Monitoring of the upper urinary tract in patients with bladder cancer

Rajinikanth Ayyathurai, Mark S. Soloway

Department of Urology, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, FL

ABSTRACT

Upper urinary tract (UUT) transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) is relatively rare tumor. Approximately 0.7-4% of patients with primary bladder cancer develops UUT-TCC. The symptoms related to an UUT-TCC often occur with an advanced stage which leads one to emphasize a surveillance strategy to monitor the UUT to allow for an earlier diagnosis. Although the risk of UUT-TCC after bladder cancer is well established, there is a paucity of recommendations suggesting the optimal method and frequency of monitoring the UUT and there is no consensus among them. This article reviews the recommendations on monitoring the UUT in patients with bladder cancer.

Key words: Bladder cancer, recurrence, transitional cell cancer, upper tract urothelial cancer

INTRODUCTION

History of urothelial carcinoma (UC) in the bladder is a known risk factor for development of transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) in the upper urinary tract (UUT). The theory of multicentricity or pan-urothelial "field defect" suggests that the patients with UC in the bladder are at higher risk of developing UUT-TCC.^[1,2] The incidence of UUT-TCC following UC of the bladder ranges from 0.7 to 4%.[1-10] Most of these tumors are diagnosed between 3 and 6 years after the initial diagnosis of bladder UC.^[2,3,6,11] Several studies have shown that multicentricity, recurrent tumors, carcinoma in situ (CIS), vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) and Bacillus Calmette Guerin (BCG) treatment are the factors associated with greater risk of UUT-TCC after a diagnosis of bladder UC.^[1,4,12-14] Recent reports have shown that risk stratification of the primary bladder cancer facilitates identifying patients with a higher risk of developing UUT-TCC.[3,4,15]

For correspondence: Dr. Mark Soloway, Department of Urology, University of Miami, FL. E-mail : msoloway@med.miami.edu

Access this	article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website:				
	www.indianjurol.com				
	DOI:				
	10.4103/0970-1591.82844				

The symptoms related to an UUT-TCC often occur only with an advanced stage which would lead one to emphasize a surveillance strategy to monitor the UUT to allow for an earlier diagnosis.^[11] Although the risk of UUT-TCC after bladder cancer is well established, there is a paucity of recommendations suggesting the optimal method and frequency of monitoring the UUT and there is no consensus among them.^[5] Most guidelines do not recommend routine monitoring of the upper tract for all patients with a history of UC of bladder; but favor imaging strategies based on risk stratification of the primary bladder tumor.

EVIDENCE REVIEW

UUT-TCC after diagnosis of bladder cancer

The proportion of patients developing metachronous UUT-TCC after a nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) varies from 0.7 to 4%.^[5] However, if one selects only the high-risk NMIBC patients who received intravesical BCG, the incidence rate of subsequent UUT-TCC increases to 20-25%.^[1,6,8,10,13,14] Table 1 lists published studies that focus on monitoring the UUT of bladder cancer patients.

Several authors have analyzed the biological behavior and etiological mechanism of UUT-TCC in patients in various risk groups. Yousem *et al.* followed 597 patients with UC of the bladder and reported that 3.9% (23) developed an UUT-TCC after an average interval of 61 months. They concluded that follow-up radiological examination of the UUT 1 year after the primary bladder UC would have allowed detection of 17% of the UUT-UC. A 2-year radiological exam would have enabled detection of 44%, for

Study	Year	No. of patients	UUT-TCC %	Follow-up	Cohort characteristics	
Skinner et al.[47]	1974	59	5.1	27	BC	
Walzer et al. ^[48]	1983	337	0	96	BC	
Amar et al. ^[12]	1985	269	0.44 6.44	36	BC (No VUR) BC (VUR)	
Yousem <i>et al</i> . ^[16]	1988	597	3.9	60	BC	
Oldbring et al.[11]	1989	657	1.7	120	BC	
Schwartz et al. ^[8]	1992	638	3.1		BC	
Holmang <i>et al.</i> ^[17]	1998	680	2.4	60	BC	
Solsona et al.[10]	1997	786	2.3		BC	
Solsona <i>et al</i> . ^[10]	1997	138	24.6		CIS	
Canales <i>et al.</i> ^[3]	2006	3375	3.4	72	Ta tumors	
De Torres <i>et al</i> . ^[13]	1987	288	288 0.9 20		NMIBC (No VUR) NMIBC (VUR)	
Shinka et al.[18]	1988	519	2.3 13.2		NMIBC NMIBC (Occu.BC)	
England et al. ^[49]	1981	332	1.5	60	High-risk (T1)	
Miller et al.[14]	1993	82	13.4	62	High-risk BCG	
Herr <i>et al</i> . ^[1]	1996	86	21	180	High-risk BCG	
Herr <i>et al</i> . ^[15]	1998	307	25	144	High-risk BCG	
Hurle et al. ^[4]	1999	216 182 193	0.9 2.2 9.8	60	Low risk Intermediate risk High risk	
Millan Rodriguez <i>et al.</i> ^[2] 2000		1529	1529 0.6 1.8 4.1		Low risk Intermediate risk High risk	

UUT-TCC, Upper urinary tract-transitional cell carcinoma; NMBIC, Nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer; BC, Bladder cancer; VUR, Vesicoureteral reflux.

Table 2: D	efinitions evidence levels
Level 1	Meta-analysis of RCTs or good quality RCT
Level 2	Low-quality RCT or meta-analyses or good quality prospective cohort studies
Level 3	Good quality retrospective case-control studies and case studies
Level 4	Expert opinion based on "first principles" or bench research, not on evidence
RCT, Rando	omized control studies.

a total of 61%. This report recommends annual intravenous or retrograde pyelography following diagnosis for the first 2 years, followed by biennial examinations unless clinical or cytological evidence warrants earlier evaluation.^[16]

Oldbring *et al.*^[11] reported an incidence of metachronous UUT-TCC in 1.7% of 657 patients with primary bladder UC followed for 10 years. Of the 11 patients with UUT-TCC, three were diagnosed on excretory urography and five were only found at autopsy. The authors also noted that the initial or recurrent bladder UC involved the ipsilateral ureteral orifice in six patients. They concluded that routine radiological examination is not indicated in the absence of symptoms and it should be reserved for patients with multiple and recurrent bladder tumors or tumors involving the ureteral orifice.^[11] Similarly, Holmang *et al.*^[17] reported a 2.4% incidence of UUT-TCC in 680 patients with primary bladder UC and recommended

urography at (1) initial diagnosis of bladder UC, (2) when bladder tumor progression occurs or (3) when symptoms and signs suggest UUT disease.

Solsona *et al.* suggest that patients with bladder CIS have a higher risk of developing UUT-TCC. In their analysis, 138 patients with bladder CIS and 786 with NMIBC without CIS were studied and 24.6% and 2.3%, respectively, developed UUT-TCC. The UUT-TCC incidence was significantly higher in patients with bladder CIS than in patients with any NMIBC or patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) treated by cystectomy (3.9%). Moreover, 32% of patients with bladder CIS who developed UUT-TCC had bilateral UUT-TCC and 67% had prostatic involvement by UC.^[10]

Amar *et al.* and De Torres *et al.*^[12,13] reported that the main factor in the development of a metachronous UUT-TCC is VUR. Patients with bladder UC and VUR have several fold greater risk of developing UUT-TCC. Since one in five patients with VUR after transurethral resection may develop UUT-TCC, these authors recommended closer follow-up.^[13] In addition, Shinka *et al.*^[18] reported a higher incidence (13%) of UUT-TCC in dye workers with bladder UC compared with the general population with bladder UC.

Miller *et al.* and Herr *et al.* evaluated the long-term incidence of upper tract tumors in patients with high-

Table 3: Risk stratific	ation of bladder cancer			
Risk	AUA	EAU	NCCN	
Low	Low-grade Ta Small volume	G1-G2 Ta	G1-G2 Ta	
Intermediate	Low-grade multifocal/ Large volume Ta	Multifocal G2Ta G1T1, Solitary G2T1	G3Ta Solitary G1-2 T1	
High	High-grade Ta Any T1 CIS	Any high grade Multifocal G2T1 CIS	G3T1 Multifocal T1	
ALIA American Urological	Association: EALL European Association of Lirology:	NCCN National Comprehensive	e Cancer Network	

AUA, American Urological Association; EAU, European Association of Urology; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network

Study	Year	No. of	No. of UUT-	UUT- TCC	Detected by	Median time	Risk factors
		patients	TCC	(%)	presenting symptom	(months)	
Zincke et al. ^[39]	1984	425	14	3.3		40	Ureteral involvement, multifocal TCC, CIS
Hastie et al.[30]	1991	180	10	1.8			
Tsuji <i>et al</i> . ^[50]	1996	61	5	8.2		69*	
Kenworthy et al.[32]	1996	430	11	2.6	45	40	Ureteral involvement
Solsona <i>et al</i> . ^[10]	1997	215	16	7.4		58	Multifocal TCC, Prostatic urethral involvement, organ-confined TCC
Slaton et al.[23]	1999	382	9	2.4	44	25	
Balaji <i>et al</i> . ^[28]	1999	529	16	3.0	56	37	Ureteral involvement
Huguet-Perez et al.[31]	2001	568	26	4.5	58	46*	NMIBC, VUR
Yossepowitch et al.[38]	2003	483	10	2.1			
Sved et al.[35]	2004	235	5	2.0	80	40*	Prostatic urethral involvement
Akkad et al.[27]	2006	84	2	2.3	100	62*	Recurrent TCC, multifocal TCC
Sanderson et al.[34]	2007	1069	27	2.5	78	40	Urethral involvement
Furukawa <i>et al</i> . ^[29]	2007	583	12	2.1		30	Multifocal Recurrent TCC
Meissner et al.[33]	2007	322	15	4.7	47	36	Positive ureteral margins
Tran et al.[36]	2008	1329	80	6.0		25	Ureteral involvement
Volkmer <i>et al.</i> ^[37]	2009	1420	25	1.8	52	39	Ureteral involvement, NMIBC, recurrent TCC, CIS
		8254	278	3.4	62	40	

*Mean. UUT-TCC, Upper urinary tract-transitional cell carcinoma; NMBIC, Nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer; BC, Bladder cancer; CIS, Carcinoma *in situ*; VUR, Vesicoureteral reflux; MIBC, Muscle-invasive bladder cancer; RC, Radical cystectomy

endation on	follow-up fo	r MIBC after	RC ^[44]					
3	6	12	18	24	30	36	48	60
Х	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х
		Х		Х		Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х
	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	andation on 3 X X X X X	endation on follow-up fo 3 6 X X X X X X X X X X X X	a for MIBC after3612XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	and atten after RC ^[44] 361218XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	endation on follow-up for MIBC after RC ^[44] 3 6 12 18 24 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	endation on follow-up for MIBC after RC ^[44] 3 6 12 18 24 30 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	endation on follow-up for MIBC after RC ^[44] 3 6 12 18 24 30 36 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	endation on follow-up for MIBC after RC ^[44] 3 6 12 18 24 30 36 48 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

risk NMIBC who were treated with BCG. All patients underwent upper tract studies within 3 months of starting BCG treatment. After following 82 consecutive patients for a median follow-up of 62 months, Miller *et al.* reported 11 patients (13.4%) with metachronous UUT-TCC. The median interval between BCG therapy and diagnosis of UUT-TCC was 38 months with a range of 7-110. Eight of the 11 patients were diagnosed by abnormal surveillance intravenous or retrograde pyelogram and seven had positive cytology. Positive cytology alone directed the diagnosis in two patients. One patient was diagnosed after the work-up for hematuria.^[14]

Hurle et al. stratified 591 bladder UC patients into low, intermediate and high risk for UUT-TCC. Patients with low grade (G1-2) and stage (Ta-1) solitary tumors were grouped as low risk and patients with high grade (G3) NMIBC, CIS or failure to respond to intravesical chemotherapy were considered high risk. After a median follow-up of 86 months, 0.9% of low, 2.2% of intermediate and 9.8% of high-risk patients developed UUT-TCC. There was a statistically significant greater risk of UUT in patients with high-risk bladder UC when compared with those with low and intermediate risk. They recommended annual intravenous pyelogram and urine cytology every 4 months for high-risk NMIBC patients.^[4] Milan-Rodriguez et al.^[2] studied the UUT-TCC evolution in a cohort of 1529 patients and concluded that a higher risk of UUT must be expected in cases of multicentric NMIBC (relative risk 2.7, CI 1.06-6.84).

Several studies have confirmed that high-risk bladder UC patients have a greater risk of developing UUT-TCC (Evidence Level 3; Table 2) and recommend regular surveillance. However, the risk of UUT-TCC and the optimal surveillance guidelines for stage Ta tumors continues to be controversial. Canales *et al.* followed 375 patients with stage Ta bladder UC. They found 3.4% UUT-TCC with an average duration of 22 months after the initial diagnosis of bladder UC. The median follow-up was 6 years. In their analyses, stage Ta bladder UC patients with two or more recurrences within a median of 12 months or less are at a higher risk (Relative risk 4.5) for developing UUT and should be considered for surveillance.^[3]

Transurethral resection of bladder tumors is the gold standard for initial diagnosis and treatment of Ta, T1 and CIS.^[19] Depending on the morphology and pathology of the primary bladder tumor, these patients undergo risk stratification. The guidelines of the American Urological Association (AUA), European Association of Urology (EAU) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), all stress the importance of risk groups.^[19-21] There are minor differences among the risk groups and the follow-up recommendations. Table 3 illustrates the bladder cancer risk stratification. Tumors with a small chance to recur or progress are grouped as low risk and tumors with a high likelihood of recurrence and progression are grouped as high risk. The intermediate tumors have a high chance to recur and low chance to progress.

The guidelines recommend UUT surveillance in bladder UC patients based upon the risk groups. AUA guidelines recommend "periodic" upper tract imaging, especially for high-risk patients.^[19] They also warn that UUT-TCC may occur in a higher proportion of patients with CIS in the

Indian Journal of Urology, Apr-Jun 2011, Vol 27, Issue 2

bladder. However, it does not specify the frequency of imaging or a specific surveillance protocol. There was no specific recommendation given for low and intermediate risk groups.^[19]

The EAU states that patients with high-risk bladder UC should undergo annual upper tract imaging and low-risk patients do not benefit from UUT surveillance. Those in the intermediate group should be risk adapted according to the individual patient factors.^[20] (Evidence level 2 and 3) EAU guidelines recommend intravenous urography or CT urography in patients where the primary bladder tumor was located in the trigone. They predict a higher incidence (7.5%) of synchronous UUT-TCC in these patients.

NCCN recommends an imaging study of the UUT every 1-2 years for intermediate and high-risk patients. They mention that urinary urothelial tumor marker assessment is optional in these patients. Low-risk patients do not need any UUT studies.^[21] (Evidence level 2) The First International Consultation on Bladder Tumors recommended lifelong follow-up for CIS patients even there is a complete response to intravesical therapy, due to high risk of recurrence and progression.^[22]

Summary of evidence

The incidence of UUT-TCC in primary bladder cancer patients is strongly related to the primary tumor risk stratification. The incidence is as low as 0.7% in the lowrisk group followed a median of 10 years to as high as 24% in high-risk groups followed 3-4 years.^[5] High grade (G3), bladder CIS (Tis), stage T1, multiple and recurrent tumors of any stage are risk factors for UUT-TCC. The symptoms of UUT-TCC may present at an advance stage with a higher mortality.^[5,20] High-risk patients should probably undergo upper tract imaging annually for the first 5 years and then every 2 years. Intermediate risk patients should be offered UUT imaging based on individual patient factors. Low-risk patients may be offered imaging of UUT upon symptoms or stage or grade progression. The imaging study can be either retrograde or intravenous urography or CT urography. Most of the preferred imaging modalities require intravenous contrast which infrequently may result in significant morbidity. In addition, all these patients have radiation exposure during these imaging studies. Clinicians should make sure that the benefits of a surveillance test should over weigh the cumulative risk. Urinary cytology and other urine-based markers are optional (Evidence level 2).

UUT-TCC after radical cystectomy

Radical cystectomy (RC) is the standard of care for MIBC. Eighty percent of those that recur do so within 2-3 years. The majority of early recurrences are detected successfully through routine surveillance.^[23] On the contrary, late recurrences are commonly detected in the UUT. Despite routine surveillance, late UUT recurrences are detected only after developing tumor-related symptoms.^[24] Although the prognosis is generally poor, long-term survival can be achieved in a subset of patients after radical nephroureterectomy.^[25]

The overall risk of UUT-TCC following RC for bladder UC ranges from 2 to 7% with most tumors within 2-4 years of surgery.^[26] We identified 15 reports including nine large series with a sample size over 400. Together the 15 reports analyzed a total of 8254 patients who underwent RC.[10,23,27-39] Of these, 278 patients (3.4%) developed UUT-TCC over an average interval of 40 months between RC and UUT-TCC. Table 4 lists the individual studies published in the last two decades. Wright et al.[40] reported a 3.1% incidence of UUT-TCC following RC in a large population-based data (n=6216). The majority of the contemporary series reported a median interval of 30-40 months between RC and UUT-TCC diagnosis, with the exception of two studies where the interval was 25 months.^[28,34,36] These two studies may have missed synchronous tumors detected after RC or had shorter follow-up. Tran et al. studied 1329 post RC patients and reported a 4% incidence at 3 years and 7% at 5 years. They also showed that the 3-year-risk of UUT-TCC remains 4-6% at any point measured up to 4 years after RC. This finding strongly supports continued vigilance for UUT relapse following RC.[36]

Almost every individual report attempted to identify the risk factors for UUT-TCC. Patients with good prognostic factors at RC are at higher risk of developing UUT-TCC.^[24,37] Patients with organ confined disease obviously have a longer survival and are more prone for late relapse. Balaji et al. reported that more than two-thirds of UUT-TCC occur in patients with organ-confined disease.[28] Ureteral involvement of the bladder tumor was identified as a risk factor for ipsilateral UUT relapse. Volkmer et al.^[37] reported a relative risk of 2.7 if there was tumor involvement in the distal intramural ureter. Following the analysis of 1069 patients Sanderson et al. reported that urethral involvement is the only factor associated with UUT-TCC. Although CIS is a well-known risk factor for UUT-TCC in NMIBC, its role in invasive bladder cancer is not certain.^[34] Solsona et al.^[10] reported significantly higher rates of UUT-TCC in patients undergoing RC for CIS. However, other larger series did not support this finding. It is unclear whether a positive ureteric margin at frozen section during the RC or as seen in the final pathology is a risk factor for a subsequent UUT-TCC. There is some evidence that TCC at the ureteric margin is associated with a higher risk of UUT-TCC, but it does not influence survival.^[41] Moreover, achieving negative ureteral margins at RC does not eliminate the risk of subsequent UUT-TCC.^[25] Other proposed risk factors are prostatic urethral involvement and multifocal and recurrent UC. From the published data more than 80% of all patients with UUT-TCC had at least one risk factor.[37]

Despite use of regular imaging, UUT-TCC is mainly diagnosed by symptoms-initiated examination. An average of 62% of UUT-TCC were detected by symptoms such as gross hematuria, flank pain, pyelonephritis or weight loss. Most of these tumors are advanced at the time of presentation and have a poor survival.^[26] Routine surveillance of UUT does not appear to improve the survival in many series. Seventy to eighty percent will still die of UUT-TCC.^[25]

Currently, UUT surveillance is primarily done by urine cytology and imaging; however, optimal duration, mode and interval of testing have not been established. Urine cytology is the most simple screening test for UUT-TCC.^[42] Although the specificity of cytology is 95%, its overall sensitivity is as low as 60%.^[43] Cytology was the primary mode of detection in less than 27% of UUT-TCC after RC when routinely used in the surveillance.^[28,31,35] Sensitivity of urine cytology is highly dependent on tumor stage and grade as well as the experience of the cytopathologist. Several new molecular-based urine tests such as nuclear matrix protein-22 and fluorescence in situ hybridization are increasingly used in surveillance; however, the efficacy and accuracy of these methods for patients with a urinary diversion remains unclear.^[42]

Imaging studies such as intravenous pyelogram or CT urography are the primary tools used in surveillance. The reported detection rate was less than 55% with IVP or CT urography.^[23,27,28,31,32,35] CT detects 78-94% of lesions in the renal pelvis and only 19-53% are tumors within the ureter.^[25] Tumors less than 2 cms are less likely to be detected by CT. Ultrasound and loopograms have a minimal role in detecting UUT-TCC. MR urography has not shown any advantage over CT except for patients with contrast allergies or in patients with compromised renal function who cannot receive intravenous contrast. Abnormalities in any of the above tests should prompt a retrograde pyelography and ureteroscopic evaluation with ureteral washings and biopsy of suspicious areas. Ureteroscopy in patients with urinary diversion is not easy as it may be challenging to identify the site of ureteral implantation to the reservoir and therefore, may necessitate an antegrade approach.

Several individual reports have raised the question whether all patients need to be monitored routinely for UUT-TCC given the small percentage of patients affected. Balaji *et al.*^[28] concluded no routine radiological investigation was necessary for UUT in post-RC patients and recommended IVU for symptomatic patients. Other authors recommend an annual IVU or CT with urine cytology for the first 5 years.^[24,31] Sved *et al.*^[35] suggested biannual urine cytology and reserved IVU for symptomatic patients or patients with positive cytology. Few reports recommend "close surveillance" for patients with high risk of developing UUT-TCC. Kenworthy *et al.* categorized distal ureteric or prostatic involvement of TCC as a high-risk group and recommended annual IVU and biannual urine cytology. All other patients are considered low risk and were recommended to have annual urine cytology and biannual IVU.^[32] Similarly Slaton *et al.*^[23] recommended annual IVU and urine cytology for organ-confined disease at RC. Patients with extravesical or node positive disease were recommended to have a CT at 6 months and then an annual CT and cytology.

Review of guidelines

EAU guideline states that UUT surveillance regimens often fail to detect tumors before symptoms develop and recommend specific UUT imaging in case of clinical symptoms. However, the EAU guideline mandates follow-up oncological surveillance in all RC patients for 5 years with continued functional surveillance depending on the type of urinary diversion thereafter. The EAU recommendation includes UUT as shown in Table 5.^[44] (Evidence level-4)

The recent NCCN practice guideline recommends close oncological surveillance for at least 2 years. Their protocol suggests urine cytology every 3-6 months and imaging of the chest, abdomen and pelvis including the upper tracts every 3-12 months for 2 years for patients after cystectomy. They recommend these as clinically indicated after 2 years.^[21] (Evidence Level-3)

Summary of evidence

UUT-TCC after RC for MIBC typically occurs after 2 years from surgery. Despite surveillance the majority of patients are diagnosed only at the onset of symptoms. Patients with tumor involvement of the intravesical portion of the ureter or urethra and a pathological RC stage of <pT2 are at higher risk of developing UUT-TCC.^[34,45] CT and IVP are the standard imaging tests for surveillance. However, routine upper tract imaging does not appear to improve detection or survival. Despite its low sensitivity, urine cytology is a safe and relatively inexpensive test for high-grade TCC.^[35] EAU guidelines recommend close oncological follow-up for at least 5 years and the NCCN for 2 years including an upper tract evaluation.^[46] Although there is no consensus on a surveillance protocol, many individual reports recommend regular imaging of the UUT and urine cytology for high-risk groups. However, life-threatening high-grade tumors in the UUT are very unlikely to be detected "in time" by routine annual imaging. Urine cytology may be a beneficial and inexpensive surveillance tool. (Evidence level 4) Although UUT-TCC after radical cystectomy is rare, early detection and radical surgical treatment may prolong survival.

REFERENCES

- Herr HW, Cookson MS, Soloway SM. Upper tract tumors in patients with primary bladder cancer followed for 15 years. J Urol 1996;156:1286-7.
- Millán-Rodríguez F, Chéchile-Toniolo G, Salvador-Bayarri J, Huguet-Pérez J, Vicente-Rodríguez J. Upper urinary tract tumors after primary superficial bladder tumors: Prognostic factors and risk groups. J Urol 2000;164:1183-7.

- Canales BK, Anderson JK, Premoli J, Slaton JW. Risk factors for upper tract recurrence in patients undergoing long-term surveillance for stage ta bladder cancer. J Urol 2006;175:74-7.
- Hurle R, Losa A, Manzetti A, Lembo A. Upper urinary tract tumors developing after treatment of superficial bladder cancer: 7-year followup of 591 consecutive patients. Urology 1999;53:1144-8.
- 5. Kirkali Z, Tuzel E. Transitional cell carcinoma of the ureter and renal pelvis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003;47:155-69.
- Palou J, Farina LA, Villavicencio H, Vicente J. Upper tract urothelial tumor after transurethral resection for bladder tumor. Eur Urol 1992;21:110-4.
- Rabbani F, Perrotti M, Russo P, Herr HW. Upper-tract tumors after an initial diagnosis of bladder cancer: Argument for long-term surveillance. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:94-100.
- Schwartz CB, Bekirov H, Melman A. Urothelial tumors of upper tract following treatment of primary bladder transitional cell carcinoma. Urology 1992;40:509-11.
- Sekine H, Fukui I, Yamada T, Kihara K, Ishizaka K, Ohshima H. Clinicopathological study of upper urinary tract tumors associated with bladder tumors. Eur Urol 1991;19:19-23.
- Solsona E, Iborra I, Ricos JV, Dumont R, Casanova JL, Calabuig C. Upper urinary tract involvement in patients with bladder carcinoma in situ (Tis): Its impact on management. Urology 1997;49:347-52.
- Oldbring J, Glifberg I, Mikulowski P, Hellsten S. Carcinoma of the renal pelvis and ureter following bladder carcinoma: Frequency, risk factors and clinicopathological findings. J Urol 1989;141:1311-3.
- Amar AD, Das S. Upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma in patients with bladder carcinoma and associated vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol 1985;133:468-71.
- De Torres Mateos JA, Banus Gassol JM, Palou Redorta J, Morote Robles J. Vesicorenal reflux and upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma after transurethral resection of recurrent superficial bladder carcinoma. J Urol 1987;138:49-51.
- Miller EB, Eure GR, Schellhammer PF. Upper tract transitional cell carcinoma following treatment of superficial bladder cancer with BCG. Urology 1993;42:26-30.
- Herr HW. Extravesical tumor relapse in patients with superficial bladder tumors. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:1099-102.
- Yousem DM, Gatewood OM, Goldman SM, Marshall FF. Synchronous and metachronous transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary tract: Prevalence, incidence, and radiographic detection. Radiology 1988;167:613-8.
- Holmang S, Hedelin H, Anderstrom C, Holmberg E, Johansson SL. Long-term followup of a bladder carcinoma cohort: Routine followup urography is not necessary. J Urol 1998;160:45-8.
- Shinka T, Uekado Y, Aoshi H, Hirano A, Ohkawa T. Occurrence of uroepithelial tumors of the upper urinary tract after the initial diagnosis of bladder cancer. J Urol 1988;140:745-8.
- AUA. Guidelines for the management of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (stages Ta,T1,Tis). Linthicum, MD: American Urological Association; 2007.
- 20. Babjuk M, Oosterlinck W, Sylvester R, Kaasinen E, Bohle A, Palou-Redorta J. EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Eur Urol 2008;54:303-14.
- Montie JE, Bahnson RR, Cohen SM. Bladder cancer. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2005 Jan; 3(1): 19-34.
- Soloway MS and Carmack AJK. Introduction. International Consultation on Bladder Tumors: Part 2. Urology. 2007 Jan;69(1 Suppl):1-2.
- Slaton JW, Swanson DA, Grossman HB, Dinney CP. A stage specific approach to tumor surveillance after radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. J Urol 1999;162:710-4.
- Solsona E, Iborra I, Rubio J, Casanova J, Dumont R, Monros JL. Late oncological occurrences following radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2003;43:489-94.

- Sanderson KM, Roupret M. Upper urinary tract tumour after radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: An update on the risk factors, surveillance regimens and treatments. BJU Int 2007;100:11-6.
- Bochner BH, Montie JE, Lee CT. Follow-up strategies and management of recurrence in urologic oncology bladder cancer: Invasive bladder cancer. Urol Clin North Am 2003;30:777-89.
- 27. Akkad T, Gozzi C, Deibl M, Muller T, Pelzer AE, Pinggera GM, *et al.* Tumor recurrence in the remnant urothelium of females undergoing radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: Long-term results from a single center. J Urol 2006;175:1268-71.
- Balaji KC, McGuire M, Grotas J, Grimaldi G, Russo P. Upper tract recurrences following radical cystectomy: An analysis of prognostic factors, recurrence pattern and stage at presentation. J Urol 1999;162:1603-6.
- 29. Furukawa J, Miyake H, Hara I, Takenaka A, Fujisawa M. Upper urinary tract recurrence following radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. Int J Urol 2007;14:496-9.
- 30. Hastie KJ, Hamdy FC, Collins MC, Williams JL. Upper tract tumours following cystectomy for bladder cancer: Is routine intravenous urography worthwhile? Br J Urol 1991;67:29-31.
- Huguet-Perez J, Palou J, Millan-Rodriguez F, Salvador-Bayarri J, Villavicencio-Mavrich H, Vicente-Rodriguez J. Upper tract transitional cell carcinoma following cystectomy for bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2001;40:318-23.
- 32. Kenworthy P, Tanguay S, Dinney CP. The risk of upper tract recurrence following cystectomy in patients with transitional cell carcinoma involving the distal ureter. J Urol 1996;155:501-3.
- Meissner C, Giannarini G, Schumacher MC, Thoeny H, Studer UE, Burkhard FC. The efficiency of excretory urography to detect upper urinary tract tumors after cystectomy for urothelial cancer. J Urol 2007;178:2287-90.
- Sanderson KM, Cai J, Miranda G, Skinner DG, Stein JP. Upper tract urothelial recurrence following radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: An analysis of 1,069 patients with 10-year followup. J Urol 2007;177:2088-94.
- Sved PD, Gomez P, Nieder AM, Manoharan M, Kim SS, Soloway MS. Upper tract tumour after radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: Incidence and risk factors. BJU Int 2004;94:785-9.
- 36. Tran W, Serio AM, Raj GV, Dalbagni G, Vickers AJ, Bochner BH, *et al.* Longitudinal risk of upper tract recurrence following radical cystectomy for urothelial cancer and the potential implications for long-term surveillance. J Urol 2008;179:96-100.
- 37. Volkmer BG, Schnoeller T, Kuefer R, Gust K, Finter F, Hautmann RE. Upper urinary tract recurrence after radical cystectomy for bladder

cancer--who is at risk? J Urol 2009;182:2632-7.

- Yossepowitch O, Dalbagni G, Golijanin D, Donat SM, Bochner BH, Herr HW, *et al.* Orthotopic urinary diversion after cystectomy for bladder cancer: Implications for cancer control and patterns of disease recurrence. J Urol 2003;169:177-81.
- Zincke H, Garbeff PJ, Beahrs JR. Upper urinary tract transitional cell cancer after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. J Urol 1984;131:50-2.
- Wright JL, Hotaling J, Porter MP. Predictors of upper tract urothelial cell carcinoma after primary bladder cancer: A population based analysis. J Urol 2009;181:1035-9.
- 41. Schoenberg MP, Carter HB, Epstein JI. Ureteral frozen section analysis during cystectomy: A reassessment. J Urol 1996;155:1218-20.
- 42. van Rhijn BW, van der Poel HG, van der Kwast TH. Urine markers for bladder cancer surveillance: A systematic review. Eur Urol 2005;47: 736-48.
- 43. Chen GL, El-Gabry EA, Bagley DH. Surveillance of upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma: The role of ureteroscopy, retrograde pyelography, cytology and urinalysis. J Urol 2000;164:1901-4.
- 44. Stenzl A, Cowan NC, De Santis M, Jakse G, Kuczyk MA, Merseburger AS, *et al*. The updated EAU guidelines on muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2009;55:815-25.
- 45. Volkmer BG, Kuefer R, Bartsch GC Jr, Gust K, Hautmann RE. Oncological followup after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer-is there any benefit? J Urol 2009;181:1587-93.
- 46. Stenzl A, Cowan NC, De Santis M, Jakse G, Kuczyk MA, Merseburger AS, et al. Update of the Clinical Guidelines of the European Association of Urology on muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder carcinoma. Actas Urol Esp 2010;34:51-62.
- 47. Skinner DG. Secondary urinary reconstruction: Use of the ileocecal segment. J Urol 1974;112:48-51.
- Walzer Y, Soloway MS. Should the followup of patients with bladder cancer include routine excretory urography? J Urol 1983;130:672-3.
- 49. England HR, Paris AM, Blandy JP. The correlation of T1 bladder tumour history with prognosis and follow-up requirements. Br J Urol 1981;53:593-7.
- Tsuji Y, Nakamura H, Ariyoshi A. Upper urinary tract involvement after cystectomy and ileal conduit diversion for primary bladder carcinoma. Eur Urol 1996;29:216-20.

How to cite this article: Ayyathurai R, Soloway MS. Monitoring of the upper urinary tract in patients with bladder cancer. Indian J Urol 2011;27:238-44. Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.