



# Magnetic Resonance Imaging Features of Normal Thyroid Parenchyma and Incidental Diffuse Thyroid Disease: A Single-Center Study

Taewoo Kang<sup>1</sup>, Dong Wook Kim<sup>2\*</sup>, Yoo Jin Lee<sup>2</sup>, Young Jun Cho<sup>2</sup>, Soo Jin Jung<sup>3</sup>, Ha Kyoung Park<sup>4</sup>, Tae Kwun Ha<sup>4</sup>, Do Hun Kim<sup>5</sup>, Ji Sun Park<sup>6</sup>, Sung Ho Moon<sup>7</sup>, Ki Jung Ahn<sup>8</sup> and Hye Jin Baek<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery (Busan Cancer Center), Pusan National University Hospital, Pusan National University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>2</sup> Department of Radiology, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>3</sup> Department of Pathology, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>4</sup> Department of General Surgery, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>5</sup> Department of General Surgery, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>6</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>6</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>7</sup> Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>8</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea, <sup>9</sup> Department of Radiology, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Marek Ruchala, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland

#### Reviewed by:

Salvatore Benvenga, Università degli Studi di Messina, Italy Rocco Bruno, ASM Matera, Italy

> \*Correspondence: Dong Wook Kim dwultra@nate.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Thyroid Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 15 October 2018 Accepted: 26 November 2018 Published: 06 December 2018

#### Citation:

Kang T, Kim DW, Lee YJ, Cho YJ, Jung SJ, Park HK, Ha TK, Kim DH, Park JS, Moon SH, Ahn KJ and Baek HJ (2018) Magnetic Resonance Imaging Features of Normal Thyroid Parenchyma and Incidental Diffuse Thyroid Disease: A Single-Center Study. Front. Endocrinol. 9:746. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00746 **Background:** No previous studies have investigated the feasibility of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) diagnosis for detecting incidental diffuse thyroid disease (DTD). This study investigated MRI features of normal thyroid parenchyma and incidental DTD.

**Methods:** From January 2008 to December 2017, 387 patients underwent neck MRI in our hospital due to tumor/nodal staging (n = 137), lymphadenopathy (n = 122), inflammatory neck lesion (n = 85), congenital neck lesion (n = 12), and patient request (n = 31). Among them, 375 patients were excluded because of a lack of appropriate histopathological data on the thyroid parenchyma.

**Results:** Among the patients included, 10 had normal thyroid parenchyma, 1 had Hashimoto thyroiditis, and 1 had diffuse hyperplasia. The common MRI features of normal thyroid parenchyma include iso-/slightly high and homogeneous signal intensity on T1/T2-weighted images, normal anteroposterior diameter of the thyroid gland, smooth margin, and homogeneously increased enhancement as compared to adjacent muscle. Hashimoto thyroiditis exhibited high and inhomogeneous signal intensity on T2-weighted images, while diffuse hyperplasia revealed an increased anteroposterior diameter and lobulated margin of the thyroid gland, and inhomogeneous enhancement.

**Conclusions:** MRI may be helpful for detection of incidental DTD.

Keywords: thyroid, diffuse thyroid disease, autoimmune, Hashimoto thyroiditis, magnetic resonance imaging

## INTRODUCTION

Thyroid disease includes diffuse and nodular types (1). Diffuse thyroid disease (DTD) may be associated with thyroid dysfunction, and the thyroid function test and serology are widely used in evaluating thyroid dysfunction (2). In clinical practice, however, accurate detection of subclinical or asymptomatic DTD is not easy but this detection can be helpful for the appropriate management of thyroid dysfunction (2). Thyroid ultrasonography (US) is widely used to detect and characterize thyroid nodules (3). Although clinical and laboratory findings have played a key role in the diagnosis and treatment of DTD, the use of thyroid US for detecting DTD is feasible (4-7). Moreover, recent studies have demonstrated that computed tomography (CT) may be helpful to detect DTD (8-10). CT detection of DTD may be practical, as CT is widely used in the evaluation of neck lesions. In the literature, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is reported to be helpful for differentiating Graves' disease from Hashimoto thyroiditis or painless thyroiditis (11-14). Hashimoto thyroiditis and Graves' disease exhibit increased signal intensity (SI) on T1/T2-weighted images, whereas as the normal thyroid reveals somewhat greater SI than that of adjacent muscle on both images (11, 12). In addition, diffusion-weighted MRI may be helpful for differentiation between Hashimoto thyroiditis, Graves' disease, and painless thyroiditis (13, 14). However, no previous studies have investigated the feasibility of using MRI for detection of incidental DTD.

For evaluating head and neck cancer, contrast-enhanced CT is the most commonly used imaging modality (15). MRI has excellent soft tissue differentiation, but CT provides exceptional anatomical detail with greater spatial resolution than MRI (15). In particular, MRI is commonly used to determine tumor/nodal staging of head and neck cancer, to identify a primary site in patients with unknown primary head and neck cancer, and to evaluate lymphadenopathy in the neck (15). If incidental MRI detection of DTD is possible, as with US and CT, MRI may facilitate appropriate management of DTD.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the MRI features of normal thyroid parenchyma and incidental DTD.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Patients**

This retrospective study was approved by Busan Paik Hospital institutional review board (IRB 17-0212), and requirement for obtaining informed patient consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the investigation and the use of anonymized patient data. From January 2008 to December 2017, 387 patients (113 women, 274 men; mean age 57.1  $\pm$  19.3 years [range 1–92 years]) underwent neck MRI in our hospital for tumor/nodal staging (n = 137), lymphadenopathy (n = 122), inflammatory neck lesion (n = 85), congenital neck lesion (n = 12), and by patient request (n = 31). Among them, only the cases with an available histopathological specimen of the thyroid gland, obtained by thyroid surgery, were included. In addition, the interval between neck MRI and thyroid surgery had to be less than 12 months. Ultimately, a total of 12 patients (7 women,

 TABLE 1 | Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging features of normal thyroid parenchyma and diffuse thyroid disease in 12 patients.

Si on T1 Wi           iso-         10 (100)         2 (100)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         0 (0)         0 (0)           High         0 (0)         0 (0)           Si on T2 Wi         iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Si on T2 Wi         iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 Wi         Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Homogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50) <th>MRI features</th> <th>Normal thyroid parenchyma (<math>n = 10</math>)</th> <th>Diffuse thyroid disease <math>(n = 2)</math></th>	MRI features	Normal thyroid parenchyma ( $n = 10$ )	Diffuse thyroid disease $(n = 2)$
iso-         10 (100)         2 (100)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         0 (0)         0 (0)           High         0 (0)         0 (0)           Sl on T2 WI	SI on T1 WI		
Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         0 (0)         0 (0)           High         0 (0)         0 (0)           Sl on T2 WI         V         V           iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           Slightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI         V           Homogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         V         10 (100)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decrease	iso-	10 (100)	2 (100)
slightly high         0 (0)         0 (0)           High         0 (0)         0 (0)           SI on T2 WI         iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Sightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI            Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID          10 (100)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND          10 (100)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT          10 (100)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT          10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         10 (100)         1 (50)         10 (100)           Increased         10 (1	Low	O (O)	0 (0)
High       0 (0)       0 (0)         SI on T2 WI       iso-       1 (10)       0 (0)         Low       0 (0)       0 (0)       0 (0)         slightly high       9 (90)       1 (50)         High       0 (0)       1 (50)         HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI       V         Homogeneous       10 (100)       1 (50)         Inhomogeneous       0 (0)       1 (50)         AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID       V       V         Normal       10 (100)       1 (50)         Increased       0 (0)       0 (0)         MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND       Smooth       10 (100)       1 (50)         Degreee OF ENHANCEMENT       V       V       0 (0)         Decreased       0 (0)       0 (0)       0 (0)         Increased       10 (100)       2 (100)       2 (100)         PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT	slightly high	0 (0)	O (O)
Si on T2 Wi           iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 Wi            Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID          10 (100)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND          10 (100)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT             Homogeneous </td <td>High</td> <td>O (O)</td> <td>O (O)</td>	High	O (O)	O (O)
iso-         1 (10)         0 (0)           Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI         1           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         1         (50)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Unit (50)         1 (50)           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)	SI on T2 WI		
Low         0 (0)         0 (0)           slightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI            Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID          1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND          1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT             Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (	iso-	1 (10)	O (O)
slightly high         9 (90)         1 (50)           High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI         Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Homogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         In (100)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         10 (100)         1 (50)         In (50)           Increased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)         In (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)         In (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         In (100)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         In (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         In (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         In (50)           Decreased         10 (100)         2 (100)         PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)         In (50)	Low	O (O)	O (O)
High         0 (0)         1 (50)           HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI         1           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         1         50)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         10 (100)         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Degree OF ENHANCEMENT         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Lobulated         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)	slightly high	9 (90)	1 (50)
HOMOGENEITY on T1 or T2 WI           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         Informal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)         Informal         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Degreee OF ENHANCEMENT         Information (100)         1 (50)           Degreesed         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Degreesed         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         10 (100)         2 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Informageneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Informageneous         10 (100)         1 (50)         1 (50)	High	O (O)	1 (50)
Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         Iso-         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         10 (100)         2 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)	HOMOGENEITY o	n T1 or T2 WI	
Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)           AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID         1 (50)           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         10 (100)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inomogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)	Homogeneous	10 (100)	1 (50)
AP DIAMETER OF THE THYROID           Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         Margin OF THYROID GLAND         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Unit (50)         1 (50)           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	Inhomogeneous	O (O)	1 (50)
Normal         10 (100)         1 (50)           Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         Marcial         Marcial           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         Marcial         Marcial           iso-         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Momogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)	AP DIAMETER OF	THE THYROID	
Increased         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         0 (0)         1 (50)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         U           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	Normal	10 (100)	1 (50)
Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND         10 (100)         1 (50)           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	Increased	O (O)	1 (50)
MARGIN OF THYROID GLAND           Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2"           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)         2 (100)         PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Enternormal Colspan="2">Enternormal Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan=	Decreased	O (O)	O (O)
Smooth         10 (100)         1 (50)           Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         Image: Comparison of the second of	MARGIN OF THYP	ROID GLAND	
Lobulated         0 (0)         1 (50)           DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT         iso-         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)	Smooth	10 (100)	1 (50)
DEGREE OF ENHANCEMENT           iso-         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)         1 (50)	Lobulated	O (O)	1 (50)
iso-         0 (0)         0 (0)           Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         United to the second	DEGREE OF ENH	ANCEMENT	
Decreased         0 (0)         0 (0)           Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT         10         10 (50)           Homogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	iso-	O (O)	O (O)
Increased         10 (100)         2 (100)           PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	Decreased	0 (0)	O (O)
PATTERN OF ENHANCEMENT           Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	Increased	10 (100)	2 (100)
Homogeneous         10 (100)         1 (50)           Inhomogeneous         0 (0)         1 (50)	PATTERN OF ENH	IANCEMENT	
Inhomogeneous 0 (0) 1 (50)	Homogeneous	10 (100)	1 (50)
	Inhomogeneous	O (O)	1 (50)

Data presented in parentheses are percentage of each item. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SI, signal intensity; WI, weighted image; AP, anteroposterior.

5 men; mean age 49.6  $\pm$  16.2 years [range 22–70 years]) were included in the study.

#### Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocol

MRI was performed using 1.5 Tesla units (Gyroscan NT, Philips, Best, Netherlands; and Genesis Signa, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, United States) and 3 Tesla units (Skyra, 20-channel phased array coil, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany; and Achieva, Philips, 16channel phased array coil). MRI at our institution involves image acquisition from the skull base to the tracheal carina. All patients were imaged using a standardized MRI protocol comprising a 3-plane localizer and turbo spin-echo imaging, involving the following sequence: axial and coronal T1-weighted images (TR/TE, 730/10, FOV 220  $\times$  220 cm, matrix 448  $\times$  269, slice thickness 4.0 mm, intersection gap 0.4 mm), axial T2-weighted images (TR/TE, 4530/68, FOV 220  $\times$  220 cm, matrix 448  $\times$ 269, slice thickness 4.0 mm, intersection gap 0.4 mm), axial and coronal fat-saturated T2-weighted images, followed by contrastenhanced axial and coronal T1-weighted images. The same sequence was performed after contrast agent administration



FIGURE 1 | A 51-year-old man with normal thyroid parenchyma confirmed by histopathology after thyroid surgery for the treatment of papillary thyroid carcinoma. In the non-enhanced axial T1- (A) and T2- (B) weighted images, the thyroid gland (arrows) exhibits homogeneous, iso-, and slightly high signal intensities, respectively, when compared with adjacent muscle. In both images, the thyroid gland (arrows) exhibits a normal size and smooth margin. In the enhanced axial, fat-suppression T1-weighted image (C), the thyroid gland (arrows) exhibits homogeneously increased enhancement, when compared with adjacent muscle.

(0.1 mL/kg of gadobenate dimeglumine, Multihance BRACCO, Milan, Italy, at 2 ml per second, followed by a 20-ml saline flush at the same rate). The first post-contrast sequence began 90 sec after the contrast medium injection, to allow sufficient contrast enhancement.

#### **Magnetic Resonance Imaging Analysis**

A single radiologist (with 16 years of experience with thyroid US examination and neck MRI analysis, after obtaining board certification) retrospectively investigated MRI findings of the thyroid gland in the study patients, while being blinded to the US diagnosis of the thyroid gland, clinico-serological information, and the patient's medication history for DTD. The following features were investigated based on MR images, using a picture achieving and computer data system: parenchymal SI (as compared to adjacent muscle and fat; iso- [same SI at that of adjacent muscle], low [low SI relative to adjacent muscle], slightly high [higher SI than adjacent muscle but lower SI than adjacent fat], or high [same SI at that of adjacent fat]; homogeneity on T1- or T2-weighted images (homogeneous and inhomogeneous); anteroposterior diameter of the thyroid gland (normal [1-2 cm], increased [>2 cm], or decreased [<1 cm]); glandular margin (smooth or lobulated); degree of enhancement (as compared to adjacent muscle; iso-, decreased, or increased); and pattern of enhancement (homogeneous or inhomogeneous).

# Final Diagnosis of Diffuse Thyroid Disease and Normal Thyroid Parenchyma

Histopathological findings from the thyroid gland were retrospectively analyzed by a single pathologist. Hashimoto thyroiditis was characterized as progressive loss of thyroid follicular cells with replacement by lymphocytes and formation of germinal centers associated with fibrosis. Diffuse hyperplasia was characterized by diffuse hypertrophy and hyperplasia of follicular cells with retention of the lobular architecture and no definite nodule formation. The thyroid gland was considered to have normal thyroid parenchyma when there was no visual evidence of coexisting DTD.

## RESULTS

For the 12 patients, the reason for thyroid surgery was suspicious thyroid malignancy in cytology. The mean interval between neck MRI and thyroid surgery was 7.6  $\pm$  2.9 months (range 2–12 months). After thyroid surgery (9 total thyroidectomy and 3 hemithyroidectomy), papillary thyroid carcinoma was confirmed in all patients. Based on the histopathological findings of the thyroid gland, we characterized patients with normal thyroid parenchyma (n = 10), Hashimoto thyroiditis (n = 1), and diffuse hyperplasia (n = 1).

The MRI features of the thyroid gland are listed in **Table 1**. The 9 normal thyroid parenchyma cases exhibited iso-/slightly



high SI on T1/T2-weighted images, whereas 1 case revealed iso-/slightly high SI on T1/T2-weighted images. In all normal thyroid parenchyma cases, SI was homogeneous, the anteroposterior diameter of the thyroid gland was normal, had a smooth margin, and showed homogeneously increased enhancement as compared with adjacent muscle (**Figure 1**). In contrast, Hashimoto thyroiditis exhibited high and inhomogeneous SI on T2-weighted images (**Figure 2**), and diffuse hyperplasia revealed increased anteroposterior diameter and lobulated margin of the thyroid gland and inhomogeneous enhancement (**Figure 3**).

#### DISCUSSION

In the literature, the known US features of normal thyroid parenchyma included a fine echotexture, iso-echogenicity, a smooth margin, a normal glandular size, and normal parenchymal vascularity (4–7). In contrast, the known CT features of normal thyroid parenchyma include iso-attenuation, homogeneous attenuation, an anteroposterior diameter of 1–2 cm, smooth margin, and homogeneous enhancement (8–10). In the present MRI study, the thyroid gland was compared with adjacent muscle. The common MRI features of normal thyroid parenchyma include iso-/slightly high SI on T1/T2-weighted images, homogeneous SI, normal anteroposterior diameter of the thyroid gland, smooth margin, and homogeneously increased enhancement. According to previous MRI studies, normal

thyroid parenchyma exhibits greater SI than adjacent muscle on T1-weighted images (11, 12). However, all normal thyroid parenchyma cases in the present study exhibited iso-SI on T1weighted image. The reason for this difference is unclear. To clarify this issue, further studies are required.

In the literature, the reported US features of DTD include increased or decreased parenchymal echogenicity, coarse echotexture or "micronodulation," increased or decreased anteroposterior diameter of the thyroid gland, presence of marginal nodularity, and increased or decreased parenchymal vascularity (4-7). In contrast, the CT features suggestive of DTD include low attenuation, inhomogeneous attenuation, increased glandular size, lobulated margin, and inhomogeneous enhancement (8-10). In the present MRI study, SI, glandular size or margin, and parenchymal enhancement may be different between normal thyroid parenchyma and DTD. Nevertheless, among the numerous studies, no US or CT features with a high sensitivity and specificity for detecting DTD have been found (4-10). Similarly, no specific MRI features for DTD were found. Nevertheless, MRI detection of DTD may be feasible, although only 2 cases of DTD were included. To clarify this issue, a large-scale study is required.

This study had several limitations. First, the sample size was small. In particular, only 2 cases of DTD were included. Secondly, a neck MRI protocol was used in this study. For clarity, a further study using the appropriate MRI protocol for



the thyroid gland is required. Thirdly, there was a considerable interval between neck MRI and thyroid surgery. Fourthly, a single radiologist performed the image analysis. Finally, only patients who underwent neck MRI and thyroid surgery were included, and thus selection bias was unavoidable.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that the MRI features of normal thyroid parenchyma and incidental DTD are different. Thus, MRI may be helpful for detection of incidental DTD.

#### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

This study follows the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. All study participants waived informed consents owing

#### REFERENCES

- Loevner LA. Anatomy and pathology of the thyroid and parathyroid glands. In: Som PM, Curtin HD, editors. *Head and Neck Imaging, 5th Edn.* St. Louis, MO: Mosby (2011). p. 2611–55. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-05355-6.00041-0
- Rosario PW, Bessa B, Valadao MM, Purisch S. Natural history of mild subclinical hypothyroidism: prognostic value of ultrasound. *Thyroid* (2009) 19:9–12. doi: 10.1089/thy.2008.0221

to the retrospective analysis, and the study design was approved by the appropriate ethics review boards.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DWK concept and design. HB, DWK analysis and interpretation of data; TK, DWK manuscript writing; DWK review of final manuscript. All authors acquisition of data, literature review, and refinement of manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the 2018 Inje University research grant.

- Frates MC, Benson CB, Charboneau JW, Cibas ES, Clark OH, Coleman BG, et al. Management of thyroid nodules detected at US: society of radiologists in ultrasound consensus conference statement. *Radiology* (2005) 237:794–800. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2373050220
- Kim DW, Eun CK, In HS, Kim MH, Jung SJ, Bae SK. Sonographic differentiation of asymptomatic diffuse thyroid disease from normal thyroid: a prospective study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* (2010) 31:1956–60. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A2164

- Kim DW. A comparative study of real-time and static ultrasonography diagnoses for the incidental detection of diffuse thyroid disease. *Endocr Pract.* (2015) 21:910–6. doi: 10.4158/EP15646.OR
- Pedersen OM, Aardal NP, Larssen TB, Varhaug JE, Myking O, Vik-Mo H. The value of ultrasonography in predicting autoimmune thyroid disease. *Thyroid* (2000) 10:251–9. doi: 10.1089/thy.2000. 10.251
- Schiemann U, Avenhaus W, Konturek JW, Gellner R, Hengst K, Gross M. Relationship of clinical features and laboratory parameters to thyroid echogenicity measured by standardized grey scale ultrasonography in patients with hashimoto's thyroiditis. *Med Sci Monit*. (2003) 9:MT13–17. Available online at: http://www.MedSciMonit.com/pub/vol\_9/no\_4/3474.pdf
- Kim DW, Jung SJ, Ha TK, Park HK, Kang T. Comparative study of ultrasound and computed tomography for incidentally detecting diffuse thyroid disease. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* (2014) 40:1778–84. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2014.02.023
- Rho MH, Kim DW. Computed tomography features of incidentally detected diffuse thyroid disease. *Int J Endocrinol.* (2014) 2014:921934. doi: 10.1155/2014/921934
- Kim DW, Lee YJ, Ahn HS, Baek HJ, Ryu JH, Kang T. Comparison of ultrasonography and computed tomography for diagnosing diffuse thyroid disease: a multicenter study. *Radiol Med.* (2018) 123:515–23. doi: 10.1007/s11547-018-0872-9
- Charkes ND, Maurer AH, Siegel JA, Radecki PD, Malmud LS. MR imaging in thyroid disorders: correlation of signal intensity with Graves' disease activity. *Radiology* (1987) 164:491–4. doi: 10.1148/radiology.164.2.36 02391

- Takashima S, Fukuda H, Tomiyama N, Fujita N, Iwatani Y, Nakamura H. Hashimoto thyroiditis: correlation of MR imaging signal intensity withhistopathologic findings and thyroid function test results. *Radiology* (1995) 197:213–9. doi: 10.1148/radiology.197.1.7568826
- Ozturk T, Bozgeyik Z, Ozturk F, Burakgazi G, Akyol M, Coskun S, et al. The role of diffusion weighted MR imaging for differentiation between Graves' disease and Hashimoto thyroiditis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmcol Sci.* (2015) 19:2798–803.
- Abdel Razek AAK, Abd Allah SS, EI-Said AAE. Role of diffusionweighted magnetic resonance (MR) imaging in differentiation between Graves' disease and painless thyroiditis. *Pol J Radiol.* (2017) 82:536–41. doi: 10.12659/PJR.902416
- Donta TS, Smoker WR. Head and neck cancer: carcinoma of unknown primary. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* (2007) 18:281–92. doi: 10.1097/RMR.0b0113e3181570c6c

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Kang, Kim, Lee, Cho, Jung, Park, Ha, Kim, Park, Moon, Ahn and Baek. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.