.I	63.7	61.4	56.2	2.73 (1.39 to 4.08) <0.001	0.44 (-1.01 to 1.89) 0.55	7.91 (6.81 to 9.01) <0.001	0.47 (-0.68 to 1.63) 0.42	-0.47 (-5.91 to 4.97) 0.86	1.26 (-3.63 to 6.15) 0.61	
	ICSI, intracytoplasmic spe *total score 0–320 ** score 0–20 (not pass =	rm injection; IVF, in-vi 0 p, pass = 10 p, pass	itro fertilization; S with distinction =	C, spontaneous c 15 p, pass with sp	onception; SC ecial distinctic) standard deviatio n = 20 p)	E						

							(95% CI) p-value	Pulusted OI (95% CI) p-value	(95%) P-v	r CI)	djustea אר (95% CI) p-value	OK (95% CI) p-value	Adjusted OK ⁻ (95% CI) p-value
		All ICSI N=2571	Ejaculated ICSI N = 2440	Non- Ejaculated ICSI N = I3I	IVF N =5766	SC N = 1 500 709	All ICSI vs IVF	All ICSI vs IVF	All	sc	All ICSI vs SC	Non- Ejaculated ICSI vs Ejaculated ICSI	Non- Ejaculated ICSI vs Ejaculated ICSI
Approved in m = Mathemat Swedish and E Swedish and E secores > 0) and to enter secon school, N (%)	tics, English (all d qualified Idary	2399 (93.3)	2286 (93.7)	3 (86.3)	5393 (93.5)	l 333 027 (88.8)	0.96 (0.80 to 1.16) 0.71	.02 (0.82 to .26 0.87	5) (1.50 t < 0.	75 o 2.04) .001	1.04 (0.88 to 1.22) 0.68	0.42 (0.26 to 0.71) 0.001	0.65 (0.36 to 1.18) 0.16
Poor school performance (score < I 60), N	(total 4 (%)	155 (6.0)	4 (5.8)	14 (10.7)	441 (7.7)	202 845 (13.5)	0.77 (0.64 to 0.94) 0.01	0.92 (0.75 to 1.14 0.47) (0.35 t ₀ <0.	41 o 0.48) 001	0.93 (0.78 to 1.10) 0.37	1.95 (1.09 to 3.48) 0.02	1.87 (1.01 to 3.45) 0.045
Table V Re	esults of N	ational test	ts in third I subtests, N	grade for sin (%)	gletons bor	n after ICSI, OR	IVF and SC Adjus	C, born betw sted	reen 2002 OR	and 2006 Adjusted		R	Adjusted OR ^a
	-	:				(95% CI) p-value) OF (95% P-val	R ^a (9: CI) p- Ilue	5% CI) ·value	ÓR ^b (95% CI) p-value	(95 p-v	% CI) alue	(95% CI) p-value
	ICSI N = 4382	Ejaculated ICSI N = 405 I	l Non- Ejaculate ICSI N = 33	d N=5947 31	SC N=5222	ICSI vs IV 86	/F ICSI v	s IVF ICS	si vs SC	ICSI vs SQ	C Non-Ej ICS Ejacula	aculated N SI vs ted ICSI vs	Ion-Ejaculated ICSI Ejaculated ICSI
Mathematics Year of test 2010–2016	3406 (77.7)	3159 (78.0)	247 (74.6)	4717 (79.3)	395 109 (75.7)	0.91 (0.83 to 1.0 0.05	0.9 0) (0.89 to 0.7	98 5 1.08) (1.05 7 0	1.12 5 to 1.21) 0.001	0.89 (0.83 to 0.9 0.002	0. 6) (0.64 t 0.	.83 to 1.08) .16	0.94 (0.72 to 1.24) 0.68
Swedish Year of test 2010–2016	3442 (78.6)	3189 (78.7)	253 (76.4)	4753 (79.9)	392 559 (75.2)	0.92 (0.84 to 1.0 0.09	0.9 01) (0.87 to 0.4	96 5 1.07) (1.13 9 < <	1.21 3 to 1.30) 0.001	0.92 (0.85 to 0.9 0.02	0 (0.67 t 0.	.88 to 1.14) .33	1.00 (0.75 to 1.33) 1.00

		Ejaculated ICSI	Non-ejaculated	IVF	sc
	N = 147	N = 144	ICSI N=3	N = 230	N = 45 574
Characteristics					
Child					
Calendar year at birth, n (%)					
1985–1990	I (0.7)	I (0.7)	0 (0.0)	8 (3.5)	13 549 (29.7)
1991–1996	7 (4.8)	7 (4.9)	0 (0.0)	63 (27.4)	14991 (33.9)
1997–2001	41 (27.9)	40 (27.8)	l (33.3)	42 (18.3)	7168 (15.7)
2002–2007	98 (66.7)	96 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	117 (50.9)	9866 (21.7)
Low birth weight < 2500 g, n (%)	18 (12.4)	17 (12.0)	l (33.3)	31 (13.7)	3617 (8.0)
Preterm birth, <37 wk. n (%)	22 (15.0)	21 (14.6)	l (33.3)	37 (16.2)	4303 (9.5)
Maternal					
Age at birth, years mean (SD)	34.0 (4.5)	34.0 (4.5)	32.3 (2.9)	34.3 (3.9)	28.9 (5.7)
Parity I	107 (72.8)	105 (72.9)	2 (66.7)	170 (73.9)	17 668 (38.8)
Smoking during pregnancy (yes), n (%)	10 (7.5)	9 (6.9)	l (33.3)	34 (16.0)	11 252 (26.6)
Country of birth outside Sweden, n (%)	32 (21.8)	31 (21.5)	l (33.3)	41 (17.8)	10716 (23.5)
Educational level > 12 y, n (%)	71 (48.3)	69 (47.9)	2 (66.7)	107 (46.7)	2 676 (28.1)
Paternal					
Age at birth, years mean (SD)	36.8 (6.2)	36.8 (6.2)	37.3 (5.1)	37.0 (5.3)	32.5 (6.9)
Country of birth outside Sweden, n (%)	26 (17.9)	25 (17.6)	l (33.3)	41 (17.8)	11 534 (25.6)
Educational level > 12 y, n (%)	62 (42.8)	60 (42.3)	2 (66.7)	101 (44.1)	10 665 (23.9)

Table VI Sociodemographic and perinatal characteristics of the 45951 children in third and ninth grade without registered education and their parents.

ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilization; SC, spontaneous conception; SD, standard deviation; n, number

frozen ICSI. However, the analysis was based on few cases (n = 7). A large population based cohort study from California (Kissin *et al.*, 2015) reported an overall increase in risk of autism when ICSI was used compared to conventional IVF (HR 1.65, 95% CI 1.08–2.52). No comparison with spontaneously conceived children was performed in that study.

A major strength of this study is the population-based design, making it possible to contain a complete national cohort of children and the large sample. Furthermore, the study is based on national registries with high validity, minimizing missing data and the risk of selection bias. Finally, we were able to adjust for relevant confounders.

Covariates associated with lower school performance were maternal smoking during pregnancy (Fig. 2). Smoking during pregnancy was more common among IVF mothers in the ninth grade (13.4%) compared to ICSI mothers (7.4%). Findings from other studies have indicated an association with impaired cognitive function in children of smoking mothers, measured by IQ tests (Frydman, 1996; Mortensen *et al.*, 2005). A population-based Swedish cohort study (Lambe *et al.*, 2006) however suggested that the associations between maternal smoking during pregnancy and poor cognitive performance in the offspring might not be causal but depend on unmeasured confounders. Covariates associated with good school performance were high parental education and low number of siblings (Fig. 2), which characterized parents of ART children, probably explaining why

ICSI children performed better in the crude analyses compared to SC children. In an earlier study, where the same dataset was used, we compared school performance in the ninth grade between singletons born after ART and SC (Norrman et al., 2018). That study also showed that ART children performed better in the crude analyses but slightly worse in the adjusted analyses. Children born after ICSI with non-ejaculated sperm had significantly lower results in Swedish and English and a significantly higher risk of poor school performance than children born after ICSI with ejaculated sperm. Although, being an important finding, the result is based on a subgroup analysis and with few individuals (131 individuals, 14 events); therefore, the effect size needs further studies. Such a finding might well be a chance finding. Yet, some earlier studies have found differences for ICSI concerning autistic disorders (Kissin et al., 2015) or subgroups of ICSI concerning mental retardation (Sandin et al., 2013). Several significance tests were performed, also for secondary outcomes. We did not adjust for multiple comparisons as the outcomes are not independent, and therefore formal adjustment for multiple comparisons is not possible. Yet, none of the secondary outcomes would be considered statistically significant if standard methods of adjustment for multiple testing were applied.

Limitations of this study are unmeasured and unknown confounders, where information about infertility diagnoses and indication for ICSI were not available and where the proportion of children born after ART and SC varied in the different grading systems used. However, the only difference between the two grading systems used was that the later grading system (with a higher proportion of children born after ART) had four instead of six categories to choose from. It is difficult to estimate whether this has affected the result. Another limitation was that test scores were missing in 2.1%, 2.0% and 2.3% in ICSI, IVF and SC children, respectively. Yet, the number of children not registered in the education system in this study was low compared to other studies on school performance (Wagenaar et al., 2008; Mains et al., 2010; Spangmose et al., 2017). The exact reason for no registered education is not known but may include severe physical and mental disorders such as cerebral palsy and mental retardation. Our results indicate that cerebral palsy was more common among ART children with missing school grades than such SC children. Earlier studies concerning cerebral palsy in children born after ART have found divergent results. An increased risk has mainly been associated with prematurity and multiple pregnancies (Stromberg et al., 2002; Klemetti et al., 2006). Another reason for missed registration could be that children attending non-public schools were not registered before 1993. It should also be pointed out that the power to detect meaningful differences between certain groups is limited. This is particularly the case for the comparison between children born from ejaculated versus non-ejaculated sperm, where many confidence intervals are wide, not excluding important differences.

Conclusion

Children born after ICSI had similar school performance compared to children born after IVF. Compared to children born after SC, small differences were observed in the third grade in favour of SC children. In the ninth grade, no differences between ICSI and SC children were observed. Our results are relevant and important to most countries where IVF and ICSI are used since there may be some differences in choice of procedure. In recent years, there has been an increasing trend towards using ICSI not only in the treatment of male infertility but also when the sperm sample is normal (Adamson et al., 2018). Our results indicate that the school performance of children born after ICSI is reassuring.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted with permission from the Swedish MFR/Q-IVF registry, the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare and Statistics Sweden. We thank them for their assistance with data collection.

Authors' roles

All authors contributed to the conception and design of the study. All authors also collected data from the different registers. M.P. performed the statistical analyses. All authors contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. E.N. drafted the manuscript. U.B.W., C.B. and M.P. revised the manuscript and all authors approved the final version.

Funding

Sahlgrenska University Hospital (ALFGBG-70 940); Hjalmar Svensson Research Foundation and Nordforsk (project number 71450). The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study, the collection, management, analysis or interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript nor the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interest

None reported.

References

- Adamson GD, de Mouzon J, Chambers GM, Zegers-Hochschild F, Mansour R, Ishihara O, Banker M, Dyer S. International committee for monitoring assisted reproductive technology: world report on assisted reproductive technology, 2011. *Fertil Steril* 2018;**110**: 1067–1080.
- Adamson GD, Zegers-Hochschild F, Dyer S, Chambers G, Ishihara O, Mansour R, Banker M, De Mouzon J. ICMART World Report 2014 ESHRE 2018, Barcelona, Abstract. 2018;0–146.
- Ahlsson F, Kaijser M, Adami J, Lundgren M, Palme M. School performance after preterm birth. *Epidemiol* 2015;**26**:106–111.
- Bay B, Mortensen EL, Kesmodel US. Assisted reproduction and child neurodevelopmental outcomes: a systematic review. *Fertil Steril* 2013a;**100**:844–853.
- Bay B, Mortensen EL, Hvidtjørn D, Kesmodel US. Fertility treatment and risk of childhood and adolescent mental disorders: register based cohort study. *BMJ* 2013b;**347**:f3978.
- Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, Cradock MM, Anand KJ. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2002;**288**:728–737.
- Bonduelle M, Wennerholm UB, Loft A, Tarlatzis BC, Peters C, Henriet S, Mau C, Victorin-Cederquist A, Van Steirteghem A, Balaska A et al. A multi-centre cohort study of the physical health of 5-year-old children conceived after intracytoplasmic sperm injection, in vitro fertilization and natural conception. *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**: 413–419.
- Catford SR, McLachlan RI, O'Bryan MK, Halliday JL. Long-term followup of intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection-conceived offspring compared with in vitro fertilization-conceived offspring: a systematic review of health outcomes beyond the neonatal period. *Andrology* 2018;**6**:635–653.
- Cnattingius S, Ericson A, Gunnarskog J, Källén B. A quality study of a medical birth register. *Scand J Soc Med* 1990;**18**:143–148.
- Frydman M. The smoking addiction of pregnant women and the consequences on their offspring's intellectual development. *J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol* 1996; **15**:169–172.
- Goldbeck L, Gagsteiger F, Mindermann I, Strobele S, Izat Y. Cognitive development of singletons conceived by intracytoplasmic sperm injection or in vitro fertilization at age 5 and 10 years. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2009;**34**:774–781.
- Helmerhorst FM, Perquin DA, Donker D, Keirse MJ. Perinatal outcome of singletons and twins after assisted conception; a systematic review of controlled studies. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:261.
- Jackson RA, Gibson KA, Wu YW, Croughan MS. Perinatal outcomes in singletons following in vitro fertilization: a meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;**103**:551–563.

- Kissin DM, Zhang Y, Boulet SL, Fountain C, Bearman P, Schieve PL, Yeargin-Allsopp M, Jamieson DJ. Association of assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatment and parental infertility diagnosis with autism in ART-conceived children. *Hum Reprod* 2015; **30**:454–465.
- Klemetti R, Sevón T, Gissler M, Hemminki E. Health of children born as a result of in vitro fertilization. *Pediatrics* 2006;**118**:1819–1827.
- Knoester M, Helmerhorst FM, Vandenbroucke JP, van der Westerlaken LA, Walther FJ, Veen S, Leiden Artificial Reproductive Techniques Follow-up Project. Cognitive development of singletons born after intracytoplasmic sperm injection compared with in vitro fertilization and natural conception. *Fertil Steril* 2008;**90**:289–296.
- Lambe M, Hultman C, Torrång A, MacCabe J, Cnattingius S. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and school performance at age 15. *Epidemiology* 2006; **17**:524–530.
- Ludvigsson J, Otterblad-Olausson P, Pettersson B, Ekbom A. The Swedish personal identity number: possibilities and pitfalls in health-care and medical research. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2009;**24**:659–667.
- Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, Feychting M, Kim JL, Reuterwall C, Heurgren M, Olausson PO. External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health* 2011;11:450.
- Leslie GI, Gibson FL, McMahon C, Cohen J, Saunders DM, Tennant C. Children conceived using ICSI do not have an increased risk of delayed mental development at 5 years of age. *Hum Reprod* 2003; 18:2067–2072.
- Mains L, Zimmerman M, Blaine J, Stegmann B, Sparks A, Ansley T, Van Voorhis B. Achievement test performance in children conceived by IVF. *Hum Reprod* 2010;**25**:2605–2611.
- McDonald SD, Han Z, Mulla S, Murphy KE, Beyene J, Ohlsson A. Preterm birth and low birth weight among in vitro fertilization singletons: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2009;**146**:138–148.
- Mortensen E, Michaelsen K, Sanders S, Reinisch JM. A dose-response relationship between maternal smoking during late pregnancy and adult intelligence in male off-spring. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2005; **19**:4–11.
- Naglieri J, Bornstein B. Intelligence and achievement: just how correlated are they? JPA 2003;21:244–260.
- Norrman E, Petzold M, Bergh C, Wennerholm UB. School performance in singletons born after assisted reproductive technology. *Hum Reprod* 2018;**33**:1948–1959.
- Palermo G, Joris H, Devroey P, Steirteghem AC. Pregnancies after intracytoplasmic injection of single spermatozoon into an oocyte. *Lancet* 1992;**340**:17:18.
- Pandey S, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S, Maheshwari A. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in singleton pregnancies resulting from IVF/ICSI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2012;**18**:485–503.
- Pinborg A, Lidegaard Ø, la Cour Freiesleben N, Nyboe Andersen A. Consequences of vanishing twins in IVF/ICSI pregnancies. *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**:2821–2829.
- Pinborg A, Wennerholm UB, Romundstad LB, Loft A, Aittomaki K, Soderstrom-Anttila V, Nygren KG, Hazekamp J, Bergh C. Why do

singletons conceived after assisted reproduction technology have adverse perinatal outcome? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2013;**19**:87–104.

- Place I, Englert Y. A prospective longitudinal study of the physical, psychomotor, and intellectual development of singleton children up to 5 years who were conceived by intracytoplasmic sperm injection compared with children conceived spontaneously and by in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 2003;**80**:1388–1397.
- Ponjaert-Kristoffersen I, Bonduelle M, Barnes J, Nekkebroeck J, Loft A, Wennerholm UB, Tarlatzis BC, Peters C, Hagberg BS, Berner A et al. International collaborative study of intracytoplasmic sperm injection-conceived, in vitro fertilization-conceived, and naturally conceived 5-year-old child outcomes: cognitive and motor assessments. *Pediatrics* 2005;**115**:e283–e289.
- Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Does intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) carry inherent genetic risks? *Fertil Steril* 2004;**82**:S151–S152.
- Punamaki RL, Tiitinen A, Lindblom J, Unkila-Kallio L, Flykt M, Vanska M, Poikkeus P, Tulppala M. Mental health and developmental outcomes for children born after ART: a comparative prospective study on child gender and treatment type. *Hum Reprod* 2015;**31**: 100–107.
- Rumbold AR, Moore VM, Whitrow MJ, Oswald TK, Moran LJ, Fernandez RC, Barnhart KT, Davies MJ. The impact of specific fertility treatments on cognitive development in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod* 2017;**32**:1489–1507.
- Sandin S, Nygren KG, Oliadou A, Hultman CM, Reichenberg A. Autism and mental retardation among offspring born after in vitro fertilization. JAMA 2013;**310**:75–84.
- Sazonova A, Källen K, Thurin-Kjellberg A, Wennerholm UB, Bergh C. Factors affecting obstetric outcome of singletons born after IVF. *Hum Reprod* 2011;**26**:2878–2886.
- Shen H, Magnusson C, Rai D, Lundberg M, Scherban F, Dalman C, Lee B. Associations of parental depression with child school performance at age 16 years in Sweden. *JAMA Psychiat* 2016;**73**:239–246.
- Shenkin SD, Starr JM, Dearu IJ. Birth weight and cognitive ability in childhood: a systematic review. *Psychol Bull* 2004;**130**: 989–1013.
- Spangmose AL, Malchau SS, Schmidt L, Vassard D, Rasmussen S, Loft A, Forman J, Pinborg A. Academic performance in adolescents born after ART-a nationwide registry-based cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2017;**32**:447–456.
- Stromberg B, Dahlgquist G, Ericson A, Finnstrom O, Koster M, Stjernqvist K. Neurological sequelae in children born after invitro fertilisation: a population-based study. *Lancet* 2002;**359**: 461–465.
- Svensson AC, Abel K, Dalman C, Magnusson C. Implications of advancing paternal age: does it affect offspring school performance? *PLoS One* 2011;6:e24771.
- Wagenaar K, Ceelen M, van Weissenbruch MM, Knol DL, Delemarrevan de Waal HA, Huisman J. School functioning in 8- to 18-yearold children born after in vitro fertilization. *Eur J Pediatr* 2008; 167:1289–1295.