Cancer Res Treat. 2016;48(2):676-686

Original Article

http://dx.doi.org/10.4143/crt.2015.153

Open Access

Aberrant Epigenetic Modifications of *LPHN2* Function as a Potential Cisplatin-Specific Biomarker for Human Gastrointestinal Cancer

Mi-Seong Jeon, BS¹² Sang-Hyun Song, PhD¹ Jiyeon Yun, BS¹² Jee-Youn Kang, MS¹² Hwang-Phill Kim, PhD¹ Sae-Won Han, MD, PhD¹³ Tae-You Kim, MD, PhD¹²³

¹Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul, ²Department of Molecular Medicine and Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Convergence Science and Technology, Seoul National University, Seoul, ³Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Correspondence: Tae-You Kim, MD, PhD
Department of Internal Medicine,
Seoul National University Hospital,
101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea
Tel: 82-2-2072-3943
Fax: 82-2-762-9662
+E-mail: kimty@snu.ac.kr+ + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Received May 7, 2015 Accepted September 1, 2015 Published Online September 22, 2015
Received May 7, 2015 Accepted September 1, 2015 Published Online September 22, 2015
Received May 7, 2015 Accepted September 1, 2015 Published Online September 22, 2015 *Mi-Seong Jeon and Sang-Hyun Song
Received May 7, 2015 Accepted September 1, 2015 Published Online September 22, 2015 *Mi-Seong Jeon and Sang-Hyun Song contributed equally to this work.

Purpose

Epigenetic alterations of specific genes have recently been identified as diagnostic biomarkers for human cancers. However, there are currently no standardized epigenetic biomarkers for drug sensitivity in human gastrointestinal cancer. Therefore, the aim of this study is to identify a novel epigenetic biomarker in gastrointestinal cancer.

Materials and Methods

Using bisulfite sequencing and pyrosequencing analysis, DNA methylation patterns of gastric, colon primary tissues and their cancer cells were analyzed, and histone modifications were analyzed using chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. In addition, cancer cells were exposed to cisplatin and treated with a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor.

Results

We report that in human gastric and colon cancers, latrophilin 2 (*LPHN2*) is silenced by epigenetic modifications, including CpG island methylation and aberrant histone modifications. We also confirmed that *LPHN2* was silenced by DNA hypermethylation in primary gastric and colon tumor tissues compared to their normal counterparts. Interestingly, we found that cancer cells with methylated *LPHN2* showed higher sensitivity to cisplatin. Also, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine combined with cisplatin decreased the cytotoxicity of cisplatin in cancer cells with methylated *LPHN2*. In addition, *LPHN2* knockdown in cancer cells with high *LPHN2* expression sensitized these cells to the anti-proliferative effects of cisplatin.

Conclusion

In human gastrointestinal cancer, we found that *LPHN2* is regulated by epigenetic modifications, and that cancer cells with lower *LPHN2* expression show higher sensitivity to cisplatin. Therefore, the methylation status of *LPHN2* is a potential novel epigenetic biomarker for cisplatin treatment in human gastric and colon cancers.

Key words

Epigenomics, DNA methylation, Histone modification, Cisplatin, LPHN2

Introduction

Aberrant gene function and altered patterns of gene expression are typical features among various cancers [1]. In particular, epigenetic modifications, defined as heritable changes in gene expression not caused by changes in the DNA sequence, are increasingly identified as hallmarks of human cancers [1,2]. DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) hypermethylate CpG islands, resulting in transcriptional

silencing of various genes [3]. DNMTs catalyze the transfer of a methyl group to the carbon 5 position of cytosine residues in CpG dinucleotides. In addition to DNA methylation, histone modifications also help to organize the nuclear architecture in regulation of gene expression and gene activities [3]. Thus, DNA methylation cooperates with histone modifications to silence tumor suppressor genes during tumorigenesis [4].

Incidence and mortality of gastrointestinal cancers, including gastric and colon cancer, have increased globally [5,6].

⁶⁷⁶ Copyright © 2016 by the Korean Cancer Association

③ This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Recent genome-wide epigenomic modification studies have reported various genes that are frequently inactivated by epigenetic modifications in gastric and colon cancer [7,8]. Interestingly, epigenetic modifications are being recognized as new therapeutic targets for treatment and prognosis of gastrointestinal cancers [9,10]. However, there are no standardized epigenetic markers which can serve as novel indicators for the chemosensitivity of human gastrointestinal cancer.

In this study, we found that latrophilin 2 (*LPHN2*), a member of the latrophilin subfamily of G-protein coupled receptors [11], is transcriptionally inactivated by DNA methylation and histone modifications in human gastric and colon cancer cells. Cancer cells with methylated *LPHN2* showed higher sensitivity to cisplatin compared to cells without methylation, suggesting that the methylation status of *LPHN2* may serve as a novel epigenetic biomarker for cisplatin chemosensitivity. The *LPHN2* methylation status does not confer differential sensitivity to other chemotherapeutic agents; therefore, we propose that epigenetic modification of *LPHN2* is a promising cisplatin-specific biomarker.

Materials and Methods

1. Cell culture

Eleven human gastric cancer cell lines and 15 human colon cancer cell lines were obtained from the Korea Cancer Cell Bank (Seoul, Korea). Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and gentamicin (10 μ g/mL) [12]. HCT116 and DKO cells, which were generous gifts from Dr. B. Vogelstein (Johns Hopkins University) [13], were cultured in McCoy's 5A media.

2. Primary human gastric tissues

Twenty-four primary human gastric tumor tissues, ten primary human colorectal tumor tissues, and their matching normal tissues were obtained from Seoul National University Hospital. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital. After surgical removal, tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use.

3. Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was prepared using the TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions as previously described [14]. Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg of total RNA using ImProm-II reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI) and amplified by RT-PCR using HotStart Taq (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and gene-specific primers. 18S ribosomal RNA was used as an internal RT-PCR control. For quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR), cDNAs were amplified using Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), and a Step One Plus system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

4. Bisulfite genomic sequencing analyses and pyrosequencing

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen), and 1 µg gDNA was modified using the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions [15]. Sodium bisulfite-modified DNA was amplified using specific primer sets. PCR products were gelpurified and cloned into TA cloning vectors (RBC Bioscience, Taipei, Taiwan). Inserted PCR fragments of individual clones were then sequenced. For pyrosequencing analyses, bisulfite-modified gDNA was amplified using biotinylated primers specific for the LPHN2 CpG island. Preparation of single-stranded DNA template, annealing to the pyrosequencing primer, and pyrosequencing were performed using PyroGold Q96 SQA reagents with a PyroMark ID pyrosequencer (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Pyrosequencing was performed using PyroGold Q96 SQA reagents with a PyroMark ID pyrosequencer (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol [15].

5. Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed as previously described [16]. Differences in DNA enrichment for ChIP samples were determined by qPCR using 2.5% of the precipitated sample DNA and 0.02% of the input DNA. PCR primers used for ChIP are available upon request. Briefly, cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature with 0.125 M glycine to terminate the reaction. Nuclei were prepared, digested with 50 U micrococcal nuclease for 15 minutes at 37°C, and sonicated to yield chromatin fragments (200-400 bp). The precleared chromatin was incubated overnight at 4°C with H3K4me3 (07-473, Millipore, Billerica, MA), H3K27me2 (07-452, Millipore), H3K9me3 (Ab8898, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), followed by incubation with protein A agarose (Millipore), which was pre-equilibrated with sonicated salmon sperm DNA and bovine serum albumin. Immunoprecipitated material was washed extensively, and the crosslinks were reversed. DNA from the eluted chromatin was purified by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation.

6. Drug treatment and siRNA transfection

5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza-CdR) was obtained from Sigma. Cells were seeded 24 hours before treatment and drugs were administered as noted in the figure legends. Predesigned siRNAs specific for *LPHN2* were purchased from M-biotech (Hanam, Korea) and control siRNAs with scrambled sequences were obtained from Qiagen. To confirm whether *LPHN2* expression affects chemosensitivity, si*LPHN2* was transfected into DKO, SNU484, and SW480 cells for 8 hours using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were subsequently plated into 96-well plates and cell viability assays were performed in the presence of cisplatin.

7. Cell growth inhibition assays

Tetrazolium dye (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) assays were used to evaluate the inhibitory effect of cisplatin or 5-Aza-CdR on cell growth. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates, incubated for 24 hours, and treated with cisplatin alone or in combination with 500 nM 5-Aza-CdR for 72 hours at 37°C. After drug treatment, MTT solution was added to each well and cells were incubated for 4 hours at 37°C before removal of the media. Cells were then treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma) and the absorbance of the converted dye in living cells was measured using a microplate reader (Versa-Max, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at a wavelength of 540 nm. Six replicate wells were used for each analysis and three independent experiments were performed.

Results

1. Epigenetic silencing of *LPHN*2 in human gastric and colorectal cancer cells

To identify novel genes silenced by DNA methylation, we compared the expression profile of HCT116 cells with that of DKO cells, which are *DNMT1/DNMT3B* double knockout HCT116 cells [13]. By comparing microarray expression data sets, we found 1,164 genes (log2 fold change > 2) whose expression was responsive to *DNMT1/DNMT3B* double knockout (Fig. 1). Among 1,164 differentially-expressed genes, 782 genes, including latrophilin (*LPHN*), a neuronal adhesion G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) [17], were up-regulated in DKO cells compared to control HCT116 cells



Fig. 1. Microarray analyses of mRNA expression in HCT116 and DKO cells. Microarray analyses were performed in HCT116 cells and *DNMT1/DNMT3B* double knockout derivative DKO cells. Microarray data results are shown as the log2 expression ratio of genes between HCT116 and DKO. The black arrow indicates *LPHN2*.

(Fig. 1). Interestingly, we found that *LPHN2* was silenced in six gastric and 13 colorectal cancer cell lines, respectively (Fig. 2A and B). *LPHN2* has a CpG island located in the promoter and exon 1 region (Fig. 2A), therefore we investigated the question of whether DNA methylation is responsible for transcriptional silencing of *LPHN2*. In bisulfite sequencing analyses of the two CpG-rich regions within the *LPHN2* CpG island, we found that SNU601 gastric cancer cells and HCT116, LOVO colon cancer cells, which show low *LPHN2* expression, have heavily methylated CpG sites (Fig. 2C). In contrast, SNU484 gastric cancer cells and DKO and SW480, two colon cancer cells that express high levels of *LPHN2*, have no DNA methylation in the same region (Fig. 2C).

Histone modifications cooperate with DNA methylation in regulation of gene expression [18]. Therefore, we investigated the question of whether the transcriptional silencing observed in SNU601 and HCT116 cells was associated with histone modifications. In our ChIP experiments, consistent with LPHN2 mRNA expression levels (Fig. 2B), SNU601 and HCT116 cells were enriched for repressive histone marks, H3K27 di-methylation (H3K27me2) or H3K9 tri-methylation (H3K9me3), with low levels of active histone marks, H3K4 tri-methylation (H3K4me3) (blue line) (Fig. 2D). In addition, results of the ChIP assay from SNU484 and DKO cells showing high LPHN2 expression showed the opposite tendency compared to SNU601 and HCT116 cells (green line) (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these data suggest that widespread transcriptional silencing of LPHN2 may be caused by epigenetic alterations in human gastric and colon cancer cells.



Fig. 2. DNA methylation and histone modifications of *LPHN2* in human gastric and colon cancer cells. (A) Schematic representation of *LPHN2*. *LPHN2* exons are indicated by gray boxes. Vertical bars represent each CpG site. The CpG island is indicated according to University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) genome browser. (B) *LPHN2* mRNA from 11 gastric cancer cell lines (left panel) and 15 colorectal cancer cell lines (right panel) was analyzed by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). 18S ribosomal RNA served as an internal control. DW, distilled water. (C) Bisulfite genomic sequencing analyses of the *LPHN2* CpG islands in SNU601, SNU484, HCT116, DKO, LOVO, and SW480 cells. Each row of squares denotes a single plasmid cloned and sequenced from polymerase chain reaction products amplified from bisulfite-treated gDNA. Open and filled squares represent unmethylated and methylated CpG sites, respectively. TSS, transcriptional start site. (*Continued to the next page*)

2. Demethylation of the *LPHN2* CpG island restores *LPHN2* expression

To determine whether transcriptional silencing of *LPHN2* was induced by *de novo* CpG methylation of the *LPHN2* pro-

moter region, cells were treated with the demethylating agent 5-Aza-CdR. *LPHN2* expression was restored in a doseand time-dependent manner after treatment of SNU601 and HCT116 cells with 5-Aza-CdR (Fig. 3A and B).

To confirm that reactivation of LPHN2 was the result of



Fig. 2. (*Continued from the previous page*) (D) Characterization of chromatin modification patterns around *LPHN2*. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed in SNU601, SNU484, HCT116, and DKO cells using antibodies specific for the active histone marker H3K4me3 and the inactive histone markers H3K27me2 and H3K9me3. Enrichment was measured using quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean for triplicate chromatin preparations.

demethylation of *LPHN2* CpG islands, the methylation status of the *LPHN2* promoter region was analyzed using pyrosequencing analyses after treatment of SNU601 and HCT116 cells with 5-Aza-CdR. As shown in Fig. 3C, treatment with 500 nM 5-Aza-CdR reduced DNA methylation at the *LPHN2* CpG islands in SNU601 and HCT116 cells (Fig. 3C).

Next, because histone modifications were also involved in epigenetic regulation of gene expression [19], we hypothesized that treatment with 5-Aza-CdR could dynamically affect histone modifications. Consistent with transcriptional reactivation and demethylation, results of ChIP assays showed enrichment of active histone markers (H3K4me3) near the *LPHN2* coding region in SNU601 cells after 5-Aza-CdR treatment (Fig. 3D, left). Interestingly, inactive histone marks (H3K27me2) were also significantly enriched after treatment with 5-Aza-CdR (Fig. 3D, right), supporting the previous finding that promoter regions of methylated genes are frequently enriched by bivalent histone modifications in human cancer cells [20]. In summary, *LPHN2* mRNA expression was restored after 5-Aza-CdR treatment, followed by demethylation of the heavily methylated CpG island of the *LPHN2* promoter region. In addition, treatment with 5-Aza-CdR can dynamically affect histone modifications near the *LPHN2* coding region.

D

3. Methylation status and *LPHN*2 mRNA levels in primary gastric and colon tumor tissues

To determine whether DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional silencing of *LPHN2* also occurs in human primary gastric tissue, analysis of *LPHN2* mRNA expression and methylation status was performed using qRT-PCR and pyrosequencing. Compared with their normal counterparts, 18 of 24 primary gastric tissues (75%) showed low endogenous levels of *LPHN2* mRNA (Fig. 4A).

Among these 18 primary gastric tissues, nine of 18 tissues (50%) have hypermethylated *LPHN2* CpG islands (Fig. 4B).



Fig. 3. Restoration of *LPHN2* expression after treatment with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza-CdR). (A) SNU601 and HCT116 cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or 5-Aza-CdR for 4 days. *LPHN2* mRNA expression was measured using quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Each value was normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA. Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) for three independent RNA preparations. (B) SNU601 and HCT116 cells were treated with 5 μ M 5-Aza-CdR for 5 days. *LPHN2* mRNA and 18S ribosomal RNA expression was analyzed by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction at the indicated times. DW, distilled water. (C) After treatment with DMSO or 500 nM 5-Aza-CdR, the quantitative methylation status of *LPHN2* in SNU601 and HCT116 cells was determined by pyrosequencing. The location of analyzed CpG sites corresponding to the pyrosequencing primer set is indicated by arrows. Error bars represent the SD for three independent preparations of bisulfite-treated gDNA. *p < 0.05 using Student's t tests. (*Continued to the next page*)

LPHN2 methylation in primary human gastric cancer tissues was also confirmed (Fig. 4C and D). These results indicate that transcription of *LPHN2* is regulated by DNA methylation in human gastric cancer tissues. Similar results were obtained with human colon tumor tissues (Fig. 4E and data not shown).

4. Cancer cells with *LPHN2* methylation exhibit higher sensitivity to cisplatin

Next, we examined the question of whether *LPHN2* methylation status could influence the chemosensitivity of cancer cells. First, HCT116 and DKO cells were exposed to



Fig. 3. (*Continued from the previous page*) (D) Dynamics of histone modifications after 5-Aza-CdR treatment in SNU601 cells. Chromatin was prepared from SNU601 cells treated with DMSO or 5-Aza-CdR (500 nM) for 4 days. Enrichment of the active histone mark (H3K4me3) and inactive histone mark (H3K27me2) was measured using qRT-PCR. Data are presented as the mean±standard error of the mean for three independent chromatin preparations. 5aza, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine.

increasing concentrations of cisplatin and cell viability was measured after 72 hours. Interestingly, DKO cells without *LPHN2* methylation were more resistant to cisplatin than their parental HCT116 cells, with methylated *LPHN2* (Fig. 5A, left panel). The *LPHN2* methylation status and drug sensitivity to cisplatin were also compared in two gastric cancer cell lines (SNU601 and SNU484) and two colon cancer cell lines (LOVO and SW480). SNU601 and LOVO cells show a *LPHN2* methylation pattern similar to that of HCT116 cells, whereas SNU484 and SW480 cells show a methylation pattern similar to that of DKO cells (Fig. 2). As expected, our results in SNU601 and LOVO cells were similar to results in HCT116 cells, and those in SNU484 and SW480 were similar to the results obtained in DKO cells (Fig. 5A, middle and right panels).

Next, we investigated the combined effect of cisplatin and 5-Aza-CdR on the viability of HCT116, SNU601, and LOVO cells with methylated *LPHN2*. Treatment of cells with this drug combination had an attenuated inhibitory effect on cell growth compared with cisplatin only (Fig. 5B), suggesting that the methylation status of the genome may influence the chemosensitivity of cancer cells to cisplatin.

To further examine whether *LPHN2* expression is responsible for the inhibitory effect of cisplatin on cell growth, knock down of *LPHN2* expression was performed using *LPHN2*-directed siRNA (Fig. 5C). Cell viability was assessed after treatment with cisplatin for 72 hours (Fig. 5D). As

shown in Fig. 5D, reduction of *LPHN2* expression enhanced the anti-proliferative effects of cisplatin in DKO, SNU484, and SW480 cells. Taken together, these results suggest that the *LPHN2* methylation status shows strong correlation with cancer cell chemosensitivity to cisplatin.

Discussion

LPHN, a neuronal adhesion GPCR, can stimulate neuronal exocytosis in vertebrates [11]. The *LPHN* gene family consists of *LPHN1*, *LPHN2*, and *LPHN3* [17]. Among these, genetic alterations of *LPHN2*, which is believed to be a p53 target gene [21], are frequently observed in various human cancers [22,23]. In the current study, we observed DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional silencing of *LPHN2* in human gastric and colon cancer.

In comparison of microarray expression data sets, differential expression of *LPHN2* was identified in HCT116 cells and DKO cells, the *DNMT1* and *DNMT3B* double knockout derivative of HCT116 cells (Fig. 1). High *LPHN2* expression in DKO cells, but not HCT116 cells, could be a presumed restoration of silenced genes mediated by the lack of DNMTs in DKO cells [13]. Results of our bisulfite sequencing analyses confirmed that gastrointestinal cancer cells with undetectable



Fig. 4. Loss of *LPHN2* expression in human gastric and colon primary tissues. mRNA expression in primary gastric tissues was analyzed using quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and the methylation status of *LPHN2* was confirmed via pyrosequencing analyses. Loss of *LPHN2* mRNA expression was shown in 18 of 24 primary gastric tissues. (A) A summary of *LPHN2* expression in 18 primary gastric tissues compared with normal tissues presented as fold change of relative mRNA expression. (B) Among 18 primary gastric tissues, nine of 18 showed hypermethylation of *LPHN2* cpG islands compared to normal control tissues. The methylation ratio percentage is also presented as a box plot. (C) *LPHN2* expression levels in primary gastric tissues were analyzed using qRT-PCR. *LPHN2* expression was normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA and is shown as fold change relative to their normal tissue samples. Data are expressed as the mean±standard deivation. (D) Bisulfite-treated gDNAs from patient No. 250 and No. 722 were used to determine the methylation status of the *LPHN2* promoter region using pyrosequencing analyses. (E) *LPHN2* expression levels in primary colon tissues were analyzed by qRT-PCR and are shown as fold change relative to their normal tissue samples. N, normal tissue; T, tumor tissue. **p < 0.01 using Student's t test.



Fig. 5. Methylation status of LPHN2 determines the differential response to cisplatin. (A) Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of cisplatin (0.5, 1, 3, or 5 µM) for 72 hours. Cell viability was confirmed using MTT assays. Cell viability is expressed as a percentage relative to untreated cells. Data represent the mean±standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. (B) Cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide or 500 nM 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine in combination with different doses of cisplatin (0.5, 1, 3, or 5 μ M). The number of viable cells was measured after 72 hours. Data are expressed as the mean±SD (n=3). (Continued to the next page)

LPHN2 expression have hypermethylated LPHN2 CpG islands (Fig. 2). In addition, treatment with 5-Aza-CdR induced activation of methylated LPHN2 and altered histone modifications around the LPHN2 promoter (Fig. 3). LPHN2 methylation in primary human gastric cancer tissues was also confirmed (Fig. 4C and D), suggesting that DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional silencing of LPHN2 is a cancer-specific epigenetic event. Similar results were obtained with human colon tumor tissues (Fig. 4E and data not shown).

What is the functional significance underlying the DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional loss of LPHN2 in human cells? According to recent studies, increasing evidence indicates that the DNA methylation status can influence the anti-proliferative effects of DNA-damaging agents [24,25]. In addition, cancer cells showing compatibility with DNA methylation and repressive histone modifications can be used as a potential target for epigenetic therapies [10]. Interestingly, we found that cancer cells expressing high levels of LPHN2 were more resistant to cisplatin treatment than HCT116, SNU601, and LOVO cells, with methylated LPHN2 (Fig. 5). In addition, combined treatment with cisplatin and 5-Aza-CdR overcame the cytotoxicity of cisplatin in cancer cells with methylated LPHN2. siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LPHN2 expression increased the anti-proliferative effects of cisplatin in cancer cells expressing high levels of LPHN2 (Fig. 5C and D). However, the LPHN2 methylation status did not affect differential sensitivity to other chemotherapeutic drugs (data not shown). Therefore, our results strongly suggest that the methylation status of LPHN2 is a potential novel epigenetic biomarker for cisplatin treatment in patients with gastrointestinal cancer. However, further studies are required for evaluation of more sophisticated mechanisms regarding the effects of LPHN2 transcription level on cisplatin sensitivity.

In conclusion, we identified the molecular mechanism for



Fig. 5. (*Continued from the previous page*) (C, D) Cells were transfected with control or *LPHN2*-specific siRNAs for 8 hours. Cells were plated in 96-well plates, followed by cisplatin treatment (0.5 μ M or 5 μ M) for 72 hours. *LPHN2* expression (C) and cell viability (D) were measured using quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction and MTT assays, respectively. Error bars represent the SD (n=3, *p < 0.05). 5aza, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine.

transcriptional silencing of *LPHN2*. Our data highlight its functional role as an epigenetic biomarker for cisplatin treatment in gastrointestinal cancer.

Conflicts of Interest

Conflict of interest relevant to this article was not reported.

Conclusion

We propose that epigenetic alterations induce transcriptional inactivation of *LPHN2*, which intensifies the response to cisplatin in human gastric and colon cancers. Thus, the methylation status of *LPHN2* is a potential novel epigenetic biomarker for cisplatin treatment in human gastric and colon cancers.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all members of our group for their helpful advice. This research was supported by a grant from the National R&D Program for Cancer Control (MOHW, 0720540), the Basic Science Research Program (MOE, NRF-2011-0021123), the Mid-career Researcher Program (MSIP, NRF-2013R1A2A2A01009297), and the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (MOHW, HI14C1277), Republic of Korea.

References

- 1. Jones PA, Baylin SB. The epigenomics of cancer. Cell. 2007; 128:683-92.
- Dawson MA, Kouzarides T. Cancer epigenetics: from mechanism to therapy. Cell. 2012;150:12-27.
- Hatziapostolou M, Iliopoulos D. Epigenetic aberrations during oncogenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011;68:1681-702.
- 4. Das PM, Singal R. DNA methylation and cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:4632-42.
- 5. Jung KW, Won YJ, Oh CM, Kong HJ, Cho H, Lee DH, et al. Prediction of cancer incidence and mortality in Korea, 2015. Cancer Res Treat. 2015;47:142-8.
- 6. Hamilton JP, Meltzer SJ. A review of the genomics of gastric cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006;4:416-25.
- Nakajima T, Enomoto S, Ushijima T. DNA methylation: a marker for carcinogen exposure and cancer risk. Environ Health Prev Med. 2008;13:8-15.
- 8. Naumov VA, Generozov EV, Zaharjevskaya NB, Matushkina DS, Larin AK, Chernyshov SV, et al. Genome-scale analysis of DNA methylation in colorectal cancer using Infinium Human-Methylation450 BeadChips. Epigenetics. 2013;8:921-34.
- 9. Fang JY, Xiao SD. Alteration of DNA methylation in gastrointestinal carcinogenesis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2001;16: 960-8.
- Takeshima H, Wakabayashi M, Hattori N, Yamashita S, Ushijima T. Identification of coexistence of DNA methylation and H3K27me3 specifically in cancer cells as a promising target for epigenetic therapy. Carcinogenesis. 2015;36:192-201.
- 11. Lelianova VG, Davletov BA, Sterling A, Rahman MA, Grishin EV, Totty NF, et al. Alpha-latrotoxin receptor, latrophilin, is a novel member of the secretin family of G protein-coupled receptors. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:21504-8.
- 12. Ku JL, Park JG. Biology of SNU cell lines. Cancer Res Treat. 2005;37:1-19.
- 13. Rhee I, Bachman KE, Park BH, Jair KW, Yen RW, Schuebel KE, et al. DNMT1 and DNMT3b cooperate to silence genes in human cancer cells. Nature. 2002;416:552-6.
- 14. Song SH, Kim A, Ragoczy T, Bender MA, Groudine M, Dean

A. Multiple functions of Ldb1 required for beta-globin activation during erythroid differentiation. Blood. 2010;116:2356-64.

- Yun J, Song SH, Park J, Kim HP, Yoon YK, Lee KH, et al. Gene silencing of EREG mediated by DNA methylation and histone modification in human gastric cancers. Lab Invest. 2012;92: 1033-44.
- Song SH, Hou C, Dean A. A positive role for NLI/Ldb1 in long-range beta-globin locus control region function. Mol Cell. 2007;28:810-22.
- 17. Silva JP, Ushkaryov YA. The latrophilins, "split-personality" receptors. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2010;706:59-75.
- Rodriguez-Paredes M, Esteller M. Cancer epigenetics reaches mainstream oncology. Nat Med. 2011;17:330-9.
- Esteller M. Cancer epigenomics: DNA methylomes and histone-modification maps. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8:286-98.
- Rodriguez J, Munoz M, Vives L, Frangou CG, Groudine M, Peinado MA. Bivalent domains enforce transcriptional memory of DNA methylated genes in cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:19809-14.
- 21. Garritano S, Inga A, Gemignani F, Landi S. More targets, more pathways and more clues for mutant p53. Oncogenesis. 2013; 2:e54.
- Zhang S, Liu Y, Liu Z, Zhang C, Cao H, Ye Y, et al. Transcriptome profiling of a multiple recurrent muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder by deep sequencing. PLoS One. 2014;9:e91466.
- 23. Zheng CX, Gu ZH, Han B, Zhang RX, Pan CM, Xiang Y, et al. Whole-exome sequencing to identify novel somatic mutations in squamous cell lung cancers. Int J Oncol. 2013;43:755-64.
- 24. Park KS, Kim HK, Lee JH, Choi YB, Park SY, Yang SH, et al. Transglutaminase 2 as a cisplatin resistance marker in nonsmall cell lung cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2010;136: 493-502.
- Ivanova T, Zouridis H, Wu Y, Cheng LL, Tan IB, Gopalakrishnan V, et al. Integrated epigenomics identifies BMP4 as a modulator of cisplatin sensitivity in gastric cancer. Gut. 2013;62: 22-33.